

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Belmonte-Reche E, Serrano-Chacón I, Gonzalez C, Gallo J, Bañobre-López M (2021) Potential G-quadruplexes and i-Motifs in the SARS-CoV-2. PLoS ONE 16(6): e0250654. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654

Editor: Eric Charles Dykeman, University of York, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: November 2, 2020

Accepted: April 12, 2021

Published: June 8, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654

Copyright: © 2021 Belmonte-Reche et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All results and the R package are available from the URL https://github. com/EfresBR/G4iMGrinder.

Funding: M.B-L & J.G: a. Grants: 1. NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000019, 2. NORTE-01-0145-FEDER- **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Potential G-quadruplexes and i-Motifs in the SARS-CoV-2

Efres Belmonte-Reche^{1*}, Israel Serrano-Chacón², Carlos Gonzalez², Juan Gallo¹, Manuel Bañobre-López¹

1 Advanced (Magnetic) Theranostic Nanostructures Lab, INL-International Iberian Nanotechnology Laboratory, Braga, Portugal, 2 Instituto de Química Física'Rocasolano', CSIC, Madrid, Spain

* efres.belmonte@inl.int

Abstract

Quadruplex structures have been identified in a plethora of organisms where they play important functions in the regulation of molecular processes, and hence have been proposed as therapeutic targets for many diseases. In this paper we report the extensive bioinformatic analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 genome and related viruses using an upgraded version of the open-source algorithm G4-iM Grinder. This version improves the functionality of the software, including an easy way to determine the potential biological features affected by the candidates found. The quadruplex definitions of the algorithm were optimized for SARS-CoV-2. Using a lax quadruplex definition ruleset, which accepts amongst other parameters two residue G- and C-tracks, 512 potential quadruplex candidates were discovered. These sequences were evaluated by their in vitro formation probability, their position in the viral RNA, their uniqueness and their conservation rates (calculated in over seventeen thousand different COVID-19 clinical cases and sequenced at different times and locations during the ongoing pandemic). These results were then compared subsequently to other Coronaviridae members, other Group IV (+)ssRNA viruses and the entire viral realm. Sequences found in common with other viral species were further analyzed and characterized. Sequences with high scores unique to the SARS-CoV-2 were studied to investigate the variations amongst similar species. Quadruplex formation of the best candidates were then confirmed experimentally. Using NMR and CD spectroscopy, we found several highly stable RNA guadruplexes that may be suitable therapeutic targets for the SARS-CoV-2.

Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a positive-sense singlestranded RNA virus from the *Betacoronavirus* genus, within the *Coronaviridae* family of the *Nidovirales* order. Although it is believed to have originated from a bat-borne coronavirus [1– 5], the SARS-CoV-2 can spread between humans with no need of other vectors or reservoirs for its transmission. The virus is responsible for the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic that has caused hundreds of thousands of deaths, millions of infected and a disastrous strain on the economy of most countries and citizens worldwide.

The origin of the virus has been traced back to the Chinese city of Wuhan, where the first cases of infected individuals were reported amongst the workers of the Huanan Seafood

031142 and 3. 0624_2IQBIONEUR0_6_E. b. Funders: 1. 2014-2020 North Portugal Regional Operational Program (NORTE 2020) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), 2. the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnoloxía (FCT), ERDF and NORTE 2020, 3. 2014-2020 INTERREG Cooperation Programme Spain–Portugal (POCTEP). c. URLS: 1. https://norte2020.pt, https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/en/funding/ erdf/, 2. https://www.fct.pt, https://norte2020.pt, https://ec.europa.eu/regional policy/en/funding/ erdf/, 3. https://interreg.eu/programme/interregspain-portugal-poctep/ The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. C.G: a. Grants: BFU2017-89707-P b. Funders: Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (MCIU) c. URL: https://www.ciencia.gob.es d. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Market [6, 7]. This wet exotic animal market, where wild animals including bats and pangolins are sold and prepared for consumption, offers ample opportunities for pathogenic bacteria and viruses to adapt and thrive [8, 9]. Such circumstances led Cheng and colleagues to predict the current pandemic back in 2007 [10]. In their own words: "the presence of a large reservoir of SARS-CoV-like viruses in horseshoe bats, together with the culture of eating exotic mammals in southern China, is a time bomb. The possibility of the re-emergence of SARS and other novel viruses from animals or laboratories and therefore the need for preparedness should not be ignored".

SARS-CoV-2 has now become a global problem. In this current scenario, the scientific community is playing a fundamental role in minimizing the number of victims. Their work includes, to name a few, the development of fast and reliable detection methods, the identification of therapeutic targets within the virus, and the development of active drugs and vaccines to cure and to prevent infections, respectively.

G-Quadruplexes (G4s) and i-Motifs (iMs) have been proposed as therapeutic targets in many disease aetiologies. G4s are Guanine (G) rich DNA or RNA nucleic acid sequences where successive Gs stack in a planar fashion via Hoogsteen bonds to form four-stranded structures, stabilized by monovalent cations [11]. iMs on the contrary, are Cytosine (C)-rich regions that fold into tetrameric structures of stranded duplexes [12–14]. These are sustained by hydrogen bonds between the intercalated nucleotide base pairs $C \cdot C^+$ when under acidic physiological conditions.

The importance of these genomic secondary structures has been abundantly studied during the last years [15–20]. They have been found to be regulatory elements in the human genome implicated in key functions such as telomere maintenance and genome transcription regulation, replication and repair [21]. G4 structures have also been identified in fungi [22–25], bacteria [26–30] and parasites [31–36]. Their occurrence are known in many viruses that infect humans as well. These include the HIV-1 [37–39], Epstein-Barr [40, 41], human and manatee papilloma [42, 43], herpes simplex 1 [44, 45], Hepatitis B [46], Ebola [47] and Zika [48] viruses. Here they can regulate the viral replication, recombination and virulence [32, 49, 50].

iMs have been less studied in general, especially outside of the human context. With regards to viruses, Ruggiero *et al.* recently published the formation of an iM in HIV-1 [51], whilst we reported the presence of the known cMyb.S [52] iM within the Epstein-Barr virus [53]. Despite the lack off reports, iMs are interesting potential therapeutic targets for viruses. For example, the *in silico* analysis of the rubella virus revealed an extremely dense genome of potential iMs (density as counts per genomic length) that surpassed its human counterpart by over an order of magnitude [53]. In the same study, other viruses such as the measles and hepacivirus C presented potential iMs densities similar to the human genome.

In this work, we wished to contribute to the ongoing research efforts related to the COVID-19 pandemic by investigating SARS-CoV-2 for the presence of quadruplex structures. With this aim, we analysed the prevalence, distribution and relationships of Potential G4 Sequences (PQS) and Potential iM Sequences (PiMS) in its genome. These PQS and PiMS have been evaluated according to their potential to form quadruplex structures *in vitro* and localization within the genome. The presence of confirmed quadruplex-forming sequences and the candidate's frequency, uniqueness and conservation rates between 17312 different SARS-CoV-2 clinical cases were also analyzed. The study of the SARS-CoV-2 and its quadruplex results were expanded to integrate the *Coronaviridae* family, Group IV of the Baltimore classification and the entire virus realm, as to allow a wider range of interpretation. With all this information at hand, our final objective was to identify biologically important PQS and PiMS candidates in the virus. To substantiate our bioinformatic analysis, we analysed experimentally some of these sequences by CD and NMR spectroscopies. Our *in vitro* results confirmed the formation of stable quadruplexes that can form in the viral genome, suggesting that they may be suitable targets for new therapeutic or diagnostic agents [50, 54]. Hence, our analysis of the SARS--CoV-2, and by extension of the entire virus realm, may provide useful insights into using quadruplex structures as targets in future anti-viral treatments.

