BMC Gastroenterology



Research article Open Access

Survey of general practitioners' knowledge about Helicobacter pylori infection

Sevgi Canbaz¹, Ahmet Tevfik Sunter¹, Yildiz Peksen¹ and Hakan Leblebicioglu*²

Address: ¹Department of Public Health, Ondokuz Mayis University School of Medicine, Samsun, Turkey and ²Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Ondokuz Mayis University School of Medicine, Samsun, Turkey

* Corresponding author

Published: 26 January 2005

BMC Gastroenterology 2005, 5:4 doi:10.1186/1471-230X-5-4

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-230X/5/4

© 2005 Canbaz et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 23 August 2004 Accepted: 26 January 2005

Abstract

Background: Helicobacter pylori, occurring throughout the world and causing gastroduodenal diseases, is one of the most common chronic bacterial agents in humans. The purpose of this study was to measure the general practitioners' (GPs) knowledge and practices pertaining to *H. pylori* infection.

Methods: A cross-sectional type questionnaire survey was conducted in all of 19 primary health care centres (PHCC) in Samsun, Turkey, between November 1 and December 31, 2003. The questionnaire was sent to 124 GPs and 109 (87.9 %) of those filled in. They were requested to answer the questions on the knowledge, sources of medical information, diagnostic tests and treatment to *H. pylori*.

Results: Medical journals were the most frequently used source of information on *H. pylori*, being cited by 86 (78.9%) of GPs. Ninety-two (84.4%) of the GPs reported having used one or more tests and 17 (15.6%) never used any test for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection. Only 9.8% had used stool antigen test for diagnosis. GPs reported that they would prescribe symptomatic treatment without ordering diagnostic tests for 29 (26.6%). 54.1% of the GPs explain that they sent patients with *H. pylori* infection to a specialist, and most used a triple drug regimen containing a PPI. Treatment duration varies between 7 to 28 days. 80.7 of the GPs treat patients for 14 days.

Conclusion: GPs may not have enough knowledge about the importance of stool antigen test or possibility of usage of this test. GPs have not sufficient knowledge about the difference between symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. It is thought that GPs preferred to treat the patients with suspected ulcer empirically or to send them to a specialist because of the limited diagnostic conditions. The efforts to educate the GPs about the algorithms regarding the management of *H. pylori* infection during post-graduation period should be improved in PHCCs.

Background

Helicobacter pylori, occurring throughout the world and causing gastroduodenal diseases, is one of the most common chronic bacterial agents in humans [1]. Although the number of peptic ulcers unrelated to *H. pylori* is increasing, most ulcers are related to *H. pylori* infection [2,3]. For most of the patients with gastrointestinal symptoms apply to general practitioners (GPs), non-invasive "test and treat" policies for *H. pylori* infection have been promoted in order to improve early detection and treatment of ulcers in dyspeptic patients [4,5].

The successful isolation of *H. pylori* infection in patients with chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer disease in 1983 has fundamentally changed concept of the etiologic, pathogenesis and management of upper gastrointestinal (UGI) diseases [6]. This has led to an explosion of H. pylori related information and the development and publication of international, regional and national guidelines [7]. Subsequently, numerous educational initiatives have been undertaken to educate health care professionals regarding the appropriate diagnosis and management of this infection. However, results from several recent surveys conducted in different countries have revealed that significant confusion exists and discrepancies are present in the thinking among GPs with respect to the understanding of the relationship between H. pylori infection and the pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of UGI diseases [6]. The major uncertainties surround the management of patients with dyspepsia where the GPs needs to make a decision whether to test for *H. pylori* infection and treat if positive, and when to refer patients to a specialist [8,9].

It is thought that too many patients with dyspeptic symptoms apply to GPs in Turkey. This study was performed a survey of GPs' to assess their knowledge and practices pertaining to *H. pylori* infection.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in all of 19 primary health care centres (PHCC) in Samsun, Turkey, between November 1 and December 31, 2003. The questionnaire was sent to all GPs (n = 124). 109 of 124 (87.9 %) GPs from different PHCCs completed the survey. The material used was adapted from the questionnaire devised by Sharma et al. [10] and translated into Turkish. The questionnaire was designed in a self-administered format with closed answers being provided to questions. Demographic variables such as gender, age and working year were assessed. The survey questionnaire includes a multiple-choice question relevant to sources of medical information. The *H. pylori* knowledge section contained 6 questions. The items assessed respondents' knowledge of diagnosis of infection, case selection for treatment and

treatment options in *H. pylori*. Participating GPs were asked to offer the type(s) of diagnostic tests such as ELISA, histology, biopsy urease test (BUT), urea breath test (UBT) or culture of biopsy specimen.

