## Consensus



## Expert Consensus on Pathological Diagnosis of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma (2022 version)



Han Wang<sup>1#</sup>, Jun Chen<sup>2#</sup>, Xin Zhang<sup>3#</sup>, Xia Sheng<sup>4#</sup>, Xiao-Yan Chang<sup>5</sup>, Jie Chen<sup>5</sup>, Min-Shan Chen<sup>6</sup>, Hui Dong<sup>1</sup>, Guang-Jie Duan<sup>7</sup>, He-Ping Hu<sup>8</sup>, Zhi-Yong Huang<sup>9</sup>, Wei-Dong Jia<sup>10</sup>, Xiao-Qing Jiang<sup>11</sup>, Dong Kuang<sup>12</sup>, Shan-Shan Li<sup>13</sup>, Zeng-Shan Li<sup>14</sup>, Chang-Li Lu<sup>15</sup>, Shu-Kui Qin<sup>16</sup>, Xue-Shan Qiu<sup>17</sup>, Li-Juan Qu<sup>18</sup>, Chun-Kui Shao<sup>19</sup>, Feng Shen<sup>20</sup>, Guo-Ming Shi<sup>21</sup>, Su-Sheng Shi<sup>22</sup>, Yu-Jun Shi<sup>23</sup>, Hui-Chuan Sun<sup>21</sup>, Xiao-Dong Teng<sup>24</sup>, Bin Wang<sup>25</sup>, Zhan-Bo Wang<sup>26</sup>, Tian-Fu Wen<sup>27</sup>, Jia-Mei Yang<sup>28</sup>, Qiao-Qiao Yang<sup>29</sup>, Sheng-Long Ye<sup>30</sup>, Hong-Fang Yin<sup>31</sup>, Zhen-Gang Yuan<sup>32</sup>, Jing-Ping Yun<sup>33</sup>, Feng-Lin Zang<sup>34</sup>, Hong-Qi Zhang<sup>35</sup>, Li-Hong Zhang<sup>35</sup>, Jing-Min Zhao<sup>36</sup>, Jian Zhou<sup>21</sup>, Wei-Xun Zhou<sup>5</sup>, Jia Fan<sup>21</sup>, Xiao-Ping Chen<sup>9</sup>, Wan Yee Lau<sup>37</sup>, Yuan Ji<sup>3\*</sup>, Wen-Ming Cong<sup>1\*</sup>, and Chinese Society of Liver Cancer of Chinese Anti-Cancer Association; Digestive Disease Group of Chinese Society of Pathology, Chinese Medical Association; Chinese Society of Pathology of Chinese Anti-Cancer Association; Biliary Tract Tumor Committee of China Anti-Cancer Association; Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, the Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital, Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology, Minhang Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; <sup>6</sup>Department of Liver Surgery, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; <sup>7</sup>Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Army Medical University, Chongqing, China; <sup>8</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Medicine, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>9</sup>Hepatic Surgery Center, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China; <sup>10</sup>Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Science and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China; <sup>11</sup>Department of Biliary Surgery I, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>12</sup>Department of Pathology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China; <sup>13</sup>Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China; <sup>14</sup>Department of Pathology, Xijing Hospital, Air Force Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China; <sup>15</sup>Department of Pathology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; <sup>16</sup>Cancer Center of Jinling Hospital, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China; <sup>17</sup>Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital, China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China; <sup>18</sup>Department of Pathology, The 900 Hospital of the Chinese People's Liberation Army Joint Logistics Team, Fuzhou, Fujian, China; <sup>19</sup>Department of Pathology, The Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; <sup>20</sup>Department of Hepatic Surgery IV, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>21</sup>Department of Liver Surgery and Transplantation, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>22</sup>Department of Pathology, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>23</sup>Institute of Clinical Pathology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; <sup>24</sup>Department of Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; <sup>25</sup>Department of Pathology, Mengchao Hepatobiliary Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, China; <sup>26</sup>Department of Pathology, The First Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China; <sup>27</sup>Department of Liver Surgery & Liver Transplantation Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China; <sup>28</sup>Department of Special Medical Care, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>29</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>30</sup>Liver Cancer Institute, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>31</sup>Department of Pathology, Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, Beijing, China; <sup>32</sup>Department of Oncology, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China; <sup>33</sup>Department of Pathology, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; <sup>34</sup>Department of Pathology, Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China; <sup>35</sup>Department of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>36</sup>Department of Pathology and Hepatology, The Fifth Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China; <sup>37</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Received: 20 March 2023 | Revised: 9 May 2023 | Accepted: 26 May 2023 | Published online: 24 July 2023

Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). This article has been published under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits noncommercial unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the following statement is provided. "This article has been published in *Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology* at https://doi.org/10.14218/JCTH.2023.00118 and can also be viewed on the Journal's website at http://www.jcthnet.com".

#### Abstract

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA) can originate from the large bile duct group (segment bile ducts and area bile ducts), small bile duct group (septal bile ducts and interlobular bile ducts), and terminal bile duct group (bile ductules and canals of Hering) of the intrahepatic biliary tree, which can be histopathological corresponding to large duct type iCCA, small duct type iCCA and iCCA with ductal plate malformation pattern, and cholangiolocarcinoma, respectively. The challenge in pathological diagnosis of above subtypes of iCCA falls in the distinction of cellular morphologies, tissue structures, growth patterns, invasive behaviors, immunophenotypes, molecular mutations, and surgical prognoses. For these reasons, this expert consensus provides nine recommendations as a reference for standardizing and refining the diagnosis of pathological subtypes of iCCA, mainly based on the 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System.

**Citation of this article:** Wang H, Chen J, Zhang X, Sheng X, Chang XY, Chen J, *et al*. Expert Consensus on Pathological Diagnosis of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma (2022 version). J Clin Transl Hepatol 2023;11(7):1553–1564. doi: 10.14218/JCTH.2023.00118.

## Introduction

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA) is a highly aggressive tumor that arises from the lining epithelium and peribiliary glands from the second order intrahepatic bile ducts to the smallest intrahepatic bile branches, and it expresses cholangiocyte-specific markers.1-5 iCCA accounts for 10-20% of primary liver cancers<sup>6</sup> and 20-30% of cholangiocarcinomas.<sup>7,8</sup> Based on a study in China, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and iCCA account for 90.2% and 8.2% of 26,684 cases of surgically resected primary hepatic malig-nant tumors, respectively.<sup>9</sup> The age-standardized incidence rates of iCCA in China did not rise significantly from 2006 to 2015 (2.0/100,000-2.2/100,000), but there was a significant increase in patients age over 65 years (3.4/100,000-4.5/100,000) during the study period.<sup>10</sup> iCCA is a highly malignant tumor with a 5-year overall survival rate of less than 50% even after surgical resection with curative intent.5,11

The clinicopathological features of iCCA and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma are significantly different.<sup>12</sup> In the past, there was no refined diagnostic mode on iCCA owing mainly to its inconsistent histological types and unclear diagnostic criteria for its subtypes. However, notable progresses have

Wang H. et al: Consensus on pathological diagnosis of iCCA

been made in the pathological research of iCCA in the past decade, some of which have been adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System (fifth edition). However, the criteria for histological classification of iCCA, the differential diagnosis of histological variation, the selection of immunohistochemical (IHC) markers, and the detection of molecular targets need to be refined in order to be better applied in practice. To our knowledge, no guidelines for contouring the iCCA pathological subtype diagnosis have been previously reported. As a consensus on the diagnosis of histological subtypes can help to standardize the routine pathological diagnosis of iCCA, thus providing an elaborate pathological basis for individualized treatment in clinical practice, the present consensus on pathological diagnosis of iCCA was jointly formulated by the expert team in China.

#### Methodology

Forty-seven experts who represented six professional academic groups were selected to form an expert consensus group based on their expertise and contribution to research and scholarship in the field of liver pathology, surgery, oncology, and basic scientific disciplines in China. All participants disclosed potential conflicts of interest and they did not receive any financial compensation for participation. The expert consensus group decided to engage in smallconsensus draft team brainstorming discussions aligned with the expertise and interests of the participants, which was then discussed as a large group and vetted by the rest of the participants. The literature search was conducted on multiple electronic data libraries that included the PubMed, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Web of Science, Medline, and EMBASE databases up to May 2022. No limits were incorporated into the literature search to obtain all available evidence. A review of the incorporated articles was then undertaken to identify and synthesize evidence to support the creation of recommendations based on the available evidence.

