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#### Abstract

Background: MIR155HG plays an important role in malignant tumors, but it is rarely reported in the occurrence and development of colorectal cancer (CRC). This study investigated the effects of MIR155HG polymorphisms on CRC susceptibility from the perspective of molecular genetics. Methods: Eight SNPs in MIR155HG were selected and genotyped among 514 CRC cases and 510 healthy controls using the Agena MassARRAY platform. The associations between these SNPs and the CRC risk were evaluated under genetic models using conditional logistic regression analysis. The HaploReg v4.1 database was used for SNPs functional prediction. Results: The allele "C" of rs12482371 ( $p=0.047$ ), allele "C" of rs1893650 ( $p=0.025$ ), and the allele "A" of rs928883 ( $p=0.037$ ) in MIR155HG were significantly associated with CRC risk. Genetic model analysis revealed that rs 12482371 and rs 1893650 increased CRC risk; whereas rs 928883 was associated with reduced CRC risk. Stratification analysis showed that rs9383938 was a protective factor in CRC patients under 60 years old. Rs12482371 and rs1893650 were associated with the CRC risk in females. Rs11911469 and rs34904192 may affect the clinical stage and lymph node metastasis. Moreover, the haplotypes CTT and GTC of LD block rs 4143370|rs77218221rs 12482371, and the haplotypes CATGA and CACGG of LD block rs77699734|rs1 1911469|rs1893650|rs34904192|rs928883 were significantly associated with CRC risk. Conclusion: This study revealed that MIR155HG SNPs were associated with CRC susceptibility and could be predictive biomarkers for CRC risk.
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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common gastrointestinal cancers worldwide with higher morbidity and mortality caused by biological interactions of various signaling pathways (Li \& Gu, 2005). During the past few decades, with the change in modern lifestyle and dietary habit, the incidence and mortality of CRC have been increasing rapidly in China. Currently, CRC is the fifth commonest malignancy in China (Chen, Zheng, Zeng, \& Zhang, 2015). The exact mechanisms underlying the development and progression of CRC remain generally unknown. According to a genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis, genetic mutation is a critical accelerant contributing to malignant progression of CRC (Fearon \& Vogelstein, 1990). Cancer-analyses of cohorts of twins have shown that inherited genetic factors accounts for about $35 \%$ of the variance in CRC susceptibility (Lichtenstein et al., 2000). These findings suggest that genetic factors significantly influence CRC susceptibility.

Long noncoding RNA (lncRNAs) refers to noncoding RNAs consisting of more than 200 nucleotides in length with important biological functions. The abnormal expression of lncRNAs plays an important role in different physiological and pathological processes, especially to the occurrence and development of tumors (Beckedorff, Amaral, Deocesano-Pereira, \& Verjovski-Almeida, 2013; Prensner \& Chinnaiyan, 2011; Rossi \& Antonangeli, 2014). The MIR155 host gene (MIR155HG) is an lncRNA that has identified to be an important regulator of many physiological and pathological processes involved in hematopoiesis, inflammation, immunity, and tumorigenesis (Chang et al., 2011; Hu, Fong, Largman, \& Shen, 2010; Kohlhaas et al., 2009; Van den Berg et al., 2003; Vargova et al., 2011). Studies have confirmed that MIR155HG overexpressed in acute myeloid leukemia, Hodgkin's lymphoma and chronic lymphoblastic leukemia (Elton, Selemon, Elton, \& Parinandi, 2013). In addition, experimental results demonstrated that downregulation of MIR155HG inhibited the growth of gliomas in vitro and in vivo (Wu et al., 2017). Previous studies have shown lncRNA MIR155HG hold potential to be used as prognostic biomarkers in CRC patients (Thiele et al., 2018).

Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are the most common types of genetic variations that affect disease risk by altering the expression of related genes. As far as we know, there were three studies have reported the association of MIR155HG/miR-155 SNPs with multiple sclerosis (Paraboschi et al., 2011), atopic eczema (Sääf et al., 2013), and epilepsy (Tao et al., 2015). Only three SNPs (rs2829803, rs2282471, and rs2829806) in multiple sclerosis and two SNPs (rs969885 and rs987195) in epilepsy were identified as the genetic susceptibility factors. However, the exact relation between MIR155HG SNPs and CRC risk is still undetermined.

