la Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Comparison of successful outcome predictors for MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty and selective laser trabeculoplasty at 6 months

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: *Clinical Ophthalmology*

Matthew T Hirabayashi¹ Trevor L Rosenlof^{1,2} Jella A An^{1,2}

¹University of Missouri Columbia School of Medicine, Columbia, MO, USA; ²Mason Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA



Correspondence: Jella A An Mason Eye Instiute, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Missouri, I Hospital Drive, Columbia, MO 65201, USA Tel +1 573 8847156 Fax +1 573 884 3330 Email anja@health.missouri.edu



Purpose: To identify and compare factors predictive of successful outcome for MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty (MLT) and selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT).

Methods: 50 MLT-treated eyes and 50 SLT-treated eyes of open-angle glaucoma patients were reviewed for baseline characteristics, pre- and postoperative IOP and medications, and adverse events including postoperative IOP elevation >5 mmHg (IOP spikes) through 6 months of follow-up. Success was defined as \geq 20% IOP reduction or \geq 1 medication reduction without additional IOP lowering procedures at 6 months follow-up.

Results: MLT and SLT had similar success rates (44% vs 40%, P=0.983). Older age predicted success for SLT (P=0.013) but not MLT (P=0.154). Both MLT and SLT led to greater IOP lowering in patients with baseline IOP >18 mmHg, but only for SLT did baseline IOP have a significant association with success (P=0.035 vs P=0.983). Number of laser shots was associated with success in MLT (P=0.031) but not in SLT (P=0.970). Glaucoma severity and pigmentation of the trabecular meshwork (PTM) were not associated with rate of success for either group. The rate of IOP spikes was significantly (P=0.022) higher in the SLT group (10%) compared to none in the MLT group. No other complications or visually significant adverse events occurred in either group.

Conclusion: Although MLT and SLT resulted in similar success rates, older age and higher baseline IOP predicted success for SLT while MLT was equally efficacious regardless of these factors. Glaucoma severity and PTM were not associated with success of either laser procedures. 10% of SLT patients experienced IOP spike post procedure, whereas none in the MLT group did. MLT may be a safer alternative to SLT that is effective in lowering IOP and need for medications for a wider variety of patients with open angle glaucoma.

Keywords: glaucoma, intraocular pressure, ocular hypertension, MLT, SLT, POAG

Introduction

Since the development of argon laser trabeculoplasty (ALT) in the 1970s, the use of laser trabeculoplasty for open-angle glaucoma has played a crucial role in management, ranging from initial to refractory glaucoma treatment.^{1,2} The current American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) guidelines suggest several scenarios in which laser trabeculoplasty is an appropriate first-line therapy, especially in patients at risk for nonadherence or with poor tolerance to ocular hypotensive medication.³ Laser trabeculoplasty has continued to evolve with improving technology. Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) was introduced in 1995 and has proven to be equivalent in terms of efficacy to ALT without structural damage to

Clinical Ophthalmology 2019:13 1001-1009

© 2019 Hirabayashi et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for Commercial use of this work, places eep aragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). the trabecular meshwork (TM) through selective energy delivery to the pigmented trabecular meshwork.⁴

MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty (MLT), the latest laser technology, was introduced in 2008. It delivers under 1% of the energy of ALT and specifically targets the cells of the pigmented TM with the 532 nm nearinfrared diode laser.⁵ This wavelength was the factory setting which is used to target pigmented cells of the TM equivalent to SLT. A parameter called "duty cycle" indicates the percentage of time the laser is active compared to the time the laser is at rest. This pattern of energy delivery offers a proposed advantage over ALT or SLT by allowing tissue cooling in between pulses to minimize unintended structural and collateral tissue damage.^{2,6} Previous studies have shown that MLT may have superior efficacy and safety to ALT and comparable efficacy to SLT.^{7–9}

Whereas SLT energy is titrated based on visible microbubbles, typically requiring less energy in heavily pigmented TM, it is not customary to deviate from the manufacturer's recommended energy setting (1,000 mW power, 300 μ m spot size, 300 ms duration with 15% duty cycle, 360° treatment) during MLT. Higher rate of sustained IOP elevation in patients with a heavily pigmented TM after SLT has been reported,¹⁰ but the efficacy of the procedure has not shown to depend on the pigmentation of the TM (PTM),¹¹ possibly due to the fact that energy settings were not kept constant.

