



Recent Advances in Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensors Based on Metal and Metal Oxide Nanostructures for Diabetes Management- A Review

Gowhar A. Naikoo¹*, Hiba Salim¹, Israr U. Hassan², Tasbiha Awan¹, Fareeha Arshad³, Mona Z. Pedram⁴, Waqar Ahmed⁵ and Ahsanulhaq Qurashi⁶*

¹Department of Mathematics and Sciences, College of Arts and Applied Sciences, Dhofar University, Salalah, Oman, ²College of Engineering, Dhofar University, Salalah, Oman, ³Department of Biochemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India, ⁴Mechanical Engineering-Energy Division, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran, ⁵School of Mathematics and Physics, College of Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, United Kingdom, ⁶Department of Chemistry, Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Hassina Tabassum, State of New York, United States

Reviewed by:

Zeeshan Ali, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Pakistan Ayeesha Mujeeb, Manchester BIOGEL, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Gowhar A. Naikoo gahmed@du.edu.om Ahsanulhaq Qurashi ahsan.qurashi@ku.ac.ae

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Electrochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 28 July 2021 Accepted: 09 September 2021 Published: 22 September 2021

Citation:

Naikoo GA, Salim H, Hassan IU, Awan T, Arshad F, Pedram MZ, Ahmed W and Qurashi A (2021) Recent Advances in Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensors Based on Metal and Metal Oxide Nanostructures for Diabetes Management- A Review. Front. Chem. 9:748957. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.748957 There is an undeniable growing number of diabetes cases worldwide that have received widespread global attention by many pharmaceutical and clinical industries to develop better functioning glucose sensing devices. This has called for an unprecedented demand to develop highly efficient, stable, selective, and sensitive non-enzymatic glucose sensors (NEGS). Interestingly, many novel materials have shown the promising potential of directly detecting glucose in the blood and fluids. This review exclusively encompasses the electrochemical detection of glucose and its mechanism based on various metalbased materials such as cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), titanium (Ti), iridium (Ir), and rhodium (Rh). Multiple aspects of these metals and their oxides were explored vis-à-vis their performance in glucose detection. The direct glucose oxidation via metallic redox centres is explained by the chemisorption model and the incipient hydrous oxide/adatom mediator (IHOAM) model. The glucose electrooxidation reactions on the electrode surface were elucidated by equations. Furthermore, it was explored that an effective detection of glucose depends on the aspect ratio, surface morphology, active sites, structures, and catalytic activity of nanomaterials, which plays an indispensable role in designing efficient NEGS. The challenges and possible solutions for advancing NEGS have been summarized.

Keywords: metal and metal oxide nanostructures, non-enzymatic glucose sensors, diabetes, early detection, mechanism, challenges, possible solutions

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes, a chronic condition, is considered one of the deadliest and most rambling diseases globally. The latest report of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), Atlas, declared that 463 million adults (20–79 years) lived with diabetes and projected that this increase might reach up to 700 million by 2045. Among the top ten countries with the highest number of diabetic patients (age 20–79 years), China is leading the list, followed by India. **Figure 1** reveals the estimated in 2019 and projected cases of diabetics across the world in 2030 and 2045 (IDF, 2019) and describes the possible increase in diabetes (by %) in 2019, 2030, and 2045, respectively, in different regions across the globe

1



(IDF, 2019). It has been concluded that Europe is predicted to have the lowest increase in diabetes (15%). In comparison, the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) are expected to have a predominant rise in people with diabetes (96%) (IDF, 2019). To overcome this challenging increase in diabetes, the scientific community needs to make enormous efforts to develop highly efficient, easily accessible, stable NEG sensors to monitor the glucose level at the early stages of diabetes (Teymourian et al., 2020).

Over the past couple of decades, scientists have consistently fabricated advanced nanostructured materials to develop glucose sensors with high sensitivity and selectivity (Wang et al., 2013; Hwang et al., 2018). However, these advanced materials are mostly inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) (Hwang et al., 2018), nanosheets (Joshna et al., 2020), and nanowires (Thangasamy et al., 2020), which makes it possible to tailor the functionality and surface structure of the resulting materials (Salihoglu and Kahlout, 2019) and play a dynamic role in the expansion of electrochemical sensors for glucose detection. These sensors are categorised into two groups: enzymatic glucose (EG) and NEG sensors (Jayram et al., 2016). EG sensors possess high specific catalytic action and efficiency, besides their excellent selectivity, sensitivity, and mild measurement conditions (Bruen et al., 2017). However, EG sensors are significantly influenced by different environmental conditions such as pH, temperature, toxic chemicals, and humidity, which has limited their use (Numan et al., 2017; Tee et al., 2017) and led to NEG sensors' production (Zhang and Liu, 2017). Consequently, NEG sensors have received much attention in recent years due to their fast and precise response, low cost, and excellent sensitivity (Wu et al., 2019). In

particular, considerable consideration has been put forward to fabricate highly efficient materials to improve sensors' performance by tailoring their shape, size, composition, adsorption capacity, electron transfer properties, and specific surface area. Over the past couple of decades, various metal and metal oxide materials have been employed for glucose sensing applications (Toghill and Compton, 2010; Guler and Dilmac, 2019; Hossain and Slaughter, 2020; Hassan et al., 2021)-(Toghill and Compton, 2010; Guler and Dilmac, 2019; Hossain and Slaughter, 2020; Hassan et al., 2021). Nanomaterials of noble metals (Ag, Au, Pd, and Pt) (Wang et al., 2014; Baghayeri et al., 2018a; Ma et al., 2019) were considered excellent choices for constructing NEG sensors because of their high efficiency in glucose electrooxidation. However, the unaffordable cost of these metals for the development of NEG sensors has limited their use. Consequently, researchers have commenced designing NEG sensors based on metals and their oxides, and in particular, the focus was given to Ni (Rajendran et al., 2018), Zn (Yang et al., 2016a; Ognjanović et al., 2019), Cu (Shabnam et al., 2017), NiO (Baghayeri et al., 2018b), CuO (Shabnam et al., 2017), NiCo₂O₄ (Baghayeri et al., 2018b; Rajendran et al., 2018), Fe (Li et al., 2015; Marie et al., 2018), Mn (Li et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2018a), Ti (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017), Ir (Dong et al., 2018a; Dong et al., 2019), and Rh (Dong et al., 2018b) etc.

Co metal ions based on phosphides (Tian et al., 2015), phosphates (Theerthagiri et al., 2017), oxides (Vilian et al., 2018), and nitrites (Xie et al., 2018b) have exhibited promise for their employment in electrochemical sensing (Han et al., 2015). However, due to the semiconducting properties of Cobased hydroxides and oxides, their electrocatalytic capabilities have decreased severely. Therefore, previous studies have paid attention to improving the electro-conductivity of Co-based hybrid catalysts (Wang et al., 2016a). In addition to this, nickel-based materials were also considered a potential choice for synthesizing electrochemical NEG sensors. Especially, NiO (Ma et al., 2018), Ni/Al layered double hydroxide (Kumar et al., 2018), Ni(OH)₂ (Yang et al., 2016b), Ni metal (Sun et al., 2020), and Ni(II)-based metal-organic coordination polymer (Zhe et al., 2019) all showed better electrochemical activity for glucose oxidation and hence have been employed in fabricating enzyme-free glucose sensors. Similarly, zinc oxide is one of the most multifunctional and significant electrode material candidate because of its unique physical, chemical, mechanical and electrochemical properties, which is evident because of the electron features, wide bandgap (Hussain et al., 2013), biocompatibility (Nain et al., 2020), cost-effective synthesis (Narayana et al., 2020), optical transparency (Kulkarni et al., 2015), comfortable and better electrochemical performance (Ridhuan et al., 2018; Pradeeswari et al., 2019).

Copper oxide (CuO) based materials display semiconducting properties along with unusual electronic and optical features (Piri et al., 2019). At the nano level, CuO exhibit better catalytic activity when compared to CuO as a whole (in the bulk form). Various studies have shown the electrochemical detecting properties of CuO and its derivatives (Yuan et al., 2017; Avinash et al., 2019). These oxides boost the sensing ability of the electrodes in the sensor and display lowered LOD. Also, elements like Fe possesses magnetic properties. Their nanoparticles (NPs) have magnetic properties, are biocompatible, and less toxic; therefore can be used in developing biosensors to analyze biomolecules (Reetz et al., 1998; Li et al., 2003). Likewise, numerous studies on manganese oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Yang and Hu, 2010; Si et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016a) have shown their potential in glucose detection (Yang and Hu, 2010). Elements like Ir (Dong et al., 2019) and Rh (Dong et al., 2018b) and their oxides have also shown favorable properties for glucose detection in NEGS. These materials, therefore, are promising potential substitutes for developing highly efficient, reliable and stable NEG sensors for early detection of glucose in diabetic patients.

ELECTROCHEMICAL DETECTION OF GLUCOSE

Glucose detection with low cost, accurate and fast processes is vital for food engineering, pharmaceutical analysis, environmental monitoring, and clinical biochemistry (Wang et al., 2017; Hosu et al., 2019). Many detection methods for glucose sensing have been discovered, such as calorimetry, electrochemistry, conductometry, fluorescent spectroscopy, and optical rotation (Lv et al., 2016). These analytical methods typically address various issues, such as tedious detection procedures, interaction with coexisting anionic or cationic organisms, long assay times, and high-cost equipment (Gao et al., 2016). Hence, most electrochemical glucose sensors depend on electrochemical methods due to their portability, selectivity, and simplicity. Furthermore, they display preeminent stability, fast response time, less cost, and low LOD (Mohd Yazid et al., 2014; Sehit and Altintas, 2020).

Direct Glucose Oxidation *via* Metallic Redox Centers

Chemisorption Model

Most electrocatalytic processes take place via the adsorption of reactant molecules to active electrode sites. Adsorption of the reactant molecules is accompanied by breaking bonds and new intermediate formation (Abunahla et al., 2019). The adsorption mechanism is affected by different factors such as non-metal catalysts deficiencies, unoccupied d-orbitals at transition metal (TM) centres, and the redox center's optimal electronic state (Niu et al., 2016). The interaction between the electrode and product decreases when the redox center's oxidation state changes, resulting in the reaction products desorption from the electrode's surface (Figure 2). A method that involves the adsorption and desorption of reactants on the electrode is referred to as chemisorption model (Toghill and Compton, 2010; Seh et al., 2017). In this model, the electrode surface's chemical interaction with C-1 of a glucose molecule and its hydrogen atom upsurges as the glucose molecule reaches the electrode, which causes C-1 to dehydrogenate and adsorb to the electrode surface. Subsequently, when electrooxidation of adsorbents occurs, gluconolactone is oxidized to gluconic acid via several pHdependent reaction routes (de Mele et al., 1982; Beden et al., 1996; Li et al., 2018; Xia and Guo, 2019).

IHOAM Model

IHOAM model was suggested by Burke et al., which involves reactive hydroxide species on the electrode surface (OH_{ads}) produced during the electrocatalysis and their effect on many organic molecules' redox reactions (Figure 3). Direct oxidation of the reactants takes place by hydroxyl radicals. Simultaneously, reactive OH_{ads} pre-monolayer with a low lattice coordination value is created on the electrode surface and mediates different redox reactions (Tian et al., 2019). Various studies on using other metal electrodes (Me) for glucose oxidation proved the participation of the reactive OH_{ads} (Burke and Ryan, 1992; Lertanantawong et al., 2008). Since IHOAM and chemisorption models ultimately presume noble metal electrodes, for example, Au and Pt, many metal oxide-based electrodes are not entirely related to this explanation. Hence, TM centers' redox reaction can clarify the oxidation of glucose for materials like Co(Cataldi et al., 1995; Chang et al., 2014) and Ni (Morales et al., 2018; Juodkazytė et al., 2019). Researchers have revealed that direct oxidation of glucose is affected by different reaction conditions, where it is rarely acidic and usually alkaline or neutral. In an alkaline medium, reactive OH_{ads} are formed, while in an acidic medium, metal oxide electrode materials are unstable. However, the domination of readily oxidizable β-glucopyranoses occurs at greater pH due to mutarotation (Cheng et al., 2001; Sehit et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021)-(Cheng et al., 2001; Sehit et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021).









