

# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Dou X, Liu S, Soroker V, Harari A, Jurenka R (2019) Pheromone gland transcriptome of the pink bollworm moth, *Pectinophora gossypiella*: Comparison between a laboratory and field population. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0220187. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187

Editor: Daniel Doucet, Natural Resources Canada, CANADA

Received: April 24, 2019

Accepted: July 10, 2019

Published: July 22, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Dou et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All sequencing reads were submitted to the SRA of NCBI under the accession number "SRP140160".

**Funding:** This research was funded by the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research Development fund (BARD) research grant award IS-4722-14 to AH, VS, and RJ. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pheromone gland transcriptome of the pink bollworm moth, *Pectinophora gossypiella*: Comparison between a laboratory and field population

## Xiaoyi Dou<sup>1</sup>, Sijun Liu<sup>1</sup>, Victoria Soroker<sup>2</sup>, Ally Harari<sup>2</sup>, Russell Jurenka<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Department of Entomology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America, 2 The Volcani Center, Institute of Plant Protection, ARO, Bet-Dagan, Israel

\* rjurenka@iastate.edu

## Abstract

The pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella*, is a world-wide pest of cotton and in some parts of the cotton growing region is controlled by the mating disruption technique using synthetic sex pheromone. The sex pheromone consists of two compounds, (*Z*,*Z*)- and (*Z*,*E*)-7, 11-hexadecadienyl acetates, in about a 50:50 ratio. However, recently, a population with sex pheromone compound ratios of about 62:38 were found in cotton fields that use mating disruption in Israel. To investigate how the change developed, we compared the pheromone gland transcriptomes between a reference laboratory population and a population obtained from an Israeli cotton field utilizing mating disruption. We analyzed four biological replicates from each population and found transcripts encoding 17 desaturases, 8 reductases, and 17 candidate acetyltransferases in both populations, which could be involved in sex pheromone biosynthesis. The expression abundance of some genes between the two populations was different. Some desaturases and candidate acetyltransferases were found to have mutated in one of the populations. The differentially expressed genes play potential roles in sex pheromone ratios in the field population.

## Introduction

The pink bollworm (PBW), *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), is a key pest of cotton in the old and new world [1]. The sex pheromone of PBW females consists of two compounds, (*Z*,*Z*)- and (*Z*,*E*)-7,11-hexadecadienyl acetates (*Z*,*Z*-7,11–16:OAc and *Z*,*E*-7,11–16:OAc) in about a 50:50 ratio [2]. The biosynthesis starts with the production of the saturated fatty-acid, stearic acid [3] (Fig 1), from the catalysis of acetyl-CoA by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) and fatty acid synthase (FAS). Then a double bond is introduced into the fatty acid chain at the  $\Delta$ 9 position by a *Z*9-desaturase to form oleic acid. After peroxisomal chain shortening by 2-carbons, another double bond is introduced by a Z11-desaturase producing both the *Z* and *E* isomers. Then the carbonyl group is modified to form a primary alcohol by fatty

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

acyl reductase (FAR) and subsequently modified to an acetate ester by a fatty alcohol acetyltransferase (FAT). The pheromone biosynthetic pathway has been investigated using stable isotope precursors [3], but none of the genes encoding these enzymes have been identified in the PBW.

Mating disruption is an environmentally safe pest control method that has allowed growers to significantly reduce insecticide use and is now widely applied for the control of various moth pests [4–5]. Typically, mating disruption is achieved when the pheromone is released at a high dose in the active space of the pest, which negatively affects the ability of males to locate females. This technique has been applied in cotton fields all over the world including Israel and the USA as an effective control measure of the pink bollworm [6]. In Israel, practically all cotton fields are treated with sex pheromone in mating disruption, supported by use of insecticides when the pest population levels rise.

In Israel, recent repeated outbreaks in the pink bollworm population have suggested a change in population characteristics. Comparison of pheromone gland extracts of females from a recent field population outbreak to laboratory-reared females supports the possibility of a shift in sex pheromone characteristics [Harrari et al. unpublished]. Based on the sex pheromone bio-synthetic pathway, we hypothesized that changes in key enzymes involved in the sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway [3] could result in changes in pheromone ratios, particularly focusing on the desaturase, reductase, and acetyltransferase enzymes (Fig 1). In this study, using the Illumina HiSeq 3000 platform, we compared the pheromone gland transcriptome between a reference laboratory population and a population obtained from cotton fields in which mating disruption has failed. We analyzed four biological replicates from each population and found transcripts encoding 17 desaturases, 8 reductases, and 17 candidate acetyltransferases. The expression abundance of some genes between the two populations was significantly different, which could be involved in causing altered female sex pheromone ratios.

## Materials and methods

#### Insect collection and pheromone gland extraction and analysis

Two populations were maintained in the laboratory in Bet-Dagan, Israel as described [7]. The laboratory (Lab) population has been reared in the laboratory without any prior exposure to synthetic pheromone. The field (Field) population originated from a PBW infested cotton field near Ein Shemer, Israel (32.462472, 35.016512) in which mating disruption was utilized. Males and



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g001

females were sexed in the last larval stage by a black line on the 6<sup>th</sup> abdominal segment representing the developing testicles. Males and females were housed separately and newly-emerged moths were removed daily and placed into age cohort single sex cages. Adult moths were fed on a ~10% sucrose solution provided ad libitum. Pheromone glands from 3-day-old virgin females were removed 2 hours after the start of the scotophase when pheromone titers were the highest [8]. The glands were placed in hexane containing 25 ng tridecyl acetate as an internal standard and removed after twenty minutes. Gland extracts were sent to the Jurenka lab in the United States by express courier. Pheromone amounts and ratios were determined using a Hewlett Packard 5890 GC coupled to a 5972 mass selective detector. The column used to separate the extracts was a DB Wax (J&W Scientific, 30mx0.25mm). The GC was programmed at 60°C for one minute then at 5°C/min to the 230°C for 15 minutes. The mass spectrometer was set in single ion monitor mode for ions 43, 55, 67 and 81 (the 4 most abundant ions of Z,Z- and Z,E-7,11–16:OAc) and 43, 55, 61, and 69 (the 4 most abundant ions of tridecyl acetate). A 2-tailed students t-test (Microsoft Excel) was used to determine statistical significance.

#### RNA isolation, cDNA library construction and Illumina sequencing

Ten pheromone glands from each population were removed from 3-day-old females during the second hour of scotophase, which is the peak period of pheromone production. Pheromone glands were immediately placed in RNAlater and frozen to -80°C and then shipped to the Jurenka lab in the United States by express courier.

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol regent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quantity of RNA was determined using the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

One mRNA library and three stranded total RNA-Seq libraries were prepared in each population by the DNA facility of Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA. The library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 platform. The stranded total RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced with 150 pair-end and the mRNA library with 100 single reads. All sequencing reads were submitted to the SRA of NCBI under the accession number "SRP140160".

## De novo assembly of short reads and gene annotation

The quality of all raw reads was checked using FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics, UK). Low quality sequences and adaptors were removed using the Fastx-toolkit (Hannon Lab, CSHL, USA) and Trim Galore! (Felix Krueger, Babraham Bioinformatics). The *de novo* assembly was carried out using the merged reads and reads from each library respectively with the short reads assembly program Trinity [9]. After Trinity assembly, the resulting sequences were then processed using CAP3 with default parameters [10] in order to decrease the redundance of BLAST searches. The resulting clusters and singletons of more than 200 bases were locally searched against the NCBI non-redundant protein database using the BLASTx program, to obtain protein annotations of the assembled contigs. Gene Ontology terms were performed by the Blast2GO program [11] and the GO functional classification was obtained using WEGO software [12].

#### **Expression abundance analysis**

The expression abundance of the transcripts was calculated using the method of RNA-Seq by Expectation-Maximization (RSEM) with the Trinity model. We used the RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads) value as the abundance level.

The differential expression between the two populations was measured by using the multiple test false discovery rate (FDR) calculation in the R program package 'edgeR' with the contigs derived from the merged reads.

