RESEARCH ARTICLE



Species delimitation in the Grayling genus Pseudochazara (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) supported by DNA barcodes

Rudi Verovnik¹, Martin Wiemers²

 Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Jamnikarjev 101, Ljubljana, Slovenia
 UFZ – Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany

Corresponding author: Martin Wiemers (martin.wiemers@ufz.de)

Academic editor: A. Hausmann Received 15 January 2016 Accepted 9 June 2016 Published 22 June 2016
http://zoobank.org/CF247405-E63C-4315-AF0B-AC16B6B25156

Citation: Verovnik R, Wiemers M (2016) Species delimitation in the Grayling genus *Pseudochazara* (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) supported by DNA barcodes. ZooKeys 600: 131–154. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.600.7798

Abstract

The Palaearctic Grayling genus *Pseudochazara* encompasses a number of petrophilous butterfly species, most of which are local endemics especially in their centre of radiation in SW Asia and the Balkans. Due to a lack of consistent morphological characters, coupled with habitat induced variability, their taxonomy is poorly understood and species delimitation is hampered. We employed a DNA barcoding approach to address the question of separate species status for several European taxa and provide first insight into the phylogeny of the genus. Unexpectedly we found conflicting patterns with deep divergences between presumably conspecific taxa and lack of divergence among well-defined species. We propose separate species status for *P. tisiphone, P. amalthea, P. amymone*, and *P. kermana* all of which have separate well supported clades, with the majority of them becoming local endemics. Lack of resolution in the 'Mamurra' species group with well-defined species (in terms of wing pattern and coloration) such as *P. geyeri, P. daghestana* and *P. alpina* should be further explored using nuclear molecular markers with higher genetic resolution.

Keywords

Papilionoidea, Satyrinae, butterflies, phylogeny, barcoding, taxonomy

Introduction

Depending on which systematic order of classification is adhered to, the genus *Pseu-dochazara* comprises 27–32 species of Graylings (Gross 1978, Lukhtanov 2007, Savela 2015). It has a wide distribution in the Palaearctic region from North Africa to the Himalayas and Mongolia (Tennent 1996, Tshikolovets 2005, Yakovlev 2012). In addition to vague species delimitation, large intraspecific variation has resulted in the description of over 100 subspecific taxa (Lukhtanov 2007) in this intensively studied taxon.

The main reason for the extensive variation in phenotype can be linked with the specific ecological requirements of these butterflies. They are mostly petrophilous and limited to specific rock substrate to which they are perfectly adapted with their camou-flaged underside wing pattern and cryptic coloration. Local adaptation to mimic the coloration of the rock substrate is, therefore, one of the main drivers for such large scale diversification (Lorković 1974, Weiss 1980, Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Tennent 1996, but see Anastassiu et al. 2009).

Trying to resolve the systematics of this genus and its species delimitation has been thwarted by the fact that the genitalia of many Pseudochazara species are virtually identical and their wing shape and coloration, both being partially dependant on environmental conditions (Gross 1978, Hesselbarth et al. 1995), is inconsistent. The last comprehensive taxonomic review which was published by Gross (1978) is already outdated. He recognised 24 species, among which P. obscura (Staudinger, 1878) is now considered a subspecies of P. lydia (Staudinger, 1878) (see Eckweiler and Rose 1988), P. aurantiaca (Staudinger, 1878) and P. xerxes Gross & Ebert, 1975 have been reclassified as subspecies of *P. beroe* (Herrich-Schäffer, 1844) (see Lukhtanov 2007), P. schahrudensis (Staudinger, 1881) is now considered conspecific with P. mamurra (Herrich-Schäffer, 1844) (see Eckweiler 2004) and P. pakistana Gross, 1978 is conspecific with either P. gilgitica (Tytler, 1926) (see Lukhtanov 2007) or P. baldiva (Moore, 1865) (see Wakeham-Dawson et al. 2007). Several members of the Pseudochazara genus from Central Asia that are currently recognised as separate species were considered subspecific taxa in the revision (e.g. P. droshica (Tytler, 1926), P. gilgitica (Tytler, 1926), P. lehana (Moore, 1878)) while P. euxina (Kuznetsov, 1909) from Crimea was entirely neglected. Two additional species were described after the revision, P. kanishka (Aussem 1980a) and P. annieae (Pagès 2007). Following Gross' revision (1978) the shape of the androconial scales of several Pseudochazara species has proven to be constant, enabling species delimitation (Weiss 1980, Eckweiler and Rose 1989, Wakeham-Dawson and Kudrna 2000, Wakeham-Dawson et al. 2003, Wakeham-Dawson and Kudrna 2005, Wakeham-Dawson 2006, Wakeham-Dawson and Kudrna 2006, Pages 2007, Wakeham-Dawson et al. 2007).

There has been no attempt to reconstruct the phylogeny of the genus or validate species status using molecular markers. Only the taxonomic position within subtribe Satyrina and a sister relationship to *Chazara* has been established (Peña et al. 2011).

In order to resolve the relationship among *Pseudochazara* species and re-evaluate their species status, in particular of some European taxa, we employed DNA barcoding – using a standardized gene region (5' segment of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit I = COI) which enabled us to utilize additional *Pseudochazara* sequences available in the Barcode of Life Database (BOLD 2015). DNA barcodes have been widely and successfully used in Lepidoptera taxonomy and species delimitation as an additional set of characters which are independent of habitat conditions (Hebert et al. 2004, Nazari and Sperling 2007, Nazari et al. 2010, Dinca et al. 2011, Yang et al. 2012, Lukhtanov and Novikova 2015, Pazhenkova et al. 2015). However, there are several limitations of this method (see e.g. Wiemers and Fiedler 2004, Brower 2006, Ritter et al. 2013, Song et al. 2008, Toews and Brelsford 2012) which should be taken into account in the interpretation of the gene tree.

Material and methods

Sample collection, DNA extraction, amplification, sequencing, and alignment

With the aim of achieving consistency, we adopt the nomenclature of the most recent list of Pseudochazara species by Lukhtanov (2007). Following the discovery of Pseudochazara mamurra amymone in Albania (Eckweiler 2012), we initially sampled all the Pseudochazara taxa from the Balkan Peninsula, a hotspot of Pseudochazara diversity in Europe (Verovnik et al. 2014, Gascoigne-Pees et al. 2014). We then broadened the range of our sampling adding additional species from Turkey and the Middle East, the main areas of *Pseudochazara* diversification. Altogether 27 specimens belonging to 10 species of Pseudochazara, for which the barcoding gene COI was successfully amplified, were included in the study (see Appendix 1). All specimens were dried prior to DNA extraction. In addition, we included COI sequences from 81 individuals belonging to 14 species from the BOLD database (BOLD 2015). Only specimens that could be unambiguously identified by the voucher photos were selected. Following the nomenclature guidelines proposed by Lukhtanov (2007) a total of 34 taxa belonging to 20 species were included in the analysis. As outgroups, we added several sequences of the closely related Satyrine genus Chazara from GenBank, based on the results of the phylogenetic study of Satyrinae by Peña et al. (2011).