ELECTROCHEMICAL DETECTION OF GLUCOSE ON Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ti, Ir, Rh, Pt, Pd, Au BASED NEGS

Cobalt-Based NEGS

The application of cobalt and its oxides in sensor technology has significant advantages that include large bandgap, biological compatibility, low cost, and high stability (Soomro et al., 2015; Gong et al., 2019). Owing to its good selectivity and reproducibility, various studies have used cobalt and its oxides to develop NEG sensors in recent years (Soomro et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2018; Janyasupab and Promptmas, 2019; Li et al., 2019; Strakosas et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020). One of the earliest works on cobalt oxide (Co₃O₄) based sensors is by Ding et al. (2010). They developed electrospun nanofibers displaying a rapid response rate, high $36.25 \,\mu \text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ sensitivity of and increased reproducibility. The sensor also showed high selectivity against ascorbic and uric acids with a LOD of 0.97 µM. Though this sensor showed an agreement with results using a commercial glucose sensor, this sensor displayed a maximum activity under alkaline conditions. In another similar study by Soomro et al. (2015), Co₃O₄ nanostructures were used to develop NEGS that indicated a good sensitivity of $27.33 \,\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ and high stability. The authors recorded a wide linear range of 0.5-5.0 mM and a LOD of 0.8 µM. In addition, the sensor showed a high sensitivity for glucose against ascorbic and uric acids and dopamine.

However, like the study by their contemporaries, this sensor too showed maximum activity under alkaline conditions. Likewise, in another closely similar recent study, Co₃O₄ nanostructures displayed superior sensitivity of $839.3 \,\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ and high stability for non-enzymatic glucose detection (Tian et al., 2018). In a study by Li et al. (2019), similar observations were recorded. The authors developed a Co-Ni hydroxide-based sensor with a high sensitivity of 1911.5 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² and a LOD of 0.127 μ M. In addition, the sensor had a wide linear range of 0.00025-1 mM and 1-5 mM; and demonstrated very high stability and remarkable selectivity under alkaline conditions.

Figure 4 below discusses these various steps involved in the fabrication and the development of cobalt-based NEGS.

One common theme among the above-discussed sensors is the requirement of hydroxide ions for proper functioning and stability. This particular drawback hinders their adequate application in the determination of glucose levels from biological fluids, including blood, sweat, and tears that have a neutral (4–7) pH range (Strakosas et al., 2019). To overcome this, Strakosas et al. (2019) developed another cobalt oxide-based sensing device that could function and detect glucose molecules under neutral pH conditions. In addition to the fundamental elements of a biosensor, the authors attached a bioelectronic pH control on the sensor surface to regulate the pH of the sensor. Thus, the sensor could induce changes in pH using a Pd contact that causes the absorption of H^+ from the neutral fluid, causing an enhancement in pH. This thus allowed glucose



ref. (Strakosas et al., 2019), copyright@2019 (Nature).

sensing in biological fluids even at a high pH condition. The glucose sensing mechanism as demonstrated by the authors has been mentioned in **Figure 5**.

The interfacial reactions occurring at the Co_3O_4 glassy carbon electrode *via* the sensing phase is explained in the equations below. As in the first equation, OH is a prerequisite for CoO_2 formation. Hence, the purpose of using NaOH is to form a CoO_2 oxidant for electrochemical glucose sensing. This is why most studies, as discussed above mentioned the applicability of cobaltbased sensors under alkaline conditions.

 $CoOOH + OH^- \leftrightarrow CoO_2 + H_2O + e^ 2CoO_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \text{ (glucose)} \leftrightarrow 2CoOOH + C_6H_{10}O_6 \text{ (gluconolactone)}.$

Cobalt phosphate nanostructures have also been proposed to electrochemically oxidize from glucose to gluconolactone, as described below (Loeb, 1909).

$$2\mathrm{Co}^{3+} \rightarrow 2\mathrm{Co}^{4+} + 2e^{-}$$

 $2Co^{4+} + C_6H_{12}O_6(glucose) \rightarrow 2Co^{3+} + C_6H_{10}O_6(gluconolactone) + 2H^{2+}$

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Co are explored and discussed in Table 1.

Nickel-Based NEGS

Like the cobalt-based sensors discussed above, even nickel-based sensors show better current densities for glucose electrooxidation (Liu et al., 2018b; Dai et al., 2018; Shu et al., 2018; Shabbir et al., 2020; Usman et al., 2020). Nickel oxide (NiO) has demonstrated outstanding catalytic activity and excellent stability for the development of glucose sensing devices. Furthermore, these

sensors display enhanced properties with short retaliation time, superior sensitivity, lower level of recognition, good recyclability and stability, and a sizeable linear reciprocation window for glucose concentrations (Toghill et al., 2010). However, electrodes based on nickel NPs show low electroanalysis strength because nickel NPs are easily degraded through detection (Toghill and Compton, 2010). Thus, finding a stable structure to increase electrode stability is a necessity (Lee et al., 2018; Mei et al., 2018; Mei et al., 2019; Nurhayati et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021). For instance, NiO@SiNPs based composite materials have also shown promising results towards the electrooxidation of glucose (Naikoo and Din Sheikh, 2019). Nickel oxide electrodes developed by Singer et al. (2020) showed high sensitivity of $4,400 \,\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ and a high LOD of 7 nM. Also, the resultant NEGS displayed a wide linear range of 0.5 µM-9 mM with excellent reproducibility and selectivity for glucose against ascorbic and uric acids, serotonin, and dopamine. In another similar study, a NEGS was developed using a platinum electrode functionalized by activated carbon nanotubes @ graphene oxide/nickel hydroxide-Nafion hybrid composite (Mohammadi et al., 2018). This sensor also showed a relatively high sensitivity of 40 nA and a LOD of $0.75 \,\mu$ M. In addition, the sensor was recorded to have high reproducibility, enhanced selectivity, and a rapid response time of less than one second.

Figure 6 discusses these steps of fabrication of nickel based NEGS and their electrodes. It also shows the electrochemical-atomic force microscopy (EC-AFM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) of the nickel modified electrodes used that gives an idea of the surface of the electrodes used.

TABLE 1 | Electrochemical detection of glucose on Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ti, Ir, Rh, Pt, Pd, Au based NEGS.

Electrode material	Linear range (mM)	Sensitivity (µAmM ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	Limit of detection (µM)	Response time (s)	Ref
Co3O4 NPs/GCE	0.005–0.8	520.7	0.13	_	Hou et al. (2012)
CoOOH nanosheets	Up to 0.5	967	10.9	_	Lee et al. (2012)
Co-Ni hydroxide nanostructures	0.00025-1	1911.5	0.127	_	Li et al. (2019)
Zn-Co-S BHS	0.005-0.1	2,734.4	2.98	20	Chang et al. (2020)
Co ₃ O ₄ nanostructures	0.5-4.5	27.3	0.8	_	Soomro et al. (2015)
CoNiCu Alloy	0.05-1.551	791	0.5	_	Gong et al. (2019)
Co(OH) ₂ /3D-graphene foam	0.1–10	3690	0.016	_	Shackery et al. (2016)
CoNiCu Alloy	1.551-4.050	322	0.5	_	Gong et al. (2019)
CuCo carbon nanofibers	0.02-1.1	507	1	_	Li et al. (2015)
Co-Ni nanorods	0.1-1	544	_	_	Vilana et al. (2015)
Co ₄ N nanosheets	0.6-10.0	1,137.2	0.1	1.7	Liu et al. (2018a)
Cobalt phosphate nanostructures	1–30	0.0079	0.3	_	Tomanin et al. (2018)
CoP nanorods/GCE	0–5.5	116.8	9	_	Sun et al. (2016)
Ni(OH) ₂ -NND	0.02-1 and 1-9	3.20 and 1.41	1.2	_	Ko et al. (2013)
Ni/NiO	0.0005–9	4,400	0.007	_	Singer et al. (2020)
Ni/PANI	0–7	76.8	10	_	Wang et al. (2021)
PtNi NPs/graphene	0.5–15	24.03	16	_	Li et al. (2020)
Ni-C composite	0.02-0.5	670	8	_	Marini et al. (2018)
NiO@SiNPs	Wide linear range	445	0.08	_	Naikoo and Din Sheikh, (2019)
NIO HPA/GCE	0.0025-1.10	1,323	0.32	_	He et al. (2018)
Ni(OH) ₂ /Ni foam	0.0000025-0.00105	2,617.4	2.5	_	Xia et al. (2017)
Ni ₅ P ₄ /GCE	0.002-5.3	149.6	0.7	_	Xiao et al. (2020)
ZnO nanorod	0.1–10	2.97	1,000	_	Chung et al. (2017)
ZnO-CuO NRs/FTO	0.001-8.45	2,961.8	0.40	<2	Ahmad et al. (2017a)
ZnO NPs/GCE	1–8.6	631.30	0.043	<4	Ahmad et al. (2017a)
ZnO nanorods	0.1-13.8	2.97	1,000	_	Chung et al. (2017)
MWCNT/ZnO QDs	0.0001-0.0025	9.36	0.208	<3 s	Vinoth et al. (2021)
Cu-CuO NWs/GCE	0.1-12	122.73	0.05	_	Wang et al. (2010)
PEDOT: PSS-CuO-MWCNTs/PGE	Up to 10	663.2	0.23	_	Amirzadeh et al. (2018)
Cu ₂ O-Zn	0.02-1	441.2	0.13	<3 s	Manna et al. (2020)
Ppy–CS–Fe ₃ O ₄ NP/ITO	1–16	12	234	<3 s	Abdul Amir AL-Mokaram et al. (2016)
Fe ₂ O ₃ -ZNRs	<18	_	~12	_	Ahmad et al. (2017b)
MnO ₂ /MWNTs nanocomposite	<28	33.19	_	_	Chen et al. (2008)
MnO ₂ /graphene composite	0.04-2	3.3	10	_	Liu et al. (2016a)
Ppy-CS-TiO₂	1–14	0.008	614	<3 s	AL-Mokaram et al. (2017)
IrO ₂ NFs	_	22.22	2.9	_	Dong et al. (2018a)
Rh ₂ O ₃ NCs	_	11.46	3.1	_	Dong et al. (2018b)
PtNFs-GO	0.002-10.3	1.26	2	<5 s	Wu et al. (2013)
Pd nanosponges	1–18	32	2	-	Chen et al. (2020)
Gold microelecctrodes	0.5–50	18,502	218	-	Hovancová et al. (2019)
Au@Ni	0.5–10	23.17	15.7	3 s	Gao et al. (2020)

The potential mechanism of electrocatalysis for NiO nanosheets against glucose is attributed to the redox reaction between glucose molecule and Ni²⁺ ions on the NiO surface under electrochemical conditions as shown below (Tomanin et al., 2018).

 $Ni^{2+} + OH^- \rightarrow Ni^{3+} + e^ Ni^{3+} + glucose \rightarrow Ni^{2+} + gluconic acid.$

Firstly, the electrochemical oxidation of Ni²⁺ to Ni³⁺ occurs, followed by electrooxidation of glucose ($C_6H_{12}O_6$) to gluconolactone ($C_6H_{10}O_6$), then converted to gluconic acid. Eventually, a gluconic acid combines with water producing gluconate and H⁺ ions (Bach et al., 2019).

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Ni are explored and discussed in **Table 1**.

Zinc-Based NEGS

Zinc oxide (ZnO) nanostructures have strong sensing performance toward the bio-analyte that makes them an excellent candidate to be employed as active sites in electrochemical biosensors (Li et al., 2014). ZnO nanostructures are easily synthesized at low temperatures and demonstrate various morphologies with excellent electrical characteristics, high crystallinity, and strong optical properties (Tripathy et al., 2012; Tripathy et al., 2016). Moreover, ZnO nanostructures offer a wide surface area for modifying nanostructures to obtain valuable NEG sensor devices (Ahmad et al., 2017a; Ahmad et al., 2017b). Multiple investigations on ZnO-based hybrid nanostructures have shown their improved catalytic activity due to their rapid electron transfer and the more excellent surface-to-volume ratio of mixed materials (Perumal et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2018a). For example, Vinoth et al. (2021) developed zinc oxide quantum dots on carbon



with permission from ref. (Toghill et al., 2010), copyright@2010 (Elsevier).

nanotubes nanocomposites based glucose sensors that showed a high sensitivity of 9.36 μ A μ M⁻¹ and a LOD of 0.208 μ M. The sensor also gave reproducible results within 3 s. In addition, the sensor displayed great selectivity to glucose molecules against ascorbic acid, sucrose, and dopamine. In another study by Haghparas et al. (2021), CuO/ZnO microstructures were developed that exhibited a wide dynamic range of 500 nM to 100 mM and high sensitivity of 1,536.80 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻². The sensor displayed a LOD of 357.5 nM and gave rapid results in 1.6 s. Likewise, similar observations were recorded in a study by Awais et al. (2021). The authors developed gold-ZnO nanorod based glucose sensors that demonstrated a wide linear range up to 15 mM, a LOD of 0.12 μ M, and great sensitivity of 4,416 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² The team recorded high reproducibility, selectivity, and stability of this sensor.

