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#### Abstract

We report on the synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamide derivatives developed as $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists. These were evaluated in a radioligand displacement binding assay, a $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay, and in a competition association assay that enables the relatively fast kinetic screening of multiple compounds. The compounds show high affinities and a diverse range of kinetic profiles at the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor and their structure-kinetic relationships (SKRs) were established. Using the recently resolved $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor crystal structures, we also performed a modeling study that sheds light on the crucial interactions for both the affinity and dissociation kinetics of this family of ligands. We provide evidence that, next to affinity, additional knowledge of binding kinetics is useful for selecting new $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists in the early phases of drug discovery.


## - INTRODUCTION

Within the endocannabinoid system (ECS), two human cannabinoid receptor subtypes have been identified: the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ $\left(\mathrm{hCB}_{1}\right)$ receptor and the human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}\left(\mathrm{hCB}_{2}\right)$ receptor. ${ }^{1}$ They are members of the rhodopsin-like class A G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and are primarily activated by endogenous cannabinoids (endocannabinoids, ECs), including anandamide (or N -arachidonylethanolamine, AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG). ${ }^{1,2}$ The $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hCB}_{2}$ receptors show $44 \%$ overall sequence homology and display different pharmacological profiles. ${ }^{3}$ The $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor is present in the central nervous system (CNS) and is widely distributed in the peripheral nervous system (PNS) and peripheral tissues, ${ }^{2,4}$ including heart, liver, lung, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, and adipose tissue. ${ }^{5,6}$ The presence of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor within both the CNS and PNS mediates neurotransmitter release and controls various cognitive, motor, emotional, and sensory functions. Furthermore, activation in the peripheral tissues contributes to energy balance and metabolic processes. ${ }^{6-9}$

The broad presence of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor in a variety of complex physiological systems provides numerous opportunities for therapeutic intervention. In the particular case of obesity, the ECS, including the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, is overactive, with increased
levels of endocannabinoids in plasma, both in central and peripheral tissues. ${ }^{10}$ Therefore, blockade of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ has been explored for the treatment of obesity. With this in mind, rimonabant (SR141716A, Figure 1a), a $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor inverse agonist, was developed by Sanofi-Aventis and introduced in Europe in 2006. However, it was quickly withdrawn from the market due to unacceptable psychiatric side effects. ${ }^{11-13}$ Many other $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists entered into clinical trials, such as taranabant (MK-0364, Figure 1b) ${ }^{14}$ and otenabant (CP945598, Figure 1c). ${ }^{15}$ However, they were not developed further due to similar psychiatric side effects despite their diverse chemical structures.

To avoid the CNS side effects, peripherally acting $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists with physicochemical features that reduce brain penetration have been developed. ${ }^{16}$ Another approach has been the development of $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor neutral antagonists because it has been postulated that the CNS side effects of rimonabant were due to its inverse agonism. ${ }^{17-19}$

Drug target binding kinetic parameters are receiving increasing attention, alongside classical affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ )

[^0]

PSA value $70.89 \AA^{2}$



Figure 1. Chemical structures of (a) rimonabant, (b) taranabant, (c) otenabant, and (d) the scaffold of 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamides as $C B_{1}$ receptor antagonists; the $R^{1}$ substitution is defined as the "left arm" of the scaffold while the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substitution defines the "right arm" of the scaffold. The calculations of PSA values are reported in Supporting Information
values, as has been discussed for several other class A GPCRs. In particular, the receptor-ligand residence time (RT) is emerging as an additional parameter to assess the therapeutic potential of drug candidates with respect to drug efficacy and safety. ${ }^{20-22}$ In the research field of GPCRs, a number of structure-kinetic relationship (SKR) studies have been published and the results suggest that the strategic combination of SKR with classic structure-affinity relationships (SAR) can improve the resulting decision process. ${ }^{23-26}$ By doing so, ligand-receptor interactions
can be better understood, as together they not only comprise the equilibrium state of a ligand-receptor interaction but also its metastable intermediates and/or transition states. ${ }^{27}$ The binding kinetics driven drug discovery approach for the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor has been validated in some aspects already by its application in the development of allosteric modulators of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor. ${ }^{28,29}$

In the current study, we report the synthesis and evaluation of 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamide derivatives (Figure 1d), as human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists with more polar characteristics than rimonabant. ${ }^{30,31}$ Together with rimonabant, they were evaluated in a radioligand displacement assay, a $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay, and a dual-point competition association assay that enables the relatively fast kinetic screening of compounds. ${ }^{32}$ Selected compounds were progressed to a full competition association assay. The compounds show high affinities and a diverse range of kinetic profiles at the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, which allowed their structure-kinetic relationships (SKRs) to be established. Their putative binding mode was analyzed using the recently resolved crystal structures of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, ${ }^{33,34}$ shedding light on key structural features of the receptor binding site that are involved in ligand recognition and dissociation. Thus, we provide evidence that, in additional to affinity, knowledge of binding kinetics is useful for selecting new $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists in the early phases of drug discovery.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry. The synthesis of the 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamide scaffold commenced from commercially available 4-(benzyloxy) aniline 1 , which was converted to the 2,4-dichlorobenzamidine 2 (Scheme 1). After a one-pot condensation and

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Antagonists 8a, 8b, and 11a-h ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{EtMgBr}, 2,4-\mathrm{diClPhCN}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) (i) $\mathrm{EtO}_{2} \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 66 \mathrm{~h},(\mathrm{ii}) \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{DEAD}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, THF, toluene, rt, $15 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$; (e) KOH, EtOH:THF: $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2: 2: 1,50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $3.5 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (f) (i) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMF cat., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 90 min , (ii) piperidin-1-amine $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 55 \%$ ( 2 steps ); (g) KOH , $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3: 1$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (h) (i) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMF cat., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, 2 h , (ii) piperidin-1-amine, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 58 \%$; (j) $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ - X , base, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Corresponding $56-90 \% \mathrm{R}^{1}$ substitutions are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. In Vitro Pharmacology Data, Including Conventional Antagonism, Binding Affinities, and KRI Values, for Human CB $_{1}$ Receptor Antagonists with Various "Left Arm" R ${ }^{1}$ Substitutions

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| code | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding pIC ${ }_{50} \pm$ SD or SEM (mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in nM ) ${ }^{a}$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\text {a }} \pm$ SEM (mean $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ in nM$)$ | $\mathrm{KRI}^{\text {c }}$ |
| 8a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $8.3 \pm 0.1(5.6)^{d}$ | $9.1 \pm 0.2(1.26)$ | 0.90 (0.90, 0.89) |
| 8b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $8.2 \pm 0.01(6.0)^{d}$ | $10 \pm 0.2$ (0.34) | 1.09 (1.34, 0.84) |
| 9 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $7.7 \pm 0.1(18)^{d}$ | $8.2 \pm 0.1$ (6.28) | $0.90 \pm 0.20$ |
| 11a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $8.9 \pm 0.1$ (1.2) | $9.7 \pm 0.1(0.32)$ | 0.80 (0.85, 0.75) |
| 11b | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $8.7 \pm 0.03(1.8)^{d}$ | $9.6 \pm 0.1$ (0.28) | $0.59 \pm 0.06$ |
| 11c | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $8.5 \pm 0.2(3.1)^{d}$ | $9.5 \pm 0.2(0.32)$ | 0.88 (1.00, 0.75) |
| 11d | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $9.0 \pm 0.03$ (1.1) | $9.9 \pm 0.1(0.11)$ | 1.02 (1.08, 0.96) |
| 11e | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $8.9 \pm 0.05(1.3)^{d}$ | $9.9 \pm 0.1(0.18)$ | $0.77 \pm 0.25$ |
| 11 f | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $8.9 \pm 0.1$ (1.2) | $10 \pm 0.2$ (0.062) | 0.93 (0.89, 0.97) |
| 11g | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $8.9 \pm 0.1$ (1.3) | $9.7 \pm 0.1$ (0.20) | 1.02 (1.06, 0.97) |
| 11h | $-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $8.7 \pm 0.1$ (2.4) | $9.3 \pm 0.1$ (0.60) | 0.73 (0.68, 0.78) |

$a_{\mathrm{pIC}}^{50} 1 \pm \mathrm{SD}(n=2)$ or SEM $(n \geq 3)$, obtained from $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma$ S binding on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on HEK-293 cell membranes. ${ }^{b}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{I} \pm$ SEM $(n=3)$, obtained from radioligand binding assays with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{KRI} \pm$ SEM $(n=3)$ or KRI (n1, n2) ( $n=2$ ), obtained from dual-point competition association assays with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 on recombinant human CB1 receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{d} n=2$.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Antagonists 14a-14h, 19, ( $\pm$ )-22, ( $\pm$ )-25, and $28^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, reflux or $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMF cat., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, (ii) $\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 17-98 \%$ (2 steps), or 2-amino-5trifluoromethylpyridine, $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, or $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, rt, 20-97\%; (c) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25-97 \%$; (d) (i) TBDMSCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 22 h , (ii) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$ ( 4 steps, a, b, di, and dii), (iii) TBAF, THF, rt, 90 min , (iv) $\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, (v) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$ ( 3 steps, d iii., d iv, and d v); (e) (i) (COCl) ${ }_{2}$, DMF cat., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 2 h , (ii) $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$ ( 2 steps, e, b); (f) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) (i) (COCl) ${ }_{2}$, DMF cat., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 2 h , (ii) 4 -aminocyclohexanol, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 2:1, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%$ (2 steps, f, g); (h) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 32 \%$. Corresponding $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substitutions are listed in Table 2.
cyclization sequence, the core-imidazole 3 was obtained. Afterward, either saponification of the ethyl ester or acidic hydrolysis of the benzyl ether of $\mathbf{3}$ led to intermediates $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, respectively.