To our knowledge, robust literature that has sought to describe characteristics of good candidates for MLT is lacking. Our primary goal was to identify predictive factors for successful 6 month outcomes of MLT and compare them to those of SLT by correlating demographics and preoperative clinical characteristics of patients to postlaser IOP or medication reduction. Our secondary goal was to compare the rate of IOP and medication reduction and any laser-related adverse events including IOP spikes between MLT and SLT through 6 months follow-up.

Materials and methods

Patient selection and data collection

The University of Missouri Institutional Review Board (IRB) granted a waiver of informed consent due to the retrospective nature of this study and data was deidentified. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its

later amendments or comparable ethical standards. A retrospective review of electronic medical records of all consecutive adult patients with open-angle glaucoma who received SLT or MLT between July 2017 and May 2018 at the University of Missouri was performed after obtaining IRB approval (#2011753). All patients seen prior to December 2018 received SLT and MLT was used exclusively afterwards. The inclusion criteria were patients with a diagnosis of primary or secondary open-angle glaucoma per ICD-10 guidelines^{12,13} at the time of laser trabeculoplasty, 360-degree treatment per session, and a minimum 6 months of follow-up after laser treatment. Patients who received SLT over 180° of the TM were excluded from the study. All patients who required repeat treatment or additional IOP-lowering procedure were included and considered failure of treatment.

A total of 50 eyes of 48 patients who received MLT and 50 eyes from 42 patients who received SLT were identified and included in the study. Each eye was considered independently. We recorded age, gender, ethnicity, glaucoma type, glaucoma severity, PTM, IOP and the number of medications as baseline characteristics. For outcome measures, we recorded IOP, number of medications and any adverse events at each postoperative visit at 1 hour, 2 weeks, 2 months and 6 months after laser treatment. Adverse events included IOP spike (defined as postoperative elevation of IOP >5 mmHg from baseline), and any vision loss \geq 2 Snellen lines during the follow-up period.

Glaucoma was diagnosed using visual field testing and assessment of the optic nerve and retinal neuro fiber layer using slit lamp ophthalmoscopy and optical coherence tomography (OCT). Glaucoma severity was defined by ICD-10.^{13,14}

Laser techniques and postoperative protocols

All MLT was performed with IQ 532TM laser (Iridex Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA) with 532 nm wavelength, 15% duty cycle (500 Hz), duration of 300 ms, spot size 300 micrometer and 1,000 mW of power over 360° of angle per procedure. The SLT was performed with 532 nm frequency doubled Q-switched Nd:YAG laser with fixed 3 ns pulse and 400 micrometer spot size, and variable power between 0.6 and 1.4 mJ, titrated to visible microbubble, over 360° of angle per procedure. No additional ocular hypotensive medication was given at the time

of laser, unless IOP spike was noted at 1 hour post laser. IOP was checked with a Goldmann applanation tonometer by a skilled technician or the treating physician prior to laser, as well as at 1 hour, 2 weeks, 2 months and 6 months after laser. Visual acuity, slit lamp examination and gonioscopy was performed at 2 weeks, 2 months and 6 months follow-up. All patients were kept under the same medications until seen 2 months postoperatively, when they were adjusted at the surgeon's discretion based on the target IOP and sign of progression.

Outcome measures

For the primary outcome, we defined success as reduction of $\geq 20\%$ IOP or ≥ 1 glaucoma medication without requiring additional IOP lowering procedures at 6 months, and sought for possible correlation with patient age, severity of the glaucoma, PTM, total number of laser shots applied per session, and baseline IOP as possible predictive factors. Any need for additional IOP lowering procedure, including repeat laser trabeculoplasty, within 6 months of follow-up was considered treatment failure. Patients with adverse events or IOP spikes were still considered success as long as they met the success criteria. For secondary outcome, we compared mean and percentage IOP and medication reduction as well as any laser-related adverse events between the two groups.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics and IOP/medication reduction between MLT and SLT were compared using chi-square, Fisher's exact, Student's *t*-test, and Mann–Whitney *U* test. Rates of success and IOP spikes were compared with chisquare and Fisher's exact tests. Preoperative vs postoperative IOP and medication number for each procedure were compared with paired *t*-tests and Wilcoxon Rank-Sign test.

To determine if glaucoma severity, PTM, and number of laser shots predicted success, a logistic regression was performed with success as a dichotomous outcome. For age and preoperative IOP, ANOVA-type model was used for comparisons. For both tests, a random effect for the patient was used for comparisons to account for those who contributed two eyes.