In the current study, we performed a case-control study among the Chinese Han population aimed to explore the association between MIR155HG SNPs and CRC risk from the perspective of molecular genetics. Our results will help to understand the pathological factors affecting the development of CRC, and thus provide a theoretical basis for the prevention and early detection of CRC.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Subjects

In the present ongoing case-control study, a total of 514 CRC patients and 510 age- and sex-matched healthy controls were consecutively recruited at the Shaanxi Provincial Cancer Hospital. All the individuals were genetically unrelated ethnic northwest Han Chinese and all patients were diagnosed with colorectal cancer by pathological analysis. We excluded the patients who had undergone chemotherapy or irradiation from the current study. Subjects had no history of hereditary or malignant diseases. The clinical features of patients were collected from the patients' medical records provided, including age, gender, clinical stage, and lymph node metastasis (LNM). A standardized epidemiological questionnaire was used to collect subject's demographic and personal information and all individuals were informed of the purpose and experimental procedures of the study.

## 2.2 | DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood samples using the commercially available GoldMag-Mini Purification Kit (GoldMag Co. Ltd, Xi'an, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions and the concentration and quality of the DNA were measured on a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Eight SNPs with minor allele frequencies (MAFs) $>5 \%$, referenced from the 1,000 Genomes database (http://www.inter nationalgenome.org/), were selected for further analysis. Agena MassARRAY Assay Design Software (version 3.0, Agena Bioscience, San Diego, CA) was used to design multiplexed SNP MassEXTEND assay (Gabriel, Ziaugra, \& Tabbaa, 2009). And Agena MassARRAY RS1000 was used to detect SNP genotyping. Data management and analysis were conducted using Agena Software (version 4.0, Agena, San Diego, CA) (Duan et al., 2014; Geng et al., 2015).

### 2.3 Bioinformatics analysis

HaploReg v4.1 (https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haplo reg/haploreg.php) database can be used to study the annotation of noncoding genomes on haplotype blocks, including candidate regulatory SNPS of disease-related sites. We used HaploReg v4.1 to predict the potential functions of candidate SNPs.

## 2.4 | Statistical analyses

The Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) was tested by using chi-square test in the healthy control group (Table S1). The odds ratios (ORs), $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CIs) and $p$ values were calculated by the conditional logistic regression model with the PLINK software version 1.07 (http://www.coggenomics.org/plink2/) to assess the risk for CRC altered by a certain allele and genotype. Four models (genotype, dominant, recessive, and additive) were used to assess the association between each genotype and the risk of CRC (Zhang et al., 2014). Moreover, the Haploview software package (version 4.2) and SHEsis software platform were used to analyze the pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD), haplotype construction, and genetic association of polymorphism loci. In the case-control study, the SPSS 20.0 software was used to calculate the difference in basic information between patients and healthy control group, including age, gender, etc. The adjusted $p$ value of less than 0.05 was deemed as statistically significant.

## 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Characteristic of the study participates

We recruited 514 cases ( 285 males and 229 females; mean age, $60.27 \pm 11.81$ ) and 510 controls ( 286 males and 224 females; mean age, $60.13 \pm 10.61$ ) for this study. The characteristics of cases and controls were showed in Table 1. Statistical analysis results showed that there was no significant difference in age and gender distribution between cases and controls $(p>0.05)$.

## 3.2 | Basic information and potential functions of the selected SNPs

Table 2 displays the basic information of eight SNPs in MIR155HG, including gene, chromosome, position, role, alleles, minor allele frequency (MAF), and functional effects. All SNPs were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the controls ( $p>0.05$ ). Additionally, we used HaploRegv4.1 to annotate the functional elements containing these selected SNPs. The results showed that these SNPs were involved in the regulation related to DNAase, marked promoter histone, changed motifs, GRASP QTL hits, selected eQTL hits enhancer histones, bound proteins, suggesting they might influence the related genes expression in this way.

