



Article Expression of an Antiviral Gene *GmRUN1* from Soybean Is Regulated via Intron-Mediated Enhancement (IME)

Pengfei Diao^{1,†}, Hongyu Sun^{1,†}, Zhuo Bao¹, Wenxia Li¹, Niu Niu¹, Weimin Li² and Hada Wuriyanghan^{1,*}

- Key Laboratory of Forage and Endemic Crop Biology, Ministry of Education, School of Life Sciences, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010070, China; lkzxdpf@163.com (P.D.); zixihongyu@163.com (H.S.); nmbzhuo@163.com (Z.B.); liwenxia23@163.com (W.L.); niuniu1901@126.com (N.N.)
- ² Biotechnology Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China; liweimin01@caas.cn
- Correspondence: nmhadawu77@imu.edu.cn
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Most of *R* (resistance) genes encode the protein containing NBS-LRR (nucleotide binding site and leucine-rich repeat) domains. Here, *N. benthamiana* plants were used for transient expression assays at 3–4 weeks of age. We identified a TNL (TIR-NBS-LRR) encoding gene *GmRUN1* that was resistant to both soybean mosaic virus (SMV) and tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). Truncation analysis indicated the importance of all three canonical domains for GmRUN1-mediated antiviral activity. Promoter-GUS analysis showed that *GmRUN1* expression is inducible by both salicylic acid (SA) and a transcription factor *GmDREB3* via the cis-elements as-1 and ERE (ethylene response element), which are present in its promoter region. Interestingly, *GmRUN1* gDNA (genomic DNA) shows higher viral resistance than its cDNA (complementary DNA), indicating the existence of intron-mediated enhancement (IME) for *GmRUN1* regulation. We provided evidence that intron2 of *GmRUN1* increased the mRNA level of native gene *GmRUN1*, a soybean antiviral gene *SRC7* and also a reporter gene Luciferase, indicating the general transcriptional enhancement of intron2 in different genes. In summary, we identified an antiviral *TNL* type soybean gene *GmRUN1*, expression of which was regulated at different layers. The investigation of *GmRUN1* gene regulatory network would help to explore the mechanism underlying soybean-SMV interactions.

Keywords: *GmDREB3; GmRUN1;* intron-mediated enhancement; resistance gene; salicylic acid; soybean mosaic virus; tobacco mosaic virus; transcriptional regulation

1. Introduction

Two layers of innate immune systems have evolved to recognize the potential pathogens and initiate an effective defense response. The first type of immune response is initiated by the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) localized at the plasma membrane [1]. PRR recognizes and responds to evolutionarily conserved pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP), and it is called pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) [2]. Some pathogens secrete virulence effectors to counteract PTI. Plants activate the second type of innate immune system to recognize the virulence effectors, named the effector-triggered immunity (ETI) [3]. ETI is generally mediated by a resistance (*R*) gene and leads to local necrosis of plants to limit the continuous spread of pathogens, namely hypersensitive response (HR) [4]. Nucleotide-binding site (NBS), leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing proteins (NLRs) occupy the largest proportion in plant R proteins [5]. *NBS-LRR* genes belong to a large gene family, with hundreds of copies in the genome, and are distributed in obvious uneven clusters [6,7]. To date, many *NBS-LRR* type *R* genes have been cloned from different plant species [8].

Plant NLR proteins belong to signal transduction ATPases with numeric domains (STAND) superfamily [9]. The central NBS domain performs the function of molecular



Citation: Diao, P.; Sun, H.; Bao, Z.; Li, W.; Niu, N.; Li, W.; Wuriyanghan, H. Expression of an Antiviral Gene *GmRUN1* from Soybean Is Regulated via Intron-Mediated Enhancement (IME). *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 2032. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v13102032

Academic Editor: Yau-Heiu Hsu

Received: 31 August 2021 Accepted: 29 September 2021 Published: 8 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). switch and controls the binding state of ATP/ADP to mediate downstream signal transduction [10,11]. Leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) are ubiquitously present protein domains involved in mediating protein–protein interactions [12,13]. Some studies suggest that LRR motifs can give recognition specificity in plant defense response [14]. According to their different N-terminal structures, these NBS-LRR proteins can be further divided into two categories: TIR-NBS-LRR (TNL) proteins with the homologous domain of toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) and non-TNL (nTNL) proteins [15]. Most nTNL type-R proteins have a coiled coil (CC) structure at the N-terminal, commonly known as CC-NBS-LRR (CNL) type-R protein [16,17]. Generally, a large number of *CNL* genes are found in all plant genomes, but *TNL* genes are not identified in monocotyledons [18]. The N-terminal CC or TIR domain can be used as a signal transduction center, which is associated with cellular targets or downstream signaling components of effectors [19].

The expression of plant R gene needs a strict regulation mechanism, and its ability to activate defense signal and trigger immunity depends on its protein level [20]. Overexpression of R gene can lead to autoimmunity and even plant growth retardation [21]. Therefore, the precise regulation of R proteins in plant homeostasis, including transcriptional and translational regulation, is crucial for plant growth and plant disease resistance. The expression of R genes is strictly regulated at multiple steps including transcription, post-transcriptional processing, and transcript turnover [22].

Soybean mosaic virus (SMV) is one of the main members of potyvirus [23]. The infection of SMV causes mosaic, necrosis, and other symptoms in many soybean varieties by means of aphid and seed transmission [24]. Therefore, SMV is a major disease that seriously threatens the yield and quality of soybean [25-28]. SMV genome is a single-stranded sense RNA which encode eleven functional proteins: P1, HC-Pro, P3, PIPO, 6K1, CI, 6k2, NIa-vpg, NIa-Pro, NIb, and CP [29,30]. Three independent SMV resistance loci, Rsv1, Rsv3, and Rsv4, were identified from soybean. Rsv1 is located on chromosome 13, which may contain one or more members of the NBS-LRR gene family and is highly resistant to most SMV strains except G7 [31,32]. Rsv3 locus was located on chromosome 14 and was resistant to strains G5, G6, and G7 [33]. Rsv4 is resistant to strains v94-5152 and encodes a SMV specific dsRNase [34,35]. In our previous study, we characterized dozens of SMV-responsive NLR genes in the susceptible soybean variety Hefeng25 by transcriptome sequencing [36]. Here, we identified a SMV-resistant soybean gene GmRUN1 encoding a typical TNL protein. *GmRUN1* also showed resistance to TMV (tobacco mosaic virus) using transient expression assays in Nicotiana benthamiana, which is the most widely used experimental host in plant virology, due mainly to the large number of diverse plant viruses that can successfully infect it [37]. GmRUN1 genomic DNA (gDNA) is more resistant to these two viruses than its cDNA (complementary DNA), indicating the existence of intron-mediated enhancement (IME) for *GmRUN1* regulation. Further analysis showed that intron2 of *GmRUN1* was responsible for transcriptional enhancement of *GmRUN1*. *GmRUN1* expression is also inducible by plant hormone SA and a transcription factor GmDREB3.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Growth Conditions

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] and *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown in a glasshouse under a 14 h light/10 h dark cycle (24 °C day/22 °C night). Plants were used for transient expression assays at 3–4 weeks of age.