Draft versions of the present consensus were repeatedly presented, discussed, and subsequently revised at several online-to-offline expert consensus meetings to come up with a consensus on the applicability and challenges of each recommendation in clinical practice. Then, the members of expert consensus group received literature specific to the revised consensus statements and indicated their agreement opinions. Consensus was defined as universal agreement of the participants and categorized based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) system. The quality of evidence scale ranged from high, moderate, to low and very low, and the recommendation levels for clinical practice were strong and conditional (Supplementary Table 1).<sup>13,14</sup>

## Structure and heterogeneity of the intrahepatic biliary system

iCCA originates from the lining epithelium of the intrahepatic bile duct tree, the peribiliary glands and hepatic stem/progenitor cells.<sup>15</sup> In addition, mature hepatocytes can also give rise to iCCA caused by reprogramming mechanisms.<sup>1,8</sup> Based on the caliber of bile ducts, the intrahepatic biliary tree can be divided into a terminal bile duct group with canals of Hering (CoH) and bile ductules, < 15 µm, a small bile duct group with interlobular bile ducts and septal bile ducts, 15–300 µm, and a large bile duct group with areas of bile ducts and segmental bile ducts of 300–800 µm (Fig. 1). The histogenesis

**Keywords:** Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; Pathology; Histological type; Immunohistochemistry; Molecular variation.

Abbreviations: AB-PAS, Alcian blue and Periodic acid Schiff; CD, cluster of differentiation; CK, cytokeratin; CLC, cholangiolocarcinoma; COH, canals of Hering; CRP, C-reactive protein; dMMR, mismatch repair deficiency; DPM, ductal plate malformation; GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluations; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcioma; IHC, immunohistochemistry; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high; MUC, mucin; S100P, S100 calcium-binding protein P; TFF1, trefoil factor 1; TMB, tumor mutational burden; WHO, World Health Organization. <sup>#</sup>Contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Yuan Ji, Department of Pathology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, 180 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2631-9082. Tel: +86-21-64041990, Fax: +86-21-64041990-695401, E-mail: ji.yuan@zs-hospital.sh.cn; Wen-Ming Cong, Department of Pathology, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, Naval Medical University, 225 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200438, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8924-5551. Tel/Fax: +86-21-81875193, E-mail: wmcong@ smmu.edu.cn





and the anatomic sites of each group is the basement of histopathologic classification of iCCA [corresponding to cholangiolocarcinoma (CLC), small duct type iCCA and iCCA with ductal plate malformation (DPM) pattern, as well as large duct type iCCA, respectively].<sup>16–18</sup> iCCA with different histological subtypes is highly heterogeneous in its cell origin, tissue structure, immunophenotype, and molecular mutations. For example, iCCA can express not only biliary lineage markers such as cytokeratin 19 (CK19) which indicates a cholangiocyte origin, but also stem cell lineage markers such as cluster of differentiation 56 (CD56) which indicates a hepatic stem/progenitor cell origin.<sup>19</sup>

Cholangiocytes are also diverse in their morphology and immunophenotyping. For example, IHC staining is positive for epithelial membrane antigen on the cell membrane at the edge of glandular lumen of the small interlobular bile ducts similar to the staining pattern in CoH, while medium-sized interlobular bile ducts have a cytoplasmic staining pattern similar to that of septal bile ducts and large bile ducts.<sup>19–21</sup> In addition, the septal bile ducts in the small bile duct group are invisible in the gross specimens of liver. However, from these bile ducts, columnar cells, mucus-secreting cells, and peribiliary glands which are gradually appear.<sup>2,22</sup> Thus, the heterogeneity of cholangiocytes should be considered in the diagnosis of histological subtypes of iCCA.

**Recommendation 1:** The histological and anatomical characteristics of terminal bile ducts (CoH and bile ductules), small bile ducts (interlobular bile ducts and septal bile ducts) and large bile ducts (area bile ducts and segmental bile ducts) in the intrahepatic biliary system serve as the histological



Fig. 2. Gross pattern of iCCA. (A) Mass forming pattern; (B) Periductal infiltrating pattern; (C) Mixed pattern. iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

and anatomical foundation for classification of the iCCA histological subtypes (i.e., CLC, small duct type iCCA and iCCA with DPM pattern, and large duct type iCCA), to form the basis for better understanding and refining the diagnosis of the histological subtypes of iCCA (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

## **Gross Patterns of iCCA**

#### Mass forming pattern

This pattern is common in the peripheral area of the liver.<sup>23,24</sup> Grossly, the tumor is a gray-white, nodular solid mass formed in the liver parenchyma with a clear boundary (Fig. 2A). The surrounding liver tissues are normal or accompanied by chronic viral hepatitis or liver cirrhosis. Histopathologically, it is the most common pattern of small duct type iCCA.

#### Periductal infiltrating pattern

This pattern often involves large bile ducts near the hilar area.<sup>23,24</sup> The tumor grows longitudinally along the bile duct wall in an infiltrating manner (Fig. 2B) and may be accompanied by diseases such as intrahepatic biliary ductal stones, sclerosing cholangitis, and biliary parasites. It remains as a common pattern of large duct type iCCA.

## *Mixed pattern (mass forming pattern + periductal infiltrating pattern)*

The tumor penetrates the bile duct wall and invades into the surrounding liver parenchyma while growing along the bile duct wall, thereby forming a nodular mass which is embedded within a residual bile duct cavity (Fig. 2C).<sup>23,24</sup> This is a common gross pattern of large duct type iCCA. Periductal infiltrating and mixed pattern iCCAs have a 45% higher risk of long-term mortality than the mass forming pattern iCCA.<sup>25</sup> The mixed pattern iCCA is more prone to portal vein invasion, biliary invasion, or lymph node metastasis.<sup>26</sup> Nevertheless, some scholars argue that the gross pattern of iCCA is not significantly correlated with the postoperative overall survival rate or metastasis-free survival rate.27 iCCA with the intraductal growing pattern has similar morphological characteristics to those of intraductal papillary tumor.<sup>28</sup> Therefore, the previously reported intraductal growing pattern is no longer regarded as a growth pattern of iCCA by the Union for International Cancer Control, and the WHO categorizes it as a unique entity of intraductal papillary tumor with associated invasive carcinoma.24,29,30

#### Sampling of iCCA Gross Specimens

1. The surgeon should indicate the hepatic lobes or seg-

ments where the tumor was located on the pathological examination application form, because it is necessary for the pathological diagnosis of whether the tumor arose from intrahepatic or extrahepatic biliary ducts. The surgical margins (both liver and bile duct) can be stained with dye or marked with a surgical suture for accurate sampling. iCCA has a lymph node metastatic incidence rate of 30-60%.<sup>31,32</sup> Metastasis to lymph nodes tend to occur in the regions of the hepatoduodenal ligament, along the common hepatic artery, and posterior to the pancreas.33 Any freely sampled lymph nodes including their locations and numbers sent for testing should be marked clearly and separately. The clinicians are recommended to perform a regional lymphadenectomy containing six or more lymph nodes for histological examination. If the regional lymph nodes are negative, but the number ordinarily examined is not met, classify as N0 staging.<sup>34</sup>

- 2. The seven-point baseline sampling method is recommended for mass forming and mixed pattern iCCA specimens.<sup>13,35</sup> The maximum diameter and number of tumors as well as the presence of macroscopic tumor invasion of vessels and adjacent organs are crucial in gross pathological examination, as they directly affect the pathological tumor-node-metastasis stage of iCCA.<sup>34</sup> Microvascular invasion is an important pathological predictor of postoperative prognosis of iCCA patients<sup>36,37</sup> and it should be pathologically graded as in HCC.<sup>13,35,38</sup> It is more encouraging to employ some IHC biomarkers (i.e. CD34 and D2-40) to distinguish the blood vessels and lymphatics as different recurrence patterns.<sup>39,40</sup>
- 3. The periductal infiltrating pattern iCCA specimens should basically be collected in the following manner: After the invaded bile duct wall has been dissected longitudinally, the length of the invaded bile duct, the thickness of the duct wall, and the shortest distances of the tumor from the surgical margins are measured. Samples are taken from the junction between the involved bile duct wall, the surrounding liver parenchyma and the surgical margins of the liver and bile duct to study the depth and extent of bile duct invasion.

