Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rudolph Schutte, Anglia Ruskin University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Fadi T. Khasawneh, Texas A&M University, United States Omar Hahad, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuelong Ji yuelong.ji@pku.edu.cn Zhichao Yuan 2111110162@bjmu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Prevention, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 31 March 2022 ACCEPTED 19 July 2022 PUBLISHED 06 October 2022

CITATION

Liu X, Yuan Z and Ji Y (2022) The association between electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and the adverse cardiovascular outcomes: Findings from behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2020. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:909383. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.909383

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Yuan and Ji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The association between electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and the adverse cardiovascular outcomes: Findings from behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 2020

Xingyou Liu¹, Zhichao Yuan^{2*} and Yuelong Ji^{2*}

¹First School of Clinical Medicine, Yunnan University of Chinese Medicine, Kunming, China, ²Department of Maternal and Child Health, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China

The joint effect of electronic cigarette smoking and insufficient sleep duration on cardiovascular disease (CVD) was unclear. This cross-sectional study aimed to evaluate the association between electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and risk of CVD among American adults. The participants who completed the survey from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system in 2020 were included in this study. The status of electronic cigarette smoking was divided into never, former, and current use. The duration of sleep was categorized into insufficient (<6h), appropriate (6-9h), and excessive (>9h) groups. The CVD group was defined as a patient having any of the following conditions: heart attack, coronary heart disease, or stroke according to self-report. The multivariate logistic regression model was adopted to determine the association between electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and the risk of CVD. Sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the joint effects on the risk of CVD subtypes, including heart attack, coronary heart disease, and strokes, respectively. Subgroup analyses were performed to estimate the joint effects within the stratum of the age group. The total number of participants included in the present study was 253,561. Of which, 22,908 patients had CVD. In total, 61,293 participants had previously or currently used electronic cigarettes and 37,429 participants had inappropriate sleep duration. Former electronic cigarette users had a 10.8% increased risk of having CVD (OR = 1.108, 95% CI: 1.001-1.227) compared to users who never had electronic cigarettes. Insufficient and excessive sleep durations are associated with increased risks of CVD (OR = 1.592, 95% CI: 1.460–1.735; OR = 1.523, 95% CI: 1.320–1.758). The participants with current vaping status and lack of sleep had a 159.6% increased risk of CVD (OR = 2.596, 95% CI: 1.810-3.723). Sensitivity analyses found similar joint effects of current vaping and insufficient sleep on the risk of heart attack, coronary heart attack, and stroke. The subgroup analyses across each age stratum found that the middle-aged group is most vulnerable to the joint effect of current vaping and insufficient sleep. This study found that both current vaping and inappropriate sleep duration were associated with CVD. Additionally, there was a significant joint effect of current vaping and insufficient sleep on the risk of CVD, especially for middle-aged participants.

KEYWORDS

sleep duration, electronic cigarettes, cardiovascular diseases, young adults, joint effect

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a group of lifestyle-related disorders of the heart and the blood vessels (1). The data from the American Heart Association (AHA) showed that over 26 million adults are suffering from CVD in the US (2, 3). CVD is the leading cause of death globally, which lays a huge health burden and leads to wealth loss to all aspects of society (2). It is important to note that CVD is still a preventable disease. Epidemiological studies showed that above 90% of the risk of CVD could be avoided by a healthy lifestyle and timely and appropriate medical care (4). Studies also illustrated that lifestyle factors, such as a fatty diet, tobacco use, obesity, and physical inactivity, are associated with the mortality of CVD (5–9).

Traditional combustible cigarette smoking is an eminent risk factor for the development of CVD (10). Due to its highly addictive vapor, it is extremely hard to quit cigarette smoking (11). Since 2004, electronic cigarettes have been invented to replace traditional combustible cigarettes (12). Electronic cigarettes are made up of three parts: a plastic tube, an electronic heater, and a cartridge containing a liquid solution of propylene glycol with or without nicotine (13). Due to the low cost and good portability, the vaping population of electronic cigarettes has grown drastically in the US. A recent study indicated that roughly 8 million American adults have ever used electronic cigarettes (14). Another study showed that more than half of the users of electronic cigarette were young adults. Electronic cigarettes also gained huge popularity among the users of traditional combustible cigarettes, which is used as a smoking cessation aid. Unlike traditional nicotine replacement therapies, vaping mimics the action of combustible cigarette smoking while avoiding the release of harmful tar and carbon monoxide, which were typically released from the combustion of traditional nicotine cigarettes (15). However, the safety and efficacy of vaping electronic cigarettes still remain unsettled in the past decade.

Besides electronic cigarettes, sleep duration and quality are also the major risk factors for the dysfunction of the cardiovascular and cerebrovascular systems (16). Sleep is an important biological behavior process, accounting for a large part of life. Mounting studies indicated that multiple biological processes happen during sleep, such as metabolism (17), appetite

regulation (18), immune reaction (19), hormone balance (20), and emotion control (21), which are essential for physical and mental wellbeing. In addition to CVD, inappropriate sleep condition is also associated with a group of metabolic or psychological outcomes such as obesity (22), diabetes (23), cancer (24), and depression (25). From 1985 to 2012, the number of American adults with <6 h of total sleep time per day increased from 38.6 to 70.1 million (22). Meanwhile, sleep disrupting factors such as increased screen time and unhealthy lifestyles are on the rise for the past decades. Therefore, in assessing the risk of CVD, it is impossible to ignore the persistent decline in sleep duration and quality. A recent study showed that smoking is significantly associated with insomnia and a short duration of sleep (26). However, there is no study that simultaneously focused on the associations between electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and CVD.

