



# A Complex Interplay between Nitric Oxide, Quorum Sensing, and the Unique Secondary Metabolite Tundrenone Constitutes the Hypoxia Response in *Methylobacter*

Zheng Yu,<sup>a,c</sup> DMitchell Pesesky,<sup>a</sup> Lei Zhang,<sup>b\*</sup> Jing Huang,<sup>a\*</sup> Mari Winkler,<sup>b</sup> Ludmila Chistoserdova<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA <sup>c</sup>Department of Microbiology, School of Basic Medical Science, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

**ABSTRACT** *Methylobacter* species, members of the *Methylococcales*, have recently emerged as some of the globally widespread, cosmopolitan species that play a key role in the environmental consumption of methane across gradients of dioxygen tensions. In this work, we approached the question of how *Methylobacter* copes with hypoxia, via laboratory manipulation. Through comparative transcriptomics of cultures grown under high dioxygen partial pressure versus cultures exposed to hypoxia, we identified a gene cluster encoding a hybrid cluster protein along with sensing and regulatory functions. Through mutant analysis, we demonstrated that this gene cluster is involved in the hypoxia stress response. Through additional transcriptomic analyses, we uncovered a complex interconnection between the NO-mediated stress response, quorum sensing, the secondary metabolite tundrenone, and methanol dehydrogenase functions. This novel and complex hypoxia stress response system is so far unique to *Methylobacter* species, and it may play a role in the environmental fitness of these organisms and in their cosmopolitan environmental distribution.

**IMPORTANCE** Here, we describe a novel and complex hypoxia response system in a methanotrophic bacterium that involves modules of central carbon metabolism, denitrification, quorum sensing, and a secondary metabolite, tundrenone. This intricate stress response system, so far unique to *Methylobacter* species, may be responsible for the persistence and activity of these species across gradients of dioxygen tensions and for the cosmopolitan distribution of these organisms in freshwater and soil environments in the Northern Hemisphere, including the fast-melting permafrosts.

**KEYWORDS** hypoxia, *Methylobacter tundripaludum*, nitric oxide, hybrid cluster protein, quorum sensing, tundrenone

Methylobacter species, members of the Methylococcales that are gammaproteobacterial aerobic methanotrophs, have recently emerged as some of the globally widespread, cosmopolitan species, appearing to play a key role in the consumption of methane in a variety of environments and across gradients of dioxygen tensions (1–3). While multiple species of both gamma- and alphaproteobacterial methanotrophs are detectable in environmental samples using molecular techniques (1–4), and many are also available in pure cultures (5, 6), Methylobacter appears to be the dominant and the most active species in freshwater and soil environments of the Northern Hemisphere (1–4). Some of the environments hosting Methylobacter, such as permafrosts, represent vast areas on this planet, which are also subject to rapid environmental change as part of the global warming effect, manifested by increased methane release resulting from ice melt (7, 8). Importantly, Methylobacter activities have been correlated with methane **Citation** Yu Z, Pesesky M, Zhang L, Huang J, Winkler M, Chistoserdova L. 2020. A complex interplay between nitric oxide, quorum sensing, and the unique secondary metabolite tundrenone constitutes the hypoxia response in *Methylobacter*. mSystems 5:e00770-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00770-19.

Editor Ashley Shade, Michigan State University Copyright © 2020 Yu et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Ludmila Chistoserdova, milachis@uw.edu.

\* Present address: Lei Zhang, School of Environmental and Civil Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China; Jing Huang, Department of Parasitology, Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China.

Received 14 November 2019 Accepted 27 December 2019 Published 21 January 2020





consumption not only in oxic but also in hypoxic and even anoxic niches (9–12), even though the mechanisms for such activity remain poorly understood, given the essential role of dioxygen in methane oxidation catalyzed by methane monooxygenase (MMO). The observations on the dominant nature of *Methylobacter* have also been supported by results from microcosm incubation experiments in which *Methylobacter* performed as the most competitive species, especially under low dioxygen tensions (13, 14).

In addition to their proficiency in oxidizing methane, under a variety of conditions, *Methylobacter* species have also been implicated in shuttling carbon from methane through a bacterial food chain, supporting diverse communities and linking methane consumption to other important biogeochemical processes (15). Methanol-utilizing *Methylophilaceae* have been noted as some of the most persistent partners of *Methylobacter* in both natural environments (3) and laboratory simulations (13, 14). Experiments involving synthetic community manipulations have suggested that the methanol-consuming partner species may be capable of modifying carbon metabolism in *Methylobacter*, by affecting the expression of alternative methanol dehydrogenase (MDH) enzymes, and thus potentially modulating the supply of methanol to the satellite populations of *Methylophilaceae* (16). Other products that may be released by *Methylobacter* have been hypothesized to be organic acids and polymeric substances, which would support populations of nonmethylotrophic heterotrophs (15, 17).