**Recommendation 2:** The gross pattern of iCCA is related to the histological subtype. For mass forming and mixed pattern iCCAs, the seven-point baseline sampling method should be used. For periductal infiltrating pattern iCCA, specimens are taken from the junction between the invaded bile duct wall, the liver parenchyma, and the surgical margins to study the extent of iCCA invasion and presence of any precancerous lesions (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).



Fig. 3. Large duct type iCCA. (A) Columnar tumor cells arranged in irregular glandular structures, invading multiple portal areas adjacent to the carcinoma; (B) High columnar tumor cells secret mucus; (C) S100 calcium-binding protein P-positive in IHC staining. iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemical.

#### Histopathological characteristics of iCCA

## Pathological concepts of iCCA

Almost all iCCAs are adenocarcinomas (> 95%).<sup>24,41</sup> Generally, the diagnosis of iCCA is pathologically represented as an adenocarcinoma which originates from lining epithelium and/or peribiliary gland cells of intrahepatic bile ducts. Other rare malignant tumors of intrahepatic large bile duct include adenosquamous carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, mucinous carcinoma, signet ring cell carcinoma, lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (with highly expressed programmed death ligand 1 and better prognosis in patients with Epstein–Barr virus infection<sup>42</sup>), and sarcomatoid carcinoma (including multiple molecular targets<sup>43</sup>), etc. All these tumors need to be separately diagnosed and classified because of specific differences in specific pathogenesis, morphology, biological behavior, and clinical prognosis.<sup>44</sup> The WHO classification does not recommend using the term cholangiocellular carcinoma.<sup>24</sup>

## **Histological types of iCCA**

## Large duct type iCCA

**Cell origin:** This type of iCCA arises from the lining epithelium or peribiliary gland of intrahepatic large bile ducts. It accounts for 41–59% of all iCCA cases.<sup>18,45</sup> In large duct type iCCA, the serum cancer antigen 19–9 and carcinoembryonic antigen levels can be increased to varying degrees.<sup>46</sup> There may be precancerous lesions such as intraepithelial neoplasia of bile duct or intrahepatic papillary tumor adjacent to the cancer boundary.

**Histological characteristics:** Large duct type iCCA has cuboidal or columnar cells, which are mainly moderatelypoorly differentiated, arranged in irregular ductal or tubular patterns (Fig. 3A), with mucus-secreting cells or intraluminal mucus (Fig. 3B). These features are similar to those seen in hilar cholangiocarcinoma. A prominent desmoplastic response is commonly observed in large duct type iCCA, which correlates with the high invasiveness of the tumor and its resistance to chemotherapy and targeted drugs.<sup>47,48</sup> There are often portal area, blood vessel and lymphatic and perineural invasion and lymph node metastasis.

**IHC markers and special staining:** S100 calcium-binding protein P (S100P; Fig. 3C), mucin 5AC (MUC5AC), MUC6, and trefoil factor 1 (TFF1) are usually positive, among them, S100P is a highly sensitive (95%) and moderately specific (71%) marker for large duct type iCCA.<sup>49</sup> However, the expression results can be affected by antibodies from different clones. For instance, it has been reported that in large duct type iCCA, the positive rates of S100P varied from 29–95%<sup>49</sup> or from 13-56%.<sup>50</sup> Positive Alcian blue and Periodic acid Schiff (AB-PAS) staining is indicative of presence of mucus-secreting cells.

**Representative molecular alterations:** The rates of the *KRAS* mutations vary from 15% to 30%, which are frequently correlated with poor postoperative prognosis. The mutation of other target genes, such as *BRAF*, *EGFR*, and *HER2* might be harbored.<sup>4,46</sup>

**Surgical prognosis:** Comparing the large duct type and the small duct type iCCAs, the postoperative 5-year recurrence-free survival rates were 10% and 38%, respectively, and the 5-year overall survival rates were 20% and 60%, respectively.<sup>46</sup> Positive S100P staining indicates strong invasiveness and poor prognosis.<sup>51,52</sup>

**Recommendation 3:** Large duct type iCCA are mainly composed of cuboidal or columnar cells with mucinous cytoplasm, and they are arranged in irregular ductal or tubular pattern with abundant fibrous stroma. The tumor usually shows a more aggressive growth pattern which is commonly associated with portal area, blood vessel, lymphatic and perineural invasion and lymph node metastases. S100P, MU-C5AC, MUC6, TFF1, and mucus staining should be positive on IHC. *KRAS* Mutation is frequent. Large duct type iCCA has worse long-term prognosis than the other subtypes of iCCA (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

#### Small duct type iCCA

**Cell origin:** It originates from interlobular bile ducts or septal bile ducts,<sup>8</sup> accounting for 41–58% of iCCA cases.<sup>18,45</sup> Biliary hamartoma and biliary adenoma are regarded as possible precancerous lesions.<sup>22,53–56</sup>

Histological characteristics: The tumor cells are cuboidal or low columnar with scant cytoplasm, mostly moderately-highly differentiated and lack of mucus-secreting. However, mucus-secreting cells may be present in small duct type iCCA arising from septal bile duct.<sup>2</sup> Most tumor tissues are arranged into dense and regular small ductal structures (Fig. 4A, B). Obvious fibrous stroma is frequently observed in the central area of the tumor of which the constitutive cells may be highly relevant to the immunotherapeutic response.48 Usually, there is no capsule around the tumor, and there are few invasions into adjacent portal area, blood vessel, lymphatic vessel, or nerve. Small duct type iCCA can also show a lot of morphological variations, such as the branching duct shape, branching trabecular shape, microcystic shape, cribriform shape, papillary shape, and solid shape, which may increase the difficulty of subtype diagnosis.<sup>46,49</sup>

**IHC markers and special staining:** C-reactive protein (CRP), N-cadherin (Fig. 4C) and CD56 are usually positive. It is reported that the sensitivity and specificity are 97%



Fig. 4. Small duct type iCCA. (A) Cuboidal tumor cells arranged in dense small glandular structures, uncovered by a capsule; (B) Tumor arranged in a solid or trabecular like structure; (C) N-cadherin-positive in IHC staining. iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemical.

and 95% in CRP, and 87% and 84% in N-cadherin, respectively.<sup>46,49</sup> Mucus staining is usually negative. However, the positive rates of S100P, TFF1, and mucus staining in small duct type iCCA can reach up to 51.4%, 15%, and 54.1%, respectively.<sup>19,57</sup> As above indicators are partly overlapping, the differential diagnosis between small duct type iCCA and large duct type iCCA should be carefully combined with the features of morphology and immunohistochemistry (Table 1).

