How to cite: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 13451 – 13457
International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202003826
German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202003826

Electrosynthesis **Hot Paper**

Enantioselective Pallada-Electrocatalyzed C–H Activation by Transient Directing Groups: Expedient Access to Helicenes

*Uttam Dhawa, Cong Tian, Tomasz Wdowik, João C. A. Oliveira, Jiping Hao, and Lutz Ackermann**

Abstract: *Asymmetric pallada-electrocatalyzed C–H olefinations were achieved through the synergistic cooperation with transient directing groups. The electrochemical, atroposelective C–H activations were realized with high position-, diastereo-, and enantio-control under mild reaction conditions to obtain highly enantiomerically-enriched biaryls and fluorinated N–C axially chiral scaffolds. Our strategy provided expedient access to, among others, novel chiral BINOLs, dicarboxylic acids and helicenes of value to asymmetric catalysis. Mechanistic studies by experiments and computation provided key insights into the catalyst's mode of action.*

Introduction

In recent years, organic electrosynthesis has undergone a remarkable renaissance, with notable advances in homogeneous electrocatalysis.[1] Significant momentum was particularly gained by the merger of electrosynthesis with organometallic C–H activation,[2] enabling the use of electrons as sustainable redox equivalents towards improved resource economy.[3] While major progress was thus represented by elegant studies from inter alia Jutand, and Mei via strong *N*-directing groups in palladium catalysis,[4] Ackermann,[5] Mei,[1a,g] Lei,[6] and Xu[7] among others, made progress towards electrochemical C–H activation using both *N*-chelation assistance[8] or weak *O*-coordination.[9] Despite these indisputable advances, full selectivity control in terms of enantioselective[10] electrochemical C–H activations[11] are thus far unfortunately unknown. This can be attributed to the major challenges in asymmetric metalla-electrocatalysis, including a) cathodic catalyst reduction, b) electrochemical degradation of chiral ligands, and c) unfavorable interactions of the electrolyte within the enantio-determining transition state. Hence, it is noteworthy that asymmetric electrochemical transformations[11] generally continue to be underdeveloped.[11,12]

Axially chiral biaryls feature prominently as key structural motifs in privileged catalysts,[13] ligands,[14] and natural products[15] as well as in material sciences.[16] Since an early, albeit moderately selective report on rhodium(I)-catalyzed C–H alkylations of arylpyridines,[17] atroposelective syntheses of axially chiral biaryls have been established, most notably by You,[18] Wencel-Delord/Colobert,[19] Yang[20] and Shi,[21] among others. In this context, Shi elegantly exploited transient directing groups for the synthesis of axially chiral biaryls.[22] Yet, despite of considerable progress, the synthesis of axially chiral biaryls largely requires stoichiometric amounts of often toxic oxidants, which lead to the formation of undesired byproducts. In sharp contrast, enantioselective C–H activations that employ electricity as formal redox-equivalents are as of yet unprecedented. Within our program on sustainable C–H activation,[23] we have now unraveled the first electrochemical enantioselective synthesis of axially chiral biaryls with the aid of a transient directing group (TDG, Figure 1),[24] on which we report herein. Notably, our strategy set the stage for the assembly of highly enantioenriched [*n*]helicenes. Additional salient features of our findings include a) first enantioselective electrochemical organometallic C–H activation, b) unprecedented use of transient directing groups in electrochemical C–H activations, c) electrocatalytic access to axially chiral biaryls,



Figure 1. Enantioselective electrocatalytic C–H activation enabled by TDG.

[*] U. Dhawa, C. Tian, Dr. T. Wdowik, Dr. J. C. A. Oliveira, J. Hao, Prof. Dr. L. Ackermann
Institut für Organische und Biomolekulare Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Tammannstraße 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)
E-mail: Lutz.Ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de
Homepage: http://www.ackermann.chemie.uni-goettingen.de/
Prof. Dr. L. Ackermann
Wöhler Research Institute for Sustainable Chemistry (WISCh), Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Tammannstraße 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)
Homepage: http://wisch.chemie.uni-goettingen.de/
Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202003826.

d) mechanistic insights by computation e) assembly of N–C axially chiral compounds, and f) late-stage diversification towards chiral BINOLs, helicenes and dicarboxylic acids.