#### Identification of candidate genes involved in sex pheromone biosynthesis

We focused on several important genes, including acetyl-CoA carboxylase, limited β-oxidation enzymes, fatty acid synthases, desaturases, reductases and acetyltransferases. First we started by selecting the transcripts that encode these genes from the BLASTx results. Then translated these transcripts to their corresponding proteins using the TransDecoder program in Trinity. The encoding proteins were used in BLASTp to identity the genes based on homology to the NCBI non-redundant proteins database. The amino acid sequence alignment was conducted using BioEdit (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html).

### Relative expression of several candidate genes by qPCR

One µg of total RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis using ProtoScript II first strand cDNA synthesis Kit (New England BioLabs Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cDNAs from four replicates of each population were used as templates for qPCR. Primers are shown in <u>S1 Table</u>. qPCR was conducted using SYBR Green Supermix on the Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The conditions of thermal cycles were: 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 20 s. The *P. gossypiella* elongation factor 1 delta was used as reference gene. The data were analyzed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method. A two tailed student t test in Microsoft Office Excel was used to calculate the significant difference.

## **Phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted with two genes involved in the sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway, desaturases and reductases. Here we imported 66 desaturases sequences and 70 identified reductases from other species and the genes we found in the PBW transcriptome. The amino acid sequences were aligned by the BioEdit program. The phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method implemented in MEGA7 with default setting and 1000 bootstrap replicates [13].

#### **Statistics**

The significance of differential expressed genes was calculated in R program through the FDR value of multiple comparison (https://www.R-project.org). FDRs less than 0.05 were considered as significantly differential expression between populations. Other statistical comparisons were calculated in Microsoft Office Excel using a two-tailed Student's t-Test. Fold changes were calculated based on the RPKM value in two populations after converting to the log<sub>2</sub> scale.

#### Results

Analysis results of pheromone gland extracts from the Lab and Field populations are shown in Table 1. There was not a statistical difference in the amount of the ZZ and ZE isomers but there was a statistical difference in the ratio of the ZZ and ZE isomers. The Field population had a higher ZZ ratio than the Lab population.

		= =	
Population	Ratio ZZ ± SEM	Amount per gland, ng	# glands
Lab	52.5 ± 3.6	13.8 ± 6.7	17
Field	61.8 ± 3.5 *	9.6 ± 5.3	16

Table 1. Ratios and amounts of pheromone found in	glands collected from the two populations.
---	--

\*Statistically significant difference P<0.001 2-tailed Student's t-Test, compared to Lab population.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.t001

#### Illumina sequencing and sequence assembly

Illumina sequencing of cDNA libraries prepared from the mRNA or total RNA of the pheromone glands of two PBW populations was conducted. To assess the completeness of the assembled data, the transcripts were analyzed using the BUSCO program (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) using a database of arthropod genes [14] (Table 2). These results suggest that the quality of the sequencing assembly was acceptable for both populations.

We selected the first replicate from both populations to conduct a BLASTx search with the cut-off E-value of 1.0E-5 against non-redundant protein databases in NCBI. BLASTx hits of 50,275 and 61,844 transcripts (44% and 40%) from the Lab and Field populations respectively were found. Both populations had the same top hit species. The highest hits were to *Bombyx. mori* (Lab: 12,424 transcripts (25%), Field: 12,863 transcripts (21%)), followed by *Danaus. plexippus* (9,628 hits (19%) and 10,009 hits (16%)).

All the transcripts from the two populations were annotated into different functional groups according to Gene Ontology analysis. 70,204 (61%) Lab and 82,604 (53%) Field transcripts were annotated into one or more GO categories (S1 Fig). Of the annotated transcripts, the most abundantly represented categories were "binding", "cellular process", "cell", "cell part", "metabolic process" and "catalytic activity" (more than 6,000 transcripts). In total, in Lab and Field, 27,879 and 31,534 annotated transcripts aligned to cellular component, 23,659 and 28,723 to the biological process, 18,666 and 22,347 to molecular function, respectively.

## Differential expressed gene analysis

We used the edgeR program to determine the differential expression analysis at the gene level using the full length contigs derived from all reads. After filtering with a log<sub>2</sub> (fold change) larger than 2 or less than -2 parameter and an FDR less than 0.05, there were 88 differentially expressed genes between the Lab and Field populations (S2 Fig). 40 genes were upregulated in the Field population, while 48 were downregulated. In the upregulated genes, only 21 (52.5%)

								BUSCO result (%)		
Population	Rep.	Library Preparation	# of raw reads	# of clean reads	%GC	# of Contigs	Ave. length	Complete (S+D)	Frag	Mis
Lab	1	mRNA-Seq	3.38*10 <sup>8</sup>	2.26*10 <sup>8</sup>	44	114125	768	97.9	1.3	0.8
	2	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	8.14*10 <sup>7</sup>	8.07*10 <sup>7</sup>	45	133684	707	98	1.4	0.6
	3	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	5.55*10 <sup>7</sup>	5.48*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	90007	800	96.8	2.1	1.1
	4	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	4.88*10 <sup>7</sup>	4.83*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	81495	867	97.6	1.7	0.7
Field	1	mRNA-Seq	3.35*10 <sup>8</sup>	2.27*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	155114	671	98.1	1.2	0.7
	2	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	9.91*10 <sup>7</sup>	9.80*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	100851	817	98.5	0.8	0.7
	3	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	6.38*10 <sup>7</sup>	6.26*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	86767	805	95.5	3.5	1
	4	Stranded Total RNA-Seq	4.86*10 <sup>7</sup>	4.78*10 <sup>7</sup>	44	82709	842	95.9	3.3	0.8
All						282,599	850	98	1.7	0.3

Table 2. Analysis of sequencing results.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.t002

were annotated, while the remaining upregulated genes (47.5%) were unknown. In the down-regulated genes, 20 genes (41.7%) were annotated while the rest (58.3%) were unknown. Number of differentially expressed genes that were classified into biological regulation, cellular process, transporter activity, etc. were similar between populations (Fig 2). The 88 differentially expressed genes are shown in <u>S2 Table</u> and none of the genes are directly involved in pheromone biosynthesis.

#### Putative genes related to sex pheromone biosynthesis

The biosynthetic pathway (Fig 1) includes the actions of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACC), fatty acid synthase (FAS), fatty acid desaturase (DES), β-oxidation enzymes, fatty acyl reductase (FAR), and fatty alcohol acetyltransferase (FAT). Based on BLASTx search annotation, we found members of candidate genes involved in the production of PBW sex pheromone, and then compared those genes between the two populations. In the transcriptomes, we found 1 ACC, 4 FASs, 17 DESs, 8 FARs and 17 FATs in both populations (Table 3). In addition, there were 17 transcripts in each population encoding putative  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes, including 6 acyl-CoA oxidases (ACO), 2 enoyl CoA hydratases (ECH), 4 acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (ACD), 1 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (3-KCT) and 4 3-hydroxyacyl CoA dehydrogenase (3-HCD) (Table 3). We also found several G-protein coupled receptors that could be involved in regulation of sex pheromone production including 2 PBANrs, 1 DHr, 1 ETHr, 4 octopamine receptors, 4 (Lab) or 5 (Field) sex peptide receptors (S4 Table). In addition, relative to pheromone transport, we found 9 chemosensory proteins and 7 odorant binding proteins (S4 Table). The comparison of amino acid sequences and abundance levels of these transcripts based on RPKM values are shown in Tables 4 and \$5. However, none of them showed significant differences between populations (FDR > 0.05).