The mechanism of action of such a sensor was demonstrated by Dar et al. (2011), Ridhuan et al. (2018). The possible mechanism of glucose oxidation is as follows:

$$\begin{split} &O_2 \rightleftharpoons O_2 ads (ZnO)\\ &O_2 \ ads (ZnO) + 2e^- (ZnO) \rightleftharpoons 2O^- ads (O^-/O^-_2)\\ &Glucose \ + O^- \rightleftharpoons Glucono-\delta-lactone + 2e^- \end{split}$$

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Zn are explored and discussed in Table 1.

Copper-Based NEGS

Because of their high surface to volume ratio, copper-based nanomaterials serve as excellent candidates for NEGS development. Their high sensitivity and selectivity give them an upper hand over the other materials. These elements are cheaply available, show enhanced electrochemical features and allowed for easy tuning of the copper oxide structures within the sensor (Liu et al., 2016b). These properties were seen in the work put forward by Ahmad et al. (2017a). They developed highly active sensing electrodes by exploring ZnO nanorods functionalized with CuO, giving stable, selective, and reproducible results towards glucose electrooxidation. In other related studies carried out by Jiang et al. (2017) and Khoshroo et al. (2020) closely similar results were observed. In another study by Amirzadeh et al. (2018), a NEGS was developed based on copper oxide nanoparticles that showed high sensitivity and reproducibility, a broad linear range upto 10 mM and a high sensitivity of $663.2 \,\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$. Likewise, in a remarkable work put forward by Haghparas et al. (2020), a CuO hollow sphere structure based sensor was developed. This sensor



demonstrated a good linear range between 1 μM and 16 mM with a high sensitivity of 35.2 \pm 0.4 μA mM $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ and an extremely low limit of detection of 1 μM . In another recent study by the same author a NEGS was developed using CuO and ZnO microstructures. This sensor gave a very wide dynamic range of 500 nM-100 mM, sensitivity of 1,536.80 μA mM $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ and a LOD of 357.5 nM (Haghparas et al., 2021). In addition, the sensor showed a rapid response time of 1.6 s and displayed a prolonged shelf-life, great stability, reproducibility, and high selectivity for glucose molecules. Also, the authors recorded that this sensor could detection glucose molecules in human serum samples.

Figure 7 below shows the different steps involved in the preparation of the flexible electrochemical NEG sensor (f-ES) on a copper tape platform.

The plausible mechanism behind the glucose detection by NEGS composed of copper oxide is based on the oxidation of Cu(II) to Cu(III) as described below (Marioli and Kuwana, 1992).

$$CuO + OH^- \rightarrow CuOOH + e^-$$

 $CuO + H_2O + 2OH^- \rightarrow [Cu(OH)_4]^{2-} + e$

The second step involves deprotonation of glucose, followed by an oxidation step, and eventually hydroxylation.

Cu (III) + glucose +
$$e^- \rightarrow$$
 gluconolactone + Cu (II)
Gluconolactone \rightarrow gluconic acid

Another glucose oxidation pathway can also occur under alkaline conditions. In this reaction, compounds like formate and carbonate are formed as products. The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Cu are explored and discussed in Table 1.

Ferric Oxide-based NEGS

Various studies have been done on ferric oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Cummings et al., 2008; Xia and Ning, 2010; Masoomi-Godarzi et al., 2014; Abdul Amir AL-Mokaram et al., 2016; Morteza Naghib et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2017) at neutral pH. For instance, Raza and Ahmad (2018) developed a Fe@ZnO based sensor through an annealing process. **Figure 8** shows the different steps involved in the fabrication of iron-oxide based NEG sensor. This composite produced was dropped cast on a screen-printed electrode. The glucose detection occurred at a neutral pH of 7.4 and showed a LOD of 0.3 μ M. In another study by Abrori et al. (2020), Fe₃O₄ based NEGS was developed that could electrochemically detect glucose molecules and displayed a high sensitivity of 4.67 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² and a LOD of 15.70 μ M. The sensor displayed a high sensitivity and selectivity for glucose molecules.

The reaction occurs at the surface of ferric oxide, and the steps of the reaction for glucose detection include (Masoomi-Godarzi et al., 2014):

 $2Fe(III) + glucose \rightarrow 2Fe(II) + gluconolactone + H_2O$ gluconolactone + H₂O $\rightarrow 2H^+$ + gluconate $2Fe(II) \rightarrow 2Fe(III) + 2e^-$

Though the reaction occurs successfully, the sensor's sensitivity is lower than the sensors that function at a higher



pH level (Morteza Naghib et al., 2016). The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Fe are explored and discussed in **Table 1**.

Manganese Oxide-based NEGS

Various studies have been done on manganese oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Yang and Hu, 2010; Si et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016a). However, the lowered conductive properties of manganese oxide (MnO₂) films make it an unfavorable choice in glucose detection (Yang and Hu, 2010). In this study by Yang et al., such a sensor showed improved sensing of $18.9 \,\mu M^{-1} cm^{-2}$. Chen et al. (2008) showed that usage of multiwalled carbon nanotube also improved the conductivity and electrocatalytic capacity of MnO₂. The immediate interactions between MnO₂ and glucose molecules occur as (Si et al., 2013):

 $2MnO_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6$ (glucose) $\rightarrow 2MnOOH + C_6H_{10}O_6$ (gluconolactone)

Apart from MnO_2 , Mn_3O_4 also acts as a supreme catalyst in NEGS and possesses sensitivity of $360 \,\mu A \,m M^{-1} cm^{-2}$ during glucose detection (Zhuang et al., 2010). The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Mn are explored and discussed in **Table 1**.

Titanium Oxide-based NEGS

There have been various studies done on titanium oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Song et al., 2011; AL-Mokaram et al., 2017; Grochowska et al., 2019; Jeong et al., 2021). TiO₂ acts as a direct photocatalyst in NEGS owing to its enhanced surface to volume ratio (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017). Song et al. (2011) discovered the occurrence of a chemical change between TiO₂ and TiOOH during the oxidation of glucose molecules. The authors studied that when glucose molecules were subjected to UV light treatment in a Pt/titania nanotube-based sensor, the activity of the TiO₂ increased and therefore was a great candidate



FIGURE 9 | Titanium based NEGS (A) Schematic diagram of a three-electrode measuring system (B) Schematic diagram showing the preparation of CS-PPy/TiO₂ nanocomposite films on fluorine-doped tin oxide coated glass slide. Adapted with permission from ref. (Jeong et al., 2021), copyright@2021 (MDPI) (C) The electrochemical cell of Ppy-CS-TiO₂ film preparation. Adapted with permission from ref. (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017), copyright@2017 (MDPI) (D) Reproducibility and stability of 50 nm Au-TiO₂NTs electrode in the case of prolonged measuring (E) Reproducibility and mechanical bending of 50 nm Au-TiO₂NTs electrode (F) Reproducibility in 5 mM glucose in 0.1 M NaOH. Photograph shows the maximal deformation of electrode. Adapted with permission from ref. (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017), copyright@2019 (Elsevier) (G) The mechanism of Ppy-CS-TiO₂ film electrodeposition. Adapted with permission from ref. (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017), copyright@2017 (MDPI).

as catalysts in NEGS. During the sensing process, nanocomposite film was deposited on the ITO electrode, forming the CS-Ppy-TiO₂ nanocomposite film, as shown in **Figure 9**.

The possible mechanism of glucose oxidation using Ti based oxide occurs *via* the following steps (AL-Mokaram et al., 2017; Yen et al., 2020):

 $2 \operatorname{TiO}_2 + C_2 H_{12} O_6 (Glucose) \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{TiOOH} + C_6 H_{10} O_6 (Gluconolactone)$

 $\begin{array}{l} 2 \operatorname{Ti}(\mathrm{IV}) + C_2 H_{12} O_6 \left(\mathrm{Glucose} \right) \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Ti}(\mathrm{II}) + C_6 H_{10} O_6 \left(\mathrm{Gluconolactone} \right) + H_2 O_2 \\ C_6 H_{10} O_6 \left(\mathrm{Gluconolactone} \right) + H_2 O \rightarrow 2 H^+ + C_6 H_{12} O_7 \left(\mathrm{Gluconate} \right) \end{array}$

$$2 \operatorname{Ti}(\mathrm{II}) \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Ti}(\mathrm{IV}) + 2 e^{-1}$$

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Ti are explored and discussed in Table 1.

Iridium Oxide-based NEGS

There have been various studies done on iridium oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Dong et al., 2018a; Dong et al., 2019). NEGS are made using this composite *via* electro spin annealing method and show enhanced function under an alkaline environment. Dong et al. (2018a) studied IrO_2 nanofibers for glucose oxidation and concluded that due to the distinctive crystallinity of this nanofiber, it showed good electrocatalytic activity with a sensitivity of 22.22 μ A mM⁻¹cm⁻². The LOD of the sensor was noted to be 2.9 μ M. However, this catalyst shows lower sensitivity than other metal oxide-based nanomaterials, like cobalt oxide-based sensors. Therefore, the sensitivity of IrO_2 can be enhanced by doping electrospun nanoclusters with gold, as shown by Dong et al. (2019).

Glucose oxidation using IrO_2 has not been extensively studied. Ir is often linked to or supported with Ni structures, like IrO2@NiO core-sheath structure for the growth of Ni metal oxides that are further used for glucose sensing purposes (Wang et al., 2016b). The glucose oxidation on IrO_2 based sensor occurs in an alkaline solution and is a two-step process (Dong et al., 2018a):

 $IrO_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow IrO_2 (OH)_2 + 2e^ IrO_2 (OH)_2 + 2 glucose \rightarrow IrO_2 + 2 gluconolactone + 2H_2O$

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Ir are explored and discussed in **Table 1**.

Rhodium Oxide-based NEGS

There have been various studies done on rhodium oxide-based sensors for glucose detection (Dong et al., 2018b). Dong et al. (2018b) successfully showed that rhodium oxide nanocorals (Rh₂O₃ NCs) modified glass carbon electrode can be used during electrochemical glucose oxidation. This sensor showed a high sensitivity of 11.46 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² and a LOD of 3.1 μ M. The authors developed this sensor based on the study by Ding et al. (2010) who gave a two-step synthesis pathway for Co₃O₄ nanofibers that were cast on the surface of a glass carbon electrode and bound to Nafion. This Co₃O₄ NFs-Nafion/GCE based NEGS was also shown to perform well during electrooxidation of glucose in an alkaline environment.

Dong et al. (2018b) chose to predict the correlation between rhodium and cobalt because of the proximity of these two elements on the periodic table. Being from the same group, these elements show similar metal oxide property and thus similar catalytic activity during glucose oxidation. The mechanism of glucose oxidation on rhodium based sensors can be observed from the world put forward by this work. Dong et al. proposed the two-step mechanism of glucose oxidation on Rh_2O_3 nanoclusters in alkaline solution.

 $Rh_2O_3 + 2OH^- + H_2O \rightarrow 2RhO (OH)_2 + 2e^ 2RhO (OH)_2 + 2glucose \rightarrow Rh_2O_3 + 2glucolactone + 3H_2O$

The linear range, sensitivity, LOD, and response time of various electrode materials based on Rh are explored and discussed in **Table 1**.

Platinum Oxide-based NEGS

Pt nanomaterials show enhanced performance in glucose electrochemical detection, and many recent studies have supported this view (Sakr et al., 2020). In addition, these structures do not require complicated steps and are often produced in a single step reaction (Taurino et al., 2015; Figure 10). Pt-based biosensors demonstrate high reproducibility, stability, and sensitivity towards glucose detection. Wu et al. (2013) developed such a NEGS that displayed a rapid response time of fewer than 5 s and a wide linear range of 2 µM-10.3 mM with a high sensitivity of 1.26 μ A mM⁻¹ cm⁻² and a LOD of 2 μ M that is relatively low compared to other metal oxides NEGS. Many recent studies have stressed the high stability of Pt-based electrodes that increase the functionality and overall stability of such a sensor (Unmüssig et al., 2018). In addition, such modified sensors have been reported to display 10,000 times increased sensitivity under physiological pH conditions compared to the other sensors (Unmüssig et al., 2018).