Results and Discussion

We initiated our studies by evaluating TDGs[24] for the envisioned atroposelective electrocatalyzed C–H olefination of biaryls **1a** with *n*-butyl acrylate (**2a**) (Table 1 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information).[25] We were delighted to observe that initial experimentation with L-valine provided the desired olefinated product **3aa** indeed in 35% yield and 48% *ee* (entry 1). Initial optimization studies indicated that the redox mediator benzoquinone did not improve the performance. Probing alternative TDGs failed to provide a significant improvement in chemical and optical yields (entries 2–6). Pleasingly, L-*tert*-leucine afforded a 53% yield with 97% *ee* (entry 7). Other electrolyte additives, such as NaOAc, KOAc, NaOPiv and nBu_4PF_6 showed inferior efficiency as compared with LiOAc (entries 8–11), emphasizing tight interplay of the additive serving both as electrolyte and for carboxylate-assisted strong bond cleavage (vide infra). Notably, other reaction media did not improve the catalyst's performance (entries 12–13). Slightly prolonging the reaction time delivered the desired product **3aa** with synthetically useful 71% yield and 97% *ee* (entries 14 and 15), while the metalla-electrocatalysis also occurred in the absence of air, albeit with reduced efficacy (entry 16). It is noteworthy that, in contrast to the use of chemical oxidants,[22e] a redox mediator was not required for efficient metalla-electrocatalysis. Control experiments confirmed the necessity of the TDG, the electricity and the palladium catalyst (entries 17–19). Mass balance was accounted for by unreacted starting material **1**.[25]

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we explored the versatility of the enantioselective palladа-electrocatalysis (Scheme 1). A number of biaryls containing electron-rich (**1d**, **1e**) and electron-withdrawing groups (**1f**)

Table 1: Optimization of the atroposelective electrocatalyzed C–H olefination.[a]



Entry	TDG	Additive	Solvent	Yield [%]	*ee* [%]
1	L-valine	LiOAc	AcOH	35	48
2	L-phenylalanine	LiOAc	AcOH	43	18
3	L-proline	LiOAc	AcOH	47	20
4	L-aspartic acid	LiOAc	AcOH	23	28
5	L-tryptophan	LiOAc	AcOH	21	68
6	L-histidine	LiOAc	AcOH	45	24
7	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	53	97
8	L-*tert*-leucine	NaOAc	AcOH	47	99
9	L-*tert*-leucine	KOAc	AcOH	45	98
10	L-*tert*-leucine	NaOPiv	AcOH	50	96
11	L-*tert*-leucine	nBu_4PF_6	AcOH	48	99
12	L-*tert*-leucine	–	TFE	–	–
13	L-*tert*-leucine	–	TFE/AcOH	46	99
14[b]	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	71	97
15[b,c]	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	66	97
16[b,d]	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	43	97
17	–	LiOAc	AcOH	–	–
18[e]	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	25	97
19[f]	L-*tert*-leucine	LiOAc	AcOH	–	–

[a] Reaction conditions: Undivided cell, **1a** (0.20 mmol), **2a** (0.60 mmol), [Pd] (10 mol%), TDG (20 mol%), additive (2.0 equiv), solvent (4.5 mL), 60°C, constant current at 1.0 mA, 14 h, graphite felt (GF) anode, Pt-plate cathode, isolated yields. [b] 20 h. [c] **2a** (2.0 equiv). [d] Under N_2. [e] Without electricity. [f] No palladium. TFE = 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol.[25]



Scheme 1. Atroposelective electrocatalyzed C–H olefination of biaryls **1**.[25]



Scheme 2. Atroposelective pallada-electrocatalysis with alkenes **2**.[25]

provided the desired axially chiral biaryls **3** with excellent enantioselectivities.

