



Article Karyotype and Phylogenetic Relationship Analysis of Five Varieties and Cultivars of *Zanthoxylum armatum* Based on Oligo-FISH

Zhoujian He¹, Yuting Lei¹, Wei Gong¹, Meng Ye^{1,*,†} and Xiaomei Luo^{2,*,†}

- ¹ College of Forestry, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China; hezhouj@163.com (Z.H.); mihualyt@126.com (Y.L.); gongwei@sicau.edu.cn (W.G.)
- ² National Forestry and Grassland Administration Key Laboratory of Forest Resources Conservation and Ecological Safety on the Upper Reaches of the Yangtze River & Forestry Ecological Engineering in the Upper Reaches of the Yangtze River Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu 611130, China
- * Correspondence: yemeng5581@163.com (M.Y.); xiaomei_luo@sicau.edu.cn (X.L.); Tel.: +86-028-8629-1456 (X.L.)
- [†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Green prickly ash (Zanthoxylum armatum) has edible and medicinal value and is an economically significant plant in many countries. Z. armatum has many cultivars and varieties with similar phenotypes that are difficult to distinguish via traditional methods. In this study, we utilized oligo-FISH to distinguish five varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum on the basis of three oligonucleotide probes of 5S rDNA, $(AG_3T_3)_3$, and $(GAA)_6$. Karyotype analysis of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* showed that the *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' karyotype formula was 2n = 2x = 98m with karyotype type 1C and an arm ratio of 4.3237, including two pairs of 5S rDNA signals and five pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. The karyotype formula of Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao' was 2n = 2x = 128m + 128m8sm with karyotype type 2B and an arm ratio of 3.5336, including three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and 17 pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. The karyotype formula of Z. armatum var. novemfolius was 2n = 2x = 134m+ 2sm with karyotype type 1C and an arm ratio of 5.5224, including two pairs of 5S rDNA signals and eight pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. The karyotype formula of Z. armatum 'YT-03' was 2n = 2x = 2M+ 128m + 4sm + 2st with karyotype type 2C and an arm ratio of 4.1829, including three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and nine pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. The karyotype formula of Z. armatum 'YT-06' was 2n = 2x = 126m + 10sm with cytotype 2B and an arm ratio of 3.3011, including three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and two pairs of $(GAA)_6$ signals. The five varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum had $(AG_3T_3)_3$ signals on all chromosomes. The chromosomal symmetry of Z. armatum 'Tengjiao' was high, whereas the chromosomal symmetry of Z. armatum 'YT-03' was low, with the karyotypes of the five materials showing a trend toward polyploid evolution. The phylogenetic relationship between Z. armatum 'Tengjiao' and Z. armatum var. novemfolius was the closest, while that between Z. armatum 'YT-03' and Z. armatum 'YT-06' was closer than with Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao' according to oligo-FISH. The results provided a karyotype profile and a physical map that contributes to the distinction of varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum and provides strategies for distinguishing other cultivated species.

Keywords: Zanthoxylum armatum; karyotype; oligo-FISH; genetic relationship

1. Introduction

Zanthoxylum is a genus of economically important aromatic plants in the family Rutaceae, commonly referred to as Sichuan pepper [1,2], which has over 200 species [3]. Currently, there are 45 species and 13 varieties of wild *Zanthoxylum* in China [4]. *Zanthoxylum* has been bred for more than 2000 years in China [5]. In addition to being used in cooking, *Zanthoxylum* is used as an insect repellent and as a medicinal treatment for fever,



Citation: He, Z.; Lei, Y.; Gong, W.; Ye, M.; Luo, X. Karyotype and Phylogenetic Relationship Analysis of Five Varieties and Cultivars of *Zanthoxylum armatum* Based on Oligo-FISH. *Genes* **2023**, *14*, 1459. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes14071459

Academic Editors: Linley Jesson and Nico M. Van Straalen

Received: 6 June 2023 Revised: 12 July 2023 Accepted: 14 July 2023 Published: 17 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Alzheimer's disease, indigestion, and stomach diseases [6,7]. Compared with *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* Maxim, *Zanthoxylum armatum* DC has a unique aroma that gradually came to be favored by consumers who preferred it to the numbing taste of *Z. bungeanum* [8]. In the Sichuan province, the *Z. armatum* planting area has exceeded 2000 square kilometers [9]. The Chinese agricultural industry of *Z. bungeanum* and *Z. armatum* is worth more than USD 4 billion [10]. In some countries and regions, cultivation of *Zanthoxylum* as a medicinal plant has been prioritized to help improve local economies [11,12]. Sichuan is rich in varieties of *Z. armatum*, featuring many high-quality cultivars that are products of long-term domestication [13]. The flower organs and fruits of various varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* are similar, which makes them difficult to distinguish using traditional morphological classification methods.

Compared to other traits, plants' chromosomal features are relatively stable [14]. Chromosome numbers are widely documented because they are relatively easy to determine and catalog [15]. Chromosome numbers can be used to study phylogenetic characteristics and infer genomic events [16]. As Zanthoxylum has many chromosomes, it is difficult to determine its chromosome number; the chromosome numbers of many Zanthoxylum species are still debated [3]. Z. acanthopodium Candelle has 2n = 64 chromosomes, Z. dimorphophyllum Hemsley has 2n = 36 and 68 chromosomes [17], Z. scandens Blume has 2n = 68 chromosomes [17], Z. oxyphyllum Edgeworth has 2n = 72 chromosomes [17], Z. tomentellum J.D. Hance has 2n = 72 chromosomes [17], Z. simulans Hance has 2n = 132 chromosomes [17], Z. nitidum (Roxburgh) Candolle has 2n = 68 chromosomes [18], and Z. bungeanum has 2n = 136 chromosomes [10,19–21]. Previously recorded chromosome numbers for Z. armatum include 2n = 66 [17], ~128 [3], 132 [20], and 136 [16]; the chromosome numbers are indeterminate and numerous. Chromosome research requires a physical chromosome map [22]; however, a chromosome map's development is often hampered by a lack of markers that allow the identification of individual chromosomes. Many techniques have been applied to overcome this barrier [23]. The fluorescence in situ hybridization of oligonucleotides (oligo-FISH) using chromosome-specific probes to construct a physical chromosome map [24] is widely used to determine genetic relationships among plant species [25,26] as well as in phylogenetic reconstruction [27] and molecular cytology [28]. Different combinations of oligonucleotide probes have been used to study cultivars. For example, a combination of 5S rDNA and $(AG_3T_3)_3$ probes was used to distinguish Hippophae rhamnoides L. and Sichuan walnut cultivars [29,30]. By utilizing oligo-FISH technology in conjunction with various oligonucleotide-specific probes, it is possible to distinguish between different varieties and cultivars of species that possess similar morphologies.

The chromosome numbers of varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* are not clear, and ploidy is controversial. This was the first study to use three oligonucleotide probes to distinguish varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*. We used three oligonucleotide probes to study five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* and analyzed their relationship according to the number of signals. We found that the number of chromosomes within the *Z. armatum* species was inconsistent, and the specific oligonucleotide probes of the materials within the *Z. armatum* species showed signal differences, intuitively showing the different genetic relationships among varieties and cultivars. The results of this study can be helpful for the identification of varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* in the future.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

The seedlings were collected from a cultivar garden in Zigong City, Sichuan Province. The materials are shown in Table 1. *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* are famous cultivars of *Z. armatum* with a huge planting area in southwest China [9]. *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', and *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' are excellent varieties of *Z. armatum* with special disease resistance and a high yield. **Table 1.** Five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*.