Subsequently, Mitsunobu reaction on intermediate 5 yielded mono- and trifluoropropyl ether derivatives $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$. After saponification of the ethyl esters of $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, the corresponding

Table 2. In Vitro Pharmacology Data Including Conventional Antagonism, Binding Affinity, and KRI Values for Human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ Receptor Antagonists with Various "Right Arm" R ${ }^{2}$ Substituents

${ }^{a}{ }_{\mathrm{pIC}}^{50} 10 \mathrm{SD}(n=2)$ or SEM $(n \geq 3)$, obtained from $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ GTP $\gamma$ S binding on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on HEK-293 cell membranes. ${ }^{b}{ }_{\mathrm{P}} K_{i} \pm$ SEM $(n=3)$, obtained from radioligand binding assays with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably

Table 2. continued
expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{KRI} \pm \operatorname{SEM}(n=3)$ or $\mathrm{KRI}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)(n=2)$, obtained from dual-point competition association assays with [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ] CP55940 on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{d} n=2$.
carboxylic acids ( $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $7 \mathbf{b}$ ) were transformed to acid chlorides and reacted with piperidin-1-amine to yield the corresponding amides ( $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ ). Alternatively, the rest of the series was produced from intermediate 4 by first introducing the piperidin1 -amide. Lewis acid-catalyzed cleavage of benzyl ether 9 followed by substitution of the released alcohol 10 with various alkyl halides gave the corresponding ethers $\mathbf{1 1 a} \mathbf{- 1 1 h}$, completing the "left arm" series of antagonists (Table 1).

The synthesis of the "right arm" series of antagonists was started from intermediate 4 (Scheme 2). Using various amines and the aforementioned acid chloride introduction/amide formation sequence, amides $\mathbf{1 2 a}-\mathbf{1 2 h}$ were obtained as well as racemic ( $\pm$ )-20. Deprotection of the aromatic alcohol on 12a12h and subsequent sulfonylation using 3,3,3-trifluoropropane1 -sulfonyl chloride gave compounds $\mathbf{1 4 a} \mathbf{- 1 4 h}$. After deprotection of racemic ( $\pm$ )-20 however, it was found that direct substitution was not possible, therefore a series of protecting group manipulations was executed on ( $\pm$ )-21 to end up with ( $\pm$ )-22. Toward ( $\pm$ )-25, ( $\pm$ )-20 was first dimethylated and subsequently debenzylated and sulfonylated, giving ( $\pm$ )-25. Exploring alternative synthesis routes, compound 19 was synthesized, with a few extra steps, by first esterifying 4 with 2,2,2-trichloroethanol, followed by deprotection of the aromatic alcohol. Sulfonylation of the released alcohol, saponification of the trichloroethylester, acid chloride formation, and subsequent amide formation gave 19. To obtain trifluoromethylpyridine derivative 28 , conventional methods as described for the industrial production of rimonabant were applied, ${ }^{35}$ starting with the direct amidation of ethyl ether 3 followed by debenzylation and sulfonylation.

Biology. All 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamide derivatives were evaluated as antagonists in an in vitro $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay on HEK-293 cell membrane fractions overexpressing the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor. We also determined the functional activity of nine representative antagonists on the human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor. The data in Table 1 and Supporting Information, Table S1 shows that all compounds tested had higher functional activity for the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor over the human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor, with approximately $110-570$-fold selectivity.

Likewise, they were also tested in a $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 radioligand displacement assay on membrane fractions of CHO cells overexpressing the recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor. These results are reported in Tables 1 and 2. We found that, although using different cellular background and assay systems, there is a significant correlation ( $r^{2}=0.49, P=0.0001$ ) between the affinity $\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ values from the radioligand binding assay and the potencies ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ ) determined in the $\left[{ }^{33} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay (Figure 2). We subsequently determined the binding kinetics of the 1,2 -dia-rylimidazol-4-carboxamide derivatives in a competition association assay with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 as the probe after a validation step.
$\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 Binding Kinetic Assay. Receptor association and dissociation rate constants of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 were directly determined in classic radioligand association and dissociation experiments at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ approached equilibrium after approximately 25 min (Figure 3), yielding a $k_{\text {on }}$ $\left(k_{1}\right)$ value of $(1.4 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Binding of the radioligand was reversible after the addition of rimonabant $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$, although the dissociation was rather slow. Even 240 min after the addition of rimonabant, residual receptor binding ( $\sim 15 \%$ ) of


Figure 2. Correlation between the affinities/potencies of the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists measured in a radioligand binding assay ( $X$-axis) and in a GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay ( $Y$-axis) $\left(r^{2}=0.49, P=0.0001\right)$. Data taken from Tables 1 and 2


Figure 3. Association and dissociation profile of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 $(2.9 \mathrm{nM})$ at recombinant $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 120 min of association, unlabeled rimonabant $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was added to initiate the dissociation. Association data was fitted in Prism 6 using one-phase exponential association ( $n=3$, combined and normalized). Dissociation data was fitted using one-phase exponential decay ( $n=4$, combined and normalized). Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM from at least three separate experiments each performed in duplicate.
[ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 was observed. The dissociation rate constant, $k_{\text {off }}$ $\left(k_{2}\right)$, of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ from the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor was $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The kinetic $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ value ( $k_{\text {off }} / k_{\text {on }}$ ) of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ was $0.12 \pm$ 0.03 nM (Table 3). The residence time (RT) of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ was calculated as $114 \pm 16 \mathrm{~min}$.

Validation of the [3H]CP55940 Competition Association Assay for Human $C B_{1}$ Receptor. With the $k_{\text {on }}\left(k_{1}\right)$ and $k_{\text {off }}\left(k_{2}\right)$ values of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 binding established from classical association and dissociation experiments, $k_{\text {on }}\left(k_{3}\right)$ and $k_{\text {off }}\left(k_{4}\right)$ of unlabeled CP55940 were determined by fitting the values based on the mathematical model as described in the Experimental Section. ${ }^{36}$ In this validation experiment, we tested three different concentrations of unlabeled CP55940, corresponding to $\mathrm{IC}_{25}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$, and $\mathrm{IC}_{75}$ (Figure 4a). Values for $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ determined by this competition association method were $(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. The $k_{\text {on }}$ value was in good agreement with the $k_{\text {on }}\left(k_{1}\right)$ value determined in the classical association experiment (Table 3). The $k_{\text {off }}$ value obtained by this method was also similar to that found in the classical kinetic dissociation experiments with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940, with just a 4 -fold

Table 3. Comparison of Equilibrium Binding and Kinetic Parameters of CP55940 Determined Using Different Methods ${ }^{a}$

|  | $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $K_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {off }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| displacement $^{b}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.04$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{c}$ | NA |
| association and dissociation $^{d}$ | $0.12 \pm 0.03$ | $(1.4 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{6}$ | $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| competition association $^{e}$ | $0.54 \pm 0.10$ | $(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{6}$ | $(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Data are presented as means $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. ${ }^{b}$ Equilibrium displacement of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ from $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Not applicable. ${ }^{d}$ Classic association and dissociation parameters of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ measured in standard kinetic assays at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{e}$ Association and dissociation parameters of CP55940 measured in competition association assays at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
difference between the values (Table 3). To confirm the robustness of the assay with unlabeled human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists, an experiment was performed using rimonabant (Figure 4b,


Figure 4. (a) Competition association experiments with [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 binding to recombinant $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes ( $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the absence or presence of $3.5,11$, and 35 nM of unlabeled CP55940 ( $n=3$, combined and normalized). (b) Competition association experiments with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 binding to recombinant $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in the absence or presence of 120 nM of unlabeled Rimonabant ( $n=6$, representative graph). $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ is the radioligand binding at 30 min , while $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ is the radioligand binding at 240 min .

Table 4). The $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ values determined by this competition association method were $(2.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $(1.4 \pm$ $0.2) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively, demonstrating that rimonabant behaves as a short residence time antagonist ( $14 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ), in good agreement with findings reported earlier. ${ }^{37,3}$

Table 4. Kinetic Parameters ( $k_{\mathrm{on}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{off}}$, and RT) of Selected Human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ Receptor Antagonists

| code | $k_{\text {on }}{ }^{a}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {off }}{ }^{b}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{RT}^{c}(\mathrm{~min})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ | $(3.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{5}$ | $(2.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ | $78 \pm 5$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ | $(7.2 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{5}$ | $(2.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ | $62 \pm 10$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $(3.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{5}$ | $(7.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ | $260 \pm 56$ |
| rimonabant | $(2.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{5}$ | $(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ | $14 \pm 2.0$ |

${ }^{a} k_{\text {on }} \pm$ SEM $(n=3)$, obtained from competition association assays with [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{b} k_{\text {off }} \pm \operatorname{SEM}(n=3)$, obtained from competition association assays with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ on recombinant human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{RT}=1 /\left(60 * k_{\text {off }}\right)$; RT is expressed in min, whereas $k_{\text {off }}$ is expressed in $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Screening of hCB ${ }_{1}$ Receptor Antagonists Using the DualPoint Competition Association Assay. The competition association assay described above is quite laborious and timeconsuming. Therefore, a so-called "dual-point competition association assay" for the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor was developed according to the concept that we had previously established for the adenosine $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ receptor. ${ }^{32}$ To this end, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 and unlabeled antagonists were coincubated at concentrations equal to, or $2-3$-fold higher than their $K_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, which had been determined in the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 displacement assay. The so-called kinetic rate index (KRI) was calculated by dividing the specific radioligand binding at $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)$ by the binding at $240 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right)$. Antagonists with a KRI value larger than 1 indicate a slower dissociation rate and thus a longer RT than $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 and vice versa. Furthermore, it was observed that the KRI values of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists had no obvious correlation with their affinities (Figure 5a).


