



# Carbon Amendments Alter Microbial Community Structure and Net Mercury Methylation Potential in Sediments

Geoff A. Christensen,<sup>a</sup> Anil C. Somenahally,<sup>a,b</sup> James G. Moberly,<sup>a,c</sup> Carrie M. Miller,<sup>a,d</sup> Andrew J. King,<sup>a</sup> Cynthia C. Gilmour,<sup>e</sup> Steven D. Brown,<sup>a</sup> Mircea Podar,<sup>a</sup> Craig C. Brandt,<sup>a</sup> Scott C. Brooks,<sup>f</sup> Anthony V. Palumbo,<sup>a</sup> Judy D. Wall,<sup>g</sup> Dwayne A. Elias<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Texas A&M University, Overton, Texas, USA <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA <sup>d</sup>Department of Biology, Troy University, Troy, Alabama, USA <sup>e</sup>Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, Maryland, USA <sup>f</sup>Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA <sup>g</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA

ABSTRACT Neurotoxic methylmercury (MeHg) is produced by anaerobic Bacteria and Archaea possessing the genes hgcAB, but it is unknown how organic substrate and electron acceptor availability impacts the distribution and abundance of these organisms. We evaluated the impact of organic substrate amendments on mercury (Hg) methylation rates, microbial community structure, and the distribution of hqcAB+ microbes with sediments. Sediment slurries were amended with shortchain fatty acids, alcohols, or a polysaccharide. Minimal increases in MeHg were observed following lactate, ethanol, and methanol amendments, while a significant decrease (~70%) was observed with cellobiose incubations. Postincubation, microbial diversity was assessed via 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. The presence of hgcAB+ organisms was assessed with a broad-range degenerate PCR primer set for both genes, while the presence of microbes in each of the three dominant clades of methylators (Deltaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, and methanogenic Archaea) was measured with clade-specific degenerate hqcA quantitative PCR (qPCR) primer sets. The predominant microorganisms in unamended sediments consisted of Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria. Clade-specific qPCR identified hgcA+ Deltaproteobacteria and Archaea in all sites but failed to detect hqcA+ Firmicutes. Cellobiose shifted the communities in all samples to  $\sim$ 90% non-hgcAB-containing Firmicutes (mainly Bacillus spp. and Clostridium spp.). These results suggest that either expression of hqcAB is downregulated or, more likely given the lack of 16S rRNA gene presence after cellobiose incubation, Hg-methylating organisms are largely outcompeted by cellobiose degraders or degradation products of cellobiose. These results represent a step toward understanding and exploring simple methodologies for controlling MeHg production in the environment.

**IMPORTANCE** Methylmercury (MeHg) is a neurotoxin produced by microorganisms that bioacummulates in the food web and poses a serious health risk to humans. Currently, the impact that organic substrate or electron acceptor availability has on the mercury (Hg)-methylating microorganisms is unclear. To study this, we set up microcosm experiments exposed to different organic substrates and electron acceptors and assayed for Hg methylation rates, for microbial community structure, and for distribution of Hg methylators. The sediment and groundwater was collected from East Fork Poplar Creek in Oak Ridge, TN. Amendment with cellobiose (a lignocellulosic degradation by-product) led to a drastic decrease in the Hg methylation rate compared to that in an unamended control, with an associated shift in the microbial community to mostly nonmethylating *Firmicutes*. This, along with previous

#### Received 9 May 2017 Accepted 28 September 2017

Accepted manuscript posted online 17 November 2017

Citation Christensen GA, Somenahally AC, Moberly JG, Miller CM, King AJ, Gilmour CC, Brown SD, Podar M, Brandt CC, Brooks SC, Palumbo AV, Wall JD, Elias DA. 2018. Carbon amendments alter microbial community structure and net mercury methylation potential in sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e01049-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .01049-17.

**Editor** Shuang-Jiang Liu, Chinese Academy of Sciences

**Copyright** © 2018 Christensen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Dwayne A. Elias, eliasda@ornl.gov.

Hg-methylating microorganism identification methods, will be important for identifying strategies to control MeHg production and inform future remediation strategies.

# **KEYWORDS** methylmercury, 16S, qPCR, *hgcA*, *hgcAB*, mercury

In nature, methylmercury (MeHg) production is predominately microbial (1) and limited to anaerobic microorganisms (2, 3). Mercury (Hg) methylation has been linked to reduction of sulfate (4–6) and Fe(III) (7, 8) as well as methanogenesis (9, 10), but only a subset of microorganisms are capable of methylating Hg within these functional groups. Three major Hg-methylating clades of microbes have been defined (11), including the *Deltaproteobacteria*, encompassing sulfate- and iron(III)-reducing bacteria, the Gram-positive *Firmicutes*, including sulfate- and sulfite-reducing bacteria, dehalogenators, and syntrophs, and methanogens within the class *Methanomicrobia*. The *Deltaproteobacteria* have been shown to be the predominant Hg methylators and display the highest Hg methylation efficiencies, while several *Firmicutes* and *Archaea* also have this function (2, 3).

Both inorganic Hg and MeHg are commonly observed in environments such as river sediments (12). MeHg is typically a small proportion of the total Hg (THg) in water and sediments; however, due to its toxicity and ability to biomagnify in food webs (13), MeHg poses a significant threat to ecosystems and human health. To date, Hg methylation has been characterized only for anaerobic microorganisms (2) possessing the gene pair hgcAB (3). As a result, Hg-contaminated bottom sediments with anaerobic conditions can be a significant source for MeHg production in water bodies. While several studies have investigated the geochemical controls on Hg methylation and the MeHg production potential (MPP), including salinity, pH, dissolved O<sub>2</sub>, the presence of sulfate and Fe(III), and alternate electron acceptors (7, 14-23), we are not aware of any study investigating the effect of specific carbon (C) compounds on MPP and the distribution of different types of methylating microbes in environmental samples. Further, while hgcA was originally annotated as a carbon monoxide dehydrogenase in Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132 (24) or a conserved hypothetical protein in Geobacter sulfurreducens PCA (25), the native function of HgcAB has to date not been explicitly determined. Hence, it is unknown how available carbon sources may affect MPP and hqcAB<sup>+</sup> microbial diversity. Consequently, this gap in knowledge limits our ability to understand and predict what, if any, changes in MPP may occur as a consequence of anaerobic environments receiving complex carbon substrates and consistently high levels of atmospheric Hg, which can become a direct source of human exposure to MeHg (26).

To study the role that complex carbon sources may have in the MPP, we utilized East Fork Poplar Creek (EFPC) near Oak Ridge, TN, which is contaminated with Hg from the nearby Y-12 facility (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) (12, 27-30). Two sites along EFPC and one from the uncontaminated Hinds Creek (HC) were selected, each with different THg and MeHg concentrations (31-33). In general, sediment THg concentrations in bulk traditionally ranged from 10 to 50 mg/kg (dry weight) along the river bottom (12). MeHg was not released from the Y-12 facility, but THg was (12), and the MeHg found downstream is assumed to be generated within the creek. Separate incubations were set up in vitro with sediment from the three locations, and each was amended with one of six complex carbon sources to determine the MPP (via <sup>201</sup>Hg spiking experiments) (34), alterations to the microbial community structure (via 16S rRNA gene sequencing) (35), and hgcA genome copy number (via quantitative PCR [qPCR]) (11). The carbon amendments (cellobiose, lactate, ethanol, methanol, acetate, and propionate) were included to mimic microbial exposure to common intermediates within the carbon cycle and to assess the impact or role each intermediate has in the diversity and abundance of microbial community populations and net Hg methylation production.