## 3.3 | SNPs and the risk of CRC

In Table 2, we identified three significant SNPs variants associated with the CRC risk by the $\chi^{2}$ test. They were the allele " C " of rs12482371 ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.21,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.00-1.47, p=0.047$ ), the allele "C" of rs1893650 (OR $=1.29,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.03-1.62$,

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the study population

|  | Cases | Controls | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 514 | 510 |  |
| Age |  |  | $0.092^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $60.27 \pm 11.81$ | $60.13 \pm 10.61$ |  |
| Sex |  |  | $0.850^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Male | $285(55.4 \%)$ | $286(56.1 \%)$ |  |
| Female | $229(44.6 \%)$ | $224(43.9 \%)$ |  |
| Absence | $38(7.4 \%)$ |  |  |
| Stage | $146(28.4 \%)$ |  |  |
| I-II | $212(41.2 \%)$ |  |  |
| III-IV | $156(30.4 \%)$ |  |  |
| Absence |  |  |  |
| LNM | $170(33.1 \%)$ |  |  |
| Negative | $188(36.6 \%)$ |  |  |
| Positive | $156(30.3 \%)$ |  |  |
| Absence |  |  |  |

[^1]$p=0.025)$, and the allele "A" of rs928883 ( $\mathrm{OR}=0.83,95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.70-0.99, p=0.037$ ). Results of the genetic model analysis are showed in Table 3. The result indicated that rs12482371 moderately increased the risk of CRC in genotype model ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.35,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.04-1.75, p=0.024$ ) and dominant model ( $\mathrm{OR}=1.33,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.04-1.70, p=0.022$ ), respectively. We also determined that rs1893650 increased risk of CRC (TC-CC vs. TT, OR = 1.32, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.02-1.71$, $p=0.036$ ), conversely, that rs928883 was associated with reduced susceptibility of CRC (AA vs. GG, OR $=0.70,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $0.49-0.99, p=0.046$ ). In additive model, the rs1893650 and rs928883 also related with CRC risk ( $p<0.05$ ).

Stratified analysis revealed the relationships of MIR155HG SNPs with CRC risk and the results were exhibited in Table 4. The results showed that the allele "A" of rs9383938 was associated with a reduced risk of CRC in individuals under 60 years old ( $\mathrm{OR}=0.77,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.59-0.99, p=0.047$ ), but the rs 12482371 increased CRC risk in dominant model only among individuals over 60 years old ( $\mathrm{OR}=0.77,95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.59-0.99, p=0.047$ ). Rs12482371 and rs1893650 were associated with CRC risk in female under allele model, dominant model and additive model ( $p<0.05$ ). In addition, the rs 1893650 played a risk role only in colon cancer under allele model, dominant model and additive model ( $p<0.05$ ). The genotypes distribution of rs11911469 and rs34904192 were significantly different between grades I-II and III-IV patients, as well as between lymph node metastasis and without metastasis patients ( $p<0.05$ ).
TABLE 2 Basic information of candidate SNPs in the study