A list of 7 different clinical presentations was given. It was asked whether the respondents would offer testing for *H. pylori* and also treat the infection when the test results were positive for *H. pylori*.

The respondents were asked to select a regimen for the management of H. pylori infection among the list of four drug combination regimens included proton pump inhibitor (PPI)-based triple therapies. [7,11,12]. GPs were also asked about their choice of treatment duration. The authors did not make any educational program focusing on H. pylori infection before or after the survey in this study period. Data were given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and percentage.

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics

The mean age and working year of the GPs was 31.7 ± 5.4 and 7.2 ± 5.0 years, respectively; 59 (54.1%) of the GPs were women.

Sources of information

Medical journals were the most frequently used source of information on *H. pylori*, being cited by 86 (78.9%) of GPs. Pharmaceutical company-sponsored symposia (70.6%), textbooks (64.2%), conferences (20.2%) and on-line sites (6.4%) were the other major source of information used by the GPs. These numbers add up to more than 100% because the GPs had been checked more than one item.

Diagnostic tests for H. pylori

Ninety-two (84.4%) of the GPs reported having used one or more tests and 17 (15.6%) never used any test for the diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection. Of those, 44.1% had used UBT, 34.5% had used BUT, 23.8 % had used ELISA and 9.8% had used the stool antigen test. The practitioners included in the survey had not equally access to all diagnostic tests mentioned in the study.

Testing and treatment choices for H. pylori infection

The proportions of GPs who would test patients for *H. pylori* infection in the 9 different clinical situations and the proportions of those who would offer treatment based on a positive test result, were summarized in Table-1. 92 (84.4%) of the GPs answered this section. GPs reported that they would prescribe symptomatic treatment without ordering diagnostic tests for 29 (26.6%). 54.1% of the GPs explain that they send patients with *H. pylori* infection to a specialist.

Table 1: The Proportions of GPs who would test patients for *H. pylori* infection in various clinical situations and those who would offer treatment based on a positive test (n = 92)

Clinical presentation	Would you offer testing for H. pylori infection		Would you treat H. pylori infection based on a positive test result		Current recommendation	
	n	%	n	%	Test	Treat
Peptic ulcer	73	79.3	74	80.4	yes	yes
New diagnosis of duodenal ulcer	67	72.8	73	79.3	yes	yes
Past history of duodenal ulcer	47	51.1	59	64.1	yes	yes
Asymptomatic; spouse has H. pylori infection	59	64.1	29	31.5	no	no
GERD*	38	41.3	50	54.3	no	no
Gastric cancer	30	32.6	19	20.7	no	no
Patient on long term PPI** treatment	43	46.7	29	31.5	no	no

^{*}GERD = Gastroesophageal reflux disease

Treatment of H. pylori infection in patients with confirmed H. pylori-positive

Treatment regimens of choice are listed in Table-2. Most

Table 2: Treatment regimens

Regimen	Number*	%
PPI* + clarithromycin + amoxicillin	100	91.7
PPI + clarithromycin + metranidazole	7	6.4
Ranitidine bismuth citrate + clarithromycin + amoxicillin or metronidazole, or tetracycline	I	0.9
Ranitidine bismuth citrate + clarithromycin + amoxicillin or metronidazole, or tetracycline+PPI OR omeprazole	13	11.9

^{*}The total number is higher than the number of the participants for the participants checked more than one item.

used a triple drug regimen containing a PPI. Of the GPs 3.7% would treat patients for 7 days, 4.6% for 10 days, 80.7% for 14 days, 3.7% for 21 days, 5.5% for 28 days and 1.8% for more than 28 days.

Discussion

UGI symptoms are common reasons for patients to visit GPs. In recent years, the development of non-invasive *H. pylori* detection methods, including ELISA and the UBT, has enabled GPs to diagnose and treat *H. pylori* infection. The inadequate treatment of peptic ulcer disease results in therapy failures, high recurrence rates, the emergence of

resistant bacterial strains, and increased health care costs, therefore clinical application of current knowledge is crucial [13,14].

There are several tests used for diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection [12,13,15,16]. 84.4% the GPs surveyed used one or more tests for *H. pylori* infection same as Huang J et al.'s study [6]. However this was higher than reported in a recently preliminary survey of GPs, of whom 48% used one or more tests [17]. UBT is the most (44.1%) used tests in this study. On the other hand, stool antigen test, a useful test for diagnosis, was the least ordered test. It is

^{**} PPI = proton pump inhibitor

^{**} PPI = proton pump inhibitor

thought that GPs may not have enough knowledge about the importance of stool antigen test or possibility of usage of this test. Diagnosis of infection should be done by using UBT or stool antigen test. It is always recommended to test by UBT, or endoscopy-based test if endoscopy is clinically indicated, for successful eradication. On the other hand stool antigen test is the alternative if UBT is not available [14].

