Neuro-Oncology 24:i1–i190, 2022. doi:10.1093/neuonc/noac079

NEURO-ONCOLOGY

Abstracts

ABSTRACT CATEGORY CODES

- ATRT ATYPICAL TERATOID RHABDOID TUMOR
- RARE CRANIOPHARYNGIOMA AND RARE TUMORS
- DIPG DIFFUSE MIDLINE GLIOMA/DIPG
- DDEL DRUG DELIVERY/PHARMACOKINETICS
- EPCT EARLY PHASE CLINICAL TRIALS
- EPCT EPENDYMOMA
- EPID EPIDEMIOLOGY
- ETMR ETMR AND OTHER EMBRYONAL TUMORS
- GCT GERM CELL TUMORS
- HGG HIGH GRADE GLIOMA
- IMG IMAGING
- IMMU IMMUNOTHERAPY
- LGG LOW GRADE GLIOMA
- MEDB MEDULLOBLASTOMA
- NFB NEUROFIBROMATOSIS AND OTHER PREDISPOSITION SYNDROMES
- QOL NEUROPSYCHOLOGY/QUALITY OF LIFE
- SURG NEUROSURGERY
- NURS NURSING
- OTHR OTHERS (NOT FITTING ANY OTHER CATEGORY
- PATH PATHOLOGY/CLASSIFICATION
- LINC PEDIATRIC NEURO-ONCOLOGY IN LOW/MIDDLE IN-COME COUNTRIES
- MODL PRECLINICAL MODELS/EXPERIMENTAL THERAPY/ DRUG DISCOVERY
- RONC RADIATION ONCOLOGY
- SWK SOCIAL WORK/PATIENT SUPPORT/PALLIATIVE CARE
- TBIO TUMOR BIOLOGY (NOT FITTING A SPECIFIC DISEASE CATEGORY)
- THER VIRAL/GENE THERAPY AND OTHER NOVEL THERAPIES

INSP - INVITED SPEAKERS

ATYPICAL TERATOID RHABDOID TUMOR

ATRT-01. RECONSTITUTION OF CGAS/ STING PATHWAY VIA EPIGENETIC REPROGRAMMING LEADS TO ANTI-VIRAL INFLAMMATORY SIGNALING IN ATYPICAL TERATOID RHABDOID TUMORS (ATRTS) Avani Mangoli, Seetha Hariharan, David Ashley, Rebecca Fuller, Michelle Bowie, Aaron Briley, Michael Brown, Janell Hostettler; Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

BACKGROUND: Atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumors (ATRTs) are highly aggressive brain tumors that affect young children characterized by biallelic inactivation of the SMARCB1 gene. Though patients benefit from multimodal therapy, there is no improvement in overall survival which necessitates the exploration of alternative approaches. Innate-based immune and epigenetic therapies have shown benefits in several cancers. The role of innate immune signaling has not been investigated in ATRTs. Our previous data from several ATRT cell lines showed loss of expression of key innate signaling components, like cGAS and STING that are needed for

sensing extracellular dsDNA. Additionally, ATRT cell lines do not respond to STING agonists, like cGAMP or ISD. RESULTS: Co-treatment of ATRT cell lines, BT-12 and BT-16 with two epigenetic modulators, panobinostat and decitabine, leads to re-expression of cGAS and STING in a timedependent manner. Furthermore, treatment with decitabine alone leads to demethylation of several CpG sites on the STING promoter and increased expression of STING mRNA. Panobinostat and decitabine co-treatment reconstitute STING-mediated innate signaling, as measured by IRF-3 and STAT1 phosphorylation and production of ISG-15 and IFIT-1 after treatment with cGAMP, a STING agonist. Co-treatment with panobinostat and decitabine also induced expression of antiviral pro-inflammatory chemokines/cytokines in ATRT cell lines, including type III IFN, IL-6, IL-8, IL-28, and IL-29. CONCLUSION: Our data suggest that ATRT cell lines are unresponsive to innate agonists possibly due to the loss of expression of key innate immune components. However, the cGAS/STING pathway is reactivated by epigenetic drugs, specifically the combination of panobinostat and decitabine. This is further potentiated by treating with STING agonists like cGAMP. Combination treatment of ATRT cell lines with panobinostat and decitabine also induced antiviral inflammatory signaling. This response could be a potential treatment modality to inhibit tumor growth and/or mediate cancer immunotherapy in these aggressive tumors.

ATRT-02. NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL FUNCTION IN INFANT ATYPICAL TERATOID/RHABDOID TUMOR VERSUS LOW-GRADE GLIOMA SURVIVORS REFLECTS TUMOR MALIGNANCY AND MULTIMODAL TREATMENT

Thomas Traunwieser¹, Elena Loos¹, Karolina Nemes¹, Daniela Kandels¹, Petra Neumayer¹, Anne Neumann-Holbeck², Peggy Lüttich³, Katja Baust⁴, Kristin Faulstich-Ritter⁵, Rainer John⁶, Andrea Kreisch⁷, Eva Manteufel⁸, Alexandra Nest⁹, Jenny Prüfe¹⁰, Lisa Schubert¹¹, Joy Siebrands¹², Walther Stamm¹³, Beate Timmermann¹⁴, Joachim Gerss¹⁵, Astrid K. Gnekow¹, Michael C. Frühwald¹; ¹Swabian Children's Cancer Research Center, Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Medical Faculty, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany. ²Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Medical Center Hamburg Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany. ³Hopp Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg (KiTZ), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany. ⁴Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ⁵Ulm University Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Ulm, Germany. 6Center for Chronically Sick Children (SPZ) Department Pediatric Hematology and Oncology; Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany. 7Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital and Medical Faculty Carl-Gustav-Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany. 8Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Justus-Liebig University of Giessen, Giessen, Germany. 9Department of Pediatric Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology and Stem Cell Transplantation, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany. ¹⁰Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Pediatrics III, University Hospital of Essen, Essen, Germany, ¹¹Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany. ¹²Department of Neuropediatrics, University Hospital of the Goethe-University Frankfurt/M, Frankfurt/M, Germany. ¹³Department of Pediatrics and Children's Cancer Research Center, TUM School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Kinderklinik München Schwabing, Munich, Germany. 14Department of Particle Therapy, University Hospital Essen, West German Proton Therapy Centre Essen (WPE), West German Cancer Center (WTZ), Germany, German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Essen, Germany. 15 Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Research, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

BACKGROUND: Therapy of infants with brain tumors predisposes these patients to increased risks for cognitive sequelae, especially following radiotherapy. Neuropsychological outcome gains importance for those 40-60% of patients with an atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) who survive beyond 2 years. Still, reports on cognitive late-effects in children with ATRT are scarce compared to other pediatric brain tumor groups. We analyzed neuropsychological outcome for long-term ATRT-survivors registered in EU-RHAB and infant low-grade glioma (LGG) survivors from the SIOP-LGG 2004-study and LGG-registry. PATIENTS+METHODS: Age at diagnosis of both cohorts was 0-36 months. ATRT-patients (n=13) treated with up to 54Gy radiotherapy (median age 22 months (±7.1)) were evaluated with the "ATRT-Neuropsychology" tool based on SIOPE-BTG QoS-Group recommendations at median 6.8 years (±2.8) after diagnosis. LGG-patients (n=15) treated without radiotherapy (4/15 with chemotherapy) were analyzed with the German "Neuropsychological-Basic-Diagnostic" tool 5.2 years (±0.6)

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Neuro-Oncology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com