# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

# **OPEN**

SUBJECT AREAS: CANCER GENETICS MICROSATELLITE INSTABILITY

> Received 19 August 2014

Accepted 10 November 2014

Published 28 November 2014

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.W. (karajan2@163. com) or F.S. (sunfenyongtongji@ 126.com)

# Role of Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism in susceptibility and prognosis of cancer: a meta-analysis

Xiao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Wenhao Weng<sup>1</sup>, Wen Xu<sup>2</sup>, Yulan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Wenjun Yu<sup>1</sup>, Xun Tang<sup>1</sup>, Lifang Ma<sup>1</sup>, Qiuhui Pan<sup>3</sup>, Jiayi Wang<sup>1</sup> & Fenyong Sun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical laboratory medicine, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital of Tongji University, Shanghai, China, 200072, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical laboratory medicine, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, 200032, <sup>3</sup>Department of Central Laboratory, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital of Tongji University, Shanghai, China, 200072.

The association between B-cell lymphoma 2 (*Bcl-2*) polymorphism and cancer is under debate and remains elusive. This meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the relationships of *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism (rs2279115) with susceptibility and prognosis of cancer. Odds ratios (ORs) were used to measure the association between *Bcl-2* polymorphisms and cancer risk. Hazard ratios (HRs) were used to measure the association between *Bcl-2* polymorphisms and cancer prognosis. On the basis of 26 studies about *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism and cancer, we found *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in dominant model (OR=1.12, 95%CI: 1.00–1.25, P=0.04), recessive model (OR=1.38, 95%CI: 1.11–1.71, P=0.004), allelic model (OR= 1.15, 95%CI: 1.04–1.28, P=0.007) and homozygote comparison(OR=1.44, 95%CI: 1.11–1.87, P=0.006). Furthermore, *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in Asians but not in Caucasians. Moreover, *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was not significantly associated with the prognosis of cancer (AA vs CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.77–1.27, P=0.93; AA vs CC: OR=0.92, 95%CI: 0.65–1.30, P=0.63; AC vs CC: OR=0.94, 95%CI: 0.80–1.11, P=0.48; CC vs AA+CA: OR=1.21, 95%CI: 0.69–2.13, P=0.50; AA vs CC+CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.48–2.04, P=0.97). Studies with larger samples and gene-environment interactions are needed to validate our findings.

poptosis is a highly programmed cell death, and it can be achieved by two major pathways: death-receptor pathway and mitochondrial pathway<sup>1</sup>. The Bcl-2 family proteins play an important role in the regulation of the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis through controlling the outer mitochondrial membrane integrity<sup>2</sup>. Bcl-2 family contains more than 20 anti-apoptotic and pro-apoptotic members such as Bcl-2, Bax, Bad and Bak<sup>3</sup>. Bcl-2 is highly expressed at the onset of many cancers<sup>4</sup>. High expression of Bcl-2 has been reported in solid-tumors like prostate cancer<sup>5</sup> and non-small cell lung cancer<sup>6</sup>. In blood cancers like chronic lymphocytic leukemia<sup>7</sup> and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma<sup>8</sup>, high expression of Bcl-2 was also reported.

*Bcl-2* (B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 2) gene, located at 18q21.3°, which is firstly identified as an anti-apoptotic regulatory protein, and served as an inhibitor of proliferation<sup>10</sup>. *Bcl-2* consists of two promoters which have different functions named P1 and P2<sup>11</sup>. Previous studies have identified a novel single-nucleotide polymorphism (-938 C>A) in P2 promoter of the *Bcl-2* gene<sup>12</sup>. *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism is a crucial factor of cell cycle control and cell survival<sup>13</sup>. Wedemeyer et al. reported that *Bcl-2* -938 CC genotype is at high risk for aseptic loosening<sup>14</sup>. Zhang et al. reported that *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism may be relevant to the clinical symptoms of major depressive disorder<sup>15</sup>. Recently, several studies have reported that *Bcl-2* -938C>A promoter polymorphism is associated with susceptibility and prognosis of cancer<sup>16-39</sup>.

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism can influence the susceptibility of cancer and to evaluate the prognostic significance of *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism in cancer.

# **Methods**

Literature search. The PRISMA statement (Supplementary Checklist S1) were followed in our meta-analysis. PubMed, EMBASE, OVID, Cochrane Library and Web of Science databases were searched from database inception to August 2014 without language restriction. The search strategy was "Bcl-2 OR Bcl2 OR B-cell lymphoma-2" AND "polymorphism or variant or mutation or genotype". The review articles and reference lists of retrieved articles were read manually to complete our research. The database search was performed independently by X. Zhang and J. Wang and the disagreements were resolved through consensus by all of the authors.

Selection criteria. If the following inclusion were satisfied, studies would be included in our meta-analysis: 1)case-control studies focused on association between the *Bcl-2* promoter polymorphism (-938 C>A) and susceptibility or prognostic significance in cancer. 2) More than 30 patients and controls were enrolled in studies.3) Studies provided sufficient data to estimate the odds ratio (OR) or hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) according to *Bcl-2* promoter polymorphism(-938 C>A). 4) When study patients overlapped with patients in other included studies, we selected the first study published. The two researchers (J. Wang and X. Zhang) read the titles and abstracts independently and excluded the uncorrelated studies; then the full-texts were examined by our review team. The studies would be selected according to the inclusion criteria.

