



## PutA Is Required for Virulence and Regulated by PruR in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Ruiping Zheng<sup>1†</sup>, Xuemei Feng<sup>1†</sup>, Xueying Wei<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolei Pan<sup>1</sup>, Chang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ruopu Song<sup>1</sup>, Yongxin Jin<sup>1</sup>, Fang Bai<sup>1</sup>, Shouguang Jin<sup>1,2</sup>, Weihui Wu<sup>1</sup> and Zhihui Cheng<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogenic bacterium, causes acute and chronic infections. Upon entering the host, *P. aeruginosa* alters global gene expression to adapt to host environment and avoid clearance by the host immune system. Proline utilization A (PutA) is a bifunctional enzyme, which converts proline to glutamate. Here we report that PutA was required for the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in a murine acute pneumonia model. A *putA* mutant was more susceptible to oxidative stress compared to the wild type strain. An AraC/XyIS family protein, PruR, directly bound to the upstream of –35 box in the *putA* promoter and activated *putA* expression. High concentration of proline in bacteria up-regulated *pruR* expression, which led to the activation of *putA* expression. As a feedback regulation, glutamate produced by PutA released PruR from the *putA* promoter and turned off the *putA* expression. PruR affected bacterial virulence through the regulation of the *putA* expression. Altogether, these data are the first to reveal that PutA plays an important role in the pathogenesis of *P. aeruginosa*, as well as to describe the genetic regulation of PutA in *P. aeruginosa*.

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Yuji Morita, Aichi Gakuin University, Japan

#### Reviewed by:

Donald Becker, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, United States Clayton Caswell, Virginia Tech, United States

#### \*Correspondence:

Zhihui Cheng zhihuicheng@nankai.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 18 December 2017 Accepted: 09 March 2018 Published: 26 March 2018

#### Citation:

Zheng R, Feng X, Wei X, Pan X, Liu C, Song R, Jin Y, Bai F, Jin S, Wu W and Cheng Z (2018) PutA Is Required for Virulence and Regulated by PruR in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front. Microbiol. 9:548. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00548

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, PutA, PruR, bacterial virulence, gene regulation

#### INTRODUCTION

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a wide-spread Gram-negative opportunistic human pathogen, which causes acute and chronic infections, such as pneumonia, severe burn infections, sepsis, and urinary tract infections (Williams et al., 2010; Gellatly and Hancock, 2013). During infection, *P. aeruginosa* regulates the expression of a variety of virulence factors to counteract host immune defense and increase tolerance against antibiotics, such as type III secretion system (T3SS), iron acquisition, quorum sensing system, biofilm formation, and multidrug efflux pumps (Lister et al., 2009; Turner et al., 2014; Goo et al., 2015; Rybtke et al., 2015; Anantharajah et al., 2016; Huber et al., 2016; Reinhart and Oglesby-Sherrouse, 2016).

In a mouse acute pneumonia model, neutrophils are rapidly recruited to the infection site in response to the invading bacteria (Shaver and Hauser, 2004). Neutrophils are the major phagocytes that generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) to kill bacteria (Ziltener et al., 2016). In *P. aeruginosa*, the  $H_2O_2$ -responsive regulator OxyR senses oxidative stress and activates the expression of defensive genes, such as *katA* (catalase A), *katB* (catalase B), *ahpB* (alkyl hydroperoxide reductases B), and *ahpCF* (alkyl hydroperoxide reductases CF), to breakdown the ROS (Ochsner et al., 2000; Heo et al., 2010).

1

Proline is an important carbon and nitrogen source for bacterial growth (Wood, 1981; Kohl et al., 1988; Nagata et al., 2003). It also provides protection against osmotic, heat and oxidative stresses in prokaryotes as well as in eukaryotes (Csonka, 1981b; Chattopadhyay et al., 2004; Chen and Dickman, 2005; Natarajan et al., 2012). In *Escherichia coli* and *Colletotrichum trifolii*, proline utilization increases oxidative stress resistance by up-regulation of catalase expression (Chen and Dickman, 2005; Zhang et al., 2015).

Proline utilization is a successive two-step process converting proline to glutamate, which is coordinated by proline dehvdrogenase (PRODH) and 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase (P5CDH) (Tanner, 2008). In eukaryotes, such as humans, plants and animals, the PRODH and P5CDH are two separate enzymes in the mitochondrion, however in certain Gram-negative bacteria, such as Helicobacter pylori, PRODH and P5CDH are combined into a bifunctional enzyme, named proline utilization A (PutA) (Singh and Tanner, 2012). It has been shown that PutA plays a critical role in bacterial pathogenesis. PutA mutant strains showed less efficiency in the colonization of mice than the wild-type strains in *H. pylori*, *Helicobacter hepaticus*, and Brucella abortus (Krishnan et al., 2008; Nakajima et al., 2008; Caudill et al., 2017). However, contribution of the PutA on the pathogenecity of P. aeruginosa is not known.

In E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, or Pseudomonas putida, PutA is about 1,300 amino acid residue long, containing three domains, PRODH domain, P5CDH domain, and an additional N-terminal ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) DNA binding domain, and acts as a transcriptional repressor (Hahn et al., 1988; Vílchez et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2008a). When intracellular proline level is low, PutA binds to operator sites located between two divergently transcribed genes, *putA* and *putP* (encoding a proline permease), and represses their expression (Zhou et al., 2008a,b). When proline level is high, PutA dissociates from the binding sites and moves to the inner membrane, which activates the Put system expression (Zhou et al., 2008a,b). In P. aeruginosa, PutA is 1,060 amino acid residue long and contains only two domains, PRODH domain and P5CDH domain. And *putA* and *putP* form an operon (Nakada et al., 2002; Figure 1). It is unlikely that PutA functions as a transcriptional repressor to regulate the expression of Put system in P. aeruginosa. In Agrobacterium tumefaciens and Rhodobacter capsulatus, the expression of putA is trans-activated by a Lrp-family protein, called PutR (Keuntje et al., 1995; Cho and Winans, 1996). In Ehrlichia chaffeensis, a two-component system NtrY/NtrX up-regulates *putA* expression upon bacterial entry into host cells (Cheng et al., 2014). However, these genes are not present in the *P. aeruginosa* genome. In *P. aeruginosa*, *pruR* encoding an AraC/XylS family protein is located close to the *putAP* operon (**Figure 1**). The expression of *putA* gene is down-regulated in a  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain, suggesting *putA* expression is regulated by the PruR (Nakada et al., 2002). Until now, no definitive analysis of the regulatory mechanisms by which *P. aeruginosa* can modulate *putA* expression has been reported.