For this study we had several objectives in mind. First, we wanted to confirm the depth at which MeHg production (or MPP) was greatest for the particular samples

studied, which we hypothesized to be at the lower anaerobic depths. Second, we wanted to determine which, if any, carbon compounds had an effect on MeHg production and microbial diversity. We hypothesized that the addition of cellobiose, a lignocellulose breakdown product, would stimulate *Firmicutes* specifically, since they are well known to degrade lignocellulose (36–38). Third, we wanted to compare 16S rRNA gene sequence counts of known Hg methylators to our recently developed qPCR-based *hgcA* protocol for determining Hg-methylating microorganism abundance. We determined that MeHg concentrations do not correlate well to either method in the context of the small sample size used in this study.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Hg, MeHg, and MPP in natural sediments. Sediment THg concentrations at the two EFPC sites ranged from 0.5 to 5.5  $\mu$ g/g, with higher concentrations in deeper sediments and at the downstream NH site compared to the NOAA site (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Ambient MeHg concentrations were also generally higher in deeper sediments and higher at the EFPC site further downstream (NH) (400 to 1200 pg/g) than at NOAA (170 to 550 pg/g), consistent with previous studies (31). The background site, HC, had THg and MeHg concentrations that were approximately 100 to 1,000 times less than those at the NOAA or NH site. In all cases, the percentage of THg as MeHg was low (0.002 to 0.010%), which is typical of Hg-contaminated sites, likely because Hg-methylating microbes are a small percentage of the total microbial community (2, 3, 39).

Mercury methylation potentials (measured as the fraction of an Hg spike methylated in 24 h) were similar across the sites and were similar or increased with depth (Table S1). Like the percentage of MeHg in these sediments, methylation rates in stream bottom sediments were low, on the order of  $0.1 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  per day. Potential methylation rates in fine-grained organic sediments or saturated marsh soil, measured with Hg spikes following similar methods, tend to average ~1,000 times more ( $0.1 \times 10^{-1}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ ) (20). MPP and MeHg concentrations were used to select sediment depth for the more detailed experiments that addressed the effect of C compound on MPP at a single depth across all sites. The MPPs in the NOAA samples were similar with depth, with overlapping standard deviations, while the highest MPP was observed in the 8- to 12-cm depth interval from the NH site. Hence, the 8- to 12-cm interval sediments were used for subsequent experiments.

Hg methylation response to carbon amendments. Of the various organic substrate amendments made to the HC, NOAA, and NH slurries, only the polysaccharide (cellobiose) had a significant impact on MPP, while the alcohols and short-chain fatty acids (lactate, acetate, and propionate) did not (Table 1; Fig. 1). These naturally occurring substrates were added to stimulate general metabolism and to evaluate impacts on MPP and community diversity, with unamended incubations serving as a negative control. Addition of cellobiose decreased MPP  $\sim$ 70% in NH slurries (t test, P = 0.0061), and  $\sim$ 35% in HC slurries (P = 0.0029) relative to unamended controls. All other amendments had no significant impact on ambient MeHg concentrations relative to those in unamended controls. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that the background HC site overall fell into a separate grouping relative to the NOAA and NH sites with elevated THg and MeHg levels (Table 1). NH amended with lactate, ethanol, or methanol was distinctly grouped away from all others. Interestingly, these three treatments showed the highest MPP, suggesting that they were distinct from all other sites and site treatment combinations (Table 1). Further, while all other NOAA or NH treatment and treatment-site combinations grouped together, suggesting that they were not statistically different from one another, the cellobiose treatment in NOAA and NH grouped with the background HC, suggesting that the cellobiose amendment caused these samples to act as background due to the significant decrease in MPP (Table 1; Fig. 1).

Effects of carbon amendments on microbial community diversity. It was unknown at this point in the study whether the presence of cellobiose or a metabolic

		Ambient conditions		Spiked conditions		MPP <sup>a</sup>		
Site	Amendment	THg (μg/g)	MeHg (pg/g)	<sup>201</sup> THg (µg/g)	Me <sup>201</sup> Hg (pg/g)	$\mu$ g MeHg/kg THg spiked/day (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	%	Group <sup>b</sup>
HC	None	$3.5  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.3  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$4.7  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.1  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$0.015\pm0.002$	А
	Cellobiose	$3.6  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.2  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$4.1 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.4\pm0.0$	$1.0\pm0.1$	$0.010\pm0.001$	A
	Lactate	$2.7 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$5.2 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.8\pm0.0$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.015\pm0.001$	A
	Ethanol	$2.7 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$4.5  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.7  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$1.8\pm0.1$	$0.018\pm0.001$	AB
	Acetate	$3.6  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.7  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$5.1 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.012\pm0.002$	A
	Propionate	$3.0 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$4.3  imes 10^{-3} \pm 0.5  imes 10^{-3}$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	A
	Methanol	$3.9 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$5.9 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.0\pm0.2$	$0.010\pm0.002$	А
NOAA	None	$1.2\pm0.6$	$310\pm11$	4.7 ± 1.0	980 ± 240	2.1 ± 1.1	0.021 ± 0.011	AB
	Cellobiose	$0.9\pm0.3$	$330\pm120$	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$950\pm190$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$0.026\pm0.006$	A
	Lactate	$2.6 \pm 2.8$	$450\pm290$	4.6 ± 0.3	$1,300 \pm 150$	$2.9\pm0.3$	$0.029\pm0.003$	ABCD
	Ethanol	$0.7\pm0.3$	$230 \pm 47$	$5.0 \pm 1.4$	$1,\!600 \pm 460$	$3.2 \pm 1.7$	$0.032\pm0.017$	ABCD
	Acetate	$1.2 \pm 1.0$	$280 \pm 150$	5.1 ± 1.0	$1,400 \pm 530$	$2.7\pm1.8$	$0.027\pm0.018$	ABC
	Propionate	$0.6 \pm 0.4$	$150\pm52$	$4.4 \pm 0.7$	$1,400 \pm 480$	$3.2 \pm 1.5$	$0.032\pm0.015$	ABCD
	Methanol	$0.7\pm0.2$	$210\pm7$	4.9 ± 1.1	1,400 ± 150	$2.9\pm0.9$	$0.028\pm0.009$	ABCD
NH	None	$3.3\pm0.7$	2,700 ± 250	$4.4\pm0.5$	2,000 ± 420	$4.5\pm0.7$	$0.045\pm0.007$	BCD
	Cellobiose	3.4 ± 1.2	$1,600 \pm 98$	$4.6 \pm 0.3$	$640\pm64$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.014\pm0.001$	A
	Lactate	$3.4\pm0.7$	$1,900 \pm 100$	$4.5 \pm 0.7$	$2,400 \pm 650$	$5.3\pm0.8$	$0.053\pm0.008$	CD
	Ethanol	$2.4\pm0.2$	$1,900 \pm 340$	$4.4 \pm 0.4$	$2,400 \pm 930$	$5.4 \pm 2.0$	$0.054\pm0.020$	CD
	Acetate	$3.2\pm0.5$	$2,100 \pm 330$	$4.8\pm0.6$	$1,700 \pm 330$	$3.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.035\pm0.010$	ABCD
	Propionate	$3.0\pm0.8$	$1{,}600\pm160$	$4.0\pm0.6$	$1{,}400\pm310$	$3.4\pm0.4$	$0.034\pm0.004$	ABCD
	Methanol	4.3 ± 1.3	$\textbf{2,200} \pm \textbf{150}$	$3.9\pm0.6$	$\textbf{2,100} \pm \textbf{290}$	$5.5 \pm 1.1$	$\textbf{0.055} \pm \textbf{0.011}$	D

TABLE 1 Ambient and spiked THg and MeHg concentrations and MPP results

<sup>a</sup>MPP, methylmercury production potential.