**Data Abstraction**. The following information in studies investigating the association between *Bcl-2* polymorphism and cancer risk was extracted by two independent researchers: authors, year of publication, country, tumor type, number of cases and controls analyzed, mean value of age, source of controls (hospital-based controls) and genotyping method. As for studies investigating the association between *Bcl-2* polymorphism and prognostic value in cancer, two researchers independently extracted the following information from the article: authors, year of publication, country, tumor type, number of patients analyzed, distribution of age and gender, genotyping method, HR estimation and median follow-up date. If univariate and multivariate analysis were both reported, we selected the multivariate analysis. Because the multivariate analysis has taken into consideration the confounding factor and is more accurate. If insufficient data (missing data, inconsistencies, or any other uncertainties) were reported in the article, we tried our best to ask the first and corresponding authors for necessary information by telephone or E-mail.

Statistical analysis. As for studies investigating the association between Bcl-2 - 938C>A polymorphism and cancer susceptibility, odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were combined to measure the association between Bcl-2 promoter polymorphisms and susceptibility of cancer. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each study was determined by Chi square test. The pooled ORs were calculated for the dominant model (WM + MM vs WW), recessive model (MM vs WM + WW), homozygote comparison (MM vs WW), heterozygote comparison (WM vs WW) and allelic model (mutation [M] allele versus [vs] wild [W] allele), respectively. As for studies evaluating the prognostic significance

of Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism in cancer, hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were combined to measure the effective value of Bcl-2 938 C>A polymorphism on prognosis. If the study didn't report the HRs, the Engauge Digitizer version 4.1 was used to read the kaplane-Meier curves to estimate the HRs and the 95% CIs. In order to reduce reading variability, three independent investigators (J. Wang, W. Weng and X. Zhang) read the curves. P values<0.05 indicated statistical significance. Statistical heterogeneity among the studies was evaluated using the Q test and I2 test. When heterogeneity among the studies was observed, the pooled OR/HR was calculated by random-effects models. Sensitivity analyses were performed to identify the potential influence of the individual data set to the pooled OR/HR. These analyses were performed by Review Manager Version 5.1 software (http://ims.cochrane.org/revman). The Begg's and Egger's test was performed by R (http://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base). We applied resampling statistic and 1000 re-sampling groups were generated using the bootstrap re-sampling procedure<sup>40,41</sup>. The re-sampling program was in Supplementary excel file 1, and one of the result was displayed in Supplementary excel file 2. In our resampling program (Supplementary excel file 1), the types of each 11805 samples were showed. No.1 was for cancer patient and CC genotype; 2 was for cancer patient and CA genotype; 3 was for cancer patient and AA genotype; 4 was for healthy control and CC genotype; 5 was for healthy control and CA genotype; 6 was for healthy control and AA genotype. In one re-sampling group, there were 11805 samples generated by bootstrap re-sampling procedure and the ORs were calculated under five genetic models. 1000 re-sampling groups were generated to get robust and replicable results. Overall ORs were calculated containing all samples under five genetic models. Pressing F9 was able to re-sample. In one of the re-sampling results (Supplementary excel file 2), the distributions of ORs in five genetic models were analyzed, and the overall ORs containing all samples were calculated under five genetic models.

# Results

**Characteristics of identified studies.** Following an initial search, 387 studies were searched in PubMed, 639 studies were searched in EMBASE, 705 studies were searched in OVID, 6 studies were searched in Cochrane Library, 292 studies were searched in Web of Science and 5 additional studies in review article were added to make our search comprehensive. 1017 published studies were



Figure 1 | Flow diagram summarizing the selection of eligible studies.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random. 95% Cl	M-H. Random, 95% Cl			
Chen 2007	588	814	677	934	13.1%	0.99 [0.80, 1.22]	+			
Dorjgochoo 2013	659	1024	1179	1918	16.5%	1.13 [0.97, 1.32]	+			
Fingas 2010	26	40	28	40	1.3%	0.80 [0.31, 2.03]				
Hyndman 2014	566	735	453	553	9.7%	0.74 [0.56, 0.97]				
Li 2014	139	248	124	252	7.1%	1.32 [0.93, 1.87]				
Liu 2012	130	205	128	224	6.1%	1.30 [0.88, 1.92]	+			
Meyer 2013	363	509	314	466	9.9%	1.20 [0.92, 1.58]				
Wang 2012	80	118	121	213	4.5%	1.60 [1.00, 2.57]				
Wang 2014	277	424	255	446	9.8%	1.41 [1.07, 1.86]				
Xu 2013	639	1017	624	1017	15.0%	1.06 [0.89, 1.27]	+			
Zenz 2009	220	267	99	120	3.3%	0.99 [0.56, 1.75]				
Zhang 2011	72	114	61	107	3.6%	1.29 [0.75, 2.22]	+			
Total (95% CI)		5515		6290	100.0%	1.12 [1.00, 1.25]	•			
Total events	3759		4063							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>z</sup> =	= 0.01; Chi <sup>a</sup>	'= 17.83	3, df = 11	(P = 0.0	09); I <b>ž</b> = 38	3%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.01 (F	<sup>P</sup> = 0.04	)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100			

Dominant model (AA+CA vs CC)

Figure 2 | Forest plot of *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism and cancer risk in dominant model.