In this article, to gain insights into the role of PutA in *P. aeruginosa* virulence, we constructed a  $\Delta putA$  mutant and determined its mortality in an acute pneumonia model. We also determined the regulatory mechanisms of *putA* expression by *lacZ* reporter assays, electrophoretic mobility shift assays and DNase I footprint assays. Overall, these data provide important information about the function and regulation of PutA in *P. aeruginosa*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Ethics Statement**

All animal studies complied with National and Nankai University guidelines regarding the use of animals in research. All animal experiment protocols have been approved by the institutional animal care and use committee of the College of Life Sciences of Nankai University (permit number NK-04-2012).

#### **Bacterial Strains and Plasmids**

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in **Table S1**. *E. coli* strains DH5 $\alpha$  and S17-1 used for general cloning and conjugal transferring, respectively, were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (10 g/l tryptone [Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK], 5 g/l NaCl [Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China], 5 g/l yeast extract [Oxoid Ltd.], pH 7.0–7.5) or LB agar (LB broth containing 15 g/l agar [BBI life sciences, Shanghai, China]) under aerobic condition at 37°C. *P. aeruginosa* strains were cultured in LB broth or minimal medium P (MMP) supplemented with different concentration of proline (Oxoid Ltd.) or glutamate (Oxoid Ltd.). MMP was composed of basal salt solution (BBS) supplemented with 1.0% (w/v) glucose (Sangon Biotech) and 0.1% (w/v) (NH4)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (BBI life sciences). BBS was composed of 2% buffer solution (7.3% [w/v] Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> [Sangon Biotech], 3.2% [w/v] KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> [Sangon Biotech], pH 7.2), 40 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub>



(BBI life sciences), and 4 g/l FeSO<sub>4</sub> (BBI life sciences) (Haas et al., 1977). When needed, the medium was supplemented with tetracycline ( $50 \mu$ g/ml) (BBI life sciences), gentamicin ( $100 \mu$ g/ml) (BBI life sciences), carbenicillin ( $150 \mu$ g/ml) (BBI life sciences), or ampicillin ( $100 \mu$ g/ml) (BBI life sciences).

Plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table S2**. For DNA manipulation, standard protocols or manufacture instructions of commercial products were followed. Chromosomal gene mutation strains,  $\Delta putA$  and  $\Delta pruR$ , were generated as described previously (Hoang et al., 1998). Complemented strains,  $\Delta putA/$  putA and  $\Delta pruR/pruR$  were obtained by integrating each gene including its promoter region into chromosome using pUC18T-mini-Tn7T-Gm (Choi and Schweizer, 2006). Insertion of an empty transposon (with the inverted repeats only) was not included, since previous researches have demenstrated that insertion in chromosome using this method did not change the characters of bacteria (Choi and Schweizer, 2006; Heacock-Kang et al., 2017; Munguia et al., 2017; Pletzer et al., 2017). Primers used for knock-out and complementation are listed in **Table S3**.

#### **Murine Acute Pneumonia Model**

Bacteria were grown in LB broth at 37°C for overnight and then sub-cultured into fresh LB broth at 37°C with aeration to  $OD_{600}$  of 1.0. Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation and adjusted to 2 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/ml in sterile 1 × PBS (274 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl [BBI life sciences], 20 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 4 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4). Female BALB/c mice (6- to 8-week old) (Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing, China) were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 7.5% chloral hydrate (70 µl per mouse). Anesthetized mice were intranasally inoculated with 10 µl of bacterial suspension in each nostril, giving a total infection volume of 20 µl. Thirteen mice were used for each strain. The mice were monitored at least twice a day for 5 days (Weng et al., 2016).

#### H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Susceptibility Assay

 $H_2O_2$  susceptibility assay was performed as described with minor modification (Weng et al., 2016). Over-night cultures of *P. aeruginosa* strains were diluted in the indicated medium to  $OD_{600}$  of 0.05 and cultured at 37°C. When  $OD_{600}$  reached 1.0, bacterial cells from 3 ml culture were collected and washed twice with sterile 1 × PBS. Then the bacterial cells were resuspended in 1 × PBS with 0.3%  $H_2O_2$  and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The live bacterial numbers were determined by serial dilution and plating.

# Expression and Purification of Recombinant Protein

The DNA fragment encoding full-length PruR was amplified by PCR using *P. aeruginosa* PAK chromosomal DNA as a template and specific primers (**Table S3**). The amplified fragment was digested with restriction enzymes and ligated into the same restriction enzyme-digested pET-28a(+). *E. coli* DH-5 $\alpha$  cells were transformed with the ligation product. Plasmids were extracted using an Axyprep Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Axygen Biosciences, CA, USA) and the cloned fragment was confirmed by DNA sequencing. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells were then transformed with the resulting plasmid and induced to express the recombinant protein with isopropyl-thio-ß-Dgalactoside (IPTG). The recombinant PruR (rPruR) were Niaffinity purified from *E. coli* soluble fraction (Cheng et al., 2006). The purified protein was dialyzed against stocking buffer (50 mM Tris [Genview, Beijing, China], pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA [Solarbio, Beijing, China], 10% [v/v] glycerol [Solarbio]).

### Construction of *lacZ* Fusions

For reporter assay in *E. coli, lacZ* fusions were constructed as described (Cheng et al., 2008). Briefly, DNA fragments were amplified by PCR using specific primers (**Table S3**) and inserted upstream of the promoter-less *lacZ* gene in pACYC184 (New England Biolabs, MA, USA). BL21(DE3) strain containing pET-28a(+) encoding rRruR, or pET-28a(+) alone (negative control) was transformed with the *lacZ* fusion constructs. After inducing the recombinant protein with 0.1 mM IPTG at 37°C for 2 h, β-galactosidase activity was measured as described previously (Wang et al., 2007). Recombinant protein expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis using the anti-His-tag antibody (Sigma, MO, USA).

For reporter assay in *P. aeruginosa*, DNA fragment was amplified by PCR with specific primers (**Table S3**) and inserted upstream of the promoter-less *lacZ* gene in pDN19*lacZ* $\Omega$ . *P. aeruginosa* strains were transformed with the *lacZ* fusion construct or pDN19*lacZ* $\Omega$  vector (negative control).  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity was measured as described (Weng et al., 2016).