Central tendency for normally distributed data is presented as mean \pm SD and central tendency for nonnormally distributed data is presented as mean \pm SD (median IQR) unless otherwise specified. We used SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) for all statistical analysis.

Results

Preoperative characteristics

Table 1 summarizes and compares the preoperative characteristics and the number of laser shots of patients who had MLT or SLT. The only significant difference between the groups was mean number of laser shots which was higher in the MLT group, likely due to smaller spot size. Patient age, gender, ethnicity, glaucoma type and severity, baseline IOP and number of medications were not significantly different between the two groups.

Predictive factors for success

We report our findings for predictive factors for success for both MLT and SLT in Table 2 and discuss them individually below.

Age

The age of patients receiving MLT ranged from 45–91 years old. The mean age of successful cases was 70.2 \pm 11.7 years, while that of unsuccessful cases was 65.9 \pm 8.0 years. Patient age did not significantly predict success of MLT at 6 months (*P*=0.154).

For SLT, age ranged from 47-96 years old. The mean age of successful cases was 73.7 ± 11.8 years and that of unsuccessful cases was 65.9 ± 9.1 years. For SLT, older age was significantly associated with success (*P*=0.013).

Severity of glaucoma

Glaucoma severity was categorized into mild, moderate, and severe based on visual field defect according to the current ICD-10 guidelines.^{12,13} Severity of glaucoma did not appear to significantly predict successful outcome for either MLT (P=0.585) or SLT (P=0.583).

TM pigmentation

Degree of PTM was categorized into "light" (trace to 2+) or "heavy" (3–4+), as graded by the primary surgeon at the time of the laser treatment. For MLT, 48.7% (19/39) of light TM eyes were successful compared to 27.3% (3/11) of heavy TM eyes. For SLT, 45.0% (18/39) of light TM eyes were successful compared to 20.0% (2/10) of heavy TM eyes. There was no statistically significant association between degree of PTM and success rate for either MLT (P=0.305) or SLT (P=0.149).

	MLT (N=50)	SLT (N=50)	P-value
Age (years), mean ±SD	67.8±9.9	69.0±10.8	0.559
Gender, N (%) Female Male	33 (66) 17 (34)	26 (52) 24 (48)	0.155
Ethnicity, N (%) Caucasian Other	41 (82) 9 (18)	47 (94) 3 (6)	0.271
Glaucoma type, N (%) Primary open angle Secondary open angle	41 (82) 9 (18)	47 (94) 3 (6)	0.291
Severity, N (%) Mild Moderate Severe	20 (40) 5 (10) 25 (50)	22 (44) 8 (16) 20 (40)	0.511
TM pigmentation Light Heavy	39 (78) 11 (22)	40 (80) 10 (20)	0.806
Number of laser shots, mean ±SD	130.2±25.3	84.6±19.2	<0.002*
Baseline IOP (mmHg), mean ±SD	18.3±5.09	17.3±4.83	0.453
Baseline medications, mean ±SD	2.52±1.31	2.13±1.30	0.893

Notes: Calculated by chi-square, Fisher's exact, Student's t-test, and Mann–Whitney U. *Significant difference between both groups at an α of 0.05.

Abbreviations: MLT, MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty, Other ethnicities, Black and Hispanic; Secondary open-angle, traumatic, pseudoexfoliative and pigment dispersion; TM, trabecular meshwork.

Total number of laser shots

The total number of laser shots delivered to the pigmented TM over 360 degree at initial procedure ranged from 86–192 for MLT and 44–139 for SLT. For MLT, successful cases had a mean of 122.6 ± 20.8 total shots compared to 136 ± 27.16 shots in unsuccessful cases. Fewer number of shots were associated with success (*P*=0.031) in MLT. For SLT, successful cases had a mean of 84.9 ± 17.4 compared to 84.5 ± 20.6 shots in unsuccessful cases. Shot number did not significantly predict success in SLT group (*P*=0.970).

Baseline IOP

For MLT, mean baseline IOP for successful cases was 19.0 ± 3.1 mmHg compared to 18.5 ± 3.9 mmHg for unsuccessful cases. Baseline IOP was not a statistically significant predictor for success (*P*=0.983). For SLT, mean baseline IOP for successful cases was 19.8 ± 3.8 mmHg compared to 16.9 ± 5.2 mmHg for unsuccessful cases. Baseline IOP significantly predicted SLT success at 6 months (*P*=0.035).