Palladium Based NEGS

Waqas et al. recently developed palladium-based sensors. The employed mixed metal alloy nanoparticles that included Pd, Mn, and rGO and could detect glucose molecules under alkaline conditions. The hybrid sensor demonstrated superior electrochemical functioning during the sensing and enhanced sensitivity and selectivity towards glucose molecules (Waqas et al., 2020). In another similar study, excellent properties of palladium were studied that also showed high reproducibility and selectivity for glucose molecules during the detection (Promsuwan et al., 2019). In another research by Chen *et al.*, unique Pd nanosponge architectures were developed that showed a broad linear range of 1–18 mM and high sensitivity of $32 \,\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ (Chen et al., 2020). In addition, the sensor was found to be highly stable for long durations and displayed a LOD of 2 μ M during glucose sensing.

Gold-Based NEGS

Gold-based NEGS has also been shown to possess high stability, reproducibility, and selectivity for glucose molecules. The biggest advantage of these gold-based sensors is that they remain unaffected by changes in pH, temperature, and other chemicals in the vicinity (Gao et al., 2020). A novel and stable NEGS based on gold nanoclusters was developed by Hovancová et al. (2019). This sensor demonstrated a high sensitivity of 185.2 mA mM⁻¹cm⁻² and a linear range of 0.5–50 mM with a LOD of 218 μ M. In addition, the authors also noted that these



gold microelectrodes hold promise for the miniaturisation of the glucose-sensing systems. In another similar work, NEGS were developed based on gold-nickel nanoparticles and high sensitivity of 23.17 μ A cm⁻² mM⁻¹ was observed along with a LOD of 0.0157 mM in under 3 s (Gao et al., 2020). In addition, this sensor was undisturbed by toxic chemicals like chloride molecules and thus remained active for long durations. Similarly, in another recent study by Chen et al. (2021), closely similar observations were recorded. This sensor was based on gold nanoparticle-modified indium tin oxide electrode and boronate affinity and displayed a wide linear range of 0.5–30 mmol/L and a LOD of 43 µmol/L. This sensor showed a high functionality under physiological pH and was also found to be biocompatible. This gold-based are promising candidates for the development of enzyme-free glucose sensors.

CHALLENGES AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEGS

The challenges observed in the development of NEGS starts from the large number of production steps involved. These include the tedious process of cleaning electrodes, selecting the binders, and their respective usage. In addition, the preparation of electrode materials for NEGS and their loading activity results in increased time consumption and overall expenses. Moreover, the NEGS selectivity is affected by the enhanced contact resistance observed in catalysts and the current collector. Furthermore, the byproducts of glucose oxidation sometimes get attached to the surface of electrodes in NEGS, which affects the sensitivity (Rong et al., 2007). Challenges like the robustness of the NEGS also pose a significant concern. The other bottlenecks of NEGS include the possibility of low stabilization due to interference from oxidizable molecules like uric acids. And increased poisoning of electrode materials due to chloride ions in the actual serum or blood samples is one of the most significant drawbacks of NEG sensors. More importantly, as discussed in the previous section, these sensors show maximum activity under alkaline conditions, thus posing a substantial concern to detect glucose under the physiological pH range. Hence, their applicability in clinical settings is a significant concern that needs our attention.

Despite the numerous studies in recent years related to NEGS, more research needs to be done to enhance its design and development for the promising increase in selectivity, sensitivity, stability, response time, and affordability. The selectivity of NEGS can be increased by using sensors devoid of binders and electrodes based on nanofiber, gel, or foil-based membranes. Eventually, the issue due to chloride ion poisoning can be overcome by exploring advanced materials for the design and development of NEGS and making the sensor more resistant and better preserved. The composite materials such as active carbon and graphene combined with metal nanostructures and metal oxides can be potentially promising candidates for NEGS development (Gnana Kumar et al., 2017). In addition, as discussed previously, Pt, Pd, and Au based sensors function under the physiological pH range and thus can detect glucose molecules directly from blood samples. Hence, such sensors can employ noble metals or are functionalized with such elements to increase their functionality in the neutral pH range. In addition, pH can be regulated by attaching a bioelectronic pH control to the sensor, as Strakosas et al. (2019) successfully did in their studies. This change will help improve the working of the sensor to allow glucose sensing in biological fluids.

COMPARISON OF EGS WITH NEGS

Apart from the sensors, many methods are available to detect and quantify glucose levels in a given sample. Such methods include capillary zone electrophoresis (Sastre Toraño et al., 2019), Fourier transform spectroscopy (FTIR) (Petibois et al., 1999), highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Gika et al., among others. These methods, however, are 2016) comparatively more expensive and tedious because of the requirement of further steps during the detection process, laboratory professionals, and the inability to be developed into sophisticated sensing devices which has made electrochemical sensing devices better choices rather than cumbersome traditional methods. These detection systems show high sensitivity and selectivity. Additionally, they allow for a compact design that can be easily used in glucose diagnosis without trained professionals or expensive devices. These glucose sensors are either enzyme-based glucose sensors (EGS) or NEGS. The EGS requires an intermediary enzyme (glucose oxidase or glucose dehydrogenase) to detect and quantify the glucose samples accurately. On the contrary, NEGS do not necessarily need an intermediary; instead, they make the direct use of glucose available in the sample to quantify their levels.

EGS suffer from the consequences of enzyme denaturation, inefficiency in the transfer of electrons within electrode surface and enzymes, inconvenient immobilization techniques, inability to reproduce results, deformation due to heat and other external chemical molecules in the vicinity of the samples. NEGS plays a crucial role in solving these challenges. NEGS are comparatively cheaper than EGS. The lack of enzymes confer them better stability and leaves them unaffected by external conditions like pH, temperature, ionic strength (Wang, 2008; Popov et al., 2021). Moreover, their ability to give quick results with high sensitivity gives NEGS an upper hand on EGS. However, as discussed earlier, conditions like instability, activity loss, and surface poisoning may occur on rare occasions because of faulty or old electrodes in NEGS.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF NEGS

Metal oxides have gained widespread popularity in recent decades because of their unusual electrocatalytic activity and are used in many electrochemical devices (Hassan et al., 2021). As discussed before, metal oxides, including Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ti, Rh, Ir, Pt, Pd, and Au have proven to be promising elements for glucose oxidation in glucose sensing devices. These materials are easily, quickly, abundantly, and cheaply available in nature and are ecofriendly (Vennila et al., 2017). They also show enhanced catalytic activity (Fan et al., 2019). Moreover, sensors with two or more of these metals in combination also display excellent sensing results. Compared to traditional sensors that employ enzymes, metal oxide sensors do not undergo any enzymatic degradation and thus remain active for prolonged durations. For instance, Ramachandran et al. (2016) showed in their studies that NEGS developed using Ni-Co nanowires exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity towards glucose detection. In another similar research carried out by Suneesh et al. (2015), a NEGS based on Co-Cu alloy NPs also served as an excellent sensing device for quantifying glucose levels. In addition, NEGS has proven to exhibit better linear range and lowered potential at which it operates than EGS.

Despite the several advantages of metal oxide-based NEGS, there are a few shortcomings of NEGS. For instance, highly conductive carbon-based nanomaterials are the best choices for the electrooxidation of glucose; however, their stability is a big concern. Therefore, researchers have determined that metal oxides such as Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Ti, Mn, Ti, Ir, Rh and their bimetallic nanomaterials have promising potential to foster and promote NEGS in mass production. However, a few metal-based NEGS have shown lowered selectivity at high voltages (Gao et al., 2020). There is no sophisticated control of protective sheath, thickness, and pore size of the nanoporous layer to allow NEGS to bear the capacity to work on plasma, human serum, and blood when undiluted. Furthermore, disturbances arising from different electro-active and electro-inactive chemical species still needs adjustments (Hwang et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTIVE

Rapid progress in nanoscience and nanotechnology has fueled the diversification and sophistication of NEGS development over the past decade because of the alarming increase in diabetes worldwide. The significant advances in medical applications for enzyme-free systems using nanoporous materials as potential electrodes are the most distinguished outcome (Park et al., 2012). With the increasing number of diabetic cases worldwide, there is an urgent need to design and develop highly advanced NEGS capable of giving highly selective and

specific results. Despite the recent progress made in NEGS, there are still many shortcomings that still need to be adequately addressed. Further research needs to be carried out to understand better the effects of the host matrix's shape and structure during glucose detection and their interactions with each other. An in-depth analysis of changeable pore size and properties can develop a more significant number of active sites and better surface area, increasing the NEGS efficiency. Atomsbased, molecules-based, and electronic-based models can create a better protocol for the experiments using NEGS. This is also important to further understand the detailed mechanisms during glucose oxidation and their relation with the sensors. Improvement of NEG sensors for glucose detection has attracted scientists over the past couple of decades. Researchers have paid ample attention to metal-based electrodes as an alternative to electrodes based on noble metals to fabricate reliable glucose sensors. They showed promising potential in glucose sensing applications because of their high catalytic performance, selectivity, and sensitivity. However, noble metals being more versatile and retain their activity with changes in external environmental conditions (like temperature and pH), are better preferred for developing NEGS that can function under physiological range. Thus, metaloxides functionalized with noble metals and their alloys or hybrid electrode structures can be used to develop NEGS to detect glucose under clinical settings.

This review has briefly outlined the direct electrochemical oxidation of glucose as an excellent technique for glucose detection. Moreover, the oxidation mechanism applied to detect glucose by using Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ti, Rh, Ir, Pt,

REFERENCES

- Abdul Amir Al-Mokaram, A. M. A., Yahya, R., Abdi, M. M., and Muhammad Ekramul Mahmud, H. N. (2016). One-step Electrochemical Deposition of Polypyrrole-Chitosan-Iron Oxide Nanocomposite Films for Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensor. *Mater. Lett.* 183, 90–93. doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2016.07.049
- Abrori, S. A., Septiani, N. L. W., NugrahaAnshori, I., SuyatmanSuendo, V., et al. (2020). Metal-Organic-Framework FeBDC-Derived Fe3O4 for Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Detection of Glucose. *Sensors* 20, 4891. doi:10.3390/s20174891
- Abunahla, H., Mohammad, B., Alazzam, A., Jaoude, M. A., Al-Qutayri, M., Abdul Hadi, S., et al. (2019). MOMSense: Metal-Oxide-Metal Elementary Glucose Sensor. Sci. Rep. 9, 5524. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41892-w
- Gao, A., Zhang, X., Peng, X., Wu, H., Bai, L., Jin, W., et al. *In Situ* synthesis of Ni(OH)2/TiO2 Composite Film on NiTi alloy for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.* 232 (2016) 150–157. doi:10.1016/ j.snb.2016.03.122
- Ahmad, R., Ahn, M.-S., and Hahn, Y.-B. (2017). Fabrication of a Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Field-Effect Transistor Based on Vertically-Oriented ZnO Nanorods Modified with Fe 2 O 3. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 77, 107–111. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2017.03.006
- Ahmad, R., Tripathy, N., Ahn, M.-S., Bhat, K. S., Mahmoudi, T., Wang, Y., et al. (2017). Highly Efficient Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on CuO Modified Vertically-Grown ZnO Nanorods on Electrode. *Sci. Rep.* 7. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06064-8
- Al-Mokaram, A., Yahya, R., Abdi, M., and Mahmud, H. (2017). The Development of Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensors Based on Electrochemically Prepared Polypyrrole-Chitosan-Titanium Dioxide Nanocomposite Films. *Nanomaterials* 7, 129. doi:10.3390/nano7060129

Pd, Au nanomaterials has been detailed. Substantial findings have exposed that the sensors based on the materials mentioned earlier offer greater efficiency and could be a promising potential candidate for developing glucometer devices. To overcome the growing health apprehension because of the snowballing number of diabetics, it is of the utmost concern for researchers to develop efficient and reliable glucose sensors for the early detection of diabetic patients. Fabrication with metal oxide nanostructures will result in a combination of excellent properties and provide a novel approach for sensitive NEG sensors. Such efforts will mostly make the processes of diagnosis easier, quicker, and less invasive. Personalised medicine is also gaining interest, and it is predicted that overall results will empower the nanotechnology market. Although metal electrodes are appealing sensing candidates, further professional, academic and technological research is required for miniaturization and commercialization.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

GN, HS, IH, and TA acknowledge the support received from The Research Council (TRC) of Oman under the Grant (Ref: BFP/ RGP/HSS/18/122) to accomplish this work successfully.

