

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Preventive Medicine Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pmedr

Calorie changes among food items sold in U.S. convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains from 2013 to 2017

Alvin Tran^{a,*}, Alyssa Moran^b, Sara N. Bleich^c

^a Department of Nutrition, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^b Department of Health Policy and Management, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

^c Department of Health Policy and Management, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Menu labeling Calories Convenience stores Pizza restaurants Obesity Nutrition policy

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to describe trends in calories among food items sold in U.S. convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains from 2013 to 2017 – a period leading up to the implementation of the federal menu labeling mandate. Using data from the MenuStat project, we conducted quantile regression analyses in 2018 to estimate the predicted median per-item calories among menu items available at convenience stores (n = 1522) and pizza restaurant chains (n = 2085) – two retailers that have been openly resistant to implementing menu labeling – and assessed whether core food items were reformulated during the study period. We also compared calories in food items available for sale on convenience store and pizza restaurant menus to calories in items that were newly added or dropped. We found that leading up to the national menu labeling implementation date, convenience stores showed a significant decreasing trend in median calories of overall menu items (390 kcals in 2013 vs. 334 kcals in 2017, *p*-value for trend < 0.01) and among appetizers and sides (367 kcals in 2013 vs. 137 kcals in 2017, *p*-value for trend = 0.02). Pizza restaurants introduced lower-calorie pizza options in 2017, but no other significant changes in calories were observed. Going forward, it will be important to track calorie changes in convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains as both food establishments represent significant sources of calories for Americans.

1. Introduction

To address concerns that consumers lack nutrition information, the 2010 Affordable Care Act included a provision requiring chain restaurants and similar food establishments with twenty or more locations nationwide to post calorie information on menus and menu boards alongside price. This rule, which was delayed on several occasions, was implemented in May 2018 (Overview of FDA Labeling Requirements for Restaurants, Similar Retail Food Establishments and Vending Machines, 2017). Following the implementation date, the Food and Drug Administration announced it would work with affected establishments to comply with the menu labeling requirements (FDA, 2019).

Pizza restaurants and convenience stores have been particularly resistant to the menu labeling rule, arguing that they should be excluded and citing unfair burden (Domino's CEO Pleads for Menu-Labeling Flexibility, 2011; Federal Menu Labeling Requirements Are Back on the Table, 2017; NACS, 2017). Further, the National Association of Convenience Stores (Lancaster, 2018) and the American Pizza Community (Black, 2017), industry trade groups representing convenience stores and pizza restaurants, respectively, have advocated for the Common Sense Nutrition Disclosure Act, which aims to weaken the federal menu labeling rule by limiting the authority of state and local governments to enforce the legislation. The act would allow restaurants to determine the amount of food in one serving (e.g., half of a hamburger) and exempt restaurants in which most orders are placed online (i.e., pizza restaurants) from posting calorie information in their stores. (H.R. 772 — 115th Congress: Common Sense Nutrition Disclosure Act of 2017, 2018). The Common Sense Nutrition Disclosure Act was introduced in Congress and passed by the U.S. House of Representatives; however, it was never voted on in the Senate (H.R.772 - Common Sense Nutrition Disclosure Act of 2017, 2018).

The effects of menu labeling on consumer purchases and restaurant sales have been mixed (Bleich et al., 2017a, 2017b; VanEpps et al., 2016). For example, a 2017 review of 53 studies found some evidence that menu labeling may lower calories purchased at certain types of restaurants and in cafeteria settings (Bleich et al. 2017a). Others have

E-mail address: alvintran@mail.harvard.edu (A. Tran).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100932

Received 17 December 2018; Received in revised form 12 June 2019; Accepted 27 June 2019 Available online 29 June 2019

2211-3355/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Nutrition, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

found that while menu labeling increased knowledge of nutrition information, it did not decrease the amount of calories purchased (Tandon et al., 2011). Results from a meta-analysis involving 6 controlled studies in restaurants did not find menu labeling to be associated with a significant reduction in calories ordered (Long et al., 2015). Further, there is evidence that menu labeling could influence population health by encouraging restaurants to reformulate their menu items to be lower in calories. Prior studies of large chain fast food, fast casual, and full service restaurants have shown that restaurants introduced lower calorie menu items and removed higher calorie items in the years leading up to the federal menu labeling mandate (Bleich et al., 2018; Bleich et al., 2015a; Bleich et al., 2015b). Additionally, chain restaurants implementing menu labeling voluntarily have lower calorie counts than restaurants without calorie labels (Bleich et al., 2015b).