No.	Latin Name	Туре	Location	Geographical Coordinates				
1	Z. armatum 'Tengjiao'	Seedling	Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China	104°52'10″ E, 29°16'28″ N				
2	Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao'	Seedling	Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China	104°52'10″ E, 29°16'28″ N				
3	Z. armatum var. novemfolius	Seedling	Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China	104°52'10″ E, 29°16'28″ N				
4	Z. armatum 'YT-03'	Seedling	Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China	104°52'10″ E, 29°16'28″ N				
5	Z. armatum 'YT-06'	Seedling	Zigong City, Sichuan Province, China	104°52'10″ E, 29°16'28″ N				

These materials in the cultivar garden were managed at the same level and sampled at the same time. Five seedlings were transplanted to the Chengdu Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences and photographed with a stereomicroscope after normal growth. When the root tip grew to 1.5–2 cm, the root-tip meristem was removed, placed in nitrous oxide for 2.5 h, soaked in acetic acid for 5 min, and then stored in 75% ethanol at -20 °C.

2.2. FISH

The root-tip meristems were dispersed in cellulose and pectinase (2:1) and then maintained in this mixture at 37 °C for 45 min, as described by Luo et al. [29]. The enzyme mixture was subsequently washed using ddH₂O twice. The ddH₂O was then removed from the mixture, and the mixture was subsequently washed in ethyl alcohol twice. After it air-dried completely, 20 μ L of glacial acetic acid was added; then, the enzyme mixture was dropped onto a clean slide and examined using an Olympus CX23 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). This study used (AG₃T₃)₃ [31], 5S rDNA [14], and (GAA)₆ [3] as probes; they were produced by Sangon Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The 5' ends of the probe were labeled using 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) or 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA). The synthetic probes were dissolved in 1× Tris-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (TE) at a concentration of 10 μ M and stored at -20 °C.

The slides were subjected to chromosome fixation, dehydration, and denaturation before being dehydrated again and then hybridized using the denatured probe mixture at 37 °C for 1.5 h [3]. After hybridization, 10 μ L of 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was dropped onto slides for observation using an Olympus BX63 fluorescence microscope combined with a Photometric SenSys Olympus DP70 CCD camera (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Signal patterns were analyzed using the three best spreads. The length of each chromosome was calculated using Photoshop version 2021 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA); each spread was measured three times to obtain an average value and consistent chromosome data. Karyotype data (karyotype formula, arm ratio, and karyotype type) were determined using NucType 2013; the analysis was based on the length of each chromosome. The physical map was generated on the basis of the signals of 5S rDNA and (GAA)₆ using Photoshop version 2021.

2.3. Genetic Relationships

The study utilized 5S rDNA- and (GAA)₆-specific oligonucleotide probes to analyze the genetic relationships among five *Z. armatum* materials. The 5S rDNA signals were used as the primary reference basis for phylogenetic analysis; these were previously used in various species. To determine the genetic relationship, the number of 5S rDNA signals was screened; close numbers indicated a close relationship. If the number of 5S rDNA signals was consistent, (GAA)₆ was used as an auxiliary reference. The physical map of *Z. armatum* was created using Photoshop version 2021.

3. Results

3.1. Flower Bud and Fruit Morphology of Z. armatum

The phenotypic similarity of the flower buds and fruits of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* was evaluated. Compared with the flower bud stage, the bud at the bottom of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' had little morphological change, and the bud at the top of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' became round and long in shape. In the early quilt differentiation

stage of flower bud differentiation of *Z. armatum*, the flower bud development degree of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was slightly lower than that of other varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*. The upper end of the flower bud of *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* became round and large, and the young leaves covering the flower bud began to stretch while the bud became spherical, reaching the late stage of ovary differentiation of *Z. armatum* with the fastest development degree. The other varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* were in the early stage of ovary differentiation, and the flower buds became slightly round to spherical in shape. The fruit morphologies of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* were similar and difficult to distinguish. The flower bud and fruit morphologies of the five naterials of *Z. armatum* are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*' flower bud and fruit morphologies. Flower bud samples were collected during germination, and images were taken using a stereomicroscope. Fruit morphology images were taken using a camera. (A) Flower bud of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao', (B) flower bud of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', (C) flower bud of *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius*, (D) flower bud of *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', (E) flower bud of *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', (F) fruit of *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', armatum 'YT-03', (H) fruit of *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius*, (I) fruit of *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', and (J) fruit of *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao'.

3.2. Karyotype of Z. armatum

The metaphase chromosomes of *Z. armatum* were analyzed using FISH, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The chromosome number of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was 98, while the chromosome number of *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius*, *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', and *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' was 136. To better characterize these chromosomes, individual chromosomes were aligned according to length from the longest to the shortest, as illustrated in Figures 4 and 5.

The karyotype formula of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was 2n = 2x = 98m with karyotype type 1C and an arm ratio of 4.3237. The karyotype formula of *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' was 2n = 2x = 128m + 8sm with karyotype type 2B and an arm ratio of 3.5336. The karyotype formula of *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* was 2n = 2x = 134m + 2sm with karyotype type 1C and an arm ratio of 5.5224. The karyotype formula of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' was 2n = 2x = 2M + 128m + 4sm + 2st with karyotype type 2C and an arm ratio of 4.1829. The karyotype formula of *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' was 2n = 2x = 126m + 10sm with karyotype type 2B and an arm ratio of 3.3011. The chromosomal symmetry of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was high, whereas the chromosomal symmetry of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' was low, with the karyotypes of the five materials showing a trend toward polyploid evolution. The karyotypes of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* are shown in Table 2.



Figure 2. Mitotic metaphase chromosome fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) visualization of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**B**) *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**C**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**D**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**C**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**E**) *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, and (**F**) *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) probes. The red signal was 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA), while the green signal was 6-carboxytetramethylrhotamine (TAMRA), while the green signal

No.	Latin Name	Karyotype	Karyotype Type	Arm Ratio
1	Z. armatum var. novemfolius	2n = 2x = 134m + 2sm	1C	5.5224
2	Z. armatum 'Tengjiao'	2n = 2x = 98m	1C	4.3237
3	Z. armatum 'YT-03'	2n = 2x = 2M + 128m + 4sm + 2st	2C	4.1829
4	Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao'	2n = 2x = 128m + 8sm	2B	3.5336
5	Z. armatum 'YT-06'	2n = 2x = 126m + 10sm	2B	3.3011

3.3. Oligonucleotide Signal Number of Different Z. armatum Materials

 $(AG_3T_3)_3$ signals were located at all chromosome ends of both sample materials at the centromeres and proximally. $(AG_3T_3)_3$ signals were difficult to distinguish but helped in counting the chromosomes in the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*; 5S rDNA and $(GAA)_6$ signals had different locations and numbers in the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*.



Figure 3. Mitotic metaphase chromosomes fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) visualization of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**H**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**I**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, and (**J**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes. The red signal was 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA), while the green signal was 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM). Chromosomes were counterstained using 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (blue). Scale bar = 5 µm.

Zanthoxylum armatum 'Tengjiao' AGTg +5Sr
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
1.14µm 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 A
Zanthoxylum armatum 'Tengjiao' AGTr +GAAg
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 101um
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98
Zanthoxylum armatum 'Youkangtengjiao' AGTg +5Sr
145µm 26.6 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68
69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102
0.70 µm
103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136
Zanthoxylum armatum 'Youkangtengjiao' AGTr + GAAg
ν <u>μ. 1.80μm</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68
69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102
0.83 µm 1
103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136
D Zanthoxylum armatum var. novemfolius AGT 9 +5Sr
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 0.93μm
103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 E
Zanthoxylum armatum var. novemfolius AGTr + GAAg
)))))())))()))))))))))))))))))))))))))
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68
J _o 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 F

Figure 4. Mitotic chromosomes of *Z. armatum* rearranged from Figure 2. (**A**) *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**B**) *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**C**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**D**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**E**) *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**E**) *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, and (**F**) *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) probes. Chromosomes were aligned according to length from longest to shortest. The scale bars range from 0.5 to 5 µm.