It is worrisome that considerably fewer perceived a need for testing and subsequent treatment in patients with a new diagnosis and past history of duodenal ulcer (Table 1). Both the recent America College of Gastroenterology (ACG) publications [8] and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [7] clearly state that a new diagnosis and past history of duodenal ulcer disease is a definite indication for testing and, if positive, for treatment. Testing and treatment of *H. pylori* infection are recommended following resection of early gastric cancer and for low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma. Retesting after treatment may be prudent for patients with bleeding or otherwise complicated peptic ulcer disease [7].

Although it is not recommended to test the asymptomatic individuals for *H. pylori* infection, 64.1% of GPs reported that they would offer testing for *H. pylori* infection and 31.5% of them reported that they would treat *H. pylori* infection based on a positive test result in asymptomatic individuals. These findings suggest that GPs have not sufficient knowledge about the difference between symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. On the other hand, in any person testing positive for the infection, treatment may be offered after a full discussion about its potential risks and benefits [4,18].

Anti-*H. pylori* therapy was almost never recommended for suspected ulcer disease without the prior use of diagnostic tests [13,18]. In this study it was found that 26.6% of GPs treat the initial onset of a suspected ulcer empirically without ordering diagnostic tests for *H. pylori* infection. 54.1% of the GPs, whether ordering diagnostic tests or not, explain that they send patients with suspected or diagnosed *H. pylori* infection to a specialist. In the light of these findings, it is thought that GPs preferred to treat the patients with suspected ulcer, empirically or to send them to a specialist because of the limited diagnostic conditions, the lack of rapidly diagnostic tests at PHCCs, or they thought that they should be treated by a specialist.

H. pylori peptic ulcers are treated with drugs that kill the bacteria, reduce stomach acid, and protect the stomach lining. Antibiotics are used to kill the bacteria. Two types of acid-suppressing drugs might be used: H₂ blockers and PPI. H₂ blockers and PPI have been prescribed alone for years as a treatment for ulcers. When used alone, these

drugs do not eradicate *H. pylori* and, therefore, do not cure *H. pylori*-related ulcers. Bismuth subsalicylate, a component of Pepto-Bismol, is used to protect the stomach lining from acid. It also kills *H. pylori* [4,7,12,19].

The highest eradication rates are achieved with the following regimens: a PPI, clarithromycin, and either amoxicillin or metronidazole for 2 week; ranitidine bismuth citrate, clarithromycin, and either amoxicillin, metronidazole, or tetracycline for 2 week; a PPI, bismuth, metronidazole, and tetracycline for 1 to 2 week [7,11,12,20]. There is good evidence for the efficacy of 14-day triple regimens including a PPI or RBC. Possibility of shortening the duration of PPI-based triple therapies between 7 and 10 days will depend on further results from US-based studies. Seven-day duration may be too short. Some trials have failed to show a statistically significant difference in eradication rates between 7-day and 14-day duration [20]. 84.4% GPs test for *H. pylori* infection but 15.6% never test. Urea breath test is a commonly used investigative tool for H. pylori infection. Triple therapy consisting of a proton pump inhibitor, clarithromycin and amoxicillin is the most commonly used treatment combination for H. pylori infection.

It was found that most of the information was being obtained in traditional teaching formats such as medical journals, pharmaceutical company-sponsored symposia, textbooks and conferences. Other studies ([10,13,17]) confirmed our finding that medical journals were the most important source of information on *H. pylori* infection among GPs. Our data suggested that pharmaceutical company-sponsored symposia were used very frequently among GPs.

Patients with dyspeptic complaints are mostly managed in primary care. The most prescriptions for dyspepsia are empirical without testing due to limitations of diagnostic facilities around the world [21]. Similar results were reported that there were significant gaps related to testing and treating *H. pylori* infection [13,17].