Materials and methods

G4-iM Grinder and G4-iM Grinder' parameter configuration

In this work, we have used an upgraded version of the G4-iM Grinder package (GiG, https://github.com/EfresBR/G4iMGrinder) for the analysis of all viruses (S1 File, section 1). GiG is an R-based algorithm that locates, quantifies and qualifies PQS, PiMS and their potential higherorder versions in RNA and DNA genomes [53]. We retrieved the SARS-CoV-2's reference sequence (GCF_009858895.2) from the NCBI database [55]. We also downloaded those of 18 other viruses which can cause mortal illness in humans, including six other pathogenic Coronavirus, as comparison (S1 File, section 2).

As a workflow, we applied the functions *GiG.Seq.Analysis* (to study their G- and C-run characteristics), *G4iMGrinder* (to locate quadruplex candidates) and *G4.ListAnalysis* (to compare quadruplex results between genomes) from the GiG package to all the viruses. The 'size-restricted overlapping search and frequency count' method (Method 2, M2A and M2B) was used to locate all the candidates. Then, these PQS and PiMS were evaluated by the presence within of *in vitro* confirmed G4 or iM sequences, their frequency of appearance in the corresponding genome, and their probability of quadruplex-formation score (as the mean of G4Hunter [56] and the adaptation of the PQSfinder algorithm [57]). To compare between virus species, we calculated the density of potential quadruplex sequences per 100000 nucleo-tides (Density = $100000 \times \frac{Number of candidates}{Genome Length}$).

We previously saw that viruses have a wider-range of PQS and PiMS densities than that of the human, fungi, bacteria and parasite genomes [53]. Some were totally void whilst others were very rich in candidates. So, we explored different quadruplex definitions to determine the most useful configurations for the analysis of the viruses at hand. These different definitions control the characteristics of what the algorithm considers a quadruplex. They include the acceptable size of G- or C-repetitions to be considered a run, the acceptable amount of bulges within these runs, the acceptable loop sizes between runs, the acceptable number of runs to constitute a PQS or PiMS, and the total acceptable length of the sequence (Fig 1A). A flexible configuration of quadruplex definitions will detect larger amounts of candidates at the expense of requiring more computing power and accepting sequences that are more ambiguous in forming quadruplex structures in vitro (as determined by their score; with longer loops, smaller runs, more bulges and more complementary G/C %, Fig 1B). More constrained definitions result in the opposite. Hence, for the analysis, we chose three different configurations: a Lax configuration (which accepts run bulges and longer ranges of runs, loops and total sizes), the Predefined configuration of the package (which restricts sizes but still accepts run bulges), and the original Folding Rule [58, 59] (which restricts length and does not accept run bulges) (Fig 1C Left).

Then, we calculated the PQS and PiMS densities of each virus to allow a direct size-independent comparison between them all (Fig 1D), and filtered the results by their *in vitro* probability of formation score. The |score| filters were set to 20 and 40 to allow us the study of both the medium (PQS score \geq 20; PiMS score \leq -20) and the high probability candidates (PQS score \geq 40; PiMS score \leq -40; Fig 1B) within the results. These score filters are important because they qualify the sequences and grant specificity to the results of GiG's extremely



Fig 1. A. Results with G4-iM Grinder depend on the quadruplex definitions introduced to the algorithm. Sizes of G- or C-runs, loops and the entire sequence, together with an acceptable number of bulges within the runs are part of the definitions. **B**. The structures found with GiG under the definitions proposed by the user can be evaluated for their *in vitro* probability of formation. More positive scores mean that the sequence is more capable of forming G4s, whilst more negative values mean that it is more capable of forming iMs. **C.** Left, Quadruplex definitions used by GiG's search engine in this work. **C.** Right, Total results found within the SARS-CoV-2 by configuration and score criteria. **D.** PQS and PiMS densities (per 100000 nucleotides) found per different configuration and score criteria for 19 viruses. The G and C content (as a percentage) is shown under each virus. X scale is in logarithmic scale (base 10). Results are categorized by their | score|: intense colours (blue for PQS, yellow for PiMS) are the most probable to form *in vitro* (|score| \geq 40), lighter bars are the density of structures with at least a $|score| \geq 20$ and grey bars are the densities without the score filter.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654.g001

flexible search engine (which was designed solely for sensitivity), as highlighted by the results of a recent review [60].

For the viruses analysed, the best configuration to obtain significant number of candidates was the *Lax* set-up. This was also relevant for the reference genome of the SARS-CoV-2 (**Fig 1C** Right). Given the small size of the viral genomes, the increase in computational power was deemed acceptable and hence, we established this *Lax* configuration as the default configuration for all posterior searches with GiG. Although some authors have reported the unfeasibility of forming iMs with tracks of only two C [19], such statement has been rebutted later [61], allowing the use of this configuration also for potential iMs.

The search was then expanded to 17312 different SARS-CoV-2 genomes sequenced during the pandemic (from December-2019 to January-2021, by different laboratories worldwide and downloaded from the GISAID database [62]), other *Coronaviridae* family members and the entire virus realm (6678 other viruses) using the methodologies described previously and in the <u>S1 File</u>, section 1. To validate the *in silico* findings, the most interesting candidates were selected and confirmed by NMR and CD spectroscopy.

in silico methodology

To analyse these genomes, we employed the workflow described in the G4-iM Grinder' parameter configuration section of the manuscript using the Lax parameter configuration. We investigated the biological features potentially affected by candidates using the function GiG.df.GenomicFeatures of the GiG package. The conservation of each PQS and PiMS found in the reference genome was calculated as {Conservation (%) = $100 \times \sum Ng^+ / \sum Ng$ } where Ng is the number of genomes, and Ng⁺ is the number of genomes with the PQS or PiMS candidate. The genomic pairwise alignments, used to study the similarity between viruses and detect PQS and PiMS variations between species, were done using the *pairwiseAlignment* function (global alignment type) from the *Biostrings* package in the Bioconductor repository. We calculated the divergence from the reference genome per clade (or lineage) as, { $Divergence = (|\overline{N_{Clade/Lineage}^{\circ}|S.| \ge 20} - N_{ref}^{\circ}|S.| \ge 20|) + \overline{N_{Lineage}^{\circ}|S.| \ge 20}$ } where $\overline{N_{Clade/Lineage}^{\circ}|S.| \geq 20}$ is the clade/lineage's mean number of PQS or PiMS that |score| at least 20, $N_{ref}^{\circ}|S| \ge 20$ is the number of PQS or PiMS that |score| at least 20 in the reference genome, and $\overline{N_{Lineage Variants}^{\circ}|S|} \ge 20$ is the mean number variants of PQS or PiMS that |score| at least 20 per Lineage. To compare potential quadruplex presence and prevalence between genomic groupings (species, families, groups and the entire virus realm), we calculated also the genomic density of several arguments. These were calculated using the GiGList. Analysis function of the GiG package (density per 100000 nucleotides). The arguments were the density of results (PQS and PiMS), density of results with |score| filters (with at least 20 or 40), density of already confirmed sequences that form G4 or iM within, and uniqueness (as {Uniqueness (%) = $100 \times \Sigma Ns^{f=1}/\Sigma Ns$ } where Ns is the number of sequences, and $Ns^{f=1}$ is the number of sequences with a frequency of appearance of 1 in its respective genome). For the G- and C-runs density analysis of the viruses, we used the function GiG.Seq.Analysis from the GiG package. The arguments here were: densities of runs with different

sizes (two or three to five long G- or C-runs) and with different bulges per run (zero and/or one). All of these results can be found in the <u>S1 File</u>, section 5.