We also divided patients for both procedures into baseline IOP \leq 18 mmHg and >18 mmHg (Table 3). For MLT, IOP reduction in patients with baseline IOP \leq 18 mmHg was 0.9±3.4 mmHg compared to 3.4±4.3 mmHg in those with baseline IOP >18 mmHg (Figure 1). This difference in IOP reduction was not statistically significant (*P*=0.053). For SLT, IOP reduction in patients with baseline IOP \leq 18 mmHg was -0.6±3.6 mmHg compared to 5.2 ±8.3 mmHg in those with baseline IOP >18 mmHg. This difference in IOP reduction was statistically significant (*P*<0.002). The mean IOP reduction for patients with baseline IOP \leq 18 mmHg was not significantly different between MLT and SLT (*P*=0.131). Similarly, mean IOP reduction for patients with baseline IOP >18 mmHg was comparable between MLT and SLT groups (*P*=0.358).

We considered baseline IOP for medication reduction as well. For MLT, mean \pm SD (median [IQR]) medication reduction in patients with baseline IOP \leq 18 mmHg was 0.0 ± 1.0 (0[0.0]) compared to 0.3 ± 1.4 (0[1.0]) in those with baseline IOP >18 mmHg. This difference was not

Table 2 Predictive factors for MLT (N=50) and SLT (N=50) success at 6 months

	Laser trabeculoplasty		P-value	
	MLT	SLT	MLT	SLT
Age (years), mean ±SD			0.154	0.013*
Success	70.2±11.7	73.7±11.8		
Failure	65.9±8.0	65.9±9.1		
Severity (successful cases), N (%)			0.585	0.583
Mild	10 (50.0)	9 (40.9)		
Moderate	2 (40.0)	2 (25.0)		
Severe	10 (40.0)	9 (45.0)		
TM pigmentation (successful cases), N (%)			0.305	0.149
Light	19 (48.7)	18 (45.0)		
Heavy	3 (27.3)	2 (20.0)		
Number of laser shots, mean ±SD			0.031*	0.970
Success	122.6±20.8	84.9±17.4		
Failure	136±27.16	84.5±20.6		
Baseline IOP (mmHg), mean ±SD			0.983	0.035*
Success	19.0±3.1	19.8±3.8		
Failure	18.5±3.9	16.9±5.2		

Note: *Significant difference between both groups at an α of 0.05.

Abbreviations: MLT, MicroPulse® laser trabeculoplasty; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty; TM, trabecular meshwork; IOP, intraocular pressure .

Baseline IOP, mmHg	IOP Reduction (mmHg), mean ± SD (%)		
	MLT	SLT	
≤18 mmHg >18 mmHg <i>P</i> -value	0.9±3.4 (4.9) 3.4±4.3 (18.6) 0.053	-0.6±3.6 (-3.5) 5.2±8.3(30.1) <0.002*	0.131 0.368
Baseline IOP, mmHg	Medication reduction (mmHg), mean ±SD (median [IQR])		P-value
	MLT	SLT	
≤18 mmHg >18 mmHg <i>P</i> -value	0.0±1.0 (0[0.0]) 0.3±1.4 (0[1.0]) 0.356	0.2±0.9 (0[0.5]) -0.3±1.1 (0[1.0]) 0.075	0.688 0.859

Table 3 IOP reduction at 6 months based on preoperative IOP level for MLT (N=50) and SLT (N=50)

Note: *Significant difference between baseline IOP groups at α <0.05.

Abbreviations: MLT, MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty; IOP, intraocularpressure.

statistically significant (P=0.356). For SLT, IOP reduction in patients with baseline IOP ≤ 18 mmHg was 0.2 ± 0.9 (0 [0.5]) compared to -0.3 ± 1.1 (0[1.0]) in those with baseline IOP >18 mmHg. This difference in medication reduction was also not statistically significant (P=0.075). The mean medication reduction for patients with baseline IOP ≤ 18 mmHg was not significantly different between MLT and SLT (P=0.688). Similarly, mean medication reduction for patients with baseline IOP >18 mmHg was comparable between MLT and SLT groups (P=0.859).