| SNP ID | Genes | Chr. | Position | Alleles A/B | MAF |  | $p-\text { HWE }^{\text {a }}$ | $p^{\mathrm{b}}$ | OR (95\% CI) | HaploReg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Case | Control |  |  |  |  |
| rs4143370 | MIR155HG | chr 21 | 25,564,661 | C/G | 0.175 | 0.165 | 0.053 | 0.518 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| rs77218221 | MIR155HG | chr21 | 25,565,063 | C/T | 0.051 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0.952 | 1.01 (0.68-1.51) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, Motifs changed |
| rs 12482371 | MIR155HG | chr21 | 25,566,041 | C/T | 0.318 | 0.278 | 0.151 | 0.047 | 1.21 (1.00-1.47) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| rs77699734 | MIR155HG | chr21 | 25,566,995 | C/G | 0.083 | 0.091 | 1.000 | 0.823 | 0.97 (0.71-1.31) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound |
| rs11911469 | MIR155HG | chr 21 | 25,567,971 | A/C | 0.122 | 0.116 | 0.522 | 0.691 | 1.06 (0.81-1.38) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed |
| rs 1893650 | MIR155HG | chr21 | 25,568,503 | C/T | 0.205 | 0.167 | 0.751 | 0.025 | 1.29 (1.03-1.62) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, GRASP QTL hits, Selected eQTL hits |
| rs34904192 | MIR155HG | chr21 | 25,569,623 | A/G | 0.265 | 0.255 | 0.817 | 0.636 | 1.05 (0.86-1.28) | Promoter histone marks, Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |
| rs928883 | MIR155HG | chr 21 | 25,571,713 | A/G | 0.414 | 0.460 | 1.000 | 0.037 | 0.83 (0.70-0.99) | Enhancer histone marks, DNAse, Proteins bound, Motifs changed, Selected eQTL hits |

Note: Bold values indicate statistical significance ( $p<0.05$ ).
Abbreviations: SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; MAF: minor allele frequency; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; OR: odds ratio; $95 \%$ CI: $95 \%$ confidence interval. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ HWE $p$ values were calculated from Fisher's exact test.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} p$ values were calculated from two-sided $\chi^{2}$ test.

TABLE 3 Analysis of association between rs12482371, rs1893650, and rs928883 polymorphism and risk of CRC

| SNP ID | Model | Genotype | Case (N) | Control (N) | Crude |  | Adjusted ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | OR (95\% CI) | $P^{\text {b }}$ | OR (95\% CI) | $P^{\text {b }}$ |
| rs12482371 | Genotype | CC | 238 | 272 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | CT | 225 | 191 | 1.34 (1.04-1.75) | 0.025 | 1.35 (1.04-1.75) | 0.024 |
|  |  | TT | 51 | 46 | 1.27 (0.82-1.96) | 0.286 | 1.27 (0.82-1.96) | 0.288 |
|  | Dominant | CC | 238 | 272 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | CT-TT | 276 | 237 | 1.33 (1.04-1.70) | 0.023 | 1.33 (1.04-1.70) | 0.022 |
|  | Recessive | CC-CT | 463 | 463 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | TT | 51 | 46 | 1.11 (0.73-0.69) | 0.629 | 1.11 (0.73-0.68) | 0.633 |
|  | Additive | - | - | - | 1.21 (0.99-1.45) | 0.051 | 1.21 (0.99-1.46) | 0.051 |
| rs1893650 | Genotype | TT | 326 | 355 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | TC | 165 | 140 | 1.28 (0.98-1.68) | 0.071 | 1.28 (0.98-1.68) | 0.071 |
|  |  | CC | 23 | 15 | 1.67 (0.86-3.26) | 0.132 | 1.67 (0.86-3.25) | 0.134 |
|  | Dominant | TT | 326 | 355 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | TC-CC | 188 | 155 | 1.32 (1.02-1.71) | 0.036 | 1.32 (1.02-1.71) | 0.036 |
|  | Recessive | TT-TC | 491 | 495 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | CC | 23 | 15 | 1.55 (0.80-3.00) | 0.197 | 1.54 (0.80-2.99) | 0.199 |
|  | Additive | - | - | - | 1.29 (1.03-1.61) | 0.026 | 1.29 (1.03-1.61) | 0.027 |
| rs928883 | Genotype | GG | 175 | 148 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | GA | 242 | 254 | 0.81 (0.61-1.07) | 0.132 | 0.81 (0.61-1.07) | 0.132 |
|  |  | AA | 88 | 107 | 0.70 (0.49-0.99) | 0.046 | 0.70 (0.49-0.99) | 0.046 |
|  | Dominant | GG | 175 | 148 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | GA-AA | 330 | 361 | 0.77 (0.59-1.01) | 0.057 | 0.77 (0.59-1.01) | 0.057 |
|  | Recessive | GG-GA | 417 | 402 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | AA | 88 | 107 | 0.79 (0.58-1.09) | 0.147 | 0.79 (0.58-1.09) | 0.147 |
|  | Additive | - | - | - | 0.83 (0.70-0.99) | 0.038 | 0.83 (0.70-0.99) | 0.038 |

Note: Bold values indicate statistical significance ( $p<0.05$ ).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Adjusted for age and sex in a conditional logistic regression model.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} p$ values were calculated from wald test.