Figure 5. (a) Negative logarithm of the affinities of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists used in this study had no obvious linear correlation with their KRI values ( $r^{2}=0.04, P=0.33$ ). (b) Negative logarithm of $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists in this study had no obvious linear correlation with their KRI values ( $r^{2}=0.12, P=$ $0.10)$.

Structure-Affinity Relationships (SARs) versus Struc-ture-Kinetic Relationships (SKRs). The 1,2-diarylimidazol-4carboxamide derivatives are rimonabant bioisosteres, in which the 2,4-dichlorophenyl, amide, aryl, and methyl moieties are maintained on an alternative heterocyclic diazo core (Figure 1a,d). The derivatives included in this study differ in their substituents at the
$\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ positions, which are at the "left" and "right" arms of the scaffold, respectively (Figure 1d).

We were conscious that compound polarity may influence the activity parameters being studied, so polarity was determined by both calculated and experimental methods. Calculated methods included polar surface area (PSA), ${ }^{39} \mathrm{ACD} \log \mathrm{D} 7.4$ with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ correction, ${ }^{40}$ and $A Z \operatorname{logD} 7.4,{ }^{41}$ which were supplemented with experimentally determined $\log D$ values. A PSA of $90 \AA^{2}$ has been described as a threshold value below which penetration of the blood-brain barrier is more likely and thus serves as an indicator for potential to have CNS activity. ${ }^{42}$ The calculated PSA values (Supporting Information, Tables S2 and S3) of most of the compounds in this study were above $90 \AA^{2}$, suggesting that they would have low blood-brain barrier penetration and be better suited for peripheral antagonism of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor. We observed that neither affinities nor KRI values of the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists in this study had any obvious linear correlation with their lipophilicity or PSA values (Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2).
"Left Arm" Optimization. Fixing the right arm as a piperidine moiety, as in rimonabant, various ethers with different carbon chain lengths were introduced on the left arm (Table 1). Extension of the trifluoromethylalkyl chain from three carbons (8a, 1.26 nM ) to four atoms (11a, 0.32 nM ) increased affinity by about 4 -fold. Reducing the level of fluorination on the terminal carbon of the linear ether side chain from three atoms ( $8 \mathrm{a}, 1.26 \mathrm{nM}$ ) to one atom ( $\mathbf{8 b}, 0.34 \mathrm{nM}$ ) also increased the affinity. By contrast, the analogue possessing a benzyl substituent on the left arm ( $9,6.28 \mathrm{nM}$ ) displayed the weakest affinity of the analogues studied. The aforementioned modifications did not seem to have a drastic effect on KRI, with all compounds giving values around unity ( $0.80-1.09$ ). As part of a strategy to increase PSA, a sulfonyl-containing side chain was introduced. The ligand bearing an $n$-propyl-sulfonyl moiety (11b) displayed a good affinity of 0.28 nM and a rather low KRI value of 0.59 . Monofluorinating the terminal position led to no change in affinity ( $11 \mathbf{c}, 0.32 \mathrm{nM}$ ). In contrast to the ether substituents, trifluorination resulted in an almost 3-fold increase ( $11 \mathrm{~d}, 0.11 \mathrm{nM}$ ) relative to the monofluoro analogue. A slight increase in affinity was observed when the linear sulfonyl side chain was extended from three carbon atoms (11b, 0.28 nM ) to four ( $11 \mathrm{e}, 0.18 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Combination of this chain length with trifluoro-substitution, to give the side chain found in the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor agonist $(-)-(R)$-3-(2-hydroxymethylin-danyl-4-oxy)phenyl-4,4,4-trifluoro-1-sulfonate (BAY 38-7271), ${ }^{43,44}$ led to a very potent antagonist of the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor (11f, $62 \mathrm{pM})$. Branching the chain from $n$-butyl to $i$-pentyl did not change the affinity ( $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ vs 11e) while introducing an additional methyl group led to a decrease in affinity ( $\mathbf{1 1 h}, t$-hex chain, 0.60 nM ). None of these ligands had a KRI value higher than 1 , indicating their dissociation from the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor was faster than CP55940. The analogue with the lowest KRI value (11b, 0.59 ) was selected for full-curve measurement (Figure 6, Table 4). As expected, its residence time ( 78 min ) was shorter than that of CP55940 (114 min, see above) (Table 4). This result also serves as evidence that a KRI value seems to reliably reflect the corresponding dissociation rate constant.

All the linear side chain antagonists had high affinities in the nanomolar to subnanomolar range, with $11 \mathrm{f}(60 \mathrm{pM})$ as the most potent derivative. However, from the perspective of drug-target kinetic studies, despite giving a range of KRIs ( $0.59-1.09$ ), none of these antagonists showed a KRI value significantly higher than 1 , suggesting that none had longer residence times than CP55940.


Figure 6. Competition association experiments with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ binding to recombinant $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in the absence or presence of unlabeled long residence time compound 28 ( 8.22 nM , red, representative curve) or short residence time compound 11b ( 12.72 nM , blue, representative curve). Data are shown as mean values from one representative experiment. At least three separate experiments each performed in duplicate.
"Right Arm" Optimization. To explore the "right arm" of the 1,2-diarylimidazol-4-carboxamides, we chose to fix the "left arm" as a trifluoropropyl sulfonyl moiety (11d) because this group delivered high affinity $(0.11 \mathrm{nM})$ and demonstrated a residence time similar to CP55940 (KRI = 1.02, Table 1). Introducing a hydroxyl at the 3-position of the piperidine ring yielded a ligand with lower affinity and KRI value $\left(\mathbf{1 4 a}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.27 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=0.71\right)$ than 11d (Table 2).

Efforts then focused on a series of ligands bearing cyclohexyl substituents instead of a piperidine. A carbocyclic analogue of 14a, bearing a trans-hydroxyl on the 3-position of the cyclohexyl ring 14b (racemic), delivered an approximately 3 -fold improvement in affinity and a slightly larger KRI value relative to the piperidine 14a (Table 2). Moving the hydroxyl to the 4-position gave 4-hydroxycyclohexyl analogue (19) as a mixture of cis and trans diastereoisomers in a ratio of 0.3:1 and resulted in an approximately 4 -fold reduction in affinity ( 0.37 nM ), while the KRI was unchanged ( 0.88 ); having a mixture does not allow any further conclusions, though. Interestingly, the cis- and trans-2hydroxycyclohexyl antagonists (14d and $\mathbf{1 4 c}$, respectively) showed a substantial 10 -fold difference in affinity, while their KRI values were quite similar. The more potent cis-isomer (14d, $(+))$ displayed an affinity of 27 pM and a KRI value close to unity. Switching the 2-substituent of the cyclohexane ring to an amine was detrimental, resulting in ligands with lower affinities. However, it is of note that the unsubstituted cis-amino group (22, $\pm$ ), 0.52 nM ) was less detrimental to affinity than a cis-dimethylamino substituent $(\mathbf{2 5},( \pm), 3.3 \mathrm{nM})$, while the dissociation rates were very similar, as judged by their KRI values (Table 2). At this stage, on the basis of affinity alone, $\mathbf{1 4 d}$ with an affinity of 27 pM seems an even better lead than 11 f with an affinity of 62 pM .

Last but not least, we found that by introducing an aromatic moiety, the compounds retain affinity in the subnanomolar range and, more importantly, their kinetic profiles were rather diverse. The analogue which bears a 4 -trifluoromethoxyphenyl substituent (14e) showed high affinity ( 0.22 nM ) and its KRI value was one of the highest measured (Table 2). Introduction of a pyridine moiety was then studied. The 3-pyridyl analogues $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 g}$, bearing a 6 -fluoro or trifluoromethyl group, respectively, showed similar affinities ( 0.13 vs 0.31 nM , respectively), although the latter had a much higher KRI value ( 1.12 vs 0.70 , respectively). This effect on KRI was increased further when the position of the nitrogen atom in the ring was switched to give the 5 -substituted 2 -pyridyl analogue $(28, K R I=1.39)$, which displayed the highest KRI value of all the compounds presented
in this study. Finally, defluorinating this latter compound did not change the affinity but gave rise to a marked reduction in KRI ( $\mathbf{1 4 h}, K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.14 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{KRI}=0.92$ ).
The compounds with high (28) and low (11b and 14f) KRI values were tested in a full competition association assay to determine their association and dissociation rate constants (Figure 6 and Table 4). According to the full curves, the compound with KRI > 1 (28) displayed an "overshoot" in the competition association curve, indicating its slow dissociation and yielding the longer residence time of 260 min , as compared to 114 min of the radioligand. By contrast, the compounds with KRI < 1 produced gradually ascending curves, suggesting faster dissociation and consequently shorter residence times of $78 \mathrm{~min}(\mathbf{1 1 b})$ and 62 min (14f) (Figure 6, Table 4). Additionally, we determined their affinities on the human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptor. From Table 1 and Supporting Information, Table S1, they show that they all had higher affinity for the human $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor, where approximately 12-125-fold selectivity over human $\mathrm{CB}_{2}$ receptors was observed.

Functional Assays. As mentioned above, the antagonism in the $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay compares quite well with the affinities derived from the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 displacement studies (Figure 2), while the KRI values of the compounds did not show any meaningful correlation with the $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values from the GTP $\gamma$ S binding assay (Figure 5b). Because 28 showed slow dissociation, we decided to study this compound further in a more elaborate $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding experiment in which its functional activity in the inhibition of CP55940 action was characterized and compared with rimonabant. Pretreatment of CHOK1 $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor membranes with rimonabant for 1 h , prior to stimulation by the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor agonist CP55940 for 30 min , induced surmountable antagonism (a rightward shift of the agonist curve with little suppression of the maximum effect) as reported before. ${ }^{45}$ In the case of $\mathbf{2 8}$, insurmountable antagonism was observed; the agonist concentration-effect curve was shifted to the right with a concomitant decrease ( $\sim 50 \%$ ) in its maximal response (Figure 7). In both cases, inverse agonism by the compounds alone (in the absence of CP55940) was also apparent (negative values at $Y$-axis in Figure 7).