This study estimated the relationship between electronic cigarettes, sleep time, and CVD based on the latest national survey data. Furthermore, we assessed the joint effect of vaping and duration of sleep on the risk of CVD and its subtypes, including heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

In this cross-sectional study, participants who took the behavioral risk factor surveillance system (BRFSS), which is the largest national health survey conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), were selected. BRFSS collected information from the residents of each state regarding their health-related risk behaviors, chronic health conditions, and use of preventive services. The electronic cigarette module is an optional independent module that states opt to use based on their needs during the phone call survey. In the current study, we utilized the latest data on electronic cigarettes, sleep duration, and CVD, gathered from the survey conducted in 2020 (N = 274,767). We further excluded the respondents with missing data on potential confounder variables, including age, race, BMI, chewing tobacco use, medical history of diabetes, depression, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

(N = 21,206). A total of 253,561 participants were included in the final sample.

Data collection

The history of electronic cigarette use was identified by the following question: Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic "vaping" product, even just one time, in your entire life? Those who responded no were categorized as never electronic cigarette users. The respondents who answered yes were then asked, Do you now use e-cigarettes or other electronic "vaping" products every day, some days, or not at all? Respondents who answered "not at all" were considered former electronic cigarette users and those who answered every day or some days were categorized as current electronic cigarette users. In terms of the history of combustible cigarette use, the respondents were evaluated by the following question: Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life? The participants who answered no were defined as never combustible cigarette users. Those who responded yes were further asked: Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all? The participants who reported every day or some days were defined as current combustible cigarette users. The time of sleep duration was collected from the question: On average, how many hours of sleep do you get in 24 h? The sleep duration of participants was classified into three categories according to the recommendation from the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) and the Sleep Research Society (SRS) (27). Insufficient sleep duration was defined as the sleep duration of <6 h in 24 h; appropriate sleep duration was defined as the sleep duration between 6 and 9 h in 24 h; excessive sleep duration was considered as the sleep duration above 9 h in 24 h (27). The participants having either insufficient or excessive sleep duration were further defined as having inappropriate sleep duration.

Sociodemographic variables, lifestyle, and disease history were collected from a standardized questionnaire, including sex (men and women), age (<35, 35-55, and ≥ 55 years), race (white only, black only, and other), physical activity (yes, no), chewing tobacco use (yes, no), education level (low, middle, and high), BMI (continuous), combustible smoking (never, former, and current), COPD (yes, no), depression (yes, no), and diabetes (yes, no). A low education level was considered as a grade <9. The middle education level was a grade between 9 and 12. A high education level was defined as a grade above 12. The chewing tobacco use was assessed according to the question: Do you currently use chewing tobacco every day, some days, or not at all? Respondents who answered not at all were determined as "No," and the others were considered as "Yes." The physical activity was determined by the question: During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise? The history of COPD, depression, and diabetes was evaluated based on the question: *Were you* ever told you had COPD, depressive disorder, or diabetes? The questionnaire and detailed codebook could be obtained from the website link: https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/2020/pdf/codebook20_llcp-v2-508.pdf. The adverse cardiovascular outcomes (CVD) of heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke were determined by asking the following questions: *Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a heart attack/coronary heart disease/ stroke?*

Ethics

The study was based on the American national telephone survey (BRFSS, https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.html).

Statistical analysis

Considering that the data on electronic cigarettes were collected by different versions of the questionnaire, we pooled the data by the appropriate weighting methodology as published by the CDC. For instance, New York state used two versions of questionnaires to collect information on electronic smoking; therefore, two datasets need to be pooled. First, we used the sample size from each dataset divided by the summed sample size of these two datasets to get the corresponding proportion for each one. Then, we got the pooled data by recalculating the survey weight by multiplying the old survey weight with their dataset's corresponding proportion. The detailed pooling process could be obtained from https://www. cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/2020/pdf/Complex-Smple-Weights-Prep-Module-Data-Analysis-2020-508.pdf. The weighting method ensured that the pooled data retained national representativeness and were comparable among different states.

In the univariate comparison, the characteristics of the categorized participants were analyzed by the chi-squared test with Rao and Scott's second-order correction. The characteristics of the continuous participant were analyzed by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The multivariate logistic regression model was adopted to assess the association between electronic cigarettes, combustible cigarettes, sleep duration, and CVD, adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible cigarette smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD. Furthermore, we estimated the association between sleep duration and the risk of CVD in participants who were currently both electronic and combustible smokers by the multivariate logistic regression model adjusted for the same covariables. This study performed 2 sensitivity analyses to evaluate the robustness of the associations. The first sensitivity analysis was conducted after excluding the participants who were current and former combustible cigarette smokers, which controlled the bias from the effect of combustible smoking. The second sensitivity analysis evaluated the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration on CVD subtypes, including heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke. Additionally, we conducted a subgroup analysis to examine the joint effect of vaping and sleep conditions on the risk of CVD across different ages, sex, and race strata. The age strata were categorized into young (<35 years old), middle-aged (35–55 years old), and old age (older than 55 years).

All multivariate logistic regression results are presented as odds ratios (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A 2-sided p < 0.05 was defined as a significant difference. All analyses were conducted using the survey package in R software (version 4.0.0).

Results

Characteristics of the participants

This cross-sectional study included 253,561 American adults. Of which, 22,908 (9.0%) patients had CVD. Nearly, one-third of participants were below 35 years, and over two-thirds of participants were White. Table 1 presents the sociodemographic features and disease history. A total of 61,293 (24.2%) participants were former or current users of electronic cigarettes and a total of 37,429 (14.7%) participants had inappropriate sleep duration. The percentage of diabetes in patients with CVD was approximately two times higher than the percentage in non-CVD participants (31.3 vs. 10.7%, P < 0.001). The percentages of COPD and depression were also higher in participants with CVD compared with non-CVD participants (22.0 vs. 5.4%, P < 0.001; 26.7 vs. 18.8%, P < 0.001). We also observed a lower percentage of physical activity and a higher BMI in patients with CVD (both P < 0.001). In addition, there is an increasing trend of CVD prevalence with aging. In contrast, the prevalence of current electronic cigarette smoking status decreased with aging (4.2, 16.7, and 79.1%). The insufficient sleep duration was stable across different age groups (Figure 1).