Total genomic DNA was extracted from single legs, following the Mammalian tissue preparation protocol (GenElute Mammalian Genomic DNA miniprep kit from Sigma-Aldrich). For each sample a 657 bp fragment of the first subunit of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome *c* oxidase (COI) was amplified using primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). Amplification followed a standard protocol described in Verovnik et al. (2004). PCR products were visualized on an agarose gel to verify amplification success and sequenced by Macrogen in both directions on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl sequencer.

Phylogenetic analysis

We used Bayesian inference to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree. To achieve more clarity the tree was constructed on a subset of samples including only unique haplotypes belonging to the same taxon. A hierarchical likelihood test was employed in order to test alternative models of evolution, using JModeltest v.0.1.1 (Posada 2008). A GTR (Generalised time reversible) model of nucleotide substitution with gamma distributed rate heterogeneity and a significant proportion of invariable sites was selected in accordance with the Akaike Information Criterion. Bayesian analysis was performed with MrBayes v.3.1.2 implementing the best fit substitution model (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). Markov chain Monte Carlo search was run with four chains for 4 × 10⁶ generations, taking samples every 100 generations. The approximate number of generations needed to obtain stationarity of the likelihood values ("burn-in") of the sampled trees was estimated graphically to 2000 trees. From the remaining trees posterior probabilities were assessed for individual clades based on their observed frequencies. Trees were visualised using Figtree v.1.4.2 (Rambaut 2014). Genetic distances (p-) were calculated with MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). In addition, a statistical parsimony network analysis was performed with TCS 1.21 (Clement et al. 2000).

Results

No insertions or deletions were observed in the mitochondrial COI gene and therefore the alignment was unambiguous. For the COI dataset 63 unique haplotypes among 108 *Pseudochazara* sequences were detected. 114 (17.5%) sites were variable and 95 (14.6%) were parsimony informative. The average interspecific genetic distance was 4.9%, but in the case of *P. mniszechii* the intraspecific diversity ranged from 0 to 6.7% with highly distinct divergent sequences of *P. mniszechii tisiphone*. No evident barcoding gap was observed separating intraspecific from interspecific pairwise genetic distances (Fig. 1). On the contrary, sharing of identical haplotypes was observed in the following taxa: *P. graeca | P. mamurra amymone*, *P. mamurra mamurra | P. daghestana*, and *P. beroe aurantiaca | P. alpina*. On the other hand, 82% of species comparisons showed high (\geq 2%) interspecific distances.

The calculated maximum connection for parsimony networks at the default 95% limit was 11 steps, and resulted in 9 separate networks within *Pseudochazara*. 6 of them contain only single species (*P. atlantis, P. turkestana, P. thelephassa, P. lehana, P. kanishka*, and *P. anthelea*), whereas the remaining 3 comprise several closely related species (Figs 2–4). Outgroups were contained in 2 distinct networks (*Chazara enervata* and *Chazara briseisl C. heydenreichi*).

The topology of the Bayesian Inference tree of all *Pseudochazara* samples, including the selected outgroup species (Fig. 5), confirms the monophyly of the genus. High posterior probability values support a basal position of *P. atlantis*, the only species of the genus present in (and confined to) North Africa. This is somewhat surprising as



Figure 1. Frequency distribution of pairwise intra- and interspecific p-distances of the COI sequences in the genus *Pseudochazara*. No "barcoding gap" exists between these two data series.

P. anthelea and *P. thelephassa* are considered to be morphologically the most distinct and separate species within the genus (Gross 1978). *P. atlantis* has tentatively been placed into two groups, the 'mamurra' species group (Brown 1976), based on androconia shape, and the 'pelopea' species group (Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis 2001), on account of the shape of male genitalia. *P. atlantis* is also distinctive according to the TCS analysis and forms a separate network. In addition, the second basal split within *Pseudochazara* is well supported, and, apart from some single species clades, three species groups tentatively named as the 'pelopea', 'hippolyte' and 'mamurra' clades received high support. We present the results for these clades separately:

'Pelopea' group

This group, which forms a distinct network in the TCS analysis (Fig. 2), includes two species, *P. pelopea* and *P. mniszechii*. However, there is no genetic differentiation between them, with *P. pelopea persica* and *P. pelopea caucasica* intermixed with *P. mnisze*-



Figure 2. Statistical Parsimony network of the 'pelopea' species group. Coloured circles represent COI haplotypes and their size corresponds to the number of samples per haplotype. Small white circles represent unsampled haplotypes.

chii. Two well supported clades pertain to geographically isolated subspecies of *P. pelopea*, the Levant region (nominotypic *P. pelopea pelopea*) and Kopet Dhag in NE Iran (*P. pelopea tekkensis*). Both subspecies are morphologically distinct from *P. pelopea persica*, in particular the latter, with much wider and more pronounced orange submarginal bands on their forewings. *P. pelopea tekkensis* is considered a separate species by Nazari (2003). *P. mniszechii* is also polyphyletic due to the separate position of the subspecies *tisiphone* from the southern Balkans, which is clearly not closely related, and belongs to the '*hippolyte*' group.

'Hippolyte' group

The 'hippolyte' clade sensu stricto includes the widely distributed *P. hippolyte* complex which has a vast range from southern Spain to central China (Tshikolovets 2011) together with a number of local endemics from the southern Balkan Peninsula: *P. cingovskii* in the Republic of Macedonia, *P. orestes* from north-eastern Greece and the neighbouring part of Bulgaria, *P. mniszechii tisiphone* from north-western Greece and southern Albania and *P. euxina* from the Crimean Peninsula. Both, the haplotype net-



Pseudochazara cingovskii
 Pseudochazara euxina
 Pseudochazara hippolyte hippolyte
 Pseudochazara hippolyte doerriesi
 Pseudochazara hippolyte mercurius
 Pseudochazara hippolyte pallida
 Pseudochazara hippolyte williamsi
 Pseudochazara orestes
 Pseudochazara tisiphone

Figure 3. Statistical Parsimony network of the 'hippolyte' species group. Coloured circles represent COI haplotypes and their size corresponds to the number of samples per haplotype. Small white circles represent unsampled haplotypes.

work analysis (Fig. 3) and the phylogeny (Fig. 5) show that *P. mniszechii tisiphone* is not a subspecies of *P. mniszechii* despite superficial resemblance in wing patterns and coloration. In fact, it is closely related to two other local endemics from the Balkan Peninsula, *P. cingovskii* and *P. orestes*. The presence of *P. mniszechii tisiphone* in the western part of Turkey, near Bursa (Hesselbarth et al. 1995) remains to be verified. The single haplotype of *P. euxina* is nestled among samples of *P. hippolyte*, so our preliminary results do not support its current status as a separate species. Within this clade *P. hippolyte williamsi* from southern Spain appears basally, however with low posterior probability and it is not monophyletic. All other described subspecies (*P. hippolyte pallida*, *P. hippolyte doerriesi*, *P. hippolyte mercurius*) are less distinct from the nominotypical subspecies, with two Central Asiatic subspecies (*P. hippolyte pallida*, *P. hippolyte mercurius*) sharing haplotypes.



Pseudochazara alpina
 Pseudochazara amymone
 Pseudochazara beroe
 Pseudochazara daghestana
 Pseudochazara geyeri
 Pseudochazara graeca
 Pseudochazara kermani
 Pseudochazara lydia
 Pseudochazara mamurra
 Pseudochazara schahkuhensis

Figure 4. Statistical Parsimony network of the 'mamurra' species group. Coloured circles represent COI haplotypes and their size corresponds to the number of samples per haplotype. Small white circles represent unsampled haplotypes.