2.2. Construction of Recombinant Vectors

To generate cDNA clones of soybean *GmRUN1* and *GmDREB3* genes, total RNA was isolated from soybean cv. Hefeng25 leaves using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA, Cat#15596026), and cDNA was generated using the GoScript reverse-transcription system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, Cat#A5001) following the manufacturers' instructions, and the sequences were then amplified from this cDNA using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1 with PrimeSTAR[®] GXL DNA Polymerase (Takara,

Kusatsu, Japan, Cat#R050A). For full-length *GmRUN1* gene, PCR product was cloned into the binary vector pBI121 digested by *Sma*I and *Sac*I using the In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Takara, Cat#639650). For *GmDREB3* gene, PCR product was cloned into the TA cloning vector using the pMD19-T vector cloning kit (Takara, Cat#3271) and then recombined with the pMD1-T7 vector digested by *BamH*I and *Xho*I using the ClonExpress Ultra one-step cloning kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China, Cat#C115-01). For truncated domain fragments of *GmRUN1* gene, three different domains TIR (1–165 aa), NBS (166–474 aa), LRR (475–1088 aa) were identified by SMART website (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/ (Accessed: 1 October 2021)), and the PCR product was cloned into the pCB301-2µ-HDV vector linearized by PCR.

To generate truncated promotor clones of *GmRUN1* gene, genomic DNA was isolated from soybean cv. Hefeng25 leaves using the CTAB method according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the *GmRUN1* truncated promoter regions were amplified and cloned into the pBI121 vector by replacing the CaMV 35S promoter before the β -glucuronidase (*GUS*) gene.

To generate exogenous intron insert clones of *GmRUN1* gene, the Luciferase gene was cloned from pGWB435-LUC (GenBank No. AB294455.1) and then recombined into the entry vector pHSG299. Four introns of *GmRUN1* gene were amplified from the genomic DNA and cloned into recombined vector pHSG299-LUC digested by *Sca*I site. The recombined entry clones were then recombined with the binary vector pCambia1300 for luciferase assay.

2.3. Transient Expression and Virus Inoculation

Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 strains carrying recombinant binary vectors wereused to infiltrate *N. benthamiana* leaves. Liquid cultures of all *Agrobacterium* strains were initially grown at 28 °C with agitation in Luria–Bertani (LB) media supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics. The bacterial cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 1 min, resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MES, and 200 μ M AS) and adjusted to an appropriate OD600 for infiltration. PJL24 which carried GFP (green fluorescent protein) in TMV genome was used as infectious clones for verification of *GmRUN1* resistance, and GFP fluorescence was detected by a handheld long-wave (365 nm) UV lamp. SMV-N1 strain was used to infect *N. benthamiana* leaves with mechanical inoculation, the SMV-infected leaves under quartz sand grinding in 1×phosphate buffer was daubed to infiltrated site using a writing brush.

2.4. Promoter Analysis and In Situ GUS Activity Assay

Promoter elements were predicted for the 3000 bp genomic sequence upstream of *GmRUN1* gene by PlantCare website (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/ (Accessed: 1 October 2021)). The promoter-GUS recombinant vectors, alone or together with the recombinant vectors expressing *GmDREB3* proteins, were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana*. SMV and SA were also applied to the leaves for induction analysis by rub and spray, respectively, and the leaf discs (1 cm in diameter) were cut at 2 dpi (day post inoculation) for GUS staining with X-Gluc as the substrate according to the literature [38].

2.5. Luciferase Reporter Assay and Fluorescence Quantitative Analysis

Agrobacterium strain GV3101 carrying LUC gene inserted different *GmRUN1* introns that recombined in pCambia1300 expression vector were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. After 24–48 h, 20 μ L 0.5 mM D-luciferin was applied to infiltrated site in the dark. After dark treatment for 3–5 min, the *LUC* expression was detected by CCD imaging system (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany, LB 985) with IndiGO software at 560 nm for exposure time of 1–3 min, and the fluorescence intensity was visualized to assay the effect of different *GmRUN1* introns. The infiltrated sites of leaves without imaging in the same batch were cut and quick freezing in liquid nitrogen for qRT-PCR analysis. To quantitatively analyze the level of *GmRUN1* resistance, Gel-Pro analyzer software was used for the detection of TMV-GFP intensity in the green channel, and the data were imported to GraphPad Prism7 software to analyze statistically significant and draw graph.

2.6. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis

Total RNA extraction and reverse transcription were performed as described above, and the quantitative RT-PCR using gene-specific primers was carried out subsequently in an Analytikjena qPCR instrument using TransStrat[®] Tip Green qPCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China, Cat#AQ141). The data were normalized to *ACTIN* expression by the cycle threshold (CT) 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method according to the literature [39] and analyzed by Graphpad Prism7 software. All experiments were repeated at least three times. Primers used in this study are listed in Table S1.