<sup>b</sup>In the "Group" column, different letters indicate a significant difference using Tukey's honestly significant difference test and a family-wide error rate of 5%.

by-product thereof altered  $hgcAB^+$  microorganism abundance, if the amendment caused a preferential shift in the microbial community that did not favor  $hgcAB^+$  microorganisms, or if the C source enhanced demethylation (i.e., demethylators). To address this concern, the microbial community structure of select amendments was evaluated by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Among the amendments for which sequencing was performed (unamended, cellobiose, lactate, and ethanol), as expected, cellobiose amendment had the largest impact on both the bacterial community structure (Fig. 2) and  $\alpha$ -diversity (Table 2), mirroring the impacts of amendments on Hg meth-



**FIG 1** Methylmercury production potential (MPP) in microcosm experiments from each of three sites receiving one of seven carbon amendment treatments. MPP is the amount of MeHg produced per day (micrograms of MeHg per kilogram of THg spiked per day) or the fraction methylated (MeHg produced per THg added per day). Bars and error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation from triplicate microcosms. HC, Hinds creek (background site); NOAA, upstream site in the contaminated EFPC; NH, downstream site in EFPC.



FIG 2 Relative abundances of bacterial phyla (A) or families (B) and of archaeal phyla (C) or families (D) detected for three sites (HC, NOAA, and NH) amended separately with cellobiose, lactate, or ethanol.

ylation. Bacterial  $\alpha$ -diversity was reduced by roughly 2/3 compared to that in the unamended incubations. The lactate and ethanol amendments yielded bacterial communities that largely mirrored the phylogeny of the *in situ*, untreated community (Fig. 2) and the  $\alpha$ -diversity of the unamended slurries (Table 2) postincubation.

Cellobiose amendments shifted the communities from all three sites strongly toward *Firmicutes*, although all of the amendments resulted in a higher fractional abundance of *Firmicutes* relative to that in the unamended controls. While the *Firmicutes* constituted ~20% of the unamended communities, they constituted ~35 to 50% of the bacterial community in ethanol- or lactate-amended slurries and ~90% in cellobiose amendments (Fig. 2A). A closer inspection revealed that only three operational taxonomic units (OTUs), a *Bacillus (Trichococcus)* OTU and two *Clostridium* OTUs (*Clostridium* 1 and *Clostridium* 2) at relative proportions of ~30 to 46%, ~19 to 48%, and ~11 to 22%, respectively, were predominant for all three sites. Using BLAST (40), *Trichococcus* matched best to *T. xienjiensis, Clostridium* 1 matched to *C. beijerinckii*, and *Clostridium* 2 matched to *C. mosignum* (see Table S4 in the supplemental material).

We expected that the carbon sources used here would have limited impact on archaeal diversity, as *Archaea* primarily utilize hydrogen, CO<sub>2</sub>, or other C<sub>1</sub> compounds

		Bacteria		Archaea	
Site	Carbon amendment	No. of OTUs	Shannon diversity index	No. of OTUs	Shannon diversity index
HC	None	533	4.71	273	3.66
	Cellobiose	110	1.98	298	4.20
	Lactate	433	4.61	157	2.27
	Ethanol	459	4.71	233	3.83
NOAA	None	294	3.76	573	5.63
	Cellobiose	102	2.11	638	5.77
	Lactate	260	4.07	578	5.56
	Ethanol	344	3.93	607	5.65
NH	None	430	4.23	140	1.92
	Cellobiose	132	2.06	368	4.79
	Lactate	432	4.39	313	4.44
	Fthanol	468	4.28	346	4.59

as carbon and electron sources (41, 42). In accordance with this expectation, archaeal  $\alpha$ -diversity was similar among treatments at both NOAA and the background HC (Fig. 2C and D; Table 2), suggesting little effect. The downstream NH had the highest THg level, MeHg level, and MPP in this study (Table 1). In our previous work (31), NH showed the lowest native archaeal  $\alpha$ -diversity, but here each carbon source, including cellobiose, increased the  $\alpha$ -diversity to levels observed with the other sites and treatments. The effect of the carbon sources on particular methanogenic species varied with each site (Fig. 2C and D; see Table S5 in the supplemental material).

While the  $\alpha$ -diversity was substantially lower with cellobiose treatment for the *Bacteria*, the  $\beta$ -diversity also revealed that cellobiose treatment exerted a significant influence on the bacterial populations within the microbial communities for all three sites tested (Fig. 3A). The nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot in conjunction with ADONIS (Algorithm for Dynamic Optical Networks based on Internet Solutions) analysis revealed that the carbon amendment explained 77% of the variance in the bacterial community  $\beta$ -diversity (P = 0.002) and MPP explained an additional 10%



Applied and Environmental Microbiology

FIG 3 NMDS plots with ADONIS analysis for *Bacteria* (A) and *Archaea* (B) to determine the effect of carbon amendment on the β-diversity of the three sites used in this study. Black, Hinds Creek (HC) (the background site); red, NOAA (NO) (upstream); blue, New Horizon (NH) (downstream). Amendments: •, unamended; •, lactate; ▲, ethanol; ■, cellobiose.

of the variance (P = 0.004). As with the other analyses used in this study, the effect of carbon amendment on the  $\beta$ -diversity of the *Archaea* was highly variable and had no clear explanation other than that the NOAA samples appeared to be somewhat different than NH or the background HC (Fig. 3B).

Effect of carbon amendments on the Hg-methylating community. There are currently ~140 microorganisms known to carry the Hg-methylating gene pair *hgcAB* within the *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and methanogenic *Archaea* (http://www.esd.ornl.gov/programs/rsfa/data.shtml). In the publicly available metagenomes, *hgcA* is seldom identified, and typically strains with a putative *hgcA* gene constitute <1% of the community populations (3). In this study, Hg-methylating organisms constituted up to 0.01% of all 16S rRNA gene sequences with sequence matches of >95% similarity (Table 3).