The sister relationship of *P. thelephassa* and *P. anthelea*, which is indicated by genital morphology (the presence of a distinct costal process on the dorsal side of the valve) and wing pattern (the presence of a well-defined black area in the forewing discal cell)



Figure 5. Phylogeny of *Pseudochazara* species derived from the barcoding gene COI using Bayesian inference analysis. Values on major branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities. Branches with support lower than 50% were collapsed manually. Branch names combine taxon name and sample ID (see Appendix 1). Nomenclature follows Lukhtanov (2007).

(Aussem 1980b, Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis 2001), could not be corroborated as *P. anthelea* appears to be a sister clade to the '*hippolyte*' group *sensu strictu* with high posterior probability. *P. kanishka* from Tajikistan is a sister species of the *anthelea-hippolyte* clade, while *P. thelephassa* is sister taxon to the *antheleahippolyte-kanishka* clade, however, with low support. These results concur with wing pattern, i.e. a well-defined black area in the forewing discal cell, also present in specimens of *P. kanishka*.

It is important to note that the average genetic distance between two geographically separated subspecies, *P. anthelea anthelea* from Asia Minor and neighbouring islands, and *P. anthelea amalthea* from the Balkan Peninsula was 1.5%. This result is indicative for differentiation into distinct species as predicted by Kudrna et al. (2011).

In the TCS analysis, this group is split into 3 networks: a) the *hippolyte* clade *sensu stricto* (Fig. 3), b) *P. anthelea*, and c) *P. thelephassa*.

'Mamurra' group

The only two entirely Central Asian species available for analysis, *P. turkestana* and *P. lehana*, form a well-supported clade together with the 'mamurra' group, indicating their close relationship, but with a separate network for each in the TCS analysis. All other sequences form a single network (Fig. 4). Although the species sampling in Central Asia is incomplete, there is no evidence of a deep split between Asiatic and European/African taxa as predicted by Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis (2001). The 'mamurra' group is monophyletic, and includes several well-defined species (in terms of wing patterns, androconia and genitalia) with identical or very similar haplotypes. The following taxa could not be distinguished based on COI haplotypes as they do not form separate monophyletic clades: *P. mamurra*, *P. beroe*, *P. geyeri*, *P. daghestana*, *P. alpina*, and *P. lydia*. Only a single sequence was obtained for *P. geyeri* and *P. lydia*, so their position within this group is tentative. However, it is clear that *P. lydia* is closely related to *P. mamurra* with which it shares similarities e.g. the shape of the androconia (Wakeham-Dawson 2005). *P. alpina* shares the haplotype with *P. beroe* and they appear closely related, however, this is again based on the inclusion of a single sequence.

Within the 'mamurra' group the only well supported clade includes the taxa P. schahkuhensis, P. mamurra kermana, P. graeca and P. mamurra amymone. While P. schahkuhensis is sympatric in part of its range with P. mamurra, all other taxa have geographically isolated ranges. P. graeca and P. mamurra amymone are present in the southern part of the Balkan Peninsula with partial range overlap (Pamperis 2009). Both species are clearly morphologically distinct, but genetically not identifiable in COI haplotypes. Clearly this relationship puts in question the status of P. mamurra amymone as a subspecies of P. mamurra. The same conclusion can be drawn for P. mamurra kermana from Iran (Kerman province), which is also well placed within this clade as a sister species to both southern Balkan Peninsula taxa.

Discussion

Our study supports the monophyly of the genus *Pseudochazara* with high posterior probability values of the COI gene tree. Within the genus, however, two conflicting patterns appear with, unexpectedly, deep divergences between presumably conspecific taxa on the one hand and lack of divergence among well-defined species on the other. This is to some extent concordant with similar studies in related genera in the subfamily Satyrinae (Kodandaramaiah and Wahlberg 2009, Nazari et al. 2010, Kreuzinger et al. 2014). The basal position of *P. atlantis* from North-western Africa as sister group to all remaining Pseudochazara species falls into the first category. Based on distinct male genitalia morphology and wing shape/patterns P. anthelea and P. thelephassa were considered to form the basal split within the genus (Gross 1978, Aussem 1980b, Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis 2001). The basal position of P. atlantis is difficult to explain in terms of biogeography, as it indicates a North African origin of the genus, which has its centre of divergence much further eastwards in the Middle East (Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Tshikolovets 2011). P. atlantis is an alpine species distributed only in the Atlas Mountains of Morocco (Tennent 1996), therefore its isolation from the main distribution of the genus could possibly have preceded the last land bridge connections with Europe at the end of the Miocene (Garcia-Castellanos et al. 2009). Hence, its basal position could be an artefact of long-branch attraction (Bergsten 2005) and/or incomplete sampling of the entirely Asiatic species. Therefore, confirmation with additional genetic markers and additional sampling is required.

Another unexpected result is a deep split between *P. mniszechii* and *P. mniszechii* tisiphone, species which are very similar in wing patterns/coloration and considered conspecific in current literature (Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Kudrna et al. 2011, Tshikolovets 2011, Eckweiler 2012) and databases (Lukhtanov 2007, Savela 2015, Fauna Europaea 2016). Based on the COI gene tree *P. tisiphone* Brown, 1980 (stat. n.) is a separate species closely related to two local endemics from the southern part of the Balkan Peninsula, *P. orestes* and *P. cingovskii*. Actually *P. tisiphone* was originally described as a subspecies of *P. cingovskii* (Brown 1980) and its close relationship was hypothesised also by Wake-ham-Dawson and Dennis (2001) based on the similarity of the male genitalia. The low level of genetic differentiation between *P. tisiphone, P. orestes*, and *P. cingovskii* indicates a relatively recent speciation, however, we are inclined towards supporting their separate species status based on constant differences in wing patterns/coloration and also their ecological specialization (Pamperis 2009, Verovnik et al. 2013).

A split between *P. anthelea anthelea* from Asia Minor and *P. anthelea amalthea* from the Balkan Peninsula has been suggested based on minor differences in male genitalia and consistent differences in female wing coloration between both taxa (Olivier 1996, Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis 2001). They are considered separate morphospecies by Kudrna et al. (2011). We can agree with separate species status as the split between the two taxa is much older compared to almost no differentiation in three morphologically and ecologically well defined species: *P. tisiphone*, *P. orestes*, and *P. cingovskii*. Following this reasoning, *P. pelopea tekkensis* from NE Iran could also be considered a distinct species, however, inclusion of more samples is needed to confirm this status.