3. Results

3.1. GmRUN1 cDNA Shows Partial Resistance to TMV and SMV in N. Benthamiana Transient Expression Assay

Previously, we identified NBS-LRR family genes that were involved in SMV-soybean interactions [36]. In the present study, we characterized one such gene with sequence ID of XM_006592417.3 (gene locus: G12g132200) and designated it as GmRUN1 as it was homologous with Vitis rotundifolia RUN1 (resistance to Uncinula Necator 1) gene (Figure 1A,B) [40,41]. ORF (open-reading frame) sequence or genomic DNA sequence of *GmRUN1* was amplified from soybean cDNA or genomic DNA and were ligated into binary vectors pCB301 and pBI121 to obtain recombinant overexpression vectors. We used *N. benthamiana* transient expression system to investigate the role of *GmRUN1* for SMV resistance. In the parallel experiment, TMV-GFP infectious clone (pJL24) was also used to investigate the antiviral role of *GmRUN1* toward TMV [42]. The above recombinant vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 and transiently expressed in tobacco leaves to detect their resistance to TMV and SMV. In this study, a TMV-resistant tobacco gene N [43] and a SMV/TMV resistant soybean gene SRC7 (unpublished data from the same lab) were used as positive control, while Agrobacterium carrying empty vector pBI121 was used as negative control. We defined almost no GFP fluorescence (for TMV) or severe hypersensitive response (HR) (for SMV) as full resistance, weak GFP fluorescence or mild HR as partial resistance, and strong GFP fluorescence or no HR as no resistance. As a result, *GmRUN1* genomic DNA showed full resistance to both TMV and SMV, while its cDNA displayed only partial resistance when it was expressed from either of the binary vectors (Figure 1C–E; Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1. Phenotypic statistics of TMV appearance upon transient expression of different genes.

Full Resistance	No Resistance	Partial Resistance
30/30 (100%)	0/30 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
30/30 (100%)	0/30 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
24/30 (80%)	0/30 (0%)	6/30 (20%)
0/30 (0%)	13/30 (43%)	17/30 (57%)
0/30 (0%)	24/30 (80%)	6/30 (20%)
0/30 (0%)	30/30 (100%)	0/30 (0%)
	Full Resistance 30/30 (100%) 30/30 (100%) 24/30 (80%) 0/30 (0%) 0/30 (0%) 0/30 (0%) 0/30 (0%)	Full Resistance No Resistance 30/30 (100%) 0/30 (0%) 30/30 (100%) 0/30 (0%) 24/30 (80%) 0/30 (0%) 0/30 (0%) 13/30 (43%) 0/30 (0%) 24/30 (80%) 0/30 (0%) 30/30 (100%)

Table 2. Phenotypic statistics of SMV upon transient expression of different genes.

Gene Name	HR/Total Leaves
pBI121-GmRUN1 gDNA	14/30 (47%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 cDNA	9/30 (30%)
pBI121-GmRUN1 cDNA	7/30 (23%)
pCB301	0/30 (0%)



Figure 1. Antiviral activity of *GmRUN1*. (**A**) Gene architecture of *GmRUN1*. Block and line indicate exon and intron, respectively. (**B**) Evolutionary analyses of *GmRUN1*. The evolutionary tree was built using the neighbor-joining method conducted in MEGA7. All positions with less than 50% site coverage were eliminated. (**C**) Transient expression assay for *GmRUN1* antiviral activity for TMV. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 inocula (OD₆₀₀ = 1.0) carrying different recombinant vectors and co-infected with TMV-GFP. GFP was visualized under hand-held UV lamp (Wavelength = 365 nm) at 5 dpi (days post infiltration). *N*: tobacco N protein. *SRC7*: SMV resistance cluster 7. EV: empty vector. *GmRUN1* cDNA-1: *GmRUN1* cDNA expressed from pCB301 vector. *GmRUN1* cDNA-2: *GmRUN1* cDNA expressed from pBI211 vector. (**D**) Fluorescence quantification of *GmRUN1* transient expression assay. TMV-GFP intensity was analyzed by Gel-Pro analyzer software and normalized against positive control (*N*). (**E**) Transient expression assay for *GmRUN1* antiviral activity for SMV.

3.2. Three Canonical Domains of GmRUN1 Are Indispensable for Its Antiviral Activity

GmRUN1 is a typical TNL (TIR-NBS-LRR) protein, containing three domains, including a typical N-terminal TIR domain, central NBS domain, and C-terminal LRR domain (Figure 2A). To investigate the importance of these domains, we made truncation analysis. We expressed five truncations for *GmRUN1*, including *GmRUN1*^{TIR}, *GmRUN1*^{NBS}, *GmRUN1*^{LRR}, *GmRUN1*^{TN} (abbreviation for TIR-NBS of *GmRUN1*), and *GmRUN1*^{NL} (abbreviation for NBS-LRR of *GmRUN1*) (Figure 2A). Truncation analysis showed that all of the TIR, NBS, and LRR domains were indispensable for *GmRUN1* antiviral activity, as the deletion of any of them abolished its resistance to both TMV and SMV (Figure 2B–D; Tables 3 and 4).

3.3. GmRUN1 Has IME Phenomena

As *GmRUN1* gDNA is resistant to TMV and SMV and its cDNA without the introns has been proved to be partially resistant, we speculate that the introns of *GmRUN1* might be involved in its antiviral activity. *GmRUN1* gDNA contains four introns with the sizes

of 6738, 243, 134, and 102 nt, and all of them follow the "GT-AG" rule (Figure 1A). Some introns were reported to enhance gene expression, and this phenomenon was named intronmediated enhancement (IME) [44,45]. To determine the possible IME of four GmRUN1 introns, we inserted them at the +166 site of luciferase (LUC) reporter gene (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the insertion of any of four introns from *GmRUN1* abolished *LUC* signal (Figure 3B). We speculate that the insertion of these introns may disturb the LUC ORF and subsequent protein expression. The PCR amplicons still contained the introns when total RNA was extracted from the inoculated leaves, and LUC cDNA was amplified, indicating that the introns were not correctly spliced from LUC mRNA (Figure 3C). Therefore, these introns led to insertion mutation in LUC gene, showing that the introns of one gene may not be spliced normally when was inserted into other genes. However, we observed that LUC mRNA level was significantly upregulated by 3.3 times upon insertion of intron2, showing that intron2 has IME effects at transcriptional level (Figure 3D). We then replaced the intron of soybean antiviral gene SRC7 with GmRUN1 intron2 (Figure 4A), and the mRNA level of SRC7 was increased by 2.6 folds, further demonstrating the IME effect of GmRUN1 intron2 at the transcriptional level (Figure 4B). Furthermore, the insertion of *GmRUN1* intron2 did not abolish SRC7 antiviral activity, indicating that GmRUN1 intron2 was correctly spliced from SRC7 mRNA (Figure 4C; Table 5). It also indicates that the splicing of intron depends on inserted genes. SRC7 was homologous to GmRUN1; therefore, it is reasonable that *GmRUN1* intron2 can be spliced in *SRC7* but not in nonhomologous *LUC* gene. To further demonstrate the importance of these introns, we made *GmRUN1* expression constructs with truncations in different introns (Figure 5A). The depletion of intron1 increased while further deletion of intron2 decreased antiviral activity, indicating the enhancement of intron2 for antiviral activity (Figure 5B, Table 6). Taken together, *GmRUN1* intron2 has an IME effect.