Association between smoking, sleep duration, and CVD

Table 2 shows a 10.8% increased odds of having CVD (OR = 1.108, 95% CI: 1.001–1.227) for former electronic cigarettes users and a 17.0% increased odds (OR = 1.170, 95% CI: 0.969–1.412) for current electronic cigarettes users compared to users who never had electronic cigarettes. Similarly, former and current combustible cigarette smokers both significantly increased the odds of CVD (OR = 1.346, 95% CI: 1.256–1.400; OR = 1.452, 95% CI: 1.332–1.582). In terms of sleep duration, we observed significantly increased odds of the risk of CVD for both insufficient sleep duration and excessive sleep

duration groups compared to the participants with appropriate sleep (OR = 1.592, 95% CI: 1.460–1.735; OR = 1.523, 95% CI: 1.320–1.758, respectively). A significant association was observed between insufficient sleep duration and the risk of CVD in participants who were currently both electronic and combustible smokers (OR = 2.330, 95% CI: 1.397–3.887, Supplementary Table 1). However, a non-significant association was found between excessive duration and the risk of CVD (OR = 1.317, 95% CI: 0.462–3.761, Supplementary Table 1). In terms of CVD subtypes, we found that inappropriate sleep duration was positively associated with the risk of heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke. Electronic cigarettes were positively associated with the risk of heart attack and coronary heart disease (Supplementary Table 2).

The joint effect of electronic cigarettes with combustible cigarettes or sleep duration on CVD

Figure 2A shows the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and combustible cigarettes on the risk of CVD. The participants who were both current electronic cigarette and combustible cigarette smokers had significantly elevated odds of CVD in comparison with the group who never smoked or vaped (OR = 1.788, 95%CI: 1.367-2.339). Additionally, the participants who were both former electronic cigarette and combustible cigarette smokers also increased the odds of CVD (OR = 1.432, 95% CI: 1.226-1.672). Participants with current vaping status and insufficient sleep duration had the highest odds of CVD (OR = 2.596, 95% CI: 1.810-3.723, Figure 2B) compared to participants who never vaped and had appropriate sleep duration. Meanwhile, we observed the significant joint effect of former electronic cigarette use and excessive sleep duration on the risk of CVD (OR = 1.595, 95% CI: 1.196-2.128). However, among participants with appropriate sleep duration, no significant associations were found for both former and current electronic cigarette use groups.

Sensitivity analysis for the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration

Supplementary Figure 1A shows a non-significant joint effect of current electronic cigarette smoking and insufficient sleep duration on the risk of CVD among the participants who never smoked combustible cigarettes, with a similar point estimate compared to the main analysis (OR = 2.111, 95% CI: 0.857–5.200). Supplementary Figures 1B–D indicates that the joint effect of current electronic cigarette smoking and insufficient sleep duration showed similar significantly positive associations with the three subtypes of cardiovascular outcomes

Characteristic	Overall	Non-CVD subjects	CVD subjects	P-Value
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
	253,561	230,653	22908	
Sex				
Women	128,642 (50.7%)	118,827 (51.5%)	9,815 (42.8%)	
Men	124,919 (49.3%)	111,826 (48.5%)	13,093 (57.2%)	< 0.001
Age				
<35 years	69,133 (27.3%)	68,182 (29.6%)	951 (4.2%)	
35-55 years	79,457 (31.3%)	75,626 (32.8%)	3,831 (16.7%)	
≥55 years	104,971 (41.4%)	86,845 (38.6%)	18,126 (79.1%)	< 0.001
Race				
White only	167,763 (66.2%)	150,619 (65.3%)	17,144 (74.8%)	
Black only	31,884 (12.6%)	29,187 (12.7%)	2,697 (11.8%)	
Other	53,914 (21.2%)	50,847 (22.0%)	3,067 (13.4%)	< 0.001
Education levels				
Low	7,842 (31.0%)	6,774 (3.0%)	1,068 (4.7%)	
Middle	92,966 (36.7%)	82,891 (35.9%)	10,075 (44.0%)	
High	152,753 (60.3%)	140,988 (61.1%)	117,65 (51.3%)	< 0.001
Physical activity				
Yes	193,948 (76.5%)	179,842 (78.0%)	14,106 (61.6%)	
No	59,613 (23.5%)	50,811 (22.0%)	8,802 (38.4%)	< 0.001
Chewing tobacco use				
Yes	9,157 (3.6%)	8,324 (3.6%)	833 (3.6%)	
No	2,444,404 (96.4%)	222,329 (96.4%)	22,075 (96.4%)	0.911
Combustible cigarettes smoking				
Never	151450 (59.7%)	141,954 (61.5%)	9,496 (41.5%)	
Former	62,823 (24.8)	53,901 (23.4%)	8,922 (38.9%)	< 0.001
Current	39,288 (15.5%)	34,798 (15.1%)	4,490 (19.6%)	
Diabetes				
Yes	31,817 (13.5%)	24,637 (10.7%)	7,180 (31.3%)	
No	221,744 (86.5%)	206,016 (89.3%)	15,728 (68.7%)	< 0.001
COPD				
Yes	17,425 (6.9%)	12,375 (5.4%)	5,050 (22.0%)	
No	236,136 (93.1%)	218,278 (94.6%)	17,858 (78.0%)	< 0.001
Depression				
Yes	49,444 (19.5%)	43,334 (18.8%)	6,110 (26.7%)	
No	204,117 (80.5%)	187,319 (81.2%)	16,798 (73.3%)	< 0.001
BMI, mean (SD)	28.0 (7.0)	28.0 (7.0)	30.0 (7.0)	< 0.001
Electronic cigarettes smoking				
Never	192,268 (75.8%)	173,476 (75.2%)	18,801 (82.1%)	
Former	47,843 (18.9%)	44,432 (19.3%)	3,411 (14.9%)	< 0.001
Current	13,450 (5.3%)	12755 (5.5%)	696 (3.0%)	
Sleep duration				
Missing (NA)	11,667 (4.6%)	NA	NA	
Insufficient (<6 h per day)	27,929 (11.0%)	24,276 (10.5%)	3,653 (15.9%)	
Appropriate (6–9 h per day)	204,465 (80.6%)	188,192 (81.6%)	16,273 (71.0%)	< 0.001
Excessive (>9 h per day)	9,500 (3.7%)	7,815 (3.3%)	1,685 (7.4%)	