Computational Studies. Finally, we investigated the ligand-receptor interactions using the recently disclosed X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ in complex with 29 [4-(4-(1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-3-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyr-azol-5-yl)phenyl)but-3-ynyl nitrate, AM6538], crystal structure code PDB 5TGZ. ${ }^{32}$ By docking 28 into the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, it can be seen that, like 29, it lies quite deep in the binding pocket of $h \mathrm{hB}_{1}$ in the docked pose, immediately above the conserved $\operatorname{Trp} 356^{6.48}$ (Figures 8a,b). The main scaffold of the imidazole core and the 2,4 -dichlorophenyl ring forms a $\pi-\pi$ interaction with the side chains of Phe $102^{N-\text { term }}$ and Phe $170^{2.57}$, respectively (Figure 8b). Unsurprisingly, and consistent with the SAR reported in Table 1, the "left arm" of our ligand docks into the same place as "Arm 2" of 29 in the crystal structure. This "left arm" extends into a long, narrow, and highly lipophilic channel formed by helices III, V, VI, and ECL2 (Figure 8a). By contrast, the "right arm" of our ligands, which resemble "Arm 3" of 29, dock into an open cavity formed by various hydrophobic amino acid residues, ${ }^{33}$ irrespective of whether a cyclohexyl, piperidine, or pyridine moiety is present. In the case of a pyridine moiety ( $\mathbf{1 4 e} \mathbf{- 1 4 h}$ and 28 ), the crystal structure suggests that there may be a $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction with His $178^{2.65}$. Further support for the docked pose of $\mathbf{2 8}$ comes from the higher resolution X-ray structure of taranabant bound to hCB1 (PDB 5U09) ${ }^{34}$ because both compounds share a trifluoromethylpyridine moiety on their "right arm".


Figure 7. CP55940-stimulated $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding to recombinant $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptors stably expressed on CHO cell membranes $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in the absence (black, representative curve) or presence of long-residence-time compound 28 (red, representative curve) or rimonabant (blue, representative curve). Compound 28 or rimonabant was preincubated with the membranes for 1 h prior to the challenge of agonist. $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ was subsequently added and incubated for another 0.5 h . Plates were then filtered and the radioactivity counted. Curves were fitted to a four parameter logistic dose-response equation. Data were normalized according to the maximal response ( $100 \%$ ) produced by CP55940. At least three separate experiments each performed in duplicate.

Using the crystal structure of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}-29$ complex, we performed WaterMap calculations to try and understand the differences in residence times observed for the ligands studied, with the hypothesis that unfavorable hydration might provide an explanation. ${ }^{46-48}$ We focused on the pyridine ring substituents on the "right arm", and ligands $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ and 28 in particular, because of their similar binding affinities but differing residence times. The smaller of the two ligands (14f, -F substitution, relatively short RT) was docked into the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, and a WaterMap was calculated for the complex. Around the F substituent, we found unstable water molecules ( $41,69,72,81$, and 88 in Figure 8c); these water molecules are coined "unhappy" waters. ${ }^{49}$ By contrast, ligand 28 was able to displace these water molecules with its larger $-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent, a process which might raise the energy of the transition state for dissociation. We postulate that this destabilization of the transition state may contribute to the prolonged residence time observed with this compound.

## - CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that, in addition to affinity, knowledge of binding kinetics is useful for selecting and developing new $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists in the early phases of drug discovery. In the specific case of the $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor, a long residence time compound may be beneficial for a peripherally selective antagonist. We explored SAR and SKR parameters in a series of 1,2 -diary-limidazol-4-carboxamide derivatives by examining the influence of substitutions at both "arms" of the molecules.

By introducing more polar linear sulfonyl side chains on the "left arm", affinity could be modulated, however, the KRI values indicative for the compounds' kinetic properties were less than or similar to CP55940. Substitution of the "right arm" maintained or increased affinity, and with the introduction of an aromatic ring system, KRI values $>1$ were obtained. With a residence time of 260 min , which is substantially longer than CP55940 ( 114 min ) or rimonabant ( 14 min ), 4-(2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-((5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)carbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)-phenyl-3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonate (28) stood out from the ligands studied. This slowly dissociating $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor antagonist also showed insurmountability in a functional GTP $\gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay. Using the recently resolved $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ crystal structures, we analyzed the putative interactions of $\mathbf{2 8}$ with the receptor, from which we speculate that displacement of "unhappy" water


Figure 8. (a) Docking of antagonist 28 into the binding site of the crystal structure of the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor (PDB 5TGZ) ${ }^{33}$ co-crystallized with 29 (not shown). Compound 28 is represented by black sticks, and residues within $5 \AA$ of 28 are visualized as green sticks. The protein is represented by green ribbons, and relevant binding site confinements are indicated by white-gray (hydrophobic), red (electronegative), and blue (electropositive) layers. Ligand and residues atoms color code: yellow $=$ sulfur, red $=$ oxygen, blue $=$ nitrogen, cyan $=$ fluorine, white $=$ hydrogen. (b) 2-D interaction map of 28 docking into the CB1 receptor co-crystallized with 29 (PDB 5TGZ), ${ }^{33}$ demonstrating $\pi-\pi$ stacking between imidazole core of 28 and Phe $102^{N-t e r m}, 2,4$-dichlorophenyl ring and Phe170 ${ }^{2.57}$, and pyridine and His $178^{2.65}$. (c) Docking of 14 f and 28 into the binding site of the crystal structure of the CB1 receptor co-crystallized with 29 (PDB 5 TGZ ), ${ }^{33}$ showing the overlay of numbered consecutively hydration sites of $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ (colored spheres; for color code, see below) calculated by WaterMap. Hydration sites shown as red and orange spheres represent "unstable" water molecules. White spheres symbolize "stable" water molecules, which should not be displaced by $\mathbf{1 4 f}$ or 28. For the key hydration sites $(41,69,72,81,88)$ surrounding the $-F$ atom of $\mathbf{1 4 f}$, calculated $\Delta G$ values (in kcal/mol) with respect to bulk solvent are shown.
molecules may provide a plausible explanation for its slow dissociation. Therefore, compound 28, or derivatives with similar characteristics, may be a useful tool to test whether prolonged blockade of the (peripheral) $\mathrm{hCB}_{1}$ receptor has a beneficial effect on $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor related disorders such as obesity.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. All solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical grade. Demineralized water is simply referred to as water or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, as was used in all cases unless stated otherwise (i.e., brine). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was routinely consulted to monitor the progress of reactions, using aluminumcoated Merck silica gel $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ plates. Purification was performed on a semipreparative high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a mass triggered fraction collector, a Shimadzu QP 8000 single quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a $19 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ C 8 column. The mobile phase used was, if nothing else is stated, acetonitrile and buffer (aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ : acetonitrile $95: 5$ ). For isolation of isomers, a Kromasil CN E9344 ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d.) column was used. A mixture of heptane/ethyl acetate/diethylamine 95:5:0.1 was used as mobile phase ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Fraction collection was guided using a UV detector $(330 \mathrm{~nm})$. Analytical purity of the final products was determined by Waters Acquity I-class ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) consisting of a binary solvent system, ultraviolet (UV) photodiode array (PDA) detector, column temperature control manager, and sample manager modules, coupled with in-line and mass spectrometry detection. The sample was injected onto, and separated by, a Waters Acquity BEH (C18) $1.7 \mathrm{~mm}(150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm})$ UPLC column maintained at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and eluted with $0.1 \%$ ammonium hydroxide in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, using a linear gradient. Initial conditions started at 3\% B, which was increased to $97 \%$ over 1.3 min and maintained for 0.2 min before returning to initial conditions over 0.2 min prior to the next injection. Eluent containing UPLC-separated analytes then flowed via the UV PDA detector scanning between 220 and 320 nm wavelengths at a resolution of 1.2 nm sampling at 40 points/s into a Waters SQD single quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS) fitted with an electrospray source. All MS analyses were acquired for a total run time of 2 min , with mass scanning from 100 to $1000 \mu$ in both positive and negative ion modes alternately, using electrospray ionization (ESI). Typical MS settings included: capillary voltage, 1 kV ; cone voltage, 25 V ; source temperature, $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; desolvation temperature, $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The data were acquired via a PC running MassLynx v4.1 in open access mode and processed and reported via OpenLynx software application. For each sample, the purity is determined by integration of the UV absorption chromatogram. All final compounds show a single peak and are at least $95 \%$ pure.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR measurements were performed on either a Varian Mercury 300 or a Varian Inova 500 , operating at ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ frequencies of 300 and 500 MHz respectively at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ), are designated by $\delta$, and are downfield to the internal standard tetramethylsilane (TMS) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Coupling constants are reported in Hz and are designated as J. Highresolution mass spectra were recorded on either a Micromass ZQ single quadrupole or a Micromass LCZ single quadrupole mass spectrometer both equipped with a pneumatically assisted electrospray interface (LC-MS). Melting points were determined on a Reichert melting point microscope and are uncorrected.

N-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2,4-dichlorobenzamidine (2). Compound $\mathbf{1}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a solution of ethyl magnesium bromide ( $44.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 44.5 mmol ) in dry THF ( 25 mL ) under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min , a solution of 2 ,4-dichlorobenzonitrile ( $3.65 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at rt . Water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was carefully added. Extraction with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtration, and evaporation to dryness afforded the crude title compound ( $7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).