Overall success and IOP/medication reduction between MLT and SLT

Table 4 summarizes success and mean reduction of IOP and medication for the MLT and SLT groups. Overall, 44% (22/50) of MLT cases were successful compared to 40% (20/50) of SLT cases. This difference was not statistically significant (P=0.983). MLT group achieved a mean IOP reduction of 2.1±4.1 mmHg (11.5%) to 6-month IOP of 16.5±4.3, compared to 1.8±6.6 mmHg (10.4%) to 6-month IOP of 16.2±6.7



IOP reduction at 6 months based on

Figure I IOP reduction at 6 months based on preoperative IOP for MLT and SLT.

Abbreviations: MLT, MicroPulse® laser trabeculoplasty; SLT, selective laser traveculoplasty; IOP, intraocular pressure.

Table 4 Comparative outcomes of MLT (N=50) and SLT (N=50) at 6 months

	MLT	SLT	P-value
Successes, ^a N (%)	22 (44)	20 (40)	0.983
IOP reduction, mean ±SD (%)	2.1±4.1 (11.5)	1.8±6.6 (10.4)	0.773
Medication reduction, mean ±SD (median [IQR])	0.14±1.2 (0[1])	0.0±1.0 (0[0])	0.418

Notes: P-values calculated by chi-square, Student's t-test, and Mann-Whitney U test. a Success was defined as \geq 20% IOP reduction or \geq I medication reduction without additional IOP lowering procedures.

Abbreviations: MLT, MicroPulse[®] laser trabeculoplasty; SLT, selective laser trabeculoplasty.

in SLT group. This difference was not statistically significant (P=0.773). Mean (median [IQR]) medication reduction was 0.14±1.2 (0[1]) for MLT group and 0.0±1.0 (0[0]) for SLT group. Medication reduction was not significantly different between the groups (P=0.418).

Complications

None of the patients who received MLT had any reported complications, whereas 10% (5/50) patients who received SLT experienced 1 hour postoperative IOP spikes of ≥ 5 mmHg compared to their baseline. The difference in IOP spike rate was statistically significant between the groups (P=0.022). There were no other causes of vision loss or need for further procedures, including repeat laser, during the 6-month follow-up period.

Discussion

Although possible predictive factors of SLT outcome have been reported, the literature is generally inconsistent. Many studies, like ours, agree that higher baseline IOP is associated with more successful outcomes¹⁵⁻²¹ and older age as a favorable predictive factor has also been reported.¹⁶ Others studies reported age as well as PTM or type of

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com 1006 **Dove**Press

glaucoma playing no role in outcomes of laser trabeculoplasty.^{17,20–23} This may be due to different response to laser in different patient populations. For example, our study population was predominantly Midwestern Caucasian with primary open angle glaucoma. Some studies involved only Chinese^{18,24} populations and some occurred in patients with higher rates (73%) of pseudoexfoliation glaucoma (PXG).¹⁶ Other reasons for the discrepancies in this topic include varying definitions of success, different clinical protocols, study power, and surgeon settings preference. The recently published large-scale randomized controlled trial of SLT vs eye drops for first-line treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension patients (LiGHT trial) showed that three-quarters of treatment-naïve patients who received SLT as a primary treatment achieved their severity-based target IOP while being drop-free within the first 3 years of trial, and only six IOP spikes were reported out of over 700 patients.²⁵ This demonstration of superior response to SLT may be explained by how different patient populations have varied success to SLT. We suspect that treatment-naïve patients, regardless of degree of visual field defect (determining "severity"), theoretically have the best chance at improving their conventional outflow pathway due to intact function and

capacity of the TM and distal pathway outflow. Long-term treatment with chronic aqueous suppressants use may lead to decreased function and eventual atrophy of conventional outflow pathways.

Currently, sparse data exists on MLT, especially in comparison to that of SLT. A recent report by Abramowitz et al demonstrated that 26.9% of MLT and 36.0% of SLT patients reached a similar definition of success in the 2-4 year range which is similar to our success rate for both procedures around 40%.²⁶ Previous studies have reinforced the safety of MLT, but to our knowledge, there is no literature that compared predictive factors of efficacy and safety in MLT in comparison to SLT.²⁷ Out of all possible baseline characteristics we had considered, as outlined in Table 2, the only association we found for successful outcome of MLT was fewer number of laser shots. Interestingly, the severity of glaucoma and PTM did not predict successful outcome in either group. Regarding the association between fewer laser shots and success in MLT, it is possible that there is a point of diminishing returns in the number of total energy required for laser trabeculoplasty to effectively lower IOP. It is also important to consider that there may have been a selection bias that affected this outcome (surgeons may have treated patients with worse prognosis with more number of shots per session).