**Desaturase (DES).** Desaturases introduce double bonds into the fatty acid chain at specific positions. These desaturases were identified based on homology to other insect desaturases that have three histidine boxes with eight histidine residues that are involved in creating essential metal complexes. In PBW, at least two desaturases are involved in sex pheromone biosynthesis. A  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase introduces a double bond into the 18 C saturated fatty



**Fig 2. Number of differentially regulated genes in each population, grouped by gene ontology.** All genes had a full length ORF with FDR less than 0.05 and logFC larger than 2 (UP) or less than -2 (DOWN). Up: Field population upregulated genes; Down: Field population downregulated genes.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g002

Table 3.	Putative biosynthesis rel	ated genes in PBW	pheromone glands an	d the first BLASTp hit in GenH	3ank.
----------	---------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	-------

Transcripts	GenBank homologue Description	Accession no.*	Species	E value <u>‡</u>	% AA Identity
Acetyl-CoA	Carboxylase				
ACC	Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase	XP_013176189	Papilio xuthus	0	89
Fatty acid sy	nthase				
FAS1	fatty acid synthase-like isoform X2	XP_022831709	Spodoptera litura	8E-150	37
FAS2	fatty acid synthase 2	AKD01761	Helicoverpa assulta	0	78
FAS3	PREDICTED: fatty acid synthase	XP_013167810	Papilio xuthus	0	51
FAS4	fatty acid synthase-like	XP_021186732	Helicoverpa armigera	0	78
Desaturase					
DES1	acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_022825758	Spodoptera litura	7E-163	71
DES2	acyl-CoA delta-9 desaturase	AAK94070	Epiphyas postvittana	0	79
DES3	Z11-fatty acid desaturase	ALA65425	Manduca sexta	0	67
DES4	acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_022125992	Pieris rapae	1E-114	51
DES5	PREDICTED: acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_013183656	Amyelois transitella	0	78
DES6	E11-desaturase SFWGE11	AAQ12891	Choristoneura parallela	4E-151	62
DES7	sphingolipid delta(4)-desaturase DES1	XP_004930794	Bombyx mori	0	91
DES8	PREDICTED: acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_013195132	Amyelois transitella	0	94
DES9	PREDICTED: acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_011559976	Plutella xylostella	7E-179	70
DES10	acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like isoform X2	XP_021183601	Helicoverpa armigera	0	77
DES11	delta9-desaturase	AGD98721.1	Bicyclus anynana	2E-162	71
DES12	acyl-CoA delta-9 desaturase	CAJ27975.1	Manduca sexta	5E-169	91
DES13	stearoyl-CoA desaturase 5 isoform X1	XP_013192760	Amyelois transitella	0	78
DES14	desaturase	AIM40223	Cydia pomonella	0	74
DES15	acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase-like	XP_022125992	Pieris rapae	3E-119	51
DES16	acyl-CoA desaturase HassNPVE	OWR40684	Danaus plexippus	2E-142	66
DES 17	PREDICTED: acyl-CoA Delta(11) desaturase	XP_013193663	Amyelois transitella	1E-179	71
$\beta$ -oxidation	enzymes				
Acyl-CoA ox	idase				
ACO1	PREDICTED: probable peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1 isoform X2	XP_013177324	Papilio xuthus	1E-124	69
ACO2	peroxisomal acyl-CoA oxidase 3	AID66678	Agrotis segetum	0	77
ACO3	PREDICTED: probable peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1	XP_013188704	Amyelois transitella	0	84
ACO4	PREDICTED: probable peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1	XP_014366074	Papilio machaon	0	69
ACO5	PREDICTED: probable peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1	XP_013188649	Amyelois transitella	3E-120	87
ACO6	peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 3	XP_022819471	Spodoptera litura	0	75
Acyl-CoA de	hdrogenase				
ACD1	short-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial-like isoform X1	XP_022830593	Spodoptera litura	0	75
ACD2	acyl-CoA dehydrogenase family member 9	AID66671	Agrotis segetum	0	67
ACD3	hypothetical protein B5V51_7750	PCG80426	Heliothis virescens	0	92
ACD4	very long-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial isoform X1	XP_022822499	Spodoptera litura	0	80
3 hydroxyac	vl CoA dehydrogenase				
3-HCD1	3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase type-2-like	XP_021183236	Helicoverpa armigera	4E-159	87
3-HCD2	3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase type-2	XP_021186997	Helicoverpa armigera	2E-160	85
3-HCD3	PREDICTED: probable 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase B0272.3	XP_013190290	Amyelois transitella	0	90
3-HCD4	PREDICTED: probable 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase B0272.3 isoform X1	XP_013140866	Papilio polytes	0	87
3 ketoacyl-co	a thiolase				
3-KCT	3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, mitochondrial	XP_012546519	Bombyx mori	0	86
Enoyl CoA h	ydratase				

(Continued)

#### Table 3. (Continued)

Transcripts	GenBank homologue Description	Accession no.*	Species	E value <u>‡</u>	% AA Identity
ECH1	enoyl-CoA hydratase domain-containing protein 3, mitochondrial isoform X1	XP_022822615	Spodoptera litura	1E-170	81
ECH2	PREDICTED: probable enoyl-CoA hydratase	XP_013171890	Papilio xuthus	3E-177	74
Fatty-acyl re	ductase				
FAR1	fatty acyl reductase	ARD71196	Spodoptera exigua	0	83
FAR2	putative fatty acyl-CoA reductase CG5065	XP_004925992	Bombyx mori	0	69
FAR3	putative fatty acyl-CoA reductase CG5065	XP_004925992	Bombyx mori	0	81
FAR4	putative fatty acyl-CoA reductase CG8306	XP_022824194	Spodoptera litura	0	80
FAR5	fatty acyl-CoA reductase wat-like	XP_021197953	Helicoverpa armigera	0	48
FAR6	putative fatty acyl-CoA reductase CG5065	XP_022824237	Spodoptera litura	0	77
FAR7	putative fatty acyl-CoA reductase CG5065	XP_022823985	Spodoptera litura	0	91
FAR8	fatty-acyl CoA reductase	ADI82791	Ostrinia nubilalis		40
Acetyltransf	erase				
FAT1	heparan-alpha-glucosaminide N-acetyltransferase	XP_004928101	Bombyx mori	0	79
FAT2	PREDICTED: heparan-alpha-glucosaminide N-acetyltransferase-like	XP_013191695	Amyelois transitella	0	78
FAT3	PREDICTED: 1-acyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase alpha-like	XP_013195392	Amyelois transitella	4E-168	83
FAT4	lysophospholipid acyltransferase 7-like	XP_021192342	Helicoverpa armigera	0	83
FAT5	PREDICTED: glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 1, mitochondrial-like isoform X1	XP_013165576	Papilio xuthus	0	77
FAT6	lysophospholipid acyltransferase 5	XP_004933932	Bombyx mori	0	74
FAT7	PREDICTED: heparan-alpha-glucosaminide N-acetyltransferase-like	XP_013194974	Amyelois transitella	5E-132	40
FAT8	probable protein S-acyltransferase 23 isoform X1	XP_021190174	Helicoverpa armigera	0	98
FAT9	PREDICTED: 2-acylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2-A-like	XP_013172217	Papilio xuthus	0	74
FAT10	acyl-CoA:lysophosphatidylglycerol acyltransferase 1 isoform X2	XP_021181103	Helicoverpa armigera	0	83
FAT11	PREDICTED: glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 3-like	XP_013197894	Amyelois transitella	0	82
FAT12	PREDICTED: sterol O-acyltransferase 2	XP_013182360	Papilio xuthus	0	71
FAT13	hypothetical protein B5V51_10571	PCG75995	Heliothis virescens	3E-141	69
FAT14	lysophospholipid acyltransferase 1 isoform X1	XP_004927037	Bombyx mori	0	77
FAT15	acyltransferase AGPAT3	AGG55011	Heliothis subflexa	3E-145	93
FAT16	PREDICTED: glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 4 isoform X2	XP_013174439	Papilio xuthus	2E-172	82
FAT17	hypothetical protein B5V51_12259	PCG80023	Heliothis virescens	2E-115	91

\*Accession number of the GenBank homologue.

‡E-value for the comparison of the PBW transcript AA sequence and the GenBank homologue.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.t003

acid and after limited chain shortening, a  $\Delta$ 11-desaturase introduces another double bond into the chain, generating both Z,Z-7,11–16:acid and Z,E-7,11–16:acid precursors.