**Representative molecular alterations:** *IDH1/2* mutation and *FGFR2* fusion/rearrangement are the most representative of the druggable molecular alterations in small duct type iCCA.<sup>4,24,45,46</sup>

**Surgical prognosis:** Generally, the surgical prognosis of it is much better than that of the large duct type iCCA.<sup>46,58</sup> The prognosis of small duct type iCCA with mutant *IDH1/2* is better than that with wild-type IDH1/2.<sup>59</sup>

**Recommendation 4:** Small duct type iCCA, which is predominantly composed of cuboidal or low columnar cells, is often constituted with dense small ductal structures, and a deficiency of mucus-secreting cells, and it frequently presents with multiple histological variations. It rarely invades portal area, blood vessel, lymphatic vessel, nerve, with few lymph node metastases. IHC usually shows positive CRP, N-cadherin and CD56 staining, but mucus staining usually shows a negative result. *IDH1/2* mutation and *FGFR2* fusion/ rearrangement are its representative targetable mutations. The surgical prognosis of small duct type iCCA is better than that of the large duct type iCCA (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

## CLC

Cell origin: CLC had been considered as a specific subtype of

Table 1. Histological subtypes and pathological characteristics of iCCA

combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma with stem cell features, while the following molecular analysis showed that CLC was an independent entity without the traits of HCC.<sup>60</sup> The available evidence suggests that CLC originates from the cells of the terminal branches of intrahepatic bile duct tree, as well as hepatic stem cells or progenitor cells,<sup>8,19,30</sup> making up 0.6–1% of primary liver cancers<sup>61</sup> and 13–40% of iCCAs. The discrepancy may come partly from different diagnostic criteria,<sup>62,63</sup> and it is often complicated with liver diseases such as chronic viral hepatitis B.<sup>64</sup> The WHO classification does not recommend using the term cholangiolocellular carcinoma.<sup>24</sup>

Histological characteristics: CLC is a unique morphological subtype simulating CoH, bile ductules,<sup>8</sup> or ductular reaction, and a lumen diameter  $< 15 \,\mu\text{m}$  can be used as one of the diagnostic criteria.<sup>65</sup> CLC cells have a small cuboidal shape, a high nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio, oval nuclei, pale cytoplasm, insufficient mucus, without or with minimal ductal atypia and high differentiation, characterized by a loose, angular small ductular, cord-like or branched arrangement in a hyalinized collagen fiber stroma (Fig. 5A). CLC seldom invades adjacent portal area, blood vessel, or lymphatic vessel. CLC and small duct type iCCA can be complicated with HCC-like iCCA components.<sup>2,63,64,66</sup> These components are formed by polygonal tumor cells which are rich in eosinophilic cytoplasm and arranged in a trabecular or nest-like pattern, thus resembling HCC (Fig. 5B). However, these cells express cholangiocyte-lineage markers such as CK7/CK19 (Fig. 5C) and stem cell markers such as epithelial cell adhesion molecule, but they do not express hepatocyte-lineage markers which is essentially different from combined hepatocellularcholangiocarcinoma that is defined by unequivocal presence

Histological subtype	Large duct type iCCA	Small duct type iCCA
Gross pattern	Peritubular infiltrating pattern, mixed pattern	Mass forming pattern
Cell morphology	Columnar-cuboidal	Cuboidal-low columnar
Tissue structure	Irregular large ductal or tubular adenocarcinoma	Dense small ductal adenocarcinoma
Invasiveness	++ - +++	+
Mucus staining	++ - +++	+
Immunohistochemistry	S100P, MUC5AC, MUC6, TFF1	CRP, N-cadherin, CD56
Genetic variation	KRAS gene mutation	IDH1/2 gene mutation, FGFR2 gene fusion/rearrangement

iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; S100P, S100 calcium-binding protein P; MUC, mucin; TFF, trefoil factor 1; CRP, C-reactive protein; CD56, cluster of differentiation 56.



Fig. 5. CLC and HCC-like ICC. (A) CLC, Small cuboidal tumor cells in sparse branching glandular structures, with hyaline degeneration of fibrous stroma; (B) HCC-like iCCA, with polygonal tumor cells in trabecular patterns; (C) HCC-like iCCA, cytokeratin 19-positive IHC staining. CLC, cholangiolocarcinoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

of both hepatocytic and cholangiocytic differentiation within the same tumor.<sup>67</sup> The HCC-like iCCA components are thought to be originating from hepatic precursor cells<sup>45,63,68,69</sup> or are the manifestation of poorly differentiated CLC.<sup>63</sup> HCC-like iCCA may be similar to HCC on imaging features or clinical features and needs to be distinguished from HCC.<sup>70</sup>

**IHC markers and molecular alterations:** They are similar to those of small duct type iCCA. Moreover, positive staining of CD56 is more frequent in CLC compared with classical iCCA.<sup>66,70</sup>

**Surgical prognosis:** It is better than that of small and large duct type iCCAs.  $^{19,24,61,64}$ 

**Recommendation 5:** CLC is composed of small cuboidal tumor cells with low atypia forming a sparsely branched small ductular configuration embedded in a hyalinized fibrous stroma. The IHC markers and molecular variations are similar to those of small duct type iCCA. CLC has lower invasiveness and better surgical prognosis than that of small and large duct type iCCAs (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

## iCCA with DPM pattern

**Cell origin:** This type of tumor mimics DPM, which is found in Caroli's disease, congenital hepatic fibrosis or von Meyenburg complexes from each parts of intrahepatic biliary system, and is considered as a rare subtype of small duct type iCCA.<sup>24,71,72</sup> Whether its occurrence is associated with DPM remains controversial.<sup>73,74</sup> iCCA with DPM pattern is often complicated by liver diseases such as viral hepatitis B.<sup>75</sup>

**Histological characteristics:** It has saccate, adenoid, or fissure-like tumor ducts lined by a single layer of flat, small

cuboidal or low columnar epithelium, with clear and sparse cytoplasm, small and pale nuclei, and a few mucus-secreting cells. The lumens are dilated as irregular cystic lumens of varying sizes and the lining epithelium has polypoid protrusions into the lumen (Fig. 6A, B). The lumens in the central region of the tumor are large, with dense fibrotic stroma, while those in the peripheral region are small, without a capsule, and grows invasively with rare blood vessel and lymphatic invasion as well as lymph node metastasis.<sup>63</sup>

**IHC markers and molecular alterations:** They are similar to those of small duct type iCCA (Fig. 6C). In addition, *ARID1A* mutation and absent expression may occur.<sup>70,76–78</sup>

Surgical prognosis: Postoperative recurrence and metastasis are rare.<sup>75</sup>

**Recommendation 6:** iCCA with DPM pattern is formed by small cuboidal or low columnar cells characterized by irregular cystic dilatation of lumen with polypoid protrusions in the lumen. IHC markers and molecular mutations of iCCA with DPM pattern are similar to those of small duct type iCCA. iCCA with DPM pattern is less aggressive and has a better prognosis after surgery (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

### Indeterminate/Mixed Pattern iCCA

Multiple histological subtypes of iCCA sometimes co-exist, and they account for 56.5% of iCCA,  $^{19,24,49,64,71,77,79}$  representing the high intratumoral heterogeneity of iCCA (Fig. 7). Among them, the tumor dominated by CLC components has the better prognosis.<sup>65</sup> To objectively assess the biological characteristics of this kind of iCCA, it is recommended to di-



Fig. 6. iCCA with DPM pattern. (A) Irregular cystic dilated tumor glandular ducts with abundant fibrous stroma; (B) Polypoid protuberance in the tumor crypt; (C) IHC staining for mucin 1 shows positive results on the marginal surface of the lumen. DPM, ductal plate malformation; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry.



**Fig. 7. iCCA with multiple histological subtypes.** (A) Large duct type iCCA (upper left) and small duct type iCCA (bottom right) components in the same tumor; (B) Small duct type iCCA (left) and DPM pattern iCCA (right) components in the same tumor; (C) IHC staining for CRP shows strong positive results on small duct type iCCA (left) components and weak positive results on DPM pattern iCCA (right) components. CRP, C-reactive protein; DPM, ductal plate malformation; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

agnose iCCA according to the dominant and other components of the tumor and to illustrate the proportions of all histological components in the pathological report. It is reported that according to conventional histologic assessment, 32.4% of iCCA initially fall into the mixed category including those with mixtures of typical large duct and small duct types and those with nontypical histology, such as columnar cell tumors without mucin, cuboidal cell tumors with abundant mucin production, or poorly differentiated tumors. However, by just choosing the appropriate IHC profiles can classify most iCCA cases (96%) into the definite histological subtypes.<sup>46</sup> Hence, it is recommended to pair large duct type iCCA markers with small duct type iCCA markers to help with the subtype diagnosis. Combining with published experimental data, S100P, MUC5AC, CRP, and N-cadherin are recommended as the first option IHC panel for iCCA subclassification, and MUC6, TFF1, CD56, MUC1, and AB-PAS mucus staining as beneficial supplements to the diagnosis if necessary. 21,24,50,57,69,78,79 This combination should continuously be optimized in pathological practice.