Few prior studies have examined the potential impact of the federal menu labeling rule in pizza restaurants and convenience stores. These venues are important given that they make up a growing share of prepared food purchases and are an important source of calories for Americans. In 2016, convenience stores earned \$233 billion in sales, with prepared food accounting for nearly 22% of total sales (Convenience Stores Hit Record In-Store Sales in 2016, 2017). Convenience stores are generally common in low-income neighborhoods (Hilmers et al., 2012) and high accessibility to convenience stores is associated with lower quality diets (Lind et al., 2016; Rummo et al., 2015); they have also been associated with obesity and chronic disease (Powell et al., 2007; Wang and Beydoun, 2007). Pizza restaurants have also experienced growth in sales. In 2017, Pizza Hut and Domino's Pizza experienced increases in gross sales compared to 2016; both popular chains had gross sales of over 14 and 10.5 billion dollars, respectively (2017 Top 100 Pizza Companies 2017). Furthermore, according to national data, 13% of the United States (U.S.) population aged 2 years and older consumes pizza on any given day, with pizza accounting for 27% of caloric intake on days it is consumed (McGuire 2014). Convenience stores and fast-food restaurants are key locations in which youth consume pizza and other foods high in saturated fats (Crepinsek et al. 2009; Poti et al., 2014).

The anticipatory response of pizza restaurants and convenience stores to menu labeling may differ from chain restaurants, which were subject to menu labeling under a patchwork of prior local laws and, thus, supported the federal legislation (Block, 2018). In particular, the persistent efforts of pizza restaurants and convenience stores to seek an exemption to the rule may have muted the menu reformulation that has been consistently observed in large chain restaurants since 2012. The purpose of this paper is to describe trends in calories among food items sold in U.S. convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains from 2013 to 2017 – a period leading up to the highly anticipated implementation of the federal menu labeling mandate.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

Our data comes from the MenuStat project (2017), which is a publicly available database containing nutrient information for foods and beverages sold in the nation's largest 66 restaurant and convenience store chains ranked by annual sales (New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 2017). To populate the MenuStat database, item descriptions, nutrients and serving sizes are collected each January from all products appearing on restaurant websites; nutrients are entered per item. Only restaurants that post nutrition information online are available in MenuStat. From 2013 to 2017, websites were used as the primary source of product data, supplemented with data in other formats when available (e.g., PDFs of nutrition information). Items were assigned a unique identifier and matched over time using the item description. Additional methods of the MenuStat project, including its data collection procedures, are

described elsewhere (New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 2017). For the present study, we analyzed food items available for sale from four of the nation's largest convenience store chains (7-Eleven, Casey's General Stores, Wawa, Sheetz) and eight pizza restaurant chains (Domino's, Papa John's International, Little Caesars, Pizza Hut, Papa Murphy's International, CiCi's Pizza, California Pizza Chicken, Chuck E. Cheese's). These specific convenience store and pizza restaurant chains were selected since they sell restaurant-type foods and have nutrition information available in MenuStat. MenuStat includes nutrient data available from 2013 to 2017 (2013 was the first vear in which data were available for convenience stores). In 2017, the four convenience stores examined in this study ranked among the top 20 by number of stores nationwide: all eight pizza restaurant chains were ranked in the top 10 (See Supplemental Table 1). Analyses were limited to prepared food categories that would be impacted by the menu labeling rule, which included appetizers and side dishes, main course items, and desserts - all of which are mutually exclusive categories assigned by MenuStat. We also examined sub-categories of main courses, assigned by the MenuStat team (e.g., pizza, sandwiches, salads, soups, entrees). We designated main courses as being central to the restaurant's business if the item category accounted for the majority of main course items on the menu (sandwiches for convenience stores and pizza for pizza chains). Because most beverages sold in these settings were packaged beverages with a Nutrition Facts Label, they were excluded. Data on portion sizes were incomplete in MenuStat and were not analyzed. Menu items missing calorie information in any year, most of which were from convenience stores, were excluded (n = 1101, n = 1101)23%). Our final dataset included 3607 items available in convenience stores (n = 1522) and pizza restaurants (n = 2085).

2.2. Statistical analysis

We used quantile regression models (Koenker and Hallock 2001) to estimate the following: 1) the predicted median per-item calories among all menu items available at convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains in each year during the study period (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017); 2) the predicted median per-item calorie changes from 2013 to 2017 among popular items available on menus of convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains across all years (reformulation of "core" menu items); 3) the predicted median per-item calories among items available only on the menu in 2013 compared to newly introduced items in 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017; and 4) the predicted median per-item calories among items on the menu in 2013, 2014, 2015, or 2016 that stayed on the menu through 2017 compared to items dropped from the menu in any subsequent year. Quantile regressions were selected to estimate median values to reduce the influence of a small number of outliers (mainly large, shareable items).