Candidate selection

PQS and PiMS candidates were selected according to their potential to form quadruplex structures *in vitro*, uniqueness, frequency of appearance, conservation between 17312 different SARS-CoV-2 clinical case genomes, confirmed quadruplex presence and localization within the genome.

NMR experiments

Oligonucleotides (0.3 mM) for NMR experiments were purchased from IDT, and suspended in 200 μ l of H₂O/D₂O 9:1 in 25 mM KH₂PO₄ and 25 mM KCl buffer, pH 7. Samples at acidic pH were prepared by adding aliquots of concentrated HCl. Spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance spectrometers operating at 600 MHz, and processed with Topspin software. Experiments were carried out at temperatures ranging from 5.1 to 45°C and pH from 5 to 7. NOESY spectra in H₂O were acquired with a 150 ms mixing time. Water suppression was achieved by including a WATERGATE module in the pulse sequence prior to acquisition.

Circular Dichroism (CD)

Circular dichroism (CD) studies were performed on a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter using a 1 mm path length cuvette. Spectra were recorded in a 320–220 nm range at a scan rate of 100 nm min⁻¹ and a response time of 4.0 s with four acquisitions recorded for each spectrum. Data were smoothed using the means-movement function within the JASCO graphing software. Melting transitions were recorded by the monitoring the decrease of the CD signal at 264 nm. Heating rates were 30°C/h. Transitions were evaluated using a nonlinear least squares fit assuming a two-state model with sloping pre- and post-transitional baselines. Oligonucleotide solutions for CD measurements were prepared at the same buffer conditions as the NMR experiments. Oligonucleotide concentration was of 50 μ M.

Results and discussion

A detailed analysis of the results of SARS-CoV-2, *Coronaviridae* family and the entire virus realm with G4-iM Grinder can be found in the <u>S1 File</u>, Section 3.

G4-iMGrinder and settings

The genome of the SARS-CoV-2, and that of many other viruses, were analysed with G4-iM Grinder in search off potential quadruplex (both G4 and iM) therapeutic targets. To do so, we first expanded G4-iM Grinder's quadruplex identification and characterization repertoire with two new functions, *GiG.Seq.Analysis* and *GiG.df.GenomicFeatures*. Other functions such as *G4iMGrinder* and *GiGList.Analysis* were upgraded to better analyse and summarise the quadruplex results obtained. Furthermore, over 2800 quadruplex-related sequences were searched for in the literature and included in G4-iM Grinder's database to rapidly identify confirmed G4s and iMs within all results.

An initial study of the SARS-CoV-2 genome and 18 other pathogenic viruses revealed the special characteristics that need to be considered for quadruplex-related examinations in these organisms. For most, the original folding rule (which accepts no bulges within the runs and is very constrained in its quadruplex definitions) and the predefined parameters of G4-iM Grinder (which allows more liberty by accepting bulges and longer loops) are too strict to find

associated runs that can give rise to quadruplexes. Although other organisms such as *Plasmodium falciparum* or *Entamoeba histolytica* may be poorer in G and C content [53], the size of these genomes enables finding rich G- or C- tracks that can ultimately form potential quadruplexes. In most viruses, however, this does not take place because of the small size of the genomes (in the range of tens to hundreds thousand nucleotides versus the tens of millions for the parasites mentioned, and thousands of millions for humans). Furthermore, most of the G4s found in viruses are complex sequences, with short runs and bulges (for example, HIV-1 [37, 39] and Ebola [47]), which elude detection when following traditional quadruplex definitions. To overcome these problems, we took advantage of the great adaptability of G4-iM Grinder, and developed, tested and successfully employed a *lax* quadruplex definition configuration for the analysis. With these settings, the number of candidates found increased greatly and included the complex sequences expected in viruses, at the expense of needing more computational power.

SARS-CoV-2

With all these updates and configurations at hand, we focused on the reference SARS-CoV-2 and located 323 PQS and 189 PiMS unique (only occurring once in the genome) sequences dispersed unevenly in its genome (Fig 2). 20% of these candidates had at least a medium probability of formation ($|\text{score}| \ge 20$), and 7 PQS and 10 PiMS had a $|\text{score}| \ge 30$ (Fig 2D). Candidates with at least a medium probability of formation concentrate in the N, S and especially in the orf1ab gene (in the nsp 1 and 3 regions for PQSs and in the nsp 3, 4 and 12 regions for PiMS). The orf3a, orf8 and UTR regions also presented these candidates. Other genes, such as orb7a and b, and orf10 were found totally void of them.

We calculated the SARS-CoV-2 candidate's quadruplex conservation rates and quadruplexrelated region variability under three different scopes.

First, attention was focused exclusively on the virus in an intra-species analysis comprising 17312 genomes of the SARS-CoV-2 sequenced at different places and times of the pandemic. Here, we found that the least conserved candidates were located in the 5'UTR, orf1ab and N regions with conservation as low as 9.8%. On the other hand, most of the sequences analysed that $|scored| \ge 20$ presented conservation rates of over 99% (46/71 PQS and 21/35). Of these, only 18 PQSs and 7 PiMSs rates surpassed that of the mean sequence identity percentage between the 17312 SARS-CoV-2 and the reference genome (99.83%). To further investigate these differences, we first identified the 5429 new PQSs and 3298 new PiMS variants that scored ≥ 20 amongst all the SARS-CoV-2 genomes and then associated them with the versions found in the reference genome. In this manner, we identified for one of the highest-scoring PQSs found in the N-gene (entry 7, Fig 2D and entry 1, Fig 3A) a variant with the same probability of formation (entry 3, Fig 3A), which is exclusive to the lineages within B.1.1/clade GR and B.1.160/clade G. These have a substitution of a C for a U in the first loop, and together with several other less frequent variations with similar modifications in the loops, partially explain its 99.08% conservation rate. Furthermore, a nearby four-membered G-run may influence this PQS, to the point of potentially being a fifth domain [63, 64] or forming an alternative G4 (entry 2, Fig 3A). This extra G-run is separated from the PQS by a 19-nucleotide long loop that has a conservation rate of only 35%. The most frequent variants found for this poorly conserved area were also the substitution of a C for a U, as seen before (entry 4, Fig 3A). Variants of lineage A/Clade S displayed a different substitution, where a C mutates to a G and becomes an additional G-run, which can further influence the PQS (entry 5, Fig 3A). How this affects the known activity of the PQS and the N gene is yet to be determined [65]. Variants of specific lineages with heightened quadruplex formation probability were also detected for