identified after duplicated records removed. After excluding unrelated studies by reading titles, abstracts and the full-text, trying our best to communicate with the first and corresponding author to get the necessary data, 26 studies were included in our meta-analysis ultimately. Twelve studies evaluating Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism in cancer risk<sup>28-39</sup> and fourteen studies evaluating the prognostic value of Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism in cancer<sup>16-27,30,38</sup> were included in our meta-analysis. The detailed selection process was displayed in Figure 1. As for studies investigating the association between Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism and cancer susceptibility, studies were published between 2007 and 2014. There were 5515 cases and 6290 controls included in our metaanalysis. Studies were carried out in China, Germany and USA. Two studies assessed prostate cancer<sup>31,36</sup>, and one each for glioma<sup>29</sup>, breast cancer<sup>35</sup>, thyroid carcinoma<sup>33</sup>, non-Hodgkin lymphoma<sup>28</sup>, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck<sup>39</sup>, esophageal cancer<sup>34</sup>, lung cancer<sup>30</sup>, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma<sup>37</sup>, endometrial cancer<sup>32</sup>

and chronic lymphocytic leukemia<sup>38</sup>. As for studies evaluating the prognostic significance of *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism in cancer, studies were published between 2007 and 2013 and carried out in Japan, Korea, Sweden, China and Germany. Five studies assessed leukemia<sup>17,21,25,26,38</sup>, three studies assessed lung cancer<sup>16,18,30</sup> and one each for renal cancer<sup>23</sup>, prostate cancer<sup>20</sup>, breast cancer<sup>27</sup>, squamous cell carcinoma<sup>22</sup>, glioblastoma and ovarian cancer<sup>19</sup>. The main characteristics of all the included studies is shown in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Table S2.

*Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism and cancer susceptibility. Overall, twelve studies enrolling 5515 cases and 6290 controls were included in our meta-analysis. A statistical significant association between *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism and cancer susceptibility was found under the dominant model (OR=1.12, 95%CI: 1.00-1.25, P=0.04) (Fig. 2), recessive model (OR=1.38, 95%CI: 1.11-1.71, P=0.004) (Fig. 3), allelic model (OR= 1.15, 95%CI: 1.04-1.28, P=0.007)

## Recessive model (AA vs CA+CC)

	Experim	Experimental Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Chen 2007	206	814	231	934	11.9%	1.03 [0.83, 1.28]	+		
Dorjgochoo 2013	157	1024	271	1918	11.9%	1.10 [0.89, 1.36]	+		
Fingas 2010	5	40	10	40	2.7%	0.43 [0.13, 1.39]			
Hyndman 2014	221	735	171	553	11.6%	0.96 [0.76, 1.22]	+		
Li 2014	36	248	19	252	6.8%	2.08 [1.16, 3.74]			
Liu 2012	34	205	16	224	6.3%	2.58 [1.38, 4.84]			
Meyer 2013	104	509	76	466	10.3%	1.32 [0.95, 1.83]			
Wang 2012	22	118	19	213	6.0%	2.34 [1.21, 4.53]			
Wang 2014	79	424	32	446	8.7%	2.96 [1.92, 4.58]			
Xu 2013	156	1017	145	1017	11.5%	1.09 [0.85, 1.39]	+		
Zenz 2009	81	267	36	120	8.2%	1.02 [0.64, 1.63]	+		
Zhang 2011	19	114	8	107	4.2%	2.48 [1.03, 5.92]			
Total (95% CI)		5515		6290	100.0%	1.38 [1.11, 1.71]	♦		
Total events	1120		1034						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.09; Chi <sup>z</sup>	= 42.46	6, df = 11	(P ≤ 0.0	0001); I <sup>z</sup> =	: 74%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.90 (F	P = 0.00	4)						

Figure 3 | Forest plot of Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism and cancer risk in recessive model.

	Experimental Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 9	5% CI	
Chen 2007	794	1628	908	1868	11.6%	1.01 [0.88, 1.15]	+		
Dorjgochoo 2013	816	2048	1450	3836	12.4%	1.09 [0.98, 1.22]	+		
Fingas 2010	31	80	38	80	2.3%	0.70 [0.37, 1.31]			
Hyndman 2014	787	1470	624	1106	10.8%	0.89 [0.76, 1.04]	-		
Li 2014	175	496	143	504	7.4%	1.38 [1.05, 1.80]	-		
Liu 2012	164	410	144	448	7.0%	1.41 [1.06, 1.86]			
Meyer 2013	467	1018	390	932	10.1%	1.18 [0.98, 1.41]	-		
Wang 2012	102	236	140	426	5.9%	1.56 [1.12, 2.16]	-		
Wang 2014	356	848	287	892	9.5%	1.53 [1.25, 1.86]	+		
Xu 2013	795	2034	769	2034	11.8%	1.06 [0.93, 1.20]	+		
Zenz 2009	301	534	135	240	6.4%	1.00 [0.74, 1.37]	+		
Zhang 2011	91	228	69	214	4.8%	1.40 [0.94, 2.06]			
Total (95% CI)		11030		12580	100.0%	1.15 [1.04, 1.28]	•		
Total events	4879		5097						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	: 0.02; Chi <sup>a</sup>	²= 34.07	<sup>7</sup> , df = 11	(P = 0.0)	004); I <sup>z</sup> = 6	68%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.70 (i	P = 0.00	7)				0.01 0.1 1	10 100	

### Allelic model (A allele vs C allele)

Figure 4 | Forest plot of *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism and cancer risk in allelic model.