Our primary independent variables in each model were a year indicator, with 2013 as the reference group (models 1 and 2); an indicator for whether a menu item was on the menu only in 2013 (reference) or newly introduced in a subsequent year (model 3); and an indicator for whether the item was on the menu through 2017 (reference) or was dropped from the menu in any year prior to 2017 (model 4). To make inferences about whether certain characteristics of restaurants (e.g., regional vs. national chain status) are associated with changes in calories over time, we did not include restaurant chain as a covariate. In all models, covariates included restaurant type (indicators for whether a restaurant chain was fast food, fast casual, or full service), an indicator for whether the restaurant was national (sold in all nine U.S. census regions) or not, and an indicator for children's menu item status. Children's items were those with "kid," "child," or "children" appearing in the menu item or its description. We estimated cluster robust standard errors to account for similarity of menu items within restaurants. Statistical significance for our analyses was established at p < 0.05. All analyses were conducted using Stata 13 (StataCorp, 2013).

Table 1

Predicted median per-item calorie content	(kcal) among items on menus in 2013-2017	, by chain type and menu category.

Menu category	n	Median calories 2013	Median calories, 2014	Median calories, 2015	Median calories, 2016	Median calories, 2017	p-value for trend
Convenience stores							
Overall ^a	1522	390	400	340	380	334	< 0.01
Appetizers and sides	127	367	470	310	303	137	0.02
Main courses ^b	1150	400	400	334	410	340	0.07
Sandwiches	865	420	420	430	460	380	0.99
All else	285	350	351	284	300	300	0.36
Desserts	245	380	360	360	340	350	0.15
Pizza restaurants							
Overall ^a	2085	255	255	255	245	245	0.52
Appetizers and sides	211	190	190	183	155	360	0.89
Main courses ^b	1754	280	290	280	267	260	0.31
Pizza	1411	280	270	280	260	260	0.27
All else	343	293	263	263	263	283	0.99
Desserts ^c	120	243	219	241	243	213	0.75

Notes: Median per-item calories in each year adjusted for children's menu item status, whether a restaurant chain is national, and restaurant type (fast food, full service, fast casual). Standard errors are clustered to account for correlation of items within restaurants.

^a Includes all menu categories except beverages and toppings/ingredients.

^b Includes burgers, entrees, pizza, sandwiches, and salads and soups that are not categorized as appetizers or side dishes.

^c Includes desserts and other baked goods.

3. Results

Of 1522 food items on the menu in convenience stores, most were main courses (n = 1150, 76%), 16% (n = 245) were desserts, and 8% (n = 127) were appetizers and sides (Supplementary Table 2). At pizza restaurant chains, the majority of the 2085 items were main courses (n = 1754, 84%), followed by appetizers and sides (n = 211, 10%) and desserts (n = 120, 6%).

Table 1 shows median calories among all items on the menu in convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains in each year between 2013 and 2017, overall and by menu item category. Between 2013 and 2017, convenience stores showed a significant decreasing trend in median calories of overall menu items (390 kcals in 2013 vs. 334 kcals in 2017, *p*-value for trend < 0.01) and among appetizers and sides (367 kcals in 2013 vs. 137 kcals in 2017, p-value for trend = 0.02). There were no changes in pizza restaurants during this period.

Items on the menu in each year between 2013 and 2017 ("core" menu items) made up a smaller percentage of menu items in convenience stores (n = 112; 7%) compared to pizza restaurants (n = 417; 20%), and there were no changes in calories in these items over time in either restaurant type (Table 2). The low percentage of core items at convenience stores may be indicative of many items coming on and off

the menu each year.

Table 3 shows the predicted median calories for food items that appeared on the menu only in 2013 compared to those newly introduced in subsequent years (2014, 2015, 2016, or 2017). At convenience stores, appetizers and sides newly introduced in 2017 contained 219 fewer calories, compared to those available only in 2013 (519 kcals in 2013 vs. 300 kcals in 2017, p < 0.01). Additionally, non-sandwich main courses (e.g., pizza, salads, soups) newly introduced in 2017 had 122 fewer calories than those only on the menu in 2013 (423 kcals in 2013 vs. 301 kcals in 2017, p = 0.02). At pizza restaurant chains, newly introduced main course items in 2017 had 10 fewer calories compared to those only on the menu in 2013 (270 kcals in 2013 vs. 260 kcals in 2017, p = 0.02). This reduction was driven by changes in newly introduced pizzas, which contained 40 fewer calories in 2017 compared to pizzas on the menu only in 2013 (288 kcals in 2013 vs. 248 kcals in 2017, p < 0.001).