Overall success rates for both MLT and SLT at 6 months was near 40% and comparable in both groups. Although both MLT and SLT lead to more IOP reduction in those with higher baseline IOP, the effect of baseline IOP to successful outcome was more strongly correlated in the SLT group. Unlike SLT, MLT also showed comparable efficacy regardless of age of patients. In neither group did medication reduction appear to have an association with baseline IOP, which may be reflected by our conservative treatment protocol, where all patients were kept in the same medications for minimum 2 months, and medication was discontinued one at a time only when IOP reached target.

Although laser-related complications were rare and no loss of vision more than 2 lines was reported in either group at any time point, 10% (5/50) of patients in the SLT group experienced 1 hour postoperative IOP spike (>5 mmHg) while it was absent in the MLT group. Similar findings have been reported in prior studies, particularly Lai et al also finding a rate of 10% using the same definition of IOP spike.^{9,26,28,29} While the limited number of spikes makes meaningful statistical analysis

impossible, there did not seem to be an association between PTM and IOP spikes. Among 10 patients who had IOP spike, only 2 had heavy PTM. This result was also seen in our study of analyzing nearly 300 patients who received SLT,³⁰ where there was no association between PTM and IOP spike. However, it has been reported that some secondary glaucoma with heavier PTM, such as pigment dispersion glaucoma and PXG, have higher rate of IOP spike.³¹ In our study, although only 2 out of 10 eyes that had IOP spike belonged to patients with PXG, relative incidence of IOP spike among PXG patient was higher (100% PXG) than that of primary open angle glaucoma(POAG) (14.9% POAG). This may suggest that different subtypes of open angle glaucoma may respond differently to SLT regardless of TM pigmentation level. The majority of spikes (7/10) were in eyes with POAG compared to eyes with PXG (2/10) or NTG (1/10) but the vast majority of cases were POAG. The higher IOP spike rate in SLT group of our patient population suggests that closer monitoring of IOP may be warranted post SLT compared to MLT, and MLT may be beneficial in patients with higher baseline IOP on maximal tolerated medications. In treatment-naïve eyes however, this IOP spike rate may be consideratbly lower, as the LiGHT trial has demonstrated.²⁵ Similar to LiGHT trial, IOP check at 2 weeks after either SLT or MLT treatment did not change treatment decisions in any of our patients and, therefore, could be eliminated.

In this study, we used 532 nm which was recommended by the company for use of laser trabeculoplasty (IQ 532TM, IRIDEX Corporation). This is given the fact that it is equivalent to that of SLT, and it is highly absorbed by melanin in trabecular meshwork. IO 577TM with 577 nm (yellow) wavelength is preferred as a macular focal laser for retinal disease. The theoretical advantage of using 577 nm for macular treatment (vs 532 nm) is the fact that there is negligible absorption by macular xanthophyll and higher transmission through dense ocular media, while still targeting melanin in retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), enabling observation of tissue reactions at the level of RPE with lower power required.^{32,33} Diode laser with 810 nm wavelength is ideal for transscleral cyclophotocoagulation as it can penetrate deeper into the tissue.³²

In a randomized prospective trial of 26 POAG patients comparing MLT using a diode laser (810 nm) vs ALT, the MLT group had significantly less IOP reduction compared to the ALT group, though there was less inflammation in the MLT group.⁷ In another prospective cohort study, 577 nm MLT system, showed a superior result at 6 months.²⁹ This may demonstrate using a laser with shorter wavelength closer to SLT (532 nm) for laser trabeculoplasty may result in a better IOP reduction.

Lack of statistical association should not be interpreted as lack of correlation, but may be a result of limited sample size and limited variability in some of the categories. For example, the limited variability of types of glaucoma made it impossible to perform a meaningful statistical analysis on this baseline characteristic. However, this level of homogeneity was helpful in evaluating other possible predictive factors such as age, baseline IOP and number of laser shots and PTM as different types of glaucoma did not confound the result. It is to be noted that selection bias did not play a role in patient selection for which laser to perform, as all patients seen prior to December 2017 were treated with SLT, and those seen afterwards were treated exclusively with MLT in our center.