(Fig. 4) and homozygote comparison(OR=1.44, 95%CI: 1.11-1.87, P=0.006) (Fig. 5). And no significant association was found under the heterozygote comparison (OR=1.05, 95%CI: 0.97-1.14, P=0.22) (Supplementary Figure S1). Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in Asians under five genetic models (dominant model: OR=1.19, 95%CI: 1.08-1.31, P=0.0005; recessive model: OR=1.83, 95%CI: 1.28-2.62, P=0.0009; allelic model: OR=1.28, 95%CI:1.12-1.47, P=0.0003; homozygote comparison: OR=1.96, 95%CI: 1.35-2.85, p=0.006; heterozygote comparison: OR=1.11, 95%CI: 1.11-1.23, P=0.04). However, Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism was not significantly associated with cancer risk in Caucasians (dominant model: OR=0.96, 95%CI: 0.79-1.16,P=0.65; recessive model: OR=1.04, 95%CI: 0.89-1.21, P=0.82; allelic model: OR=1.00, 95%CI: 0.89-1.12, P=0.97; homozygote comparison: OR=0.98, 95%CI:0.75-1.29, P=0.91; heterozygote comparison: OR=0.94, 95%CI:0.80-1.11, P=0.48). Supplementary Table S3 displays the results of overall and subgroup analysis.

Sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis was performed by omitting one study at a time and calculating the pooled ORs again. We performed sensitivity analysis in five different genetic models (Supplementary Table S4–S8). When the study performed by Hyndman<sup>36</sup> was omitted in dominant model, *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was associated with increased cancer susceptibility (OR=1.15, 95%CI: 1.06–1.25, P=0.001), and the heterogeneity was obviously reduced.

**Publication bias.** Both Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to evaluate the publication bias of the studies. Table S9, Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary Figure S3 showed the detailed results. No publication bias was found under five genetic models according to Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test.

**Overall analysis.** We evaluated the meta-analysis of all cancer samples that was treating them as a cancer group against the control group to evaluate the significance of the odds ratios. *Bcl-2* -

Homozygote comparison	(AA vs	CC)
-----------------------	--------	-----

	<b>F</b>	Control				Orbite Defin	Odda Datia			
	Experim	ental	Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
Chen 2007	206	432	231	488	11.3%	1.01 [0.78, 1.31]	+			
Dorjgochoo 2013	157	522	271	1010	11.5%	1.17 [0.93, 1.48]				
Fingas 2010	5	19	10	22	3.0%	0.43 [0.11, 1.61]				
Hyndman 2014	221	390	171	271	10.7%	0.76 [0.56, 1.05]				
Li 2014	36	145	19	147	7.5%	2.23 [1.21, 4.10]				
Liu 2012	34	109	16	112	7.0%	2.72 [1.40, 5.30]				
Meyer 2013	104	250	76	228	10.1%	1.42 [0.98, 2.07]	-			
Wang 2012	22	60	19	111	6.5%	2.80 [1.36, 5.76]				
Wang 2014	79	226	32	223	9.1%	3.21 [2.02, 5.10]				
Xu 2013	156	534	145	538	11.2%	1.12 [0.86, 1.46]	+			
Zenz 2009	81	128	36	57	7.2%	1.01 [0.53, 1.92]	-+-			
Zhang 2011	19	61	8	54	4.9%	2.60 [1.03, 6.57]	<b>⊢</b> ⊷			
Total (95% CI)		2876		3261	100.0%	1.44 [1.11, 1.87]	◆			
Total events	1120		1034							
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.14; Chi <sup>z</sup>	= 47.79	9, df = 11	(P ≤ 0.0	00001); P	'= 77%				
Test for overall effect:	7 = 2.72 (F	P = 0.00	6)							

Figure 5 | Forest plot of Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism and cancer risk in homozygote comparison.

А

AA vs CA

Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Patio]	SE.	Moight	Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio	
Study of Subgroup		36	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI	
Bachmann 2007	0.04	0.22	19.1%	1.04 [0.68, 1.60]		<b>T</b>	
Kaderi 2008	-0.12	0.13	29.8%	0.89 [0.69, 1.14]		*	
Knoefel 2011	-0.13	0.22	19.1%	0.88 [0.57, 1.35]			
Lehnerdt 2009	-0.14	0.36	9.9%	0.87 [0.43, 1.76]			
Moon 2010	0.61	0.46	6.7%	1.84 [0.75, 4.53]			
Zenz 2009 (Essen)	1.56	0.66	3.5%	4.76 [1.31, 17.35]			
Zenz 2009 (Ulm)	-0.34	0.32	11.8%	0.71 [0.38, 1.33]			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.99 [0.77, 1.27]		. 🔶 .	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	0.04; Chi² = 9.48, df Z = 0.09 (P = 0.93)	0.01	0.1 1 10	100			

В

AA vs CC

Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio	
Bachmann 2007	-0.15	0.27	12.8%	0.86 [0.51, 1.46]			_
Bachmann 2011	2.39	1.13	2.1%	10.91 [1.19, 99.96]			
Hindy 2011	0.51	0.25	13.4%	1.67 [1.02, 2.72]			
Kaderi 2008	-0.19	0.15	16.2%	0.83 [0.62, 1.11]			
Knoefel 2011	-0.87	0.29	12.2%	0.42 [0.24, 0.74]			
Lehnerdt 2009	-0.62	0.39	9.6%	0.54 [0.25, 1.16]			
Moon 2010	0.37	0.49	7.6%	1.45 [0.55, 3.78]			
Xu 2013	-0.04	0.22	14.2%	0.96 [0.62, 1.48]		+	
Zenz 2009 (Essen)	1.97	1.09	2.3%	7.17 [0.85, 60.73]			
Zenz 2009 (Ulm)	-0.58	0.39	9.6%	0.56 [0.26, 1.20]			
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.17; Chi² = 26.03, c	lf= 9 (l	<b>100.0</b> % P = 0.002	0.92 [0.65, 1.30] ); I² = 65%	0.01		1
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.49 (P = 0.63)						