Given the high resolution of the basal clades within the COI gene tree, the lack of differentiation between taxa within the '*mamurra*' and '*pelopea*' group was unexpected. In particular, species like *P. geyeri* and *P. daghestana* are among the most easily recognisable species in the genus with uniform and very distinct wing patterns/coloration. There are several possible hypotheses to explain this lack of differentiation:

- Incomplete lineage sorting: recent speciation could result in unresolved relationships among these closely related species; however, well-defined species borders in terms of constant wing pattern differentiation coupled with broad overlaps in species ranges challenges this hypothesis.
- Recent gene flow: gene flow between closely related taxa is a known phenomenon (Descimon and Mallet 2009) and masks relationships among species especially with mitochondrial DNA (Gompert et al. 2008). The species involved have broadly overlapping ranges and could sometimes be found syntopic (Aussem 1980c, Hesselbarth et al. 1995), so hybridization is possible. Actually hybridization is documented even among the most distantly related species such as *P. anthelea* and *P. geyeri* (Aussem, 1980c). Nuclear markers with higher genetic resolution (e.g. microsatellites, SNPs) would be required to study the contact zones between these taxa to confirm ongoing gene flow. It must be noted that partial exclusion is evident when two or more *Pseudochazara* species are syntopic, as one is always dominant, while the others appear in very low frequencies (Hesselbarth et al. 1995, Verovnik et al. 2014).
- Pseudogenes or *Wolbachia* infections: both are common in invertebrates, particularly in arthropods (Bensasson et al. 2011, Gerth et al. 2014, Leite 2012, Ritter et al. 2013). As the vast majority of the haplotypes in the *'mamurra'* and *'pelopea'* clades originate from the BOLD database it is impossible to check or correct for this potential error.

The most enigmatic taxon among the 'mamurna' group is *P. mamurra amymone* from northern Greece and Albania (Eckweiler 2012, Verovnik et al. 2014). Apart from the author's original description (Brown 1976) little has been published regarding this elusive taxon for a long time. Failed attempts to locate the vaguely described type locality (Cuvelier 2010) have led to several misleading hypotheses, resulting in speculation that it may even be a rare hybrid between *P. tisiphone* and *P. anthelea* (Wakeham-Dawson and Dennis 2001, Kudrna et al. 2011). Somewhat surprisingly, the COI gene tree suggests it has a close relationship with *P. graeca*, another species from the southern Balkan Peninsula. These two taxa have distinct and constant wing patterns and differ in their habitat requirements, with *P. mamurra amymone* inhabiting steep and hot rocky gorges at lower elevation) species endemic to Greece (Anastassiu et al. 2009). Thus, despite paraphyly of *P. amymone* Brown, 1976 (stat. n.) in relation to *P. graeca*, we believe they both represent valid species within the 'mamurra' group. Consequently *P. kermana* Eckweiler, 2004

(stat. n.), sister species to *P. amymone* and *P. graeca* combined, should also be elevated to species rank, although additional populations of *P. mamurra* in Iran should be examined to confirm this status. Alternatively, all the taxa within the '*mamurra*' group, including the monophyletic *P. schakuhensis*, a sister species to the *amymone-graeca-kermana* clade, should be treated as a single very polymorphic species, a rather more destructive approach given the current taxonomy.

Although we are aware of the pitfalls of using single gene trees in the interpretation of phylogenetic patterns (Nichols 2001), we believe that strongly supported basal branching and splits between taxa, considered conspecific, represent valid insights into speciation in the *Pseudochazara* genus and together with distinct morphology and ecology allows species delimitation. Hence, we propose separate species status for the following taxa: *P. tisiphone, P. amalthea, P. amymone*, and *P. kermana*. This has important conservation implications, as most of these species are local endemics and therefore potentially threatened (Verovnik et al. 2014). Wider taxon sampling and inclusion of nuclear markers would undoubtedly help to a better understanding of the taxonomy of this fascinating butterfly genus.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to Wolfgang Eckweiler for his identification of several specimens from voucher photos housed in the BOLD database and we thank Evgeny V. Zakharov, Vlad Dinca and Axel Hausmann for their agreement to use unpublished DNA sequences from their projects in the BOLD database. We are thankful to our colleagues Tarkan Soyhan, Filip Franeta, Dubi Benyamini and Joseph Verhulst for providing additional samples of *Pseudochazara* for DNA analysis and Martin Gascoigne-Pees for checking the English. We also thank Niklas Wahlberg and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments to improve the manuscript.

References

- Anastassiu HT, Coutsis JG, Ghavalas N (2009) New data regarding the geographical distribution of *Pseudochazara graeca* in Greece, with notes about its wing coloration, the status of its ssp. *coutsisi* (= *zagoriensis*), as well as the supposed correlation between the HW underside ground colour and the geological character of the habitat in both *P. graeca* and *Hyponephele lycaon* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Phegea 37: 135–145. http://www.phegea.org/Phegea/2009/Phegea37-4_135-145.pdf
- Aussem B (1980a) Eine neue Satyride der Gattung *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 aus Afghanistan (Satyridae). Nota lepidopterologica 3: 5–15.
- Aussem B (1980b) Zur Kenntnis der Androkonienfelder von *Pseudochazara thelephassa* (Geyer, 1827) und *Pseudochazara anthelea* (Hübner, 1824) (Lepidoptera, Satyridae). Entomofauna Zeitschrift für Entomologie 17: 354–358.

- Aussem B (1980c) Ein Freiland-Hybrid der Gattung Pseudochazara (Lep., Satyridae). Entomologische Zeitschrift mit Insektenbörse 90: 161–165.
- Bensasson D, Zhang X, Hartl DL, Hewitt GM (2011) Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 16: 314–321. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02151-6
- Bergsten J (2005) A review of long-branch attraction. Cladistics 21: 163–193. doi: 10.1111/j. 1096-0031.2005.00059.x
- BOLD (2015) Barcoding Life. http://www.barcodinglife.com [accessed 15.10.2015]
- Brower AVZ (2006) Problems with DNA barcodes for species delimitation: 'ten species' of *Astraptes fulgerator* reassessed (Lepidoptera: Hesperiidae). Systematics and Biodiversity 4: 127–132. doi: 10.1017/S147720000500191X
- Brown J (1976) A review of the genus *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 (Lepidoptera, Satyridae) in Greece. Entomologist's Gazette 27: 85–90.
- Brown J (1980) On the status of a little known satyrid butterfly from Greece. Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation 92: 280–281.
- Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Molecular Ecology 9: 1657–1660. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x
- Descimon H, Mallet J (2009) Bad species. In: Settele J, Shreeve TG, Konvicka M, Van Dyck H (Eds) Ecology of Butterflies in Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 219–249.
- Dinca V, Zakharov EV, Hebert PDN, Vila R (2011) Complete DNA barcode reference library for a country's butterfly fauna reveals high performance for temperate Europe. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 278: 347–355. doi: 10.1098/ rspb.2010.1089
- Eckweiler W (2004) Die Verbreitung und subspezifische Gliederung von *Pseudochazara mamurra* (Herrich-Schäffer, [1846]) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N.F. 25: 9–14.
- Eckweiler W (2012) New discoveries of *Pseudochazara mamurra amymone* Brown, 1976 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N.F. 33: 1–4.
- Eckweiler W, Rose K (1988) Identität, Verbreitung und subspezifische Gliederung von *Pseudochazara lydia* (Staudinger, 1878) (Lepidoptera, Satyridae). Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N.F. 9: 213–223.
- Fauna Europaea (2016) Fauna Europaea version 2.5. Web Service available online at http:// www.faunaeur.org [accessed on 6.3.2016]
- Folmer OM, Black M, Hoeh R, Lutz R, Vrijehoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 5: 304–313. http://www.mbari.org/staff/ vrijen/PDFS/Folmer_94MMBB.pdf
- Garcia-Castellanos D, Estrada F, Jiménez-Munt I, Gorini C, Fernàndez M, Vergés J, De Vicente R (2009) Catastrophic flood of the Mediterranean after the Messinian salinity crisis. Nature 462: 778–781. doi: 10.1038/nature08555

