

COMMENTARY

GOALs in relapsed DLBCL

Wiebke Rösler  | Thorsten Zenz 

Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

CorrespondenceThorsten Zenz, Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital Zurich, Rämistr. 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland.
Email: thorsten.zenz@usz.chCommentary on: Georg Hess and colleagues: A phase II trial to evaluate the combination of Pixantrone and Obinutuzumab for patients with relapsed aggressive lymphoma – final results of the prospective, multicenter GOAL-trial. *Br J Haematol* 2022;198:482–491

The number of treatment options for patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) have increased in recent years and include antibody based treatments, chemotherapy, small molecule inhibitor and immunotherapy including cellular therapy (CAR-T).¹ Nevertheless, there remains an unmet clinical need and patients fail multiple treatments. In addition, our understanding of how to choose individual treatments for relapse (e.g. sequencing) is very limited. Salvage therapy followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) can lead to cure but only a fraction of patients with relapsed and refractory (R/R) DLBCL qualify for this treatment.^{2,3} CAR-T cells provide a major advance for patients with DLBCL, but a considerable fraction of patients relapse after CAR-T cell treatment,⁴ or are ineligible to receive CAR-T treatment.

The GOAL trial - presented in this issue of *BJH* - included R/R DLBCL patients who did not qualify for intensive treatment (including CAR-T cell therapy) and were treated with a combination of the CD20 antibody obinutuzumab and pixantrone.⁵ A clear role for both drugs has yet to be found in the current treatment algorithms of DLBCL. Pixantrone is an aza-anthracenedione, initially developed to reduce cardiotoxicity. Monotherapy is approved and available in some parts of Europe for patients with relapsed/refractory aggressive NHL. A phase 3 trial (PIX301) demonstrated its effectiveness in relapsed/refractory aggressive NHL.^{5,6} More recent data from Italy or the UK showed an overall response around 25%.^{7,8} Obinutuzumab has not been shown to be superior to rituximab in aggressive lymphoma.⁹

The GOAL Trial¹⁰ tested this combination in 68 patients. Overall response rate (ORR) (the primary endpoint) was 35% and the study failed to meet the predefined endpoint of response. Both PFS and OS were short at 2.8 and 8 months, respectively. Fewer prior treatment lines and normal LDH showed more favourable outcomes as is usually the case.

The study by Hess and colleagues (2022) is in line with a relevant, albeit small, proportion of patients with relapse of DLBCL who responded to chemoimmunotherapy. It is currently unclear if preselection based on clinical (and molecular) data may increase the response rate by “picking the right patient” for this regimen. Similarly, the effect of treatment lines to the immune system (and thus response to immunotherapy) is a topic of growing importance.

Much of the current momentum in DLBCL research and treatment is generated by CAR T-cell therapy, numerous bispecific antibodies or small molecule inhibitors. Indeed, many of these treatments have already made their way into clinical practice since the completion of the study. While these are good news for patients, it is becoming increasingly challenging to select the appropriate therapeutic strategy – at the right time – based on a rational basis rather than practical aspects such as availability or insurance coverage. This may constitute a key future research agenda which we should tackle by leveraging technological advances and the availability of novel drugs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Open Access Funding provided by Universite de Lausanne. [Correction added on 21 July 2022, after first online publication: CSAL funding statement has been added.]

ORCIDWiebke Rösler  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0495-1674>Thorsten Zenz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7890-9845>**REFERENCES**

1. Sehn LH, Salles G. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(9):842–58. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra2027612>
2. Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, Singh Gill D, Linch DC, Trneny M, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *J Clin Oncol*.

- 2010;28(27):4184–90. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.28.1618>
 Erratum in: *J Clin Oncol*. 2012 May 20;30(15):1896.
3. Hamadani M, Hari PN, Zhang Y, Carreras J, Akpek G, Aljurj MD, et al. Early failure of frontline rituximab-containing chemotherapy in diffuse large B cell lymphoma does not predict futility of autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2014;20(11):1729–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2014.06.036>
 4. Locke FL, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, Perales M-A, Kersten M-J, Oluwole OO, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel as second-line therapy for large B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(7):640.
 5. Pettengell R, Coiffier B, Narayanan G, de Mendoza FH, Digumarti R, Gomez H, et al. Pixantrone dimaleate versus other chemotherapeutic agents as a single-agent salvage treatment in patients with relapsed or refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a phase 3, multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012;13(7):696–706. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(12\)70212-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70212-7) Erratum in: *Lancet Oncol*. 2012 Jul;13(7):e285.
 6. Pettengell R, Długosz-Danecka M, Andorsky D, Belada D, Georgiev P, Quick D, et al. Pixantrone plus rituximab versus gemcitabine plus rituximab in patients with relapsed aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma not eligible for stem cell transplantation: a phase 3, randomized, multicentre trial (PIX306). *Br J Haematol*. 2020;188:240–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.16255>
 7. Eyre TA, Linton KM, Rohman P, Kothari J, Cwynarski K, Ardeshtna K, et al. Results of a multicentre UK-wide retrospective study evaluating the efficacy of pixantrone in relapsed, refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2016;173(6):896–904. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.14021>
 8. Zinzani PL, Bregni M, Spione M, Mitterer M, Musuraca G, Bugli A, et al. Effectiveness and safety of pixantrone for the treatment of relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in every-day clinical practice: the Italian cohort of the PIXA registry. *Acta Haematol*. 2021;144(3):259–63. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000509923>
 9. Le Gouill S, Ghesquières H, Oberic L, Morschhauser F, Tilly H, Ribrag V, et al. Obinutuzumab vs rituximab for advanced DLBCL: a PET-guided and randomized phase 3 study by LYSA. *Blood*. 2021;137(17):2307–20. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020008750>
 10. Hess G, Hüttmann A, Witzens-Harig M, Dreyling MH, Keller U, Marks R, et al. A phase II trial to evaluate the combination of pixantrone and obinutuzumab for patients with relapsed aggressive lymphoma: final results of the prospective, multicentre GOAL trial. *Br J Haematol*. 2022;198:482–491.

How to cite this article: Rösler W, Zenz T. GOALS in relapsed DLBCL. *Br J Haematol*. 2022;198:419–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.18296>