С

CA vs CC

				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% CI	
Bachmann 2011	1.61	1.19	0.5%	5.00 [0.49, 51.54]			
Hindy 2011	0.17	0.25	9.8%	1.19 [0.73, 1.93]			
Kaderi 2008	-0.03	0.07	57.5%	0.97 [0.85, 1.11]		-	
Lehnerdt 2009	-0.54	0.29	7.5%	0.58 [0.33, 1.03]			
Moon 2010	-0.03	0.33	5.9%	0.97 [0.51, 1.85]		-	
Xu 2013	-0.12	0.17	19.0%	0.89 [0.64, 1.24]		-	
lotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.94 [0.80, 1.11]		1	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.01; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.83, df	L		100			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.70 (P = 0.48)	0.01	0.1 1 10	100			

CC vs AA+CA

D

Study or Subaroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV. Random, 95% CI		Haza IV. Ran	ard Ratio	) % CI	
Hirata 2008	0.77	0.39	21.1%	2.16 [1.01, 4.64]					
Künkele 2013	-0.36	0.43	19.5%	0.70 [0.30, 1.62]		-	-		
Lehnerdt 2009	0.64	0.28	26.0%	1.90 [1.10, 3.28]			-8-		
Masago 2013	-0.2	0.09	33.3%	0.82 [0.69, 0.98]			-		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.21 [0.69, 2.13]			+		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.24; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 13.55, c	0.01	01	+	10	100			
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.67 (P = 0.50)	0.01	0.1	1	10	100			

Е

Hazard Ratio Hazard Ratio Study or Subgroup log[Hazard Ratio] SE Weight IV, Random, 95% CI IV. Random, 95% CI Heubner 2009 0.5 17.5% 0.23 [0.09, 0.61] -1.47 Knoefel 2011 -0.26 0.21 23.6% 0.77 [0.51, 1.16] Nückel 2007 0.64 0.28 22.3% 1.66 0.62 14.9% 1.90 [1.10, 3.28] Zenz 2009 (Essen) 5.26 [1.56, 17.73] Zenz 2009 (Ulm) -0.4 0.31 21.7% 0.67 [0.37, 1.23] 0.99 [0.48, 2.04] Total (95% CI) 100.0% Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.54; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 24.01, df = 4 (P < 0.0001); l<sup>2</sup> = 83% 0.01 0.1 10 100 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.04 (P = 0.97) Favours experimental Favours control

AA vs CA+CC

**Figure 6** | Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in five genetic models. (A) Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in AA vs CA; (B) Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in AA vs CC; (C) Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in CA vs CC (D) Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in CC vs AA+CA; (E) Forest plot of *Bcl-2*-938 C>A polymorphism and cancer prognosis in AA vs CA+CC.

938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in five genetic models. In dominant model, OR was 1.17, 95%CI: 1.09–1.27, P<0.0001 (Supplementary Figure 4); In recessive model, OR was 1.30, 95%CI: 1.18–1.42, P<0.00001 (Supplementary Figure 5); In homozygote comparison, OR was 1.37, 95%CI: 1.24–1.53, P<0.00001 (Supplementary Figure 6); In heterozygote comparison, OR was 1.10. 95%CI: 1.02–1.20, P=0.02 (Supplementary Figure 7); In allelic model, OR was 1.16, 95%CI: 1.11–1.23, P<0.00001 (Supplementary Figure 8).

Re-sampling statistics. In order to obtain robust and replicable results in our meta-analysis, we applied bootstrap re-sampling procedures. Results were displayed in Supplementary excel file 2. In dominant model, odds ratios were mostly distributed between 1.065 and 1.285 in 1000 re-sampling groups. The odds ratio was 1.17 when evaluating 11805000 samples (95% CI: 1.17-1.17, P<0.00001). In recessive model, odds ratios were mostly distributed between 1.095 and 1.365 in 1000 re-sampling groups. The odds ratio was 1.22 when evaluating 11805000 samples (95% CI: 1.22–1.22, P<0.00001). In homozygote comparison, odds ratios were mostly between 1.135 and 1.465. The odds ratio was 1.30 when evaluating 6146976 samples (95%CI: 1.30-1.31, P<0.00001). In heterozygote comparison, odds ratios were mostly distributed between 1.02 and 1.215 in 1000 re-sampling groups. The odds ratio was 1.12 when evaluating 9522955 samples (95% CI: 1.12-1.12, P<0.00001). In allelic model, odds ratios were mostly distributed between 1.065 to 1.215 in 1000 re-sampling groups. The odds ratio was 1.14 when evaluating 11805000 samples (95%CI: 1.14–1.14, P<0.00001).