Table 4 shows the median calories of food items that consistently were on the menu in 2013 or newly introduced and remained on the menu through 2017, compared to those that were on the menu in 2013 or newly introduced and removed from the menu in any subsequent year. In convenience stores, food items dropped from the menu were significantly higher in calories compared to those that remained – a

Table 2

Predicted median per-item calorie content	(kcal) among "core" item	is on menus all years, by	y chain type and menu category.

Menu category	n	Median calories 2013	Median calories, 2014	Median calories, 2015	Median calories, 2016	Median calories, 2017	p-value for trend
Convenience stores							
Overall ^a	112	380	390	400	405	400	0.29
Appetizers and sides	16	400	470	470	460	420	0.99
Main courses ^b	50	421	421	470	470	460	0.27
Sandwiches	19	535	535	520	520	515	0.66
All else	31	368	387	460	460	460	0.20
Desserts	46	350	350	350	360	360	0.28
Pizza restaurants							
Overall ^a	417	263	263	271	263	271	0.46
Appetizers and sides	10	500	490	490	490	490	0.99
Main courses ^b	378	278	280	280	280	280	0.99
Pizza	258	274	271	271	264	270	0.71
All else	120	280	290	290	290	290	0.99
Desserts ^c	29	240	240	241	243	243	0.94

Notes: Median per-item calories in each year adjusted for children's menu item status, whether a restaurant chain is national, and restaurant type (fast food, full service, fast casual). Standard errors are clustered to account for correlation of items within restaurants.

^a Includes all menu categories except beverages and toppings/ingredients.

^b Includes burgers, entrees, pizza, sandwiches, and salads and soups that are not categorized as appetizers or side dishes.

^c Includes desserts and other baked goods.

Table 3

Predicted median per-item calorie content (kcal) among items on the menu only in 2013 versus those newly introduced in 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 by chain type and menu category.

Menu category	n	Median calories 2013 only	Median calories, new in 2014	Median calories, new in 2015	Median calories, new in 2016	Median calories, new in 2017	Difference 2013–2017	P-value
Convenience stores								
Overall ^a	894	360	380	310	460	340	-20	0.21
Appetizers and sides	103	519	651	260	370	300	-219	< 0.01
Main courses ^b	669	360	370	284	490	340	-20	0.17
Sandwiches	479	360	380	430	520	360	0	0.99
All else	190	423	351	256	320	301	-122	0.02
Desserts ^c	122	390	320	370	400	410	20	0.95
Pizza restaurants								
Overall ^a	1357	264	250	264	230	240	-24	0.10
Appetizers and sides	147	785	755	760	720	700	-85	0.39
Main courses ^b	1134	270	270	280	250	260	-10	0.02
Pizza	969	288	258	278	198	248	-40	< 0.001
All else	165	131	131	171	192	261	130	0.65
Desserts ^c	122	600	315	209	490	280	-320	0.08

Notes: Median per-item calories in each year adjusted for children's menu item status, whether a restaurant chain is national, and restaurant type (fast food, full service, fast casual). Standard errors are clustered to account for correlation of items within restaurants.

^a Includes all menu categories except beverages and toppings/ingredients.

^b Includes burgers, entrees, pizza, sandwiches, and salads and soups that are not categorized as appetizers or side dishes.

^c Includes desserts and other baked goods.

difference of 60 kcals (340 kcals for items that stayed on the menu vs. 400 kcals for items dropped, p < 0.001). This difference was driven by changes in appetizers and sides (260 kcals vs. 460 kcals, p < 0.001), main courses (350 kcals vs. 400 kcals, p = 0.03), and desserts (330 kcals vs. 390 kcals, p < 0.001). There were no significant differences between calories in items that stayed on the menu and items dropped from the menu in pizza restaurants, overall or for any menu item category.

4. Discussion

Our study findings suggest that in the period leading up to the national menu labeling implementation date, convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains reduced calories in menu items, but the magnitude of the reduction varied by menu item category and restaurant type. We saw more changes among convenience stores, which showed a trend towards reducing calories overall, primarily by introducing new, lower-calorie entrees and removing higher-calorie appetizers and sides, entrees, and desserts. We saw no changes in calories among core menu items (available in all years) in either restaurant type.