## 3.4 | Haplotype analysis

Two blocks were detected in MIR155HG SNPs by haplotype analyses (Figure 1). Block 1 contained three SNPs (rs4143 370|rs77218221|rs12482371). Block 2 contained five SNPs (rs77699734|rs11911469|rs1893650|rs34904192|rs928883). The results of the relationships between the haplotypes and the CRC risk were listed in Table 5. In block 1, haplotype "CTT" and haplotype "GTC" were more prevalent in case group than control group and were significantly associated with a reduced the risk of CRC ("CTT": OR $=0.83$, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.69-0.99, p=0.047$; "GTC": OR $=0.82,95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=0.69-0.97, p=0.021$ ). In block 2, haplotype "CATGA" and haplotype "CACGG" were also associated with a reduced the risk of CRC. ("CATGA": OR $=0.81,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.68-$ $0.97, p=0.021 ;$ "CACGG": OR $=0.78,95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.62$ $0.97, p=0.028$ ).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

To date, several studies have investigated the association between genetic polymorphisms and risk of CRC, and identified a variety of susceptibility genes and SNPs for CRC in different populations. However, studies based on variants in the MIR155HG gene are infrequent in the occurrence and development of colorectal cancer. In this case-control study, we first time evaluated the relationships between eight SNPs in IncRNA MIR155HG and the CRC risk in the Chinese population. Five novel SNPs (rs12482371, rs1893650, rs92888, rs11911469, and rs34904192) in MIR155HG were found significantly influence the CRC susceptibility.

The lncRNA MIR155HG was found to be a marker of early stage cancer development (Thiele et al., 2018). MIR155HG gene is activated by MYB transcription factor and thus upregulated, which in turn leaded to downregulation of many
TABLE 4 Stratification analysis of the association of MIR155HG polymorphisms with CRC under genetic models