- Amirzadeh, Z., Javadpour, S., Shariat, M. H., and Knibbe, R. (2018). Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Copper Oxide and Multi-wall Carbon Nanotubes Using PEDOT:PSS Matrix. Synth. Met. 245, 160–166. doi:10.1016/ j.synthmet.2018.08.021
- Ammara, S., Shamaila, S., zafar, N., Bokhari, A., and Sabah, A. (2018). Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor with High Performance Electrodeposited Nickel/copper/carbon Nanotubes Nanocomposite Electrode. *J. Phys. Chem. Sol.* 120, 12–19. doi:10.1016/j.jpcs.2018.04.015
- Avinash, B., Ravikumar, C. R., Kumar, M. R. A., Nagaswarupa, H. P., Santosh, M. S., Bhatt, A. S., et al. (2019). Nano CuO: Electrochemical Sensor for the Determination of Paracetamol and D-Glucose. *J. Phys. Chem. Sol.* 134, 193–200. doi:10.1016/j.jpcs.2019.06.012
- Awais, A., Arsalan, M., Qiao, X., Yahui, W., Sheng, Q., Yue, T., et al. (2021). Facial Synthesis of Highly Efficient Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Vertically Aligned Au-ZnO NRs. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 895, 115424. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2021.115424
- Bach, L. G., Thi, M. L. N., Bui, Q. B., and Nhac-Vu, H.-T. (2019). Hierarchical Cobalt Nanorods Shelled with Nickel Oxide Vertically Attached 3D Architecture as Non-binder and Free-Standing Sensor for Sensitive Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 118, 110504. doi:10.1016/ j.materresbull.2019.110504
- Baghayeri, M., Amiri, A., Alizadeh, Z., Veisi, H., and Hasheminejad, E. (2018). Non-enzymatic Voltammetric Glucose Sensor Made of Ternary NiO/Fe3O4-SH/para-Amino Hippuric Acid Nanocomposite. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 810, 69–77. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2018.01.007
- Baghayeri, M., Ansari, R., Nodehi, M., Razavipanah, I., and Veisi, H. (2018). Voltammetric Aptasensor for Bisphenol A Based on the Use of a MWCNT/ Fe3O4@gold Nanocomposite. *Microchim Acta* 185, 320. doi:10.1007/s00604-018-2838-y

- Beden, B., Largeaud, F., Kokoh, K. B., and Lamy, C. (1996). Fourier Transform Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopic Investigation of the Electrocatalytic Oxidation of D-Glucose: Identification of Reactive Intermediates and Reaction Products. *Electrochim. Acta.* doi:10.1016/0013-4686(95)00359-2
- Bruen, D., Delaney, C., Florea, L., and Diamond, D. (2017). Glucose Sensing for Diabetes Monitoring: Recent Developments. Sensors 17, 1866. doi:10.3390/ s17081866
- Burke, L. D., and Ryan, T. G. (1992). The Role of Incipient Hydrous Oxides in the Oxidation of Glucose and Some of its Derivatives in Aqueous media. *Electrochim. Acta* 37 (8), 1363–1370. doi:10.1016/0013-4686(92)87008-N
- Cataldi, T. R. I., Guerrieri, A., Casella, I. G., and Desimoni, E. (1995). Study of a Cobalt-Based Surface Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode: Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Sugars and Alditols. *Electroanalysis* 7, 305–311. doi:10.1002/ elan.1140070402
- Chang, G., Shu, H., Ji, K., Oyama, M., Liu, X., and He, Y. (2014). Gold Nanoparticles Directly Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode for Nonenzymatic Detection of Glucose. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 288, 524–529. doi:10.1016/ j.apsusc.2013.10.064
- Chang, H.-W., Su, C.-W., Tian, J.-H., and Tsai, Y.-C. (2020). Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing Based on Incorporation of Carbon Nanotube into Zn-Co-S Ball-in-Ball Hollow Sphere. *Sensors* 20, 4340. doi:10.3390/s20154340
- Chen, F., Li, J.-H., Chi, Y.-C., Dan, Z.-H., and Qin, F.-X. (2020). Synthesis of Novel Pd Nanosponges for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 20, 7333–7341. doi:10.1166/jnn.2020.18753
- Chen, J., Zhang, W.-D., and Ye, J.-S. (2008). Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on MnO2/MWNTs Nanocomposite. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 10, 1268–1271. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2008.06.022
- Chen, M., Cao, X., Chang, K., Xiang, H., and Wang, R. (2021). A Novel Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Au Nanoparticle-Modified Indium Tin Oxide Electrode and Boronate Affinity. *Electrochimica Acta* 368, 137603. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2020.137603
- Cheng, Z., Wang, E., and Yang, X. (2001). Capacitive Detection of Glucose Using Molecularly Imprinted Polymers. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 16 (3), 179–185. doi:10.1016/S0956-5663(01)00137-3
- Chinnadayyala, S. R., and Cho, S. (2021). Porous Platinum Black-Coated Minimally Invasive Microneedles for Non-enzymatic Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Interstitial Fluid. *Nanomaterials* 11, 37. doi:10.3390/ nano11010037
- Chung, R.-J., Wang, A.-N., Liao, Q.-L., and Chuang, K.-Y. (2017). Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Composed of Carbon-Coated Nano-Zinc Oxide. *Nanomaterials* 7, 36. doi:10.3390/nano7020036
- Cummings, C. Y., Bonné, M. J., Edler, K. J., Helton, M., McKee, A., and Marken, F. (2008). Direct Reversible Voltammetry and Electrocatalysis with Surface-Stabilised Fe2O3 Redox States. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 10, 1773–1776. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2008.09.018
- Dai, H., Cao, P., Chen, D., Li, Y., Wang, N., Ma, H., et al. (2018). Ni-Co-S/PPy Core-Shell Nanohybrid on Nickel Foam as a Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor. Synth. Met. 235, 97–102. doi:10.1016/j.synthmet.2017.12.004
- Dar, G. N., Umar, A., Zaidi, S. A., Baskoutas, S., Kim, S. H., Abaker, M., et al. (2011). Fabrication of Highly Sensitive Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensor Based on ZnO Nanorods. *Sci. Adv. Mat* 3, 901–906. doi:10.1166/sam.2011.1242
- de Mele, M. F. L., Videla, H. A., and Arvía, A. J. (1982). Potentiodynamic Study of Glucose Electro-Oxidation at Bright Platinum Electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 129, 2207–2213. doi:10.1149/1.2123476
- Ding, L., Zhao, M., Fan, S., Ma, Y., Liang, J., Wang, X., et al. (2016). Preparing Co3O4 Urchin-like Hollow Microspheres Self-Supporting Architecture for Improved Glucose Biosensing Performance. *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.* 235, 162–169. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.05.068
- Ding, Y., Wang, Y., Su, L., Bellagamba, M., Zhang, H., and Lei, Y. (2010). Electrospun Co3O4 Nanofibers for Sensitive and Selective Glucose Detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 26, 542–548. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2010.07.050
- Dong, Q., Huang, Y., Song, D., Wu, H., Cao, F., and Lei, Y. (2018). Dual Functional Rhodium Oxide Nanocorals Enabled Sensor for Both Non-enzymatic Glucose and Solid-State pH Sensing. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 112, 136–142. doi:10.1016/ j.bios.2018.04.021
- Dong, Q., Song, D., Huang, Y., Xu, Z., Chapman, J. H., Willis, W. S., et al. (2018). High-temperature Annealing Enabled Iridium Oxide Nanofibers for Both

Non-enzymatic Glucose and Solid-State pH Sensing. *Electrochimica Acta* 281, 117–126. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2018.04.205

- Dong, Q., Wang, X., Liu, H., Ryu, H., Zhao, J., Li, B., et al. (2019). Heterogeneous Iridium Oxide/gold Nanocluster for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing and pH Probing. *Eng. Sci.* 281, 117–126. doi:10.30919/es8d512
- Fan, H.-H., Weng, W.-L., Lee, C.-Y., and Liao, C.-N. (2019). Electrochemical Cycling-Induced Spiky CuxO/Cu Nanowire Array for Glucose Sensing. ACS Omega 4, 12222–12229. doi:10.1021/acsomega.9b01730
- Gao, X., Du, X., Liu, D., Gao, H., Wang, P., and Yang, J. (2020). Core-shell Gold-Nickel Nanostructures as Highly Selective and Stable Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor for Fermentation Process. *Sci. Rep.* 10. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-58403-x
- Gika, H., Kaklamanos, G., Manesiotis, P., and Theodoridis, G. (2016). Chromatography: High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. *Encycl. Food Heal.*, 93–99. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-384947-2.00159-8
- Gnana Kumar, G., Amala, G., and Gowtham, S. M. (2017). Recent Advancements, Key Challenges and Solutions in Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensors Based on Graphene Platforms. *RSC Adv.* 7, 36949–36976. doi:10.1039/c7ra02845h
- Gong, X., Gu, Y., Zhang, F., Liu, Z., Li, Y., Chen, G., et al. (2019). High-performance Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors Based on CoNiCu alloy Nanotubes Arrays Prepared by Electrodeposition. *Front. Mater.* 6. doi:10.3389/fmats.2019.00003
- Guler, M., and Dilmac, Y. (2019). Palladium Nanoparticles Decorated (3aminopropyl)triethoxysilane Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide for Electrochemical Determination of Glucose and Hydrogen Peroxide. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 834, 49–55. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2018.12.052
- Haghparas, Z., Kordrostami, Z., Sorouri, M., Rajabzadeh, M., and Khalifeh, R. (2020). Fabrication of Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Nano-Copper Oxide Micro Hollow-Spheres. *Biotechnol. Bioproc. E.* 25, 528–535. doi:10.1007/s12257-020-0058-x
- Haghparas, Z., Kordrostami, Z., Sorouri, M., Rajabzadeh, M., and Khalifeh, R. (2021). Highly Sensitive Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Dumbbell-Shaped Double-Shelled Hollow Nanoporous CuO/ZnO Microstructures. Sci. Rep. 11. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-79460-2
- Han, L., Yang, D.-P., and Liu, A. (2015). Leaf-templated Synthesis of 3D Hierarchical Porous Cobalt Oxide Nanostructure as Direct Electrochemical Biosensing Interface with Enhanced Electrocatalysis. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 63, 145–152. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.07.031
- Hassan, I. U., Salim, H., Naikoo, G. A., Awan, T., Dar, R. A., Arshad, F., et al. (2021). A Review on Recent Advances in Hierarchically Porous Metal and Metal Oxide Nanostructures as Electrode Materials for Supercapacitors and Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors. J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 25, 101228. doi:10.1016/ j.jscs.2021.101228
- He, G., Tian, L., Cai, Y., Wu, S., Su, Y., Yan, H., et al. (2018). Sensitive Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Detection Based on Hollow Porous NiO. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 13. doi:10.1186/s11671-017-2406-0
- Hossain, M. F., and Slaughter, G. (2020). PtNPs Decorated Chemically Derived Graphene and Carbon Nanotubes for Sensitive and Selective Glucose Biosensing. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 861, 113990. doi:10.1016/ j.jelechem.2020.113990
- Hosu, O., Lettieri, M., Papara, N., Ravalli, A., Sandulescu, R., Cristea, C., et al. (2019). Colorimetric Multienzymatic Smart Sensors for Hydrogen Peroxide, Glucose and Catechol Screening Analysis. *Talanta* 204, 525–532. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2019.06.041
- Hou, C., Xu, Q., Yin, L., and Hu, X. (2012). Metal-organic Framework Templated Synthesis of Co3O4 Nanoparticles for Direct Glucose and H2O2 Detection. *Analyst* 137, 5803. doi:10.1039/c2an35954e
- Hovancová, J., Šišoláková, I., Vanýsek, P., Oriňaková, R., Shepa, I., Vojtko, M., et al. (2019). Nanostructured Gold Microelectrodes for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor. *Electroanalysis* 31, 1680–1689. doi:10.1002/elan.201900163
- Hussain, B., Akhtar Raja, M. Y., Lu, N., and Ferguson, I. (2013). Applications and Synthesis of Zinc Oxide: An Emerging Wide Bandgap Material, HONET-CNS 2013, 2013. *High Capacit. Opt. Networks Emerging/enabling Technol.* doi:10.1109/HONET.2013.6729763
- Hwang, D.-W., Lee, S., Seo, M., and Chung, T. D. (2018). Recent Advances in Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors - A Review. *Analytica Chim. Acta* 1033, 1–34. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2018.05.051
- IDF (2019). IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th edition.