These findings are consistent with prior literature among the nation's large chain restaurants, which have reduced calories in menu items in the years leading up to the anticipated implementation of the federal menu labeling mandate (Bleich et al. 2017b). In one study, which examined 19,391 items from 44 chain restaurants, calories per item declined from 2008 to 2015 (Bleich et al., 2017b). Another study, which also used MenuStat data to compare calories among items at national chain restaurants, found restaurants that voluntarily implemented menu labeling offered lower calorie menu items than those that did not (Bleich et al., 2015b). These results suggest restaurants may be responding to the increased transparency by offering lower calorie items to consumers, although these changes may also be part of a larger secular trend that pre-dates the passage of the menu labeling rule in 2010. In our study, only convenience stores demonstrated a slight decreasing trend in calories from 2013 through 2017. We did not observe changes in calories in pizza restaurant chains, possibly because they expected further delays of the federal rule, not to be included in the federal rule, or for the federal rule to not be implemented at all (CSD

Table 4

Predicted median per-item calorie content (kcal) among items that stayed on the menu compared to items dropped, by chain type and menu category.

Menu category	n	Median calories, on in 2013, 2014,2015, or 2016 and stayed on	Median calories, on in 2013, 2014, 2015, or 2016 and dropped	Difference in calories for items dropped	P-value
Convenience stores					
Overall ^a	1245	340	400	60	< 0.001
Appetizers and sides	90	260	460	200	< 0.001
Main courses ^b	945	350	400	50	0.03
Sandwiches	713	440	420	-20	0.36
All else	232	300	330	30	0.69
Desserts ^c	210	330	390	60	< 0.001
Pizza restaurants					
Overall ^a	1499	300	250	-50	0.26
Appetizers and sides	154	384	154	-230	0.69
Main courses ^b	1265	256	220	-36	0.42
Pizza	1023	280	269	-11	0.71
All else	242	670	650	-20	0.84
Desserts ^c	80	240	160	-80	0.50

Notes: Median per-item calories in each year adjusted for children's menu item status, whether a restaurant chain is national, and restaurant type (fast food, full service, fast casual). Standard errors are clustered to account for correlation of items within restaurants.

^a Includes all menu categories except beverages and toppings/ingredients.

^b Includes burgers, entrees, pizza, sandwiches, and salads and soups that are not categorized as appetizers or side dishes.

^c Includes desserts and other baked goods.

2017; Whitehead 2018). With American households spending more on food away from home over the past three decades (Saksena et al. 2018), these findings reinforce the importance of menu labeling standards as one promising mechanism to encourage industry reformulation for both food establishments.

A growing number of consumers are spending more of their food budget on food purchased at convenience stores and less on food from large restaurant chains (Maze 2017). Efforts aimed at combating dietrelated disease should consider the shifting role of convenience stores, which are not only a source of unhealthy snack foods and beverages, but offer an increasing number of prepared foods at low prices (Larson et al. 2009; Morland et al. 2006; Rose et al. 2009). Furthermore, food items sold at convenience stores may be disproportionately consumed by populations at high risk of obesity and related chronic disease. An estimated 4.1 million adolescents in the U.S. visit convenience stores at least once a week (Sanders-Jackson et al. 2015), with African American adolescents more likely to visit convenience stores on a weekly basis compared to their peers who belong to other racial/ethnic groups (Sanders-Jackson et al. 2015). Lastly, consumers often attribute unhealthy options and food quality as their top concerns at convenience stores (GasBuddy 2019). Therefore, in addition to the increasing demand for prepared food items that are quicker and more convenient, convenience store chains may also be working towards reformulating their food offerings to address consumer concerns over nutrition and food quality.

Pizza is also a significant source of both total and excess calories among adolescents and children (Poti et al., 2014). In a cross-sectional study of > 3000 U.S. children aged 2 to 18 years, pizza purchased at fast-food restaurants contributed more solid fat (e.g., saturated and trans-fatty acids) to the diet than sandwiches, hamburgers, and many other food categories (Poti et al., 2014). Among children and adolescents, respectively, pizza has been found to comprise 5% and 7% of total energy intake (Powell et al. 2015), suggesting that even small changes to menus may potentially reduce energy intake at the population level. In addition, pizza comprises an estimated 4% of the total energy for all adults in the U.S. (Rhodes et al. 2014).

Future research should continue to track voluntary reformulation within large chain restaurants. It will be especially important to compare changes to restaurant menus pre- and post-implementation of the final federal menu labeling rule. Should the observed trend towards lower calorie items in convenience chains be amplified in response to implementation, the potential for improving population health is greater than these results suggest.