Known bacterial Hg methylators, such as the family Geobacteraceae, were reduced to below detection from all incubations receiving cellobiose amendment (Fig. 2B; Table S4). These results suggest that the cellobiose treatment may have selected against Hg-methylating bacteria and resulted in both the community population shift and the lower MPP. Known Hg-methylating bacterial (i.e., Geobacteraceae) abundances were similar for ethanol amendment and the unamended samples for all sites, while lactate addition appeared to lower their populations slightly. Cellobiose was expected to stimulate the Firmicutes, since they are known to degrade lignocellulose and primary by-products such as cellobiose (37, 43). Overall, Firmicutes abundance increased with cellobiose addition (Fig. 2), but Hq-methylating Firmicutes were not among this group. Note that with respect to cellobiose, the lone  $hgcAB^+$  Clostridium sp. detected (C. tunisiense, a member of Clostridiales family XII) (Table 3) appeared to be inhibited by cellobiose at the background HC and below detection at NOAA and NH, except that ethanol was stimulatory at NH. The reasons for this effect are unclear, but it is plausible that C. tunisiense either degraded ethanol or utilized degradation products from sulfate-reducing bacteria, since the latter were reported to be stimulated by ethanol elsewhere (44). Members of the Euryarchaeota genus Methanomicrobia, the only known class of Archaea to methylate Hg (2), were in similar proportions postincubation at all sites (Fig. 2C and D; Table S5), with the exception of lactate treatment in the background HC site. However, their numbers were considerably lower than those of other families (Fig. 2D), as might be expected given the relative rarity of Hg methylators overall (3, 39). Given the lack of change in the MPP (Table 1), it is unlikely that Hg methylators were specifically stimulated by lactate, at least within the time frame of the carbon amendment incubation, 24 h. Interestingly, the downstream NH appeared to have a high proportion of Methanomicrobia in situ, but all three treatments reduced their numbers by  $\sim$ 75% (Fig. 2). The reasons for this effect are unclear at this time.

With this method of comparison, it appears that the methanogens are the most abundant clade of Hg methylators throughout HC, NOAA, and NH. Few bacterial sequences identifying known Hg methylators were detected at HC and NH, and none were detected at NOAA (Table 3), although MeHg production was observed (Table 1). At the resolution of 16S rRNA gene sequencing, Hg methylator abundance (Table 3) did not correlate to changes in MeHg production (Table 1). Note that the low sequence variability between Hg-methylating and nonmethylating *Firmicutes* may make this analysis inappropriate for abundance estimations.

**Identification of Hg-methylating microorganisms with PCR-based methods.** We recently designed qualitative *hgcAB* and quantitative *hgcA* clade-specific PCR-based methods to determine the presence and abundance of *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and methanogenic *Archaea* (11) (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). These protocols were developed to quantify the widest fraction of known Hg-methylating microorganisms, and to be as inclusive as possible, the primers ranged in degeneracy, from 8- to 512-fold, typically being <72-fold. We are not aware of any previous application of these protocols to diverse environmental samples. Hence, we used these protocols to further examine the presence (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material)

		No. or seque	nce matches								
		Я				NOAA				HN	
			Amended v	vith:			Amended v	vith:			Amended w
Species	Clade	Unamended	Cellobiose	Lactate	Ethanol	Unamended	Cellobiose	Lactate	Ethanol	Unamended	Cellobiose
Desulfobulbus propionicus	Deltaproteobacteria	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
Desulfomicrobium baculatum	Deltaproteobacteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Geobacter bremensis	Deltaproteobacteria	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Desulfomonile tiedjei	Deltaproteobacteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e
Clostridium tunisiense	Firmicutes	26	-	15	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Methanomethylovorans hollandica	Archaea	25	16	7	15	-	0	0	0	8	7
Methanomassiliicoccus luminyensis	Archaea	38	24	4	4	5	4	9	2	e	8
Methanolobus psychrophilus	Archaea	5	12	-	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
Methanocella paludicola	Archaea	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	62	20
Methanocella arvoryzae	Archaea	-	14	4	13	28	30	58	24	2	-
Methanoregula boonei	Archaea	16	15	-	34	6	12	23	8	21	15
Methanospirillum hungatei	Archaea	0	-	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	-

ctate Ethanol

2 55 2 55

TABLE 3 16S rRNA sequence matches to known Hg methylators



**FIG 4** qPCR for *hgcA* specific to *Deltaproteobacteria* (top), *Firmicutes* (middle), and *Archaea* (bottom) at the three sites (HC, NOAA, and NH) for each of the treatments. *hgcA* quantification is shown. Detection limits (genome copies per 1 ng of gDNA template): *Deltaproteobacteria*, 2,000; *Firmicutes*, 200; and *Archaea*, 2,000 (empirically determined from a representative strain from each clade).

and abundance (Fig. 4; see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) of Hg-methylating microorganisms. PCR for the 16S rRNA gene and hgcAB genes confirmed the presence of the latter with all carbon amendments except HC ethanol treatment and all unamended treatments, in which case it was below detection (Fig. S3). In those samples with detectable hgcAB, Deltaproteobacteria and Archaea hgcA genes were calculated at  $\sim$ 3,000 to 8,500 and  $\sim$ 10,000 to 16,000 genome copies per 1 ng of template genomic DNA (gDNA), respectively, with a detection limit of 2,000 genome copies (Fig. 4). Importantly, a single product was observed (by gel electrophoresis [Fig. S2] and melting curve analysis [data not shown]) from the amplified qPCR product for Deltaproteobacteria and Archaea for each sediment slurry experiment. Similar melting temperatures  $(T_m)$  among the samples were observed, which we interpret to mean that the hqcAB genes being amplified have similar GC contents. One exception, the amplicon generated from gDNA isolated from HC amended with lactate, had a lower  $T_m$  (~82°C), while for all other samples the  $T_m$  was ~85°C. Assuming one gene per cell and that the strains amplified with similar efficiencies, Hg-methylating Archaea are 2 to 5 times more abundant at HC, NOAA, and NH than other Hg methylators, in agreement with the 16S rRNA gene count differences. With a variety of analyses, methanogens are proposed to be prevalent Hg methylators at a number of sites (9, 45). Our report describes the use of clade-specific hacA gPCR primers to implicate methanogens as the most abundant methylators in environmental samples (11).

It is unclear why *hgcA* was not detected in some treatments given that net MeHg production occurred in these same treatments. Potentially, the Hg methylator relative

abundance per nanogram of gDNA did not exceed the clade-specific and empirically determined detection limit, given their relative rarity. No product was detected for the Firmicutes hgcA qPCR, regardless of site or amendment, with a detection limit of 200 genome copies per reaction (11), even though the most abundant  $hqcAB^+$  bacterial OTU was C. tunisiense. Examination of the putative Clostridium sp. hgcAB sequences against the Firmicutes hgcA primers, including C. tunisiense, revealed typically >5 mismatches. This high number of mismatches may account for the inability to identify the hgcA from C. tunisiense, the most abundant OTU. The Firmicutes hgcA qPCR primers (11) were specific to sulfate- and sulfite-reducing *Firmicutes* and not the fermentative ones and therefore would not be expected to amplify C. tunisiense. To determine whether we could quantify hqcA in C. tunisiense, we designed species-specific primers for hqcA in this organism. Results for all samples were below detection at the standard 30 cycles, although correctly sized products were observed after 37 cycles (data not shown). As detailed in our earlier work (11), 40 cycles can be used, but this usually results in nonspecific amplification of other organisms both within and outside the selected clade and so is not recommended.