Figure 2. Truncation analysis of *GmRUN1*. (**A**) Domain architecture of *GmRUN1*. Yellow, green, and blue boxes indicate TIR, NBS, and LRR domains, respectively. TN: TIR-NBS, NL: NBS-LRR, TNL: TIR-NBS-LRR. (**B**,**D**) Transient expression assay of different domains for antiviral activity. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* GV3101 inocula $(OD_{600} = 1.0)$ carrying different recombinant vectors and co-infected with TMV-GFP (**B**) or SMV (**D**). GFP was visualized under hand-held UV lamp (Wavelength = 365 nm) at 5 dpi (days post infiltration). (**C**) Fluorescence quantification of truncated *GmRUN1* transient expression assay. TMV-GFP intensity was analyzed by Gel-Pro analyzer software and normalized against positive control (*GmRUN1* gDNA).

_

Gene Name	Full Resistance	No Resistance	Partial Resistance
pBI121-GmRUN1 gDNA	17/25 (68%)	0/25 (0%)	8/25 (32%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TIR}	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{NBS}	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)
pCB301- GmRUN1 ^{LRR}	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TN}	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{NL}	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TNL}	0/25 (0%)	6/25 (24%)	19/25 (76%)
pCB301	0/25 (0%)	25/25 (100%)	0/25 (0%)

Table 3. Phenotypic statistics of TMV appearance upon transient expression of different genes.

Table 4. Phenotypic statistics of SMV upon transient expression of different genes.

Gene Name	HR/Total Leaves
pBI121-GmRUN1 gDNA	10/25 (40%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TIR}	1/25 (4%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{NBS}	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{LRR}	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TN}	1/25 (4%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{NL}	0/25 (0%)
pCB301-GmRUN1 ^{TNL}	6/25 (24%)
pCB301	0/25 (0%)



Figure 3. Influence of *GmRUN1* introns insertions on *LUC* reporter gene expression. (**A**) Schematic diagram of *LUC* recombinant vector construction (top panel) and transient expression (bottom panel). (**B**) Luciferase reporter assay of *GmRUN1* introns. *LUC* recombinant vectors with or without different *GmRUN1* introns were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Images were taken using a Berthold camera 24 and 30 h after infiltration. (**C**) Semiquantitative PCR for transcription assay of *LUC* inserted different *GmRUN1* introns. Lines: (1), *LUC* cDNA, 1653 bp; (2), *LUC*-intron2 cDNA, 1896 bp; (3), *LUC*-intron3 cDNA, 1787 bp; (4), *LUC*-intron4 cDNA, 1755 bp; (5–8), *N. benthamiana ACTIN* of line 1~4; and (9) Negative control. (**D**) qRT-PCR assays of *LUC* mRNA level after inserted with *GmRUN1* introns. Error bars show the SD between biological replicates performed (*n* = 3), and Tukey's multiple comparisons test was performed between samples in different groups.



Figure 4. *GmRUN1* intron2 enhances *SRC7* expression. (**A**) Gene architecture of *SRC7*. Block and line indicate exon and intron, respectively. (**B**) qRT-PCR assays of *SRC7* mRNA level after replaced by *GmRUN1* intron2. Error bars show the SD between biological replicates performed (n = 3), and Tukey's multiple comparisons test was performed between samples in different groups. (**C**) Transient expression assay for recombinant *SRC7*-intron2 antiviral activity. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 inocula carrying different recombinant vectors (OD₆₀₀ = 0.01) and co-infected with TMV-GFP. *N*: tobacco N protein. *SRC7*: SMV resistance cluster 7. *SRC7*-intron2: *SRC7* intron replaced by *GmRUN1* intron2. EV: empty vector.

Table 5. Phenotypic statistics of TMV appearance upon transient expression of different genes.



Figure 5. Antiviral activity of *GmRUN1* truncations in different introns. (A) Schematic diagram of truncated vector construction with different *GmRUN1* introns. Block and line indicate exon and intron, respectively. (B) Transient expression assay of truncated *GmRUN1* introns for antiviral activity. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 inocula (OD₆₀₀ = 0.5) carrying different recombinant vectors and co-infected with TMV-GFP. GFP was visualized under hand-held UV lamp (Wavelength = 365 nm) at 5 dpi (days post infiltration).

Gene Name	Full Resistance	No Resistance	Partial Resistance
pCB301-gDNA	9/35 (26%)	0/35 (0%)	26/35 (74%)
pCB301-GmRUN1-ExIn-1	15/35 (43%)	0/35 (0%)	20/35 (57%)
pCB301-GmRUN1-ExIn-5	2/35 (6%)	0/35 (0%)	33/35 (94%)
pCB301-GmRUN1-ExIn-11	2/35 (6%)	5/35 (14%)	28/35 (80%)
pCB301-cDNA	0/35 (0%)	17/35 (49%)	18/35 (51%)
pCB301	0/35 (0%)	35/35 (100%)	0/35 (0%)

Table 6. Phenotypic statistics of TMV appearance upon transient expression of different genes.

3.4. GmRUN1 Expression Is Transcriptionally Regulated by SA

Having confirmed the IME effect of intron2 on *GmRUN1* expression, we next intended to clone the *GmRUN1* promoter and further examine the transcriptional regulation of *GmRUN1*. We used GUS reporter to assess promoter activity. The promoter region of *GmRUN1* was amplified from soybean genomic DNA and was cloned into binary vector pBI121 to obtain *Pro:GUS* reporter vectors. We made four different *Pro:GUS* constructs, harboring different lengths of the promoter region, namely *Pro2415:GUS*, *Pro2592:GUS*, *Pro2237:GUS*, and *Pro2414: GUS* (Figure 6A). When these *Pro:GUS* constructs are transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*, they did not show any GUS signal, demonstrating that they possessed very low basal transcriptional activity (Figure 6B). The infection of SMV did not induce the GUS expression in any of the *Pro:GUS* constructs, indicating that *GmRUN1* expression is not inducible by SMV infection (Figure 6B). Salicylic acid (SA) is a well-known defense hormone which is generally implicated in plant immunity against plant viruses including SMV [36]. Treatment with MeSA, an analog of SA, elevated GUS expression for the *Pro2592:GUS* and *Pro2237:GUS* reporters (Figure 6B).