- Janyasupab, M., and Promptmas, C. (2019). "Development of Non-enzymatic N-Doped Graphene Supported Cobalt/iron Amperometric Based Sensor for Glucose Detection in Urine," in 2018 IEEE EMBS Conf. Biomed. Eng. Sci. IECBES 2018–Proc. doi:10.1109/IECBES.2018.8626693
- Jayram, N. D., Aishwarya, D., Sonia, S., Mangalaraj, D., Kumar, P. S., and Rao, G. M. (2016). Analysis on Superhydrophobic Silver Decorated Copper Oxide Nanostructured Thin Films for SERS Studies. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 477, 209–219. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2016.05.051
- Jeong, H., Yoo, J., Park, S., Lu, J., Park, S., and Lee, J. (2021). Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensor Based on Highly Pure TiO2 Nanoparticles. *Biosensors* 11, 149. doi:10.3390/bios11050149
- Jiang, J., Zhang, P., Liu, Y., and Luo, H. (2017). A Novel Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on a Cu-Nanoparticle-Modified Graphene Edge Nanoelectrode. *Anal. Methods* 9, 2205–2210. doi:10.1039/c7ay00084g
- Joshna, P., Hazra, A., Chappanda, K. N., Pattnaik, P. K., and Kundu, S. (2020). Fast Response of UV Photodetector Based on Ag Nanoparticles Embedded Uniform TiO2 Nanotubes Array. Semicond. Sci. Technol. 35, 015001. doi:10.1088/1361-6641/ab52f1
- Juodkazytė, J., Šebeka, B., Savickaja, I., Petrulevičienė, M., Butkutė, S., Jasulaitienė, V., et al. (2019). Electrolytic Splitting of saline Water: Durable Nickel Oxide Anode for Selective Oxygen Evolution. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* 44, 5929–5939. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.01.120
- Vilana, J., Lorenzo, M., Gómez, E., and Vallés, E., Electrochemical Deposition of CoNi Micro/nanostructures as New Materials for Electrochemical Sensing of Glucose, *Mater. Lett.* 159 (2015) 154–158. doi:10.1016/ j.matlet.2015.06.116
- Grochowska, K., Ryl, J., Karczewski, J., Śliwiński, G., Cenian, A., and Siuzdak, K., Non-enzymatic Flexible Glucose Sensing Platform Based on Nanostructured TiO2–Au Composite, J. Electroanalytical Chem. 837 (2019) 230–239. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2019.02.040
- Khoshroo, A., Sadrjavadi, K., Taran, M., and Fattahi, A. (2020). Electrochemical System Designed on a Copper Tape Platform as a Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 325, 128778. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2020.128778
- Ko, C.-Y., Huang, J.-H., Raina, S., and Kang, W. P. (2013). A High Performance Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Nickel Hydroxide Modified Nitrogen-Incorporated Nanodiamonds. *Analyst* 138, 3201. doi:10.1039/ c3an36679k
- Kulkarni, S. S., Sawarkar Mahavidyalaya, S., and Shirsat, M. D. (2015). Optical and Structural Properties of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Phys. Sci.* 2 (1), 14–18.
- Lee, K. K., Loh, P. Y., Sow, C. H., and Chin, W. S. (2012). CoOOH Nanosheets on Cobalt Substrate as a Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 20, 128–132. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2012.04.012
- Lee, S.-K., Song, M.-J., and Lim, D.-S. (2018). Morphology Control of 3D-Networked boron-doped diamond Nanowires and its Electrochemical Properties. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 820, 140–145. doi:10.1016/ j.jelechem.2018.04.056
- Lertanantawong, B., O'Mullane, A. P., Surareungchai, W., Somasundrum, M., Burke, L. D., and Bond, A. M. (2008). Study of the Underlying Electrochemistry of Polycrystalline Gold Electrodes in Aqueous Solution and Electrocatalysis by Large Amplitude Fourier Transformed Alternating Current Voltammetry. *Langmuir* 24, 2856–2868. doi:10.1021/la702454k
- Li, E., Wang, D., Fan, P., Zhang, R., Zhang, Y.-Y., Li, G., et al. (2018). Construction of Bilayer PdSe2 on Epitaxial Graphene. *Nano Res.* 11, 5858–5865. doi:10.1007/ s12274-018-2090-0
- Li, H., Zhang, L., Mao, Y., Wen, C., and Zhao, P. (2019). A Simple Electrochemical Route to Access Amorphous Co-ni Hydroxide for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 14. doi:10.1186/s11671-019-2966-2
- Li, H., Zhou, K., Cao, J., Wei, Q., Lin, C.-T., Pei, S. E., et al. (2021). A Novel Modification to boron-doped diamond Electrode for Enhanced, Selective Detection of Dopamine in Human Serum. *Carbon* 171, 16–28. doi:10.1016/ j.carbon.2020.08.019
- Li, J., He, X., Wu, Z., Wang, K., Shen, G., and Yu, R. (2003). Piezoelectric Immunosensor Based on Magnetic Nanoparticles with Simple Immobilization Procedures. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* doi:10.1016/S0003-2670(03)00089-8
- Li, M., Bo, X., Zhang, Y., Han, C., and Guo, L. (2014). Comparative Study on the Oxygen Reduction Reaction Electrocatalytic Activities of Iron Phthalocyanines Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide, Mesoporous Carbon Vesicle, and

Ordered Mesoporous Carbon. J. Power Sourc. 264, 114-122. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.04.101

- Li, M., Liu, L., Xiong, Y., Liu, X., Nsabimana, A., Bo, X., et al. (2015). Bimetallic MCo (M=Cu, Fe, Ni, and Mn) Nanoparticles Doped-Carbon Nanofibers Synthetized by Electrospinning for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 207, 614–622. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2014.10.092
- Li, R., Deng, X., and Xia, L. (2020). Non-enzymatic Sensor for Determination of Glucose Based on PtNi Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene. *Sci. Rep.* 10. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-73567-2
- Liu, Q., Jiang, Z., Tang, Y., Yang, X., Wei, M., and Zhang, M. (2018). A Facile Synthesis of a 3D High-index Au NCs@CuO Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide for Glucose Sensing. *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.* 255, 454–462. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.08.092
- Liu, S., Hui, K. S., and Hui, K. N. (2016). Flower-like Copper Cobaltite Nanosheets on Graphite Paper as High-Performance Supercapacitor Electrodes and Enzymeless Glucose Sensors. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 8, 3258–3267. doi:10.1021/acsami.5b11001
- Liu, T., Li, M., and Guo, L. (2018). Designing and Facilely Synthesizing a Series of Cobalt Nitride (Co4N) Nanocatalysts as Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors: A Comparative Study toward the Influences of Material Structures on Electrocatalytic Activities. *Talanta* 181, 154–164. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2017.12.082
- Liu, Y., Zhang, X., He, D., Ma, F., Fu, Q., and Hu, Y. (2016). An Amperometric Glucose Biosensor Based on a MnO2/graphene Composite Modified Electrode. *RSC Adv.* 6, 18654–18661. doi:10.1039/c6ra02680j

Loeb, W. (1909). Sugar Decomposition. III. Electrolysis of Dextrose. Biochem. Z.

- Shabnam, L., Faisal, S. N., Roy, A. K., Haque, E., Minett, A. I., and Gomes, V. G., Doped graphene/Cu Nanocomposite: A High Sensitivity Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor for Food, *Food Chem.* 221 (2017) 751–759. doi:10.1016/ j.foodchem.2016.11.107
- Lv, Y., Jin, S., Wang, Y., Lun, Z., and Xia, C. (2016). Recent Advances in the Application of Nanomaterials in Enzymatic Glucose Sensors. J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 13, 1767–1776. doi:10.1007/s13738-016-0894-y
- Wang, L., Zhuang, S., Wang, L., Wang, N., Mo, H., Tang, Y., et al. One Step Synthesis of Hierarchical Cu nanoparticles-Co(OH)2 nanoflakes/Nifoam Electrode for Ultrasensitive Detection of Glucose, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 467-468 (2019) 773–781. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.10.124
- Ma, M., Zhe, T., Ma, Y., Wang, Z., Chen, Q., and Wang, J. (2018). Highly Sensitive and Reproducible Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Fabricated by Drop-Casting Novel Nanocomposite with 3D Architecture and Tailorable Properties Prepared in Controllable Way. *Talanta* 180, 133–143. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2017.12.052
- Ma, Q., Wang, J., Li, Z., Lv, X., Liang, L., and Yuan, Q. (2019). Recent Progress in Time-Resolved Biosensing and Bioimaging Based on Lanthanide-Doped Nanoparticles. *Small* 15, 1804969. doi:10.1002/smll.201804969
- Manna, A. K., Guha, P., Solanki, V. J., Srivastava, S. K., and Varma, S. (2020). Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing with Hybrid Nanostructured Cu2O-ZnO Prepared by Single-step Coelectrodeposition Technique. J. Solid State. Electrochem. 24, 1647–1658. doi:10.1007/s10008-020-04635-w
- Marie, M., Manoharan, A., Kuchuk, A., Ang, S., and Manasreh, M. O. (2018). Vertically Grown Zinc Oxide Nanorods Functionalized with Ferric Oxide for *In Vivo* and Non-enzymatic Glucose Detection. *Nanotechnology* 29, 115501. doi:10.1088/1361-6528/aaa682
- Marini, S., Ben Mansour, N., Hjiri, M., Dhahri, R., El Mir, L., Espro, C., et al. (2018). Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Nickel/Carbon Composite. *Electroanalysis* 30, 727–733. doi:10.1002/elan.201700687
- Marioli, J. M., and Kuwana, T. (1992). Electrochemical Characterization of Carbohydrate Oxidation at Copper Electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta.* doi:10.1016/0013-4686(92)85055-P
- Masoomi-Godarzi, S., Khodadadi, A. A., Vesali-Naseh, M., and Mortazavi, Y. (2014). Highly Stable and Selective Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensor Using Carbon Nanotubes Decorated by Fe3O4Nanoparticles. J. Electrochem. Soc. 161, B19–B25. doi:10.1149/2.057401jes
- Mei, R., Wei, Q., Zhu, C., Ye, W., Zhou, B., Ma, L., et al. (2019). 3D Macroporous boron-doped diamond Electrode with Interconnected Liquid Flow Channels: A High-Efficiency Electrochemical Degradation of RB-19 Dye Wastewater under Low Current. Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 245, 420–427. doi:10.1016/ j.apcatb.2018.12.074