**Recommendation 7:** iCCA is a highly heterogeneous tumor, with histological subtypes which cannot always subtly match the IHC phenotypes and molecular alterations. Great

Table 2. Targeted therapy and immunotherapy biomarkers of iCCA

efforts should be made to establish the model of diagnosis of pathological subtypes for iCCA. The comprehensive clinical characteristics, gross pattern, cell morphology, histological structure, immunophenotype, mucus secretion, and molecular mutations should be considered in its diagnosis and differential diagnosis. A four-antibody panel of IHC markers (S100P, MUC5AC, CRP, and N-cadherin) is recommended for distinguishing the histological subtypes of iCCA (low-quality evidence and conditional recommendation).

# Targeted therapy and immunotherapy biomarkers of iCCA

Targeted therapy and immunotherapy biomarkers of iCCA should be detected based on the National Comprehensive Cancer Network as well as the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines for biliary tract cancers to provide a reference for targeted inhibitor therapy for unresectable and metastatic disease.<sup>80,81</sup> Table 2 shows the authorized drugs and their relevance to managing patients with different subtypes of iCCA.<sup>78,79,82,83</sup> An increasing number of studies confirm that drugs designed for several targetable genetic aberrations, such as *IDH* and *FGFR2*, show the

Molecular variation	Mutation frequency*	Targeted drug	Pathological type	Test methods
FGFR2 fusion/ rearrangement	2-13%	Pemigatinib; Infigratinib	Common in small duct type iCCA	FISH, second generation sequencing
IDH1/2 mutation	7.5-16%	Ivosidenib	Common in small duct type iCCA	First/second generation sequencing
NTRK fusion	5%	Entrectinib; Larotrectinib	iCCA	FISH, RT-PCR, second generation sequencing
RET fusion	1.80%	Pralsetinib	iCCA	FISH, second generation sequencing
<i>BRAF</i> <sup>V600E</sup> mutation	4.3-11.1%	Dabrafenib + trametinib	iCCA	RT-PCR, first/second generation sequencing
HER2 amplification	1.8-8%	Trastuzumab + pertuzumab	iCCA	Immunohistochemistry, FISH
				Second generation sequencing
MSI-H/dMMR	2-4.8%	Pembrolizumab	iCCA	PCR, immunohistochemistry
TMB-H	10.40%	Pembrolizumab	iCCA	Second generation sequencing

\*iCCA data in China.<sup>78,79</sup> iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; MSI-

H, microsatellite instability-high; dMMR, mismatch repair deficiency; TMB: tumor mutational burden.



Fig. 8. Correspondence between the intrahepatic bile duct groups and iCCA subtypes. iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

therapeutic potential and correlation with histological subtypes,<sup>84–86</sup> while only a small proportion of patients harboring these specific gene alterations could obtain survival benefit. On this account, agents targeting programmed death ligand 1 and programmed death receptor 1 immune checkpoint are currently under investigation and is emerged as a new paradigm of therapy.<sup>87,88</sup> Referring multiple valuable biomarkers, such as *KRAS* and tumor mutational burden (TMB), to predict whether the patients with iCCA could benefit from clinical trial provides a new idea for further expansion of the appropriate population for targeted therapy and immunotherapy.<sup>89</sup> Therefore, it is warranted to provide some associated pathologically detectable targets to meet clinical requirements.

**Recommendation 8:** Rapid progress in clinical research on targeted therapy and immunotherapy of iCCA has led to higher requirements for screening and detection of biomarkers of targeted inhibitors. More attention should be paid to detection of predictive biomarkers of therapeutic targets and immune checkpoints referring to histological subtype of iCCA to allow refined personalized treatment with improved treatment efficacy, and to help to establish the molecular-pathological basis for individualized iCCA treatment (high-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

## Summary of key points of the pathological diagnosis of iCCA

Diagnosis of histological subtypes should be supposed as the core in iCCA routine pathological diagnosis. Differential diagnosis between large duct type and small duct type iCCAs is the key of iCCA routine pathology. Optimizing a combination of IHC marker panels should be used as a reference for histological subtyping. Molecular targets and immunotherapy biomarkers should be detected consulting the histological subtype of iCCA. The main pathobiological characteristics of iCCA should be illustrated in the pathological report form. The diagnostic term intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma is not recommended to be used to refer to iCCA. The correspondence between intrahepatic bile duct groups and iCCA subtype is shown in Figure 8. The flowchart of pathological diagnosis of iCCA is shown in Figure 9.

**Recommendation 9:** In the pathological report of iCCA, it is important to illustrate the pathological characteristics associated with the risks of postoperative recurrence and metastasis to assist in the clinical treatment planning.<sup>13,44,90</sup> These should include the gross type, histological subtype, immunophenotype, differentiation grade, microvascular invasion, biological behavior, status of surgical margin, pathological tu-



Fig. 9. Flowchart of the pathological diagnosis of iCCA. iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

mor-node-metastasis stage, background of liver disease, and other important relevant information. To improve the homogeneity of pathological diagnosis of iCCA, the use of a template for pathological diagnostic reporting should be encouraged (moderate-quality evidence and strong recommendation).

## Disclaimer

Translated in full with permission from the Chinese Medical Association by the Journal of Clinical and Translational Hepatology of the Xia & He Publishing Inc., USA. Sole responsibility of the translation rests with the translator. This translation, Copyright © (2022) by the Chinese Medical Association. The article entitled Expert consensus on pathological diagnosis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (2022 version), DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112151-20220517-00423, Copyright © (2022) was prepared by Chinese Journal of Pathology, Expert consensus on pathological diagnosis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (2022 version), Chinese Medical Journals Publishing House Company. All content is protected by copyright and may not be reproduced in any manner without written permission from Chinese Medical Association.

The Chinese Medical Association takes no responsibility for the accuracy of the translation from the published Chinese original and is not liable for any errors which may occur. No responsibility is assumed, and responsibility is hereby disclaimed, by the Chinese Medical Association for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of methods, products, instructions or ideas presented in this translation. Independent verification of diagnosis and drug dosages should be made. Discussions, views, and recommendations as to medical procedures, choice of drugs and drug dosages are the responsibility of the authors.

## Funding

Shanghai Municipal Key Clinical Specialty (shslczdzk01302)

and Shanghai Shenkang Hospital Development Center Clinical Science and Technology Innovation Project (SHDC1202 1109).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors have no conflict of interests related to this publication.

#### Author contributions

Conception and design (WMC, YJ), professional support (JF, XPC, WYL), provision of study materials (all authors), collection and assembly of data (HW, JC, XZ, HQZ, LHZ, XS, ZGY, YJ, WMC), pathology photography and figure drawing (HW, BW, XZ, QQY, manuscript writing (HW, JC, XZ, XS, YJ, WMC), and revisions and final approval of the manuscript (all authors).

#### References

- Rizvi S, Gores GJ. Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of cholan-giocarcinoma. Gastroenterology 2013;145(6):1215–1229. doi:10.1053/j. gastro.2013.10.013, PMID:24140396.
- Vijgen S, Terris B, Rubbia-Brandt L. Pathology of intrahepatic cholan-
- Vijgen S, Terris B, Rubbia-Brandt L. Pathology of intrahepatic cholan-giocarcinoma. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2017;6(1):22–34. doi:10.21037/ hbsn.2016.11.04, PMID:28261592.
  Rizvi S, Khan SA, Hallemeier CL, Kelley RK, Gores GJ. Cholangiocarci-noma evolving concepts and therapeutic strategies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2018;15(2):95–111. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.157, PMID:28994423.
  Kendall T, Verheij J, Gaudio E, Evert M, Guido M, Goeppert B, et al. Anatomi-cal, histomorphological and molecular classification of cholangiocarcinoma. Liver Int 2019;39(Suppl 1):7–18. doi:10.1111/liv.14093, PMID:30882996.
  Ao JY, Cheng QB, Liu C, Jiang XQ. Treatment Difficulties and Strategies of Intrahepatic. Cholangiocarcinoma. Journal of Hepatopancreatobiliary Sur-[3]
- [4]
- [5] Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Journal of Hepatopancreatobiliary Sur-gery 2020;32(06):321-325. doi:10.11952/j.issn.1007-1954.2020.06.001.
- Gupta A, Dixon E. Epidemiology and risk factors: intrahepatic cholangio-carcinoma. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2017;6(2):101–104. doi:10.21037/ hbsn.2017.01.02, PMID:28503557. Zhang R, Li Q, Fu J, Jin Z, Su J, Zhang J, *et al.* Comprehensive analy-[6]
- [7] sis of genomic mutation signature and tumor mutation burden for prog-nosis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. BMC Cancer 2021;21(1):112. doi:10.1186/s12885-021-07788-7, PMID:33535978.
- [8] Moeini A, Haber PK, Sia D. Cell of origin in biliary tract cancers and

JHEP Rep 2021;3(2):100226. doi:10.1016/j. clinical implications.