TABLE 1 Sociodemographical characteristics, electronic cigarette use, and sleep duration of the study population.

CVD, cardiovascular diseases including heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI, body mass index; N (%), number (percentage); NA, not available. Sociodemographic variables, lifestyle, and disease history were collected from a standardized questionnaire including sex, age, race, physical activity, education level, BMI, combustible cigarette smoking, COPD, depression, and diabetes. The questionnaire could be obtained from the website link (https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/ 2020/pdf/codebook20_llcp-v2-508.pdf).

The categorized variables were analyzed by the chi-squared test with Rao and Scott's second-order correction. The continuous variables were analyzed by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.



TADIES	Accociation	hotwoon	amolina	cloop	duration	and	
IADLE Z	Association	between	smoking,	steep	uuration,	anu	CVD.

Characteristic	N (%)	OR	95% CI	P-Value
Electronic cigarettes use				
status				
Never users	18,801 (9.8%)	Ref		
Former users	3,411 (7.1%)	1.108	(1.001–1.227)	0.047
Current users	696 (5.2%)	1.170	(0.969–1.412)	0.102
Combustible cigarettes use				
status				
Never users	9,496 (6.3%)	Ref		
Former users	8,923 (14.2%)	1.346	(1.256-1.400)	< 0.001
Current users	4,490 (11.4%)	1.452	(1.332–1.582)	< 0.001
Sleep duration status				
Appropriate (6–9 h per day)	16,273 (8.0%)	Ref		
Insufficient (6 < per day)	3,653 (13.1%)	1.592	(1.460-1.735)	< 0.001
Excessive (>9 h per day)	1,685 (17.7%)	1.523	(1.320–1.758)	< 0.001

CVD, cardiovascular disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; N (%), the number of CVD cases (percentage among corresponding strata). CVD as a composite variable was defined as heart attack, coronary heart disease, or stroke.

The ORs were adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD.

(OR = 2.368, 95% CI: 1.839–3.049 for heart attack; OR = 3.059, 95% CI: 1.729–5.411 for coronary heart disease; OR = 2.807, 95% CI: 1.596–4.936 for stroke).

Subgroup analysis for the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration

Table 3 shows that the adults with current vaping status and insufficient sleep duration had significantly increased odds of

CVD across the three age groups. The joint effect of electronic cigarettes and insufficient sleep was the highest among the middle-aged (OR = 3.149, 95% CI: 1.672-5.930). Across the different sex and race strata, the joint effect of current electronic cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration was higher among male participants (OR = 3.364, 95% CI: 1.981-5.710 for men vs. OR = 1.912, 95% CI: 1.220-2.995 for women) and was comparable between White and Black/other participants (OR = 2.612, 95% CI: 1.228-5.554 for Black/other adults, Supplementary Table 3). No significant interaction was found for these subgroup analyses.

Discussion

The present study found positive associations between electronic cigarette vaping, sleep time, and the risk of CVD. There was a consistent joint effect between current vaping and insufficient sleep on the risk of CVD and its subtypes, especially for middle-aged participants.

Over the past decades, electronic cigarette consumption has increased considerably in the US market (28). Considering the increasing prevalence and mortality of CVD, researchers started to focus on the potential linkage between the rising use of electronic cigarettes and the increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease. Several epidemiological studies showed positive associations between electronic cigarettes and CVD (29, 30) in line with our study. Additionally, our result showed that the participants who simultaneously smoked electronic and combustible cigarettes significantly increased the risk of CVD. The electronic cigarette was commonly marketed as a lower-risk alternative for combustible cigarettes, which attracted traditional smokers to attempt the novel experience or for smoking cessation. Our findings showed that both electronic