Next, a wide range of alkenes (**2**) was explored (Scheme 2). Here, fluoro- (**2i**), bromo- (**2j**), nitro- (**2k**) and carbonyl (**2l**) substituents on the arene were well tolerated in the electrochemical atroposelective C–H olefination, which should prove instrumental for further late-stage modification. Moreover, vinyl sulfone (**2e**) and vinyl phosphonate (**2f**)



Scheme 3. Atroposelective pallada-electrocatalyzed C–H olefination of *N*-aryl pyrroles.[25]



Scheme 4. Summary of key mechanistic findings.



Figure 2. Computed relative Gibbs free energies ($\Delta G_{333.15}$) in kcal mol^{-1} for the key C–H activation and migratory insertion elementary steps at the PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP + SMD(AcOH)//PBE0-D3BJ/def2-SVP level of theory. Superscripts 5 and 7 relate to structures, which lead to the formation of the 7-membered versus the 5-membered cyclometallated intermediates. B and L correspond to the branched and linear products.

were efficiently converted, delivering the desired products **3ae** and **3af**, respectively, with good yields and excellent enantioselectivities. The reaction proceeded likewise well with methyl vinyl ketone **2g** and acrylamide **2m** to furnish the axially chiral biaryls **3** with very high levels of enantioinduction.

The pallada-electrocatalysis was not limited to the conversion of biaryls. Indeed, the strategy also set the stage for the synthesis of N–C[22a,26] axially chiral motifs by pallada-electrocatalysis. Under otherwise identical reaction conditions, we were able to access N–C axially chiral *N*-aryl pyrroles in a site- and enantioselective fashion. We were delighted to realize unprecedented C–H perfluoroalkenylations in a highly enantioselective fashion to deliver the synthetically useful axially chiral fluorinated heterobiaryls **5an–5ao**. Likewise, vinyl sulfone **5ae**, vinyl phosphonate **5af** and cholesterol derivative **5ap** mirrored the versatility towards N–C axially chiral scaffolds (Scheme 3).



Figure 3. Visualization of the non-covalent interactions calculated with the help of the NCIPLOT program, for the intermediates I-1[7] and I-1[5]. In the plotted surfaces, red correspond to strong repulsive interactions, while green and blue correspond to weak and strong attractive interactions, respectively.



Scheme 5. Electrochemical access to chiral helicenes. (a) $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ (15 mol%), $NaIO_4$ (10 equiv), THF/H_2O (2/1), 50 °C, 24 h; (b) $MePPh_3Br$ (4 equiv), *n*BuLi (3.8 equiv), THF, −78 °C to rt, 1 h; (c) Grubbs II (10 mol%), CH_2Cl_2, MW, 95 °C.

Intrigued by the outstanding efficacy of the atroposelective pallada-electrocatalyzed C–H activation, we became attracted to unravelling its mode of action. First, H/D scrambling was not observed when AcOD was used as the reaction medium (Scheme 4a). Second, kinetic studies with isotopically-labeled substrates revealed a KIE value of ≈1.8 (Scheme 4b). These experiments are suggestive of the C–H activation being the rate-determining step. Third, we did not observe a non-linear-effect (NLE), being indicative of the enantio-determining step involving a metal to ligand ratio of 1:1 (Scheme 4c).

Subsequently, we probed the catalyst's mode of action by means of computational studies at the PW6B95-D4/def2-TZVP + SMD(AcOH)//PBE0-D3BJ/def2-SVP level of theory (Figure 2).[25] A detailed analysis of the C–H activation process revealed that the intermediate that leads to the formation of the seven-membered intermediate I-1[7] is 12.0 kcal mol^{-1} more favorable than the formation of the five-membered intermediate I-1[5]. Interestingly, this stabilization is dominated by attractive non-covalent interactions between the *tert*-butyl group of the TDG and the substrate's naphthalene moiety as well as the arene π-system and the palladium (Figure 3). These secondary dispersive forces thus allow for a favorable square-planar coordination geometry. The migratory insertion of the alkene gives preference to the formation of the linear product in lieu of the branched product by 4.7 kcal mol^{-1} (TS4-5).