We found 17 transcripts encoding desaturases in Lab and Field populations. Through amino acid comparison, most of the candidate desaturases show 99% to 100% identity between the two populations. One desaturase with variations between the two populations is Lab\_DES16 which had 94% identity with Field\_DES16. *Lab\_DES16* encodes a protein with 66% similarity with an unknown desaturase of *Danaus plexippus*. It was slightly higher expressed in the Field population (log<sub>2</sub> FC = -0.62). The rest of the desaturases had 100% amino acid identity between the two populations and their abundances were at a similar levels except DES14 (log<sub>2</sub> FC = -1.46) (<u>Table 4</u>) which was more abundant in the Field population. DES9 transcripts was also more abundant in the Field population (log<sub>2</sub> FC = -2.02). However, DES5 was up regulated in the lab population with log<sub>2</sub> FC = 1.47.

	Lab population			Field population				
Gene	AA Length	Complete ORF	RPKM	AA Length	Complete ORF	RPKM	Log <sub>2</sub> FC*	% AA Identity‡
Desaturase								
DES1	321	Y	0.5±0.1	321	Y	0.8±0.4	-0.74	100
DES2	383	Y	2362.9±488.4	351	Y	2286.3±659.2	-0.05	100
DES3	339	Y	4.9±2.8	339	Y	6.5±1.1	-0.40	100
DES4	329	Y	5.7±3.4	329	Y	8.3±2.1	-0.55	100
DES5	331	Y	0.9±0.5	331	Y	0.3±0.03	1.47	100
DES6	318	Y	300.6±54.4	318	Y	420.0±91.5	-0.48	100
DES7	321	Y	6.1±1.8	321	Y	4.7±0.9	0.37	100
DES8	327	Y	450.3±342.4	327	Y	392.8±143.7	0.20	100
DES9	331	Y	8.0±2.9	385	Y	32.6±16.4	-2.02	100
DES10	351	Y	7.6±2.6	351	Y	7.8±2.0	-0.04	100
DES11	300	Y	0.8±0.3	159	N	0.6±0.3	0.40	100
DES12	243	N	99.1±33.6	147	N	133.7±30.2	-0.43	100
DES13	372	Y	19.3±3.3	372	Y	26.2±8.5	-0.44	100
DES14	370	Y	3.9±0.9	370	Y	10.8±4.1	-1.47	100
DES15	325	Y	0.9±0.6	362	Y	0.7±0.1	0.37	100
DES16	294	Y	1.3±0.7	303	Y	2.0±1.1	-0.62	94
DES17	367	Y	5.4±1.2	367	Y	4.0±0.5	0.42	100
Fatty-a	cyl reductase							
FAR1	519	Y	2.4±0.6	519	Y	2.8±0.7	-0.23	100
FAR2	521	Y	5.3±1.8	521	Y	9.2±3.1	-0.79	100
FAR3	526	Y	9.7±2.9	552	N	9.7±0.3	0	100
FAR4	510	Y	7.2±1.8	510	Y	7.3±1.0	-0.02	100
FAR5	523	Y	19.3±10.5	523	Y	40.1±12.7	-1.06	100
FAR6	525	Y	0.9±0.3	525	Y	1.0±0.2	-0.07	100
FAR7	524	Y	0.4±0.1	524	Y	0.4±0.04	0.07	100
FAR8	451	Y	33.5±5.1	451	Y	31.6±8.8	0.09	100
Acetyl	ltransferase							
FAT1	596	Y	2.1±0.5	596	Y	2.7±0.7	-0.38	100
FAT2	572	Y	11.3±2.7	586	Y	1.0±1.7	0.18	100
FAT3	270	Y	104.0±34.1	270	Y	36.5±4.8	1.51	100
FAT4	485	Y	18.5±3.7	485	Y	17.3±3.7	0.09	100
FAT5	864	Y	44.0±7.7	863	Y	45.2±5.6	-0.04	100
FAT6	480	Y	1.5±0.2	480	Y	4.6±7.0	-1.57	100
FAT7	552	Y	121.8±38.6	552	Y	42.5±9.5	1.52	100
FAT8	504	N	1.0±0.3	486	N	0.6±0.1	0.82	100
FAT9	359	Y	12.3±3.1	359	Y	9.8±0.9	0.33	100
FAT10	374	Y	2.2±0.5	378	Y	1.2±0.5	0.82	100
FAT11	497	Y	4.8±1.0	481	N	2.6±0.7	0.87	100
FAT12	469	Y	42.5±14.7	360	Y	18.7±2.5	1.12	100
FAT13	282	Y	32.3±8.6	282	Y	49.9±8.0	-0.63	100
FAT14	499	Y	24.8±1.9	499	Y	26.2±1.9	0.08	100
FAT15	245	Y	1.3±0.3	283	Y	1.8±0.3	0.51	88
FAT16	337	N	2.2±0.6	398	N	29±39.4	-3.73	100
FAT17	416	N	0.54±0	500	Y	0.2±0.1	1.17	86

#### Table 4. Comparison of candidate transcripts involved in the sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway.

\* Log<sub>2</sub> Fold Change (FC)—>0: up regulated in Lab population Log<sub>2</sub>(FC) <0: up regulated in field population

 $\ddagger$  % AA identity between the Lab and field populations.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.t004

The most abundant desaturase transcript is DES2 (RPKM ~ 2300) that has a 79% identity with the  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase of *Epiphyas postvittana*. This desaturase is probably the ubiquitous  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase found in other tissues and could produce oleic acid. Sequence alignment with other Lepidoptera  $\Delta 9$  desaturases showed the conserved histidine rich motifs, four transmembrane domains, and the NPVE signature motif (Fig 3). The next most abundant transcripts encoding desaturases include DES6 (RPKM ~300), DES8 (RPKM ~ 400), and DES12 (RPKM ~ 100). DES6 and DES8 had 62% and 94% amino acid identity with  $\Delta$ 11-desaturases from the moths Choristoneura parallela and Amyelois transitella, respectively. These transcripts could encode the  $\Delta$ 11-desaturase in PBW to form the Z,Z-7,11–16:acid and Z,E-7,11–16:acid. The three histidine rich motifs and four transmembrane domains were found in the sequences but the specific signature motif varied in the two amino acid sequences (Fig 3). DES8 aligns with several desaturases that were found to be nonfunctional in a heterologous expression assay using yeast cells [15]. In DES8 the first histidine rich motif contains a cysteine that could potentially interfere with the di-iron binding at the catalytic site [16]. Relative expression levels by qPCR for DES6 and DES8 showed there was no significant difference between the populations (Fig 4); which is in agreement with the RPKM values not being significantly different.

The phylogenetic analysis of desaturases with other moth desaturases is shown in Fig 5. DES5/DES17, DES2/DES10/DES11/DES16, DES12, DES13, DES3/DES6/DES8 and DES1/DES7/DES9 are clustered in the clades of  $\Delta 6$ ,  $\Delta 9$  (C18>C16),  $\Delta 9$  (C16>C18),  $\Delta 9$  (C14-26),  $\Delta 11$  and  $\Delta 14$ , respectively. DES4, DES14 and DES15 were not similar to any class of desaturases.

**Fatty acyl reductase (FAR).** FARs catalyze the reduction of fatty acyl-CoA to the corresponding fatty alcohol [18]. In the PBW pheromone gland transcriptome, we found 8 transcripts homologous to known insect FAR genes (Table 3). Comparison of the amino acid sequence between the two populations shows 100% identity for all 8 FARs (Table 4). We did not find the full ORF of Field\_FAR3, but interestingly, the amino acid length is longer than the amino acid from the full ORF of Lab\_FAR3, which indicates that Field\_FAR3 covers Lab\_-FAR3. Among these transcripts, four encode proteins that have 77% - 91% identity with fatty acyl reductases of *Spodoptera exigua*. The rest have various similarities with *Bombyx mori*, *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Ostrinia nubilalis*. FAR5 and FAR8 had highest abundance in PBW PG (RPKM ~ 30), while FAR5 was expressed higher in the Field population (log<sub>2</sub> FC = -1.06). Relative expressions were checked by qPCR for these two transcripts, both were not significantly different (Fig 4). The RPKM values were also not significantly different. Other FARs had a low abundance with RPKM values of less than 10 and with 100% AA identity (Table 3).

Based on the phylogenetic analysis of moth FARs (Fig 6), two candidate FARs were identified to be likely involved in pheromone biosynthesis, FAR7 and FAR8. FAR7 forms a clade with the identified FARs found in pheromone glands of *Bombyx mori* [18], *Helicoverpa* [19], *Spodoptera littoralis* [20], and *Yponomeuta* [21]. FAR8 is in the clade of *Ostrinia* [22] reductases.