never c-cigarette & never e-cigarette	<u>N (%)</u> 9051 (6,9%)	1.000 (1.000-1.000)	
former c-cigarette & never e-cigarette	7535 (16.6%)	1.338 (1.244-1.438)	
current c-cigarette & never e-cigarette	2215 (13.7%)	1.347 (1.202-1.509)	-
never c-cigarette & former e-cigarette	359 (2.1%)	0.868 (0.658-1.144)	
former c-cigarette & former e-cigarette	1133 (9.0%)	1.432 (1.226-1.672)	-
current c-cigarette & former e-cigarette	1919 (10.4%)	1.514 (1.349-1.700)	
never c-cigarette & current e-cigarette	85 (2.2%)	1.250 (0.801-1.950)	
former c-cigarette & current e-cigarette	254 (5.3%)	1.106 (0.833-1.470)	
current c-cigarette & current e-cigarette	356 (7.6%)	1.788 (1.367-2.339)	
			0.50 1.01.5 2.5 OR 95% CI
loint Effects lever e-cigarette & appropriate sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI) 1.000 (1.000-1.000)	
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep ormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237)	1
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep ormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206)	•
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep ormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655)	÷.
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep ormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep ormer e-cigarette & insufficient sleep	N (%) 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%) 788 (11.7%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655) 1.906 (1.570-2.314)	•
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%) 788 (11.7%) 228 (9.5%)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655) 1.906 (1.570-2.314) 2.596 (1.810-3.723)	*
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep cormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep cormer e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep never e-cigarette & excessive sleep	N (%) 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%) 788 (11.7%) 228 (9.5%) 1425 (19.9%)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655) 1.906 (1.570-2.314) 2.596 (1.810-3.723) 1.536 (1.308-1.803)	•
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep current e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep never e-cigarette & excessive sleep cormer e-cigarette & excessive sleep	<u>N (%)</u> 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%) 788 (11.7%) 228 (9.5%) 1425 (19.9%) 220 (11.9%)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655) 1.906 (1.570-2.314) 2.596 (1.810-3.723) 1.536 (1.308-1.803) 1.595 (1.196-2.128)	
loint Effects never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep cormer e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & appropriate sleep never e-cigarette & insufficient sleep cormer e-cigarette & insufficient sleep current e-cigarette & insufficient sleep never e-cigarette & excessive sleep cormer e-cigarette & excessive sleep cormer e-cigarette & excessive sleep	N (%) 13640 (8.7%) 2252 (6.1%) 381 (3.8%) 2636 (14.0%) 788 (11.7%) 228 (9.5%) 1425 (19.9%) 220 (11.9%) 40 (7.8%)	Odds Ratio (95% Cl) 1.000 (1.000-1.000) 1.104 (0.985-1.237) 0.957 (0.759-1.206) 1.504 (1.366-1.655) 1.906 (1.570-2.314) 2.596 (1.810-3.723) 1.536 (1.308-1.803) 1.595 (1.196-2.128) 1.516 (0.759-3.028)	

FIC

Τŀ multivariable logistical regression, which was adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, body mass index (BMI), diabetes, depression, and COPD. (A) The joint effect of electronic cigarettes and combustible cigarettes on CVD. The reference group (A) is defined as the participants who never smoked electronic and combustible cigarettes. (B) Presents the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration on CVD. The reference group (B) is defined as the participants who never smoked electronic cigarettes and had appropriate sleep duration. c-cigarette, combustible cigarette; e-cigarette, electronic cigarette.

and combustible cigarette smokers had an even higher risk of CVD compared to those who only smoke combustible cigarettes, which was also consistent with previous studies (31, 32). Thus, considering the strong adverse associations for both single and dual consumption, vaping electronic cigarettes can further increase the risk of CVD, irrespective of whether the smoker gradually or abruptly replaced smoking with vaping.

In terms of sleep duration, several studies observed the association between inappropriate sleep duration and adverse cardiovascular events. A recent mendelian randomization analysis indicated that there is a U-shaped effect of sleep duration on CVD based on data collected from 404,044 UK

Biobank participants (33). Another US study showed that irregular sleep duration is associated with poorer microvascular health in young college students (34). It should be noted that inappropriate sleep patterns can also elevate the risk of CVD among young adults, which should have the lowest risk for CVD. In our study, the adverse effects of insufficient and excessive sleep duration were observed. Furthermore, we also found a significant joint effect between insufficient sleep duration and current electronic cigarette use among young adults. According to our sensitivity and subgroup analyses, we presented consistent positive associations between the combination of insufficient sleep duration and electronic cigarettes and the risk of CVD TABLE 3 The joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration among different age groups.

Joint effect on the risk of CVD	N (%)	OR	95% CI	P-Value
Young-Aged group (<35 years)				
Never e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	323 (1.0%)	Ref		
Former e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	205 (1.2%)	0.915	(0.621-1.350)	0.655
Current e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	66 (1.1%)	0.784	(0.460-1.337)	0.372
Never e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	85 (2.2%)	1.484	(0.876-2.515)	0.142
Former e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	79 (2.8%)	1.499	(0.899-2.501)	0.120
Current e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	56 (3.7%)	1.988	(1.043-3.788)	0.037
Never e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	51 (3.8%)	3.357	(0.779-14.457)	0.104
Former e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	16 (1.8%)	1.347	(0.518-3.506)	0.541
Current e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	15 (4.4%)	2.998	(0.953-9.437)	0.061
Middle-Aged group (35–55 years)				
Never e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	1756 (3.5%)	Ref		
Former e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	697 (5.6%)	1.293	(1.022-1.636)	0.032
Current e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	104 (4.0%)	0.899	(0.584-1.384)	0.631
Never e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	518 (8.0%)	1.543	(1.244-1.915)	< 0.001
Former e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	357 (13.4%)	2.309	(1.688-3.160)	< 0.001
Current e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	108 (16.0%)	3.149	(1.672-5.930)	< 0.001
Never e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	111 (8.5%)	1.536	(1.044-2.259)	0.029
Former e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	59 (12.0%)	1.893	(1.128-3.175)	0.015
Current e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	6 (6.3%)	0.705	(0.249-1.995)	0.510
Old-Aged group (≥55 years)				
Never e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	11561 (15.3%)	Ref		
Former e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	1350 (18.6%)	1.157	(1.013-1.322)	0.031
Current e-cigarettes and appropriate sleep duration	212 (16.4%)	1.153	(0.829–1.602)	0.398
Never e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	2033 (23.9%)	1.502	(1.346-1.677)	< 0.001
Former e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	352 (28.5%)	1.640	(1.282-2.100)	< 0.001
Current e-cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration	64 (27.8%)	1.960	(1.232-3.119)	0.004
Never e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	1263 (28.0%)	1.507	(1.293–1.756)	< 0.001
Former e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	145 (30.4%)	1.559	(1.093-2.223)	0.014
Current e-cigarettes and excessive sleep duration	19 (24.5%)	1.242	(0.521–2.960)	0.624

CVD, cardiovascular disease; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval, N (%), the number of CVD cases (percentage among corresponding strata). CVD as a composite variable was defined as heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke.