4.1. Limitations

The MenuStat database is limited to the nation's largest convenience store and pizza restaurant chains and may not be generalizable to smaller chains. However, the food establishments in our study include four of the country's top 20 convenience store chains by number of stores (Top 202 Convenience Stores, 2018), and eight of the leading 10 pizza chains by annual gross sales (2017 Top 100 Pizza Companies 2017). Second, median calories per item are limited to portion sizes provided on restaurant websites and may not reflect actual consumption. For example, most calorie information for pizza is listed per slice, though the average adult consumes 2-3 slices per serving (McGuire 2014). Therefore, listing caloric content for portions smaller than what is generally consumed may be confusing to consumers. Research findings suggest that consumers often feel less guilt and consume more when food is presented in smaller serving sizes, relative to larger sizes (Mohr et al. 2012). In addition, restaurants often serve food in large portion sizes that exceed those generally recommended (Cohen et al. 2016; Nestle 2003). The larger-sized portions may be confusing to individuals and encourage them to consume more food than necessary (Hollands et al. 2015). Third, our analyses do not account for customized pizzas in which additional toppings and other ingredients are added to pre-established menu items. Fourth, given caloric information were obtained from establishment websites, the translation of such data to MenuStat is subject to human error. Results from prior research, however, suggest nutrition data provided from restaurants are generally accurate (Reports 2013). Lastly, our analyses do not reflect individual sales of items but highlight those available for purchase.

5. Conclusion

Convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains represent a growing share of prepared food purchases in the U.S. These results suggest that, like other large chain restaurants, convenience stores have reduced calories from 2013 to 2017 and added lower calorie items to the menu. These changes may have been due to the anticipation of the May 2018 federal menu labeling rule or in response to shifts in consumer demand for lower calorie options. Regardless, the observed changes in convenience stores have the potential to improve population health. By contrast, we observed few changes in the calories of menu items at pizza restaurants. Further research is needed to explore both the pre/ post and long-term impact of the federal menu labeling mandate on the calories of food items offered at both convenience stores and pizza restaurant chains, and on calories purchased and consumed in these venues.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Dr. Moran was supported by a training grant T32DK007703 from the National Institutes of Health.

Human subjects

No protocol approval was needed as this study did not include human subjects.

Declaration of Competing Interest

Conflicts of interest: none.

Acknowledgments

The study authors would like to thank the New York City Department of Public Health and Mental Hygiene for making MenuStat. org publicly available for research.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100932.

References

- 2017 Top 100 Pizza Companies, 2017. Pizza Today. Retrieved from. https://www. pizzatoday.com/pizzeria-rankings/2017-top-100-pizza-companies.
- Black, J., 2017. Pizza Chains Are Making a Desperate Push to Avoid Posting Calories on Menus. Washington Post Retrieved from. https://www.washingtonpost.com/ lifestyle/food/pizza-chains-are-making-a-desperate-push-to-avoid-posting-calorieson-menus/2017/04/06/080a8d5e-18b0-11e7-bcc2-7d1a0973e7b2_story.html?utm_ term = .a40b352149ad.
- Bleich, S.N., Wolfson, J.A., Jarlenski, M.P., 2015a. Calorie changes in chain restaurant menu items: implications for obesity and evaluations of menu labeling. Am. J. Prev. Med. 48 (1), 70–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2014.08.026.
- Bleich, S.N., Wolfson, J.A., Jarlenski, M.P., Block, J.P., 2015b. Restaurants with calories displayed on menus had lower calorie counts compared to restaurants without such labels. Health Aff. 34 (11), 1877–1884. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.0512.
- Bleich, S.N., Economos, C.D., Spiker, M.L., Vercammen, K.A., VanEpps, E.M., Block, J.P., Elbel, B., Story, M., Roberto, C.A., 2017a. A systematic review of calorie labeling and modified calorie labeling interventions: impact on consumer and restaurant behavior.

A. Tran, et al.

Obesity 25 (12), 2018-2044.