Figure 6. Transcriptional regulation of *GmRUN1* promoter. (**A**) Schematic diagram of truncated vector construction with different region of *GmRUN1* promoter. Colored dashed lines and boxes indicate cis-acting regulatory element predicted by PlantCare database. (**B**) *GUS* activity assay of different region of *GmRUN1* promoter. *GUS* activity was detected at 3 days post SA or SMV induction. EV: pBI121 empty vector. Pro1~4: Pro2415:GUS, Pro2592:GUS, Pro2237:GUS, and Pro2414:GUS. EVΔGUS: pBI121 empty vector removed *GUS* gene.

3.5. GmRUN1 Expression Is Transcriptionally Induced by Transcription Factor GmDREB3

Based on the above results, we further analyzed cis-regulatory element present in *GmRUN1* promoter using PlantCare website (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/ html/ (Accessed: 1 October 2021)). Interestingly, we found two cis-elements immediately upstream of *GmRUN1* ORF which were present in SA-inducible constructs *Pro2592:GUS* and *Pro2414:GUS*, while lacking in SA noninducible *Pro2415:GUS* and *Pro2237:GUS* constructs (Figure 6A). One *cis* element is the SA-responsive as-1, which might contribute to SA induction of *GmRUN1* promoter. Another *cis* element is the ERF binding element ERE, which is close to as-1 element on *GmRUN1* promoter (Table 7). Previously, we showed that *GmRUN1* expression is repressed upon SMV infection in soybean [36]. From our RNA-seq data, we also found that *GmDREB3* is significantly repressed by SMV infection, and *GmDREB3* expression pattern is positively correlated with that of *GmRUN1* (Figure 7A). The data lead us to the assumption that *GmDREB3* might be a positive regulator of *GmRUN1*. Coexpression of *GmDREB3* elevated GUS expression for the *Pro2592:GUS* and *Pro2414:GUS* reporters but not in *Pro2415:GUS* and *Pro2237:GUS* reporters, further demonstrating positive regulation of *GmRUN1* expression by *GmDREB3* via the ERE cis element at *GmRUN1* promoter (Figure 7B).

Table 7. Promoter elements and their functions of *GmRUN1*.

Promoter Element	Sequence	Function
TATA-box	TATAA	Core promoter element around –30 of transcription start
CAAT-box	CCAAT	Common cis-acting element in promoter and enhancer regions
CGTCA-motif	CGTCA	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in the MeJA-responsiveness
TGACG-motif	TGACG	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in the MeJA-responsiveness
as-1	TGACG	Cis-acting element related to salicylic acid induction
TCA-element	TCAGAAGAGG	Cis-acting element involved in salicylic acid responsiveness
TCA	TCATCTTCAT	Unknown functional element
ABRE	ACGTG	Cis-acting element involved in the abscisic acid responsiveness
ERE	ATTTTAAA	Cis-acting element involved in ethylene response
ARE	AAACCA	Cis-acting regulatory element essential for the anaerobic induction
LTR	CCGAAA	Cis-acting element involved in low-temperature responsiveness
MBS	CAACTG	MYB binding site involved in drought inducibility
W-box	TTGACC	Cis-acting element involved in disease resistance
CAT-box	GCCACT	Cis-acting regulatory element related to meristem expression
AT1-motif	AATTATTTTTTATT	Part of a light-responsive module
GT1-motif	GGTTAAT	Light-responsive element
TCT-motif	TCTTAC	Part of a light-responsive element
G-Box	CACGTG	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness
MRE	AACCTAA	MYB binding site involved in light responsiveness
Box 4	ATTAAT	Part of a conserved DNA module involved in light responsiveness



Figure 7. *GmDREB3* positively regulates *GmRUN1*. (**A**) Venn diagrams of predicted TFs transcription level consistent with *GmRUN1* at 1/5/10 dpi (left panel). Red number indicates *GmDREB3* which shows consistent expression pattern with *GmRUN1* in graph at 1/5/10 dpi (right panel). (**B**) *GUS* activity assay of different region of *GmRUN1* promoter coexpressed with *GmDREB3*. *GUS* activity was detected at 3 dpi. EV: pBI121 empty vector. Pro1~4: Pro2415:GUS, Pro2592:GUS, Pro2237:GUS, and Pro2414:GUS. EVΔGUS: pBI121 empty vector removed *GUS* gene.

4. Discussion

In this study, we identified an antiviral gene *GmRUN1* from soybean. *GmRUN1* encodes a typical TIR-NBS-LRR protein and gives resistance to both a *Potyvirus* SMV and a *Tobamovirus* TMV. Truncation analysis showed that the all of the canonical domains TIR,

NBS, and LRR were necessary for GmRUN1 antiviral activity. In some reports, TIR-NBS domains but not LRR domain were sufficient to trigger immune responses, especially in transient expression assay. For example, *Arabidopsis* powdery mildew resistance gene *TN2* and autoimmune-related gene *CHS1* encode functional TIR-NBS proteins [46,47]. Furthermore, *TIR-NBS* genes were broadly reported in variety of plant species, including the leguminous plants such as soybean and common bean [48,49]. Therefore, the antiviral mechanism of *GmRUN1* might be different from those of *TIR-NBS* genes and deserves further investigation.

Overexpression of GmRUN1 showed HR upon infection with SMV. Cell-death-triggering activity of R proteins should be under strict control so as to trigger timely immune response only upon pathogen infection and to also avoid fitness costs at pathogen-free conditions [50]. Therefore, multiple layers of regulation at transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and protein activity levels exist for expression control of *R* genes [22]. The expression of *GmRUN1* is inducible by the treatment with major defense hormone SA. Promoter truncation analysis narrowed down the SA-responsive element to a $-326 \sim -336$ nt (10 nt) region, where we identified a SA-responsive cis element as-1, which is most likely contributed to SA induction on *GmRUN1* expression [51,52]. SA is an important defense hormone which contributes to the immunity against various pathogens, especially plant viruses, and leads to systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [53]. For example, SA was reported to be involved in defense response mediated by R genes such as tobacco N and Arabidopsis AtTN10 [48,54]. Besides this as-1 element, we also found a ERE element in the SA-responsive promoter region. A putative transcription factor GmDREB3 upregulates GmRUN1 promoter activity via ERE-dependent manner, and the expression of *GmRUN1* is positively correlated with *GmDREB3* expression, demonstrating that *GmRUN1* expression is transcriptionally induced by GmDREB3. The effects of DREB on R gene expression and involvement in plant immunity were also observed in other plant species [55–57].