- jhep:2021,00226, PMID:33665585. Cong WM, Dong H, Tan L, Sun XX, Wu MC. Surgicopathological classi-fication of hepatic space-occupying lesions: a single-center experience with literature review. World J Gastroenterol 2011;17(19):2372–2378. doi:10.3748/wig.v17.i19.2372, PMID:21633636. [9]
- [10] An L, Zheng R, Zhang S, Chen R, Wang S, Sun K, et al. Hepatocellular car-cinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma incidence between 2006 and 2015 in China: estimates based on data from 188 population-based can-cer registries. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2023;12(1):45–55. doi:10.21037/
- hbsn-21-75, PMID:36860251.
   [11] Tian M, Liu W, Tao C, Tang Z, Zhou Y, Song S, *et al.* Prediction of overall survival in resectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: IS(ICC) -applied prediction model. Cancer Sci 2020;111(4):1084–1092. doi:10.1111/ as.14315, PMID:31971309.
- [12] Lamarca A, Santos-Laso A, Utpatel K, La Casta A, Stock S, Forner A, et al. Liver Metastases of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Implications for an Up dated Staging System. Hepatology 2021;73(6):2311-2325. doi:10.1002/ hep.31598, PMID:33073396.
- [13] General Office of National Health Commission. Standard for diagnosis and treatment of primary liver cancer (2022 edition). Journal of Clinical Hepatol-
- (14) Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2004;328(7454):1490. doi:10.1136/bmj.328.7454.1490, PMID:15205295.
   [15] Cardinale V, Carpino G, Reid L, Gaudio E, Alvaro D. Multiple cells of origin in chapaiogeneous and strength of an analysis of the program.
- cholangiocarcinoma underlie biological, epidemiological and clinical hetero-geneity. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2012;4(5):94–102. doi:10.4251/wjgo. v4.i5.94, PMID:22645632. [16] Roskams TA, Theise ND, Balabaud C, Bhagat G, Bhathal PS, Bioulac-
- Sage P, et al. Nomenclature of the finer branches of the biliary tree: canals, ductules, and ductular reactions in human livers. Hepatology
- 2004;39(6):1739-1745. doi:10.1002/HEP.20130, PMID:15185318.
  [17] Liu QY, Ma XY, Yu ZY. Advances in Research of Segmental Anatomy of the Liver. World Chinese Journal of Digestology 2013;21(27):2780-2786. doi:10.11569/wcjd.v21.i27.2780.
- [18] Yamada M, Yamamoto Y, Sugiura T, Kakuda Y, Ashida R, Tamura S, et al. Comparison of the Clinicopathological Features in Small Bile Duct and Bile Ductular Type Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Anticancer Res 2019; 39(4):2121–2127. doi:10.21873/anticanres.13325, PMID:30952758. [19] Terada T. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma with stem cell fea-
- tures, ductal plate malformation subtype: a case report and proposal of a new subtype. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2013;6(4):737–748. PMID:23573322.
- [20] Kondo F, Fukusato T. Pathogenesis of Cholangiolocellular Carcinoma: Possi-bility of an Interlobular Duct Origin. Intern Med 2015;54(14):1685–1694. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.54.3540, PMID:26179521.
- [21] Maeno S, Kondo F, Sano K, Takada T, Asano T. Morphometric and immu-nohistochemical study of cholangiolocellular carcinoma: comparison with non-neoplastic cholangiole, interlobular duct and septal duct. J Hepatobil-iary Pancreat Sci 2012;19(3):289–296. doi:10.1007/s00534-011-0483-5, PMID:22179577
- [22] Lee KB. Histopathology of a benign bile duct lesion in the liver: Morphologic mimicker or precursor of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Clin Mol Hepatol 2016;22(3):400–405. doi:10.3350/cmh.2016.0105, PMID:27729636.
- [23] Guglielmi A, Ruzzenente A, Campagnaro T, Pachera S, Valdegamberi A, Nicoli P, et al. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: prognostic factors after surgical resection. World J Surg 2009;33(6):1247–1254. doi:10.1007/ s00268-009-9970-0, PMID:19294467.
   [24] Nakanuma Y, Klimstra D, Komuta M, Zen Y. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcino-tional surger surgication and the automatic surgers and the additional su
- ma. WHO classification of tumours, Digestive system tumours. 5th edition. Lyon: IARC Press; 2019:254-259.
- [25] Bagante F, Spolverato G, Weiss M, Alexandrescu S, Marques HP, Aldrighetti L, et al. Impact of Morphological Status on Long-Term Outcome Among Patients Undergoing Liver Surgery for Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2017;24(9):2491–2501. doi:10.1245/s10434-017-5870-y, DVDC PMID:28466403.
- [26] Sakamoto Y, Kokudo N, Matsuyama Y, Sakamoto M, Izumi N, Kadoya M, et al. Proposal of a new staging system for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: Analysis of surgical patients from a nationwide survey of the Liver
- noma: Analysis of surgical patients from a nationwide survey of the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan. Cancer 2016;122(1):61–70. doi:10.1002/ cncr.29686, PMID:26430782.
  [27] Chung T, Rhee H, Nahm JH, Jeon Y, Yoo JE, Kim YJ, et al. Clinicopathologi-cal characteristics of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma according to gross morphologic type: cholangiolocellular differentiation traits and inflamma-tion according to gross tion- and proliferation-phenotypes. HPB (Oxford) 2020;22(6):864–873.
   doi:10.1016/j.hpb.2019.10.009, PMID:31735647.
   [28] Aoki Y, Mizuma M, Hata T, Aoki T, Omori Y, Ono Y, et al. Intraductal papillary
- [29] Nokri, Handari, Hondari, Kokri, Ornori, Viro, J. et al. Hitraduct pupillarly neoplasms of the bile duct consist of two distinct types specifically associated with clinicopathological features and molecular phenotypes. J Pathol 2020;251(1):38–48. doi:10.1002/path.5398, PMID:32100878.
   [29] Nakanuma Y, Basturk O, Esposito I, Klimstra D, Komuta M, Zen Y. In-
- traductal papillary neoplasm of the bile ducts. In: WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (ed). WHO classification of tumours, Digestive
- Idinoid Schemberger, Stelle Market, Stelle St doi:10.1038/s41575-020-0310-z, PMID:32606456.
- [31] Zhou WP, Jiang BG. Characteristics of lymph node metastasis and prog-nostic significance of lymphadenectomy in intrahepatic cholangiocar-cinoma. Chinese Journal of Practical Surgery 2020;40(06):669–673.

doi:10.19538/j.cjps.issn1005-2208.2020.06.10.