**Fatty acetyltransferases (FAT).** Fatty acetyltransferases convert fatty alcohols to acetate esters. This gene has not been identified in any insects at the molecular level [23]. In the PBW PG transcriptome, 17 candidate FATs were found (Table 3) based on BLASTp search results and further filtered to include only potential transferases that have transmembrane domains using the prediction programs TMHMM Server and TMpred Server. Some of the transcripts hit to N- or S- acetyltransferases from other moths, but the biochemical function for these acetyl-transferases has not been confirmed so we included them here. We found 14 and 15 full sequences in the Lab and Field populations, respectively. All genes were 100% identical between the two populations, except for FAT15 and FAT17 (Table 4). The highest identity of Lab\_FAT15 to Field transcripts is Field\_FAT15, which shows 88% similarity. Lab\_FAT15 is homologous to the acyltransferase AGPAT3 of *H. subflexa* with 93% identity, while Field\_FAT15, showed 91% similarity to the AGPAT alpha-like of *S. litura* (Gene Bank: PCG64070). Lab\_FAT17, as the

Α	
DES2 Epo-delta9 (AAK94070) Cpa0delta9 (AAQ12889) Pex-delta9 (AER29865) Cob-delta9 (AER29864) Aip-delta9 (AGR49313)	MPPQGQFSIVLESDAVAED-KVPDVAPSAEAEKRKLEIVWRNVILEAALHIGGVYGALFLAKAKWATSIFAVFLYICSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQPPAAWVLEESDATDDKDVA-VAVPPSAEKRKLSIVWRNVILFULHTGAVYGGYLFFTKAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQPPAAWVLEESDAATDDKDVA-VPVPPSAEKRKLDIVWRNVILFULHTGAVYGGYLFFTKAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQPPAAWVLEESDAATDDKDVA-APVPPSAEKRKLSIVWRNVILFVFLHIGAVYGGYLFFTTAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQPPAAWVLEESDAATDDKDVA-APVPPSAEKRKLSIVWRNVILFVFLHIGAVYGGYLFFTTAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQPPAAWVLEESDAATDDKDVA-APVPPSAEKRKLSIVWRNVILFVFLHIGAVYGGYLFFTTAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK MPPQGQTGGSWVLWETDAVDEDTDAPPVIVPSAEKRKLSIVWRNVILFVFLHIGGVYGGYLFFTTAMWATKFFAFFLYLCSGLGITAGAHRLWAHK
DES2 Epo-delta9 (AAK94070) Cpa0delta9 (AAQ12889) Pex-delta9 (AER29865) Cob-delta9 (AER29864) Aip-delta9 (AGR49313)	ARWPLRLLLTWFNTMAFQDAALDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPEIKNKGHTIDMGDLWADPHLRFQKKHYLULMPLFC ARLPLRILLTLFNTTAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGHTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKYYLTLMPLFC ARLPLRILLTLFNTTAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGHTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKYYLTLMPLFC ARLPLRILLTWFNTIAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGNTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKYYLTLMPLFC ARLPLRILLTWFNTIAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGNTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKYYLTLMPLFC ARLPLRILLTWFNTIAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGNTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKYYLTLMPLFC ARLPLRILLTWFNTIAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGNTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKHYLTLMPLFC ARMPLRILLTFNTTAFQDSVLDWARDHRMHHKYSETDADPHNATRGFFFSHVGWLLVRKHPQIKAKGNTIDMSDLCSDPVLRFQKKHYLTLMPLFC
DES2 Epo-delta9 (AAK94070) Cpa0delta9 (AAQ12889) Pex-delta9 (AER29865) Cob-delta9 (AER29864) Aip-delta9 (AGR49313)	PTIIPTLWGESLWNGYFVGAIFRYVYVLNVTWLVNSAAHMGGTMPYDKNINPVENKSVALVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNITKMFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAYFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAYFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHH PTYIPTLWGESLWNAYFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAIFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAIFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAIFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT PTYIPTLWGESLWNAIFVAAIFRYCYVLNVTWLVNSAAHKWGDRPYDKNINPVETKPVSLVVFGEGFHNYHHTFPWDYKTAELGGYSLNIKLFIDT
DES2 Epo-delta9 (AAK94070) Cpa0delta9 (AAQ12889) Pex-delta9 (AER29865) Cob-delta9 (AER29864) Aip-delta9 (AGR49313)	IGLAYDLKIVSHEVIEKRVKRTGDGSHDVWGWDDKDVPAE <mark>H</mark> KEITTI <mark>V</mark> NPEKTE IGWAYDLKSVSPDIVEKRVKRTGDGSHEVWGWDDKDVPAEQKAAATIINPEKTE IGWAYDLKSVSPDIVEKRVKRTGDGSHEVWGWDDKDVPEQKAAATIINPEKTE IGWAYDMKSVSPDIVEKRVKRTGDGSHDVWGWDDKDVPAEQKAAATIINPDKTE IGWAYDMKSVSPDIVEKRVKRTGDGSHDVWGWDDKDVPAEQKAAATIINPDKTE IGWAYDLKIVSTDVIQKRVKRTGDGSHAVWGWDDKDVPAEQKAAATIINPDKTE
В	
DES6 Cpa-E11 (AAQ12891) Cro-delta11 (Q8ISS3) Pex-term (AER29853) Ave-delta11 (AAL16642) Hzea-delta11 (AAF81787)	MSENSKINGDI PEROLERLVAPQASPRKEQIVYEN LTVGYTH AALYG YLCETVALWETLI LNEVVGVFAALGVGAGAHRLWSHRS MAENVEDMESDDPESEKUDKLVAPQAAPRKYGI YTNLLTEGYGHIAGLYGLYLCETSAKWQTYILAILLNEMAILGITAGAHRLWSHRS MAENVEDMESDLPESEKUDKLVAPQAAPRKYQI YTNLLTEGYGHIAGLYGLYLCETSAKWQTIILAILLNEMAILGITAGAHRLWAHRS MPENTKQREMYPHAGIPGKNSEMVHLVAPQAAPGKETUVYRNLAIFSYMHWAGLYGLYLCETSGKWQTWVLAFVL TFABLGITAGAHRLWAHRS MAENAEDLEINNPEEBNWETLVAPQAAPGKETUVYRNLAIFSYMHWAGLYGLYLCETSGKWQTWVLAFVL TFABLGITAGAHRLWAHRS MAENAEDLEINNPEEBNWETLVAPQAAPGKETUVYRNLAIFSYMHWAGLYGLYLCETSGKWQTWVLAFVL TFABLGITAGAHRLWAH MAENAEDLEINNPEEBNWETLVAPQAAPRKYQIVYKSLLTFGYGH AGLYGLYLCETSAKWQTIGLAILLIAMAILGITAGAHRLWAH MAENAEDLEINNPEKBLTLCHUVPQAAPRKYQIVYENLTTFGYWHIAGLYGLYLCETSAKWQTIGLAILLIAMAILGITAGAHRLWAH
DES6 Cpa-E11 (AAQ12891) Cro-delta11 (Q&ISS3) Pex-term (AER29853) Ave-delta11 (AAL16642) Hzea-delta11 (AAF81787)	PLEILLMIFHSMAFQNIVIDHVRDHRLHHKYSDTDADPHNATRGFFYSHYGWLLVKKHPEVT&RGQYTDMSDIYSNPVLNFQKYAIFLIGTVCF PLQIILMIFNSLAFQNSAINWVRDHRMHHKYSDTDGDPHNASRGFFYSHVGWLLVKKHPEVKKRGKM DMSDIYSNPVLRPQKKVAIPFIGMICF PLQIILIIFNSLAFQNSAIHWRDHRMHKYSDTDGDPHNASRGFFYSHVGWLLVKKHPEVKKRGKTIDMSDIYSNPVLRPQKKVAIPFIGMICF PLQIILMILNSLAFQNSAIDWVRDHRLHHKYSDTDGDPHNARRGFFYSHVGWLLVRKHPEVKKRGKTIDMSDIYSNPVLRPQKKVAIPFIGIO PLQIILMILNSLAFQNSAIDWVRDHRLHHKYSDTDGDPHNATRGFFYSHVGWLLVRKHPEVKKRGKTIDMSDIYSNPVLRPQKKVAIPFIGIO PLQIILMILNSLAFQNSAIDWVRDHRLHHKYSDTDGDPHNATRGFFYSHVGWLLVRKHPEVKKRGKTIDMSDIYSNPVLRPQKKVAIPFIGIO PLQIILMILNSLAFQNSAIDWVRDHRLHHKYSDTDGDPHNATRGFFYSHVGWLLVRKHPEVKKRGKTIMSDIYSNPIMFQKNAIPFIGTVCF PLQIILMILNSLAFQNSAIDWVRDHRLHHKYSDTDGDPHNATRGFFYSHVGWLLVRKHPEVKKRGKTIMSDIYSNPIMFQKKVAIPFIGTVCF
DES6 Cpa-E11 (AAQ12891) Cro-delta11 (Q&ISS3) Pex-term (AER29853) Ave-delta11 (AAL16642) Hzea-delta11 (AAF81787)	LPWYFWGETVKTAWHICMLRYALNINFTESINSETHWYGYOFEDKNILFYNNFLANIWTLGECHNNHHVYFWDYRGHUSN-LWNISCLLIDLF IPMYFWGETLSNAWHITMLRYVFSLNSIFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTALIACLGDSPHNYHHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNWTACFIDFF IPMYFWGETLSNAWHITMLRYVFSLNSIFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTTFIALGENFHNYHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNWTAFFIDFF IPMYFWGETLNNAWHITMLRYIANLNVTFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTTFIAGGEGFHNYHHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNTAFFIDFF IPMYFWGETLNNAWHITWLRYIFSLNCIFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTAFGGEGFHNYHHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNTAFFIDFF IPMYFWGETMNAWHITWLRYIFSLNCIFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTAFGGEGFHNYHHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNTAFFIDFF IPMYFWGETMNAWHITWLRYIFSLNCIFLVNSAAHLYGYRPYDKNILPAENKTAFGGEGFHNYHHVFPWDYRASELGNIGMNTAFFIDFF
DES6 Cpa-E11 (AAQ12891) Cro-delta11 (Q&ISS3) Pex-term (AER29853) Ave-delta11 (AAL16642) Hzea-delta11 (AAF81787)	AYCLKTYPEEIIOARIKRTAKMDS AYDLKTASDENINSRMKRTGDGTDISGOMYSCISSEVIO AYDLKTASDENIKSRMKRTGDGTDISGOMYSCISSEVIO AYDLKTYSSAMIESRMKRTGDGTNIWGWGK-DMLREDOEAAEILFAK AYDLKTASDETIKSRIKRTGDGTDIGGOTYAR
С	
DES8 Che-des2 (AER29846) Poc-des2 (AER29850) Pex-des2 (AER29848) Pno-des2 (AER29849) Cpa-desNF (AAN39693)	MANPD-SEAVPFEHTLVKHNVIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLECAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNVIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNIIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNIIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNIIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNIIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLIFNSIAYMNTATY MGLAKGEEVVPFKHKLVKHNIIKFGYMHLAALYGVYLCFTSAKWPTLLWAFLLLEFAKIGITAGAHRLWCHRSYKAKLPLEILLIFNSIAYMNTATY
DES8 Che-des2 (AER29846) Poc-des2 (AER29850) Pex-des2 (AER29848) Pno-des2 (AER29849) Cpa-desNF (AAN39693)	RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVNRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVINKGOTVIMEDIHKNPLLRFOKKYAFFVIGLWAYVIPTVVPMYFWGESFNNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVIEKGKTVFMDDIHKNPLLRFOEKYATVVIGLGAYIIPTVVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVVEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIIPTAVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVVEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIIPTAVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIIPTAVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVIEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIIPTAVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVIEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIIPTVVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML RDHRVHHKFADTDADPHNVHRGFWFSQIGWLFVRKHPDVIEKGKTVFMEDIHKNPLLRFOEKYALVVIGLWAYIPTVVPMYFWGETLSNSWHICTML
DES8 Che-des2 (AER29846) Poc-des2 (AER29850) Pex-des2 (AER29848) Pno-des2 (AER29849) Cpa-desNF (AAN39693)	VLTINQIFLVNSIGH <mark>S</mark> WGN PYDKNIFAVEN IAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDELIIARSKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTMFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDELIIARTKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDDMIIARTKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDDMIIARTKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDDMIIARTKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDDMIIARTKRT VLTINQIFLVNSIGHRWGNRPYDKNIKAVENIAVSLMSTGECFHNYHHVFPFDYKASELGMTKFNAATMFINFFAWLGWAYDLKTIPDDMIIARTKRT
DES8 Che-des2 (AER29846) Poc-des2 (AER29850) Pex-des2 (AER29848) Pno-des2 (AER29849) Cpa-desNF (AAN39693)	GRNLWGWGD <mark>T</mark> DQTEEETNGVKYLYQKGE GRNLWGWGDVDQTEEETKGVKYLYTKNDTKG GRNLWGWGDVDQTEEETKGVTYLYTKNDTKG GRNLWGGDVDQTEEETKGVTYLYTKNDTKG GRNLWGGDVDQTEEETKGVTYLYTKNDFKG GRNLWGWGDVDQTEEETKGVKYLYTKNE