Despite these limitations, the rate of success and failure for SLT was consistent with what had been reported in existing literature. Reported success rates vary between 16 and 66% with higher rates of success in secondary glaucoma.^{34–37} Additional studies with larger patient numbers and prospective randomized controlled trials with longer follow-ups and medication wash-out protocol will be helpful in further exploring comparative long-term outcomes of MLT compared to SLT.

Conclusion

Overall, MLT and SLT had similar 6-month success rates, but IOP spike was only seen after SLT. Older age and higher baseline IOP predicted success for SLT but MLT was equally efficacious regardless of these factors. No preoperative characteristic reliably predicted success of MLT.

Précis

MLT had equal efficacy regardless of patient age and baseline IOP while these factors predicted successful outcome for SLT. Glaucoma severity and TM pigmentation did not correlate with success of either trabeculoplasties.

Acknowledgments

The authors should like to thank Dr Greg Petroski, PhD, for help with statistical analysis, and Amy Folkerts for manuscript editing.

Disclosure

The study was funded by Greenburg Glaucoma Research Endowment awarded to Jella An from Mason Eye Institute, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA. The manuscript submission was supported by Iridex Corporation, where Jella An is a consultant. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Wise J, Witter S. Argon laser therapy for open-angle glaucoma. A pilot study. Arh Opthalmol. 1979;97(2):319–322. doi:10.1001/ archopht.1979.01020010165017
- 2. Ahmed I. Sidebar: micropulse laser trabeculoplasty. *Glucoma Today*. 2014 Oct..
- Prum B Jr, Rosenberg L, Gedde S, et al. Primary open-angle glaucoma preferred practice pattern[®] guidelines. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(1):41–111. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.10.053
- 4. Hady S. 10 ways to optimize treatment with SLT. *Rev Ophthalmol.* 2014.
- Pate C, Deokule S. Review of current lasers in the management of glaucoma. J Curr Glaucoma Pract. 2008;2(3):4–7. doi:10.5005/jpjournals-10008-1034
- 6. Noecker R. ALT, MLT, SLT. Glaucoma Today. 2009 Mar..
- Detry-Morel M, Muschart F, Pourjavan S. Micropulse diode laser (810 nm) versus argon laser trabeculoplasty in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma: comparative short-term safety and efficacy profile. *Bull Soc Belge Ophthalmol.* 2008;21–28.
- De León M, Ortega Santana JF, García López A. Selective laser trabeculoplasty vs micropulse laser trabeculoplasty for the treatment of open angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Int J Res Med Health Sci.* 2017;14(1):30–34.
- Valera Cornejo D, Loayza Gamboa W, Herrera Quiroz J, et al. Micropulse laser trabeculoplasty as an adjuvant treatment for uncontrolled open angle glaucoma. *New Front Ophthalmol.* 2017;4(1). doi:10.15761/NFO.1000187
- Harasymowycz P, Papamatheakis D, Latina M, De Leon M, Lesk M, Damji K. Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty (SLT) complicated by intraocular pressure elevation in eyes with heavily pigmented trabecular meshworks. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2005;139(6):1110–1113. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2004.11.038
- Haque M, Hughes BA, Juzych MS, et al. The effect of Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty (SLT) with heavy versus light pigmentation of the Trabecular Meshwork (TM). *IOVS*. 2009;50(13):161.
- Mills R, Budenz D, Lee P, et al. Categorizing the stage of glaucoma from pre-diagnosis to end-stage disease. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006;141 (1):24–30. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2005.07.044
- Mattox C. Glaucoma stage definitions. 2014. Available from: https:// www.aao.org/assets/68cdbc7d-35ba-47ce-b95e-726eb503fe3e/ 635577092075270000/how-to-code-for-different-stages-of-glaucoma -using-icd-10-codes-pdf?inline=1. Accessed January 30, 2019.
- 14. Fellman R, Mattox C, Ross K. Know the new glaucoma staging codes. *EyeNet Mag.* 2011;65–66.
- Lee J, Lee Y, Moon J, Park M. Long-term outcomes and predictive factors for success of selective laser trabeculoplasty. *J Korean Ophthalmol Soc.* 2014;55(9):1347–1354. doi:10.3341/ jkos.2014.55.9.1347
- Ayala M, Enping C. Predictive factors of success in selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) treatment. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2011;5:573–576. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S19873
- Bruen R, Lesk M, Harasymowycz P. Baseline factors predictive of SLT response: a prospective study. J Ophthalmol. 2012;642869.