4	.02µn	n									Ζ	antl	hox	vlu	m ar	ma	tum	'Y]	Г-03	3' A	GT	g +	5Sr										
13210	2	3) 4	5	6	(7	8	(9	(10) 11	12	13)) 14) 15	(16	[17) 18	(19	2 0	2 1	1 22) 23	2 4) 25) 26) 27	28	29) 30	3 1	3 2	3 3	(34
35	36	3 7	3 8	3 9) 40) 41	4 2	4 3) 44) 45) 46	1 47	4 8) 49	50	51	52	(53	5 4) 55) 56) 57	(58) 59	6 0	61	6 2) 63	(64	65	6 6) 67	6 8
6 9	1 70	(71) 72	(73) 74) 75) 76) 77	(78) 79	(80) 81) 82	() 83	8 4	8 5	8 6	() 87) 88	8 9) 90	91) 92	9 3	¶ 94) 95) 96) 97	9 8	¢ 99	¢ 100	101	102
103 G	104	105) 106	🀞 107) 108) 109	110	9 111	🦺 112	1 13	§ 114) 115) 116	117	¶ 118) 119) 120) 121) 122	1 23) 124	125	(126	§ 127)) 128	© 129) 130) 131	أ 132	1 33) 134	135	-136
U											Zar	ntho	xyl	um	arn	iatu	m "	YT-	03'	AC	ЪТr	+ 0	ЗАА	g									
411	12μm	ţ			3				Ç							1	ł	1	9			4	¢				1	٢				>	ç
° 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
35	36) 37	7 38	3 9	4 0) 41) 42	1 43	<mark>6</mark> 44) 45	4 6) 47	 48	4 9) 50	5 1	52	(53	5 4	د 55	4 56	6 57	ر 58	7 59	6 0	p 61	C 62	(63	6 4	6 5	7 66	(67	68
69) 70	< 71	° 72) 73	! 74) 75) 76) 77) 78) 79	.) 80	(81) 82	Ç 83	č 84	୍କ 85	7 86	(87	9 88	1 89	[90	§ 91	(92	(93	° 94	9 5	9 6	9 7) 98) 99	1 00	l 101) 102
8		ł		ę								L								I		¢	١	1					ę	ę		1.0)1μm ⁻ដដ
103 H	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136
	.52µm	1								Za	anth	oxy	lum	arn	natu	m 'Y	7 T- 0)6' A	GT	g +	5Sr												
	.52μm 2	1 () 3	4	5	6	0 7	8) 9	🥩 10	Za	nth	13	lum	arn 8	natu 16	m '`\	/T-0)6' A ••• 19	GT	g +	5Sr	23	a 24	** 25	26	27	28	9 29	9 30	9 31	3 2	9 33	8 34
1	.52μm 2	3	4	5	6	9 7	8 8) 9	99 10	Za 11	unth 12	13	lum 14	arn 15	natu 16	m 'Y	7T-0 8 18)6' A () 19 ()	GT 20	g + 21	5Sr 22	23	2 4	** 25	26	27	28	29	99 30 99	9 31	32	5 33	3 4
1 1 35	.52µm 2 36	3 3 37	4	5 5 39	6 6 40	9 7 6 41	8 8 42	9 9 43	9 10 9 44	Za 11 \$	12 12 46	13 47	lum 14 48	arn 15 49	natu 16 16 50	m 'Y 17 51	7T-0 18 52)6' A 19 53	GT 20 54	g + 21	5Sr 22 56	23 23 57	24 24 58	25 25 59	26 26 60	27 61	 28 62 	29 29 63	30 64	 31 65 	 32 66 	 33 67 	34 68
1 1 35 69	.52μm 2 36 0000000000000000000000000000000000	3 3 37 9 71	4 4 38 72	5 5 39 73	6 6 40 8 74	7 41 975	8 8 42 9 76	9 9 43 0 77	 10 44 8 78 	Za 11 11 45 79	unth 12 46 80	13 13 47 41 81	lum 14 48 82	arn 15 49 83	natu 16 50 84	m 'Y	7T-0 18 52 86)6' A 19 53 87	GT 20 54 88	g + 21 55 89	5Sr 22 56 90	23 23 57 91	24 24 58 92	 25 59 93 	26 26 60 94	27 61 95	 28 28 62 8 96 	 29 63 8 97 	30 30 64 8 98	 31 65 99 	 32 66 100 	 33 67 101 	 34 68 2 102
1 1 35 69	.52μm 2 36 0 70	3 3 37 9 71	4 4 38 72	5 39 73	6 6 40 74	7 41 75	8 8 42 76	9 9 43 77	 10 44 8 78 8 	Za 11 45 79	anth 12 46 80	13 13 47 481	lum 14 48 82 2	arn 15 49 83	natu 16 50 84	m 'Y 17 51 85 85	7T-0 18 52 86 9	06' A 19 53 87	GT 20 54 88	g + 21 55 89	5Sr 22 56 90	23 23 57 91	24 24 58 92 92	 25 59 93 6 	26 26 60 94	27 61 95 8	28 28 62 96 2	 29 63 97 97 	30 64 98 98	 31 65 99 8 	32 66 100	33 67 9 101 0.78 9	34 68 ₽ 102 µm
1 1 35 69 0 103 I	.52μm 2 36 70 8 104	3 3 37 9 71 0 105	4 38 72 106	5 5 39 73 0 107	6 40 74 74	7 41 75 109	8 42 76 9 110	9 9 43 77 111	 10 44 78 112 	Z.0 11 45 79 113	anth 12 46 80 114	13 13 47 47 81 81 9 115	lum 14 9 48 82 82 116	arm 15 49 83 117	natu 16 50 84 7 118	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 85	7T-0 18 52 86 120	06' A 19 53 87 87	GT 20 54 88 122	g + 21 55 89 123	5Sr 22 56 90 124	23 23 57 91 125	24 58 92 126	 25 59 93 127 	26 00 94 128	27 61 95 8 129	28 28 62 96 8 130	29 63 97 97 131	30 64 98 9132	 31 65 99 133 	 32 66 100 134 	33 67 0.78 101 0.78 9 135	 34 68 ₽ 102 µm 136
1 1 35 69 0 103 I	52μm 2 36 0 70 5 104	3 37 37 71 0 105	4 38 72 106	5 39 73 0 107	6 6 40 74 74 8 7 108	7 41 75 109	8 42 76 9 110	9 9 43 77 111	 10 44 78 112 	Za 11 25 79 113 Zan	anth 12 46 7 80 80 80 80	13 13 47 47 41 81 9 115 xylu	lum 14 9 48 0 82 116 m a.	arm 15 49 9 83 0 117 rma	natu 16 7 50 84 9 7 118 84	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 85 (119	7T-0 18 52 86 120 7-06	06' A 19 53 87 121 ' AC	GT 20 54 88 122 6Tr -	g + 21 55 89 123 + G	5Sr 22 56 90 124 AA	23 23 57 91 125 g	24 58 92 126	 25 59 93 127 	26 00 94 128	27 61 95 295	28 28 62 96 20 96 20 130	 29 63 97 131 	98 98 132	 31 65 99 133 	32 66 0 100 134	 33 67 101 0.78, 135 	34 68 ₽ 102 µm 136
1 1 35 69 0 103 I	52μm 2 36 70 3104	3 3 37 9 71 05	4 38 72 106	5 5 39 73 6 107	6 6 40 74 74 7108	7 41 75 109	8 8 42 76 9 110	9 9 43 77 111	 10 44 78 112 6 	Za 11 45 79 113 Zan	anth 12 46 80 114 114	13 13 47 47 481 9 115 xylu	lum 14 9 48 82 116 m al	arm 15 49 83 117 rma	natu 16 7 50 84 9 118 84	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 (119 (Y]	7T-0 18 52 86 120 Γ-06	06' A 19 53 87 121	GT 20 54 88 122 Tr -	g + 21 55 89 123 + G	5SI 22 56 90 124 AA;	23 23 57 91 125 g	24 58 92 126	 25 59 93 127 	26 26 60 94 128	27 61 95 129	28 28 62 8 96 130	 29 63 97 97 131 	98 98 132	 31 65 99 133 	32 66 9 100 134	33 67 9 101 0.78; 9 135	34 €8 €8 €8 102 1136