- Gascoigne-Pees M, Verovnik R, Franeta F, Popović M (2014) The lifecycle and ecology of *Pseudochazara amymone* (Brown, 1976) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, N.F. 35: 129–138.
- Gerth M, Gansauge MT, Weigert A, Bleidorn C (2014) Phylogenomic analyses uncover origin and spread of the *Wolbachia* pandemic. Nature Communications 5: 5117. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms6117
- Gompert Z, Forister ML, Fordyce JA, Nice CC (2008) Widespread mito-nuclear discordance with evidence for introgressive hybridization and selective sweeps in *Lycaeides*. Molecular Ecology 17: 5231–5244. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03988.x
- Gross FJ (1978) Beitrag zur Systematik von *Pseudochazara*-Arten (Lep., Satyridae). Atalanta 9: 41–103. http://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Atalanta_9_0041-0103.pdf
- Hebert PDN, Penton EH, Burns JM, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W (2004) Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101: 14812–14817. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0406166101
- Hesselbarth G, van Oorschot H, Wagener S (1995) Die Tagfalter der Türkei unter Berücksichtigung der angrenzenden Länder. Selbstverlag Sigbert Wagener, Bocholt, Germany, 2201 pp.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17: 754–755. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754
- Kodandaramaiah U, Wahlberg N (2009) Phylogeny and biogeography of *Coenonympha* butterflies (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) – patterns of colonization in the Holarctic. Systematic Entomology 34: 315–323. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2008.00453.x
- Kreuzinger AJ, Fiedler K, Letsch H, Grill A (2014) Tracing the radiation of *Maniola* (Nymphalidae) butterflies: new insights from phylogeography hint at one single incompletely differentiated species complex. Ecology and Evolution 18: 1153–1161. doi: 10.1002/ ece3.1338
- Kudrna O, Harpke A, Lux K, Pennerstorfer J, Schweiger O, Settele J, Wiemers M (2011) Distribution atlas of butterflies in Europe. Gesellschaft f
 ür Schmetterlingsschutz, Halle, 576 pp.
- Lorković Z (1974) Die Verteilung der Varibilität von *Hipparchia statilinus* Hufn. (Lepid., Satyridae) in Beziehung zum Karstboden des ostadratischen Küstenlandes. Acta entomologica Jugoslavica 10: 41–53.
- Leite LAR (2012) Mitochondrial pseudogenes in insect DNA barcoding: differing points of view on the same issue. Biota Neotropica 12: 301–308. doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032012000300029
- Lukhtanov VA (2007) Nymphalidae: Satyrinae. In: Global Butterfly Names Project. Global Butterfly Names – http://www.ucl.ac.uk/taxome/gbn/ [accessed 16.1.2015]
- Lukhtanov VA, Novikova AV (2015) Interpretation of mitochondrial diversity in terms of taxonomy: a case study of *Hyponephele lycaon* species complex in Israel (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). ZooKeys 538: 21–34. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.538.6689
- Nazari V (2003) Butterflies of Iran. Dayereye Sabz publications, Tehran, 564 pp.

- Nazari V, Sperling FAH (2007) Mitochondrial DNA divergence and phylogeography in western Palaearctic Parnassiinae (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae): How many species are there? Insect Systematics & Evolution 38: 121–138. doi: 10.1163/187631207788783996
- Nazari V, Ten Hagen W, Bozano GC (2010) Molecular systematics and phylogeny of the 'Marbled Whites' (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae, *Melanargia* Meigen). Systematic Entomology 35: 132–147. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2009.00493.x
- Nichols R (2001) Gene trees and species trees are not the same. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16: 358–364. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02203-0
- Olivier A (1996) Notes on the taxonomic status and supposed biogeographic affinity of the *Pseudochazara anthelea* (Hübner, [1824]) populations from Kípros (Cyprus) and from the Greek island of Kós (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae Satyrinae). Phegea 24: 5–12. http://uahost.uantwerpen.be/vve/Phegea/1996/Phegea24-1_5-12.pdf
- Pagès J (2007) Une nouvelle espèce de *Pseudochazara* du Pakistan (Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Nota lepidopterologica 30: 361–365. http://www.soceurlep.eu/uploads/nota/bd30_2/08_ Pag%E8s.pdf
- Pazhenkova EA, Zakharov EV, Lukhtanov VA (2015) DNA barcoding reveals twelve lineages with properties of phylogenetic and biological species within *Melitaea didyma* sensu lato (Lepidoptera, Nymphalidae). ZooKeys 538: 35–46. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.538.6605
- Peña C, Nylin S, Wahlberg N (2011) The radiation of Satyrini butterflies (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae): a challenge for phylogenetic methods. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 161: 64–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00627.x
- Pamperis LN (2009) The Butterflies of Greece. Editions Pamperis, Athens, 768 pp.
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25: 1253–1256. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msn083
- Rambaut A (2014) Figtree v1.4.2. Computer program and documentation distributed by the author. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software [accessed 15.10.2014]
- Ritter S, Michalski SG, Settele J, Wiemers M, Fric ZF, Sielezniew M, Šašić M, Rozier Y, Durka W (2013) Wolbachia infections mimic cryptic speciation in two parasitic butterfly species, *Phengaris teleius* and *P. nausithous* (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). PLoS ONE 8: 1–13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078107
- Savela M (2015) Lepidoptera and some other life forms. FUNET database http://www.nic. funet.fi/pub/sci/bio/life/insecta/lepidoptera/ [accessed 6.1.2015]
- Song H, Buhay JE, Whiting MF, Crandall KA (2008) Many species in one: DNA barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudo-genes are coamplified. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105: 13486–13491. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0803076105
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Tennent J (1996) The Butterflies of Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia. Gem Publishing Company, Oxfordshire, 217 pp.
- Toews DPL, Brelsford A (2012) The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance in animals. Molecular Ecology 21: 3907–3930. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05664.x