It has been shown that some introns had positive regulatory roles on gene expression, but some others possessed inhibitory effects [58]. In 1987, Callis et al. first discovered that introns can mediate the enhancement of gene expression in maize cells [44]. Subsequently, the phenomenon of IME was observed in mammals, nematodes, and yeasts [59–61]. Some endogenous introns can compensate for the low-level expression driven by the weak promoter [62]. IME is also associated with specific sequence motifs, such as TTNGATYTG and CGATT [63]. Several introns were also shown to have both promoter activity and enhancer function [64]. In most studies, IME was attributable to increased mRNA accumulation at the transcriptional level; however, there are also some data that provide evidence of enhanced translation [65,66]. Here, we provided evidence that intron2 of *GmRUN1* increased mRNA level of native gene *GmRUN1*, a homologous gene *SRC7* and also a reporter gene *Luciferase*, indicating the general transcriptional enhancement of this intron2 in different genes. However, we also showed that correct splicing of intron2 might depend on the inserted genes. The in-depth study of IME phenomenon provides a basis for the wide application of functional introns.

5. Conclusions

Plant viruses pose threats to agriculturally important crops. SMV is a major pathogen of soybean and causes heavy yield losses worldwide. Although several *R* genes have been cloned from multiple host varieties, soybean–SMV interactions are still elusive. In this study, we identified a TNL (TIR-NBS-LRR)-type antiviral gene *GmRUN1* from soybean. *GmRUN1* genomic DNA showed full resistance to both TMV and SMV, while its cDNA displayed only partial resistance, and three canonical domains of *GmRUN1* are indispensable for its antiviral activity. *GmRUN1* is spectacular as its expression is regulated at multiple layers, such as SA induction, GmDREB3 transcriptional activation, and IME from intron2. *GmRUN1* represents a novel SMV-resistance gene and deserves further functional study.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/v13102032/s1, Table S1: Primers used in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.W.; data curation, P.D. and H.S.; formal analysis, P.D., H.S. and Z.B.; funding acquisition, H.W.; investigation, P.D., H.S., Z.B., W.L. (Wenxia Li) and N.N.; methodology, H.S.; project administration, H.W.; supervision, W.L. (Weimin Li) and H.W.; visualization, P.D.; writing—original draft, P.D. and H.S.; writing—review and editing, H.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the science and technology program of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (2020GG0045, 201802061), innovation guidance project of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (KCBJ2018001), Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (2017MS0330), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 31860055, 31460036).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank Bryce Falk for providing pJL24 (TMV-GFP) vector and Zhenghe Li from Zhejiang University for providing pCB301-2µ-HDV vector.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Zipfel, C. Pattern-recognition receptors in plant innate immunity. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 2008, 20, 10–16. [CrossRef]
- Boller, T.; He, S.Y. Innate immunity in plants: An arms race between pattern recognition receptors in plants and effectors in microbial pathogens. *Science* 2009, 324, 742–744. [CrossRef]
- 3. Jones, J.D.G.; Dangl, J.L. The plant immune system. *Nature* 2006, 444, 323–329. [CrossRef]
- 4. Staskawicz, B.J.; Ausubel, F.M.; Baker, B.J.; Ellis, J.G.; Jones, J. Molecular genetics of plant disease resistance. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 661–667. [CrossRef]
- Kourelis, J.; van der Hoorn, R.A.L. Defended to the Nines: 25 years of resistance gene cloning identifies nine mechanisms for r protein function. *Plant Cell* 2018, 30, 285–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Luo, S.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, Q.; Chen, J.; Li, K.; Lu, C.; Liu, H.; Wang, W.; Kuang, H. Dynamic nucleotide-binding site and leu-cine-rich repeat-encoding genes in the grass family. *Plant Physiol.* 2012, 159, 197–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, L.; Du, C.; Covaleda, L.; Xu, Z.; Robinson, A.F.; Yu, J.Z.; Kohel, R.J.; Zhang, H.-B. Cloning, characterization, and evolution of the nbs-lrr-encoding resistance gene analogue family in polyploid cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). *Mol. Plant-Microbe. Interact.* 2004, 17, 1234–1241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hulbert, S.H.; Webb, C.A.; Smith, S.M.; Sun, Q. Resistance gene complexes: Evolution and utilization. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2001, 39, 285–312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lelpe, D.D.; Koonin, E.V.; Aravind, L. STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases including animal and plant regulators of pro-grammed cell death: Multiple, complex domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by horizontal gene transfer. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2004, 343, 1–28.
- Walker, J.E.; Saraste, M.; Runswick, M.J.; Gay, N.J. Distantly related sequences in the alpha subunits and beta subunits of atp synthase myosin kinases and other atp requiring enzymes and a common nucleotide binding fold. *EMBO J.* 1982, 1, 945–952.
 [CrossRef]
- Tameling, W.I.L.; Elzinga, S.D.J.; Darmin, P.S.; Vossen, J.H.; Takken, F.; Haring, M.A.; Cornelissen, B.J.C. The tomato R gene products I-2 and Mi-1 are functional atp binding proteins with atpase activity. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14, 2929–2939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Shanmugam, V. Role of extracytoplasmic leucine rich repeat proteins in plant defence mechanisms. *Microbiol. Res.* 2005, 160, 83–94. [CrossRef]
- 13. Velásquez, A.C.; Nomura, K.; Cooper, M.D.; Herrin, B.R.; He, S.Y. Leucine-rich-repeat-containing variable lymphocyte receptors as modules to target plant-expressed proteins. *Plant Methods* **2017**, *13*, 29. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ellis, J.; Dodds, P.; Pryor, T. Structure, function and evolution of plant disease resistance genes. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2000, *3*, 278–284. [CrossRef]
- 15. Eitas, T.K.; Dangl, J.L. NB-LRR proteins: Pairs, pieces, perception, partners, and pathways. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2010**, *13*, 472–477. [CrossRef]
- 16. Meyers, B.C.; Dickerman, A.W.; Michelmore, R.W.; Sivaramakrishnan, S.; Sobral, B.W.; Young, N.D. Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotide-binding superfamily. *Plant J.* **1999**, *20*, 317–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Meyers, B.; Kozik, A.; Griego, A.; Kuang, H.; Michelmore, R.W. Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR–Encoding genes in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **2003**, *15*, 809–834. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yue, J.-X.; Meyers, B.C.; Chen, J.-Q.; Tian, D.; Yang, S. Tracing the origin and evolutionary history of plant nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes. *New Phytol.* 2012, 193, 1049–1063. [CrossRef]