*Bcl-2* **-938C**>A polymorphism and prognostic significance. Fourteen studies evaluating the prognostic value of *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism in cancer were included in our meta-analysis. The results of our meta-analysis suggested that the *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was not significantly associated with the prognosis of cancer (AA vs CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.77–1.27, P=0.93; AA vs CC: OR=0.92, 95%CI: 0.65–1.30, P=0.63; CA vs CC: OR=0.94, 95%CI: 0.80–1.11, P=0.48; CC vs AA+CA: OR=1.21, 95%CI: 0.69–2.13, P=0.50; AA vs CC+CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.48–2.04, P=0.97) (Figure 6). Sensitivity analysis was performed and the results didn't show any statistical significant difference when any study was omitted (Supplementary Table S10-Table S14). Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed, and no significant publication bias was found (Supplementary Table S15).

# Discussion

Bcl-2 is the founding member of the Bcl-2 family of regulator proteins that regulate cell death. Bcl-2 is specifically considered as an important anti-apoptotic protein and is thus classified as an oncogene<sup>42</sup>. There is increasing evidence that *Bcl-2* gene polymorphism may be associated with cancer susceptibility and prognosis. Recently, polymorphism in *Bcl-2* gene, variant in promoter region -938 C>A (rs2279115), has been reported to be associated with cancer susceptibility and prognosis many times. This might be the first meta-analysis regarding *Bcl-2* polymorphism in cancer susceptibility and prognosis significance.

On the basis of 26 studies about *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism and cancer, we found that *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in dominant model (OR=1.12, 95%CI: 1.00–1.25, P=0.04), recessive model (OR=1.38, 95%CI: 1.11–1.71, P=0.004), allelic model (OR=1.15, 95%CI: 1.04– 1.28, P=0.007) and homozygote comparison(OR=1.44, 95%CI: 1.11–1.87, P=0.006). *Bcl-2* -938 C>A polymorphism was significantly associated with increased cancer risk in Asian people (dominant model: OR=1.19, 95%CI: 1.08–1.31, P=0.0005; recessive model: OR=1.83, 95%CI: 1.28–2.62, P=0.0009; allelic model: OR=1.28, 95%CI:1.12–1.47, P=0.0003; homozygote comparison: OR=1.96, 95%CI: 1.35–2.85, p=0.006; heterozygote comparison: OR=1.11, 95%CI: 1.11–1.23, P=0.04) but not in Caucasian people (dominant model: OR=0.96, 95%CI: 0.79–1.16,P=0.65; recessive model: OR=1.04, 95%CI: 0.89–1.21, P=0.82; allelic model: OR=1.00, 95%CI: 0.89–1.12, P=0.97; homozygote comparison: OR=0.98, 95%CI:0.75–1.29, P=0.91; heterozygote comparison: OR=0.94, 95%CI:0.80–1.11, P=0.48). Furthermore, *Bcl-2*–938 C>A polymorphism was not significantly associated with the prognosis of cancer (AA vs CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.77–1.27, P=0.93; AA vs CC: OR=0.92, 95%CI: 0.65–1.30, P=0.63; AC vs CC: OR=0.94, 95%CI: 0.80–1.11, P=0.48; CC vs AA+CA: OR=1.21, 95%CI: 0.69–2.13, P=0.50; AA vs CC+CA: OR=0.99, 95%CI: 0.48–2.04, P=0.97).

Bcl-2 plays the canonical anti-apoptotic role and has an inhibitory effect on cell-cycle progression. Bcl-2 acts at two different intracellular compartments, the mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum<sup>43</sup>. At the mitochondria, Bcl-2 can interact with Bax/ Bak via its hydrophobic groove composed of the BH domain 1, 2 and 3, prevent their oligomerization and inhibit Bax/Bak-pore formation. However, small molecules(like BH3 mimetics) can disrupt this interaction, resulting in apoptotic cell death in cancer cells<sup>44</sup>. At the endoplasmic reticulum, Bcl-2 directly binds and inhibits the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (IP3R) via its N-terminal BH4 domain to promote proliferation and increase resistance to apoptosis. If the Bcl-2's inhibitory action on IP3R is reversed, pro-apoptotic Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling will be triggered in cancer-B cells<sup>43</sup>. The overexpression of Bcl-2 is seen at the onset of many cancers, like prostate cancer<sup>45</sup>, non-small cell lung cancer<sup>46</sup>, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia<sup>47</sup>. Nowadays, polymorphism in Bcl-2 gene, variant in promoter region -938 C>A (rs2279115) has been noticed. Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism might become a novel maker in cancer susceptibility and prognosis.

The association between *Bcl-2* -938C>A polymorphism and susceptibility and prognosis in cancer was carefully investigated. However, some limitations might exist in our meta-analysis. Firstly, in the subgroup analysis, there might be insufficient statistical power to check an association. Secondly, although some authors like Xiao-ou Shu<sup>32</sup> and Martin Heubner<sup>24</sup> kindly provided necessary data for us, a few authors of studies with incomplete data didn't reply on us. So we couldn't include more studies in our meta-analysis.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis indicates that Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism might be associated with increased cancer risk and this association might exist in Asians but not in Caucasians. Moreover, Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism was not associated the prognostic significance in cancer. Therefore, well-designed prospective studies including the Bcl-2 -938C>A polymorphism and cancer susceptibility or cancer prognosis with larger sample sizes are needed to validate our findings.