- [32] Yin L, Zhao S, Zhu H, Ji G, Zhang X. Primary tumor resection improves survival in patients with multifocal intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma based on a population study. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):12166. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-91823-x, PMID:34108604.
- [33] Chen XP, Shen F. Chinese Expert Consensus on the Surgical Manage-ment of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma (2020 Edition). Chinese Jour-nali of Digestive Surgery 2021;20(01):1–15. doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn115 610-20201211-00777.
   [34] Aloia T, Pawlik TM, Taouli B, Rubbia-Brandt L, Vauthey J. Intrahepatic bile
- ducts. In: American Joint Committee on Cancer (ed). AJCC cancer staging manual. 8th edition 2017; New YorkSpringer120–127. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40618-3
- [35] Chinese Society of Liver Cancer, Chinese Anti-Cancer Association, Liver Cancer Study Group, Chinese Society of Hepatology, Chinese Medical Asso-ciation, Chinese Society of Pathology. Evidence-based Practice Guidelines for the Standardized Pathological Diagnosis of Primary Liver Cancer (2015 Update). Chinese Journal of Hepatobiliary Surgery 2015;21(3):145-151.
- doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-8118.2015.03.001.
   [36] Wang K, Zhang H, Xia Y, Liu J, Shen F. Surgical options for intrahepatic chol-angiocarcinoma. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2017;6(2):79–90. doi:10.21037/
- [37] Chan KM, Tsai CY, Yeh CN, Yeh TS, Lee WC, Jan YY, et al. Characterization of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after curative resection: outcome, prognostic factor, and recurrence. BMC Gastroenterol 2018;18(1):180.
- doi:10.1186/s12876-018-0912-x, PMID:30514231.
  [38] Sheng X, Ji Y, Ren GP, Lu CL, Yun JP, Chen LH, et al. A standardized pathological proposal for evaluating microvascular invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicenter study by LCPGC. Hepatol Int 2020;14(6):1034-1047. doi:10.1007/s12072-020-10111-4, PMID:33369707.
- [39] Thelen A, Scholz A, Weichert W, Wiedenmann B, Neuhaus P, Gessner R, et al. Tumor-associated angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis correlate with progression of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105(5):1123–1132. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.674, PMID:19997097.
- [40] Cadamuro M, Brivio S, Mertens J, Vismara M, Moncsek A, Milani C, et al. Platelet-derived growth factor-D enables liver myofibroblasts to promote tumor lymphangiogenesis in cholangiocarcinoma. J Hepatol 2019;70(4):700–709. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2018.12.004, PMID:30553841.
   [41] Esnaola NF, Meyer JE, Karachristos A, Maranki JL, Camp ER, Denlinger CS. Evaluation and management of intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholandic states and stat
- giocarcinoma. Cancer 2016;122(9):1349-1369. doi:10.1002/cncr.29692, PMID:26799932.
- [42] Huang YH, Zhang CZ, Huang QS, Yeong J, Wang F, Yang X, et al. Clinicopathologic features, tumor immune microenvironment and genomic landscape of Epstein-Barr virus-associated intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J Hepatol 2021;74(4):838–849. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2020.10.037, PMID:33212090.
- [43] Zhang X, Bai Q, Xu Y, Wang W, Chen L, Han J, et al. Molecular profiling of the biphasic components of hepatic carcinosarcoma by the use of tar-geted next-generation sequencing. Histopathology 2019;74(6):944-958. doi:10.1111/his.13822, PMID:30629754.
- doi:10.1111/his.13822, PMID:30629/54.
  [44] Cong WM. Cholangiocytic malignancy. Surgical pathology of hepatobiliary tumors. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2015:320–339.
  [45] Liau JY, Tsai JH, Yuan RH, Chang CN, Lee HJ, Jeng YM. Morphological subclassification of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: etiological, clinicopathological, and molecular features. Mod Pathol 2014;27(8):1163–1173. doi:10.1038/modpathol.2013.241, PMID:24406866.
- (d) 10.205/hi0036/hi002013241, Philo 2440600.
   (d) 14.4567.
   <l
- Translational Advances. Hepatology 2019;69(4):1803–1815. doi:10.1002/ hep.30289, PMID:30251463.
- [48] Fabris L, Sato K, Alpini G, Strazzabosco M. The Tumor Microenvironment in Cholangiocarcinoma Progression. Hepatology 2021;73(Suppl 1):75–85. doi:10.1002/hep.31410, PMID:32500550.
- [49] Akita M, Fujikura K, Ajiki T, Fukumoto T, Otani K, Azuma T, et al. Dichotomy in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas based on histologic similarities to hi-Iar cholangiocarcinomas. Mod Pathol 2017;30(7):986–997. doi:10.1038/modpathol.2017.22, PMID:28338651.
   [50] Akita M, Sawada R, Komatsu M, Suleman N, Itoh T, Ajiki T, *et al*. An immunostaining panel of C-reactive protein, N-cadherin, and S100 calcium
- binding protein P is useful for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma subtyp-ing. Hum Pathol 2021;109:45–52. doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2020.12.005, PMID:33321161.
- [51] Aishima S, Fujita N, Mano Y, Kubo Y, Tanaka Y, Taketomi A, et al. Different roles of S100P overexpression in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: carcinogenesis of perihilar type and aggressive behavior of peripheral type. Am J Surg Pathol 2011;35(4):590–598. doi:10.1097/PAS.0b013e31820ffdf1, PMID:21412073
- [52] Song G, Shi Y, Meng L, Ma J, Huang S, Zhang J, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis suggests two molecularly subtypes of intrahepatic cholan-giocarcinoma. Nat Commun 2022;13(1):1642. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29164-0, PMID:35347134.
- [53] Nakanuma Y, Tsutsui A, Ren XS, Harada K, Sato Y, Sasaki M. What are the precursor and early lesions of peripheral intrahepatic cholangiocarcino-ma? Int J Hepatol 2014;2014:805973. doi:10.1155/2014/805973, PMID: 24860673
- [54] Aishima S, Oda Y. Pathogenesis and classification of intrahepatic cholan-

giocarcinoma: different characters of perihilar large duct type versus peripheral small duct type. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2015;22(2):94–100. doi:10.1002/jhbp.154, PMID:25181580.

- doi:10.1002/jhbp.154, PMID:25181580.
  [55] Kwon AY, Lee HJ, An HJ, Kang H, Heo JH, Kim G. Intrahepatic Cholangio-carcinoma with Ductal Plate Malformation-like Feature Associated with Bile Duct Adenoma. J Pathol Transl Med 2015;49(6):531-534. doi:10.4132/ jptm.2015.06.19, PMID:26265688.
  [56] Sasaki M, Sato Y, Nakanuma Y. Bile duct adenoma may be a precursor lesion of small duct type intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Histopathology 2021;78(2):310-320. doi:10.1111/his.14222, PMID:33405289.
  [57] Rhee H, Ko JE, Chung T, Jee BA, Kwon SM, Nahm JH, *et al.* Transcrip-tomic and histopathological analysis of cholangiolocellular differentiation trait in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Liver Int 2018:38(1):113-124.

- tomic and histopathological analysis of cholangiolocellular differentiation trait in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Liver Int 2018;38(1):113–124. doi:10.1111/liv.13492, PMID:28608943.
  [58] Ma B, Meng H, Shen A, Ma Y, Zhao D, Liu G, *et al.* Prognostic Value of Inflammatory and Tumour Markers in Small-Duct Subtype Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma after Curative-Intent Resection. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2021;2021:6616062. doi:10.1155/2021/6616062. pMID:33833794.
  [59] Ma B, Meng H, Tian Y, Wang Y, Song T, Zhang T, *et al.* Distinct clinical and prognostic implication of IDH1/2 mutation and other most frequent mutations in large duct and small duct subtypes of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. BMC Cancer 2020:20(1):318. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-06804-6.
- cinoma. BMC Cancer 2020;20(1):318. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-06804-6, PMID:32293336.
- [60] Moeini A, Sia D, Zhang Z, Camprecios G, Stueck A, Dong H, et al. Mixed hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma tumors: Cholangiolocellular carci-noma is a distinct molecular entity. J Hepatol 2017;66(5):952–961. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2017.01.010, PMID:28126467.
- [61] Yamamoto M, Oshita A, Nishisaka T, Nakahara H, Itamoto T. Synchro-[11] Jahlahoto H, Oshida A, Nashaka J, Nakahala H, Itahitot H, Oshida A, Nashaka H, Nakahala H, Itahitot H, Oshida A, Nashaka H, Nakahala H, Itahitot H, Oshida Carcinoma and cholangiolocellular carcinoma: a case report. J Med Case Rep 2018;12(1):224. doi:10.1186/s13256-018-1762-4, PMID:30119698.
  [62] Chen J, He J, Deng M, Wu HY, Shi J, Mao L, *et al.* Clinicopathological, radiologic, and molecular study of 23 combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinomas with stem cell features, cholangiolocellular type. Hum Pathol 2016;10:1000
- 2017;64:118-127. doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2017.01.016, PMID:28431889. [63] Nguyen Canh H, Takahashi K, Yamamura M, Li Z, Sato Y, Yoshimura K, *et al.* Diversity in cell differentiation, histology, phenotype and vascula-ture of mass-forming intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas. Histopathology 2021;79(5):731–750. doi:10.1111/his.14417, PMID:34018212.
- [64] Chen J, He J, Qiu YD. Research advances on cholangiolocellular carci-noma. Chinese Journal of Hepatobiliary Surgery 2016;22(11):784–787. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-8118.2016.11.018. [65] Kondo F, Fukusato T, Tokairin T, Saito K, Soejima Y. Cholangiolocellular car-
- [65] Kondo F, Fukusato I, lokarin I, Saito K, Soejima Y. Cholangolocellular car-cinoma: Is it a subtype of cholangiocarcinoma or combined hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma? In: Zhang YJ, Cong WM (eds). Pathology of the bile duct. Shanghai: Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers; 2019:120–127.
  [66] Komuta M, Spee B, Vander Borght S, De Vos R, Verslype C, Aerts R, *et al.* Clinicopathological study on cholangiolocellular carcinoma suggest-ing hepatic progenitor cell origin. Hepatology 2008;47(5):1544–1556. doi:10.1002/hep.22238, PMID:18393293.
  [67] Sempouy, C, Kakar, S, Kondo F, Schirmacher P, Combined hepatocellular.
- Sempoux C, Kakar S, Kondo F, Schirmacher P. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma and undifferentiated primary liver carcinoma. In: WHO [67] Classification of Tumours Editorial Board (ed). WHO classification of tumours, Digestive system tumours. 5th edition. Lyon: IARC Press; 2019:260–262.
   [68] Nagata K, Einama T, Kimura A, Murayama M, Takeo H, Nishikawa M, et al. A case of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma that was difficult to diagnose prior