Finally, we explored the late-stage diversification of the thus-obtained highly enantiomerically-enriched biaryls towards chiral helicene. While significant advances in the synthesis of chiral helicenes have been noted,[27] asymmetric C–H activation-based electrocatalysis has thus far not been employed in the assembly of enantiopure helicenes. Upon performing a kinetic resolution on conformationally stable aldehyde **1h** under the optimized reaction condition, the olefinated product **3ha** was obtained with 95% *ee*. Further modification provided the [5]helicene **6** with overall high chemical and optical yield (Scheme 5a). The synthesis of [6]helicenes **8** and [6]helimers *ent*-**8** was accomplished likewise. The recovered starting material **1i** was next submitted to asymmetric pallada-electrocatalysis, giving the other enantiomer (−)**3ia** with 96% *ee* (Scheme 5b). The synthetic utility of our approach was further reflected by providing the chiral dialdehyde **7**. Dialdehyde **7** itself was converted through a Pinnick oxidation into chiral dicarboxylic acid **9**, whilst Baeyer–Villiger oxidation gave the chiral BINOL **10** (Scheme 5c). These new chiral molecules should find multiple applications as privileged ligands for asymmetric catalysis.

Conclusion

In summary, we have reported on the first asymmetric metalla-electrocatalyzed C–H activation. The atroposelective organometallic C–H activation was realized by a transient directing group manifold. The pallada-electrocatalysis was characterized by high enantioselectivities under mild reaction conditions[28] for the synthesis of enantioenriched axially chiral biaryls and heterobiaryls being devoid of chemical oxidants. Experimental, kinetic and computational studies on the metalla-electrocatalysis unraveled key elements of the catalyst's working mode. Our strategy also set the stage for the efficient assembly of novel enantioenriched BINOLs, dicarboxylic acids and helicenes.

Acknowledgements

Generous support by the DFG (Gottfried-Wilhelm-Leibniz award and SPP 1807), the DAAD (fellowship to U.D.), and the CSC (scholarships to C.T. and J.H.) is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: asymmetric C–H activation · biaryls · helicene · palladium · transient directing group

[1] a) K.-J. Jiao, Y.-K. Xing, Q.-L. Yang, H. Qiu, T.-S. Mei, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 300–310; b) M. D. Kärkäs, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 5786–5865; c) N. Sauermann, T. H. Meyer, L. Ackermann, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 16209–16217; d) S. Tang, Y. Liu, A. Lei, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 27–45; e) N. Sauermann, T. H. Meyer, Y. Qiu, L. Ackermann, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 7086–7103; f) K. D. Moeller, *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118*, 4817–4833; g) C. Ma, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 7179–7189; h) A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, E. Rodrigo, M. Zirbes, S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5594–5619; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 5694–5721; i) M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P. S. Baran, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 13230–13319; j) E. J. Horn, B. R. Rosen, P. S. Baran, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 302–308; k) A. Jutand, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 2300–2347.

[2] a) S. Rej, Y. Ano, N. Chatani, *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120*, 1788–1887; b) J. Loup, U. Dhawa, F. Pesciaioli, J. Wencel-Delord, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 12803–12818; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 12934–12949; c) A. Dey, S. K. Sinha, T. K. Achar, D. Maiti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 10820–10843; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 10934–10958; d) Y. Xu, G. Dong, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1424–1432; e) Y. Park, Y. Kim, S. Chang, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9247–9301; f) C. G. Newton, S.-G. Wang, C. C. Oliveira, N. Cramer, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8908–8976; g) J. He, M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan, Q. Shao, J.-Q. Yu, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8754–8786; h) S. Agasti, A. Dey, D. Maiti, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 6544–6556; i) O. Daugulis, J. Roane, L. D. Tran, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1053–1064; j) G. Rouquet, N. Chatani, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11726–11743; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 11942–11959; k) D. A. Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 814–825; l) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente, A. R. Kapdi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9792–9826; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 9976–10011.