П	Entr	Start	Seguence	Seere	Pielogical feature	SARS-CoV-2
D. Entry		Start	Sequence	Score	biological leature	conservation (%)
	1	359	GGAGACUCCGUGGAGGAGG	30	orf1ab - nsp1	99.44
	2	370	GGAGGAGGUCUUAUCAGAGG	30	orf1ab - nsp1	99.46
	3	644	GGUAAUAAAGGAGCUGGUGG	30	orf1ab - nsp1	99.93
	4	3467	GGAGGAGGUGUUGCAGG	34	orf1ab - nsp3	99.86
	5	4255	GGGUCAGGGUUUAAAUGGUUACACUGUAGAGGAGG	31	orf1ab - nsp3	99.37
	6	13385	GGUAUGUGGAAAGGUUAUGG	31	orf1ab - nsp10	99.92
	7	28903	GGCUGGCAAUGGCGG	34	Ν	99.08
	8	15	CCUUCCCAGGUAACAAACCAACC	-31	5' UTR	16.02
	9	4886	CCUACCACAUUCCACC	-36	orf1ab - nsp3	99.57
	10	6011	CCAAACCAACCAUAUCC	-34	orf1ab - nsp3	99.62
	11	10015	CCAACCACCACAAACC	-36	orf1ab - nsp4	99.77
	12	10015	CCAACCACCACAAACCUCUAUCACC	-32	orf1ab - nsp4	99.71
	13	10019	CCACCACAAACCUCUAUCACC	-32	orf1ab - nsp4	99.73
	14	15924	CCUUCCUUACCCAGAUCC	-38	orf1ab - nsp12	99.54
	15	15924	CCUUCCUUACCCAGAUCCAUCAAGAAUCC	-32	orf1ab - nsp12	99.47
	16	15928	CCUUACCCAGAUCCAUCAAGAAUCC	-30	orf1ab - nsp12	99.54
	17	16750	CCUAGACCACCACUUAACC	-32	orf1ab - nsp13	99.89

Fig 2. A. Top. Percentage of conservation of each PQS found along the genome of the SARS-CoV-2. Each point represents one PQS. The PQS score is given by the fill colour of the points, where lower |scores| are greyer, and bluer points have higher |scores|. Bottom. PQS count density plot related to the genome position (counts per 200 nucleotides). Grey coloured density plots are all the results found, whilst blue density plots are the results found with at least a | score| \geq 20. **B**. Distribution of the biological features of the SARS-CoV-2 by its genomic position. UTR regions are in red, CDS and genes region are in green, and nps of the orf1ab gene are in purple. Orange dots are mature protein regions of the CDS. **C**, Top. PiMS count density plot related to the genome position (counts per 200 nucleotides). Grey coloured density plots are all the results found, whilst yellow density plots are the results found with at least a | score| \geq 20. Bottom. Percentage of conservation of each PiMS found along the genome of the SARS-CoV-2. Each point represents one PiMS. The PiMS score is given by the fill colour of the points, where lower |scores| are greyer, and higher |scores| are more yellow. **D**. Top scoring PQS (Score \geq 30, entry 1 to 7) and PiMS (Score \leq -30, entry 8 to 17) found in the SARS-CoV-2 ordered by their localization in the genome. G-runs are in blue, C-runs are in yellow, loops are in red and bulges within the runs are in green. For each entry, the biological feature column lists the genomic landmark that hosts the potential quadruplex. The percentage of conservation is also given.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654.g002

several other high scoring candidates, including a PQS found in the 5'UTR area (entry 1, **Fig 2A** and entry 6, **Fig 3A**) and a PiMS in the orf1ab gene (entry 14, **Fig 2A** and entry 8, **Fig 3A**), both of which are the only results found in SARS-CoV-2 with high a probability of forming quadruplex ($|score| \ge 40$).

We observed significant differences between the SARS-CoV-2 lineages and clades when considering the overall PQS differences. On the one hand, the GR clade displayed a reduced number of PQSs, PQSs that |scored| at least 20 and the least number of variants per genome analysed (**Fig 3C**). On the other hand, The S clade presented, on average, additional PQSs in their genome and a higher number of variants per genome analysed. In either case, both clades differed significantly from the reference genome, as well as amongst themselves. The rest of the clades presented fewer differences although some specific lineage aggrupations (B.1.1/Clade O and B.1.1/Clade G) also displayed a lower number of PQSs overall. For PiMS, the differences between clades were smaller and more homogeneous (S1 File, section 3, **Fig 2C**).

The search was then expanded to the rest of the *Coronaviridae* family. 53 SARS-CoV-2 PQS and PiMS candidates were found in common with the SARS-CoV and/or Bat coronavirus BM48-31/BGR/2008 (Bat-CoV-BM), all of which are suspect of having bats as hosts during their evolution (S1 File, section 3, Fig 3). These common sequences were located in the 3'UTR, N and E genes of the SARS-CoV-2, although most were positioned in the orf1ab gene, and especially in the 5'UTR region. Paradoxically, the candidates found in the 5'UTR site (which regulates the translation of the RNA transcript) include the least conserved group of candidates of the inter-species analysis (with conservation rates as low as 9%), while also hosting a very conserved family-wise group of candidates. On the one hand, high conservation in candidates (maintained through natural selection) may be an important factor for the survival of the virus. This importance may transcend beyond the SARS-CoV-2 and into other familiar species were PQS and PiMS were found in common. On the other hand, variability in the region may also play a vital role in the ability of the virus to adapt to new hosts, situations and environments.

The highest |scoring| candidates found in SARS-CoV-2 were however not common to any other *Coronaviridae* member species. So, we investigated the differences between them through genome alignments and found that most of the sequence versions amongst species (6 out of 8) were still able to form potential quadruplex structures even with modifications. Therefore, these PQS and PiMS, although different from those in the SARS-CoV-2, maintain their potential biological role and importance.

Expanding the search for common candidates to the entire virus realm, we matched one PQS and PiMS from the SARS-CoV-2 with the potential quadruplexes found in four viruses from Group I belonging to the *Herpesviridae*, *Podoviridae* and *Siphoviridae* families (all dsDNA) which cannot be explained by the number of sequences analysed.

Α.	Entry	Start ref.	Sequence		Biological	SARS-CoV-2	
					feature	conservation (%)	
	1	28903	GGCUGGCAAUGGCGG	34	N	99.08	
	2	28881	GGGGAACUUCUCCUGCUAGAAUGGCUGGCAAUGGCGG	28	Ν	34.81	
	3		GG <mark>U</mark> UGGCAAUGGCGG	34	Ν	0.25	Clade GR; Lineage B.1.1.x & Clade G; Lineage B.1.160
	4		GGGGAAUUUCUCCUGCUAGAAUGGCUGGCAAUGGCGG	28	Ν	0.83	
	5		GGGGAACUUCUCCUG <u>G</u> UAGAAUGGCUGGCAAUGGCGG	32	Ν	0.03	Clade S; Lineage A.3
	6	359	GGAGACUCCGUGGAGGAGG	30	5'UTR	99.44	
	7		GG <u>G</u> GACUCCGUGGAGGAGG	42	5'UTR	0.05	Clade G; B.1 Lineage & Clade S; Lineage A
	8	15924	CCUUCCUUACCCAGAUCC	-38	orf1ab - nsp12	99.54	
	9		CCUUCCUUACCCAGA <u>C</u> CC	-44	orf1ab - nsp12	0.05	Clade GR; Lineage B.1.1.x



Fig 3. A. Sequences found in the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome (those with a starting position) and some of the variants identified in specific lineages for four high scoring candidates. Mutations are underlined. B. Centre, SARS-CoV-2 phylogenetic tree by clade and lineage of the sequences analysed. Lineages with less than 100

genomes were grouped (suffix x). Inner segment, Lineage: Mean PQS count (A), Mean PQS *count* with $|\text{score}| \ge 20$ (B) and PQS divergence from the reference genome (C). Centre segment, Mean lineage percentage sequence identity with the reference genome (dots) compared to the overall mean found for the 17312 sequences analysed (black line). Outer segment, Clade: Mean PQS count (A), Mean PQS *count* with $|\text{score}| \ge 20$ (B) and PQS divergence from the reference genome (C). *R*-packages used: ggtree [66] and circlize [67].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654.g003

SARS-CoV-2 and the virus realm

We analysed the entire virus realm in a similar fashion to other studies in the literature [68, 69]. However, we employed the *lax* definition of quadruplexes to detect G- and C- structures and searched for verified G4 and iM sequences already described in literature. These results were then matched and compared to SARS-CoV-2.