- Lee J, Lui C, Chan J, Lai J. Predictors of success in selective laser trabeculoplasty for chinese open-angle glaucoma. *J Glaucom*. 2014;23(5):321–325. doi:10.1097/IJG.000000000000049
- Chun M, Gracitelli C, Lopes F, Biteli L, Ushida M, Prata T. Selective laser trabeculoplasty for early glaucoma: analysis of success predictors and adjusted laser outcomes based on the untreated fellow eye. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2016;16:206. doi:10.1186/s12886-016-0385-z
- Mao A, Pan X, McIlraith I, Strasfeld M, Coley G, Kutnik C. Development of a prediction rule to estimate the probability of acceptable intraocular pressure reduction after selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. J Glaucoma. 2008;17(6):449–454. doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e31815f52cb
- Martow E, Hutnik C, Mao A. SLT and adjunctive medical therapy: a prediction rule analysis. J Glaucoma. 2011;20(4):266–270. doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e3181e3d2c1
- 22. Gracner T, Naji M, Hudovernik M, Gracner B, Pahor D. [Predictive factors of successful selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma]. *Klin Monbl Augenheikd*. 2007;224(12):922–926.
- Koucheki B, Hashemi H. Selective laser trabeculoplasty in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma. J Glaucoma. 2012;21(1):65–70. doi:10.1097/IJG.0b013e3182027596
- 24. Lee J, Liu C, Chang J, Wong R, Wong I, Lai J. Predictors of success in selective laser trabeculoplasty for primary open angle glaucoma in Chinese. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2014;9:1787–1791. doi:10.2147/OPTH. S69166
- 25. Gazzard G, Konstantakopoulou E, Garway-Heath D, et al; Laser in Glaucoma and Ocular Hypertension (LiGHT) trial. A multicentre, randomised controlled trial: design and methodology. Br J Ophthalmol. 2018;102(5):593–598. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2017-310877
- 26. Abramowitz B, Chadha N, Kouchouk A, Alhabshan R, Belyea D, Lamba T. Selective laser trabeculoplasty vs micropulse laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2018; 12:1599–1604. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S167102

- Ahmed I. Excellent safety profile of Micropulse Laser Trabeculoplasty (MLT) for glaucoma. *Glaucoma Today*. 2014 Dec:1–2.
- Barkana Y, Belken M. Selective laser trabeculoplasty. Surv Ophthalmol. 2007;52:634–654. doi:10.1016/j.survophthal.2007.08.014
- Lee J, Yau G, Yick D, Yuen C. MicroPulse laser trabeculoplasty for the treatment of open-angle glaucoma. *Medicin*. 2015;94(49):e2075. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000002075
- 30. Hirabayashi M, Ponnusamy V, An J. Predictive factors for outcomes of selective laser trabeculoplasty. Poster presented at the: American Glaucoma Society 29th Annual Meeting; March 16, 2019; San Francisco, CA.
- 31. Leahy K, White A. Selective laser trabeculoplasty: current perspectives. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2015;9:833–841. doi:10.2147/ OPTH.S53490
- L'Esperance F. Clinical photocoagulation with the organic dye laser. A preliminary communication. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1985;103 (9):1312–1316.
- Mainster M. Wavelength selection in macular photocoagulation. Tissue optics, thermal effects, and laser systems. *Ophthalmology*. 1986;93(7):952–958.
- 34. De Keyser M, De Belder M, De Belder S, De Groot V. Where does selective laser trabeculoplasty stand now? A review. *Eye Vis.* 2016;3 (1). doi:10.1186/s40662-016-0041-y
- 35. Yujia Z, Ahmad A. A review of selective laser trabeculoplasty: recent findings and current perspectives. *Ophthalmol Ther.* 2017;6 (1):19–32. doi:10.1007/s40123-017-0082-x
- 36. Song J. Complications of selective laser trabeculoplasty: a review. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2016;10:137–143. doi:10.2147/OPTH. S84996
- 37. Miki A, Kawashima R, Usui S, Matsushita K, Nishida K. Treatment outcomes and prognostic factors of selective laser trabeculoplasty for open-angle glaucoma receiving maximal-tolerable medical therapy. J Glaucoma. 2016;25 (10):785–789. doi:10.1097/IJG.0000000000000411

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal