



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

**Table 1** Select Donor and Recipient Characteristics of Patients Undergoing Lung Transplantation

|                                       | No PGD (n=81)    | PGD (n=18)       | p value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Positive donor smoking history        | 11 (13.6%)       | 2 (11.1%)        | >.999   |
| Positive donor heavy alcohol use      | 20 (24.7%)       | 2 (11.1%)        | 0.347   |
| Graft ischemic time (min)             | 343 (204-851)    | 364 (191-843)    | 0.577   |
| Intraoperative IVF (ml)               | 2031 (400-4700)  | 1800 (507-3800)  | 0.576   |
| Recipient BMI                         | 24.8 (17.2-31.6) | 26.1 (17.9-30.9) | 0.253   |
| Intraoperative RBC (ml)               | 0 (0-8700)       | 475 (0-3300)     | 0.032   |
| Intraoperative FFP (ml)               | 292 (0-5880)     | 611 (0-2974)     | 0.048   |
| Intraoperative platelets (ml)         | 204 (0-2554)     | 528 (0-2072)     | 0.037   |
| Intraoperative cryoprecipitate (ml)   | 0 (0-620)        | 158 (0-836)      | 0.017   |
| Intraoperative RBC >1000ml            | 12 (14.8%)       | 7 (38.9%)        | 0.041   |
| Use of intraoperative ECMO (vs CPB)   | 12 (14.8%)       | 5 (27.8%)        | 0.297   |
| Preoperative use of OCS               | 8 (9.88%)        | 2 (11.1%)        | >0.999  |
| Recipient gender (female)             | 42 (51.9%)       | 7 (38.9%)        | 0.463   |
| Recipient age (yrs)                   | 58.1 (24.1-69.3) | 56.7 (24.3-69.3) | 0.737   |
| Donor etiology of respiratory failure |                  |                  | 0.031   |
| Cystic fibrosis                       | 16 (19.8%)       | 3 (16.7%)        |         |
| COPD                                  | 28 (34.5%)       | 1 (5.56%)        |         |
| ILD/IPF                               | 35 (43.2%)       | 13 (72.2%)       |         |
| Other                                 | 2 (2.4%)         | 1 (5.56%)        |         |

**(955)****Tele-Rehabilitation during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Experience of a Large Lung Transplant Program**

*L. Wickerson, D. Helm, C. Gottesman, D. Rozenberg, L.G. Singer, S. Keshavjee and A. Sidhu University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada.*

**Purpose:** The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a rapid shift from centre-based to tele-rehabilitation. Experience with this delivery model on a large scale has not been described.

**Methods:** A program evaluation of usage and satisfaction of lung transplant (LTx) candidates and recipients who used a web-based, remote monitoring App for a least 4 weeks between March 16<sup>th</sup> and September 1<sup>st</sup> 2020. Within-subjects analysis was performed for self-efficacy for exercise (SEE) and physical activity pre-LTx at baseline and after 4 weeks and exercise volumes between at baseline and last entry.

**Results:** 78 LTx candidates and 30 recipients were included (50% male, 58 ± 12 years, 50% ILD, 31% COPD). 90% of LTx candidates had oximeters, 35% a treadmill and 75% weights. 34% reported being alone when exercising. 64% of LTx candidates and 50% of recipients entered ≥ 10 prescribed exercise sessions. Pre-LTx, non-treadmill walking was recorded as steps (range 230-4847), distance (18m-3.2km) or time (3-80 mins), n=48. 26 patients used a treadmill (range 0.5 - 2.8 mph) for 5-45 minutes. Walking increased in duration (16-22mins, p=0.002) but not speed (1.7-1.75mph, p=0.31). Quadriceps weight used for leg extension did not change (3.6-3.9lbs, p=0.08, n=37). On the Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity (RAPA), 57% scored as active which improved to 87% (p=0.02, n=23). On the SEE, confidence for exercising regularly when alone increased (46%), decreased (14%) or remained the same (40%), n=37. LTx recipients increased treadmill speed (1.9 - 2.7mph, p=0.003) but not time (19-26 minutes, p=0.07, n=9). Non-treadmill walking was recorded as time (range 11-90 mins) and steps (1902-15903). Quadriceps weight increased (2.3 - 5.7lbs, p=0.0002, n=12). At 3 months post-transplant, 76 % scored as active (n=17) with a high total SEE score of 74 ± 11 (n=12). Patients engaged in 365 physiotherapy video visits. 83% of LTx candidates agreed the App helped prepare them for surgery and 85% of LTx recipients agreed that asynchronous texting was helpful to their recovery. Patients accessed the App's exercise card (278 views), pre-LTx exercise video (116 views) and guidelines for exercising after LTx (89 views).

**Conclusion:** Exercise participation and progression occurred despite issues around equipment access. This early experience will inform the development of a robust, effective and equitable remote/hybrid rehabilitation model.

**(956)****Screening for Severe Hypogammaglobulinemia in Lung Transplant Recipients**

*I.M. Otani,<sup>1</sup> J. Lew,<sup>2</sup> M. Casey,<sup>2</sup> A. Perez,<sup>1</sup> L. Zhang,<sup>3</sup> L. Leard,<sup>1</sup> and S. Hays.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Medicine - Pulmonary, Critical Care, Allergy and Sleep, UCSF, San Francisco, CA; <sup>2</sup>Medicine, UCSF, San Francisco, CA; and the <sup>3</sup>Medicine - Epidemiology and Biostatistics, UCSF, San Francisco, CA.*

**Purpose:** Severe hypogammaglobulinemia (HG), IgG <400 mg/dL, is associated with increased infections and mortality post-lung transplant (LT). Pre- and post-LT screening is recommended, but optimal protocols have not been determined and practices vary between LT centers. We designed and implemented a screening program to detect severe HG in LT recipients.

**Methods:** We developed and implemented standard pre-/post-LT IgG screening protocols through iterative PDSA cycles starting 1/1/19. We collected pre-/post- IgG levels for LT recipients between 1/1/94-10/15/20 to measure process outcomes and inform future improvements.

**Results:** Both pre- and post-LT IgG screening increased after screening protocols were implemented (Table). Of 45 patients who underwent LT between 1/1/17-10/15/20 and had pre-LT IgG checked, 0% (0) had severe HG, 11% (5) HG (IgG 400-700 mg/dL), 9% (4) isolated low IgG subclasses, 4% (2) isolated low IgA, and 13% (6) isolated low IgM. All 5 patients with pre-LT HG, 2 with associated low IgA and/or IgM, developed severe or clinically significant HG post-LT requiring IgG Replacement Therapy (IgG-RT). Of 249 patients who underwent LT before 6/30/20 and had post-LT IgG checked, severe HG rates were 17% (8/48), 7% (4/60), 5% (3/56), 14% (8/56), 11% (6/53), 6% (3/48), 3% (1/34), and 3% (1/35) at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. Median [range] time from LT to severe HG onset was 90 [14-730] days. Time from severe HG onset to recovery was 31 [16-184] days in 9 patients whose severe HG resolved without IgG-RT. IgG-RT was started in 28 patients 212 [0-3533] days after LT per immunology recommendation. Pre-LT IgG (r=0.78, p=0) and IgG3 (r=0.82, p=0) correlated with the lowest IgG level post-LT. Pre-LT CD27 + (r=-0.58, p=0.007) and CD27+IgM+IgD+ (r=-0.52, p=0.02) B cells inversely correlated with the lowest IgG level post-LT.

**Conclusion:** Implementation of standard HG screening protocols increased detection of severe HG post-LT and revealed novel findings regarding the significance of immunologic abnormalities in LT recipients.

Percentage of patients with IgG levels checked pre-LT and at least once in the first year post-LT.

| LT Date         | Pre-LT IgG Checked | Post-LT IgG Checked |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1/1/17-12/31/17 | 2% (1/43)          | 5% (2/43)           |
| 1/1/18-12/31/18 | 9% (4/47)          | 53% (25/47)         |
| 1/1/19-12/31/19 | 17% (12/70)        | 91% (64/70)         |
| 1/1/20-6/30/20  | 45% (18/40)        | 88% (35/40)         |

**(957)****Hypogammaglobulinemia Identification and Management in Lung Transplant Patients: Survey of Provider Practices**

*M. Casey, J. Lew, S. Hays, L. Leard and I. Otani UCSF, San Francisco, CA.*

**Purpose:** Severe hypogammaglobulinemia (HG) in lung transplant recipients is associated with increased infections and one-year mortality. As standard protocols for HG screening and management do not exist, we surveyed lung transplant centers to characterize current practices in HG screening and management.

**Methods:** We created a survey which was reviewed by three experts in Lung Transplant Pulmonology and Allergy/Immunology. The survey consisted of