[3] T. H. Meyer, L. H. Finger, P. Gandeepan, L. Ackermann, *Trends Chem.* **2019**, *1*, 63–76.

[4] a) Q.-L. Yang, X.-Y. Wang, T.-L. Wang, X. Yang, D. Liu, X. Tong, X.-Y. Wu, T.-S. Mei, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 2645–2649; b) A. Shrestha, M. Lee, A. L. Dunn, M. S. Sanford, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 204–207; c) Q.-L. Yang, Y.-Q. Li, C. Ma, P. Fang, X.-J. Zhang, T.-S. Mei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 3293–3298; d) C. Ma, C.-Q. Zhao, Y.-Q. Li, L.-P. Zhang, X.-T. Xu, K. Zhang, T.-S. Mei,

Chem. Commun. **2017**, *53*, 12189 – 12192; e) Y.-Q. Li, Q.-L. Yang, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, D. Zhang, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2905 – 2908; f) M. Konishi, K. Tsuchida, K. Sano, T. Kochi, F. Kakiuchi, *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 8716 – 8724; g) F. Saito, H. Aiso, T. Kochi, F. Kakiuchi, *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 6704 – 6707; h) H. Aiso, T. Kochi, H. Mutsutani, T. Tanabe, S. Nishiyama, F. Kakiuchi, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 7718 – 7724; i) F. Kakiuchi, T. Kochi, H. Mutsutani, N. Kobayashi, S. Urano, M. Sato, S. Nishiyama, T. Tanabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11310 – 11311; j) C. Amatore, C. Cammoun, A. Jutand, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 292 – 296.

[5] Y. Qiu, J. Struwe, L. Ackermann, *Synlett* **2019**, *30*, 1164 – 1173.

[6] P. Wang, X. Gao, P. Huang, A. Lei, *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12*, 27 – 40.

[7] P. Xiong, H.-C. Xu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2019**, *52*, 3339 – 3350.

[8] Selected references: a) Q.-L. Yang, X.-Y. Wang, J.-Y. Lu, L.-P. Zhang, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 11487 – 11494; b) F. Xu, Y.-J. Li, C. Huang, H.-C. Xu, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 3820 – 3824; c) C. Tian, L. Massignan, T. H. Meyer, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 2383 – 2387; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 2407 – 2411; d) N. Sauermann, R. Mei, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5090 – 5094; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 5184 – 5188; e) X. Gao, P. Wang, L. Zeng, S. Tang, A. Lei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 4195 – 4199; f) N. Sauermann, T. H. Meyer, C. Tian, L. Ackermann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18452 – 18455; g) W.-J. Kong, Z. Shen, L. H. Finger, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5551 – 5556; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 5596 – 5601.

[9] Selected references: a) Q.-L. Yang, Y.-K. Xing, X.-Y. Wang, H.-X. Ma, X.-J. Weng, X. Yang, H.-M. Guo, T.-S. Mei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 18970 – 18976; b) Y. Qiu, C. Tian, L. Massignan, T. Rogge, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5818 – 5822; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 5920 – 5924; c) Y. Qiu, M. Stangier, T. H. Meyer, J. C. A. Oliveira, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 14179 – 14183; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 14375 – 14379; d) Y. Qiu, W.-J. Kong, J. Struwe, N. Sauermann, T. Rogge, A. Scheremetjew, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 5828 – 5832; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 5930 – 5934.