Ethyl 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (3). To a solution of compound 2 $(6.88 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 mL ) was added potassium carbonate
$(2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the suspension was stirred for 10 min . Ethyl-3-bromo-2-oxobutanoate ( $4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 1 h , and the mixture was stirred for 66 h at rt . The solution was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in AcOH and refluxed for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to rt , water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ added, and the product extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica, $30-40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) afforded the title compound ( $5.75 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $7.50-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.5 ( $\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
1-(4-(Benzyloxy) phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imi-dazole-4-carboxylic Acid (4). To a suspension of compound 3 ( 3.62 g , $7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium hydroxide $(4.05 \mathrm{~g}$, $72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture heated to reflux. After 2 h the mixture was cooled to rt, acidified to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 2$ with HCl $(1 \mathrm{M})$, and extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude title compound ( $3.38 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ).

Ethyl 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (5). Compound 3 ( $4.82 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred overnight at rt with exclusion of light. The solvents were evaporated and the residue coevaporated with EtOH . The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 5 mL ), and $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ were added, and the resulting mixture heated under reflux for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with water basified with triethylamine and then brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude title compound $(4.74 \mathrm{~g})$ as a brown, viscous oil of sufficient purity for the next step.
Ethyl 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropo-xy)phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (6a). A solution of compound 5 ( $978 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propanol ( $428 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenylphosphine ( $984 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ were treated with DEAD ( $40 \%$ in toluene, $1.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 30 h then heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to rt, additional 3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propanol ( $428 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $984 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by di-tert-butylazodicarboxylate ( 863 mg , 3.75 mmol ) and the resulting mixture stirred at rt overnight. Again, additional $3,3,3$-trifluoro-1-propanol ( $428 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $984 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by di-tert-butyl azodicarboxylate ( $863 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $10-50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to yield the title compound ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a yellowish foam of sufficient purity for the next transformation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (6b). A solution of compound 5 ( $978 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3 -fluoropropan-1-ol ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triphenylphosphine ( $984 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 9 mL ) were treated with DEAD ( $40 \%$ solution in toluene, $1.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $20-40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes). The product containing fractions were combined and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then an equal amount of hexane was added. The resulting solid was filtered off, and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound $(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a colorless foam of ca. $90 \%$ purity, which was used in the next transformation without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropoxy)-phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylic Acid (7a). A stirred solution of
compound 6a ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in a mixture of THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, was treated with $\mathrm{KOH}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in water ( 10 mL ), and the resulting mixture stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h 30 min , the reaction mixture was cooled to rt then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and, after phase separation, the aqueous layer was extracted two more times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound ( 714 mg , $90 \%$ ) as a yellowish foam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylic Acid (7b). A solution of compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ $(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$, ca. $90 \%$ pure $)$, in a mixture of THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, was treated with $\mathrm{KOH}(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting mixture stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h 30 min , the reaction mixture was cooled to rt then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and, after phase separation, the aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and twice with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound ( 856 mg , $95 \%$ ) as a yellowish foam which was sufficiently pure for the next step. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropoxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (8a). A solution of compound $7 \mathrm{a}(643 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMF. The resulting mixture was stirred for 90 min at rt , then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dried under vacuum as a yellowish foam which was used without further purification. Subsequently, to a mixture of piperidin-1-amine hydrochloride $(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a portion of crude intermediate (2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropoxy)phenyl)-1 H -imidazole-4-carbonyl chloride ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting mixture stirred at rt for 2 h 30 min . The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and, after phase separation, filtered through a phase separator. The solvents were evaporated and the residue purified by preparative HPLC eluting on a reverse-phase column (5-100\% acetonitrile in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ ) to give the title compound ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.49-1.41 (m, 2H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 541.1385. Found: 541.1366. HPLC: 100\%.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenyl)-5-methylN -(piperidin-1-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (8b). A solution of compound $7 \mathbf{b}(732 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by DMF $(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 90 min at rt , then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dried under vacuum as a yellowish foam which was used without further purification. Subsequently, to a mixture of piperidin-1-amine hydrochloride $(0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a portion of crude 2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-(3-fluoropropoxy)phenyl)-5-methyl-1 H -imidazole-4-carbonyl chloride ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and, after phase separation, filtered through a phase separator. The solvents were evaporated and the residue purified by preparative HPLC eluting on a reverse-phase column (5-100\% $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ ) to give the title compound $(74 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=5.7,47.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 505.1573. Found: 505.1572. HPLC: 100\%.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(pi-peridin-1-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (9). To a solution of compound $4(3.38 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 3 drops of DMF, followed by oxalyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was refluxed for 2 h , then cooled to rt and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by piperidin-1amine ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture stirred at rt for 2 h . Water $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica, 66-100\% EtOAc in hexane) afforded the title compound ( $2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.29-2.16 (m, 4H), 1.78-1.57 (m, 2H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 535.1667. Found: 535.1667. HPLC: $96.9 \%$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-N-(piperi-din-1-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (10). A solution of compound $9(2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then treated dropwise with boron tribromide ( 1 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 10.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h then treated with water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica, 75-100\% EtOAc in hexane) afforded the title compound ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(piperidin-1-yl)-1-(4-(4,4,4-tri-fluorobutoxy)-phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (11a). A suspension of compound $10(351 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(218 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated dropwise with 1 -iodo-4,4,4trifluorobutane ( $376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight then cooled, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica, hexane:EtOAc 1:2) afforded the title compound ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91$ (br s, 1H), $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.13-2.67 (m, 4H), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 578(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 555.1541. Found: 555.1504. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl propane-1-sulfonate (11b). A solution of compound $10(320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by 1-propanesulfonyl chloride ( $81 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Water was added, the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography (silica, hexane:EtOAc 1:2) afforded the title compound $(220 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.01-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.8,149.0,142.3,136.8$, 135.3, 135.0, 133.8, 133.4, 130.6, 129.9, 129.1, 128.2, 127.4, 123.1, 57.2, 52.9, 25.4, 23.3, 17.5, 13.0, 10.9. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\right.$ H]: 551.1287. Found: 551.1313. HPLC: 100\%.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl-3-fluoropropane-1-sulfonate (11c). A suspension of compound $10(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3-fluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( 72 mg , $0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After 1 h 40 min at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 3 -fluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and after a total of 4 h 40 min was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was allowed to reach rt overnight. It was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by 3 -fluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after a total of 19 h . After 1 h , the reaction mixture was washed with water and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by HPLC ( $30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) over 40 min ) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.5,46.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). HRMS Calcd for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 569.119. Found: 569.1192. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonate Methanesulfonic Acid Salt (11d). A solution of compound $10(0.89 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $3,3,3$-trifluoropropanesulfonyl chloride (prepared by an analogous method to that described in WO00/ 010968 for the butyl homologue) ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. TLC showed remaining starting material, and so another portion of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and 3,3,3-trifluoropropanesulfonyl chloride was added and the reaction mixture stirred for additional 2 h . Water was added, and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $33-100 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) followed by recrystallization (hexane:EtOAc) afforded the title compound ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.84-2.73 (m, 2H), $2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.39(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). HRMS Calcd for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]:$ 605.1004. Found: 605.1012. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl butane-1-sulfonate (11e). A solution of compound $10(320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by 1-butanesulfonyl chloride ( $93 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Water was added, and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (silica, hexane:EtOAc 1:2) afforded the title compound ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.23-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 588(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]: 565.1443$. Found: 565.1450. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yll)-phenyl-4,4,4-trifluorobutane-1-sulfonate (11f). A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 0}(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $4,4,4$-trifluorobutane- 1 -sulfonyl chloride (prepared as described in WO00/010968) ( $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . TLC showed remaining starting material, so another portion of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and 4,4,4-trifluorobutane-1-sulfonyl chloride was added and the reaction mixture stirred overnight. Water was added, then the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (33-100\% EtOAc in hexane) followed by recrystallization (hexane:EtOAc) afforded the title compound ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.50-1.40 (m, 2H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 619.1160. Found: 619.1148. HPLC: 96.9\%.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3 -methylbutane-1-sulfonate (11g). A solution of compound $10(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 3-methylbutane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ carefully added. The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . Water was added, then the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

The organic extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by HPLC to deliver the title compound ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\right.$ H]: 579.1600. Found: 579.1584. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl-3,3-dimethylbutane-1-sulfonate (11h). A solution of compound $10(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3,3 -dimethylbutane-1sulfonyl chloride ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was carefully added. The reaction was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Water was added, then the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by preparative HPLC to deliver the title compound ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as a solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.87-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (s, 9H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 593.1756. Found: 593.1755. HPLC: $100 \%$.