The ORs were adjusted by sex, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD.

irrespective of age groups or subtypes of CVD. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to simultaneously focus on the effect of electronic cigarettes and the duration of sleep in a large sample with a nationwide representation.

Numerous studies explored the potential mechanisms underneath the individual effects of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration on the cardiovascular system. These findings help us to further explain the potential pathways of the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration. Several pathophysiological mechanisms illustrated the effect of electronic cigarettes. First, high sympathetic nerve activation was observed in the electronic cigarette smokers. The study showed that electronic cigarettes increased the sympathetic tone and decreased the vagal tone, which was a specific pattern with high cardiovascular risk (35). Other studies found a sympathetic predominance in cardiac rhythm in both short-term and long-term users of electronic cigarettes, which induce elevated heart rate, blood pressure, and myocardial contractility (36). Second, electronic cigarettes activate platelets in circulation. Similar to traditional combustible cigarettes, one study indicated that exposure to electronic cigarettes enhanced platelet activation and subsequently increased thrombogenesis (37, 38). Additionally, the ultrafine particles in electronic cigarettes were also found to be associated with platelet activation (39). Third, a gradual increase in oxidative stress and inflammation was observed in acute electronic cigarette users, which is strongly associated with adverse cardiovascular events. This implies that inhalation of electronic cigarettes might cause vascular endothelial injury (40). There are some similar effects between sleep duration and electronic cigarettes on the pathophysiology of CVD. Insufficient sleep duration was also found to be associated with increased sympathetic activation, resulting in automatic dysfunction. In a sleep experimental study, sleep deprivation has been shown to disturb the automatic nervous system balance and increase heart rate and plasma norepinephrine, which is associated with the risk of CVD (41). Inflammation was regarded as another overlap mechanism of CVD by sleep duration and electronic cigarettes. For instance, a systematic review concluded that short sleep duration was significantly associated with elevated interleukin-6 (42) and C-reactive protein levels (43, 44).

There are some interesting findings that are worth to be further discussed. First, we observed a stronger association between insufficient sleep on the risk of CVD among current dual smokers compared to results based on the whole sample. This finding indicated that both combustible and electronic smokers are more vulnerable to poor sleeping routines. Second, we found that young adults who currently vaped and had insufficient sleep duration showed \sim 1-fold increased odds of CVD. The incidence of CVD increased with an increase in age (45). Young adults belong to the lowest risk group compared to older adults. However, young adults are the main population of electronic smokers. Furthermore, a previous study indicated that about 20% of young adults were suffering from insufficient sleep duration (46). Our finding indicated that people should not ignore the effect of vaping and irregular sleep on their cardiovascular health even when they are young. Third, according to our subgroup analysis among different age groups, we found that the joint effect was strongest among middleaged adults. There are two aspects to illustrate this interesting finding. On the one hand, aging is usually accompanied by an increased cardiovascular burden, especially in the large arteries (47). Therefore, in comparison with young adults, middle-aged adults seem to have poorer tolerance to CVD with exposure to unhealthy lifestyles like electronic cigarettes and insufficient sleep duration. On the other hand, our study demonstrated that the prevalence of electronic cigarettes in middle-aged adults was significantly higher in comparison with the old adults. Thus, the relative higher exposure to electronic cigarettes might be another reason for this finding. Fourth, a stronger joint effect of current electronic smoking and insufficient sleep duration was observed in male adults compared with female adults. Previous study indicated that there were sex differences in electronic smoking (48). Therefore, the sex differences might explain this difference.

There are some strengths in the present study. First, we used the latest national data from the US with a large population to assess the associations between electronic cigarette smoking, inappropriate sleep duration, and the risk of CVD. Second, this study is the first to estimate the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration on CVD. Third, it is the first time that the effect of vaping and irregular sleep on cardiovascular health is evaluated among young adults. The findings have health implications for young adults, who are the main population of electronic cigarette users. They need to pay attention to the potential harm from vaping and inappropriate sleep duration for their cardiovascular health. Some limitations also need to be noticed. First, our sleep duration and CVD information were obtained from the self-reported survey. The recalling bias might exist. More objective measurements for sleep and clinical diagnosis data should be used for future studies. Second, there are numerous different brands and types of electronic cigarettes on the market. In addition, we did not obtain the use history of electronic cigarettes, such as use dose and time. The effects of different types and lengths of vaping history still need to be studied in the future study. Third, other risk factors for CVD such as alcohol intake, noise, air pollution, and hypertension should be adjusted. However, due to the data source from BRFSS, we cannot adjust the effects of these risk factors in our present study. Fourth, the current study is crosssectional. A cohort study is recommended to better explain the temporal relationship between vaping, sleep duration, and the risk of CVD.

Conclusion

This study found that both vaping and inappropriate sleep duration were associated with CVD. Additionally, there was a significant joint effect of current vaping and insufficient sleep on the risk of CVD, especially for middle-aged participants.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/index.html.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for this study in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was not required for this study in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YJ and ZY: conceptualization, methodology, and writing review & editing. XL and ZY: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, and visualization. YJ: funding acquisition. XL: writing—original draft.

Funding

This study was funded by startup funding from the Peking University Health Science Center (Grant No. BMU2021YJ045).

Acknowledgments

We thank all the study members for their valuable contributions to this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fcvm.2022.909383/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Zhang YB, Chen C, Pan XF, Guo J, Li Y, Franco OH, et al. Associations of healthy lifestyle and socioeconomic status with mortality and incident cardiovascular disease: two prospective cohort studies. *BMJ.* (2021) 373:n604. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n604

2. Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: a report from the American heart association. *Circulation*. (2021) 143:e254–743. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000950

3. Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Xu J, Arias E. Deaths: final data for 2017. *Natl Vital Stat Rep.* (2019) 68:1–77.