Whilst the SARS-CoV-2 did not present any of the published quadruplex sequences listed in the GiG.DB (as of V2.5.0) within its genome, other viruses including a wigeon-afflicting Coronavirus did. In the entire virus realm, 1725 viruses presented at least one confirmed G4 sequence in their genome, while 195 at least one confirmed iM sequence (the dimensional discrepancies between both results may partially be due to the difference in the number of G4 and iM entries in the database; 2568 and 283 respectively). The sheer volume of species with confirmed quadruplex structures in all groups of viruses suggests that quadruplexes may be common and necessary genomic regulatory elements for viruses, as seen in other organisms such as humans. However, the prevalence is not homogeneous and varies broadly at the group level although not that much at the family level. For example, some families like Group I's Herpesviridae and Sphaerolipoviridae, Groups IV's Matonavirirdae and Flaviviridae and Groups II's Spiroviridae presented the highest PQS densities; whilst Groups V's Aspiriviridae and Fimoviridae, Groups IV Mononiviridae and Mesoniviridae and Group's I Mimiviridae displayed the lowest. PiMS showed a similar tendency with Group I (Sphaerolipoviridae and Herpesviridae) and especially IV (Tymoviridae, Matonaviridae and Gammaflexiviridae) families being the densest in candidates; whilst Groups IV (Monoviridae and Yueviridae), Groups V (Fimoviridae and Phasmavirirdae) and Groups I families (Mimiviridae) displayed the lowest. These results indicate that viruses/families (and particularly single-stranded ones) are probably more oriented to a kind of quadruplex structure in a group/genome-type independent manner, whilst being contingent upon cation concentration and pH of the environment for formation.

Altogether, the SARS-CoV-2 genome displayed a quadruplex candidate scarcity when compared in a macroscopic perspective to the virus realm. Its PQS and PiMS densities were in the lower end of results from the Coronaviridae family, which itself was in the lower end of the (+) ssRNA Group IV (in an approximate ratio of 1:2:4 for PQS and 1:2:8 for PiMS). When put into the entire virus realm context, the SARS-CoV-2 PQS density was lower than 5813 other viruses analysed (out of 6680), whilst PiMS density was lower than 6125. Furthermore, when we compared the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome results with the results of five hundred randomly shuffled genomic sequences of size and composition equal to that of SARS-CoV-2, the number of candidates found in the SARS-CoV-2 was significantly lower than the mean expected number of candidates for the genome's size and composition. Whilst 362 ± 42 PQSs were expected, only 323 were found in the SARS-CoV-2. Similarly, 97 ± 22 PQSs that score over 20 and 3.0 ± 2.6 candidates that score over 40 were expected with this genomic size and composition, whilst 71 and 0 were found in the virus, respectively. For PiMS the total number of expected candidates for the SARS-CoV-2 size and genome composition was of 250 ± 33 , whilst candidates that score -20 or less and -40 or less was of 60 ± 16 and 1.5 ± 1.6 , respectively. However, SARS-CoV-2 presented only 189, 32 and 0 PiMSs for each of these respective groups. Although the SARS-CoV-2 genomic organization limits the number of potential

quadruplex structures almost to its minimum, other viruses with similar low quadruplex densities were identified here to possess confirmed G4 and iM sequences within, supporting the potential these structures have for targeting the SARS-CoV-2.

Candidate confirmation in vitro

We, therefore, selected the best candidates to evaluate in vitro. NMR spectra of CoVID-RNA. G4-1 and CoVID-RNA.G4-2 exhibited imino signals in the 10.5–12.0 region, characteristic of guanine imino protons involved in G-tetrads (Fig 4A and 4B). In both cases, CD spectra also showed the characteristic positive band of parallel G-quadruplexes, which together with the NMR results confirmed the formation of very stable structures. The highly conserved CoV-ID-RNA.G4-1 located in the N-gene can possibly interact with the viral RNA packaging, transcription and replication functions [70]. In fact, it has been shown in a recent study that a known G4-ligand can interact with this sequence and reduce the expression of the N protein [65]. Although CoVID-RNA.G4-2 also formed a stable parallel quadruplex, the signals in the NMR spectra were broader than for CoVID-RNA.G4-1. This might be due to the formation of higher order structures through self-association between G-quadruplex units. CoVID-RNA. G4-2 is located in the nsp3 region of orf1ab very near its SUD domain. This area has been associated with the increased pathogenicity of the virus compared to other Coronaviridae that do not present it [71]. Additionally, it has been suggested that the SUD domain interacts with Gquadruplexes of the host. These results, however, open the possibility of an intrinsic gene modulation that may be linked with an increased virulence. Such a hypothesis can be extended to the SARS-CoV, as another stable PQS candidate was found in its genome in the same location (S1 File, Section 3, Fig 3B1).

For PiMS, we used NMR to confirm that the DNA version of a candidate located in the orf1ab gene of the SARS-CoV-2 and with a 99.54% conservation rate formed an iM at almost neutral pH (Fig 4C and S1 File, Section 3, Fig 5). However, the SARS-CoV version of the iM (which differs by one nucleotide in the first loop, from TT to TG) was unable to form even at pH 5.1. As TT base pairs are common capping positions, the substitution of the T might prevent the folding in SARS-CoV. Additionally, the presence of C in G4s lowers overall stability of the quadruplex as C can base pair with G and ultimately hinder G-quartet formation [72]. Similarly, the pairing of C with G may also impede the formation of the C-based structures. When we analysed the RNA version of the SARS-CoV-2 iM, it did not form an iM. Despite the fact that the sequences found in SARS-CoV-2 have an intermediate probability of formation, RNA iMs are known to be less stable than their DNA-versions [73]. Still, G4-iM Grinder methodology identified several more candidates with the potential to form iMs in the virus.