- Mukhtar, M.S.; Carvunis, A.-R.; Dreze, M.; Epple, P.; Steinbrenner, J.; Moore, J.; Tasan, M.; Galli, M.; Hao, T.; Nishimura, M.T.; et al. Independently Evolved Virulence Effectors Converge onto Hubs in a Plant Immune System Network. *Science* 2011, 333, 596–601. [CrossRef]
- Bieri, S.; Mauch, S.; Shen, Q.H.; Peart, J.; Devoto, A.; Casais, C.; Ceron, F.; Schulze, S.; Steinbiss, H.H.; Shirasu, K.; et al. RAR1 positively controls steady state levels of barley MLA resistance proteins and enables sufficient MLA6 ac-cumulation for effective resistance. *Plant Cell* 2004, *16*, 3480–3495. [CrossRef]
- 21. Mackey, D.; Belkhadir, Y.; Alonso, J.; Ecker, J.; Dangl, J.L. Arabidopsis RIN4 is a target of the type III virulence effector AvrRpt2 and modulates RPS2-mediated resistance. *Cell* **2003**, *112*, 379–389. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lai, Y.; Eulgem, T. Transcript-level expression control of plant NLR genes. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2018, 19, 1267–1281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Mayo, M.A.; Pringle, C.R. Virus taxonomy-1997. J. Gen. Virol. 1998, 79, 649–657. [CrossRef]
- 24. Yang, Y.; Lin, J.; Zheng, G.; Zhang, M.; Zhi, H. Recombinant soybean mosaic virus is prevalent in Chinese soybean fields. *Arch. Virol.* **2014**, *159*, 1793–1796. [CrossRef]
- 25. Cho, E.K.; Goodman, R.M. Strains of soybean mosaic virus: Classification based on virulence in resistant soybean cultivars. *Phytopathology* **1979**, *69*, 467–470. [CrossRef]
- 26. Cho, E.K.; Chung, B.J.; Lee, S.H. Studies on identification and classification of soybean virus diseases in Korea part 2 etiology of a necrotic disease of Glycine-max. *Plant Dis. Rep.* **1977**, *61*, 313–317.
- Saruta, M.; Kikuchi, A.; Okabe, A.; Sasaya, T. Molecular characterization of A2 and D strains of Soybean mosaic virus, which caused a recent virus outbreak in soybean cultivar Sachiyutaka in Chugoku and Shikoku regions of Japan. *J. Gen. Plant Pathol.* 2005, *71*, 431–435. [CrossRef]
- 28. Li, K.; Yang, Q.H.; Zhi, H.J.; Gai, J.Y. Identification and distribution of soybean mosaic virus strains in Southern China. *Plant Dis.* **2010**, *94*, 351–357. [CrossRef]
- 29. Eggenberger, A.L.; Stark, D.M.; Beachy, R.N. The nucleotide sequence of a soybean mosaic virus coat protein-coding region and its expression in escherichia coli, agrobacterium tumefaciens and tobacco callus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **1989**, *70*, 1853–1860. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.-Z.; Fang, Y.; Pang, H. The current status of the Soybean-Soybean Mosaic Virus (SMV) Pathosystem. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7, 1906. [CrossRef]
- 31. Zhang, C.; Grosic, S.; Whitham, S.A.; Hill, J.H. The requirement of multiple defense genes in soybean Rsv1-mediated extreme resistance to soybean mosaic virus. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* **2012**, *25*, 1307–1313. [CrossRef]
- Hajimorad, M.R.; Hill, J.H. Rsv1-mediated resistance against soybean mosaic virus-N is hypersensitive re-sponse-independent at inoculation site, but has the potential to initiate a hypersensitive response-like mechanism. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 2001, 14, 587–598. [CrossRef]
- 33. Chen, P.; Buss, G.R.; Tolin, S.A. Resistance to soybean mosaic virus conferred by two independent dominant genes in PI 486355. *J. Hered.* **1993**, *84*, 25–28. [CrossRef]
- Ishibashi, K.; Saruta, M.; Shimizu, T.; Shu, M.; Anai, T.; Komatsu, K.; Yamada, N.; Katayose, Y.; Ishikawa, M.; Ishimoto, M.; et al. Soybean antiviral immunity conferred by dsRNase targets the viral replication complex. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ilut, D.C.; Lipka, A.E.; Jeong, N.; Bae, D.N.; Kim, D.H.; Kim, J.H.; Redekar, N.; Yang, K.; Park, W.; Kang, S.-T.; et al. Identification of haplotypes at the Rsv4 genomic region in soybean associated with durable resistance to soybean mosaic virus. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2016, 129, 453–468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Zhao, Q.; Li, H.; Sun, H.; Li, A.; Liu, S.; Yu, R.; Cui, X.; Zhang, D.; Wuriyanghan, H.; Su, H. Salicylic acid and broad spectrum of NBS-LRR family genes are involved in SMV-soybean interactions. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2018**, *123*, 132–140. [CrossRef]
- 37. Goodin, M.M.; Zaitlin, D.; Naidu, R.A.; Lommel, S.A. Nicotiana benthamiana: Its history and future as a model for plant–pathogen interactions. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 2014, *21*, 1015–1026. [CrossRef]
- Jefferson, R.A.; Kavanagh, T.A.; Bevan, M.W. GUS fusions: Beta-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO J.* 1987, 6, 3901–3908. [CrossRef]
- 39. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(T) (-Delta Delta C) method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408. [CrossRef]
- Feechan, A.; Kocsis, M.; Riaz, S.; Zhang, W.; Gadoury, D.M.; Walker, M.A.; Dry, I.B.; Reisch, B.; Cadle-Davidson, L. Strategies for RUN1 deployment using RUN2 and REN2 to manage grapevine powdery mildew informed by studies of race specificity. *Phytopathology* 2015, 105, 1104–1113. [CrossRef]
- Pauquet, J.; Bouquet, A.; This, P.; Adam-Blondon, A.-F. Establishment of a local map of AFLP markers around the powdery mildew resistance gene Run1 in grapevine and assessment of their usefulness for marker assisted selection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2001, 103, 1201–1210. [CrossRef]
- 42. Lindbo, J.A. TRBO: A high-efficiency tobacco mosaic virus RNA-based overexpression vector. *Plant Physiol.* **2007**, *145*, 1232–1240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Whitham, S.; Dinesh-Kumar, S.P.; Choi, D.; Hehl, R.; Corr, C.; Baker, B. The product of the tobacco mosaic virus resistance gene N: Similarity to toll and the interleukin-1 receptor. *Cell* **1994**, *78*, 1101–1115. [CrossRef]
- 44. Callis, J.; Fromm, M.; Walbot, V. Introns increase gene expression in cultured maize cells. *Genes Dev.* **1987**, *1*, 1183–1200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Rose, A.B. Requirements for intron-mediated enhancement of gene expression in Arabidopsis. RNA 2002, 8, 1444–1453. [CrossRef]