Racemic 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-(3-hy-droxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12a). Compound $4(752 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(33.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed, and the resulting mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h . Excess $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was azeotroped with toluene. 3-Hydroxy-1-aminopiperidine ( 6.64 mmol ) was mixed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(13.28 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. A THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ mixture of the acid chloride from above was added dropwise during 20 min . The resulting mixture was allowed to slowly warm to rt and stirred overnight. Aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The reaction mixture was then diluted to 50 mL with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $8 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and then by reverse phase HPLC (Kromasil C8, $60 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ ). The product fraction was concentrated in vacuo and then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with water several times and then brine. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.34$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS $m / z 551(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
Racemic 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-(3-hy-droxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12b). A suspension of compound $4(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min , after which the solvent was removed in vacuo. The acid chloride was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to a mixture of 3 -aminocyclohexanol ( $610 \mathrm{mg}, 5.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at rt for 2 h , adding more 3 -aminocyclohexanol after $1 \mathrm{~h} 25 \mathrm{~min}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1 \mathrm{~h} 45 \mathrm{~min}(58 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.50 mmol ), water, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and brine, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound ( 2.79 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $4.18-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 550(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12c). A suspension of compound $4(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for

35 min , after which the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The acid chloride was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to a mixture of trans-2-aminocyclohexanol hydrochloride ( 802 mg , $5.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at rt for 2 h , water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed with aqueous HCl (10\%) and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound $(2.69 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 550(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-((cis)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12d). A suspension of compound $4(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 22.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min , after which the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The acid chloride was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to a mixture of cis-2-aminocyclohexanol hydrochloride ( $816 \mathrm{mg}, 5.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at rt for 2 h , water was added and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.16-4.08 (m, 1H), 4.03-3.96 (m, 1H), $2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.83-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 550(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-1 H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12e). A suspension of compound $4(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min , after which the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. A mixture of 4-trifluoromethoxy-phenylamine $(469 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 313 mg , $3.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the acid chloride suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and $10 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added, and the resulting mixture was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the crude title compound $(1.42 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.37$ (br s, 1 H ), $7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ $m / z 612(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-(6-fluoropyri-din-3-yl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12f). A suspension of compound $4(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min after which the solvents were removed in vacuo. The acid chloride was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ then treated dropwise with a mixture of 6-fluoro-pyridin-3-ylamine $(297 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(313 \mathrm{mg}, 3.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. Stirring was continued at rt for 75 min , after which $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added and the resulting mixture washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and brine. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound $(1.19 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39-8.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ (s, 2H), $2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS m/z $547(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12g). A suspension of compound $4(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 11.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt, followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min , after which the solvents were removed in vacuo. The acid chloride was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ then treated dropwise with a solution of 6 -trifluoro-methyl-pyridin-3-ylamine ( $407 \mathrm{mg}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(360 \mathrm{mg}$, $3.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1.5 h then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with aqueous HCl $(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ and brine. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title product $(1.32 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55$
$(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 597(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(5-methylpyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (12h). A suspension of compound $4(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(4.20 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min , after which the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. A mixture of 5-methyl-pyridin-2-ylamine ( $816 \mathrm{mg}, 7.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(890 \mathrm{mg}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the acid chloride suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min . $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added, and the resulting mixture was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $20-30 \%$ EtOAc in heptane) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( $980 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) $\delta 10.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ $m / z 543(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-(3-hy-droxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13a). A mixture of racemic 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophen-yl)-N-(3-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl-1 H -imidazole-4-carboxamide ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethyl sulfide $(1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under nitrogen atmosphere were treated dropwise with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ $(1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 days at ambient temperature while continuously adding small volumes of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 1,4-dioxane. EtOH was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Th eorganic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid. MS $m / z 461(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-N-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13b). A suspension of crude 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)N -(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1 H -imidazole-4-carboxamide ( 2.79 g , $5.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dimethyl sulfide ( $3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 50.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with boron trifluoride diethyl etherate $(5.77 \mathrm{~g}, 50.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 36 h (dark), adding more dimethyl sulfide $(3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 50.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and boron trifluoride $(5.77 \mathrm{~g}$, 50.7 mmol ) after 16 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ water. The phases were separated and the organic phase dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound $(2.54 \mathrm{~g})$. MS $m / z 460(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-N-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13c). Crude racemic 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide $(2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 4.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}, 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at rt, in the dark, for 1 h 20 min . EtOH was added and the mixture concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(1 \mathrm{M}\right.$, aq). One spoon of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . The solvent was evaporated, and the resulting mixture extracted with toluene followed by THF. The combined organic phases were washed with aqueous HCl ( $10 \%$ ) and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated. The product was purified by $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 40 min$)$ to yield the title compound as a white solid $(829 \mathrm{mg}$, yield over 2 steps $41 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.36-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.97(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.78-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.44-1.15 (m, 4H). MS m/z $460(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-N-((cis)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13d). A suspension of racemic 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophen-yl)-N-((cis)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide $(2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\operatorname{HBr}(33 \%$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt, in the dark, for 1 h . EtOH was added and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$.

The solvent was evaporated and the mixture dissolved in water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The phases were separated, and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and one spoon of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h before the solvent was evaporated. The residue was resuspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with aqueous HCl ( $10 \%$ ), and the solvents were evaporated. The residue was dissolved in THF, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the crude title compound $(2.10 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-d_{8}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7$, $8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-$ $1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 460$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-N-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)pheny1)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13e). Crude $12 \mathrm{e}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ in AcOH , 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt, in the dark, for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{EtOH}$ was added, and the solvents were evaporated at reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 1 M ). The solvent was evaporated and the mixture dissolved in water/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The phases were separated and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound $(1.10 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.73-7.71 (m, 2H), 7.39-7.16 (m, 5H), 6.94-6.76 (m, 4H), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). MS $m / z 522(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-N-(6-fluoropyridin-3-yl)-1-(4-hydroxy-phenyl()-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13f). Compound $12 \mathrm{f}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ in AcOH , 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt , in the dark, for 2 h 30 min . EtOH was added, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The solvent was evaporated and the mixture dissolved in water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The phases were separated, and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by HPLC ( $30-60 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 40 min , then $100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( 519 mg , yield over 2 steps $53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 457(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-N-(6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13g). A suspension of crude $12 \mathrm{~g}(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dimethyl sulfide ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with boron trifluoride ( $2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 31 h (dark). Water and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with water $(\times 4)$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and stirred at rt for 20 h before water was added and the MeOH removed in vacuo. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\times 2)$, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound ( 776 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS $m / z 507(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-N-(5-methylpyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (13h). Compound 12h ( $958 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \% \mathrm{in} \mathrm{AcOH}$, 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt , in the dark, for 1 h . EtOH was added, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The solvent was evaporated and the mixture dissolved in water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The phases were separated, and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the title compound ( $772 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) $\delta$ $10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 1.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS m/z $453(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-(3-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl-carbamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropro-pane-1-sulfonate (14a). A solution of 2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)- N -(3-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)-5-methyl-1 H -imidazole-4-carboxamide ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of $3,3,3-$ trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly while monitoring the progress with LC-MS. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of EtOH. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by reverse phase HPLC (Kromasil C8, $5-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ ) and by flash chromatography ( $8 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The product was freezedried to give the title compound ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) as a white powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.73-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 621(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 621.0954. Found: 621.0919. HPLC: $100 \%$.
Racemic 4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-((trans)-3-hydroxycyclohex-ylcarbamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropro-pane-1-sulfonate (14b). A suspension of crude 2-(2,4-dichlorophen-yl)-N-(3-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1 H -imi-dazole-4-carboxamide ( $2.53 \mathrm{mg}, 5.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(667 \mathrm{mg}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(1.30 \mathrm{mg}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h 45 min , the reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt , upon which it was washed with water and evaporated. The stereoisomers were separated by HPLC ( $30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) ) to yield the trans-hydroxycyclohexyl product ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 7.5 \%$ over 3 steps $)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.55-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 620.1001. Found: 620.1028. HPLC: $100 \%$.
(-) 4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexylcar-bamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane1 -sulfonate (14c). A suspension of racemic 2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)- N -((trans)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imi-dazole-4-carboxamide ( $829 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(182 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3,3,3$-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $354 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The racemic product was purified by HPLC ( $30-100 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 40 min ) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( $710 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for [ $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}$ ): 620.1001. Found: 620.1011. The ( - )-enantiomer was separated from the racemate ( $535 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by chiral chromatography (Chiralpak AD, heptane:iPrOH 85:15) to afford the title compound ( 220 mg ) ( $95.6 \%$ ee) as white solid after freeze-drying. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-2.9\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-$ $7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\right.$ H]: 620.1001. Found: 620.0956. HPLC: $100 \%$. Vibrational circular dichroism experiments were unable to unambiguously assign the absolute stereochemistry of the $(+)$ and $(-)$ enantiomers.
(+)-4-[2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-(\{[cis-2-hydroxycyclohexyl]-amino\}carbonyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl]phenyl-3,3,3-trifluoro-propane-1-sulfonate ( 14 d ). A suspension of crude racemic $2-(2,4-$ dichlorophenyl)-N-((cis)-2-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1 H -imidazole-4-carboxamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(440 \mathrm{mg}, 4.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $3,3,3$-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $854 \mathrm{mg}, 4.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After stirring at
$-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h 20 min, more $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}))$ and $3,3,3-$ trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $2(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ ) were added (second addition after 1 h ). After 2 h , the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The racemic product was purified by HPLC $\left(30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 40 min$)$ to yield the title compounds as a white solid ( 1.31 g , yield over 2 steps $51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\right.$ $\mathrm{H}]: 620.1001$. Found: 620.1025 . The (+)-enantiomer was separated from the racemate $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ by chiral chromatography (Chiralpak AD , heptane/ $\mathrm{iPrOH} 80 / 20$ ) to yield the title compound ( 444 mg ) $(>99.9 \%$ ee $)$ as a white powder after freeze-drying. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.9$ (c 1.02, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-$ $3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ 620.1001. Found: 620.0945. HPLC: $100 \%$. Vibrational circular dichroism experiments were unable to unambiguously assign the absolute stereochemistry of the $(+)$ and $(-)$ enantiomers.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichloropheny1)-5-methy1-4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3, 3-trifluoropropane1 -sulfonate (14e). A suspension of $13 \mathrm{e}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3,3,3$-trifluoropropane-1sulfonyl chloride ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 0.5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 70 min , the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The product was purified by HPLC $\left(30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 35 min$)$ to yield the title compound as a white solid ( 84 mg , yield over 3 steps $43 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.86-2.72 (m, 2H), $2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ $+\mathrm{H}]:$ 682.0405. Found: 682.0403. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-(6-fluoropyridin-3-ylcarbamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonate (14f). A suspension of 2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)- $N$-(6-fluoropyridin-3-yl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl- 1 H -imidazole-4-carboxamide ( 150 mg , $0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(43 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.43 mmol ) at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $3,3,3-$ trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h 30 min , more 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for another 2 h . The reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The product was purified by HPLC ( $30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) over 35 min ) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3-46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.86-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.5$, 160.7, 158.9, 148.7, 142.6, 138.4 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=15.1$ ), 137.2, $135.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=38.6)$, $134.0,133.3,133.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5), 132.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5), 130.8,130.0,129.4$, 127.7, 127.6, 125.1 ( $q, J=276.6), 123.2,109.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=38.8), 44.6(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=$ 3.3), $29.3(\mathrm{q}, J=31.9)$, 11.0. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\right.$ H]: 617.0440. Found: 617.0473. HPLC: 100\%.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1sulfonate (14g). A suspension of crude $13 \mathrm{~g}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this was added dropwise 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1sulfonyl chloride ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 70 min , the mixture was washed with water and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by HPLC ( $30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) over 40 min ) to yield the title compound as a white solid ( 131 mg , yield over 3 steps $52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$,
3.55-3.46 (m, 2H), 2.86-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.53 (s,3H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]:$ 667.0408. Found: 667.0389. HPLC: $100 \%$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(5-methylpyridin-2-ylcar-bamyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonate (14h). A suspension of $13 \mathrm{~h}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt. The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 80 min , the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The product was purified by HPLC (30-100\% $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 40 min$)$ to yield the title compound as a white solid ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 613.0691. Found: 613.0702. HPLC: $100 \%$.