#### **Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed as described with minor modification (Cheng et al., 2008). Briefly, DNA fragments corresponding to the sequences upstream of *putA* were amplified by PCR using specific primers (**Table S3**). DNA fragments (50 ng) were incubated with 2  $\mu$ g purified rPruR in a 20- $\mu$ l reaction (50 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1% [v/v] NP-40 [Solarbio]) at 25°C for 10 min. Samples were loaded onto an 8% native polyacrylamide gel in 1 × Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (0.044 M Tris, 0.044 M boric acid, 0.001 M EDTA, pH 8.0) that had been prerun for 1 h, electrophoresed on ice at 100 V for 1.5 h, followed by DNA staining in 1 × TBE containing 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml ethidium bromide. Bands were visualized with a molecular imager ChemiDoc TM XRS+ (Bio-Rad, CA, USA).

## **DNase I Footprint Analysis**

DNase I footprint analysis was performed as described with minor modification (Zianni et al., 2006). A 184-bp DNA fragment upstream of the *putA* gene was amplified by PCR with primers shown in **Table S3**, except that the forward primer was labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM). The FAM-labeled probe (300 ng) was incubated with 1 or 2  $\mu$ g rPruR or 2  $\mu$ g BSA (negative control) under the conditions described previously. Based on the results of DNase I (Takara, Dalian, China) optimization experiments, 0.09 U of DNase I was added to each reaction mixture and incubated at 25°C for 5 min.

The reaction was terminated by heating the mixture at  $80^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. The digested DNA fragments were extracted with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and precipitated with ethanol. The pellets were dissolved in 20  $\mu$ l water. The sequences were then analyzed with Peak Scanner software v1.0 (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) to convert the DNase I digestion maps into sequencing data to identify the exact sequences that were protected.

#### **Proline Concentration Assay**

Proline concentration in bacterial cells was determined as described (Shabnam et al., 2016). Harvested bacterial cells were resuspended in 150  $\mu$ l water and boiled for 10 min. After centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C, 100  $\mu$ l of the supernatant was incubated with 100  $\mu$ l of acid-ninhydrin (0.25 g ninhydrin dissolved in 6 ml glacial acetic acid and 4 ml 6 M phosphoric acid) and 100  $\mu$ l of glacial acetic acid at 100°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by incubation on ice, and the mixture was extracted with 400  $\mu$ l toluene. The toluene phase was separated, and the OD<sub>520</sub> was measured to determine the concentration of proline in the extract.

### **Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from bacteria using an RNeasy Minikit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China). The cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using random primers and PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase (TaKaRa). For quantitative PCR, cDNA was mixed with 4 pmol of forward and reverse primers (**Table S3**) and SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM II (TaKaRa) in a total reaction volume of 20  $\mu$ l. The results were determined using a CFX Connect Real-Time system (Bio-Rad).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with the GraphPad Prism software. Survival data were analyzed with the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Other results were analyzed by the Student's *t*-test (two-tailed).

## RESULTS

#### PutA Is Essential for *P. aeruginosa* Infection in a Mouse Acute Pneumonia Model

Given that little information is currently available about the role of the proline utilization system in *P. aeruginosa* infection, we sought to define the function of PutA by assessing the requirement of PutA for *P. aeruginosa* virulence. We infected mice with a wild type PAK strain (Weng et al., 2016), a  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain or a  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain in an acute pneumonia model. Compared to the wild type PAK strain, the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain caused significantly lower mortality (P < 0.01; **Figure 2**). Complementation with a *putA* gene driven by its native promoter restored the bacterial virulence (**Figure 2**). The growth rates of these three strains showed no differences when cultured on LB broth or MMP medium supplemented with glucose and (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (**Figure S1**). These results indicated that



**FIGURE 2** | Role of PutA in *P. aeruginosa* infection in a mouse acute pneumonia model. Mice were inoculated intranasally with  $4 \times 10^7$  CFU bacteria of indicated strains. The mice were monitored for 5 days after the infection. The data were from 13 mice per strain. \*p < 0.01, compared to the wild type PAK strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain by log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

PutA is required for the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in the murine acute pneumonia model.

#### *P. aeruginosa* ∆*putA* Mutant Strain Is More Sensitive to Oxidative Stress Compared to the Wild Type PAK Strain *in Vitro*

It has been shown previously that bacterial PutA are linked to the resistance against oxidative stress (Zhang et al., 2015). ROS produced by neutrophils is one of the major mechanisms of host defense against bacteria (Shaver and Hauser, 2004; Ziltener et al., 2016). To test the hypothesis that PutA in P. aeruginosa is required for the ability of bacteria to cope with oxidative stress, we treated the wild type PAK strain, the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain with 0.3%  $H_2O_2$  at 37°C for 15 min. Survival rate of the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain was significantly lower than that of wild type PAK strain (Figure 3). Complementation of putA gene rescued the mutant strain from the increased sensitivity to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-mediated killing (Figure 3). These experiments indicated that proline utilization is involved in bacterial defense against oxidative stress, which aids bacteria to establish infection in hosts.

#### PruR Activates putA Expression

Since PutA is essential for *P. aeruginosa* virulence, we then investigated the regulation of *putA* expression. The only available information is that in a  $\Delta pruR$  mutant *P. aeruginosa* strain, *putA* expression was down-regulated, suggesting *putA* expression is under the control of PruR (Nakada et al., 2002). We examined whether PruR transcriptionally activates the *putA* expression. Fragments (F1, F2, F3) derived from *putA* promoter region with various lengths were inserted upstream of a promoterless *lacZ* gene in a pACYC184 plasmid (**Figure 4A**). The fusion plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) strain harboring a plasmid (pPruR) expressing recombinant



*P. aeruginosa* PruR (rPruR) or an empty pET-28a(+) vector. Induction of rPruR by IPTG resulted in a significant increase in β-galactosidase activity in the strain harboring F1-*lacZ* fusion or F2-*lacZ* fusion, but not in the strain harboring F3-*lacZ* fusion (**Figure 4B**), indicating that PruR binds to F1 and F2 fragments of *putA* promoter and trans-activates *putA* expression. The expression of rPruR was confirmed by Western blot analysis (**Figure 4B**). Also we examined whether PruR activates the expression of *PA0781* gene, which is located upstream of the *putA* in diverging direction. Our β-galactosidase assay results showed PruR could not activate the expression of *PA0781* gene (**Figure S2**).