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187 July 22, 2019

**Fig 3. Sequence alignments of DES2, DES6 and DES8 with other desaturases from Lepidoptera.** Epo: *Epiphyas postvittana*, Cpa: *Choristoneura parallela*, Pex: *Planotortrix excessana*, Cob: *Ctenopseustis obliquana*, Aip: *Agrotis ipsilon*, Cro: *Choristoneura rosaceana*, Ave: *Argyrotaenia velutinana*, Hzea: *Helicoverpa zea*, Poc: *Planotortrix octo*, Pno: *Planotortrix notophaea*. The protein ID is shown in parenthesis. The red box indicates the histidine rich motif. Gray bars above the sequence indicates transmembrane domains. The green box indicates the signature motif as described in Knipple et al. [17].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g003

partial sequence, showed 86% similarity with Field\_FAT17. Lab\_FAT17 was homologous to the predicted protein of *H. virescens* (Gene bank: PCG80023) with 91% identity, while Field\_FAT17 showed 87% identity to the same entry. These two genes had low abundance levels (RPKM <1). The most abundant genes were *FAT3* and *FAT7* with the log2 Fold changes larger than 1.5, indicating lower expression in the Field population. Also, the abundance levels of FAT8, FAT10, FAT11, FAT17 in the Field population were lower (Table 4), while FAT6 and FAT16 had higher abundance in the Field population (log<sub>2</sub> FC = -1.57, -3.73).



Fig 4. Relative expression of selected genes between Lab and Field population as determined by qPCR. ns: Non-significant, P > 0.05 (two-tailed Student's t-Test).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g004



Fig 5. Phylogenetic relationship of DESs from Lepidoptera constructed using amino acid sequences as described in experimental methods. DESs from the Lab population were marked with a dark red circle, and from the Field population with a green triangle. Each family was classified with a different color. Clockwise from the top: brown,  $\Delta 9$  desaturase (C14-26); blue,  $\Delta 9$  desaturase (C16>C18); gray,  $\Delta 9$  desaturase; green, Z/E  $\Delta 6$  desaturase; purple, Z/E  $\Delta 14$  desaturase; cyan, Z  $\Delta 6$  desaturase; dark red, Z/E  $\Delta 14$ + E $\Delta 13$  desaturase; Red:  $\Delta 11$  desaturase.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g005

See <u>S1 File</u> for results and discussion of transcripts involved in saturated fatty acid biosynthesis,  $\beta$ -oxidation, G-protein coupled receptors, and carrier proteins.