Conclusions

The diagnosis of and treatment of peptic ulcer disease related to *H. pylori* is not adequate. The choice of optimal therapeutic decision depends on the appropriate definition of the disease. In order to provide accurate diagnosis and treatment of *H. pylori* infection, it's suggested that efforts to educate the GPs about the algorithms regarding the management of *H. pylori* infection during post-graduation period should be improved in PHCCs.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SC participated in the design and coordination of the study; ATS provided drafted the manuscript and performed the statistical analysis. YP drafted the questionnaire and participated in study design and coordination. HL conceived the study, participated in its design and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Kim JH, Kim HY, Kim NY, Kim SW, Kim JG, Kim JJ, Roe IH, Seo JK, Sim JG, Ahn H, Yoon BC, Lee SW, Lee YC, Chung IS, Jung HY, Hong WS, Choi KW: Seroepidemiological study of Helicobacter pylori infection in asymptomatic people in South Korea. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2001, 16:969-975.
- Ciociola AA, McSorley DJ, Turner K, Sykes D, Palmer JB: Helicobacter pylori infection rates in duodenal ulcer patients in the United States may be lower than previously estimated. Am J Gastroenterol 1999, 94:1834-1840.
- de Boer WA, Joosen EA: Disease management in ulcer disease. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl 1999, 230:23-28.
- NIH Consensus Conference. Helicobacter pylori in peptic ulcer disease. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Helicobacter pylori in Peptic Ulcer Disease. JAMA 1994, 272:65-69.
- Weijnen CF, Numans ME, de Wit NJ, Smout AJ, Moons KG, Verheij TJ, Hoes AW: Testing for Helicobacter pylori in dyspeptic patients suspected of peptic ulcer disease in primary care: cross sectional study. BMJ 2001, 323:71-75.
- Huang J, Lam SK, Malfertheiner P, Hunt RH: Has education about Helicobacter pylori infection been effective? Worldwide survey of primary care physicians. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003, 18:512-520.
- Helicobacter pylori and Peptic Ulcer Disease-Fact Sheet for Health Care Providers. http://www.cdc.gov/ulcer/md htm 2001 [http://www.cdc.gov/ulcer/md.htm].
- 8. Howden CW, Hunt RH: Guidelines for the management of Helicobacter pylori infection. Ad Hoc Committee on Practice Parameters of the American College of Gastroenterology. Am J Gastroenterol 1998, 93:2330-2338.
- Howden CW: For what conditions is there evidence-based justification for treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection? Gastroenterology 1997, 113:S107-S112.
- Sharma VK, Vasudeva R, Howden CW: A survey of gastroenterologists' perceptions and practices related to Helicobacter pylori infection. Am J Gastroenterol 1999, 94:3170-3174.
- Labenz J, Stolte M, Ruhl GH, Becker T, Tillenburg B, Sollbohmer M, Borsch G: One-week low-dose triple therapy for the eradication of Helicobacter pylori infection. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1995, 7:9-11.
- Chiba N, Rao BV, Rademaker JW, Hunt RH: Meta-analysis of the efficacy of antibiotic therapy in eradicating Helicobacter pylori. Am J Gastroenterol 1992, 87:1716-1727.
- Breuer T, Goodman KJ, Malaty HM, Sudhop T, Graham DY: How do clinicians practicing in the U.S. manage Helicobacter pylorirelated gastrointestinal diseases? A comparison of primary care and specialist physicians. Am J Gastroenterol 1998, 93:553-561.
- Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain C, Hungin AP, Jones R, Axon A, Graham DY, Tytgat G: Current concepts in the management of Helicobacter pylori infection--the Maastricht 2-2000 Consensus Report. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2002, 16:167-180.
- Jones R, Lydeard S: Prevalence of symptoms of dyspepsia in the community. BMJ 1989, 298:30-32.
- Kikuchi S: Epidemiology of Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 2002, 5:6-15.
- Sharma VK, Bailey DM, Raufman JP, Elraie K, Metz DC, Go MF, Schoenfeld P, Smoot DT, Howden CW: A survey of internal medicine residents' knowledge about Helicobacter pylori infection. Am J Gastroenterol 2000, 95:1914-1919.
- Howden CW, Hunt RH: Guidelines for the management of Heliobacter pylori infection. Ad hoc committee on practice parameters of the American College of Gastroenterology. Am J Gastroenterol 1998, 93:2330-2338.

- de Boer W, Driessen W, Jansz A, Tytgat G: Effect of acid suppression on efficacy of treatment for Helicobacter pylori infection. Lancet 1995, 345:817-820.
- Realdi G, Dore MP, Piana A, Atzei A, Carta M, Cugia L, Manca A, Are BM, Massarelli G, Mura I, Maida A, Graham DY: Pretreatment antibiotic resistance in Helicobacter pylori infection: results of three randomized controlled studies. Helicobacter 1999, 4:106-112.
- 21. O'Connor HJ: Helicobacter pylori and dyspepsia: physicians' attitudes, clinical practice, and prescribing habits. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2002, 16:487-496.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-230X/5/4/prepub

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