#### PruR Binds Directly to the putA Promoter

To examine whether PruR directly binds to the *putA* promoter and determine the binding site, we preformed EMSA using fragments derived from different positions in the *putA* promoter region (**Figure 4A**). Upon incubation with rPruR, shifted bands were detected for fragment F1, F2, F4, and F6, but not for F3 and F5, indicating that PruR bound to the *putA* promoter region and the binding site was located in F6, whose location is from -79 to -27 counting from the transcriptional start site (**Figures 4C, 5B**).

Next we performed DNase I protection assays to reveal the DNA sequence to which PruR binds. The protected region of the sense strand was determined by comparing the sequence of a DNA sample protected by 1 or 2  $\mu$ g rPruR to the

sequence of an unprotected DNA sample incubated with 2  $\mu$ g BSA (**Figure 5A**). The region protected by rPruR was located from -71 to -41 counting from the *putA* transcriptional start site, which was in F6 fragment and right upstream of -35 box (**Figure 5B**). To confirm the PruR binding site, fragments F7 (containing the binding site) and F8 (without the binding site) were inserted upstream of a promoter-less *lacZ* gene in a pACYC184 plasmid (**Figure 5B**). Also we mutated CC (-71, -70 from the transcriptional start site) into AT in F7-*lacZ* fusion (F7MU, **Figure 5B**). Induction of rPruR by IPTG resulted in a significant increase in  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in the strain harboring F7-*lacZ* fusion, but not in the strain harboring F7MU-*lacZ* fusion (**Figure 5C**).

## Proline and Glutamate Are Signals Controlling *putA* Expression

To determine the signals regulating the *putA* expression, we constructed reporter plasmid by inserting *putA* promoter upstream of a promoter-less *lacZ* gene in a pDN19*lacZ* $\Omega$  plasmid and transformed the resulting fusion construct into the wild type PAK strain, the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain. These strains were cultured in LB broth at 37°C for overnight. Due to the lack of proline utilization, proline concentration was significantly higher in the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain (Figure 6A). At the same time, the *putA* expression was activated as  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity was significantly increased in the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain (Figure 6B), suggesting the high proline concentration is an activation signal for *putA* expression.

To confirm the effect of proline on the putA expression, the wild type PAK strain was cultured in MMP medium supplemented with different concentration of proline. The addition of proline resulted in increased levels of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6C and Figure S3). To further investigate the regulation of the *putA* expression, the effect of glutamate, which is the product of PutA enzyme activity, was examined. The high level of  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity induced by proline was repressed by the addition of glutamate (Figure 6C). However, adding proline into the binding reaction did not increase the affinity of rPruR to the putA promoter (Figure 6D). Meanwhile, glutamate released rPruR from the putA promoter in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6D). The expression of *pruR* and *putA* was highly up-regulated in MMP medium supplemented with 25 mM proline, but did not show significant changes in MMP medium supplemented with 25 mM glutamate (Figure 6E). The expression of pruR showed no significant difference in MMP supplemented with 25 mM proline and in MMP supplemented with 25 mM proline and 25 mM glutamate. However, the expression of putA in MMP supplemented with both proline and glutamate was significantly lower than that in MMP supplemented with proline (Figure 6E). Furthermore, the expression of pruR was highly up-regulated in the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain compared to that of the wild type PAK strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain (Figure 6F). All together, these results indicated that



high concentration of proline up-regulates *pruR* expression, which in turn activates *putA* expression, and high glutamate concentration reduces the affinity of PruR to *putA* promoter, then turns off the expression of *putA*. We also examined whether PruR is auto-regulated. Our EMSA and  $\beta$ -galactosidase assay results shown in **Figure S2** demonstrated that PruR neither bound to its own promoter region, nor activated its expression.

### PruR Affects *P. aeruginosa* Virulence Through the Regulation of the *putA* Expression

To evaluate the roles of PruR in the virulence of *P. aeruginosa*, we infected mice with the wild type PAK strain, a  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain or a  $\Delta pruR/pruR$  complemented strain in the acute pneumonia model. Compared to the wild type PAK strain,



by DNase I protection footprinting. Electropherograms are superimposed to show the region protected by different concentrations of rPruR (green, 1  $\mu$ g; red, 2  $\mu$ g) or BSA (blue, 2  $\mu$ g) within the *putA* promoter after digestion with DNase I. The DNA sequence protected by PruR is shown below the electropherograms. (B) The PruR binding site in the *putA* promoter. The sequence of F6 fragment is boxed with solid lines. The sequence protected by PruR is boxed with dash line. –35 box and –10 box are underlined. The transcriptional start site is bold and labeled with an arrow. Fragments F7, F7MU (the mutated nucleotides are in red letters and indicated by dotted lines), and F8 were inserted upstream of the promoter-less *lacZ* gene in pACYC184 for reporter gene assay. Designation and length of each fragment is shown on the left. (C) PruR binds to the protected region and activates the *putA* expression. β-galactosidase assays were used to measure the transcriptional activities of *lacZ* reporter fusions. Data indicate the means ± standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \**P* < 0.01 compared to no IPTG induction or the empty pET-28a(+) vector by student's *t*-test. Western blot analyses of samples from the β-galactosidase assays were performed using an anti-His-tag antibody to verify the expression of rPruR. The blots below the graphs are representative blots for three independent experiments. The position of rPruR is indicated by an arrowhead.

the  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain caused significantly lower mortality (P < 0.01; Figure 7A). Complementation with a *pruR* gene driven by its native promoter restored the bacterial virulence (Figure 7A). The growth rates of these three strains showed no differences when cultured on LB broth or MMP medium supplemented with glucose and  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  (Figure S4). These results indicated that PruR is required for the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in the murine acute pneumonia model.