- case of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma that was difficult to diagnose prior to surgery: A case report. Oncol Lett 2019;17(1):823–830. doi:10.3892/ ol.2018.9666, PMID:30655835.
  [69] Vij M, Puri Y, Rammohan A, G G, Rajalingam R, Kaliamoorthy I, *et al.* Pathological, molecular, and clinical characteristics of cholangiocarcinoma: A comprehensive review. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2022;14(3):607–627. doi:10.4251/wjgo.v14.i3.607, PMID:35321284.
  [70] Komuta M, Govaere O, Vandecaveye V, Akiba J, Van Steenbergen W, Verslype C, *et al.* Histological diversity in cholangiocellular carcinoma reflects the different cholangiocyte phenotypes. Hepatology 2012;55(6):1876–1888. doi:10.1002/hep.25595, PMID:22271564.
  [71] Nakanuma Y, Sato Y, Ikeda H, Harada K, Kobayashi M, Sano K, *et al.* Intrahepatic cholangiocranoma with predominant "ductal plate malforma-
- trahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with predominant "ductal plate malforma-tion" pattern: a new subtype. Am J Surg Pathol 2012;36(11):1629–1635.

doi:10.1097/PAS.0b013e31826e0249, PMID:23073321.

- [72] Song JS, Lee YJ, Kim KW, Huh J, Jang SJ, Yu E. Cholangiocarcinoma arising in von Meyenburg complexes: report of four cases. Pathol Int 2008;58(8):503– 512. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1827.2008.02264.x, PMID:18705771
- [73] Terada T. What are ductal plate and ductal plate malformations of human liv-ers? Liver Int 2013;33(8):1294. doi:10.1111/liv.12223, PMID:23758920.
- [74] Li MJ, Feng JX. Biliary plate malformation and congenital biliary dyspla-sia. Journal of Hepatobiliary Surgery 2001;04:316–318. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1006-4761.2001.04.035. [75] Chung T, Rhee H, Shim HS, Yoo JE, Choi GH, Kim H, *et al*. Genetic, Clinico-

- [75] Chung T, Rhee H, Shim HS, Yoo JE, Choi GH, Kim H, et al. Genetic, Clinico-pathological, and Radiological Features of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma with Ductal Plate Malformation Pattern. Gut Liver 2022;16(4):613–624. doi:10.5009/gnl210174, PMID:34810298.
  [76] Choe JY, Kim H. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with predominant ductal plate malformation pattern. Clin Mol Hepatol 2014;20(2):214–217. doi:10.3350/cmh.2014.20.2.214, PMID:25032189.
  [77] Sasaki M, Sato Y, Nakanuma Y. Cholangiolocellular Carcinoma With "Ductal Plate Malformation" Pattern May Be Characterized by ARID1A Genetic Alterations. Am J Surg Pathol 2019;43(3):352–360. doi:10.1097/PAS.00000000001201, PMID:30520820.
  [78] Wang XF, Chen Y, Liu MJ, Lin ZH, Chen LH, Wang B. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and and the characterized by ductal plate malformation: a case report and
- carcinoma characterized by ductal plate malformation: a case report and literature review. Chinese Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology
- literature review. Chinese Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology 2021;37(11):1364–1366. doi:10.13315/j.cnki.cjcep.2021.11.020.
  [79] Chung T, Park YN. Up-to-Date Pathologic Classification and Molecular Characteristics of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. Front Med (Lausanne) 2022;9:857140. doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.857140, PMID:35433771.
  [80] Guidelines Committee of Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology. Second Line Treatment of Advanced biliary Cancer. In: Guidelines Committee of Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) Biliary Tract Cancer. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2021:63–67.
  [81] Benson AB, D'Angelica, ML, Abhott DE, Anava DA, Anders B, Are C, et al.
- [81] Benson AB, D'Angelica MI, Abbott DE, Anaya DA, Anders R, Are C, et al. Hepatobiliary Cancers, Version 2.2021, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. J Natl Compr Cancer Netw 2021;19(5):541–565. doi:10.6004/ jnccn.2021.0022, PMID:34030131.
- [82] Cao J, Hu J, Lu S, Meric-Bernstam F, Abdel-Wahab R, Xu J, et al. In-trahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Genomic Heterogeneity Between Eastern and Western Patients. JCO Precis Oncol 2020;4:557–569. doi:10.1200/ PO.18.00414, PMID:32923885. [83] Wang L, Zhu H, Zhao Y, Pan Q, Mao A, Zhu W, et al. Comprehensive molec-
- [65] Wallg L, Zha H, Zha H, Zina W, Zi Ja W, Zi
- [85] Kelley RK, Bridgewater J, Gores GJ, Zhu AX. Systemic therapies for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J Hepatol 2020;72(2):353–363. doi:10.1016/j. jhep.2019.10.009, PMID:31954497.
- [86] Munugala N, Maithel SK, Shroff RT. Novel biomarkers and the future of targeted therapies in cholangiocarcinoma: a narrative review. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2022;11(2):253–266. doi:10.21037/hbsn-20-475, PMID:35464290.
- PMID: 35464290.
  [87] Gutiérrez-Larrañaga M, González-López E, Roa-Bautista A, Rodrigues PM, Díaz-González Á, Banales JM, et al. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: The Emerging Cornerstone in Cholangiocarcinoma Therapy? Liver Cancer 2021;10(6):545-560. doi:10.1159/000518104, PMID:34950178.
  [88] Zheng Y, Wang S, Cai J, Ke A, Fan J. The progress of immune checkpoint therapy in primary liver cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2021; 1876(2):188638. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2021.188638, PMID:34688805.
  [89] Zhou J, Sun Y, Zhang W, Yuan J, Peng Z, Wang W, et al. Phase Ib study of anlotinib combined with TQB2450 in pretreated advanced bilary tract cancer care and biomarker analysis. Henatology 2023:77(1):65-76. doi:10.1002/
- cer and biomarker analysis. Hepatology 2023;77(1):65-76. doi:10.1002/ hep.32548, PMID:35491432.
- [90] Shi JY, Gao Q, Zhou J, Fan J. Interpretation and validation of 8th edi-tion American Joint Commission on Cancer TNM staging system for in-trahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Journal of Surgery Concepts & Practice 2018;23(03):221–226. doi:10.16139/j.1007-9610.2018.03.009.