PQS result comparison

The results of G4-iM Grinder were compared to other recent reports of quadruplex-related analysis in the single strand of SARS-CoV-2. QGRS mapper [74] was the main tool for the search because of its browser-based interface, its predefined capability to detect two-sized G-runs and its design that returns all the PQSs found independently of their score [65, 75–78]. Other search engines such as G4Hunter and PQSfinder automatically filter their results by their score threshold, which makes criterion optimization fundamental to successfully execute the analysis. For example, one PQSs was found with a threshold of \geq 1.2 and none with higher thresholds when using G4Hunter in the virus (in its scale of -4 to 4) [75]. On the contrary, 25 candidates have been reported using QGRS mapper with very small scores (mean QGRS Score of 12 ± 5 in QGRS mapper's scale of \approx 0 to 100; mean G4Hunter score of 0.6 ± 0.2 in G4Hunter scale). G4catchall [79], PQSfinder and QGRS mapper methodologies were also

А.	Туре	Sequence	in vitro	Biological feature	SARS-CoV-2 conservation (%)	TAG
Fig.1.A,7	RNA	UGGCUGGCAAUGGCGGU	Y	N	99.08	CoVID-RNA.G4.1
_	DNA	TGGCTGGCAATGGCGGT	Y			CoVID-DNA.G4.1
Fig.1.A,4	RNA	UGGAGGAGGUGUUGCAGGA	Y	orf1ab - nsp3	99.86	CoVID-RNA.G4.2
Fig.1.A,14	RNA	AUCCUUCCUUACCCAGAUCCUA	Ν	orf1ab - nsp12	99.54	CoVID-RNA.iM.1
	DNA	ATCCTTCCTTACCCAGATCCTA	Y			CoVID-DNA.iM.1
	DNA	ATCCTGCCTTACCCAGATCCTA	Ν			SARS-DNA.iM.1

B. CoVID-RNA.G4-1, Fig.1.A,7

CoVID-RNA.G4-2, Fig. 1.A,4

C. CoVID-DNA.iM-1, Fig. 1.A, 14





Fig 4. A. The candidates examined *in vitro* through biophysical assays. The *in vitro* column states if the sequence forms a quadruplex (Y for Yes, N for No). **B**, NMR spectra of the two RNA-G4s analyzed at different temperatures (pH 7.0). **C**, NMR spectra of the DNA-iM analyzed at different temperatures (pH 5.3). **D**, CD analysis of the two RNA-G4 analyzed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250654.g004

combined to select 15 PQSs, 13 of which were part of the original QGRS mapper results [80]. Except one, all of these sequences reported to date in SARS-CoV-2 have been found with G4-iM Grinder and are part of the analysis made here. These are (mainly) part of the 71 sequences with a medium probability of forming G4 (scored between 20 and 40 in G4-iM Grinder's scale). G4-iM Grinder however, found 47 extra PQSs that have not been previously reported for the SARS-CoV-2 with the same probability of forming G4s. Additionally, over 5000 different variants of these PQSs were also identified with the same probability, in the analysis of the 17312 different SARS-CoV-2 genomes.

Overall, these results complement the current knowledge we have regarding quadruplexes and the SARS-CoV-2. They also broaden the way for targeting viruses in general, and the SARS-CoV-2 in particular, through the use of these nucleic sequences as therapeutic targets in future anti-viral treatments. G4-ligands based on small molecules that can stabilize G4s have recently been proposed to be viable antivirus strategies for viruses such as Ebola, HIV and HCV (reviewed in [50]). For the SARS-CoV-2, G4-ligands have already been reported to significantly reduce protein translation levels *in vivo* and *in vitro* [65]. Another report highlighted the existing evidence indicating that helicase inhibitors may also exert antiviral activity as another therapeutic approach for SARS-CoV-2 [78].

Supporting information

S1 File. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Matilde Arévalo, Rafael Ferreira and Sarah Heselden for their help regarding this topic.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Formal analysis: Efres Belmonte-Reche, Israel Serrano-Chacón, Carlos Gonzalez.

Funding acquisition: Carlos Gonzalez, Juan Gallo, Manuel Bañobre-López.

Investigation: Efres Belmonte-Reche, Israel Serrano-Chacón, Carlos Gonzalez.

Methodology: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Software: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Validation: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Visualization: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Writing - original draft: Efres Belmonte-Reche.

Writing – review & editing: Efres Belmonte-Reche, Carlos Gonzalez, Juan Gallo, Manuel Bañobre-López.

References

 Benvenuto D, Giovanetti M, Ciccozzi A, Spoto S, Angeletti S, Ciccozzi M. The 2019-new coronavirus epidemic: Evidence for virus evolution. J Med Virol. 2020; 92: 455–459. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv. 25688 PMID: 31994738

- Perlman S. Another Decade, Another Coronavirus. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382: 760–762. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2001126 PMID: 31978944</u>
- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020; 579: 270–273. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7 PMID: 32015507
- 4. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med. 2020; 26: 450–452. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9 PMID: 32284615
- Lau SKP, Luk HKH, Wong ACP, Li KSM, Zhu L, He Z, et al. Possible Bat Origin of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020; 26: 1542–1547. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2607.200092 PMID: 32315281
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020; 395: 497–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20) 30183-5 PMID: 31986264
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020; 395: 507–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7 PMID: 32007143
- Peck KM, Burch CL, Heise MT, Baric RS. Coronavirus Host Range Expansion and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Emergence: Biochemical Mechanisms and Evolutionary Perspectives. Annu Rev Virol. 2015; 2: 95–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-100114-055029</u> PMID: 26958908
- Menachery VD, Yount BL, Debbink K, Agnihothram S, Gralinski LE, Plante JA, et al. A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence. Nat Med. 2015; 21: 1508– 1513. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3985 PMID: 26552008
- Cheng VCC, Lau SKP, Woo PCY, Yuen KY. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus as an Agent of Emerging and Reemerging Infection. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2007; 20: 660–694. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00023-07 PMID: 17934078
- 11. Gellert M, Lipsett MN, Davies DR. Helix formation by guanylic acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1962; 48: 2013–2018. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.48.12.2013 PMID: 13947099
- Day HA, Pavlou P, Waller ZAE. i-Motif DNA: Structure, stability and targeting with ligands. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry. 2014; 22: 4407–4418. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2014.05.047</u> PMID: 24957878
- Benabou S, Aviñó A, Eritja R, González C, Gargallo R. Fundamental aspects of the nucleic acid i-motif structures. RSC Adv. 2014; 4: 26956–26980. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA02129K
- Abou Assi H, Garavís M, González C, Damha MJ. i-Motif DNA: structural features and significance to cell biology. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018; 46: 8038–8056. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky735</u> PMID: 30124962
- Manzini G, Yathindra N, Xodo LE. Evidence for intramolecularly folded i-DNA structures in biologically relevant CCC-repeat sequences. Nucl Acids Res. 1994; 22: 4634–4640. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22. 22.4634 PMID: 7984411
- 16. Du Z, Zhao Y, Li N. Genome-wide analysis reveals regulatory role of G4 DNA in gene transcription. Genome Research. 2008; 18: 233–241. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.6905408 PMID: 18096746
- Bugaut A, Balasubramanian S. 5'-UTR RNA G-quadruplexes: translation regulation and targeting. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012; 40: 4727–4741. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks068 PMID: 22351747
- Rhodes D, Lipps HJ. G-quadruplexes and their regulatory roles in biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43: 8627–8637. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv862 PMID: 26350216
- Wright EP, Huppert JL, Waller ZAE. Identification of multiple genomic DNA sequences which form imotif structures at neutral pH. Nucleic Acids Research. 2017; 45: 2951–2959. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx090 PMID: 28180276</u>
- Kwok CK, Merrick CJ. G-Quadruplexes: Prediction, Characterization, and Biological Application. Trends in Biotechnology. 2017; 35: 997–1013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.06.012</u> PMID: 28755976
- Varshney D, Spiegel J, Zyner K, Tannahill D, Balasubramanian S. The regulation and functions of DNA and RNA G-quadruplexes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2020; 21: 459–474. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0236-x PMID: 32313204
- Hershman SG, Chen Q, Lee JY, Kozak ML, Yue P, Wang L-S, et al. Genomic distribution and functional analyses of potential G-quadruplex-forming sequences in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Research. 2008; 36: 144–156. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm986 PMID: 17999996
- 23. Capra JA, Paeschke K, Singh M, Zakian VA. G-Quadruplex DNA Sequences Are Evolutionarily Conserved and Associated with Distinct Genomic Features in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Stormo GD,

editor. PLoS Computational Biology. 2010; 6: e1000861. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000861 PMID: 20676380