We further examined the resistance of the  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain to oxidative stress. The wild type PAK strain, the  $\Delta pruR$ mutant strain or the  $\Delta pruR/pruR$  complemented strain was treated with 0.3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 37°C for 15 min. Survival rate of the  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain was significantly lower than those of the wild type PAK strain and the  $\Delta pruR/pruR$  complemented strain (**Figure 7B**). Overexpression of a *putA* gene rescued the  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain from the increased sensitivity to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>mediated killing (**Figure 7B**). These experiments indicated that the *P. aeruginosa* PruR affects bacterial survival under oxidative stress through the regulation of the *putA* expression.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that PutA is required for the virulence of *P. aeruginosa* in a murine acute pneumonia model. Further experiments demonstrated that PruR directly binds to



**FIGURE 6** Proline and glutamate are the signals regulating the *putA* expression. (A) Proline concentration in bacterial cells. Indicated strains were cultured in LB broth for overnight. Proline concentration in bacterial cells was measured. The values reflect proline amount relative to total bacterial protein amount. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \**P* < 0.01 compared to wild type PAK strain, or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain by student's *t*-test. (B) The *putA* expression is up-regulated in the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain.  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were used to measure the transcriptional activities of *lacZ* reporter fusions. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \**P* < 0.01 compared to wild type PAK strain, or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain, or the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain harboring pDN19*lacZ* expector by student's *t*-test. (C) The effects of proline and glutamate on the *putA* expression. The wild type PAK strain was cultured in MMP medium supplemented with different concentrations of proline and glutamate on the *putA* prevented to experiments performed in triplicate. (D) EMSA for dose-dependent effects of proline and glutamate on three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (D) EMSA for dose-dependent effects of proline and glutamate on the rule promoter. DNA fragment F4 (50 ng) was incubated alone (-) or with 2 µg rPruR (PR) or with 2 µg rPruR and proline or glutamate at different concentrations (lanes 1–3: 25, 50, and 100 mM, respectively). Shifted bands are indicated by an arrowhead. Black triangles show proportions of concentration of proline or glutamate. (E) The expression of *pruR* and *putA* in different media. RNA samples for quantitative RT-PCR were prepared from the wild type *(Continued)* 

8

**FIGURE 6** PAK strain cultured in different media at 37°C for overnight. –, MMP medium only; Pro, MMP medium supplemented with 25 mM proline; Glu, MMP medium supplemented with 25 mM glutamate; Pro+Glu, MMP medium supplemented with 25 mM proline and 25 mM glutamate. The expression of *pruR* or *putA* is normalized against that of 16S rRNA. Values relative to the amount cultured in MMP medium are shown. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \**P* < 0.01 by student's *t*-test. (F) The expression of *pruR* in different strains. RNA samples for quantitative RT-PCR were prepared from indicated strains cultured in LB broth at 37°C for overnight. The *pruR* expression is normalized against that of 16S rRNA. Values relative to the amount of the wild type PAK strain are shown. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. \**P* < 0.01 compared to the wild type PAK strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain by student's *t*-test.

the *putA* promoter. High concentration of proline in bacteria upregulates *pruR* expression. Then PruR activates *putA* expression. As a feedback regulation, glutamate produced by PutA turns off the *putA* expression. PruR affected bacterial virulence through the regulation of *putA* expression. It is for the first time to reveal that the proline utilization plays an important role in the pathogenesis of *P. aeruginosa*, as well as to describe the genetic regulation of the Put system in *P. aeruginosa*.

Proline is a multifunctional amino acid playing important roles in carbon and nitrogen metabolism, protein synthesis, and protection against various environmental stresses such as drought, osmotic stress, and oxidative stress (Barnett and Naylor, 1966; Csonka, 1981a; Wood, 1988; Chen and Dickman, 2005; Krishnan et al., 2008; Szabados and Savoure, 2010; Natarajan et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2013). Wild type *P. aeruginosa* exhibits higher resistance to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stress than the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain. And complementation of *putA* gene could restore oxidative stress protection. These results indicate that proline also provides resistance against oxidative stress in *P. aeruginosa* which is a PutA dependent phenomenon.

OxyR plays important roles in the regulation of oxidative stress responsive genes in E. coli and P. aeruginosa (Zheng et al., 2001; Jo et al., 2015). Oxidation of two conserved cysteine residues in OxyR results in formation of an intra-molecular disulfide bond, which leads to the binding of OxyR to promoters of target genes, then activates their expression (Jo et al., 2015). In E. coli, OxyR regulates the expression of responsive genes, such as katG (hydroperoxidase I), ahpCF (peroxiredoxin AhpCF), grxA (glutaredoxin I), and trxC (thioredoxin 2), to protect bacteria against ROS (Zheng et al., 2001). Under oxidative stress, proline utilization by PutA changes bacterial intracellular redox condition, which activates OxyR, leading to increased expression of katG, grxA, and trxC (Zhang et al., 2015). In P. aeruginosa, OxyR regulates the expression of katA, katB, ahpB, and ahpCF to defend against host produced ROS (Ochsner et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2005; Heo et al., 2010). Proline protection against oxidative stress of P. aeruginosa might also depend on OxyR regulation. This possibility is currently being investigated by our group.

In the absence of proline, PutA protein of *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, or *P. putida* represses the expression of *putA* gene and the divergent *putP* gene (Muro-Pastor and Maloy, 1995; Vílchez et al., 2000; Becker and Thomas, 2001). In contrast, *P. aeruginosa* PutA lacks the DNA binding domain and is unlikely auto-regulated. In *A. tumefaciens* and *R. capsulatus* PutR acts as a transcriptional activator of *putA*. Adding proline into culture medium leads to the transcriptional activation of *putA* through PutR. Subsequently PutR suppresses *putR* expression (Keuntje et al., 1995; Cho and Winans, 1996). However, *P. aeruginosa* does not encode PutR homolog. Our results showed that PruR binds to the *putA* promoter region and activates the *putA* expression. Proline did not change the affinity of PruR to the binding site, instead, high intracellular proline concentration up-regulated the *pruR* expression. Also we found that PruR is not auto-regulated. These results suggest that there might be other unknown mechanisms involved in proline signal detection and *pruR* regulation, which then controls *putA* expression.

In B. abortus, the two-component system NtrY/NtrX acts as a redox sensor sensing oxidative tension, and regulates the expression of nitrogen respiration enzymes (Carrica Mdel et al., 2012). In E. chaffeensis, NtrY/NtrX regulates the expression of PutA and GlnA, which converts glutamate to glutamine by nitrogen assimilation (Cheng et al., 2014). P. aeruginosa however does not encode NtrY/NtrX, but NtrB/NtrC, which is the homologous pair to NtrY/NtrX. In E. coli, the sensor kinase NtrB detects the concentration changes of α-ketoglutarate and glutamine through a signal transduction protein P(II) and phosphorylates the cognate response regulator NtrC, which then regulates the expression of nitrogen metabolism genes, including glnA (Ninfa et al., 2000; Dixon and Kahn, 2004; Lilja et al., 2004; Forchhammer, 2008; Hervás et al., 2009). In P. aeruginosa genome, P(II) protein is encoded by glnK gene. Proline is an important nitrogen source for bacterial growth (Wood, 1981; Kohl et al., 1988; Nagata et al., 2003). Proline accumulation might affect the concentration of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate and glutamine, which can be converted from proline. P(II) might detect these changes and activate *pruR* expression through the NtrB/NtrC system. Our group is investigating this hypothesis now.