1 1 3 3 69 0 100 1 1 1	52μm 2 36 70 3 104 3μm 2	3 37 9 71 9 105	4 38 72 106	5 39 73 107 5	6 6 40 74 74 7108 6	7 41 75 109 7	8 42 76 9 110 8	9 9 43 77 111	 10 44 78 112 10 10 	Za 11 45 79 113 Zan 11	anth 12 46 80 114 114 etho. 12	13 13 47 47 81 9 115 xylu 13	lum 14 9 48 82 116 mai 14	arm 15 49 9 83 117 117 15	natu 16 50 84 7 118 16	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 (119 (Y] (17	7T-0 18 52 86 120 Γ-06 18	06' A 19 53 87 121 ' AC	GT 20 54 88 122 0 Tr - 20	g + 21 55 89 123 + G	5SI 22 56 90 124 AA	23 23 57 91 25 23 23	24 58 92 126 24	 25 59 93 127 25 	26 00 94 128 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 61 95 129 27	 28 28 62 96 96 130 28 	 29 29 63 97 97 131 29 	30 64 98 132	31 65 99 133 31	32 66 100 134	 333 67 101 0.78µ 135 333 : 	34 68 2102 1136
1 1 35 69 100 I 1 1 1 100 I 1 1 1 35	2 2 36 70 3 3μm 2 36 36	3 37 71 0 105 3 3 37	4 38 72 106 4 8 38	5 5 39 73 07 107 5 5 39	6 40 74 74 74 6 6 40	7 41 75 109 7 7 41	8 9 76 9 110 8 8 42	9 43 777 1111 9 9 43	 10 44 78 112 10 44 	Za 11 45 79 113 Zan 11 11 45	anth 12 46 7 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13 13 47 47 47 47 47 115 13 47 47	lum 14 9 48 82 82 116 m a. 14 848	arm 15 49 83 117 117 117 15 49	natu 16 50 84 118 16 50	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	7T-0 18 52 86 120 7-06 18 52	06' A 19 53 87 121 121 121 121 53	GT 20 20 54 88 122 0Tr 20 20 54	g + 21 55 89 123 + G 21 21 0 55	5SI 22 56 90 124 AA 22 22 56	23 23 57 91 25 125 23 23	24 58 92 126 24 24 58	 25 59 93 127 25 59 	 26 60 94 128 26 60 60 	27 61 95 129 27 61	28 62 96 130 28 62	 29 63 97 97 131 29 63 	30 64 98 132 30 64	 31 65 99 133 31 65 	 32 66 100 134 32 32 66 	33 67 101 0.78y 135 333 67	 34 68 2 102 1136 1334 68
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	52µmm 2 36 70 5 104 33µm 2 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	3 37 71 05 105 3 37 6 71	4 38 72 106 4 8 38 4 8 38 72	5 39 73 07 107 5 39 39 39 73	6 40 74 74 8 6 6 40 7 74 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7 41 75 109 7 41 41 8 75	8 42 76 0 110 8 42 76 42 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	9 43 77 111 9 9 43 43 8 77	 10 44 78 112 10 44 44 78 	Za 11 245 79 113 Zan 11 45 45 79 79	anth 12 46 7 80 114 46 114 46 12 46 80 12 80 12 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13 13 47 47 47 47 47 47 13 13 6 47 47 81 47 81	lum 14 9 48 82 2 116 m al 14 9 14 9 48 82 82	arm 15 49 83 117 117 117 15 49 83	natu 16 7 50 84 7 118 110 16 50 84	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 119 17 17 51 85 51 85 51 85 51 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	7T-0 18 52 86 120 7-06 18 52 86 86 86	06' A 19 53 87 121 121 19 53 87 87 87 87 87	GT 20 54 88 122 0 Tr 20 20 20 54 88	g + 21 55 89 123 + G 21 21 55 89	5SI 22 56 90 124 AA 22 22 56 56 56 90	23 57 91 125 g 23 8 57 91	24 58 92 126 24 0 24 0 24 0 58 92 92 92	 25 59 93 127 25 59 59 93 	26 0 94 128 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 61 95 129 27 61 0 95	28 96 96 130 28 62 28 62 62 96	 29 63 97 131 29 63 63 97 	 30 64 98 132 30 64 98 	 31 65 99 133 31 65 99 	32 66 0 100 134 32 32 66	33 67 9 101 0.78y 135 333 67 67 101	 34 68 102 1136 34 68 68 102
1 1 35 69 100 I 1 35 0 9 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	2 36 70 3104 3μm 2 36 36 3104 3μm 2 36 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 36 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	3 37 9 71 05 3 37 37 0 71 37	4 38 72 106 4 8 38 4 72 72	5 39 73 0 107 5 39 39 73 4	6 40 6 74 74 7 108 6 6 40 74 7 108 7 40 7 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7 41 75 109 7 8 41 41 8 75	8 42 76 9 110 8 8 42 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	9 43 77 111 9 9 43 43 77	 10 44 78 112 10 44 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 	Za 11 45 79 113 Zan 11 45 79 79 79 79 0 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79	anth 12 46 80 14 114 114 12 46 80 12 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	13 13 47 47 81 9 115 xylu 13 6 47 81 81	lum 14 9 48 0 82 2 116 14 0 14 14 82 82 0 82 0 82 0 14	arm 15 49 9 83 0 117 rma 15 15 49 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	natu 16 50 84 7 118 16 50 84 16 84	m 'Y 17 51 85 85 119 17 87 17 85 85 85	7T-0 18 52 86 120 7-06 18 52 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	06' A 19 53 87 121 121 121 121 19 53 87 87 87 87 87	GT 20 54 88 122 7Tr 20 0 7Tr 20 0 54 88 88	g + 21 55 89 123 + G 21 21 55 89 21 55 89	5SI 22 56 90 124 AA 22 56 56 56 90	23 57 91 125 23 23 23 57 91	 24 58 92 126 24 58 24 58 92 24 	 25 59 93 127 25 59 59 93 	26 60 94 128 26 60 26 60 94 •	27 61 95 129 27 61 61 95 61	28 62 96 130 28 62 62 62 96	 29 63 97 131 29 63 97 97 8 	30 64 98 132 30 64 98 98	 31 65 99 133 31 65 99 99 	32 66 100 134 32 32 66 66 9 100	 33 67 101 0.78ij 33 33 67 67 67 101 0.8 0 	 34 68 102 1136 34 68 34 68 102 34