**Conclusions.** The goals of this study were to determine the relative abundance of each Hg-methylating clade at the East Fork Poplar Creek site and to ascertain the effects of various carbon and electron sources on net Hg methylation. Detection and quantification of hgcAB within cells as well as at the microbial community level is challenging for several reasons. In individual species, the genes are not essential, as demonstrated by gene deletion experiments in which the  $\Delta hgcAB$  mutants survive, but they are putatively involved in central carbon metabolism (39). If so, the genes are expected be expressed at a low and constitutive level, as recently demonstrated by transcriptional analysis of cultures with different electron donors and acceptors in Desulfovibrio dechloracetivorans BerOc1 (46). At the community level, the same challenges exist for systems biology efforts such as transcriptomics and proteomics. However, the relative rarity of organisms that possess hgcAB, apparently occurring only in anaerobes, further extends the difficulty of detection and quantification. These factors increase the difficulty of determining how environmental parameters such as geochemistry and nutrient availability influence viability of Hq methylators as well as hqcAB expression and HgcAB protein function. Using different carbon and electron sources, in this study we have been able to show through a variety of analyses that the methanogenic Archaea are likely the primary Hg-methylating organisms in these samples. Perhaps more importantly, while common anaerobic metabolites such as lactate and alcohols may increase Hg methylation to some degree the apparent excess of cellobiose, a lignocellulosic degradation by-product, significantly inhibited Hg methylation. Further work may reveal relatively simple strategies to reduce MeHg generation and act to inform site risk management and future remediation strategies.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Sediment sampling and initial analysis. Sediment samples were collected from the stream bottom at two locations in EFPC and one in Hinds Creek, an uncontaminated stream with similar geochemistry and hydrology (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). EFPC originates in and runs beside the Y-12 facility and has historically received Hg-containing discharges through both surface and subsurface hydrological seepage. The HC site acted as a control for the planned experiments. The two EFPC sites, here referred to as NOAA (designation EFK22.3; 36°0'8.40"N and 84°14'56.10"W) and NH (designation EFK5; 35°57'46.56"N and 84°21'33.00"W), are 4 km and 21 km downstream of the Y-12 point source, respectively (27, 33). Three cores were collected for each site by hand with polycarbonate core barrels (4.5 cm [inner diameter] by 30 cm) and placed on ice for return to the laboratory within 1 h. Once back in the laboratory each core was immediately sectioned in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products, Inc., Grass Lake, MI) (95%  $N_2$ , 5%  $H_2$ ) by depth (0 to 4 cm, 4 to 8 cm, and 8 to 12 cm), and a composite sample was created by combining the sediments at each depth from the three cores. A portion of the composite sediment for each depth interval was used for initial testing of ambient THg and MeHg concentrations (as described below) to determine the depth with the highest MeHg concentration, to make preliminary measurements of MPP as a function of depth, and to determine the needed amounts of <sup>201</sup>Hg to add to sediments for the MPP experiments. The remaining composite sample was stored at 4°C for  ${\sim}3$  days for the carbon amendment experiments while the initial assessments were conducted.

Assessment of MPP in sediment cores with depth. The MeHg production potential (MPP) by microbial communities is also impacted by geochemistry (e.g., pH) (19), hydrology (47), Hg and MeHg concentrations and bioavailability, and net accumulation of MeHg (48). MPP is the amount of MeHg produced per day (micrograms of MeHg per kilogram of THg spiked per day). The MPP in sediment depth sections was estimated with enriched stable isotope spikes (49), <sup>201</sup>HgCl<sub>2</sub> (Oak Ridge National Laboratory [ORNL]; 98.1% purity). Target spike levels were similar to ambient Hg concentrations (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Assays were conducted in triplicate by mixing 5 g of fresh wet sediment with 5 ml of filter-sterilized (0.2  $\mu$ m) and degassed river water from the respective sites in sterile glass Balch-Hungate tubes within the anaerobic chamber. Tubes were capped with a Teflon stopper and aluminum crimp tops to maintain anaerobic conditions. <sup>201</sup>Hg was injected to start the assay. Tubes were incubated in the dark at 25°C for 24 h. At the end of the incubation, assays were stopped by freezing at -20°C. Ambient and enriched isotope concentrations of THg and MeHg were then determined for each sample. The MPP is a rate constant, calculated as the fraction of the <sup>201</sup>Hg spike converted to Me<sup>201</sup>Hg in 24 h. Since ambient concentrations were considerably increased and since newly introduced Hg is more bioavailable for Hg(II) methylation than ambient Hq, the assay provides a potential rate constant for comparison among sites and enrichments. MPPs are given as the average from triplicate samples with standard deviation.

**Carbon substrate amendment.** To examine the influence of carbon amendments on Hg methylation, sediment slurries made from composite 8- to 12-cm-depth sediments from each site were amended separately with a variety of organic substrates, and MPP was evaluated simultaneously as described above. Each set of triplicate tubes was amended separately with 50 mM each substrate, which included cellobiose, lactate, ethanol, methanol, propionate, or acetate, while one set was not amended and acted as the control. Each sample was mixed thoroughly (i.e., slurry) and immediately spiked with inorganic <sup>201</sup>Hg isotope as per the initial experiment. All other conditions were the same as described for the initial incubations. After 24 h, samples were divided and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C prior to Hg isotope determination (as described above), and community genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction was followed by pyrosequencing and quantification of *hgcA* genome copy numbers (as described below). Only the unamended incubations and those amended with cellobiose, lactate, or ethanol were sequenced, as these conditions appeared to have an effect on MeHg production as described below. To evaluate differences in MPP among enrichments, we employed an ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Welch's unequalvariance *t* tests (50) comparing the unamended samples with each of the individual carbon amendments within each of the three sites, with the Holm-Bonferroni method of correcting for multiple comparisons (51).

**THg and MeHg determination.** THg and MeHg concentrations were determined by a modification of EPA methods 1630 and 1631. Details of the methods are described elsewhere (49, 52), and only an overview of this method is provided here. Sediments for both THg and MeHg determination were extracted with a mixture of potassium bromide, sulfuric acid, and copper sulfate (52, 53). After this initial extraction, the sample was split evenly for THg and MeHg analyses. The sample for THg analysis was further digested with *aqua regia*. The aliquot for MeHg analysis was extracted with methylene chloride. Analyses for ambient and isotopic THg and MeHg concentrations were conducted with a purge-and-trap system (Brooks Rand Merx) coupled with an inductively coupled mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) (PerkinElmer Elan DRC) (31, 49).