4. Joseph P, Leong D, McKee M, Anand SS, Schwalm JD, Teo K, et al. Reducing the global burden of cardiovascular disease, part 1: the epidemiology and risk factors. *Circ Res.* (2017) 121:677–94. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.308903

5. Shikany JM, Safford MM, Newby PK, Durant RW, Brown TM, Judd SE. Southern dietary pattern is associated with hazard of acute coronary heart disease in the reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke (REGARDS) study. *Circulation.* (2015) 132:804–14. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014421

6. St-Onge MP, Grandner MA, Brown D, Conroy MB, Jean-Louis G, Coons M, et al. Sleep duration and quality: impact on lifestyle behaviors and cardiometabolic health: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circulation*. (2016) 134:e367–e86. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000444

7. Chang JT, Anic GM, Rostron BL, Tanwar M, Chang CM. Cigarette smoking reduction and health risks: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2021) 23:635–42. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntaa156

8. le Roux CW, Hartvig NV, Haase CL, Nordsborg RB, Olsen AH, Satylganova A. Obesity, cardiovascular risk and healthcare resource utilization in the UK. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2021) 28:1235–41. doi: 10.1177/2047487320925639

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration for sensitivity analysis. (A) The joint effect after dropping the current and former combustible cigarette smokers to control the bias from the combustible cigarettes. (B–D) Indicates the joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration on the risk of heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke, respectively. All the joint effects were analyzed by multivariable logistical regression, which was adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD. The reference group was defined as the participants who never smoked electronic cigarettes and had appropriate sleep duration.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Association between sleep duration and CVD in current combustible and electronic cigarette smokers. CVD, cardiovascular disease; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; N (%), the number of CVD cases (percentage among corresponding strata). CVD as a composite variable was defined as heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke. The ORs were adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

The effect of e-cigarettes and sleep duration on single outcomes. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; N(%), the number of CVD cases (percentage among corresponding strata). The ORs were adjusted by sex, age, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3

The joint effect of electronic cigarettes and sleep duration among different sex and race groups. CVD, cardiovascular disease; OR, odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; N (%), the number of CVD cases (percentage among corresponding strata). CVD as a composite variable was defined as heart attack, coronary heart disease, and stroke. The ORs were adjusted by sex, race, education levels, physical activity, chewing tobacco use, combustible smoking, BMI, diabetes, depression, and COPD.

9. Huang BH, Duncan MJ, Cistulli PA, Nassar N, Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Sleep and physical activity in relation to all-cause, cardiovascular disease and cancer mortality risk. *Br J Sports Med.* (2021) 56:718–24. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2021-104046

10. Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2022 update: a report from the American heart association. *Circulation*. (2022) 145:e153–639. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000001052

11. Hatsukami DK, Xu D, Ferris Wayne G. Regulatory approaches and implementation of minimally addictive combusted products. *Nicotine Tob Res.* (2022) 24:453–62. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntab138

12. Yamin CK, Bitton A, Bates DW. E-cigarettes: a rapidly growing internet phenomenon. *Ann Intern Med.* (2010) 153:607–9. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-153-9-201011020-00011

13. Franck C, Budlovsky T, Windle SB, Filion KB, Eisenberg MJ. Electronic cigarettes in North America: history, use, and implications for smoking cessation. *Circulation*. (2014) 129:1945–52. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006416

14. Creamer MR, Wang TW, Babb S, Cullen KA, Day H, Willis G, et al. Tobacco product use and cessation indicators among adults - United States, 2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2019) 68:1013–9. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm 6845a2

15. Patel M, Cuccia AF, Zhou Y, Kierstead EC, Briggs J, Schillo BA. Smoking cessation among US adults: use of e-cigarettes, including JUUL, and NRT use. *Tob Control.* (2021) 30:693–5. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-056013

16. Ge B, Guo X. Short and long sleep durations are both associated with increased risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Stroke*. (2015) 10:177–84. doi: 10.1111/ijs.12398

17. Xie J, Li Y, Zhang Y, Vgontzas AN, Basta M, Chen B, et al. Sleep duration and metabolic syndrome: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2021) 59:101451. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2021.101451

18. Larsen P, Marino FE, Guelfi K, Duffield R, Skein M. A preliminary investigation of the effects of short-duration, vigorous exercise following sleep restriction, fragmentation and extension on appetite and mood in inactive, middle-aged men. *J Sleep Res.* (2021) 30:e13215. doi: 10.1111/jsr.13215

19. Garbarino S, Lanteri P, Bragazzi NL, Magnavita N, Scoditti E. Role of sleep deprivation in immune-related disease risk and outcomes. *Commun Biol.* (2021) 4:1304. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02 825-4

20. Yan Y, Li J, Tang H, Wang Y, Zhang W, Liu H, et al. Elevated thyroid-stimulating hormone levels are associated with poor sleep: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. *Endocrine*. (2022) 75:194–201. doi: 10.1007/s12020-021-02849-0

21. Booth SA, Carskadon MA, Young R, Short MA. Sleep duration and mood in adolescents: an experimental study. *Sleep.* (2021) 44:zsaa253. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsaa253

22. Ford ES, Cunningham TJ, Croft JB. Trends in self-reported sleep duration among US adults from 1985 to 2012. *Sleep.* (2015) 38:829–32. doi: 10.5665/sleep.4684

23. Gu KM, Min SH, Cho J. Sleep duration and mortality in patients with diabetes: results from the 2007-2015 Korea national health and nutrition examination survey. *Diabetes Metab.* (2021) 48:101312. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2021.101312

24. Tao F, Cao Z, Jiang Y, Fan N, Xu F, Yang H, et al. Associations of sleep duration and quality with incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality: a prospective cohort study of 407,500 UK biobank participants. *Sleep Med.* (2021) 81:401–9. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.03.015