**Fig 6. Phylogenetic relationship of FARs from Lepidoptera constructed using amino acid sequences as described in Materials and Methods.** FARs from the Lab population were marked with a dark red circle, and from the Field population with a green triangle. FARs specifically biochemically identified from pheromone glands are marked with an asterisk.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220187.g006

## Discussion

The pink bollworm as a world-wide pest, has been well controlled by the mating disruption technique using artificial sex pheromone in Israel until recently when repeated outbreaks have

been documented (Harari et al., unpublished). We have found that the females from the Field populations are producing a higher ratio of the ZZ isomer (Table 1) and that males can find these females when exposed to mating disruption pheromone (Harari et al. unpublished). Therefore to understand how females could shift the ratio of sex pheromone components we undertook a transcriptome study to identify the genes that are involved in the sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway and transport in the pheromone gland, similar to studies in other moths [24–30].

By sequencing the PBW pheromone gland transcriptome of Lab and Field populations, we found 64 transcripts encoding enzymes that are putatively involved in pheromone biosynthesis. This is the first study reporting the key enzymes involved in PBW sex pheromone biosynthesis along with a comparison between Lab and Field populations. Although most transcripts were identical between populations there were some differences in transcripts encoding desaturases and acetyltransferases. For desaturases, Lab DES16 had a 94% identity to Field DES16 in all four replications. *DES16* is likely encoding a  $\Delta 9$  desaturase and their abundance level based on RPKM values is very low indicating that this desaturase is probably not involved in pheromone biosynthesis. The most abundant desaturase transcripts were DES2, DES6 and DES8, which may encode  $\Delta 9$  and  $\Delta 11$  desaturases, respectively, because their sequences are similar to identified desaturase sequences from other moth pheromone glands [15-16, 31]. However DES8 aligns with other desaturases that were found to be nonfunctional as a desaturase in the yeast expression system [15]. DES6 is expressed at a lower level in the Lab population, indicating the possible role of this  $\Delta 11$  in changing sex pheromone ratio, although a functional analysis needs to be conducted to confirm the type of desaturase encoded by each transcript.

The Z and E  $\Delta$ 11 isomers could be produced by one desaturase or by separate desaturases. *DES6* was the only desaturase that had relatively high transcript abundance and aligned with other functionally described  $\Delta$ 11 desaturases indicating that this desaturase could produce both the E and Z  $\Delta$ 11 isomers. Some  $\Delta$ 11 desaturases have been shown to produce only a Z or E isomer [15–16, 32–33] and some desaturases are bifunctional and could produce both isomers [31–33]. It has been demonstrated that a single amino acid substitution could switch a  $\Delta$ 11-desaturase that produces primarily Z isomer to one that produces mostly the E isomer [16]. We did not find single amino acid substitutions in the comparison of the highly expressed desaturases so other mechanisms must account for the change in the pheromone ratios we have observed in the Field population.

We found two FATs that differed in amino acid sequence between the two populations. *FAT15* and *FAT17* had 88% and 86% identity between the two populations. However, the RPKM values were very low in both populations indicating that they may not be involved in pheromone biosynthesis. The most abundant FATs were *FAT3* and *FAT7* in the Lab population but were statistically lower in the Field population. In the Field population there were 4 FATs (FAT3, FAT5, FAT7, FAT13) that were the most abundant transcripts with RPKM between 30 and 50. These are all putative FATs because none have been identified at the molecular level in moth pheromone glands as the actual transferase that produces acetate esters.

The other major enzyme involved in pheromone production is the FAR that produces the alcohol required for the FAT to make acetate esters. We found 8 FARs in PBW pheromone glands with no sequence differences found between the two populations. The two most abundant transcripts were FAR5 and FAR8 for both populations with a significant difference in FAR5 that was higher in the Field population. Either of these could be involved in producing the alcohols required for acetate ester production since they are related to FARs identified from other moth pheromone glands [18–22].

Moreover, we found 9 CSPs and 7 OBPs from both populations in all 4 replications that had 100% identity and their expression level was also similar (<u>S4 Table</u>). These proteins could be involved in the transport of pheromone components to the surface of the pheromone gland for release. However we did not find any differences in the transcripts encoding these proteins indicating that the increased ratio of ZZ isomers in the Field populations may not be caused by the differential transportation of sex pheromone. In addition, in the analysis of differential expressed genes, we found many genes with an unknown annotation (<u>S2 Table</u>). These unknown genes with differential expression may play roles in changing the sex pheromone ratio.

The increase in the ZZ isomer that we have found in the field population could be due to variation in abundance of key enzyme(s) found in the biosynthetic pathway. Desaturase abundance with an increase in the  $\Delta 11$  desaturase that produces the Z isomer is possible. Variation in putative FATs were found between the two populations and one or more of these could be involved in preferentially acetylating the ZZ isomer. Tortricid moths utilize a FAT that prefers the Z isomer of  $\Delta 11$  fatty alcohols [34] and a similar FAT could be involved in producing the increased ZZ isomer in the field PBW. An increase in the abundance of one of the FARs that forms the ZZ alcohol could also be involved in increasing the ZZ isomer. A combination of enzymes that favor the ZZ isomer could also account for the increase in this isomer in the field population.

Another interesting finding is that the relative expression level of enzymes involved in pheromone biosynthesis are not similar among all enzymes in the biosynthetic pathway. The relative expression levels apparently decline in enzymes as they occur later in the biosynthetic pathway. The desaturases are expressed at the highest level whereas the next enzyme in the pathway, the fatty-acid reductase is expressed at a lower level. This phenomenon has also been found in transcriptome studies of other moth pheromone glands [25-30, 34-38]. The next enzymes in the pathway are either an alcohol dehydrogenase in those moths that produce aldehydes or an acetyltransferase in those moths that produce acetate esters; neither of which has been identified at the molecular level. If these enzymes are expressed at relatively low levels it becomes difficult to predict which transcript encodes these enzymes [25].

This comparative transcriptome study has provided sequence and transcript abundance information that can be used to identify key enzymes involved in pheromone biosynthesis in the PBW moth. Identification of the enzyme will require expressing each enzyme in an heterologous expression system followed by a functional assay. Identifying factors in the field population that are involved in the increased ZZ isomer ratios will require further research. Other factors in addition to enzyme changes could be selective degradation of pheromone, the regulation of releasing pheromone, or some abiotic environmental factors.

## Supporting information

S1 Fig. Gene Ontology classification and comparison of PBW transcripts of two populations.

(TIF)

**S2 Fig. Volcano plot for differential expressed genes between Lab and Field populations.** FC: Fold Change. FDR: False discovery rate. Black dots: FDR>0.05. Not significant; Red dots: FDR < 0.05, Significant. (TIF)

**S1 Table. Primers for qPCR.** (PDF)

**S2** Table. 88 differentially expressed genes between populations. (PDF)

S3 Table. Comparison of candidate transcripts involved in saturated fatty acid biosynthesis and β-oxidation.

(PDF)

S4 Table. Putative G-protein coupled receptors, odorant binding proteins, chemosensory proteins in PBW pheromone glands and the first BLASTp hit in GenBank. (PDF)

**S5** Table. Comparison of candidate transcripts of G-protein coupled receptors, odorant binding proteins, chemosensory proteins in PBW pheromone glands. (PDF)

S1 File. Transcripts involved in saturated fatty acid biosynthesis, β-oxidation, G-protein coupled receptors, and carrier proteins. (PDF)

**S2** File. All the amino acid sequences we found in this study. (PDF)

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Victoria Soroker, Ally Harari, Russell Jurenka.

Data curation: Xiaoyi Dou, Sijun Liu.

Funding acquisition: Victoria Soroker, Ally Harari, Russell Jurenka.

Methodology: Xiaoyi Dou, Russell Jurenka.

Project administration: Russell Jurenka.

Supervision: Sijun Liu.

Writing - original draft: Xiaoyi Dou.

Writing - review & editing: Xiaoyi Dou, Victoria Soroker, Ally Harari, Russell Jurenka.