DNA extraction and pyrosequencing of the SSU rRNA gene. For each sample, 3 g of frozen sediment was used for gDNA extraction by the ORNL method (54, 55). Total gDNA concentrations and purity as assessed by  $A_{260}/A_{280}$  and  $A_{260}/A_{230}$  ratios were determined with Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). For 16S rRNA small-subunit (SSU) gene sequencing, oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) (Coralville, IA). Separate sets of primers targeting the V1 to -3 hypervariable regions of the SSU rRNAs of Bacteria and Archaea were used for PCR amplification of the respective 16S rRNA genes (35). For Bacteria, the primers used were 27YMF (AGAGTTTGATYMTG GCTCAG) and 534R (TYACCGCGGCTGCTGG) to obtain an approximate amplicon length of 431 to 550 bp. For Archaea, the primers used were A2FA (TCYSGTTGATCCYGCSRG) and 571R (GCTACRGVYSCTTTARRC) for an approximate amplicon length of 479 to 1,221 bp. These primer sets were designed with GS FLX titanium paired-end adapters (Roche, Branchburg, NJ) and 8- to 10-nucleotide (nt) barcodes for sample multiplexing. Each PCR was set up in a 50-µl PCR mix with high-fidelity AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following previously established protocols (33). Each PCR amplicon was purified with the Agencourt AMPure solid-phase paramagnetic bead technology (Agencourt Bioscience Corporation, Beverly, MA) following the manufacturer's protocol, and the concentration and size were estimated with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Waldbronn, Germany) with DNA 1000 reagents. The reaction products were pooled according to DNA quantity and quality prior to performing emulsion reactions for sequencing on a 454 Life Sciences Genome Sequencer FLX (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) with the unidirectional amplicon library sequencing protocol with an emPCR kit II and FLX titanium chemistry (Roche). The 16S rRNA gene sequences are available from MG-RAST with the accession numbers mgm4758641.3 to mgm4758688.3.

**165 rRNA amplicon sequence analysis.** The 16S rRNA amplicon sequences were analyzed in MOTHUR (v. 1.26) (56), QIIME (v. 1.5.0) (57), and AmpliconNoise (v.1.24) (58). All samples were passed through the AmpliconNoise pipeline to remove both sequencing and PCR errors and chimeras with its Perseus algorithm and trimmed to 250 bp. Sequences were aligned in MOTHUR against the sequences from the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) (release 10) (59) for *Bacteria* and *Archaea* and trimmed to preserve an approximate average length of 400 nt. Pairwise distances were calculated in MOTHUR and then clustered based on average linkage clustering. The OTUs were defined at a 97% similarity cutoff for all of our analyses. A BIOM format OTU table was implemented in the QIIME pipeline for diversity analysis,

classification, and assigning taxonomy to the OTUs. The taxonomy reference databases from RDP were used for assigning taxonomy to *Bacteria* and *Archaea* OTUs. In order to identify the presence of the relatively rare 16S rRNA gene sequences of *hgcA*-containing microorganisms, all unique sequences, including singletons, were catalogued. BLAST (40) was used on each 16S rRNA gene sequence against cultured isolates confirmed to have *hgcA*. All hits with at least 150 bp of alignment and greater than 95% similarity were kept, with the presumption that 97% would be the same species. The Shannon diversity index ( $\alpha$ -diversity) was calculated by first removing singletons (i.e., OTUs with only one read) and rarefied so that all samples had the same number of sequence reads, 2,194 for *Bacteria* and 2,716 for *Archaea*. Pairwise-abundance-weighted UniFrac distances between samples for the OTU table were used to create nonmetric multidimensional scaling plots with the vegan library (60) within the R software package (61). To accomplish this, MUSCLE (62) was used for the sequence alignments and Fasttree (63) was used for tree construction to enable UniFrac calculations, both using standard settings.

While  $\alpha$ -diversity reveals the microbial community diversity within each site,  $\beta$ -diversity determines the differences in microbial community makeup between sites. For ordinating the  $\beta$ -diversity between the three sites and three representative carbon source treatments (cellobiose, lactate, and ethanol) used in this study, we employed nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). In conjunction with NMDS analysis, we also used ADONIS (Algorithm for Dynamic Optical Networks based on Internet Solutions) to determine the effect that each of the three carbon sources had on microbial community  $\beta$ -diversity. ADONIS is essentially a permutational nonparametric multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA) (64, 65).

Analysis of *hgcAB* by PCR or of *hgcA* by qPCR. The presence of *hgcAB*<sup>+</sup> microbes was assessed using our recently developed universal degenerate PCR primer set (ORNL-HgcAB-uni-F [5'-AAYGTCTGG TGYGCNGCVGG-3'] and ORNL-HgcAB-uni-R [5'-CABGCNCCRCAYTCCATRCA-3']) (11). These primers amplify a region that spans the adjacent *hgcA* and *hgcB* genes, both of which are necessary for Hg methylation in microorganisms (39). This primer set was specifically developed to amplify the widest possible fraction of known Hg-methylating organisms by testing against several dozen species across all three clades of methylators (11). Oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) (Coralville, IA). For determining the presence of Hg methylators in all incubations, the broad-range *hgcAB* amplification protocol was followed (11) (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). Each PCR was set up with 10 ng of gDNA template in a 20- $\mu$ I reaction mix with Platinum *Taq* DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and processed on a Mastercycler Pro (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY). PCR products were visualized in agarose gels.

The abundance of microbes in each of the three dominant clades of methylators (Deltaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, and methanogenic Archaea) was measured relative to a known standard for each clade with our clade-specific degenerate hqcA qPCR primer (11) (Table S3). The primers were as follows: for Deltaproteobacteria, ORNL-Delta-HgcA-F (5'-GCCAACTACAAGMTGASCTWC-3') and ORNL-Delta-HgcA-R (5'-CCSGCNGCRCACCAGACRTT-3'); for Firmicutes, ORNL-SRB-Firm-HgcA-F (5'-TGGDCCGGTDARAGCWAA RGATA-3') and ORNL-SRB-Firm-HqcA-R (5'-AAAAGAGHAYBCCAAAAATCA-3'); and for Archaea, ORNL-Archaea-HgcA-F (5'-AAYTAYWCNCTSAGYTTYGAYGC-3') and ORNL-Archaea-HgcA-R (5'-TCDGTCCCRAAB GTSCCYTT-3'). Like our universal primers, the clade-specific qPCR primers were specifically developed to amplify the widest possible fraction of known Hq-methylating organisms in each clade by testing against many species. The qPCR protocols for all three primer sets have been reported previously (11) (Table S3). Each qPCR was set up with 5 ng of template in a 20- $\mu$ l reaction mix with iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and processed on a C1000 Touch real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Template concentrations were determined by Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Table S2). Data were analyzed with CFX Manager (version 3.1; Bio-Rad) by single-threshold analysis (66, 67). Additional analysis was required for samples processed by the Archaea protocol with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) to separate the expected peak (125 bp) from a nonspecific product ( $\sim$ 50 bp). For each clade-specific primer set, a standard curve (six 10-fold serial dilutions,  $1 \times 10^7$  to  $1 \times 10^1$  gDNA copies per reaction) was performed to calculate primer efficiency and determine genome copy number for each sample. The qPCR standard curves were developed with gDNA from pure hgcAB+ cultures, specifically, Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132 (for Deltaproteobacteria), Desulfitobacterium metallireducens (for Firmicutes), and Methanomethylovorans hollandica (for Archaea).

For *Clostridium tunisiense*, species-specific *hgcA* gene primers were designed and were located at the same gene locus as the *Firmicutes* clade-specific qPCR primers (11). The *C. tunisiense* primers were designated ORNL-F-C661DRAFT-F (5'-TGGGCCAATAAGAAGCGAGGATA-3') and ORNL-F-C661DRAFT-R (5'-GTAGAATTATTACTAAAGCTA-3'). All protocols were identical to those used for the *Firmicutes* clade qPCR primers as described above.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .01049-17.