- Tshikolovets VV (2005) The Butterflies of Ladak (N.-W. India) (Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera). Tshikolovets publications, Brno–Kyiv, 176 pp.
- Tshikolovets VV (2011) Butterflies of Europe & the Mediterranean area. Tshikolovets publications, Pardubice, Czech Republic, 544 pp.
- Verovnik R, Micevski B, Maes D, Wynhoff I, van Swaay C, Warren M (2013) Conserving Europe's most endangered butterfly: the Macedonian Grayling (*Pseudochazara cingovskii*). Journal of Insect Conservation 17: 941–947. doi: 10.1007/s10841-013-9576-6
- Verovnik R, Popović M, Šašić M, Cuvelier S, Maes D (2014) Wanted! Dead or alive: the tale of the Brown's Grayling (*Pseudochazara amymone*). Journal of Insect Conservation 18: 675–682. doi: 10.1007/s10841-014-9674-0
- Verovnik R, Sket B, Trontelj P (2004) Phylogeography of subterranean and surface populations of water lice Asellus aquaticus (Crustacea: Isopoda). Molecular Ecology 13: 1519–1532. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02171.x
- Wakeham-Dawson A (2005) Further descriptions of androconia from Staudinger's *Pseudochaz-ara* de Lesse, 1951 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) type specimens in the Zoologisches Museum der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. Entomologist's Gazette 56: 139–146.
- Wakeham-Dawson A (2006) Descriptions of wing androconia from some *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951(Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) type specimens in The Natural History Museum, London. Entomologist's Gazette 57: 99–107.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Kudrna O (2000) A quantitative description of androconia from Staudinger's *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) type specimens in the Zoological Museum of the Humboldt University of Berlin. Entomologist's Gazette 51: 75–81.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Dennis RLH (2001) A quantitative description of the male genitalia of 23 taxa of *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Entomologist's Gazette 52: 227–250.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Parker R, John E, Dennis RLH (2003) Comparison of the male genitalia and androconia of *Pseudochazara anthelea acamanthis* (Rebel, 1916) from Cyprus, *Pseudochazara anthelea anthelea* (Hübner, 1924) from mainland Turkey and *Pseudochazara anthelea amalthea* (Frivaldsky, 1845) from mainland Greece (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae). Nota lepidopterologica 25: 251–263.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Kudrna O (2005) Further descriptions of androconia from Staudinger's *Pseudochazara* de Lesse, 1951 (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae) type specimens in the Zoologisches Museum der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. Entomologist's Gazette 56: 139–146.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Kudrna O (2006) Description of wing androconia from the lectotype of *Pseudochazara caucasica* (Lederer, 1864) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae, Satyrinae), with notes on the topotype wing androconia of related taxa. Entomologist's Gazette 57: 137–141.
- Wakeham-Dawson A, Kudrna O, Dennis RLH (2007) Description of androconia in the Palaearctic Asian *Pseudochazara baldiva* (Moore, 1865) butterfly species-group (Nymphalidae: Satyrinae) with designation of two lectotypes and reference to type and other material in the Natural History Museum, London. Nota lepidopterologica 30: 211–223. http://www. soceurlep.eu/uploads/nota/bd30_2/01_Wakeham-Dawson.pdf

- Weiss JC (1980) Le genre *Pseudochazara* de Lesse en Europe et en Afrique du Nord. Description d'une sous-espèce nouvelle de *Ps. hippolyte* Esper (Lep.: Satyridae). Linneana Belgica 8: 98–108.
- Wiemers M, Fiedler K (2007) Does the DNA barcoding gap exist? a case study in blue butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). Frontiers in Zoology 4: 8. doi: 10.1186/1742-9994-4-8
- Yakovlev RV (2012) Checklist of Butterflies (Papilionoidea) of the Mongolian Altai Mountains, including descriptions of new taxa. Nota lepidopterologica 35: 51–96. http://www. soceurlep.eu/uploads/nota/bd35_1/07_Yakovlev.pdf
- Yang Z, Landry J-F, Handfield L, Zhang Y, Solis MA, Handfield D, Scholtens BG, Mutanen M, Nuss M, Hebert PDN (2012) DNA barcoding and morphology reveal three cryptic species of *Anania* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae: Pyraustinae) in North America, all distinct from their European counterpart. Systematic Entomology 37: 686–705. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.2012.00637.x

×
·
σ
Ð
D
٩
1

Table 1. List of samples of the genus Pseudochazana included in the barcoding analysis (either own samples with "LA" ID or from BOLD).

ID	GenBank	Species	Location	Lat	Long	Date	Legit
LA16	KU499958	Pseudochazara mamurra amymone	Baboshtice, Körce, Albania	40°31.038'N	20°47.647'E	11.vii.2012	Rudi Verovnik
LA17	KU499959	Pseudochazara mniszechii tisiphone	Baboshtice, Körce, Albania	40°31.038'N	20°47.647'E	11.vii.2012	Rudi Verovnik
LA19	KU499960	Pseudochazara mamurra amymone	Devoll Gorge, Körce, Albania	40°42.576'N	20°31.446'E	10.vii.2012	Rudi Verovnik
LA24	KU499961	Pseudochazara cingovskii	Pletvar Pass, Prilep, Macedonia	41°22.456'N	21°38.805'E	14.vii.2010	Rudi Verovnik
LA28	KU499962	Pseudochazara mniszechii	Sivas, Turkey	39°41.519'N	36°59.877'E	22.vii.2009	Tarkan Soyhan
LA29	KU499963	Pseudochazara mniszechii	Eskişehir, Turkey	39°43.801'N	30°31.428'E	16.vi.2007	Tarkan Soyhan
LA75	KU499964	Pseudochazara geyeri occidentalis	Galičica Pass, Macedonia	40°57.379'N	20°48.961'E	30.vii.2013	Filip Franeta
LA76	KU499965	Pseudochazara orestes	Falakro Mt., Greece	41°16.138'N	24°3.947'E	7.vii.2013	Filip Franeta
LA77	KU499966	Pseudochazara graeca	Katara Pass, Metsova, Greece	39°47.580'N	21°12.272'E	22.vii.2012	Filip Franeta
LA78	KU499967	Pseudochazara orestes	Granitis, Drama, Greece	41°18.533'N	23°54.862'E	27.vii.2013	Rudi Verovnik
LA79	KU499968	Pseudochazara graeca	Katara Pass, Metsova, Greece	39°47.580'N	21°12.272'E	26.vii.2013	Rudi Verovnik
LA80	KU499969	Pseudochazara mniszechii tisiphone	Drenovë, Korcë, Albania	40°35.352'N	20°48.508'E	21.vii.2013	Rudi Verovnik
LA81	KU499970	Pseudochazara mniszechii tisiphone	Drenovë, Korcë, Albania	40°35.352'N	20°48.508'E	21.vii.2013	Rudi Verovnik
LA82	KU499971	Pseudochazara pelopea	Mt. Hermon, Israel	33°19.766'N	35°47.243'E	2013	Dubi Benyamini
LA83	KU499972	Pseudochazara pelopea	Mt. Hermon, Israel	33°19.766'N	35°47.243'E	2013	Dubi Benyamini
LA84	KU499973	Pseudochazara cingovskii	Pletvar Pass, Prilep, Macedonia	41°22.456'N	21°38.805'E	2013	Filip Francta
LA85	KU499974	Pseudochazara cingovskii	Pletvar Pass, Prilep, Macedonia	41°22.456'N	21°38.805'E	2013	Filip Francta
LA86	KU499975	Pseudochazara anthelea amalthea	Veles, Topolka, Macedonia	41°41.915'N	21°46.927'E	2010	Filip Franeta
LA87	KU499976	Pseudochazara anthelea amalthea	Mt. Parnassos, Greece	38°31.233'N	22°36.566'E	2010	Filip Franeta
LA88	KU499977	Pseudochazara anthelea amalthea	Drenovë, Korcë, Albania	40°35.352'N	20°48.508'E	2013	Filip Franeta
LA89	KU499978	Pseudochazara mamurra birgit	Mt. Aladaglar, Turkey	37°47.568'N	35°9.242'E	2006	Filip Franeta
LA90	KU499979	Pseudochazara mniszechii	Mt. Aladaglar, Turkey	37°47.568'N	35°9.242'E	2006	Filip Francta
LA92	KU499980	Pseudochazara graeca	Mt. Iti, Greece	38°49.333'N	22°16.635'E	1999	Filip Franeta
LA94	KU499981	Pseudochazara mamurra amymone	Drenovë, Korcë, Albania	40°35.352'N	20°48.508'E	2013	Filip Franeta
LA95	KU499982	Pseudochazara mamurra amymone	Devoll Gorge, Körce, Albania	40°42.576'N	20°31.446'E	2013	Filip Franeta
LA97	KU499983	Pseudochazara lydia obscura	Mersin, Turkey	36°57.017'N	34°23.019'E	12.vii.2010	Tarkan Soyhan
LA124	KU499984	Pseudochazara lehana	Saabo Digur La, Ladakh, India	34°10.554'N	77°39.529'E	15.vii.2013	Joseph Verhulst