2,2,2-Trichloroethyl-1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichloro-phenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (15). A solution of compound $4(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(210 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride ( $18.5 \mathrm{~g}, 145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by a few drops of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , after which the solvents were evaporated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, upon which 2,2,2-trichloroethanol ( 3.63 g , 24.3 mmol ) was added followed by DIPEA ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The ice bath was then removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h , adding DMAP ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after 1 h 40 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound $(14.9 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 583$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2,2,2-Trichloroethyl-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (16). Crude 15 (14.77 g) was dissolved in $\mathrm{HBr}(33 \%$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}, 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. After having stirred at rt for an additional hour, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and EtOH was added. The mixture was stirred for 10 min before the solvents were evaporated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH and neutralized with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The solvent was evaporated and the mixture dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was washed with brine and water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ over 2 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS m/z 493 (M + H).

2,2,2-Trichloroethyl-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropylsulfonyloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylate (17). A suspension of $16(5.01 \mathrm{~g}, 10.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $3,3,3$-trifluoropropane-1sulfonyl chloride $(2.19 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , adding more 3,3,3-trifluoro-propane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(0.28 \mathrm{~g} 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ after 2 h . Water was added and the phases were separated on a phase separator. The organic phase was concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound ( 6.43 g , 97\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z$ $653(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1-(4-(3,3,3-trifluoropropylsulfo-nyloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylic Acid (18). A solution of 17 $(6.43 \mathrm{~g}, 9.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with zinc dust $(9.74 \mathrm{~g}, 148.91 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h , after which it was filtered through Celite and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$, dried, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound ( 5.28 g ). MS $m / z 523(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-(4-hydroxycyclohexylcarbamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonate (19). A solution of 18 (crude 528 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride ( $641 \mathrm{mg}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A precipitate formed immediately after the addition so more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by a few drops of DMF. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h ,
after which more oxalyl chloride ( $641 \mathrm{mg}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After another 10 min the solvents were evaporated. Half of the crude material was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to a mixture of 4-aminocyclohexanol ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) , $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , after which water $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by HPLC to yield the title compound as a white solid after freeze-drying ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ over 2 steps). Note that the title compound is a mixture of cis- and trans- isomers in a ratio of 0.3:1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.66-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ and $2.47(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2.7 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]: 620.1001$. Found: 620.1002. HPLC: 100\%.

Racemic N -((cis)-2-Aminocyclohexyl)-1-[4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (20). A suspension of compound $4(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with oxalyl chloride $(2.80 \mathrm{mg}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , followed by one drop of DMF. The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min , after which the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Half of the amount of the acid chloride ( $1.04 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise during 31 h to a mixture of (cis)-cyclohexane-1,2-diamine ( $5.00 \mathrm{mg}, 43.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}$, $50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the addition was complete, water was added and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the crude title compound $(1.31 \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-6.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-$ $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. MS m/z 549 (M+H).

Racemic N-((cis)-2-Aminocyclohexyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (21). A suspension of crude racemic $20(791 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dimethyl sulfide $(894 \mathrm{mg}, 14.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with boron trifluoride ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 days (dark). Water and EtOAc were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the crude title compound $(715 \mathrm{mg})$. MS m/z 459 (M+H).

Racemic 4-(4-((cis)-2-Aminocyclohexylcarbamoyl-2-(2,4-dichlor-ophenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane1 -sulfonate (22). A suspension of crude racemic 21 ( $715 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.987 \mathrm{~g}, 9.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with TBDMSCl ( $0.985 \mathrm{~g}, 6.53 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for $22 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the crude silylated intermediate an oil $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol})$. MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 573$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. A solution of the crude intermediate $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 1.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was treated with $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(444 \mathrm{mg}, 2.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h , after which the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $10-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in heptane) to yield the Boc-protected intermediate ( 620 mg , yield over 4 steps $70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 673(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. A suspension of the fully protected intermediate $(610 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TBAF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{THF}, 237 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h 45 min . The solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc , and some silica gel was added. The suspension was filtered through a plug of silica gel and eluted with EtOAc. The solvent was evaporated to yield the crude desilylated intermediate ( 529 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. MS $m / z 559(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. A suspension of the crude intermediate $(506 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $3,3,3$-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( 181 mg , $0.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h (including extra additions of 3,3,3-trifluoro-propane-1sulfonyl chloride ( $2 \times 43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after 1.5 and 2.5 h$)$, the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated to yield the crude intermediate $(655 \mathrm{mg}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 719(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. To a suspension of the Boc-protected intermediate ( $655 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise, a solution of thionyl chloride in MeOH (prepared by dropwise addition of thionyl chloride ( 5.41 g , $45.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ to $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $\left.-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After the addition, the ice bath was removed. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h , after which the solvents were evaporated. The product was purified by HPLC ( $30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) in $0.1 \%$ formic acid (aq) during 40 min ). The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was evaporated and the resulting mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound as a slightly yellow solid ( 315 mg yield over 3 steps $56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-$ $3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.70-1.39 (m, 10H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 619.1160. Found: 619.1216. HPLC: $95.4 \%$.

Racemic 1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-N-((cis)-2-(dimethylamino)cyclohexyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (23). To a suspension of racemic $20(493 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added formaldehyde, $36 \%(135 \mathrm{mg}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, and sodium borohydride ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in portions. The suspension was stirred at rt for 2 days, adding 2.5 h afterward sodium borohydride ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3.5 h afterward formaldehyde ( $36 \%$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 67 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}\right), 18.5 \mathrm{~h}$ afterward formaldehyde $\left(36 \%\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $67 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, and sodium borohydride $(77 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ (the temperature was increased to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 h ), 23 h afterward AcOH $(1.85 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt , and 28 h afterward formaldehyde $\left(36 \%\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 135 \mathrm{mg}$, $4.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by sodium cyanoborohydride ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 42 h later, formaldehyde ( $36 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},(135 \mathrm{mg}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by sodium cyano borohydride ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by HPLC $\left(30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ in aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ over 30 min ). The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was evaporated and the resulting mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to yield the title compound ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ). MS $m / z 577(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-N-((cis)-2-(dimethylamino)-cyclohexyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (24). A suspension of racemic $23(163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dimethyl sulfide $(351 \mathrm{mg}, 5.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with boron trifluoride ( $801 \mathrm{mg}, 5.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 days (dark), adding more of dimethyl sulfide ( 176 mg , $2.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ and boron trifluoride ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) after 17 h . Water and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude title compound ( 104 mg ). MS $m / z 487(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Racemic 4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-4-((cis)-2-(dimethylamino)-cyclohexylcarbamoyl)-5-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl]phenyl 3,3,3-tri-fluoropropane-1-sulfonate (25). A suspension of racemic $24(104 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(26 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.26 mmol ) at rt . The resulting mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $3,3,3-$ trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6.5 h (and adding more 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ after 2 and 4 h , and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ after 4 h$)$, the reaction mixture was washed with water and evaporated. The residue was purified by HPLC $\left(30-100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ (with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) in $0.1 \%$ formic acid over 40 min ) and freeze-dried. The product was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M})$ and water, dried
$\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to yield the title compound as a slightly yellow oil ( 37 mg yield over 2 steps $20 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.8,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.54-1.25 (m, 5H). HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ : 647.1473. Found: 647.1472. HPLC: $100 \%$.