|  | SNP ID | $P^{\text {a }}$, OR (95\% CI) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allele | Homozygote | Heterozygote | Dominant | Recessive | Additive |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 60$ | rs12482371 | 0.344, 1.14 (0.87-1.51) | 0.606, 1.23 (0.63-2.40) | 0.320, 1.21 (0.83-1.77) | 0.292, 1.21 (0.85-1.74) | 0.724, 1.12 (0.59-2.14) | 0.329, 1.15 (0.87-1.53) |
|  | rs928883 | 0.047, 0.77 (0.59-0.99) | 0.037, 0.55 (0.31-0.96) | 0.242, 0.78 (0.51-1.18) | 0.102, 0.72 (0.49-1.07) | 0.080, 0.64 (0.39-1.05) | 0.037, 0.75 (0.57-0.98) |
| >60 | rs12482371 | 0.068, 1.27 (0.98-1.65) | 0.346, 1.32 (0.74-2.35) | 0.033,1.48 (1.03-2.12) | 0.033,1.45 (1.03-2.03) | 0.702, 1.11 (0.64-1.94) | 0.077, 1.26 (0.98-1.62) |
|  | rs928883 | 0.327, 0.89 (0.70-1.13) | 0.391, 0.81 (0.51-1.30) | 0.272, 0.80 (0.54-1.19) | 0.247, 0.81 (0.56-1.16) | 0.709, 0.92 (0.61-1.39) | 0.340, 0.89 (0.71-1.13) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | rs12482371 | 0.463, 1.10 (0.85-1.42) | 0.977, 0.99 (0.56-1.77) | 0.154, 1.29 (0.91-1.82) | 0.226, 1.23 (0.88-1.70) | 0.663, 0.88 (0.51-1.54) | 0.467, 1.10 (0.85-1.41) |
|  | rs1893650 | 0.447, 1.12 (0.83-1.51) | 0.472, 1.39 (0.57-3.36) | 0.651, 1.09 (0.76-1.56) | 0.536, 1.12 (0.79-1.58) | $0.505,1.35$ (0.56-3.26) | 0.451, 1.12 (0.83-1.51) |
|  | rs928883 | 0.214, 0.86 (0.68-1.09) | 0.208, 0.74 (0.47-1.18) | 0.687, 0.93 (0.63-1.35) | 0.416, 0.86 (0.61-1.23) | $0.225,0.78$ (0.52-1.17) | 0.226, 0.87 (0.69-1.09) |
| Female | rs12482371 | 0.030, 1.38 (1.03-1.84) | 0.103, 1.74 (0.89-3.40) | $0.075,1.43$ (0.96-2.11) | 0.038, 1.48 (1.02-2.15) | 0.224, 1.49 (0.78-2.85) | 0.034, 1.36 (1.02-1.81) |
|  | rs1893650 | 0.012, 1.54 (1.10-2.17) | 0.152, 2.11 (0.76-5.85) | 0.028, 1.58 (1.05-2.39) | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 5}, 1.63$ (1.10-2.42) | $0.243,1.83$ (0.66-5.03) | 0.014, 1.53 (1.09-2.15) |
|  | rs928883 | 0.083, 0.79 (0.61-1.03) | 0.112, 0.64 (0.36-1.11) | 0.069, 0.67 (0.44-1.03) | 0.047, 0.67 (0.44-0.99) | $0.413,0.81$ (0.50-1.33) | 0.072, 0.78 (0.59-1.02) |
| Tumor types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colon cancer | rs1893650 | 0.031, 1.36 (1.03-1.81) | 0.189, 1.74 (0.76-3.97) | 0.067, 1.38 (0.97-1.95) | 0.041,1.42 (1.02-1.98) | 0.280, 1.57 (0.69-3.55) | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}, 1.36$ (1.02-1.80) |
| Rectal cancer | rs1893650 | 0.393, 1.13 (0.85-1.50) | 0.949, 1.03 (0.41-2.58) | $0.295,1.20$ (0.86-1.67) | 0.317, 1.18 (0.85-1.63) | 0.960, 0.98 (0.39-2.43) | 0.392, 1.13 (0.85-1.50) |
| Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III-IV versus I-II | rs11911469 | 0.001, 0.47 (0.30-0.75) | 0.329, 0.47 (0.10-2.15) | 0.002, 0.43 (0.25-0.72) | 0.001, 0.43 (0.26-0.72) | 0.455, 0.56 (0.12-2.56) | 0.002, 0.49 (0.31-0.77) |
|  | rs34904192 | 0.225, 1.24 (0.88-1.75) | 0.731, 0.88 (0.41-1.87) | 0.011, 1.85 (1.15-2.97) | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 3}, 1.57$ (1.01-2.42) | 0.357, 0.71 (0.34-1.48) | 0.253, 1.21 (0.87-1.69) |
| LNM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (+) versus (-) | rs11911469 | 0.064, 0.66 (0.43-1.03) | 0.842, 0.87 (0.21-3.57) | 0.039, 0.58 (0.35-0.97) | 0.046, 0.61 (0.37-0.99) | 0.973, 0.98 (0.24-4.01) | 0.079, 0.68 (0.44-1.05) |
|  | rs34904192 | 0.184, 1.26 (0.90-1.76) | 0.594, 0.82 (0.39-1.72) | 0.002, 2.07 (1.30-3.30) | 0.017, 1.68 (1.10-2.57) | 0.216, 0.63 (0.31-1.31) | 0.212, 1.23 (0.89-1.68) |

tumor suppressor genes (Nielsen et al., 2007). Moreover, the MIR155HG transcript is processed into microRNA-155 (miR-155). MiR-155 is a multifunctional miRNA that plays varied roles in immune, inflammatory, and cardiovascular diseases (Elton et al., 2013). MiR-155 has been identified as a negative regulator of tumor protein 53 and DNA mismatch repair genes (Valeri et al., 2010). Especially, miR-155-5p was