Figure 5. Mitotic chromosomes of *Z. armatum* rearranged from Figure 3. (**G**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, (**H**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes, (**I**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (green) and 5S rDNA (red) probes, and (**J**) *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' using $(AG_3T_3)_3$ (red) and $(GAA)_6$ (green) probes. Chromosomes were aligned according to length from longest to shortest. The scale bars range from 0.5 to 5 µm.

To clarify the signal distribution of *Z. armatum*, we created a physical chromosomal map, as shown in Figure 6. *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' had the most 5S rDNA and (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' had the fewest (GAA)₆ and 5S rDNA signals. *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* had two pairs of 5S rDNA signals. *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', and *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' had three pairs of 5S rDNA signals. *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' had two pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' had five pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* had eight pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' had nine pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'YOukangtengjiao' had 17 pairs of (GAA)₆ signals.



Figure 6. Physical map of *Z. armatum*. Signal pattern ideographs were constructed on the basis of the signal patterns of the chromosomes mentioned above as well as the chromosomes in Figures 2 and 3. The number of chromosomes is indicated across the bottom. Signal combinations noted to the left were consistent with the findings in Figures 2 and 3.

The phylogenetic relationship between *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* was the closest, while that between *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' and *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' was closer than with *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' according to 5S rDNA and (GAA)₆ signals. The phylogenetic relationships of the five *Z. armatum* materials were also confirmed by the karyotype analysis (Table 2). The strong symmetry of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* indicated that their divergence was minimal.

4. Discussions

4.1. Inconsistent Chromosome Number of Five Z. armatum Materials

Chromosomal data are fundamental to cytological studies [32] and widely documented in most families and genera [15]. Chromosomal data are useful for solving traditional plant classifications [31]; moreover, because they are relatively stable in plants, they can also be used to study chromosome aberration, cell function, and inference of genomic events [14,16].

Previously, *Z. armatum* was reported to have 2n = 66 [17], ~128 [3], 132 [20], and 136 chromosomes [16], which differed from our results. Our chromosome number results for the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* were inconsistent with those of Zhang and Hartley [17]; this may be because Zhang and Hartley [17] adopted the traditional production method. Their process for obtaining chromosomes was rough, and some chromosomes may have been washed out. Additionally, chromosomes overlapped during production, which may have caused the chromosome numbers to differ. Our results for *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* were inconsistent with Luo et al.'s results for two possible reasons. First, different research materials were used. Luo et al. [3] used *Z. armatum* 'Hanyuanputaoqing' instead of our research cultivars of *Z. armatum*. Thus, Luo et al.'s [3] research results

might indirectly prove that different cultivars of *Z. armatum* have different chromosome numbers. Their *Z. armatum* cultivars were ambiguous, and the materials themselves were problematic [33]. Second, Luo et al. [3] did not use telomere $(AG_3T_3)_3$ probes to ensure chromosome integrity and counting accuracy, which may have resulted in counting errors. Hu et al. [20] used telomere probes, but some chromosomes were superimposed in the division phase, which could have easily led to miscounting. Secondly, their research did not specify the name of the *Z. armatum* cultivars, which may have differed from the materials we used.

Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao' was bred from Z. armatum 'Tengjiao'; they belong to the same species and should have the same number of chromosomes. However, we found an interesting phenomenon; i.e., their chromosome numbers were inconsistent. We hypothesize that the reason for this might be related to the apomictic reproduction of Z. armatum. Apomictic reproduction does not require parental gametophytes to produce zygotes; offspring are produced directly from the mother [34]. This method of reproduction could fix the mother's economic traits, which would be beneficial for breeding [35]. It is possible that apomictic reproduction led to similar phenotypic characteristics in Z. armatum, although there were many varieties and cultivars. Apomixis may have formed nested chromosome fusions (NCF), leading to changes in chromosome numbers. The vast majority of cases were polyploid, which resulted in abnormal chromosome numbers [36]. This phenomenon was previously found in wheat [37], Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt [38], and grapevine varieties [39]. The Z. armatum genus genome is large; extensive transposable element (TEs) and events of whole-genome duplication (WGD) led to changes in the plant genome [40–42]. Active TEs might have triggered fission and fusion events of Z. armatum chromosomes [43], which might have led to changes in the chromosome number [44]. Understanding chromosome numbers is beneficial for future Z. armatum gene assembly and would allow a physical map of oligonucleotides to be drawn. In the future, we will identify the chromosomes of other varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum.

4.2. Divergence Stages of Five Z. armatum Materials

Karyotype analysis can not only study the phylogenetic relationship but also provide evidence for the cell research of this species [35]. In this study, the karyotype data of five varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum were inconsistent, which may be related to the region and growing environment [45]. Z. armatum 'Tengjiao' grows in the rainy zone of West China, while Z. armatum var. novemfolius grows in a subtropical monsoon humid climate [46]. Plants living in different environments for a long time will not only have divergent adaptation but will also undergo genetic changes [47], thus influencing chromosome data. The inconsistent karyotype analysis data may also be related to the difficulty of karyotype analysis due to the small chromosome size of Z. armatum [3]. In the karyotype analysis of five varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum, some centromeric points were not obvious, and there was difficultly in the karyotype analysis. We conducted the karyotype analysis on the basis of the clearest centromeric points. The inconsistent karyotype data of the five varieties and cultivars of Z. armatum may also be related to the apomictic reproduction of Z. armatum, which resulted in nested chromosome fusion, leading to changes in the number of chromosome karyotypes. At the same time, Z. armatum has a large genome, and the occurrence of TEs and WGD can also lead to gene changes, which may alter the chromosome karyotype data. The genome of Z. armatum is still undetermined despite some studies [8,10]; thus, further studies are needed to determine how genetic changes affect the chromosome.

Stebbins et al. [48] believed that a higher chromosome symmetry indicates earlier divergence. The evolutionary trend of karyotype ranges from symmetrical to asymmetrical. The chromosomes of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* were mainly *m* and *sm* chromosomes with a small number of *M* and *st* chromosomes. Overall, the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* had high symmetry, possibly indicating early divergence. The *m* chromosome of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was the least evolved. *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' had

lower symmetry and was more evolved. Some plant karyotypes can evolve from diploid to polyploid [49]. The evaluation of the five materials showed that the karyotype of varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* also potentially evolved from diploid to polyploid. *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' can maintain a relatively primitive state, which may be related to the stability of the current environment [45]. At present, the climate is relatively stable and there is no extreme climate; hence, rattan pepper has adapted to the local climate environment with a low degree of evolution.

The chromosome of *Z. armatum* can be considered small [3], complicating karyotype analysis. Although our study could not accurately describe the karyotype data of *Z. armatum*, it could reflect the genetic differences at the chromosome level among the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*. The evolutionary relationship of the different varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* requires further study.

4.3. Oligonucleotide Signal Differences of Five Z. armatum Materials

Using single-copy and repetitive DNA sequences of plants and chromosome-specific probes [50], FISH is a valuable molecular cytogenetic mapping tool, especially for species with small chromosome numbers and similar morphologies [51]. The numbers and distribution of chromosomes according to FISH can reveal genetic composition patterns and karyotype variations [52]. Oligo-FISH can be used to analyze karyotypes, chromosome rearrangements, and meiotic pairing [53], and it has been widely used in determining genetic relationships among plant species [25,26], as well as in phylogenetic reconstruction [27] and molecular cytology [28], with rapid and efficient results [32].