[10] Selected references: a) Q.-H. Nguyen, S.-M. Guo, T. Royal, O. Baudoin, N. Cramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 2161 – 2167; b) K. Ozols, Y.-S. Jang, N. Cramer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 5675 – 5680; c) J. Loup, V. Müller, D. Ghorai, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 1749 – 1753; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 1763 – 1767; d) J. Diesel, D. Grosheva, S. Kodama, N. Cramer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 11044 – 11048; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 11160 – 11164; e) Z.-J. Cai, C.-X. Liu, Q. Wang, Q. Gu, S.-L. You, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 4168; f) Z.-J. Cai, C.-X. Liu, Q. Gu, C. Zheng, S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 2149 – 2153; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 2171 – 2175; g) S. Jerhaoui, J.-P. Djukic, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Colobert, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 2532 – 2542; h) C.-J. Yoo, D. Rackl, W. Liu, C. B. Hoyt, B. Pimentel, R. P. Lively, H. M. L. Davies, C. W. Jones, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10923 – 10927; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 11089 – 11093; i) F. Pesciaioli, U. Dhawa, J. C. A. Oliveira, R. Yin, M. John, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 15425 – 15429; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 15651 – 15655; j) J. Loup, D. Zell, J. C. A. Oliveira, H. Keil, D. Stalke, L. Ackermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 14197 – 14201; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 14385 – 14389; k) K. Liao, T. C. Pickel, V. Boyarskikh, J. Bacsa, D. G. Musaev, H. M. L. Davies, *Nature* **2017**, *551*, 609 – 613. For reviews: l) T. G. Saint-Denis, R.-Y. Zhu, G. Chen, Q.-F. Wu, J.-Q. Yu, *Science* **2018**, *359*, eaao4798; m) B. Ye, N. Cramer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1308 – 1318; n) J. Wencel-Delord, F. Colobert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 14010 – 14017, and references therein.

[11] a) Q. Lin, L. Li, S. Luo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 10033 – 10044; b) M. Ghosh, V. S. Shinde, M. Rueping, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *15*, 2710 – 2746; c) X. Chang, Q. Zhang, C. Guo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202000016; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202000016.

[12] a) X. Huang, Q. Zhang, J. Lin, K. Harms, E. Meggers, *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, *2*, 34 – 40; b) T. J. DeLano, S. E. Reisman, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 6751 – 6754; c) N. Kise, Y. Hamada, T. Sakurai, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3348 – 3351; d) N. Kise, H. Ozaki, N. Moriyama, Y. Kitagishi, N. Ueda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11591 – 11596.

[13] a) Q. Wang, Q. Gu, S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 6818 – 6825; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 6890 – 6897; b) C. Min, D. Seidel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 5889 – 5902; c) D. Parmar, E. Sugiono, S. Raja, M. Rueping, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9047 – 9153; d) J. Yu, F. Shi, L.-Z. Gong, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 1156 – 1171; e) J. M. Brunel, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 1170; f) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Fuchibe, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 999 – 1010.

[14] a) J. F. Teichert, B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 2486 – 2528; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 2538 – 2582; b) Y. Chen, S. Yekta, A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3155 – 3212; c) R. Noyori, H. Takaya, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1990**, *23*, 345 – 350.

[15] a) G. Bringmann, T. Gulder, T. A. M. Gulder, M. Breuning, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 563 – 639; b) M. C. Kozlowski, B. J. Morgan, E. C. Linton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3193 – 3207.

[16] a) J. E. Smyth, N. M. Butler, P. A. Keller, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2015**, *32*, 1562 – 1583; b) J. Clayden, W. J. Moran, P. J. Edwards, S. R. LaPlante, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 6398 – 6401; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 6516 – 6520.

[17] F. Kakiuchi, P. Le Gendre, A. Yamada, H. Ohtaki, S. Murai, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 2647 – 2651.

[18] a) Q. Wang, Z.-J. Cai, C.-X. Liu, Q. Gu, S.-L. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 9504 – 9510; b) J. Zheng, W.-J. Cui, C. Zheng, S.-L. You, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 5242 – 5245; c) J. Zheng, S.-L. You, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 13244 – 13247; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 13460 – 13463; d) D.-W. Gao, Q. Gu, S.-L. You, *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 2741 – 2745.

[19] a) Q. Dherbassy, J.-P. Djukic, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Colobert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 4668 – 4672; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 4758 – 4762; b) Q. Dherbassy, G. Schwertz, M. Chessé, C. K. Hazra, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Colobert, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 1735 – 1743; c) C. K. Hazra, Q. Dherbassy, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Colobert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 13871 – 13875; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 14091 – 14095; d) T. Wesch, F. R. Leroux, F. Colobert, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 2139 – 2144.