25. Liu F, Yang Y, Wang S, Zhang XL, Wang AX, Liao XL, et al. Impact of sleep duration on depression and anxiety after acute ischemic stroke. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:630638. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.630638

26. Metse AP, Clinton-McHarg T, Skinner E, Yogaraj Y, Colyvas K, Bowman J. Associations between suboptimal sleep and smoking, poor nutrition, harmful alcohol consumption and inadequate physical activity ('SNAP Risks'): a comparison of people with and without a mental health condition in an australian community survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:5946. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115946

27. Consensus Conference P, Watson NF, Badr MS, Belenky G, Bliwise DL, Buxton OM, et al. Joint consensus statement of the american academy of sleep medicine and sleep research society on the recommended amount of sleep for a healthy adult: methodology and discussion. *J Clin Sleep Med.* (2015) 11:931–52. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.4950

28. Benowitz NL, Fraiman JB. Cardiovascular effects of electronic cigarettes. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2017) 14:447–56. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2017.36

29. Critcher CR, Siegel M. Re-examining the association between e-cigarette use and myocardial infarction: a cautionary tale. *Am J Prev Med.* (2021) 61:474–82. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2021.05.003

30. Osei AD, Mirbolouk M, Orimoloye OA, Dzaye O, Uddin SMI, Benjamin EJ, et al. Association between E-cigarette use and cardiovascular disease among never and current combustible-cigarette smokers. *Am J Med.* (2019) 132:949–54.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.02.016

31. Munzel T, Hahad O, Kuntic M, Keaney JF, Deanfield JE, Daiber A. Effects of tobacco cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and waterpipe smoking on endothelial function and clinical outcomes. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:4057–70. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa460

32. Bianco E, Skipalskyi A, Goma F, Odeh H, Hasegawa K, Zawawi MA, et al. E-Cigarettes: a new threat to cardiovascular health - a world heart federation policy brief. *Glob Heart.* (2021) 16:72. doi: 10.5334/gh.1076 33. Ai S, Zhang J, Zhao G, Wang N, Li G, So HC, et al. Causal associations of short and long sleep durations with 12 cardiovascular diseases: linear and nonlinear Mendelian randomization analyses in UK Biobank. *Eur Heart J.* (2021) 42:3349–57. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab170

34. Hoopes EK, Berube FR, D'Agata MN, Patterson F, Farquhar WB, Edwards DG, et al. Sleep duration regularity, but not sleep duration, is associated with microvascular function in college students. *Sleep.* (2021) 44:zsaa175. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsaa175

35. Moheimani RS, Bhetraratana M, Yin F, Peters KM, Gornbein J, Araujo JA, et al. Increased cardiac sympathetic activity and oxidative stress in habitual electronic cigarette users: implications for cardiovascular risk. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2017) 2:278–84. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.5303

36. Buchanan ND, Grimmer JA, Tanwar V, Schwieterman N, Mohler PJ, Wold LE. Cardiovascular risk of electronic cigarettes: a review of preclinical and clinical studies. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2020) 116:40–50. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvz256

37. Ramirez JEM, Karim ZA, Alarabi AB, Hernandez KR, Taleb ZB, Rivera JO, et al. The JUUL E-cigarette elevates the risk of thrombosis and potentiates platelet activation. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther.* (2020) 25:578–86. doi: 10.1177/1074248420941681

38. Qasim H, Karim ZA, Silva-Espinoza JC, Khasawneh FT, Rivera JO, Ellis CC, et al. Short-Term E-cigarette exposure increases the risk of thrombogenesis and enhances platelet function in mice. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2018) 7:e009264. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.009264

39. Khadka S, Awasthi M, Lamichhane RR, Ojha C, Mamudu HM, Lavie CJ, et al. The cardiovascular effects of electronic cigarettes. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2021) 23:40. doi: 10.1007/s11886-021-01469-4

40. Chatterjee S, Tao JQ, Johncola A, Guo W, Caporale A, Langham MC, et al. Acute exposure to e-cigarettes causes inflammation and pulmonary endothelial oxidative stress in nonsmoking, healthy young subjects. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* (2019) 317:L155–66. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00110.2019

41. Tobaldini E, Cogliati C, Fiorelli EM, Nunziata V, Wu MA, Prado M, et al. One night on-call: sleep deprivation affects cardiac autonomic control and inflammation in physicians. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2013) 24:664–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2013.03.011

42. Irwin MR, Olmstead R, Carroll JE. Sleep disturbance, sleep duration, and inflammation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies and experimental sleep deprivation. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2016) 80:40–52. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.014

43. Grandner MA, Buxton OM, Jackson N, Sands-Lincoln M, Pandey A, Jean-Louis G. Extreme sleep durations and increased C-reactive protein: effects of sex and ethnoracial group. *Sleep.* (2013) 36:769–79E. doi: 10.5665/sleep. 2646

44. Hall MH, Lee L, Matthews KA. Sleep duration during the school week is associated with C-reactive protein risk groups in healthy adolescents. *Sleep Med.* (2015) 16:73–8. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2014.10.005

45. Costantino S, Paneni F, Cosentino F. Ageing, metabolism and cardiovascular disease. *J Physiol.* (2016) 594:2061–73. doi: 10.1113/JP2 70538

46. Stefan L, Juranko D, Prosoli R, Baric R, Sporis G. Self-Reported sleep duration and self-rated health in young adults. *J Clin Sleep Med.* (2017) 13:899–904. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.6662

47. Kovacic JC, Moreno P, Hachinski V, Nabel EG, Fuster V. Cellular senescence, vascular disease, and aging: part 1 of a 2-part review. *Circulation.* (2011) 123:1650–60. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.0 07021

48. Hartwell G, Thomas S, Egan M, Gilmore A, Petticrew M. Ecigarettes and equity: a systematic review of differences in awareness and use between sociodemographic groups. *Tob Control.* (2017) 26:e85–91. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2016-053222