FIGURE 1 Haplotype block map for the SNPs in MIR155HG gene. The numbers inside the diamonds indicate the $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ for pairwise analyses. Block 1 indicates that there is a strong linkage disequilibrium between rs4143370, rs77218221, and rs12482371. Block 2 indicates that there is a strong linkage disequilibrium between rs77699734, rs11911469, rs1893650, rs34904192, and rs 928883
found to be overexpressed in solid tumors of diverse origin, including colon cancer (Zhang et al., 2013). Recent studies have shown that miR-155 may work as a tumor suppressor (Kim et al., 2016), and Liu et al. found that overexpressed miR-155 lead to apoptosis and suppresses cell proliferation in CRC (Liu, Chen, Xiang, \& Gu, 2018). Therefore, we hypothesized that the MIR155HG may play a critical role in promoting CRC progression, and its mechanism may be achieved by producing miR-155. Further functional researches are still required to explore the underlying mechanisms of MIR155HG.

For many years, intron sequences have been considered essentially nonfunctional. However, subsequent study showed that intron-containing genes presented higher levels of transcription when compared to intron-less genes in mammalian cells, suggesting that introns may be enhancers of transcription (Vaz-Drago, Custódio, \& Carmo-Fonseca, 2017). Rs12482371, rs1893650, rs92888, rs11911469, and rs34904192 were all located in the intron region of MIR155HG, therefore combining the predicted functions of the database, we hypothesized that these SNPs may enhance the translation of MIR155HG gene thereby affect the risk of CRC. The results also provide new evidence for the early detection of CRC.

Some limitations need to be considered in our study. First, this study was only performed in Chinese Han populations. Due to differences in genetic background, the role of these SNPs in other ethnic groups remains to be revealed. Second, CRC is a complex disease affected by a variety of genetic and environmental factors. The limitations of the data make it difficult to explore the interaction between genetic polymorphism and environmental factors.

TABLE 5 Haplotype frequencies of MIR155HG SNPs and the association with CRC

| Block | Haplotype | Haplotype Frequency |  | OR(95\% CI) | $P^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Case | Control |  |  |
| Block 1 | rs4143370\|rs77218221|rs 12482371 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CTT | 0.681 | 0.722 | 0.83 (0.69-0.99) | 0.047 |
|  | CCC | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.01 (0.68-1.49) | 0.972 |
|  | CTC | 0.126 | 0.115 | 1.10 (0.85-1.41) | 0.471 |
|  | GTC | 0.505 | 0.557 | 0.82 (0.69-0.97) | 0.021 |
| Block 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rs77699734\|rs } 11911469\|r s 1893650\| r s 34904192 \\ & \text { \|rs928883 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | CATGA | 0.409 | 0.459 | 0.81 (0.68-0.97) | 0.021 |
|  | GATAG | 0.912 | 0.909 | 1.04 (0.76-1.40) | 0.826 |
|  | CATAG | 0.176 | 0.164 | 1.08 (0.87-1.35) | 0.483 |
|  | CACGG | 0.795 | 0.833 | 0.78 (0.62-0.97) | 0.028 |
|  | CCTGG | 0.878 | 0.882 | 0.97 (0.74-1.26) | 0.806 |

Note: Bold values indicate statistical significance ( $p<0.05$ ).
OR: odds ratio; $95 \%$ CIs: $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
${ }^{\text {a }} p$ values were calculated by conditional logistic regression with adjustment for age and gender.

In conclusion, our study of SNPs in MIR155HG confirmed the relationships between genetic polymorphisms and CRC in the Chinese Han population. We hope this research provides a new direction for the detection and diagnosis of CRC and permits early prevention of this disease. To better understand the relationship, further analyses should increase sample size and clinical data, as well as functional experiments, and require repeated studies in different ethnic populations.
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