- Hengartner, M. O. The biochemistry of apoptosis. *Nature*. 407, 770–776, doi:10.1038/35037710 (2000).
- Safaeian, L., Abed, A. & Vaseghi, G. The role of Bcl-2 family proteins in pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 741C, 281–289, doi:10.1016/ j.ejphar.2014.07.029 (2014).
- Hardwick, J. M. & Soane, L. Multiple functions of BCL-2 family proteins. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 5, 1–28, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a008722 (2013).
- Bonnefoy-Berard, N. et al. Control of proliferation by Bcl-2 family members. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1644, 159–168, doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2003.10.014 (2004).
- Johnson, M. I. *et al.* Expression of Bcl-2, Bax, and p53 in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and localized prostate cancer: relationship with apoptosis and proliferation. *Prostate*. **37**, 223–229 (1998).
- Anagnostou, V. K. *et al.* High expression of BCL-2 predicts favorable outcome in non-small cell lung cancer patients with non squamous histology. *BMC Cancer.* 10, 186, doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-186 (2010).
- Sanchez-Beato, M., Sanchez-Aguilera, A. & Piris, M. A. Cell cycle deregulation in B-cell lymphomas. *Blood.* 101, 1220–1235, doi:10.1182/blood-2002-07-2009 (2003).



- 8. Davis, R. E. & Staudt, L. M. Molecular diagnosis of lymphoid malignancies by gene expression profiling. *Curr Opin Hematol.* **9**, 333–338 (2002).
- Tsujimoto, Y., Gorham, J., Cossman, J., Jaffe, E. & Croce, C. M. The t(14;18) chromosome translocations involved in B-cell neoplasms result from mistakes in VDJ joining. *Science*. 229, 1390–1393 (1985).
- 10. Zinkel, S., Gross, A. & Yang, E. BCL2 family in DNA damage and cell cycle control. Cell Death Differ. 13, 1351–1359, doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401987 (2006).
- 11. Liang, X., Xu, K., Xu, Y., Liu, J. & Qian, X. B1-induced caspase-independent apoptosis in MCF-7 cells is mediated by down-regulation of Bcl-2 via p53 binding to P2 promoter TATA box. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 256, 52–61, doi:10.1016/ j.taap.2011.07.010 (2011).
- Park, B. L. *et al.* Identification of variants in cyclin D1 (CCND1) and B-Cell CLL/ lymphoma 2 (BCL2). *J Hum Genet.* 49, 449–454, doi:10.1007/s10038-004-0173-0 (2004).
- Salvadore, G. *et al.* Bcl-2 polymorphism influences gray matter volume in the ventral striatum in healthy humans. *Biol Psychiatry.* 66, 804–807, doi:10.1016/ j.biopsych.2009.05.025 (2009).
- Wedemeyer, C. et al. BCL2-938C>A and CALCA-1786T>C polymorphisms in aseptic loosened total hip arthroplasty. Eur J Med Res. 14, 250–255 (2009).
- Zhang, C. et al. Influence of BCL2 gene in major depression susceptibility and antidepressant treatment outcome. J Affect Disord. 155, 288–294, doi:10.1016/ j.jad.2013.11.010 (2014).
- Masago, K. *et al.* Effect of the BCL2 gene polymorphism on survival in advancedstage non-small cell lung cancer patients who received chemotherapy. *Oncology.* 84, 214–218, doi:10.1159/000342854 (2013).
- Kunkele, A. *et al.* The BCL2-938 C > A promoter polymorphism is associated with risk group classification in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *BMC Cancer.* 13, 452, doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-452 (2013).
- Knoefel, L. F. *et al.* Polymorphisms in the apoptotic pathway gene BCL-2 and survival in small cell lung cancer. *J Tthorac Onco.* 6, 183–189, doi:10.1097/ JTO.0b013e3181f8a20e (2011).
- El Hindy, N. *et al.* Association of the CC genotype of the regulatory BCL2 promoter polymorphism (-938C>A) with better 2-year survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. *J Neurosurg.* 114, 1631–1639, doi:10.3171/ 2010.12.JNS10478 (2011).
- Bachmann, H. S. *et al.* Regulatory BCL2 promoter polymorphism (-938C>A) is associated with adverse outcome in patients with prostate carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.* 129, 2390–2399, doi:10.1002/ijc.25904 (2011).
- Moon, J. H. *et al.* BCL2 gene polymorphism could predict the treatment outcomes in acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Leuk Res.* 34, 166–172, doi:10.1016/ j.leukres.2009.05.009 (2010).
- 22. Lehnerdt, G. F. *et al.* The regulatory BCL2 promoter polymorphism (-938C>A) is associated with relapse and survival of patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Onco.* **20**, 1094–1099, doi:10.1093/annonc/mdn763 (2009).
- 23. Hirata, H. *et al.* The bcl2 -938CC genotype has poor prognosis and lower survival in renal cancer. *J Urol.* **182**, 721–727, doi:10.1016/j.juro.2009.03.081 (2009).
- 24. Heubner, M. *et al.* Association of the AA genotype of the BCL2 (-938C>A) promoter polymorphism with better survival in ovarian cancer. *Int J Biol Markers.* 24, 223–229 (2009).
- Kaderi, M. A. *et al.* The BCL-2 promoter (-938C>A) polymorphism does not predict clinical outcome in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 22, 339–343, doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2405042 (2008).
- Nuckel, H. *et al.* Association of a novel regulatory polymorphism (-938C>A) in the BCL2 gene promoter with disease progression and survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 109, 290–297, doi:10.1182/blood-2006-03-007567 (2007).
- Bachmann, H. S. *et al.* The AA genotype of the regulatory BCL2 promoter polymorphism (938C>A) is associated with a favorable outcome in lymph node negative invasive breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 13, 5790–5797, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2673 (2007).
- Wang, W. L. et al. Role of polymorphisms in BCL-2 and BAX genes in modulating the risk of developing non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 55, 1602–1608, doi:10.3109/10428194.2013.842992 (2014).
- Li, W., Qian, C., Wang, L., Teng, H. & Zhang, L. Association of BCL2-938C>A genetic polymorphism with glioma risk in Chinese Han population. *Tumour Bio.* 35, 2259–2264, doi:10.1007/s13277-013-1299-5 (2014).
- Xu, P. *et al.* Genetic variation in BCL2 3'-UTR was associated with lung cancer risk and prognosis in male Chinese population. *PloS One.* 8, e72197, doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0072197 (2013).
- Meyer, A. *et al.* Apoptosis gene polymorphisms and risk of prostate cancer: a hospital-based study of German patients treated with brachytherapy. *Uro Onco.* 31, 74–81, doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2010.09.011 (2013).
- 32. Dorjgochoo, T. et al. Association of genetic markers in the BCL-2 family of apoptosis-related genes with endometrial cancer risk in a Chinese population. *PloS One.* 8, e60915, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060915 (2013).
- 33. Wang, Y. X., Zhao, L., Wang, X. Y., Liu, C. M. & Yu, S. G. Role of Caspase 8, Caspase 9 and Bcl-2 polymorphisms in papillary thyroid carcinoma risk in Han