The AraC/XylS family proteins consist of two domains, a nonconserved domain, which seems to be involved in effector/signal reorganization and dimerization, and a conserved DNA binding domain that contains two helix-turn-helix DNA binding motifs (Gallegos et al., 1997). E. coli AraC binds to araFGH promoter, facilitates recruitment of RNA polymerase and isomerization to open complex, and prevents improper binding of RNA polymerase (Johnson and Schleif, 2000). Our results showed that P. aeruginosa PruR binding site was located from -71 to -41 counting from transcriptional start site in the putA promoter region, which is right upstream of the -35 box. Interestingly PruR binding was affected by glutamate concentration. It is possible that PruR initiates *putA* expression by RNA polymerase recruitment, and the non-conserved domain of PruR senses the intracellular glutamate concentration. Our results showed that high concentration of glutamate did not release PruR from the *putA* promoter completely, suggesting there might be additional regulatory mechanisms involved. To fully elucidate



the mechanism of PruR regulation on PutA expression, further studies on the interaction among PruR, RNA polymerase, and glutamate are warranted.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZC, RZ, and XF: conceived and designed the experiments and wrote the paper; RZ, XF, XW, XP, CL, RS, YJ, and FB: performed the experiments; ZC, RZ, XF, SJ, and WW: analyzed the data.

#### **FUNDING**

This work was supported by National Science Foundation of China (31741009 and 81670766), Science and Technology Committee of Tianjin (15JCYBJC53900 and 15JCZDJC33000), the State Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemical Biology (Nankai University) (2017011), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.00548/full#supplementary-material

 Table S1 | Bacterial strains used in this study.

Table S2 | Plasmids used in this study.

Table S3 | Oligonucleotides used in this study.

**Figure S1** | The growth rate of the  $\Delta putA$  mutant strain shows no differences to that of the wild type PAK strain or the  $\Delta putA/putA$  complemented strain. Indicated strains were cultured in **(A)** LB broth or **(B)** MMP medium containing 1.0% (w/v) glucose and 0.1% (w/v) (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 37°C.

**Figure S2** | PruR does not activate the expression of *PA0781* and *pruR*. (A) PruR does not activate the expression of *PA0781* and *pruR*.  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were used to measure the transcriptional activities of *lacZ* reporter fusions. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. Western blot analyses of samples from the  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were performed using an anti-His-tag antibody to verify the expression of rPruR. The blots below the graphs are representative blots for three independent experiments. The position of rPruR are indicated by arrowheads. (B) PruR does not bind to the *pruR* promoter. Lane 1, DNA probe (50 ng); lane 2, DNA probe incubated with rPruR (2  $\mu$ g). The length (bp) of the fragment is shown above the panel. The gel was stained with ethicilum bromide.

**Figure S3 |** The effects of proline on the *putA* expression. The wild type PAK strain was cultured in MMP medium supplemented with different concentrations of proline at 37°C for overnight.  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were used to measure the transcriptional activities of the *putA* promoter-*lacZ* fusions. Data indicate the means  $\pm$  standard deviations from three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

**Figure S4 |** The growth rate of the  $\Delta pruR$  mutant strain shows no difference to that of the wild type PAK strain or the  $\Delta pruR/pruR$  complemented strain. Indicated strains were cultured in **(A)** LB broth or **(B)** MMP medium containing 1.0% (w/v) glucose and 0.1% (w/v) (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at 37°C.

- Arac/XylS family of transcriptional regulators. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 61, 393–410.
  Gellatly, S. L., and Hancock, R. E. (2013). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: new insights into pathogenesis and host defenses. *Pathog. Dis.* 67, 159–173.
- doi: 10.1111/2049-632X.12033Goo, E., An, J. H., Kang, Y., and Hwang, I. (2015). Control of bacterial metabolism by quorum sensing. *Trends Microbiol.* 23, 567–576.
- doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2015.05.007
  Haas, D., Holloway, B. W., Schamböck, A., and Leisinger, T. (1977). The genetic organization of arginine biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 154, 7–22. doi: 10.1007/BF00265571