[20] a) S.-X. Li, Y.-N. Ma, S.-D. Yang, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 1842 – 1845; b) Y.-N. Ma, H.-Y. Zhang, S.-D. Yang, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2034 – 2037; see also: c) K. Yamaguchi, J. Yamaguchi, A. Studer, K. Itami, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2165 – 2169; d) K. Yamaguchi, H. Kondo, J. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 3753 – 3757.

[21] a) L. Jin, Q.-J. Yao, P.-P. Xie, Y. Li, B.-B. Zhan, Y.-Q. Han, X. Hong, B.-F. Shi, *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 497 – 511; b) G. Liao, T. Zhou, Q.-J. Yao, B.-F. Shi, *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 8514 – 8523.

[22] a) S. Zhang, Q.-J. Yao, G. Liao, X. Li, H. Li, H.-M. Chen, X. Hong, B.-F. Shi, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 1956 – 1961; b) J. Fan, Q.-J. Yao, Y.-H. Liu, G. Liao, S. Zhang, B.-F. Shi, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 3352 – 3356; c) G. Liao, Q.-J. Yao, Z.-Z. Zhang, Y.-J. Wu, D.-Y. Huang, B.-F. Shi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3661 – 3665; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 3723 – 3727; d) G. Liao, B. Li, H.-M. Chen, Q.-J. Yao, Y.-N. Xia, J. Luo, B.-F. Shi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 17151 – 17155; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 17397 – 17401; e) Q.-J. Yao, S. Zhang, B.-B. Zhan, B.-F. Shi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6617 – 6621; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 6717 – 6721; f) H. Song, Y. Li, Q.-J. Yao, L. Jin, L. Liu, Y.-H. Liu, B.-F. Shi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 6576 – 6580; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 6638 – 6642.

[23] a) L. Ackermann, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 84 – 104; b) L. Ackermann, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 281 – 295.

[24] a) P. Gandeepan, L. Ackermann, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 199 – 222; b) Q. Zhao, T. Poisson, X. Pannecoucke, T. Besset, *Synthesis* **2017**, *49*, 4808 – 4826.
[25] For detailed information, see the Supporting Information.
[26] a) J. Zhang, Q. Xu, J. Wu, J. Fan, M. Xie, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 6361 – 6365; b) see also: J. Wencel-Delord, J. Frey, A. Malekafzali, I. Delso, S. Choppin, F. Colobert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914876; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201914876.
[27] Representative progress: a) I. G. Stará, I. Starý, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2020**, *53*, 144 – 158; b) T. Hartung, R. Machleid, M. Simon, C. Golz, M. Alcarazo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5660 – 5664; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 5709 – 5713; c) J. Nejedlý, M. Šámal, J. Rybáček, I. G. Sánchez, V. Houska, T. Warzecha, J. Vacek, L. Sieger, M. Buděšínský, L. Bednárová, P. Fiedler, I. Císařová, I. Starý, I. G. Stará, *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *85*, 248 – 276; d) K. Dhbaibi, L. Favereau, J. Crassous, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8846 – 8953; e) A. Jančařík, J. Rybáček, K. Cocq, J. Vacek Chocholoušová, J. Vacek, R. Pohl, L. Bednárová, P. Fiedler, I. Císařová, I. G. Stará, I. Starý, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9970 – 9975; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 10154 – 10159; f) M. Gingras, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1051 – 1095; g) J. Žádný, A. Jančařík, A. Andronova, M. Šámal, J. Vacek Chocholoušová, J. Vacek, R. Pohl, D. Šaman, I. Císařová, I. G. Stará, I. Starý, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 5857 – 5861; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 5959 – 5963; h) Y. Shen, C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1463 – 1535; i) A. Grandbois, S. K. Collins, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 9323 – 9329; j) A. Urbano, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 3986 – 3989; *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 4116 – 4119.
[28] R. G. Bergman, *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 391 – 393.

Manuscript received: March 14, 2020
Accepted manuscript online: April 3, 2020
Version of record online: June 5, 2020