#### References

- Henneberry TJ, Gillespie JM, Bariola LA, Flint HM, Lingren PD and Kydonieus AF. Gossyplure in laminated plastic formulations for mating disruption and pink-bollworm (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae) control. J Econ Entomol. 1981; 74: 376–381.
- Hummel HE, Gaston LK, Shorey HH, Word RS, Byrni KJ, Silverstein RM. Clarification of chemical status of the pink bollworm sex pheromone. Science. 1973; 181: 873–875. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.181.4102.873 PMID: 17816239
- Foster SP, Roelofs WL. Pink bollworm sex pheromone biosynthesis from oleic acid. Insect Biochem. 1988; 18: 281–286.
- Harari AR, Zahavi T, Gordon D, Anshelevich L, Harel M, Ovadia S, et al. Pest management programmes in vineyards using male mating disruption. Pest Manag Sci. 2007; 63: 769–775. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1365">https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1365</a> PMID: 17523143
- Evenden M. Mating disruption of moth pests in integrated pest management: a mechanistic approach. In: Allison JD, Carde RT, editors. Pheromone Communication in Moths: Evolution, Behavior and Application. Univ California Press; 2016. pp. 365–393.
- Henneberry TJ. Integrated systems for control of the pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* in cotton. In: Vreysen MJB, Robinson AS, Hendrichs J, editors. Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests; 2007. pp. 567–579.

- Bartlett AC, Wolf WW. Pectinophora gossypiella. In: Moore RF, Singh P, editors. Handbook of Insect Rearing Vol 2. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 1985; pp. 415–430.8.
- 8. Rafaeli A, Klein Z. Regulation of pheromone production by female pink bollworm moths *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Sanders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Physiol. Entomol. 1994; 19: 159–164.
- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29: 644–652. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883</u> PMID: 21572440
- Huang X, Madan A. CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. Genome Res. 1999; 9: 868–877. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.9.868 PMID: 10508846
- Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21: 3674– 3676. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610 PMID: 16081474
- 12. Ye J, Fang L, Zheng H, Zhang Y, Chen J, Zhang Z, et al. WEGO: a web tool for plotting GO annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34: W293–297. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl031 PMID: 16845012
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016; 33: 1870–1874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054</u> PMID: 27004904
- Simao FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015; 31: 3210– 3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351 PMID: 26059717
- Liu W, Rooney AP, Xue B, Roelofs WL. Desaturases from the spotted fireworm moth (*Choristoneura parallela*) shed light on the evolutionary origins of novel moth sex pheromone desaturases. Gene. 2004; 342: 303–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2004.08.017 PMID: 15527989
- Ding BJ, Carraher C, Lofstedt C. Sequence variation determining stereochemistry of a Delta11 desaturase active in moth sex pheromone biosynthesis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016; 74: 68–75. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.05.002 PMID: 27163509
- Knipple DC, Rosenfield C-L, Nielsen R, You KM, Jeong SE. Evolution of the integral membrane desaturase gene family in moths and flies. Genetics 2002; 162: 1737–1752. PMID: 12524345
- Moto K, Yoshiga T, Yamamoto M, Takahashi S, Okano K, Ando T, et al. Pheromone gland-specific fatty-acyl reductase of the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003; 100: 9156–9161. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1531993100 PMID: 12871998
- Hagstrom AK, Lienard MA, Groot AT, Hedenstrom E, Lofstedt C. Semi-selective fatty acyl reductases from four heliothine moths influence the specific pheromone composition. PLoS One. 2012; 7: e37230. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037230 PMID: 22615947
- Carot-Sans G, Munoz L, Piulachs MD, Guerrero A, Rosell G. Identification and characterization of a fatty acyl reductase from a *Spodoptera littoralis* female gland involved in pheromone biosynthesis. Insect Mol Biol. 2015; 24: 82–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12138 PMID: 25558806
- Lienard MA, Hagstrom AK, Lassance JM, Lofstedt C. Evolution of multicomponent pheromone signals in small ermine moths involves a single fatty-acyl reductase gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107: 10955–10960. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000823107 PMID: 20534481
- Lassance JM, Lienard MA, Antony B, Qian S, Fujii T, Tabata J, et al. Functional consequences of sequence variation in the pheromone biosynthetic gene pgFAR for *Ostrinia* moths. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110: 3967–3972. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208706110 PMID: 23407169
- 23. Jurenka R. Insect pheromone biosynthesis. Top Curr Chem. 2004; 239: 97–132. https://doi.org/10. 1007/b95450 PMID: 22160232
- Antony B, Soffan A, Jakse J, Alfaifi S, Sutanto KD, Aldosari SA, et al. Genes involved in sex pheromone biosynthesis of *Ephestia cautella*, an important food storage pest, are determined by transcriptome sequencing. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16: 532. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1710-2 PMID: 26187652
- Ding BJ, Lofstedt C. Analysis of the Agrotis segetum pheromone gland transcriptome in the light of sex pheromone biosynthesis. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16: 711. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1909-2 PMID: 26385554
- Gu SH, Wu KM, Guo YY, Pickett JA, Field LM, Zhou JJ, et al. Identification of genes expressed in the sex pheromone gland of the black cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon* with putative roles in sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14: 636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-636</u> PMID: 24053512
- Li ZQ, Zhang S, Luo JY, Wang CY, Lv LM, Dong SL, et al. Transcriptome comparison of the sex pheromone glands from two sibling *Helicoverpa* species with opposite sex pheromone components. Sci Rep. 2015; 5: 9324. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09324 PMID: 25792497

- Zhang YN, Zhang LW, Chen DS, Sun L, Li ZQ, Ye ZF, et al. Molecular identification of differential expression genes associated with sex pheromone biosynthesis in *Spodoptera exigua*. Mol Genet Genomics. 2017; 292: 795–809. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-017-1307-3 PMID: 28349297
- Grapputo A, Thrimawithana AH, Steinwender B, Newcomb RD. Differential gene expression in the evolution of sex pheromone communication in New Zealand's endemic leafroller moths of the genera *Ctenopseustis* and *Planotortrix*. BMC Genomics. 2018; 19: 94. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4451-1 PMID: 29373972
- 30. Lin X, Wang B, Du Y. Key genes of the sex pheromone biosynthesis pathway in female moths are required for pheromone quality and possibly mediate olfactory plasticity in conspecific male moths in *Spodoptera litura*. Insect Mol Biol. 2018; 27: 8–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12335 PMID: 28741319
- Knipple DC, Roelofs WL. Molecular biological investigations of pheromone desaturases, In: Blomquist G, Vogt R, editors. Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, San Diego: Academic Press. 2003; pp. 81–106.
- Fujii T, Yasukochi Y, Rong Y, Matsuo T, Ishikawa Y. Multiple ∆11-desaturase genes selectively used for sex pheromone biosynthesis are conserved in *Ostrinia* moth genomes. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2011; 61: 62–68.
- Hao G, O'Connor M, Liu W, Roelofs WL. Characterization of Z/E11- and Z9-desaturases from the obliquebanded leafroller moth, *Choristoneura rosaceana*. J Insect Sci. 2002; 2: 26. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jis/2.1.26 PMID: 15455060
- Jurenka RA, Roelofs WL. Characterization of the acetyltransferase used in pheromone biosynthesis in moths: Specificity for the Z isomer in Tortricidae. Insect Biochem. 1989; 19: 639–644.
- Zhang Y-N, Zhu X-Y, Fang L-P, He P, Wang Z-Q, Chen G, et al. Identification and expression profiles of sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport related genes in *Spodoptera litura*. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0140019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140019 PMID: 26445454
- 36. Zhang Y-N, Xia Y-H, Zhu J-Y, Li S-Y, Dong S-L. Putative pathway of sex pheromone biosynthesis and degradation by expression patterns of genes identified from female pheromone gland and adult antenna of *Sesamia inferens* (Walker). J Chem Ecol. 2014; 40: 439–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-014-0433-1 PMID: 24817326
- Xia Y-H, Zhang Y-N, Hou X-Q, Li F, Dong S-L. Large number of putative chemoreception and pheromone biosynthesis genes revealed by analyzing transcriptome from ovipositor-pheromone glands of *Chilo suppressalis*. Sci Rep. 2015; 5: 7888. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07888 PMID: 25601555
- Cha WH, Jung CR, Hwang Y-J, Lee D-W. Comparative transcriptome analysis of pheromone biosynthesis-related gene expressions in *Plutella xylostella* (L.). J Asia Pac Entomol. 2017; 20: 1260–1266.