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, PDF file, 0.7 MB. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 2, XLSX file, 0.6 MB.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was sponsored by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) as part of the Mercury Science Focus Area at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is managed by UT-Battelle LLC for the DOE under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

#### REFERENCES

- Hsu-Kim H, Kucharzyk KH, Zhang T, Deshusses MA. 2013. Mechanisms regulating mercury bioavailability for methylating microorganisms in the aquatic environment: a critical review. Environ Sci Technol 47: 2441–2456. https://doi.org/10.1021/es304370g.
- Gilmour CC, Podar M, Bullock AL, Graham AM, Brown SD, Somenahally AC, Johs A, Hurt JRA, Bailey KL, Elias DA. 2013. Mercury methylation by novel microorganisms from new environments. Environ Sci Technol 47:11810–11820. https://doi.org/10.1021/es403075t.
- Podar M, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Soren A, Brown SD, Crable BR, Palumbo AV, Somenahally AC, Elias DA. 2015. Global prevalence and distribution of genes and microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Sci Adv 1:e1500675. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500675.
- Jeremiason JD, Engstrom DR, Swain EB, Nater EA, Johnson BM, Almendinger JE, Monson BA, Kolka RK. 2006. Sulfate addition increases methylmercury production in an experimental wetland. Environ Sci Technol 40:3800–3806. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0524144.
- Marvin-DiPasquale M, Windham-Myers L, Agee JL, Kakouros E, Kieu LH, Fleck JA, Alpers CN, Stricker CA. 2014. Methylmercury production in sediment from agricultural and non-agricultural wetlands in the Yolo Bypass, California, USA. Sci Tot Environ 484:288–299. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.scitotenv.2013.09.098.
- Mitchell CPJ, Branfireun BA, Kolka RK. 2008. Assessing sulfate and carbon controls on net methylmercury production in peatlands: an *in situ* mesocosm approach. Applied Geochemistry 23:503–518. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.12.020.
- Fleming EJ, Mack EE, Green PG, Nelson DC. 2006. Mercury methylation from unexpected sources: molybdate-inhibited freshwater sediments and an iron-reducing bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:457–464. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.457-464.2006.
- Yu RQ, Flanders JR, Mack EE, Turner R, Mirza MB, Barkay T. 2012. Contribution of coexisting sulfate and iron reducing bacteria to methylmercury production in freshwater river sediments. Environ Sci Tecnnol 46:2684–2691. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2033718.
- 9. Hamelin S, Amyot M, Barkay T, Wang Y, Planas D. 2011. Methanogens: principle methylators of mercury in lake periphyton. Environ Sci Tecnol 45:7693–7700. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2010072.
- 10. Yu R-Q, Reinfelder JR, Hines ME, Barkay T. 2013. Mercury methylation by the methanogen Methanospirillum hungatei. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:6325–6330. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01556-13.
- 11. Christensen GA, Wymore AM, King AJ, Podar M, Hurt JRA, Santillan EU, Soren A, Brandt CC, Brown SD, Palumbo AV, Wall JD, Gilmour CC, Elias DA. 2016. Development and validation of broad-range qualitative and clade-specific quantitative molecular probes for assessing mercury methylation in the environment. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:6068–6078. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01271-16.
- Southworth GR, Greeley MS, Peterson MJ, Lowe K, Ketelle RH. 2010. Sources of mercury to East Fork Poplar Creek downstream from the Y-12 national security complex: inventories and export rates. ORNL/TM-2009/ 231. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
- Mason RP, Reinfelder JR, Morel FMM. 1995. Bioaccumulation of mercury and methylmercury. Water Air Soil Pollut 80:915–921. https://doi.org/10 .1007/BF01189744.
- Benoit JM, Gilmour CC, Heyes A, Mason RP, Miller CL. 2003. Geochemical and biological controls over methylmercury production and degradation in aquatic ecosystems, p 262–297. *In* Braids OC, Cai Y(ed), Biogeochemistry of environmentally important trace elements. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Benoit JM, Gilmour CC, Mason RP, Heyes A. 1999. Sulfide controls on mercury speciation and bioavailability to methylating bacteria in sediment pore waters. Environ Sci Technol 33:951–957. https://doi.org/10 .1021/es9808200.
- Benoit JM, Mason RP, Gilmour CC. 1999. Estimation of mercury-sulfide speciation in sediment pore waters using octanol-water partitioning and implications for availability to methylating bacteria. Environ Toxicol Chem 18:2138–2141.
- 17. Compeau G, Bartha R. 1984. Methylation and demethylation of mercury

under controlled redox, pH, and salinity conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol 48:1203–1207.

- Compeau GC, Bartha R. 1987. Effect of salinity on mercury-methylating activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria in estuarine sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:261–265.
- Gilmour CC, Henry EA. 1991. Mercury methylation in aquatic systems affected by acid deposition. Environ Pollut 71:131–169. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0269-7491(91)90031-Q.
- Gilmour CC, Riedel GS, Ederington MC, Bell JT, Benoit JM, Gill GA, Stordal MC. 1998. Methylmercury concentrations and production rates across a trophic gradient in the northern Everglades. Biogeochemistry 40: 327–345. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005972708616.
- Hammerschmidt CR, Fitzgerald WF. 2004. Geochemical controls on the production and distribution of methylmercury in near-shore marine sediments. Environ Sci Technol 38:1487–1495. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es034528q.
- Mehrotra AS, Sedlak DL. 2005. Decrease in net mercury methylation rates following iron amendment to anoxic wetland sediment slurries. Environ Sci Technol 39:2564–2570. https://doi.org/10.1021/es049096d.
- Warner KA, Roden EE, Bonzongo JC. 2003. Microbial mercury transformation in anoxic freshwater sediments under iron-reducing and other electron-accepting conditions. Environ Sci Technol 37: 2159–2165. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0262939.
- Brown SD, Gilmour CC, Kucken AM, Wall JD, Elias DA, Brandt CC, Podar M, Chertkov O, Held B, Bruce DC, Detter JC, Tapia R, Han CS, Goodwin LA, Cheng JF, Pitluck S, Woyke T, Mikhailova N, Ivanova NN, Han J, Lucas S, Lapidus AL, Land ML, Hauser LJ, Palumbo AV. 2011. Genome sequence of the mercury-methylating strain *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* ND132. J Bacteriol 193:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00170-11.
- 25. Methe BA, Nelson KE, Eisen JA, Paulsen IT, Nelson W, Heidelberg JF, Wu D, Wu M, Ward N, Beanan MJ, Dodson RJ, Madupu R, Brinkac LM, Daugherty SC, DeBoy RT, Durkin AS, Gwinn M, Kolonay JF, Sullivan SA, Haft DH, Selengut J, Davidsen TM, Zafar N, White O, Tran B, Romero C, Forberger HA, Weidman J, Khouri H, Feldblyum TV, Utterback TR, Van Aken SE, Lovley DR, Fraser CM. 2003. Genome of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*: metal reduction in subsurface environments. Science 302:1967–1969. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1088727.
- Meng M, Li B, Shao JJ, Wang T, He B, Shi JB, Ye ZH, Jiang GB. 2014. Accumulation of total mercury and methylmercury in rice plants collected from different mining areas in China. Environ Pollut 184:179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.08.030.
- Brooks SC, Southworth GR. 2011. History of mercury use and environmental contamination at the Oak Ridge Y-12 plant. Environ Poll 159: 219–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.09.009.
- Southworth GR, Peterson MJ, Bogle MA. 2004. Bioaccumulation factors for mercury in stream fish. Environ Pract 6:135–143. https://doi.org/10 .1017/S1466046604000249.
- Southworth GR, Peterson MJ, Bogle MA. 2002. Effect of point source removal on mercury bioaccumulation in an industrial pond. Chemosphere 49:455–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00249-7.
- Southworth GR, Peterson MJ, Ryon MG. 2000. Long-term increased bioaccumulation of mercury in largemouth bass follows reduction of waterborne selenium. Chemosphere 41:1101–1105. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S0045-6535(99)00562-7.
- Mosher JJ, Vishnivetskaya TA, Elias DA, Podar M, Brooks SC, Brown SD, Brandt CC, Palumbo AV. 2012. Characterization of the deltaproteobacteria in contaminated and uncontaminated stream sediments and identification of potential mercury methylators. Aquatic Microb Ecol 66: 271–282. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01563.
- Porat I, Vishnivetskaya TA, Mosher JJ, Brandt CC, Yang ZK, Brooks SC, Liang L, Drake MM, Podar M, Brown SD, Palumbo AV. 2010. Characterization of archaeal community in contaminated and uncontaminated surface stream sediments. Microb Ecol 60:784–795. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s00248-010-9734-2.
- Vishnivetskaya TA, Mosher JJ, Palumbo AV, Yang ZK, Podar M, Brown SD, Brooks SC, Gu B, Southworth GR, Drake MM, Brandt CC, Elias DA. 2011. Mercury and other heavy metals influence bacterial community struc-