Legit	Tikhonov V.	Tikhonov V.	Tikhonov V.	A. Petrov	A. Petrov	P. Hofmann	P. Hofmann	P. Hofmann	Westphal	Westphal	Grieshuber	P. Hofmann	P. Hofmann	Hacz-Köszegi	Hacz-Köszegi	Hacz-Köszegi	P. Hofmann	P. Hofmann	P. Hofmann
Date	31.vii.2011	31.vii.2011	12.viii.2011	26.v.2001	26.v.2001	28.v.2002	24.v.2002	21.v.2002	10.vii.2001	10.vii.2001	08.vii.2006	28.v.1999	19.vi.2001	13.vii.2000	14.vii.2000	15.vii.2000	16.vi.2001	16.vi.2001	16.vi.2001
Long	45.8697	45.8697	43.9989								84.7942								
Lat	40.6989	40.6989	42.6956								44.0939								
Location	Azerbaijan: near Shamkir, 1300 m	Azerbaijan: near Shamkir, 1300 m	Russia: North Ossetia-Alania, rv. Ardon, Skasan, 1850 m	Tajikistan: Khodra-Mumin Mnt.	Tajikistan: Khodra-Mumin Mnt.	Iran: Char Mahall-o-Bahtiyari, Sahr-e-Kord, 2000 m	Iran: Kerman, Kuh-e-Madvar, 5 km S Jowzan, 2400–2600 m	Iran: Kerman, Kuh-e-Segoch, Mahan Pass, 2400–2600 m	Iran: Azarbayjan-e-Sharqi, N Taran, Kuh-e- Sabalan, 2900–3000 m	Iran: Azarbayjan-e-Sharqi, N Taran, Kuh-e- Sabalan, 2900–3000 m	China: Xinjiang, Tian Shan, Borohoro Shan, 40 km SSW Kytun, 1850–2050 m	Iran: Kerman, Kuh-e-Madvar, 5 km S Jowzan, 2200–2400 m	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, 15 km E Emam Qoli, N Quchan, 2100–2200 m	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, Qoucan, 1800 m	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, Qoucan, 1800 m	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, Qoucan, 1800 m	Iran: Tehran, Elburs, Tuchal, 2400–2600 m	Iran: Tehran, Elburs, Tuchal, 2400–2600 m	Iran: Tehran, Elburs, Tuchal, 2400–2600 m
Species	Pseudochazara mamurra	Pseudochazara mamurra	Pseudochazara alpina	Pseudochazara kanishka	Pseudochazara kanishka	Pseudochazara thelephassa	Pseudochazara thelephassa	Pseudochazara thelephassa	Pseudochazara dagestana savalanica	Pseudochazara dagestana savalanica	Pseudochazara hippolyte mercurius	Pseudochazara mamurra kermana	Pseudochazara schabkuhensis	Pseudochazara schahkuhensis	Pseudochazara schahkuhensis	Pseudochazara schahkuhensis	Pseudochazara mamurra schahrudensis	Pseudochazara mamurra schabrudensis	Pseudochazara mamurra schahrudensis
GenBank																			
ID	BPAL1699-12	BPAL1700-12	BPAL1703-12	BPAL2136-13	BPAL2137-13	BPAL2138-13	BPAL2139-13	BPAL2140-13	BPAL2141-13	BPAL2142-13	BPAL2145-13	BPAL2147-13	BPAL2152-13	BPAL2153-13	BPAL2154-13	BPAL2155-13	BPAL2156-13	BPAL2158-13	BPAL2159–13

ID	GenBank	Species	Location	Lat	Long	Date	Legit
BPAL2160-13		Pseudochazara mamurra mamurra	Turkey: Artvin, Kilickaya, 1100–1200 m			01.vi.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2162-13		Pseudochazara mamurra mamurra	Turkey: Erzurum, Dikmen, SW Üzundere, 1300 m			16.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2172-13		Pseudochazara mamurra sintenisi	Turkey: Bayburt, 5 km N Bayburt, 1500 m			10.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2173-13		Pseudochazara mamurra sintenisi	Turkey: Erzincan, 5 km SE Caglayan, 1400 m			08.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2174-13		Pseudochazara mamurra sintenisi	Turkey: Gümüshane, Demirkaynak, 13 km SW Torul, 1100 m			06.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2175-13		Pseudochazara mniszechii caucasica	Turkey: Bayburt, 5 km N Bayburt, 1500 m			10.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2176-13		Pseudochazara mniszechii caucasica	Turkey: Erzincan, 5 km SE Caglayan, 1400 m			08.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2177-13		Pseudochazara mniszechii caucasica	Turkey: Erzurum, road Bayburt-Ispir, Laleli, 1300–1400 m			11.vii.1998	P. Hofmann
BPAL2178-13		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Iran: Char Mahall-o-Bahtiyari, Sahr-e-Kord, 2000 m			28.v.2002	P. Hofmann
BPAL2179-13		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Iran: Kerman, Kuh-e-Madvar, 5 km S Jowzan, 2400–2600 m			24.v.2002	P. Hofmann
BPAL2180-13		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Iran: Kerman, Kuh-e-Madvar, 5 km S Jowzan, 2400–2600 m			24.v.2002	P. Hofmann
BPAL2181-13		Pseudochazana pelopea tekkensis	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, 15 km E Emam Qoli, N Quchan, 2100–2200 m			19.vi.2001	P. Hofmann
BPAL2182-13		Pseudochazara beroe aurantiaca	Iran: Tehran, Elburs, 15 km NE Firuzkuh pass, 1300–2400 m			24.vii.2000	P. Hofmann
BPAL2183-13		Pseudochazara beroe aurantiaca	Iran: Mazandaran, Khosh-Yeylaq, 65 km NE Shahrud, 2000–2100 m			23.vi.2001	P. Hofmann
BPAL2185-13		Pseudochazara beroe aurantiaca	Iran: Khorasan, Kopet Dagh, 15 km E Emam Qoli, N Quchan, 2100–2200 m			19.vi.2001	P. Hofmann
BPAL2245-13		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			22.vi.2013	V.A.Lukhtanov & A.V.Novikova
BPAL2246-13		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			22.vi.2013	V.A.Lukhtanov & A.V.Novikova
BPAL2247-13		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			22.vi.2013	V.A.Lukhtanov & A.V.Novikova
BPAL2281-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Syria: Bloudan, 1500 m			16.vii.1999	A, Salk

Species delimitation in the Grayling genus Pseudochazara...