- Mei, X., Wei, Q., Long, H., Yu, Z., Deng, Z., Meng, L., et al. (2018). Long-term Stability of Au Nanoparticle-Anchored Porous boron-doped diamond Hybrid Electrode for Enhanced Dopamine Detection. *Electrochimica Acta* 271, 84–91. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2018.03.133
- Mohammadi, S., Taheri, A., and Rezayati-Zadorcid, Z. (2018). Ultrasensitive and Selective Non-enzymatic Glucose Detection Based on Pt Electrode Modified by Carbon Nanotubes@ Graphene Oxide/Nickel Hydroxide-Nafion Hybrid Composite in Alkaline media. Prog. Chem. Biochem. Res., 1–10. doi:10.29088/sami/pcbr.2018.1.110
- Mohd Yazid, S. N. A., Md Isa, I., Abu Bakar, S., Hashim, N., and Ab Ghani, S. (2014). A Review of Glucose Biosensors Based on Graphene/Metal Oxide Nanomaterials. Anal. Lett. 47, 1821–1834. doi:10.1080/00032719.2014.888731
- Morales, D. V., Astudillo, C. N., Lattach, Y., Urbano, B. F., Pereira, E., Rivas, B. L., et al. (2018). Nickel Oxide-Polypyrrole Nanocomposite Electrode Materials for Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 8, 4030–4043. doi:10.1039/c7cy01949a
- Morteza Naghib, S., Rahmanian, M., Keivan, M. A., Asiaei, S., and Vahidi, O. (2016). Novel Magnetic Nanocomposites Comprising Reduced Graphene oxide/Fe3O4/gelatin Utilized in Ultrasensitive Non- Enzymatic Biosensing. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 10256–10269. doi:10.20964/2016.12.29
- Naikoo, G. A., and Din Sheikh, M. U. (2019). Development of Highly Efficient NiO Based Composite Materials for Ultra-sensitive Glucose Sensors Non Enzymatic Glucose Sensors. Proc. Int. Conf. Sens. Technol. ICST. doi:10.1109/ ICST46873.2019.9047722
- Nain, V., Kaur, M., Sandhu, K. S., Thory, R., and Sinhmar, A. (2020). Development, Characterization, and Biocompatibility of Zinc Oxide Coupled Starch Nanocomposites from Different Botanical Sources. *Int. J. Biol. Macromolecules* 162, 24–30. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.125
- Narayana, A., Bhat, S. A., Fathima, A., Lokesh, S. V., Surya, S. G., and Yelamaggad, C. V. (2020). Green and Low-Cost Synthesis of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and Their Application in Transistor-Based Carbon Monoxide Sensing. *RSC Adv.* 10, 13532–13542. doi:10.1039/d0ra00478b
- Niu, X. H., Shi, L. B., Zhao, H. L., and Lan, M. B. (2016). Advanced Strategies for Improving the Analytical Performance of Pt-Based Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensors: A Minireview. Anal. Methods 8, 1755–1764. doi:10.1039/c5ay03181h
- Numan, A., Shahid, M. M., Omar, F. S., Ramesh, K., and Ramesh, S. (2017). Facile Fabrication of Cobalt Oxide Nanograin-Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite as Ultrasensitive Platform for Dopamine Detection. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 238, 1043–1051. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.07.111
- Nurhayati, E., Bagastyo, A. Y., Hartatik, D. D., and Direstiyani, L. C. (2020). The Enhancement of Biodegradability index of Mature Landfill Leachate by Electrochemical Oxidation Process Using boron-doped diamond and Dimensionally Stable Anode. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 46, 4811–4822. doi:10.1007/s11164-020-04242-4
- Ognjanović, M., Stanković, D. M., Ming, Y., Zhang, H., Jančar, B., Dojčinović, B., et al. (2019). Bifunctional (Zn,Fe)3O4 Nanoparticles: Tuning Their Efficiency for Potential Application in Reagentless Glucose Biosensors and Magnetic Hyperthermia. J. Alloys Compd. 777, 454–462. doi:10.1016/ j.jallcom.2018.10.369
- Kumar, P. A., Stanley, J., Babu, T. G. S., and Suneesh, P. V., Synthesis of Nickel-Aluminium Layered Double Hydroxide and itsApplication in Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Mater. Today Proc.* 5 (2018) 16125–16131. doi:10.1016/ j.matpr.2018.05.098
- Park, S., Park, S., Jeong, R.-A., Boo, H., Park, J., Kim, H. C., et al. (2012). Nonenzymatic Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Human Whole Blood Using Electrified Nanoporous Pt. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 31, 284–291. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2011.10.033
- Perumal, V., Hashim, U., Gopinath, S. C. B., Haarindraprasad, R., Foo, K. L., Balakrishnan, S. R., et al. (2015). 'Spotted Nanoflowers': Gold-Seeded Zinc Oxide Nanohybrid for Selective Bio-Capture. Sci. Rep. 5. doi:10.1038/srep12231
- Petibois, C., Rigalleau, V., Melin, A.-M., Perromat, A., Cazorla, G., Gin, H., et al. (1999). Determination of Glucose in Dried Serum Samples by Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. *Clin. Chem.* 45, 1530–1535. doi:10.1093/ clinchem/45.9.1530
- Piri, F., Shafiee Afarani, M., and Arabi, A. M. (2019). Synthesis of Copper Oxide Quantum Dots: Effect of Surface Modifiers. *Mater. Res. Express* 6, 125006. doi:10.1088/2053-1591/ab548d

- Popov, A., Aukstakojyte, R., Gaidukevic, J., Lisyte, V., Kausaite-Minkstimiene, A., Barkauskas, J., et al. (2021). Reduced Graphene Oxide and Polyaniline Nanofibers Nanocomposite for the Development of an Amperometric Glucose Biosensor. Sensors 21, 948. doi:10.3390/s21030948
- Pradeeswari, K., Venkatesan, A., Pandi, P., Karthik, K., Hari Krishna, K. V., and Mohan Kumar, R. (2019). Study on the Electrochemical Performance of ZnO Nanoparticles Synthesized via Non-aqueous Sol-Gel Route for Supercapacitor Applications. *Mater. Res. Express* 6, 105525. doi:10.1088/2053-1591/ab3cae
- Promsuwan, K., Kachatong, N., and Limbut, W. (2019). Simple Flow Injection System for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing Based on an Electrode Modified with Palladium Nanoparticles-Graphene Nanoplatelets/mullti-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Electrochimica Acta* 320, 134621. doi:10.1016/ j.electacta.2019.134621
- Vennila, P., Yoo, D. J., Kim, A. R., and Kumar, G. G., Ni-Co/Fe3O4 Flower-like Nanocomposite for the Highly Sensitive and Selective Enzyme Free Glucose Sensor Applications, J. Alloys Compd. 703 (2017) 633–642. doi:10.1016/ j.jallcom.2017.01.044
- Rajendran, S., Manoj, D., Raju, K., Dionysiou, D. D., Naushad, M., Gracia, F., et al. (2018). Influence of Mesoporous Defect Induced Mixed-Valent NiO (Ni2+/Ni3+)-TiO2 Nanocomposite for Non-enzymatic Glucose Biosensors. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 264, 27–37. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.165
- Ramachandran, K., Raj Kumar, T., Babu, K. J., and Gnana Kumar, G. (2016). Ni-Co Bimetal Nanowires Filled Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes for the Highly Sensitive and Selective Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Applications. *Sci. Rep.* 6. doi:10.1038/srep36583
- Raza, W., and Ahmad, K. (2018). A Highly Selective Fe@ZnO Modified Disposable Screen Printed Electrode Based Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor (SPE/Fe@ ZnO). Mater. Lett. 212, 231–234. doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2017.10.100
- Reetz, M. T., Zonta, A., Vijayakrishnan, V., and Schimossek, K. (1998). Entrapment of Lipases in Hydrophobic Magnetite-Containing Sol-Gel Materials: Magnetic Separation of Heterogeneous Biocatalysts. J. Mol. Catal. A. Chem. doi:10.1016/ S1381-1169(98)00043-0
- Ridhuan, N. S., Abdul Razak, K., and Lockman, Z. (2018). Fabrication and Characterization of Glucose Biosensors by Using Hydrothermally Grown ZnO Nanorods. Sci. Rep. 8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32127-5
- Rong, L., Yang, C., Qian, Q., and Xia, X. (2007). Study of the Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Highly Dispersed Pt Nanoparticles Supported on Carbon Nanotubes. *Talanta* 72, 819–824. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2006.12.037
- Sakr, M. A., Elgammal, K., Delin, A., and Serry, M. (2020). Performance-Enhanced Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Graphene-Heterostructure. *Sensors* 20, 145. doi:10.3390/s20010145
- Salihoglu, O., and Kahlout, Y. E. (2019). Doped Silicon Nanowires for Lithium Ion Battery Anodes. *Mat. Res.* 22, 2318–2323. doi:10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2018-0303
- Sastre Toraño, J., Ramautar, R., and de Jong, G. (2019). Advances in Capillary Electrophoresis for the Life Sciences. J. Chromatogr. B. 1118-1119, 116–136. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.04.020
- Seh, Z. W., Kibsgaard, J., Dickens, C. F., Chorkendorff, I., Nørskov, J. K., and Jaramillo, T. F. (2017). Combining Theory and experiment in Electrocatalysis: Insights into Materials Design. *Science* 355, eaad4998. doi:10.1126/ science.aad4998
- Sehit, E., and Altintas, Z. (2020). Significance of Nanomaterials in Electrochemical Glucose Sensors: An Updated Review (2016-2020). *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 159, 112165. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2020.112165
- Sehit, E., Drzazgowska, J., Buchenau, D., Yesildag, C., Lensen, M., and Altintas, Z. (2020). Ultrasensitive Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Gold Nanoparticles and Molecularly Imprinted Polymers. *Biosens. Bioelectron*. 165, 112432. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2020.112432
- Shabbir, S. A., Shamaila, S., Sharif, R., Zafar, N., Latif, H., and Ashiq, M. G. B. (2020). Electrophoretic Deposition of Uniform Carbon Nanotubes for Nickel Nanocomposites Based Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor. *Sens. Lett.* 18, 427–435. doi:10.1166/sl.2020.4237
- Shackery, I., Patil, U., Pezeshki, A., Shinde, N. M., Im, S., and Jun, S. C. (2016). Enhanced Non-enzymatic Amperometric Sensing of Glucose Using Co(OH)2 Nanorods Deposited on a Three Dimensional Graphene Network as an Electrode Material. *Microchim. Acta* 183, 2473–2479. doi:10.1007/s00604-016-1890-8

- Shu, Y., Li, B., Chen, J., Xu, Q., Pang, H., and Hu, X. (2018). Facile Synthesis of Ultrathin Nickel-Cobalt Phosphate 2D Nanosheets with Enhanced Electrocatalytic Activity for Glucose Oxidation. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 10, 2360–2367. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b17005
- Si, P., Dong, X.-C., Chen, P., and Kim, D.-H. (2013). A Hierarchically Structured Composite of Mn3O4/3D Graphene Foam for Flexible Nonenzymatic Biosensors. J. Mater. Chem. B. 1, 110–115. doi:10.1039/c2tb00073c
- Singer, N., Pillai, R. G., Johnson, A. I. D., Harris, K. D., and Jemere, A. B. (2020). Nanostructured Nickel Oxide Electrodes for Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensing. *Microchim. Acta* 187. doi:10.1007/s00604-020-4171-5
- Song, Y.-Y., Gao, Z., Lee, K., and Schmuki, P. (2011). A Self-Cleaning Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection System Based on Titania Nanotube Arrays Modified with Platinum Nanoparticles. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 13, 1217–1220. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2011.08.040
- Soomro, R. A., Nafady, A., Ibupoto, Z. H., SirajuddinSherazi, S. T. H., Willander, M., et al. (2015). Development of Sensitive Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Using Complex Nanostructures of Cobalt Oxide. *Mater. Sci. Semiconductor Process.* 34, 373–381. doi:10.1016/j.mssp.2015.02.055
- Strakosas, X., Selberg, J., Pansodtee, P., Yonas, N., Manapongpun, P., Teodorescu, M., et al. (2019). A Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Enabled by Bioelectronic pH Control. Sci. Rep. 9. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46302-9
- Sun, P.-X., Han, X.-J., Chen, L.-J., Chen, Z.-J., Pan, W.-J., Zhang, Q., et al. (2021). Determination of Glucose by a Molecular Capacitor Array Based Using a 3-(Acrylamido) Phenylboronic Acid Prepared Molecularly Imprinted Polyacrylamide Cryogel. Anal. Lett. 54, 2789–2800. doi:10.1080/ 00032719.2021.1890757
- Sun, Q.-Q., Wang, M., Bao, S.-J., Wang, Y. C., and Gu, S. (2016). Analysis of Cobalt Phosphide (CoP) Nanorods Designed for Non-enzyme Glucose Detection. *Analyst* 141, 256–260. doi:10.1039/c5an01928a
- Sun, S., Shi, N., Liao, X., Zhang, B., Yin, G., Huang, Z., et al. (2020). Facile Synthesis of CuO/Ni(OH)2 on Carbon Cloth for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 529, 147067. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147067
- Suneesh, P. V., Sara Vargis, V., Ramachandran, T., Nair, B. G., and Satheesh Babu, T. G. (2015). Co-Cu alloy Nanoparticles Decorated TiO2 Nanotube Arrays for Highly Sensitive and Selective Nonenzymatic Sensing of Glucose. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 215, 337–344. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.03.073
- Taurino, I., Sanzó, G., Mazzei, F., Favero, G., De Micheli, G., and Carrara, S. (2015). Fast Synthesis of Platinum Nanopetals and Nanospheres for Highly-Sensitive Non-enzymatic Detection of Glucose and Selective Sensing of Ions. *Sci. Rep.* 5. doi:10.1038/srep15277
- Tee, S. Y., Teng, C. P., and Ye, E. (2017). Metal Nanostructures for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.* 70, 1018–1030. doi:10.1016/ j.msec.2016.04.009
- Teymourian, H., Barfidokht, A., and Wang, J. (2020). Electrochemical Glucose Sensors in Diabetes Management: An Updated Review (2010-2020). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 49, 7671–7709. doi:10.1039/d0cs00304b
- Thangasamy, P., Oh, S., Nam, S., and Oh, I.-K. (2020). Rose-like MoS2 Nanostructures with a Large Interlayer Spacing of ~9.9 Å and Exfoliated WS2 Nanosheets Supported on Carbon Nanotubes for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Carbon* 158, 216–225. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2019.12.019
- Theerthagiri, J., Thiagarajan, K., Senthilkumar, B., Khan, Z., Senthil, R. A., Arunachalam, P., et al. (2017). Synthesis of Hierarchical Cobalt Phosphate Nanoflakes and Their Enhanced Electrochemical Performances for Supercapacitor Applications. *ChemistrySelect* 2, 201–210. doi:10.1002/ slct.201601628
- Tian, J., Cheng, N., Liu, Q., Xing, W., and Sun, X. (2015). Cobalt Phosphide Nanowires: Efficient Nanostructures for Fluorescence Sensing of Biomolecules and Photocatalytic Evolution of Dihydrogen from Water under Visible Light. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 5493–5497. doi:10.1002/ anie.201501237
- Tian, L., He, G., Cai, Y., Wu, S., Su, Y., Yan, H., et al. (2018). Co3O4 Based Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor with High Sensitivity and Reliable Stability Derived from Hollow Hierarchical Architecture. *Nanotechnology* 29, 075502. doi:10.1088/1361-6528/aaa1d2
- Tian, X., Zhao, P., and Sheng, W. (2019). Hydrogen Evolution and Oxidation: Mechanistic Studies and Material Advances. Adv. Mater. 31, 1808066. doi:10.1002/adma.201808066