It is known that 5S rDNA may be present at the middle, near middle, and end of each chromosome [14,54]. There is a strong relationship between signal number and ploidy [55]. To date, FISH has identified the number and location of rDNA loci in more than 1600 plant species [56]. The quantity and location of 5S and 45S rDNA are often characteristic of a particular species or genus [57–59]. As a powerful cytogenetic marker, 5S rDNA [60] is helpful in the study of reproductive segregation and speciation [61], chromosome characterization [62], and genome differentiation [27]. Using FISH technology to locate repetitive DNA sequences helps correct the karyotypes of small chromosomes and insignificant morphological characteristics, which can reflect the degree of differentiation between species and genera at the chromosome level [54,63]. Luo et al. [3] obtained two pairs of 5S rDNA signal sites, which was consistent with Z. armatum's 5S rDNA signal sites identified in this study but inconsistent with the three pairs of 5S rDNA sites of Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao', Z. armatum 'YT-03', and Z. armatum 'YT-06'. We speculated that this may be because Z. armatum 'Youkangtengjiao', Z. armatum 'YT-03', and Z. armatum 'YT-06' had new chromosomes containing more genetic information. The signal locations of Z. armatum 'Tengjiao' and Z. armatum var. novemfolius' were the same as those found by Luo et al. [3]; this may indicate that the two cultivars share similar genetic information.

The $(AG_3T_3)_3$ signals may be present in the proximal, distal, and dissociative parts of chromosomes [29]. The $(AG_3T_3)_3$ probe was used to determine the integrity and number of chromosomes because it is often present at both ends of chromosomes [29]. The $(AG_3T_3)_3$ probe was used herein for the first time in *Z. armatum*. The probe signal sites appeared at both ends of the chromosome, which is convenient for counting chromosomes. GAA signals may appear in the proximal telomeric, sub-telomeric, and interstitial regions [64–67]; this is beneficial for cytogenetics and genome organization research. Luo et al. [3] found five pairs of $(GAA)_6$ signals in *Z. armatum*, which was consistent with our results for *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' but inconsistent with the 17 pairs of $(GAA)_6$ signals in *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', the nine pairs of $(GAA)_6$ signals in *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', and the eight pairs of $(GAA)_6$ signals in *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius*.

Using signal numbers and locations, we were able to find genetic relationships. For example, *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* were the closest, whereas *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' and *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' were closer than *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao'.

4.4. Distinction of Varieties and Cultivars of Z. armatum based on Chromosome Number and Oligonucleotide Signal

We observed that the chromosome number of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* was 136, whereas that of *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' was 98. Accordingly, we directly determined that *Z. armatum* 'Tengjiao' differed from other varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*. The chromosome number of *Z. armatum* 'YT-03', *Z. armatum* 'YT-06', *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao', and *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* was the same, but their 5S rDNA and (GAA)₆ probe signals were different. *Z. armatum* 'Youkangtengjiao' had three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and 17 pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* var. *novemfolius* had two pairs of 5S rDNA signals and eight pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'YT-03' had three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and nine pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *Z. armatum* 'YT-06' had three pairs of 5S rDNA signals and two pairs of (GAA)₆ signals. *W* distinguished varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* using probe signals. In addition, different chromosome lengths represent an auxiliary basis for distinguishing varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*.

It is difficult to distinguish intra- and interspecific differences using only FISH [30]. To completely distinguish intra- and interspecific differences, it is necessary to design sufficient probes and draw oligonucleotide maps. However, because of the large number of chromosomes and unclear genome sequences, this work will be extremely challenging. Some chromosomal changes (deletions, duplications, inversions, or translocations) [30] and B chromosome generation [15,50] can result in changes in the number of chromosomes and more complex genetic information. However, woody plant genomes are highly heterozygous and have a complex genetic background [68]. In the Rutaceae family, complete genome sequences have been studied only in *Citrus* [1,2,69]. Although there have been some studies of the *Zanthoxylum* genome [8,10,36], they had pre-existing limitations. Therefore, more in-depth research is needed.

5. Conclusions

There were differences in the karyotypes of the five varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum*, showing a trend toward polyploid evolution. Our research method can be used to distinguish different varieties and cultivars of *Z. armatum* as well as to provide a reference for the future cultivation of different species.