- Bleich, S.N., Wolfson, J.A., Jarlenski, M.P., 2017b. Calorie changes in large chain restaurants from 2008 to 2015. Prev. Med. 100, 112–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ypmed.2017.04.004.
- Bleich, S.N., Moran, A.J., Jarlenski, M.P., Wolfson, J.A., 2018. Higher-calorie menu items eliminated in large chain restaurants. Am. J. Prev. Med. 54 (2), 214–220. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.11.004.
- Block, J.P., 2018. The calorie-labeling saga federal preemption and delayed implementation of public health law. N. Engl. J. Med. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMp1802953.
- Cohen, D., Lesser, L., Wright, C., Story, M., Economos, C., 2016. Kid's menu portion sizes: how much should children be served? Nutr. Today 51 (6), 273–280. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/NT.00000000000179.
- Convenience Stores Hit Record In-Store Sales in 2016, 2017. The Association for Convenience & Fuel Retailing. Retrieved from. http://www.nacsonline.com/Media/ Press_Releases/2017/Pages/PR040517.aspx#.WaYA_SiGNPY.
- Crepinsek, M.K., Gordon, A.R., McKinney, P.M., Condon, E.M., Wilson, A., 2009. Meals offered and served in US public schools: do they meet nutrient standards. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 109 (2 Suppl), S31–S43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.061.
- CSD, 2017. Domino's Asks Trump Administration to Stop Menu Labeling Law, Convenience Store Decisions. Retrieved from. https://cstoredecisions.com/2017/ 04/20/dominos-asks-trump-administration-stop-menu-labeling-law/.
- Domino's CEO Pleads for Menu-Labeling Flexibility. Pizza Marketplace Retrieved from. https://www.pizzamarketplace.com/news/dominos-ceo-pleads-for-menu-labeling-flexibility/.
- FDA, 2019. Menu Labeling Requirements. Silver Spring, MD Retrieved from. https:// www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/
- GuidanceDocumentsRegulatoryInformation/LabelingNutrition/ucm515020.htm. Federal Menu Labeling Requirements are Back on the Table. Convenience Store News Retrieved from. https://csnews.com/federal-menu-labeling-requirements-are-backtable
- GasBuddy, 2019. GasBuddy Study Reveals Gas Station Convenience Stores 'Eating' into \$250B Quick Service Restaurant Market Share. GasBuddy from. https://www. gasbuddy.com/NewsRoom/PressRelease/783.
- H.R.772, 2018. Common Sense Nutrition Disclosure Act of 2017. 2019, from. https:// www.congress.gov/bill/115th-congress/house-bill/772/.
- Hilmers, A., Hilmers, D.C., Dave, J., 2012. Neighborhood disparities in access to healthy foods and their effects on environmental justice. [review]. Am. J. Public Health 102 (9), 1644–1654. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.300865.
- Hollands, G.J., Shemilt, I., Marteau, T.M., Jebb, S.A., Lewis, H.B., Wei, Y., ... Ogilvie, D., 2015. Portion, package or tableware size for changing selection and consumption of food, alcohol and tobacco. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews(9), CD011045. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011045.pub2.
- How Accurate Are Chain Restaurant Calorie Counts? Consumer Reports Retrieved from. https://www.consumerreports.org/cro/magazine/2013/03/how-accurate-are-chain-restaurant-calorie-counts/index.htm.

Koenker, R., Hallock, K.F., 2001. Quantile Regression. J. Econ. Perspect. 14 (4), 143–156. Lancaster, A., 2018. Menu-Labeling Rules Go into Effect. CSP Retrieved from. http://

- vww.cspdailynews.com/category-news/foodservice/articles/menu-labeling-rulesgo-effect.
- Larson, N.I., Story, M.T., Nelson, M.C., 2009. Neighborhood environments: disparities in access to healthy foods in the U.S. Am. J. Prev. Med. 36 (1), 74–81. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.amepre.2008.09.025.
- Lind, P.L., Jensen, P.V., Glumer, C., Toft, U., 2016. The association between accessibility of local convenience stores and unhealthy diet. Eur. J. Pub. Health 26 (4), 634–639. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckv242.
- Long, M.W., Tobias, D.K., Cradock, A.L., Batchelder, H., Gortmaker, S.L., 2015. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of restaurant menu calorie labeling. Am. J. Public Health 105 (5), e11–e24. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015. 302570.
- Maze, J., 2017. 2017 top 100: the big shift: consumers move away from casual dining. Nation's Restaurant News Retrieved from. http://www.nrn.com/top-100restaurants/2017-top-100-big-shift-consumers-move-away-casual-dining.
- McGuire, S., 2014. Rhodes D.G., Adler M.E., Clemens J.C., LaComb R.P., Moshfegh a.J. consumption of pizza: what we eat in America, NHANES 2007-2010. Food surveys research group dietary data brief no. 11. February 2014. Adv. Nutr. 5 (4), 456.

https://doi.org/10.3945/an.114.006171.