- Paeschke K, Capra JA, Zakian VA. DNA Replication through G-Quadruplex Motifs Is Promoted by the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pif1 DNA Helicase. Cell. 2011; 145: 678–691. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell. 2011.04.015 PMID: 21620135
- Götz S, Pandey S, Bartsch S, Juranek S, Paeschke K. A Novel G-Quadruplex Binding Protein in Yeast —Slx9. Molecules. 2019; 24: 1774. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24091774 PMID: 31067825
- Rawal P. Genome-wide prediction of G4 DNA as regulatory motifs: Role in Escherichia coli global regulation. Genome Research. 2006; 16: 644–655. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.4508806 PMID: 16651665
- Waller ZAE, Pinchbeck BJ, Buguth BS, Meadows TG, Richardson DJ, Gates AJ. Control of bacterial nitrate assimilation by stabilization of G-quadruplex DNA. Chem Commun (Camb). 2016; 52: 13511– 13514. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cc06057a PMID: 27805200
- Ding Y, Fleming AM, Burrows CJ. Case studies on potential G-quadruplex-forming sequences from the bacterial orders Deinococcales and Thermales derived from a survey of published genomes. Sci Rep. 2018; 8: 15679. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33944-4 PMID: 30356061
- Bartas M, Čutová M, Brázda V, Kaura P, Šťastný J, Kolomazník J, et al. The Presence and Localization of G-Quadruplex Forming Sequences in the Domain of Bacteria. Molecules. 2019; 24: 1711. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24091711</u> PMID: 31052562
- Shao X, Zhang W, Umar MI, Wong HY, Seng Z, Xie Y, et al. RNA G-Quadruplex Structures Mediate Gene Regulation in Bacteria. Chang Y-F, editor. mBio. 2020; 11: e02926–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.02926-19 PMID: 31964733</u>
- Abu-Ghazalah RM, Macgregor RB. Structural polymorphism of the four-repeat Oxytricha nova telomeric DNA sequences. Biophysical Chemistry. 2009; 141: 180–185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpc.2009.01.013</u> PMID: 19243874
- 32. Harris LM, Merrick CJ. G-Quadruplexes in Pathogens: A Common Route to Virulence Control? PLoS Pathog. 2015; 11: e1004562. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004562 PMID: 25654363
- Bhartiya D, Chawla V, Ghosh S, Shankar R, Kumar N. Genome-wide regulatory dynamics of G-quadruplexes in human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Genomics. 2016; 108: 224–231. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2016.10.004</u> PMID: 27789319
- 34. Demkovičová E, Bauer Ľ, Krafčíková P, Tlučková K, Tóthova P, Halaganová A, et al. Telomeric G-Quadruplexes: From Human to *Tetrahymena* Repeats. Journal of Nucleic Acids. 2017; 2017: 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9170371 PMID: 29445544
- Belmonte-Reche E, Martínez-García M, Guédin A, Zuffo M, Arévalo-Ruiz M, Doria F, et al. G-Quadruplex Identification in the Genome of Protozoan Parasites Points to Naphthalene Diimide Ligands as New Antiparasitic Agents. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. 2018; 61: 1231–1240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01672</u> PMID: 29323491
- Dumetz F, Merrick C. Parasitic Protozoa: Unusual Roles for G-Quaduplerxes in Early-Diverging Eukaryotes. Molecules. 2019; 24: 1339. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24071339 PMID: 30959737
- Perrone R, Nadai M, Frasson I, Poe JA, Butovskaya E, Smithgall TE, et al. A Dynamic G-Quadruplex Region Regulates the HIV-1 Long Terminal Repeat Promoter. J Med Chem. 2013; 56: 6521–6530. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm400914r PMID: 23865750
- Perrone R, Nadai M, Poe JA, Frasson I, Palumbo M, Palù G, et al. Formation of a Unique Cluster of G-Quadruplex Structures in the HIV-1 nef Coding Region: Implications for Antiviral Activity. Qiu J, editor. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e73121. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073121 PMID: 24015290
- Amrane S, Kerkour A, Bedrat A, Vialet B, Andreola M-L, Mergny J-L. Topology of a DNA G-Quadruplex Structure Formed in the HIV-1 Promoter: A Potential Target for Anti-HIV Drug Development. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136: 5249–5252. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja501500c PMID: 24649937
- 40. Norseen J, Johnson FB, Lieberman PM. Role for G-quadruplex RNA binding by Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 1 in DNA replication and metaphase chromosome attachment. J Virol. 2009; 83: 10336–10346. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00747-09 PMID: 19656898
- Murat P, Zhong J, Lekieffre L, Cowieson NP, Clancy JL, Preiss T, et al. G-quadruplexes regulate Epstein-Barr virus–encoded nuclear antigen 1 mRNA translation. Nat Chem Biol. 2014; 10: 358–364. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1479 PMID: 24633353
- Tlučková K, Marušič M, Tóthová P, Bauer L, Šket P, Plavec J, et al. Human Papillomavirus G-Quadruplexes. Biochemistry. 2013; 52: 7207–7216. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi400897g PMID: 24044463
- 43. Zahin M, Dean WL, Ghim S, Joh J, Gray RD, Khanal S, et al. Identification of G-quadruplex forming sequences in three manatee papillomaviruses. Buratti E, editor. PLoS ONE. 2018; 13: e0195625. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195625 PMID: 29630682