1-(4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-N-(5(trifluoromethyl) pyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (26). A solution of 2-amino-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine ( $404 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon was carefully treated with trimethylaluminum ( 2.0 M in toluene, $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 5 min . The solution was stirred at rt for 1.5 h to give a 0.66 M solution of the amidation reagent. A portion of this solution ( $3.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to compound 3 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with HCl (aq, $2 \mathrm{M}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and neutralized by addition of $\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}, 2 \mathrm{M})$. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted further with dichloromethane. The collected organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by preparative HPLC to give the title compound ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) as a solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS $m / z 597(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-methyl-N-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4-carboxamide (27). Compound 26 ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{HBr}(4.1 \mathrm{M}$ in acetic acid, $7.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture stirred at rt for 4 h . The acetic acid was co-evaporated with EtOH and the residue neutralized with ammonia and dissolved in methanol. Purification by flash chromatography gave the title compound ( $266 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMF- $d_{7}$ ) $\delta 10.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 507(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

4-(2-(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5-methyl-4-(5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl) phenyl 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1sulfonate (28). A mixture of $27(136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.32 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then carefully treated with 3,3,3-trifluoropropane-1-sulfonyl chloride ( 63 mg , $0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then allowed to reach room temperature. Water was added to the reaction, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, then dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give a residue which was purified by preparative HPLC to give the title compound ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ) as a solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-$ $3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.0,154.3,148.6,145.6(\mathrm{q}, J=4.1), 142.7,137.0,136.2$, $135.6(\mathrm{q}, J=3.2), 135.2,134.1,133.4,131.0,130.0,129.3,127.9,127.5$, $125.1(\mathfrak{q}, J=277.1), 123.8(\mathfrak{q}, J=271.3), 123.2,122.1(\mathfrak{q}, J=32.7)$, 113.1, $44.5(\mathfrak{q}, J=3.3), 29.3(\mathfrak{q}, J=31.5)$, 11.1. HRMS Calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}\right]:$ : 667.0408. Found: 667.0540 . HPLC: $100 \%$.

Biology. Chemicals and Reagents. $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 (specific activity $141.2 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA). Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) and BCA protein assay reagent were obtained from Pierce Chemical Company (Rochford, IL). Rimonabant was from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI). CHOK1hCB $1_{1}$ bgal cells (catalogue number 93-0959C2) were obtained from DiscoveRx (Fremont, CA). The membranes (catalogue number RBHCB1M400UA) used for $\left[{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ GTPyS antagonism experiment were purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and obtained from standard commercial sources.

Cell Culture and Membrane Preparation. CHOK1hCB $1_{1}$ bgal cells were cultured in Ham's F12 Nutrient Mixture supplemented with $10 \%$
fetal calf serum, 1 mM glutamine, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ hygromycin, and $800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ Geneticin in a humidified atmosphere at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were subcultured twice a week at a ratio of $1: 10$ on 10 cm diameter plates by trypsinization. For membrane preparation, the cells were subcultured $1: 10$ and transferred to large 15 cm diameter plates. Membrane fractions were prepared exactly as described before. ${ }^{50}$

Equilibrium Radioligand Displacement Assays. [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CP} 55940$ displacement assays on 96 -well plate were used for the determination of affinity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ and $\left.K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ values of antagonists for the cannabinoid $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptors. The displacement experiments were performed using six concentrations of competing antagonists in $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris-HCl, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.1 \%$ BSA, pH 7.4 ) in the presence of another $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer with a final concentration of 3.5 nM $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940. At this concentration, total radioligand binding did not exceed $10 \%$ of that added to prevent ligand depletion. Membrane aliquots containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{CHOKlhCB}_{1}$ bgal membrane in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer were incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . Nonspecific binding (NSB) was determined in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rimonabant. Incubation was terminated by rapid filtration performed on 96 -well GF/C filter plates (PerkinElmer, Groningen, The Netherlands), presoaked for 30 min with $0.25 \%$ PEI (Polyethyleneimine), using a PerkinElmer Filtermate harvester (PerkinElmer, Groningen, The Netherlands). After 30 min of dehydration of the filter plate at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the filter-bound radioactivity was determined by scintillation spectrometry using the 2450 MicroBeta $^{2}$ plate counter. The binding values were recorded in both counts per minute (CPM) and disintegrations per minute (DPM). Each antagonist was measured in duplicate, and at least three individual experiments were performed.

Classic Radioligand Kinetic Assays. Association experiments were performed by incubating membrane aliquots containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{CHOKAhCB}_{1}$ bgal membrane in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $3.5 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940. The amount of radioligand bound to the receptor was measured at different time intervals during a total incubation of 120 min . Dissociation experiments were performed by preincubating membrane aliquots containing $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer for 60 min . After the preincubation, radioligand dissociation was initiated by the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled rimonabant. The amount of radioligand still bound to the receptor was measured at various time intervals for a total of 240 min to ensure that full dissociation from cannabinoid $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor was reached. Incubation was terminated by rapid filtration performed on GF/C filters (Whatman International, Maidstone, UK), presoaked for 30 min with $0.25 \%$ PEI, using a Brandel harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD). Filter-bound radioactivity was determined by scintillation spectrometry using a TriCarb 2900 TR liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA).
Competition Association Assays. Kinetic rate index (KRI) values are an average of at least two independent experiments, each consisting of two replicates. Kinetic rate constant values are an average of at least three independent experiments, each consisting of two replicates. The binding kinetics of unlabeled ligands was quantified using the competition association assay based on the theoretical framework by Motulsky and Mahan. ${ }^{36}$ A concentration of $1-3$-fold of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was used to determine the binding kinetics of unlabeled $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists. The competition association assay was initiated by adding membrane aliquots ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{well}$ ) at different time points for a total of 240 min to a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of assay buffer at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 3.5 nM $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CP55940 in the absence or presence of competing $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor antagonists ( 1 to 3 -fold $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ). Incubations were terminated, and samples were obtained as described under Equilibrium Radioligand Displacement Assay. The "dual-point" competition association assays ${ }^{32}$ were run similarly, with only two time points, at 30 and 240 min, respectively.
$\left[^{35} S\right] G T P \gamma S$ Binding Assays. Antagonism assay: The antagonism of all tested compounds was evaluated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $\left.{ }^{[35} \mathrm{S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ binding assay as reported earlier. ${ }^{51}$ Insurmountability assay: Membrane homogenates containing the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were equilibrated in the assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris-HCl, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \%$ BSA, pH 7.4 ) supplemented with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GDP, 1 mM DTT , and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of saponin. Membrane preparations were preincubated with or without antagonists ( 10 -fold $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values on the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor) for 1 h prior to the
challenge of a $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor agonist, CP55940 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with concentrations ranging from $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.1 nM . Subsequently, $\left.{ }^{35} \mathrm{~S}\right] \mathrm{GTP} \gamma \mathrm{S}$ (final concentration 0.3 nM ) was added and incubation continued for another 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incubations were terminated, and samples were obtained as described under Equilibrium Radioligand Displacement Assays.

Data Analysis. All experimental data were analyzed using the nonlinear regression curve fitting program GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). From displacement assays, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained by nonlinear regression analysis of the displacement curves. The obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were converted into $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation to determine the affinity of the ligands. ${ }^{52}$ The $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ values for radiolabeled and unlabeled ligands were fitted and calculated, and the $k_{\text {on }}$ and $k_{\text {off }}$ values were used to calculate residence times (in min) and kinetic dissociation binding constants (kinetic $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ ). Association and dissociation rates for unlabeled compounds were calculated by fitting the data into the competition association model using "kinetics of competitive binding": ${ }^{36}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\mathrm{A}}=k_{1}[L] \cdot 10^{-9}+k_{2} \\
K_{\mathrm{B}}=k_{3}[I] \cdot 10^{-9}+k_{4} \\
S=\sqrt{\left(K_{\mathrm{A}}-K_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot k_{1} \cdot k_{3} \cdot L \cdot I \cdot 10^{-18}} \\
K_{\mathrm{F}}=0.5\left(K_{\mathrm{A}}+K_{\mathrm{B}}+S\right) \\
K_{\mathrm{S}}=0.5\left(K_{\mathrm{A}}+K_{\mathrm{B}}-S\right) \\
Q=\frac{B_{\mathrm{max}} \cdot k_{1} \cdot L \cdot 10^{-9}}{K_{\mathrm{F}}-K_{\mathrm{S}}} \\
Y=Q \cdot\left(\frac{k_{4} \cdot\left(K_{\mathrm{F}}-K_{\mathrm{S}}\right)}{K_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot K_{\mathrm{S}}}+\frac{k_{4}-K_{\mathrm{F}}}{K_{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{e}^{\left(-K_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot X\right)}-\frac{k_{4}-K_{\mathrm{S}}}{K_{\mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{e}^{\left(-K_{\mathrm{S}} \cdot X\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is the $k_{\text {on }}$ of the radioligand $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right), k_{2}$ is the $k_{\text {off }}$ of the radioligand $\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right), L$ is the radioligand concentration ( nM ), $I$ is the concentration of the unlabeled competitor ( nM ) , and $X$ is the time (min) and $Y$ is the specific binding of the radioligand (DPM). During a competition association, these parameters are set, obtaining $k_{1}$ from the control curve without competitor and $k_{2}$ from previously performed dissociation assays described under Traditional Radioligand Kinetic Assays. With that, the $k_{3}, k_{4}$ and $B_{\max }$ can be calculated, where $k_{3}$ represents the $k_{\text {on }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ of the unlabeled ligand, $k_{4}$ stands for the $k_{\text {off }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ of the unlabeled ligand, and $B_{\max }$ equals the total binding (DPM). All competition association data were globally fitted. Residence times (RT, expressed in min ) were calculated as $\mathrm{RT}=1 /\left(60 \times k_{\text {off }}\right)$.

Computational Studies. All computational studies were performed in the Schrödinger suite ${ }^{53}$ and based on the crystal structure of the $\mathrm{CB}_{1}$ receptor co-crystallized with 29 (PDB 5TGZ). ${ }^{33}$ The crystal structure was prepared with the Protein Preparation Wizard. ${ }^{53}$ Ligands were docked using induced fit docking, ${ }^{54}$ with core constraints on the 2,4-dichlorophenyl ring of 29 (all ligands share this moiety). To study whether the difference in RTs among 11d, 14f, and 28 could be explained by unfavorable hydration, we generated a WaterMap around 14f. ${ }^{47,48}$ Figures were rendered using PyMol. ${ }^{55}$
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