- Toghill, K. E., and Compton, R. G. (2010). Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensors: A Perspective and an Evaluation. *Sens. Actuators B* 147 (2, 3), 642–652.
- Toghill, K. E., Xiao, L., Phillips, M. A., and Compton, R. G. (2010). The Nonenzymatic Determination of Glucose Using an Electrolytically Fabricated Nickel Microparticle Modified boron-doped diamond Electrode or Nickel Foil Electrode. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 147, 642–652. doi:10.1016/ j.snb.2010.03.091
- Tomanin, P. P., Cherepanov, P. V., Besford, Q. A., Christofferson, A. J., Amodio, A., McConville, C. F., et al. (2018). Cobalt Phosphate Nanostructures for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing at Physiological pH. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 10, 42786–42795. doi:10.1021/acsami.8b12966
- Tripathy, N., Ahmad, R., Bang, S. H., Khang, G., Min, J., and Hahn, Y.-B. (2016). Outstanding Antibiofilm Features of Quanta-CuO Film on Glass Surface. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 8, 15128–15137. doi:10.1021/acsami.6b04494
- Tripathy, N., Ahmad, R., Jeong, H.-S., and Hahn, Y.-B. (2012). Time-dependent Control of Hole-Opening Degree of Porous Zno Hollow Microspheres. *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 1104–1110. doi:10.1021/ic2022598
- Unmüssig, T., Weltin, A., Urban, S., Daubinger, P., Urban, G. A., and Kieninger, J. (2018). Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing Based on Hierarchical Platinum Micro-/nanostructures. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 816, 215–222. doi:10.1016/ j.jelechem.2018.03.061
- Usman, M., Pan, L., Farid, A., Khan, A. S., Yongpeng, Z., Khan, M. A., et al. (2020). Carbon Nanocoils-Nickel Foam Decorated with Silver Nanoparticles/sheets Using a Novel Stirring Assisted Electrodeposition Technique for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor. *Carbon* 157, 761–766. doi:10.1016/ j.carbon.2019.10.069
- Vilian, A. T. E., Dinesh, B., Rethinasabapathy, M., Hwang, S.-K., Jin, C.-S., Huh, Y. S., et al. (2018). Hexagonal Co3O4 Anchored Reduced Graphene Oxide Sheets for High-Performance Supercapacitors and Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. *J. Mater. Chem. A.* 6, 14367–14379. doi:10.1039/c8ta04941f
- Vinoth, V., Subramaniyam, G., Anandan, S., Valdés, H., and Manidurai, P. (2021). Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor and Photocurrent Performance of Zinc Oxide Quantum Dots Supported Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B.* 265, 115036. doi:10.1016/j.mseb.2020.115036
- Wang, G., He, X., Wang, L., Gu, A., Huang, Y., Fang, B., et al. (2013). Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Sensing of Glucose. *Microchim. Acta* 180, 161–186. doi:10.1007/s00604-012-0923-1
- Wang, G., Wei, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, X., Fang, B., and Wang, L. (2010). Enzymefree Amperometric Sensing of Glucose Using Cu-CuO Nanowire Composites. *Microchim. Acta* 168, 87–92. doi:10.1007/s00604-009-0260-1
- Wang, H., Qiu, J., Sun, S., Zhang, W., and Yao, S. (2021). A Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Ni/PANI Coaxial Nanowire Arrays. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 32, 7751–7764. doi:10.1007/s10854-021-05495-4
- Wang, J., Cui, W., Liu, Q., Xing, Z., Asiri, A. M., and Sun, X. (2016). Recent Progress in Cobalt-Based Heterogeneous Catalysts for Electrochemical Water Splitting. Adv. Mater. 28, 215–230. doi:10.1002/adma.201502696
- Wang, J. (2008). Electrochemical Glucose Biosensors. Chem. Rev. 108, 814–825. doi:10.1021/cr068123a
- Wang, J., Xu, L., Lu, Y., Sheng, K., Liu, W., Chen, C., et al. (2016). Engineered IrO2@NiO Core-Shell Nanowires for Sensitive Non-enzymatic Detection of Trace Glucose in Saliva. Anal. Chem. 88, 12346–12353. doi:10.1021/ acs.analchem.6b03558
- Wang, M.-Q., Ye, C., Bao, S.-J., Xu, M.-W., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., et al. (2017). Nanostructured Cobalt Phosphates as Excellent Biomimetic Enzymes to Sensitively Detect Superoxide Anions Released from Living Cells. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 87, 998–1004. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.09.066
- Wang, Y., Bai, W., Nie, F., and Zheng, J. (2015). A Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Ni/MnO2 Nanocomposite Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode. *Electroanalysis* 27, 2399–2405. doi:10.1002/elan.201500049
- Wang, Y., Chen, J., Zhou, C., Zhou, L., Kong, Y., Long, H., et al. (2014). A Novel Self-Cleaning, Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensor Working under a Very Low Applied Potential Based on a Pt Nanoparticle-Decorated TiO2 Nanotube Array Electrode. *Electrochimica Acta* 115, 269–276. doi:10.1016/ j.electacta.2013.09.173
- Waqas, M., Lan, J., Zhang, X., Fan, Y., Zhang, P., Liu, C., et al. (2020). Fabrication of Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Pd–Mn Alloy

Nanoparticles Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide. *Electroanalysis* 32, 1226–1236. doi:10.1002/elan.201900705

- Wei, C., Li, X., Xiang, W., Yu, Z., and Liu, Q. (2020). MOF Derived Seaweed-like CoCu Oxides Nanorod Arrays for Electrochemical Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing with Ultrahigh Sensitivity. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 324, 128773. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2020.128773
- Wu, G.-h., Song, X.-h., Wu, Y.-F., Chen, X.-m., Luo, F., and Chen, X. (2013). Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Platinum Nanoflowers Supported on Graphene Oxide. *Talanta* 105, 379–385. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2012.10.066
- Wu, W., Miao, F., Tao, B., Zang, Y., Zhu, L., Shi, C., et al. (2019). Hybrid ZnO-Graphene Electrode with Palladium Nanoparticles on Ni Foam and Application to Self-Powered Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing. *RSC Adv.* 9, 12134–12145. doi:10.1039/c8ra09599j
- Xia, C., and Ning, W. (2010). A Novel Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Modified with FeOOH Nanowire. *Electrochemistry Commun.* 12, 1581–1584. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2010.09.002
- Xia, K., Yang, C., Chen, Y., Tian, L., Su, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2017). *In Situ* fabrication of Ni(OH)2 Flakes on Ni Foam through Electrochemical Corrosion as High Sensitive and Stable Binder-free Electrode for Glucose Sensing. *Sensors Actuators B: Chem.* 240, 979–987. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.09.077
- Xia, Z., and Guo, S. (2019). Strain Engineering of Metal-Based Nanomaterials for Energy Electrocatalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48, 3265–3278. doi:10.1039/c8cs00846a
- Xiao, X., Wang, Y., Cheng, H., Cui, Y., Xu, Y., Yang, T., et al. (2020). Porous Flower-like Ni5P4 for Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Detection of Glucose. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 240, 122202. doi:10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.122202
- Xie, F., Liu, T., Xie, L., Sun, X., and Luo, Y. (2018). Metallic Nickel Nitride Nanosheet: An Efficient Catalyst Electrode for Sensitive and Selective Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 255, 2794–2799. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.09.095
- Xie, Q., Liu, P., Zeng, D., Xu, W., Wang, L., Zhu, Z. Z., et al. (2018). Dual Electrostatic Assembly of Graphene Encapsulated Nanosheet-Assembled ZnO-Mn-C Hollow Microspheres as a Lithium Ion Battery Anode. Adv. Funct. Mater. 28, 1707433. doi:10.1002/adfm.201707433
- Xie, Q., Ma, Y., Wang, X., Zeng, D., Wang, L., Mai, L., et al. (2016). Electrostatic Assembly of sandwich-like Ag-C@ZnO-C@Ag-C Hybrid Hollow Microspheres with Excellent High-Rate Lithium Storage Properties. ACS Nano 10, 1283–1291. doi:10.1021/acsnano.5b06650
- Yang, J., Cho, M., and Lee, Y. (2016). Synthesis of Hierarchical Ni(OH)2 Hollow Nanorod via Chemical bath Deposition and its Glucose Sensing Performance. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 222, 674–681. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.08.119
- Yang, Y. J., and Hu, S. (2010). Electrodeposited MnO2/Au Composite Film with Improved Electrocatalytic Activity for Oxidation of Glucose and Hydrogen Peroxide. *Electrochimica Acta* 55, 3471–3476. doi:10.1016/ j.electacta.2010.01.095
- Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Bao, X., and Li, H. (2016). Electrochemical Deposition of Ni Nanoparticles Decorated ZnO Hexagonal Prisms as an Effective Platform for

Non-enzymatic Detection of Glucose. J. Electroanalytical Chem. 775, 163–170. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2016.04.041

- Yen, F. S., Halim, N. H. A., Sabani, N., Rahim, R. A., and Ismail, N. S. (2020). Electrochemical Study of TiO2 Thin Film on Aluminium Electrode for Glucose Detection. *AIP Conf. Proc.* doi:10.1063/1.5142134
- Yuan, R.-m., Li, H.-j., Yin, X.-m., Lu, J.-h., and Zhang, L.-l. (2017). 3D CuO Nanosheet Wrapped Nanofilm Grown on Cu Foil for High-Performance Nonenzymatic Glucose Biosensor Electrode. *Talanta* 174, 514–520. doi:10.1016/ j.talanta.2017.06.030
- Zhang, H., and Liu, S. (2017). Nanoparticles-assembled NiO Nanosheets Templated by Graphene Oxide Film for Highly Sensitive Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 238, 788–794. doi:10.1016/ j.snb.2016.07.126
- Zhao, M., Shang, J., Qu, H., Gao, R., Li, H., and Chen, S. (2020). Fabrication of the Ni/ZnO/BiOI Foam for the Improved Electrochemical Biosensing Performance to Glucose. *Analytica Chim. Acta* 1095, 93–98. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2019.10.033
- Zhe, T., Sun, X., Liu, Y., Wang, Q., Li, F., Bu, T., et al. (2019). An Integrated Anode Based on Porous Ni/Cu(OH)2 Nanospheres for Non-enzymatic Glucose Sensing. *Microchemical J.* 151, 104197. doi:10.1016/ j.microc.2019.104197
- Zhou, D., Cao, X., Wang, Z., Hao, S., Hou, X., Qu, F., et al. (2017). Fe3 N-Co2 N Nanowires Array: A Non-Noble-Metal Bifunctional Catalyst Electrode for High-Performance Glucose Oxidation and H2 O2 Reduction toward Nonenzymatic Sensing Applications. *Chem. Eur. J.* 23, 5214–5218. doi:10.1002/ chem.201700594
- Zhuang, X. M., Yang, T., Zhang, W., Li, W. J., and Jiao, K. (2010). Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Biosensor Based on Ionic Liquid and Nickel Nanoparticles. Sen Lett. 8, 690–691. doi:10.1166/sl.2010.1310

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Naikoo, Salim, Hassan, Awan, Arshad, Pedram, Ahmed and Qurashi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.