- 44. Artusi S, Nadai M, Perrone R, Biasolo MA, Palù G, Flamand L, et al. The Herpes Simplex Virus-1 genome contains multiple clusters of repeated G-quadruplex: Implications for the antiviral activity of a G-quadruplex ligand. Antiviral Research. 2015; 118: 123–131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2015</u>. 03.016 PMID: 25843424
- 45. Biswas B, Kumari P, Vivekanandan P. Pac1 Signals of Human Herpesviruses Contain a Highly Conserved G-Quadruplex Motif. ACS Infect Dis. 2018; 4: 744–751. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis. 7b00279 PMID: 29493219
- 46. Biswas B, Kandpal M, Vivekanandan P. A G-quadruplex motif in an envelope gene promoter regulates transcription and virion secretion in HBV genotype B. Nucleic Acids Research. 2017; 45: 11268–11280. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx823 PMID: 28981800
- Wang S-R, Zhang Q-Y, Wang J-Q, Ge X-Y, Song Y-Y, Wang Y-F, et al. Chemical Targeting of a G-Quadruplex RNA in the Ebola Virus L Gene. Cell Chemical Biology. 2016; 23: 1113–1122. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2016.07.019</u> PMID: 27617851
- Fleming AM, Ding Y, Alenko A, Burrows CJ. Zika Virus Genomic RNA Possesses Conserved G-Quadruplexes Characteristic of the Flaviviridae Family. ACS Infect Dis. 2016; 2: 674–681. https://doi.org/10. 1021/acsinfecdis.6b00109 PMID: 27737553
- Ravichandran S, Kim Y-E, Bansal V, Ghosh A, Hur J, Subramani VK, et al. Genome-wide analysis of regulatory G-quadruplexes affecting gene expression in human cytomegalovirus. Lieberman PM, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2018; 14: e1007334. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007334 PMID: 30265731
- Ruggiero E, Richter SN. G-quadruplexes and G-quadruplex ligands: targets and tools in antiviral therapy. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018; 46: 3270–3283. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky187 PMID: 29554280
- Ruggiero E, Lago S, Šket P, Nadai M, Frasson I, Plavec J, et al. A dynamic i-motif with a duplex stemloop in the long terminal repeat promoter of the HIV-1 proviral genome modulates viral transcription. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019; 47: 11057–11068. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz937 PMID: 31665504
- 52. Brazier JA, Shah A, Brown GD. I-Motif formation in gene promoters: unusually stable formation in sequences complementary to known G-quadruplexes. Chem Commun. 2012; 48: 10739–10741. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc30863k PMID: 23012694
- Belmonte-Reche E, Morales JC. G4-iM Grinder: when size and frequency matter. G-Quadruplex, i-Motif and higher order structure search and analysis tool. NAR Genomics and Bioinformatics, Volume 2, Issue 1, March 2020, lqz005, https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqz005 PMID: 33575559
- Métifiot M, Amrane S, Litvak S, Andreola M-L. G-quadruplexes in viruses: function and potential therapeutic applications. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014; 42: 12352–12366. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku999</u> PMID: 25332402
- NCBI Resource Coordinators, Agarwala R, Barrett T, Beck J, Benson DA, Bollin C, et al. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Research. 2018; 46: D8– D13. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1095 PMID: 29140470
- 56. Bedrat A, Lacroix L, Mergny J-L. Re-evaluation of G-quadruplex propensity with G4Hunter. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44: 1746–1759. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw006 PMID: 26792894
- Hon J, Martínek T, Zendulka J, Lexa M. pqsfinder: an exhaustive and imperfection-tolerant search tool for potential quadruplex-forming sequences in R. Bioinformatics. 2017; 33: 3373–3379. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx413 PMID: 29077807
- Huppert JL. Prevalence of quadruplexes in the human genome. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33: 2908–2916. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki609 PMID: 15914667
- Todd AK. Highly prevalent putative quadruplex sequence motifs in human DNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33: 2901–2907. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki553 PMID: 15914666
- Miskiewicz J, Sarzynska J, Szachniuk M. How bioinformatics resources work with G4 RNAs. Briefings in Bioinformatics. 2020; bbaa201. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa201 PMID: 32898859
- Mir B, Serrano I, Buitrago D, Orozco M, Escaja N, González C. Prevalent Sequences in the Human Genome Can Form Mini i-Motif Structures at Physiological pH. J Am Chem Soc. 2017; 139: 13985– 13988. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b07383 PMID: 28933543
- Shu Y, McCauley J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data–from vision to reality. Euro Surveill. 2017; 22: 30494. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494 PMID: 28382917
- Fleming AM, Zhou J, Wallace SS, Burrows CJ. A Role for the Fifth G-Track in G-Quadruplex Forming Oncogene Promoter Sequences during Oxidative Stress: Do These "Spare Tires" Have an Evolved Function? ACS Central Science. 2015; 1: 226–233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.5b00202</u> PMID: 26405692

- Omaga CA, Fleming AM, Burrows CJ. The Fifth Domain in the G-Quadruplex-Forming Sequence of the Human NEIL3 Promoter Locks DNA Folding in Response to Oxidative Damage. Biochemistry. 2018; 57: 2958–2970. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00226 PMID: 29718661
- Zhao C, Qin G, Niu J, Wang Z, Wang C, Ren J, et al. Targeting RNA G-Quadruplex in SARS-CoV-2: A Promising Therapeutic Target for COVID-19? Angew Chem Int Ed. 2021; 60: 432–438. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/anie.202011419 PMID: 32939952
- Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT. ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. McInerny G, editor. Methods Ecol Evol. 2017; 8: 28–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12628
- Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30: 2811–2812. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu393 PMID: 24930139
- Lavezzo E, Berselli M, Frasson I, Perrone R, Palù G, Brazzale AR, et al. G-quadruplex forming sequences in the genome of all known human viruses: A comprehensive guide. Lexa M, editor. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018; 14: e1006675. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006675 PMID: 30543627
- Puig Lombardi EP, Londoño-Vallejo A, Nicolas A. Relationship Between G-Quadruplex Sequence Composition in Viruses and Their Hosts. Molecules. 2019; 24: 1942. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24101942</u> PMID: 31137580
- 70. Narayanan K, Kim KH, Makino S. Characterization of N protein self-association in coronavirus ribonucleoprotein complexes. Virus Res. 2003; 98: 131–140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2003.08.021</u> PMID: 14659560
- 71. Tan J, Vonrhein C, Smart OS, Bricogne G, Bollati M, Kusov Y, et al. The SARS-Unique Domain (SUD) of SARS Coronavirus Contains Two Macrodomains That Bind G-Quadruplexes. Rey FA, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5: e1000428. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000428 PMID: 19436709
- 72. Beaudoin J-D, Jodoin R, Perreault J-P. New scoring system to identify RNA G-quadruplex folding. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014; 42: 1209–1223. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt904 PMID: 24121682
- 73. Snoussi K, Nonin-Lecomte S, Leroy J-L. The RNA i-motif. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2001; 309: 139–153. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2001.4618 PMID: 11491284
- Kikin O, D'Antonio L, Bagga PS. QGRS Mapper: a web-based server for predicting G-quadruplexes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Research. 2006; 34: W676–W682. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkl253 PMID: 16845096
- 75. Bartas M, Brázda V, Bohálová N, Cantara A, Volná A, Stachurová T, et al. In-Depth Bioinformatic Analyses of Nidovirales Including Human SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV Viruses Suggest Important Roles of Non-canonical Nucleic Acid Structures in Their Lifecycles. Front Microbiol. 2020; 11: 1583. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01583 PMID: 32719673
- 76. Ji D, Juhas M, Tsang CM, Kwok CK, Li Y, Zhang Y. Discovery of G-quadruplex-forming sequences in SARS-CoV-2. Briefings in Bioinformatics. 2020; bbaa114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa114</u> PMID: 32484220
- Cui H, Zhang L. G-Quadruplexes Are Present in Human Coronaviruses Including SARS-CoV-2. Front Microbiol. 2020; 11: 567317. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.567317 PMID: 33193156
- 78. Panera N, Tozzi AE, Alisi A. The G-Quadruplex/Helicase World as a Potential Antiviral Approach Against COVID-19. Drugs. 2020; 80: 941–946. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-020-01321-z PMID: 32451923
- Doluca O. G4Catchall: A G-quadruplex prediction approach considering atypical features. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 2019; 463: 92–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2018.12.007 PMID: 30528447
- Zhang R, Xiao K, Gu Y, Liu H, Sun X. Whole Genome Identification of Potential G-Quadruplexes and Analysis of the G-Quadruplex Binding Domain for SARS-CoV-2. Front Genet. 2020; 11: 587829. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.587829 PMID: 33329730