D	GenBank	Species	Location	Lat	Long	Date	Legit
L2282–14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Syria: Bloudan, 1500 m			16.vii.1999	A, Salk
NL2692-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			03.vii.2014	V.Lukhtanov & A. Novikova
AL2701-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			03.vii.2014	V.Lukhtanov & A. Novikova
AL2702-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			03.vii.2014	V.Lukhtanov & A. Novikova
AL2728-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			04.vii.2014	V.Lukhtanov
AL2731-14		Pseudochazara pelopea pelopea	Israel			04.vii.2014	V.Lukhtanov
LEP451-14		Pseudochazara euxina	Ukraine			11.vii.2007	local collector
LEP452-14		Pseudochazara euxina	Ukraine			11.vii.2007	local collector
LEP453-14		Pseudochazara euxina	Ukraine			11.vii.2007	local collector
LEP487-14		Pseudochazara hippolyte hippolyte	Russia	52.65	59.5667	23.vii.1998	K. Nupponen
LEP488-14		Pseudochazara hippolyte hippolyte	Russia	51.8	57.0833	14.vii.1998	K. Nupponen
HBA660-07		Pseudochazara doerriesi	Russia	51.717	94.4	17.vii.2000	Oleg Kosterin
HBA661-07		Pseudochazara doerriesi	Russia	51.717	94.4	17.vii.2000	Oleg Kosterin
HBA662-07		Pseudochazara doerriesi	Russia	51.717	94.4	17.vii.2000	Oleg Kosterin
HBA899-07		Pseudochazara doerriesi	Russia	51.7667	91.9333	30.vi.2004	Oleg Kosterin
HBA900-07		Pseudochazara doerriesi	Russia	51.7667	91.9333	30.vi.2004	Oleg Kosterin
ROM089-08	HQ004207	Chazara briseis	Romania: Transylvania: Suatu	46.783	23.95	16.viii.2006	Dinca Vlad
ROM848-08	HQ004205	Chazara briseis	Romania: Transylvania: Suatu	46.799	23.959	16.viii.2006	Dinca Vlad
SPM470-09	GU676107	Pseudochazara hippolyte	Spain: Granada: San Juan (Sierra Nevada)	37.094	-3.115	16.vii.2009	Dinca V.
SPN732-09	GU676410	Pseudochazara hitppolyte	Spain: Granada: Laguna Seca, Hueneja	37.097	-2.97	18.vii.2008	S. Montagud , J. A. Garcia-Alama & J. Garcia
SPN733-09	GU676411	Pseudochazara hippolyte	Spain: Granada: Laguna Seca, Hueneja	37.097	-2.97	18.vii.2008	S. Montagud , J. A. Garcia-Alama & J. Garcia
SPN735-09	GU676413	Pseudochazara hippolyte	Spain: Granada: Laguna Seca, Hueneja	37.097	-2.97	18.vii.2008	S. Montagud , J. A. Garcia-Alama & J. Garcia

D	GenBank	Species	Location	Lat	Long	Date	Legit
EZSPN736–09	GU676406	Pseudochazara hippolyte	Spain: Granada: Laguna Seca, Hueneja	37.097	-2.97	18.vii.2008	S. Montagud , J. A. Garcia-Alama & J. Garcia
EZSPN791-09	GU676354	Pseudochazara hippolyte	Spain: Granada: North-East Granada province	37.097	-2.97	23.vii.2008	Gil, Felipe
GWOSF831-10	JF850408	Pseudochazara anthelea anthelea	Cyprus	34.9559	32.9951	05.vi.2010	M. Seizmair
IRANB276-08		Pseudochazara beroe beroe	Iran	38.583	44.367	29.vii.2002	Vazrick Nazari
IRANB278–08		Pseudochazara beroe beroe	Iran	38.583	44.367	29.vii.2002	Vazrick Nazari
IRANB279–08		Pseudochazara beroe beroe	Iran	37.776	46.445	22.vi.2001	Vazrick Nazari
IRANB285–08		Pseudochazara beroe aurantiaca	Iran	36.12	51.2	16.viii.2000	Vazrick Nazari
IRANB292–08		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Iran	34.603	47.055	01.vii.2001	Vazrick Nazari
LOWA019-06	FJ663351	Chazara enervata	Kazakhstan: Tienschan: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	11.vi.2000	V.Lukhtanov
LOWA021-06	FJ663349	Chazara enervata	Kazakhstan: Tienschan: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	11.vi.2000	V.Lukhtanov
LOWA022-06	FJ663347	Chazara briseis magna	Kazakhstan: Tienschan: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	11.vi.2000	V.Lukhtanov
LOWA024-06	FJ664025	Pseudochazara turkestana turkestana	Kazakhstan: Tienschan: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	11.vi.2000	V.Lukhtanov
LOWA150-06	FJ664021	Pseudochazara hippolyte pallida	Russia	50.1	88.417	07.vii.1999	V.Lukhtanov
LOWA315-06	FJ663353	Chazana heydemeichi	Kazakhstan: Ust-Kamenogorsk Region: Kendyrlik	47.5	85.183	14.vii.1997	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA316-06	FJ663352	Chazara heydemeichi	Kazakhstan: Ust-Kamenogorsk Region: Kendyrlik	47.5	85.183	14.vii.1997	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA516-06	FJ664024	Pseudochazara turkestana turkestana	Kyrgyzstan: Gultcha distr.: Chiitala	39.85	73.333	29.vii.1995	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA517-06	FJ664023	Pseudochazara turkestana turkestana	Kyrgyzstan: Gultcha distr.: Chiitala	39.85	73.333	29.vii.1995	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA608-06	FJ663348	Chazara briseis maracandica	Uzbekistan: Kashkardarinskaya obl.: Tamshush	38.967	67.4	20.vi.1994	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA680-06	FJ664020	Pseudochazara hippolyte mercurius	Kazakhstan: Dzhambulskaya obl.: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	28.vi.1993	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA681-06	FJ664019	Pseudochazara hippolyte mercurius	Kazakhstan: Dzhambulskaya obl.: Kurdai Pass	43.333	74.95	28.vi.1993	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA787-06	FJ664018	Pseudochazara hippolyte hippolyte	Kazakhstan	47.4	83.917	22.vi.1997	V. Lukhtanov
LOWA788-06	FJ664022	Pseudochazara turkestana tarbagata	Kazakhstan	47.4	83.917	22.vi.1997	V. Lukhtanov
LOWAB040-07		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov
LOWAB041-07		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov
LOWAB046-07		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov
LOWAB046-07		Pseudochazara pelopea caucasica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov

ID	GenBank	Species	Location	Lat	Long	Date	Legit
LOWAB047-07		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov
LOWAB048-07		Pseudochazara pelopea persica	Armenia	40.083	44.917		Andrei Sourakov
WMB1212-13		Pseudochazara atlantis	Morocco	33.025	-5.071	01.vii.2011	Vila, R., Dinca, V. & Voda, R.
WMB1213-13		Pseudochazara atlantis	Morocco	33.025	-5.071	01.vii.2011	Vila, R., Dinca, V. & Voda, R.
WMB2163-13		Pseudochazara atlantis	Morocco	31.09	-7.915	15.vii.2012	Tarrier, Michel