Author Contributions: Z.H.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing—original draft preparation; Y.L.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Software; W.G.: Data curation, Funding acquisition; M.Y.: Data curation, Visualization, Project administration, Funding acquisition; X.L.: Resources, Writing—original draft preparation, Project administration, Funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (31500993), the Key Research and Development Project of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province "Cultivation of Large Varieties of Chinese Medicinal Materials—Technology Integration Research and Industrialization Demonstration of *Z. armatum* Whole Industry Chain" (2018SZ0064), the Projects of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2021YFYZ0032), and the Sichuan Characteristic Economic Crops Innovation Team Project of National Modern Agricultural Technology Systems (sccxtd-2020-12).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Zhou Yonghong for laboratory equipment support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Wu, G.A.; Terol, J.; Ibanez, V.; López-García, A.; Pérez-Román, E.; Borredá, C.; Domingo, C.; Tadeo, F.R.; Carbonell-Caballero, J.; Alonso, R.; et al. Genomics of the origin and evolution of *Citrus*. *Nature* **2018**, *554*, 311–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Wang, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, S.; Cao, L.; Huang, Y.; Cheng, J.; Wu, G.; Tian, S.; Chen, C.; Liu, Y. Genomic analyses of primitive, wild and cultivated *Citrus* provide insights into asexual reproduction. *Nat. Genet.* **2017**, *49*, 765–772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Luo, X.M.; Liu, J.C.; Wang, J.Y.; Gong, W.; Chen, L.; Wan, W.L. FISH analysis of *Zanthoxylum armatum* based on oligonucleotides for 5S rDNA and (GAA)₆. *Genome* **2018**, *61*, 699–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, M.; Wang, J.; Zhu, L.; Li, T.; Jiang, W.; Zhou, J.; Peng, W.; Wu, C. Zanthoxylum bungeanum Maxim. (Rutaceae): A systematic review of its traditional uses, botany, phytochemistry, pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and toxicology. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 2172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Fei, X.; Shi, Q.; Yang, T.; Fei, Z.; Wei, A. Expression stabilities of ten Candidate reference genes for RT-qPCR in *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* Maxim. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chruma, J.J.; Cullen, D.J.; Bowman, L.; Toy, P.H. Polyunsaturated fatty acid amides from the Zanthoxylum genus—From culinary curiosities to probes for chemical biology. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2018, 35, 54–74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Plazas, E.; Hagenow, S.; Murillo, M.A.; Stark, H.; Cuca, L.E. Isoquinoline alkaloids from the roots of *Zanthoxylum rigidum* as multi-target inhibitors of cholinesterase, monoamine oxidase A and Aβ1-42 aggregation. *Bioorganic Chem.* 2020, *98*, 103722. [CrossRef]
- 8. Xiang, L.; Liu, Y.; Xie, C.; Li, X.; Yu, Y.; Ye, M.; Chen, S. The chemical and genetic characteristics of szechuan pepper (*Zanthoxylum bungeanum* and *Z. armatum*) Cultivars and Their Suitable Habitat. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 467. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ye, M. Development status and prospect of Sichuan pepper industry. *China Rural. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, 2020, 70–73. Available online: http://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-JKCN202009020.htm (accessed on 8 April 2023).
- Li, J.; Li, S.; Kong, L.; Wang, L.; Wei, A.; Liu, Y. Genome survey of *Zanthoxylum bungeanum* and development of genomic-SSR markers in congeneric species. *Biosci. Rep.* 2020, 40, BSR20201101. [CrossRef]
- Phuyal, N.; Jha, P.K.; Raturi, P.P.; Rajbhandary, S. Zanthoxylum armatum DC.: Current knowledge, gaps and opportunities in Nepal. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2018, 229, 326–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nooreen, Z.; Tandon, S.; Yadav, N.P.; Kumar, P.; Xuan, T.D.; Ahmad, A. Zanthoxylum: A Review of its Traditional Uses, Naturally Occurring Constituents and Pharmacological Properties. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2019, 23, 1307–1341. [CrossRef]
- 13. Feng, S.; Liu, Z.; Hu, Y.; Tian, J.; Yang, T.; Wei, A. Genomic analysis reveals the genetic diversity, population structure, evolutionary history and relationships of Chinese pepper. *Hortic. Res.* 2020, *7*, 1–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Luo, X.; Liu, J.; Zhao, A.; Chen, X.; Wan, W.; Chen, L. Karyotype analysis of *Piptanthus* concolor based on FISH with a oligonucleotides for rDNA 5S. *Sci. Hortic.* **2017**, *226*, 361–365. [CrossRef]
- 15. Guerra, M. Chromosome numbers in plant cytotaxonomy: Concepts and implications. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **2008**, *120*, 339–350. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rice, A.; Glick, L.; Abadi, S.; Einhorn, M.; Kopelman, N.M.; Salman-Minkov, A.; Mayzel, J.; Chay, O.; Mayrose, I. The Chromosome Counts Database (CCDB)—A community resource of plant chromosome numbers. *New Phytol.* **2015**, *206*, 19–26. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhang, D.X.; Hartley, G.T. Zanthoxylum Linnaeus. In *Flora of China*; Flora of China Editorial Committee, Ed.; Science Press: Beijing, China; Missouri Botanical Garden Press: St. Louis, MO, USA, 2008; pp. 53–66. Available online: http://foc.eflora.cn/content.aspx?TaxonId=135262 (accessed on 8 April 2023).
- 18. Yu, L.Y.; Tan, X.M.; Zhou, Y.Q. Karyotype analysis of Z. nitidum. Lishizhen Med. Mater. Med. Res. 2010, 21, 3284–3285.
- 19. Chen, R.Y.; Chen, C.B.; Song, W.Q.; Liang, G.L.; Li, X.L.; Chen, L. Chromosome atlas of major economic plants genome in China (Tomus V). In *Chromosome Atlas of Medicinal Plants in China*; Li, S.W., Wang, J., Eds.; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2009; p. 636.
- Hu, L.; Xu, Z.; Fan, R.; Wang, G.; Wang, F.; Qin, X.; Yan, L.; Ji, X.; Meng, M.; Sim, S.; et al. The complex genome and adaptive evolution of polyploid Chinese pepper (*Zanthoxylum armatum* and *Zanthoxylum bungeanum*). *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2023, 21, 78–96. [CrossRef]
- 21. Feng, S.J.; Liu, Z.S.; Cheng, J.; Li, Z.H.; Tian, L.; Liu, M.; Yang, T.X.; Liu, Y.H.; Liu, Y.L.; Dai, H.; et al. *Zanthoxylum* specific whole genome duplication and recent activity of transposable elements in the highly repetitive paleotetraploid *Z. bungeanum* genome. *Hortic. Res.* **2021**, *8*, 205. [CrossRef]
- 22. Iwata-Otsubo, A.; Radke, B.; Findley, S.; Abernathy, B.; Vallejos, C.E.; Jackson, S.A. Fluorescence *in Situ* Hybridization (FISH)based karyotyping reveals rapid evolution of centromeric and subtelomeric repeats in common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and relatives. *G3 Genes* | *Genomes* | *Genetics* 2016, *6*, 1013–1022. [CrossRef]
- 23. She, C.-W.; Wei, L.; Jiang, X.-H. Molecular cytogenetic characterization and comparison of the two cultivated Canavalia species (Fabaceae). *Comp. Cytogenet.* 2017, *11*, 579–600. [CrossRef]
- 24. Zhou, H.C.; Pellerin, R.J.; Waminal, N.E.; Yang, T.-J.; Kim, H.H. Pre-labelled oligo probe-FISH karyotype analyses of four Araliaceae species using rDNA and telomeric repeat. *Genes Genom.* **2019**, *41*, 839–847. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abirached-Darmency, M.; Prado-Vivant, E.; Chelysheva, L.; Pouthier, T. Variation in rDNA locus number and position among legume species and detection of 2 linked rDNA loci in the model *Medicago truncatula* by FISH. *Genome* 2005, 48, 556–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Robledo, G.; Lavia, G.I.; Seijo, G. Species relations among wild Arachis species with the A genome as revealed by FISH mapping of rDNA loci and heterochromatin detection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **2009**, *118*, 1295–1307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 27. Cai, Q.; Zhang, D.; Liu, Z.-L.; Wang, X.-R. Chromosomal localization of 5S and 18S rDNA in five species of subgenus strobus and their implications for genome evolution of *Pinus*. *Ann. Bot.* **2006**, *97*, 715–722. [CrossRef]
- Lan, Y.; Lianwei, Q.; Xin, H.; Gong, H.; Lei, J.; Xi, M. Physical mapping of rDNA and karyotype analysis in *Tulipa sinkiangensis* and *T. schrenkii*. Sci. Hortic. 2018, 240, 638–644. [CrossRef]
- 29. Luo, X.; Liu, J.; He, Z. Oligo-FISH can identify chromosomes and distinguish *Hippophaë rhamnoides* L. Taxa. *Genes* **2022**, *13*, 195. [CrossRef]
- 30. Luo, X.; Chen, J. Distinguishing Sichuan walnut cultivars and examining their relationships with *Juglans regia* and *J. sigillata* by FISH, early-fruiting gene analysis, and SSR analysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 27. [CrossRef]