- Hahn, D. R., Myers, R. S., Kent, C. R., and Maloy, S. R. (1988). Regulation of proline utilization in *Salmonella typhimurium*: molecular characterization of the put operon, and DNA sequence of the put control region. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 213, 125–133. doi: 10.1007/BF00333408
- Heacock-Kang, Y., Sun, Z., Zarzycki-Siek, J., McMillan, I. A, Norris, M. H., Bluhm, A. P., et al. (2017). Spatial transcriptomes within the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm architecture. *Mol. Microbiol.* 106, 976–985. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13863
- Heo, Y. J., Chung, I. Y., Cho, W. J., Lee, B. Y., Kim, J. H., Choi, K. H., et al. (2010). The major catalase gene (*katA*) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 is under both positive and negative control of the global transactivator OxyR in response to hydrogen peroxide. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 381–390. doi: 10.1128/JB.00980-09
- Hervás, A. B., Canosa, I., Little, R., Dixon, R., and Santero, E. (2009). NtrCdependent regulatory network for nitrogen assimilation in *Pseudomonas putida*. J. Bacteriol. 191, 6123–6135. doi: 10.1128/JB.00744-09
- Hoang, T. T., Karkhoff-Schweizer, R. R., Kutchma, A. J., and Schweizer, H. P. (1998). A broad-host-range Flp-FRT recombination system for sitespecific excision of chromosomally-located DNA sequences: application for isolation of unmarked *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants. *Gene* 212, 77–86. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1119(98)00130-9
- Huber, P., Basso, P., Reboud, E., and Attrée, I. (2016). Pseudomonas aeruginosa renews its virulence factors. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 8, 564–571. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12443
- Jo, I., Chung, I. Y., Bae, H. W., Kim, J. S., Song, S., Cho, Y. H., et al. (2015). Structural details of the OxyR peroxide-sensing mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 6443–6448. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1424495112
- Johnson, C. M., and Schleif, R. F. (2000). Cooperative action of the catabolite activator protein and AraC *in vitro* at the araFGH promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* 182, 1995–2000. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.7.1995-2000.2000
- Keuntje, B., Masepohl, B., and Klipp, W. (1995). Expression of the *putA* gene encoding proline dehydrogenase from *Rhodobacter capsulatus* is independent of NtrC regulation but requires an Lrp-like activator protein. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 6432–6439. doi: 10.1128/jb.177.22.6432-6439.1995
- Kohl, D. H., Schubert, K. R., Carter, M. B., Hagedorn, C. H., and Shearer, G. (1988). Proline metabolism in N2-fixing root nodules: energy transfer and regulation of purine synthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 2036–2040. doi: 10.1073/pnas.85.7.2036
- Krishnan, N., Doster, A. R., Duhamel, G. E., and Becker, D. F. (2008). Characterization of a *Helicobacter hepaticus putA* mutant strain in host colonization and oxidative stress. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 3037–3044. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01737-07
- Lee, J. S., Heo, Y. J., Lee, J. K., and Cho, Y. H. (2005). KatA, the major catalase, is critical for osmoprotection and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 4399–4403. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.7.4399-4403.2005
- Liang, X., Zhang, L., Natarajan, S. K., and Becker, D. F. (2013). Proline mechanisms of stress survival. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 19, 998–1011. doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.5074
- Lilja, A. E., Jenssen, J. R., and Kahn, J. D. (2004). Geometric and dynamic requirements for DNA looping, wrapping and unwrapping in the activation of *E.coli glnAp2* transcription by NtrC. J. Mol. Biol. 342, 467–478. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2004.07.057
- Lister, P. D., Wolter, D. J., and Hanson, N. D. (2009). Antibacterialresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 22, 582–610. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00040-09
- Munguia, J., LaRock, D. L., Tsunemoto, H., Olson, J., Cornax, I., Pogliano, J. et al. (2017). The Mla pathway is critical for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* resistance to outer membrane permeabilization and host innate immune clearance. *J. Mol. Med.* 95, 1127–1136. doi: 10.1007/s00109-017-1579-4
- Muro-Pastor, A. M., and Maloy, S. (1995). Proline dehydrogenase activity of the transcriptional repressor PutA is required for induction of the put operon by proline. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 9819–9827. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.17.9819
- Nagata, K., Nagata, Y., Sato, T., Fujino, M. A., Nakajima, K., and Tamura, T. (2003). L-Serine, D- and L-proline and alanine as respiratory substrates of *Helicobacter pylori*: correlation between *in vitro* and *in vivo* amino acid levels. *Microbiology* 149(Pt 8), 2023–2030. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.26203-0
- Nakada, Y., Nishijyo, T., and Itoh, Y. (2002). Divergent structure and regulatory mechanism of proline catabolic systems: characterization of the *putAP* proline catabolic operon of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 and its

19491

REFERENCES

doi: 10.1104/pp.41.7.1222

Anantharajah, A., Mingeot-Leclercq, M. P., and Van Bambeke, F. (2016). Targeting

Barnett, N. M., and Naylor, A. W. (1966). Amino acid and protein metabolism

Becker, D. F., and Thomas, E. A. (2001). Redox properties of the PutA

Carrica Mdel, C., Fernandez, I., Marti, M. A., Paris, G., and Goldbaum, F. A. (2012).

Caudill, M. T., Budnick, J. A., Sheehan, L. M., Lehman, C. R., Purwantini,

Chattopadhyay, M. K., Kern, R., Mistou, M. Y., Dandekar, A. M., Uratsu, S.

Chen, C., and Dickman, M. B. (2005). Proline suppresses apoptosis in the fungal

Cheng, Z., Kumagai, Y., Lin, M., Zhang, C., and Rikihisa, Y. (2006). Intra-leukocyte

Cheng, Z., Lin, M., and Rikihisa, Y. (2014). Ehrlichia chaffeensis proliferation

Cheng, Z., Wang, X., and Rikihisa, Y. (2008). Regulation of type IV secretion

Cho, K., and Winans, S. C. (1996). The putA gene of Agrobacterium

Choi, K. H., and Schweizer, H. P. (2006). Mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with

Csonka, L. N. (1981a). Proline over-production results in enhanced osmotolerance in Salmonella typhimurium. Mol. Gen. Genet. 182, 82–86.

Csonka, L. N. (1981b). The role of proline in osmoregulation in

Dixon, R., and Kahn, D. (2004). Genetic regulation of biological nitrogen fixation.

Forchhammer, K. (2008). P(II) signal transducers: novel functional and structural

insights. Trends Microbiol. 16, 65-72. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2007.11.004

Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 621-631. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro954

Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Basic Life Sci. 18, 533-542.

Microbiol. 8, 1241-1252. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00704.x

Sci. 37, 734-749. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2016.05.011

39-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08095.x

Microbiology 163, 970-979. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000490

8149-8152. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.23.8149-8152.2004

doi: 10.1073/pnas.0407960102

doi: 10.1128/mBio.02141-14

doi: 10.1128/JB.01813-07

doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.24

doi: 10.1007/BF00422771

doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1996.01524.x

doi: 10.1007/978-1-4684-3980-9\_32

the type three secretion system in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Trends Pharmacol.

in bermuda grass during water stress. Plant Physiol. 41, 1222-1230.

protein from Escherichia coli and the influence of the flavin redox state

on PutA-DNA interactions. Biochemistry 40, 4714-4721. doi: 10.1021/bi00

The NtrY/X two-component system of Brucella spp. acts as a redox sensor and

regulates the expression of nitrogen respiration enzymes. Mol. Microbiol. 85,

E., Mukhopadhyay, B., et al. (2017). Proline utilization system is required

for infection by the pathogenic alpha-proteobacterium Brucella abortus.