- Mohr, G.S., Lichtenstein, D.R., Janiszewski, C., 2012. The effect of marketer-suggested serving size on consumer responses: the unintended consequences of consumer attention to calorie information. J. Mark. 76 (1), 59–75. https://doi.org/10.1509/jm. 10.0073.
- Morland, K., Diez Roux, A.V., Wing, S., 2006. Supermarkets, other food stores, and obesity: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Am. J. Prev. Med. 30 (4), 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2005.11.003.
- NACS, 2017. NACS, NGA Ask FDA to Stay Final Menu Rule Effective Date. Retrieved June 24, 2018, from. http://www.convenience.org/Media/Daily/Pages/ND0417176. aspx#.Wy_YcadKhPY.
- Nestle, M., 2003. Increasing portion sizes in American diets: more calories, more obesity. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 103 (1), 39–40. https://doi.org/10.1053/jada.2003.50007.
- New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2017. MenuStat methods. Retrieved August 8 2017. http://menustat.org/Content/assets/pdfFile/MenuStat %20Methods%20and%20Codebook.pdf.
- Overview of FDA Labeling Requirements for Restaurants, Similar Retail Food Establishments and Vending Machines. Retrieved from. https://www.fda.gov/Food/ GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocumentsRegulatoryInformation/LabelingNutrition/ ucm248732.htm.
- Poti, J.M., Slining, M.M., Popkin, B.M., 2014a. Where are kids getting their empty calories? Stores, schools, and fast-food restaurants each played an important role in empty calorie intake among US children during 2009-2010. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet. 114 (6), 908–917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2013.08.012.
- Powell, L.M., Auld, M.C., Chaloupka, F.J., O'Malley, P.M., Johnston, L.D., 2007. Associations between access to food stores and adolescent body mass index. Am. J. Prev. Med. 33 (4 Suppl), S301–S307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2007.07. 007.
- Powell, L.M., Nguyen, B.T., Dietz, W.H., 2015. Energy and nutrient intake from pizza in the United States. Pediatrics 135 (2), 322–330. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-1844.
- Rhodes, D.G., Adler, M.E., Clemens, J.C., MS, R.P.L., Moshfegh, A.J., 2014. Consumption of Pizza: What we Eat in America, NHANES 2007–2010 Food Surveys Research Group Dietary Data Brief No. 11. US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- Rose, D., Hutchinson, P.L., Bodor, J.N., Swalm, C.M., Farley, T.A., Cohen, D.A., Rice, J.C., 2009. Neighborhood food environments and Body Mass Index: the importance of instore contents. Am. J. Prev. Med. 37 (3), 214–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. amepre.2009.04.024.
- Rummo, P.E., Meyer, K.A., Boone-Heinonen, J., Jacobs Jr., D.R., Kiefe, C.I., Lewis, C.E., Gordon-Larsen, P., 2015. Neighborhood availability of convenience stores and diet quality: findings from 20 years of follow-up in the coronary artery risk development in young adults study. Am. J. Public Health 105 (5), e65–e73. https://doi.org/10. 2105/AJPH.2014.302435.
- Saksena, M. J., Okrent, A. M., Anekwe, T. D., Cho, C., Dicken, C., Effland, A., . . . Tuttle, C. (2018). America's Eating Habits: Food Away from Home, EIB-196. In Michelle Saksena, Abigail M. Okrent & e. Karen S. Hamrick (Eds.): U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Sanders-Jackson, A., Parikh, N.M., Schleicher, N.C., Fortmann, S.P., Henriksen, L., 2015. Convenience store visits by US adolescents: rationale for healthier retail environments. Health Place 34, 63–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2015.03.011.

StataCorp, 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. StataCorp LP, College Station, TX.

- Tandon, P.S., Zhou, C., Chan, N.L., Lozano, P., Couch, S.C., Glanz, K., Saelens, B.E., 2011. The impact of menu labeling on fast-food purchases for children and parents. Am. J. Prev. Med. 41 (4), 434–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2011.06.033.
- Top 202 Convenience Stores. CPS Daily News Retrieved from. https://www. cspdailynews.com/industry-news-analysis/top-convenience-stores-2017.
- VanEpps, E.M., Roberto, C.A., Park, S., Economos, C.D., Bleich, S.N., 2016. Restaurant menu labeling policy: review of evidence and controversies. [review]. Curr. Obes. Rep. 5 (1), 72–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-016-0193-z.
- Wang, Y., Beydoun, M.A., 2007. The obesity epidemic in the United States-gender, age, socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, and geographic characteristics: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Epidemiol. Rev. 29, 6–28. https://doi.org/10.1093/ epirev/mxm007.
- Whitehead, S., 2018. Will the Senate Push Back Menu-Labeling Law Again? Fastcasual.com Retrieved from. https://www.fastcasual.com/articles/will-thesenate-push-back-menu-labeling-law-again/.