Chinese population. *Med Onco.* **29**, 2445–2451, doi:10.1007/s12032-011-0121-8 (2012).

- Liu, Z. et al. The -938A/A genotype of BCL2 gene is associated with esophageal cancer. Med Onco. 29, 2677–2683, doi:10.1007/s12032-011-0135-2 (2012).
- 35. Zhang, N. *et al.* BCL-2 (-938C > A) polymorphism is associated with breast cancer susceptibility. *BMC Med Genet.* 12, 48, doi:10.1186/1471-2350-12-48 (2011).
- 36. Hyndman, M., Xu, J., Zheng, S., Isaacs, W. & Pavlovich, C. The Bcl-2 -938 C genotype is more prevalent in patients with aggressive disease and a family history of prostate cancer. *J Urol.* 38, 908-915, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.018 (2011).
- Fingas, C. D. *et al.* Prognostic assessment of three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (GNB3 825C>T, BCL2-938C>A, MCL1-386C>G) in extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Invest* 28, 472–478, doi:10.3109/ 07357900903095714 (2010).
- Zenz, T. *et al.* BCL2-938C>A polymorphism and disease progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 50, 1837–1842, doi:10.3109/ 10428190903207530 (2009).
- Chen, K. *et al.* Single-nucleotide polymorphisms at the TP53-binding or responsive promoter regions of BAX and BCL2 genes and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Carcinogenesis.* 28, 2008–2012, doi:10.1093/ carcin/bgm172 (2007).
- 40. Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R. J. *An introduction to the Bootstrap.* **6**, 71–77 (Chapman & Hall, New York, 1993).
- 41. Li, J. *et al.* Identification of high-quality cancer prognostic markers and metastasis network modules. *Nat Commun.* **1**, 34, doi:10.1038/ncomms1033 (2010).
- Volkmann, N., Marassi, F. M., Newmeyer, D. D. & Hanein, D. The rheostat in the membrane: BCL-2 family proteins and apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ.* 21, 206–215, doi:10.1038/cdd.2013.153 (2014).
- Akl, H. *et al.* A dual role for the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein in cancer: Mitochondria versus endoplasmic reticulum. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1843, 2240–2252, doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.04.017 (2014).
- 44. Brunelle, J. K. & Letai, A. Control of mitochondrial apoptosis by the Bcl-2 family. *J Cell Sci.* **122**, 437–441, doi:10.1242/jcs.031682 (2009).
- Fleischmann, A. *et al.* Prognostic relevance of Bcl-2 overexpression in surgically treated prostate cancer is not caused by increased copy number or translocation of the gene. *Prostate.* 72, 991–997, doi:10.1002/pros.21504 (2012).
- Inoue, Y. *et al.* Bcl-2 overexpression enhances in vitro sensitivity against docetaxel in non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 13, 259–264 (2005).
- Sargent, R. L., Craig, F. E. & Swerdlow, S. H. Comparison of Bcl-2, CD38 and ZAP-70 Expression in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2, 574–582 (2009).

# Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Natural science foundation of China (Grant Nos: 81201363 and 81301689), Climbing training program (assigned to Jiayi Wang) from Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital. We also appreciated that Xiao-ou Shu and Martin Heubner kindly provided necessary data for us.

# **Author contributions**

X.Z. and F.S. read the literature about meta-analysis and determined this subject. X.Z. and J.W. wrote this article together. X.Z. and W.W. independently searched the database and the disagreements were resolved through consensus by X.T., L.M., W.Y. and Q.P. J.W. and X.Z. read the titles and abstracts independently and excluded the uncorrelated studies; then the full-texts were examined by X.T., L.M., W.Y. and Q.P.W.X. performed the Review manager and prepared the figures. Y.W. prepared all the tables. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

# **Additional information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ scientificreports

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhang, X. *et al.* Role of Bcl-2 -938 C>A polymorphism in susceptibility and prognosis of cancer: a meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 7241; DOI:10.1038/ srep07241 (2014).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/