- 46. Zhao, T.; Rui, L.; Li, J.; Nishimura, M.; Vogel, J.; Liu, N.; Liu, S.; Zhao, Y.; Dangl, J.L.; Tang, D. A Truncated NLR protein, TIR-NBS2, is required for activated defense responses in the exo70B1 Mutant. *PLoS Genet.* **2015**, *11*, e1004945. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, J.; Ding, Y.; Wang, S.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Y.; Yang, S. Temperature-dependent autoimmunity mediated by chs1 requires its neighboring TNL gene SOC 3. *New Phytol.* **2017**, *213*, 1330–1345. [CrossRef]
- 48. Nandety, R.S.; Caplan, J.L.; Cavanaugh, K.; Perroud, B.; Wroblewski, T.; Michelmore, R.W.; Meyers, B.C. The role of TIR-NBS and TIR-X proteins in plant basal defense responses. *Plant Physiol.* **2013**, *162*, 1459–1472. [CrossRef]
- 49. Neupane, S.; Ma, Q.; Mathew, F.M.; Varenhorst, A.J.; Andersen, E.J.; Nepal, M.P. Evolutionary divergence of TNL disease-resistant proteins in soybean (Glycine max) and common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). *Biochem. Genet.* **2018**, *56*, 397–422. [CrossRef]
- 50. Karasov, T.L.; Chae, E.; Herman, J.J.; Bergelson, J. Mechanisms to mitigate the trade-off between growth and defense. *Plant Cell* **2017**, *29*, 666–680. [CrossRef]
- 51. Garreton, V.; Carpinelli, J.; Jordana, X.; Holuigue, L. The as-1 promoter element is an oxidative stress-responsive element and salicylic acid activates it via oxidative species. *Plant Physiol.* **2002**, *130*, 1516–1526. [CrossRef]
- 52. Grüner, R.; Strompen, G.; Pfitzner, A.J.P.; Pfitzner, U.M. Salicylic acid and the hypersensitive response initiate distinct signal transduction pathways in tobacco that converge on the as-1-like element of the PR-1a promoter. *JBIC J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, 270, 4876–4886.
- 53. Zhou, J.-M.; Zhang, Y. Plant Immunity: Danger Perception and Signaling. Cell 2020, 181, 978–989. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Yang, T.; Xu, Z.P.; Lv, R.; Zhu, L.S.; Peng, Q.D.; Qiu, L.; Xi, D.H. N gene enhances resistance to Chili veinal mottle virus and hypersensitivity to salt stress in tobacco. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2018**, *230*, 92–100. [CrossRef]
- 55. Gutterson, N.; Reuber, T.L. Regulation of disease resistance pathways by AP2/ERF transcription factors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2004**, *7*, 465–471. [CrossRef]
- 56. Huang, P.-Y.; Catinot, J.; Zimmerli, L. Ethylene response factors in Arabidopsis immunity. J. Exp. Bot. 2015, 67, 1231–1241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Wu, J.; Deng, Y.; Hu, J.; Jin, C.; Zhu, X.; Li, D. Genome-wide analyses of direct target genes of an ERF11 transcription factor involved in plant defense against bacterial pathogens. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2020, 532, 76–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Hormuzdi, S.G.; Penttinen, R.; Jaenisch, R.; Bornstein, P. A Gene-targeting approach identifies a function for the first intron in expression of the alpha 1 (I) collagen gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1998**, *18*, 3368–3375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Furger, A.; O'Sullivan, J.M.; Binnie, A.; Lee, B.A.; Proudfoot, N.J. Promoter proximal splice sites enhance transcription. *Genes Dev.* 2002, 16, 2792–2799. [CrossRef]
- 60. Okkema, P.G.; Harrison, S.W.; Plunger, V.; Aryana, A.; Fire, A. Sequence requirements for myosin gene expression and regulation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **1993**, *135*, 385–404. [CrossRef]
- 61. Moabbi, A.M.; Agarwal, N.; El Kaderi, B.; Ansari, A. Role for gene looping in intron-mediated enhancement of transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 8505–8510. [CrossRef]
- 62. Morello, L.; Giani, S.; Troina, F.; Breviario, D. Testing the IMEter on rice introns and other aspects of intron-mediated enhancement of gene expression. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2010, *62*, 533–544. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Parra, G.; Bradnam, K.; Rose, A.B.; Korf, I. Comparative and functional analysis of intron-mediated enhancement signals reveals conserved features among plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011, 39, 5328–5337. [CrossRef]
- 64. Liao, L.; Ning, G.; Liu, C.; Zhang, W.; Bao, M. The intron from the 5'-UTR of the FBP11 gene in petunia displays promoter- and enhancer-like functions. *Sci. Hortic.* **2013**, *154*, 96–101. [CrossRef]
- 65. Nott, A.; Le Hir, H.; Moore, M.J. Splicing enhances translation in mammalian cells: An additional function of the exon junction complex. *Genes Dev.* 2004, *18*, 210–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Samadder, P.; Sivamani, E.; Lu, J.; Li, X.; Qu, R. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional enhancement of gene ex-pression by the 5' UTR intron of rice rubi3 gene in transgenic rice cells. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2008**, 279, 429–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]