L., and Richarme, G. (2004). The chemical chaperone proline relieves the

thermosensitivity of a dnaK deletion mutant at 42 degrees C. J. Bacteriol. 186,

pathogen Colletotrichum trifolii. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 3459-3464.

expression of two-component systems in Ehrlichia chaffeensis and Anaplasma

phagocytophilum and effects of the histidine kinase inhibitor closantel. Cell.

begins with NtrY/NtrX and PutA/GlnA upregulation and CtrA

degradation induced by proline and glutamine uptake. MBio 5:e02141.

apparatus genes during Ehrlichia chaffeensis intracellular development

by a previously unidentified protein. J. Bacteriol. 190, 2096-2105.

tumefaciens is transcriptionally activated in response to proline by an

Lrp-like protein and is not autoregulated. Mol. Microbiol. 22, 1025-1033.

single attTn7 sites: example Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat. Protoc. 1, 153-161.

regulation by PruR, an AraC/XylS family protein. J. Bacteriol. 184, 5633–5640. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.20.5633-5640.2002

- Nakajima, K., Inatsu, S., Mizote, T., Nagata, Y., Aoyama, K., Fukuda, Y., et al. (2008). Possible involvement of put A gene in *Helicobacter pylori* colonization in the stomach and motility. *Biomed. Res.* 29, 9–18. doi: 10.2220/biomedres.29.9
- Natarajan, S. K., Zhu, W., Liang, X., Zhang, L., Demers, A. J., Zimmerman, M.C., et al. (2012). Proline dehydrogenase is essential for proline protection against hydrogen peroxide-induced cell death. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 53, 1181–1191. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.07.002
- Ninfa, A. J., Jiang, P., Atkinson, M. R., and Peliska, J. A. (2000). Integration of antagonistic signals in the regulation of nitrogen assimilation in *Escherichia coli*. *Curr. Top. Cell. Regul.* 36, 31–75. doi: 10.1016/S0070-2137(01)80002-9
- Ochsner, U. A., Vasil, M. L., Alsabbagh, E., Parvatiyar, K., and Hassett, D. J. (2000). Role of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa oxyR-recG* operon in oxidative stress defense and DNA repair: oxyR-dependent regulation of *katB-ankB*, *ahpB*, and *ahpC-ahpF*. J. Bacteriol. 182, 4533–4544. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.16.4533-4544.2000
- Pletzer, D., Wolfmeier, H., Bains, M., and Hancock, R. E. W. (2017). Synthetic peptides to target stringent response-controlled virulence in a *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa murine cutaneous infection model. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1867. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01867
- Reinhart, A. A., and Oglesby-Sherrouse, A. G. (2016). Regulation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence by distinct iron sources. *Genes* 7:E126. doi: 10.3390/genes7120126
- Rybtke, M., Hultqvist, L. D., Givskov, M., and Tolker-Nielsen, T. (2015). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm infections: community structure, antimicrobial tolerance and immune response. J. Mol. Biol. 427, 3628–3645. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.08.016
- Shabnam, N., Tripathi, I., Sharmila, P., and Pardha-Saradhi, P. (2016). A rapid, ideal, and eco-friendlier protocol for quantifying proline. *Protoplasma* 253, 1577–1582. doi: 10.1007/s00709-015-0910-6
- Shaver, C. M., and Hauser, A. R. (2004). Relative contributions of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa ExoU, ExoS, and ExoT to virulence in the lung. *Infect. Immun.* 72, 6969–6977. doi: 10.1128/IAI.72.12.6969-6977.2004
- Singh, R. K., and Tanner, J. J. (2012). Unique structural features and sequence motifs of proline utilization A (PutA). *Front. Biosci.* 1, 556–568. doi: 10.2741/3943
- Szabados, L., and Savouré, A. (2010). Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 89–97. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.11.009
- Tanner, J. J. (2008). Structural biology of proline catabolism. Amino Acids 35, 719–730. doi: 10.1007/s00726-008-0062-5
- Turner, K. H., Everett, J., Trivedi, U., Rumbaugh, K. P., and Whiteley, M. (2014). Requirements for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* acute burn and chronic surgical wound infection. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004518
- Vilchez, S., Manzanera, M., and Ramos, J. L. (2000). Control of expression of divergent *Pseudomonas putida put* promoters for proline catabolism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 5221–5225. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.12.5221-5225.2000
- Wang, X., Cheng, Z., Zhang, C., Kikuchi, T., and Rikihisa, Y. (2007). *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* p44 mRNA expression is differentially regulated in mammalian and tick host cells: involvement of the DNA binding protein ApxR. J. Bacteriol. 189, 8651–8659. doi: 10.1128/JB.00881-07

- Weng, Y., Chen, F., Liu, Y., Zhao, Q., Chen, R., Pan, X., et al. (2016). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* enolase influences bacterial tolerance to oxidative stresses and virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1999. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016. 01999
- Williams, B. J., Dehnbostel, J., and Blackwell, T. S. (2010). Pseudomonas aeruginosa: host defence in lung diseases. Respirology 15, 1037–1056. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2010.01819.x
- Winsor, G. L., Griffiths, E. J., Lo, R., Dhillon, B. K., Shay, J. A., and Brinkman, F. S. (2016). Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of *Pseudomonas* genomes in the *Pseudomonas* genome database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D646–D653. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1227
- Wood, J. M. (1981). Genetics of L-proline utilization in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 146, 895–901.
- Wood, J. M. (1988). Proline porters effect the utilization of proline as nutrient or osmoprotectant for bacteria. J. Membr. Biol. 106, 183–202. doi: 10.1007/BF01872157
- Zhang, L., Alfano, J. R., and Becker, D. F. (2015). Proline metabolism increases katG expression and oxidative stress resistance in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 197, 431–440. doi: 10.1128/JB.02282-14
- Zheng, M., Wang, X., Templeton, L. J., Smulski, D. R., LaRossa, R. A. and Storz, G. (2001). DNA microarray-mediated transcriptional profiling of the *Escherichia coli* response to hydrogen peroxide. *J. Bacteriol.* 183, 4562–4570. doi: 10.1128/JB.183.15.4562-4570.2001
- Zhou, Y., Larson, J. D., Bottoms, C. A., Arturo, E. C., Henzl, M. T. Jenkins, J. L., et al. (2008a). Structural basis of the transcriptional regulation of the proline utilization regulon by multifunctional PutA. J. Mol. Biol. 381, 174–188. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2008.05.084
- Zhou, Y., Zhu, W., Bellur, P. S., Rewinkel, D., and Becker, D. F. (2008b). Direct linking of metabolism and gene expression in the proline utilization A protein from *Escherichia coli*. *Amino Acids* 35, 711–718. doi: 10.1007/s00726-008-0053-6
- Zianni, M., Tessanne, K., Merighi, M., Laguna, R., and Tabita, F. R. (2006). Identification of the DNA bases of a DNase I footprint by the use of dye primer sequencing on an automated capillary DNA analysis instrument. J. Biomol. Tech. 17, 103–113.
- Ziltener, P., Reinheckel, T., and Oxenius, A. (2016). Neutrophil and alveolar macrophage-mediated innate immune control of *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection via TNF and ROS. *PLoS Pathog.* 12:e1005591. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005591

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Zheng, Feng, Wei, Pan, Liu, Song, Jin, Bai, Jin, Wu and Cheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.