- 31. He, Z.; Zhang, W.; Luo, X.; Huan, J. Five Fabaceae karyotype and phylogenetic relationship analysis based on oligo-FISH for 5S rDNA and (AG₃T₃)₃. *Genes* **2022**, *13*, 768. [CrossRef]
- 32. Braz, G.T.; He, L.; Zhao, H.; Zhang, T.; Semrau, K.; Rouillard, J.M.; Torres, G.A.; Jiang, J. Comparative oligo-FISH mapping: An efficient and powerful methodology to reveal karyotypic and chromosomal evolution. *Genetics* **2018**, *208*, 513–523. [CrossRef]
- Song, R.; Jia, X.J.; Shao, Y.C. Study of extraction, antimicrobial activities of essential oil from Zanthoxylu bungeanum Maxim and GC-MS. Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull. 2014, 2014, 263–270. Available online: http://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-ZNTB20142 1047.htm (accessed on 8 April 2023).
- Hand, M.L.; Koltunow, A.M.G. The genetic control of apomixis: Asexual seed formation. *Genetics* 2014, 197, 441–450. [CrossRef]
 [PubMed]
- Fei, X.; Shi, J.; Liu, Y.; Niu, J.; Wei, A. The steps from sexual reproduction to apomixis. *Planta* 2019, 249, 1715–1730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Qiu, C.S.; Cheng, C.H.; Zhao, L.N.; Li, Y.J.; Zang, G.G. Study on chromosome behavior in polyploidy of apomictic Boehmeria tricuspis. J. Plant Genet. Res. 2013, 14, 577–580. [CrossRef]
- Luo, M.C.; Deal, K.R.; Akhunov, E.D.; Akhunova, A.R.; Anderson, O.D.; Anderson, J.A.; Blake, N.; Clegg, M.T.; Coleman-Derr, D.; Conley, E.J.; et al. Genome comparisons reveal a dominant mechanism of chromosome number reduction in grasses and accelerated genome evolution in *Triticeae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2009, 106, 15780–15785. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, P.; Sharma, N. Aneusomaty and chromosomal chimeras in male track of *Coccinia grandis* (L.) Voigt. *Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett.* 2021, 45, 191–194. [CrossRef]
- 39. Pelsy, F. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of diversity within grapevine varieties. Heredity 2010, 104, 331–340. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bennetzen, J.L. Mechanisms and rates of genome expansion and contraction in flowering plants. *Genetica* **2002**, *115*, 29–36. [CrossRef]
- 41. Van de Peer, Y.; Maere, S.; Meyer, A. The evolutionary significance of ancient genome duplications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2009**, *10*, 725–732. [CrossRef]
- 42. Schnable, P.S.; Ware, D.; Fulton, R.S.; Stein, J.C.; Wei, F.; Pasternak, S.; Liang, C.; Zhang, J.; Fulton, L.; Graves, T.A.; et al. The B73 maize genome: Complexity, diversity, and dynamics. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 1112–1115. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Yu, C.; Krishnaswamy, L.; Peterson, T. Transposable Elements as Catalysts for Chromosome Rearrangements. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2011, 701, 315–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Schubert, I.; Vu, G. Genome Stability and Evolution: Attempting a Holistic View. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2016**, *21*, 749–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, P.; Su, X.; Liu, Y.P.; Wang, Y.N.; Hu, X.Y.; Chen, J.Y. Chromosome number and karyotype analysis from different populations of Littledalea racemose. *Acta Agrestia Sin.* 2022, 30, 1712–1720. Available online: http://www.cnki.com.cn/Article/CJFDTOTAL-CDXU202207012.htm (accessed on 8 April 2023).
- 46. Wang, J.Y.; Gong, W.; Xiao, Q.W.; Hu, W.; Wang, Y.; Lu, C.Y.; Zhang, Y.Y.; Gou, G.J. A new cold tolerance green Chinese prickly ash cultivar 'Hanyuan Putao Qingjiao'. *Acta Hortic. Sin.* **2016**, *43*, 1425–1426. [CrossRef]
- 47. Shi, Z.J.; Du, H.H.; Fang, Y.X. The Heteromorphic Leaves of Broussonetia papyrifera and its Ecological Adaptation to Environment. *J. Southwest China Norm. Univ.* **2021**, *46*, 61–65. [CrossRef]
- 48. Stebbins, G.L. Chromosomals Evolution in High Plants; Edward Arnold: London, UK, 1971; pp. 85–105.
- Li, T.J.; Qu, Y.; Wang, B.Y. Chromosome karyotype analysis of Camellia reticulata with different ploidy. J. Cent. S. Univ. For. Technol. 2023, 43, 167–174. [CrossRef]
- 50. Schubert, I. Chromosome evolution. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2007, 10, 109–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Armstrong, S.J.; Filatov, D.A. A cytogenetic view of sex chromosome evolution in plants. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 2008, 120, 241–246. [CrossRef]
- 52. Liu, B.; Chen, C.; Li, X.; Qi, L.; Han, S. Karyotype analysis and physical mapping of 45S rDNA in eight species of *Sophora, Robinia* and *Amorpha. Front. Biol. China* 2006, 1, 290–294.
- Hasterok, R.; Wolny, E.; Hosiawa, M.; Kowalczyk, M.; Kulak-Ksiazczyk, S.; Ksiazczyk, T.; Heneen, W.K.; Maluszynska, J. Comparative Analysis of rDNA Distribution in chromosomes of various species of Brassicaceae. *Ann. Bot.* 2005, 97, 205–216. [CrossRef]
- Bustamante, F.d.O.; Nascimento, T.H.D.; Montenegro, C.; Dias, S.; Martins, L.D.V.; Braz, G.T.; Benko-Iseppon, A.M.; Jiang, J.; Pedrosa-Harand, A.; Brasileiro-Vidal, A.C. Oligo-FISH barcode in beans: A new chromosome identification system. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2021, 134, 3675–3686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Martínez, J.; Vargas, P.; Luceño, M.; Cuadrado, Á. Evolution of Iris subgenus Xiphium based on chromosome numbers, FISH of nrDNA (5S, 45S) and trnL-trnF sequence analysis. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 2010, 289, 223–235. [CrossRef]
- He, J.; Lin, S.; Yu, Z.; Song, A.; Guan, Z.; Fang, W.; Chen, S.; Zhang, F.; Jiang, J.; Chen, F.; et al. Identification of 5S and 45S rDNA sites in *Chrysanthemum* species by using oligonucleotide fluorescence in situ hybridization (Oligo-FISH). *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2021, 48, 21–31. [CrossRef]
- 57. Garcia, S.; Gálvez, F.; Gras, A.; Kovařík, A.; Garnatje, T. Plant rDNA database: Update and new features. *Database* 2014, 2014, bau063. [CrossRef]
- 58. Wolny, E.; Hasterok, R. Comparative cytogenetic analysis of the genomes of the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon* and its close relatives. *Ann. Bot.* 2009, *104*, 873–881. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, K.P.; Wu, Y.X.; Zhao, H.; Wang, Y.; Lü, X.M.; Wang, J.M.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.Y.; Han, Y.H. Cytogenetic relationships among *Citrullus species* in comparison with some genera of the tribe Benincaseae (Cucurbitaceae) as inferred from rDNA distribution patterns. BMC Evol. Biol. 2016, 16, 1–85. [CrossRef]
- Maragheh, F.P.; Janus, D.; Senderowicz, M.; Haliloglu, K.; Kolano, B. Karyotype analysis of eight cultivated Allium species. J. Appl. Genet. 2019, 60, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Hizume, M.; Shibata, F.; Matsusaki, Y.; Garajova, Z. Chromosome identification and comparative karyotypic analyses of four Pinus species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2002, 105, 491–497. [CrossRef]
- 62. Zhang, Y.X.; Cheng, C.Y.; Li, J.; Yang, S.Q.; Wang, Y.Z.; Li, Z.A.; Chen, J.F.; Lou, Q.F. Chromosomal structures and repetitive sequences divergence in *Cucumis* species revealed by comparative cytogenetic mapping. *BMC Genom.* **2015**, *16*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 63. Pinkel, D.; Straume, T.; Gray, J.W. Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative, high-sensitivity, fluorescence hybridization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 2934–2938. [CrossRef]
- 64. Thomas, H.M.; Harper, J.A.; Morgan, W.G. Gross chromosome rearrangements are occurring in an accession of the grass *Lolium rigidum*. *Chromosom*. *Res.* **2001**, *9*, 585–590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Cuadrado, A.; Cardoso, M.; Jouve, N. Increasing the physical markers of wheat chromosomes using SSRs as FISH probes. *Genome* **2008**, *51*, 809–815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Badaeva, E.D.; Amosova, A.V.; Goncharov, N.P.; Macas, J.; Ruban, A.S.; Grechishnikova, I.V.; Zoshchuk, S.A.; Houben, A. A Set of cytogenetic markers allows the precise identification of all a-genome chromosomes in diploid and polyploid wheat. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 2015, 146, 71–79. [CrossRef]
- Badaeva, E.D.; Ruban, A.S.; Zoshchuk, S.A.; Surzhikov, S.A.; Knüpffer, H.; Kilian, B. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of *Triticum timopheevii* chromosomes provides new insight on genome evolution of *T. zhukovskyi. Plant Syst. Evol.* 2016, 302, 943–956. [CrossRef]
- Shi, J.S.; Wang, Z.J.; Chen, J.H. Progress on whole genome sequencing in woody plants. *Hereditas* 2012, 34, 145–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Xu, Q.; Chen, L.L.; Ruan, X.; Chen, D.; Zhu, A.; Chen, C.; Bertrand, D.; Jiao, W.B.; Hao, B.H.; Lyon, M.P.; et al. The draft genome of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*). *Nat. Genet.* **2013**, *45*, 59–66. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.