ture in contaminated Tennessee streams. Appl Environ Microbiol 77: 302–311. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01715-10.

- Hintelmann H, Welbourn PM, Evans RD. 1997. Measurement of complexation of methylmercury(II) compounds by freshwater humic substances using equilibrium dialysis. Environ Sci Technol 31:489–495. https://doi.org/10.1021/es960318k.
- Shakya M, Quince C, Campbell JH, Yang ZK, Schadt CW, Podar M. 2013. Comparative metagenomic and rRNA microbial diversity characterization using archaeal and bacterial synthetic communities. Environ Microbiol 15:1882–1189. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12086.
- Laube VM, Martin SM. 1981. Conversion of cellulose to methane and carbon-dioxide by triculture of Acetivibrio cellulolyticus, Desulfovibrio sp., and Methanosarcina barkeri. Appl Environ Microbiol 42:413–420.
- Lynd LR, Weimer PJ, vanZyl WH, Pretorius IS. 2002. Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology. Microbiol Mol Biol. Rev 66:506–577. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.66.3.506-577.2002.
- Weimer PJ, Zeikus JG. 1977. Fermentation of cellulose and cellobiose by *Clostridium thermocellum* in the absence and presence of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 33:289–297.
- Parks JM, Johs A, Podar M, Bridou R, Hurt RA, Jr, Smith SD, Tomanicek SJ, Qian Y, Brown SD, Brandt CC, Palumbo AV, Smith JC, Wall JD, Elias DA, Liang L. 2013. The genetic basis for bacterial mercury methylation. Science 339:1332–1335. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230667.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- 41. Wolfe RS. 1979. Methanogens: a surprising microbial group. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 45:353–364. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00443275.
- 42. Zeikus JG. 1977. The biology of methanogenic bacteria. Bacteriol Rev 41:514–541.
- Carere CR, Sparling R, Cicek N, Levin DB. 2008. Third generation biofuels via direct cellulose fermentation. Int J Mol Sci 9:1342–1360. https://doi .org/10.3390/ijms9071342.
- 44. Elias DA, Krumholz LR, Wong D, Long PE, Suflita JM. 2003. Characterization of microbial activities and U reduction in a shallow aquifer contaminated by uranium mill tailings. Microb Ecol 46:83–91. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s00248-002-1060-x.
- 45. Pak K, Bartha R. 1998. Mercury methylation by interspecies hydrogen and acetate transfer between sulfidogens and methanogens. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:1987–1990.
- 46. Goñi-Urriza M, Corsellis Y, Lanceleur L, Tessier E, Gury J, Monperrus M, Guyoneaud R. 2015. Relationships between bacterial energetic metabolism, mercury methylation potential, and *hgcA/hgcB* gene expression in *Desulfovibrio dechloroacetivorans* BerOc1. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22: 13764–13771. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4273-5.
- Singer MB, Harrison LR, Donovan PM, Blum JD, Marvin-DiPasquale M. 2016. Hydrologic indicators of hot spots and hot moments of mercury methylation potential along river corridors. Sci Total Environ 568: 697–711. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.005.
- Kucharzyk KH, Deshusses MA, Porter KA, Hsu-Kim H. 2015. Relative contributions of mercury bioavailability and microbial growth rate on net methylmercury production by anaerobic mixed cultures. Environ Sci Process Impacts 17:1568–1577. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EM00174A.
- Moberly JG, Brown SD, Kucken AM, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Palumbo AV, Wall JD, Elias DA. 2012. Role of morphological growth state and gene expression in *Desulfovibrio africanus* strain Walvis Bay mercury methylation. Environ Sci Tecnol 46:4926–4932. https://doi.org/10.1021/es3000933.
- 50. Welch BL. 1947. The generalization of "Student's" problem when several different population variances are involved. Biometrika 34:28–35.

- Holm S. 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Stat 6:65–70.
- Mathews TJ, Looney BB, Bryan AL, Smith JG, Miller CL, Southworth GR, Peterson MJ. 2015. The effects of a stannous chloride-based water treatment system in a mercury contaminated stream. Chemosphere 138:190–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.05.083.
- Bloom NS, Colman JA, Barber L. 1997. Artifact formation of methyl mercury during aqueous distillation and alternative techniques for the extraction of methyl mercury from environmental samples. Fresenius J Anal Chem 358:371–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002160050432.
- Hurt RA, Brown SD, Podar M, Palumbo AV, Elias DA. 2012. Sequencing intractable DNA to close microbial genomes. PLoS One 7:e41295. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041295.
- Hurt RA, Robeson MS, Shakya M, Moberly JG, Vishnivetskaya TA, Gu B, Elias DA. 2014. Improved yield of high molecular weight DNA coincides with increased microbial diversity access from iron oxide cemented sub-surface clay environments. PLoS One 9:e102826. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0102826.
- 56. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: opensource, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–7541. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 7:335–336. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nmeth.f.303.
- Quince C, Lanzen A, Davenport RJ, Turnbaugh PJ. 2011. Removing noise from pyrosequenced amplicons. BMC Bioinform 12:38. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2105-12-38.
- Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, Brown CT, Porras-Alfaro A, Kuske CR, Tiedje JM. 2014. Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D633–D642. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Wagner H. 2016. vegan: community ecology package. R package, 2.3-5.
- 61. R Core Team. 2016. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2009. FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol Biol Evol 26:1641–1650. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077.
- 64. Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol 26:32–46.
- McArdle BH, Anderson MJ. 2001. Fitting multivariate models to community data: A comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. Ecology 82:290–297. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082 (0290:FMMTCD)2.0.CO;2.
- Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. 2007. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol 8:R19. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19.
- Pfaffl MW. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 29:e45. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ 29.9.e45.