What makes *Methylobacter* such an environmentally successful species? It is unlikely that these are its growth characteristics, as in the laboratory, *Methylobacter* does not perform robustly and is easily outcompeted by other species such as *Methylomonas* (18, 19). Toward the potential for methane oxidation in niches depleted of dioxygen, the denitrification capability has been proposed to be one metabolic means for coping with hypoxia (20, 21). However, transcriptomics studies applied to natural samples with highly active *Methylobacter* populations failed to account for the transcription of the denitrification pathway (2).

In this study, we approached the question of how *Methylobacter* copes with hypoxia that it encounters in natural environmental niches, via laboratory manipulation. We first carried out comparative transcriptomics with cultures grown under high dioxygen partial pressure and cultures starved for dioxygen, identifying genes showing differential transcription. We then mutated several genes of interest and investigated mutant phenotypes. We followed up with additional transcriptomic analyses comparing gene expression in mutant versus wild-type backgrounds and comparing expression patterns between cultures provided with ammonium and those provided with nitrate as nitrogen sources. We uncovered an unexpected connection between the hypoxia stress response and quorum sensing (QS) functions, which, in turn, regulate the expression of a gene cluster responsible for the synthesis of a secondary metabolite, tundrenone (Tun). We also obtained evidence for nitric oxide (NO), generated in the denitrification pathway, playing a role in the stress response, likely as a signaling molecule. We further uncovered that the differential expression of genes for the alternative MDH enzymes was also part of the hypoxia response.

#### RESULTS

**Comparative transcriptomics point to the role of Hcp/Hcr in the hypoxia response by** *Methylobacter*. We exposed cultures of *Methylobacter tundripaludum* strain 31/32 to hypoxia, sampling cells 24 and 48 h after the last addition of  $O_2$ , and compared the transcriptomes of the dioxygen-starved cultures to the transcriptomes of the oxygenated cultures (transcriptomics experiment 1) (see Materials and Methods). Two different experiments (experiments A and B) were run in two replicates each, all involving synthetic community mixtures (see Materials and Methods) (17). For the purposes of this study, we focused solely on the transcriptomes of *M. tundripaludum* 31/32.

Dramatic changes in transcription patterns were determined for cultures exposed to hypoxia, compared to the oxygenated cultures (Fig. 1; see also Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). In general, among the two independent experiments, the



th         24h         48h         Th         24h         48h           Maximum         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO           112, Astrinitory Materia         101, Nateria         102, Nate reducter (ADH) and sourt NIO<		Experim	ent 1A	Exper	iment 1B	
Maximum  Ito:_Vitre reductase (AdD): years subun NBO Ito:_Vitre re						
Provide a subset of subset shares a subse	Maximum					1701_Nitrite reductase (NADH) small subunit NirD
Image: Second		_				1702_Nitrite reductase (NADH) large subunit NirB
170. Consta depirations are part sound: 170. Consta depiration						
In the second						
1782 Forthal Control of the second						
Image: Second						
<ul> <li>Here is a second seco</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>Here is a second protein 2</li> <li>Here is a second protein 3</li> <li>Here is a second prot</li></ul>						
Image:						1824_Flagellar protein FliS
Image: Section of the secting of the secting of th						1825_Flagellar hook-associated protein 2
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Construction of the construc						
Image: Section of the section of th						
<ul> <li>1922 J-Recurstly decision deputy segments</li> <li>1928. J-Mainta dehydrogenes</li> <li>1929. J-Strandydrochaid dehydrogenes</li> <li>1929. J-Strandydrochaid dehydrogenes</li> <li>1920. J-Strandydrochaid dehydrogenes</li> <li>1921. Cystem Hydroxymethydrochaid dehydrogenes</li> <li>1921. Cystem Hydroxymethydrochaid ligaer FHL.</li> <li>2443. J-Memoryhol Hydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydroxymethydro</li></ul>						_
<ul> <li>Holl, Jake Andrewski, Jake Andrewski Jake Andrewski, Jake Andrewski, Jake Andrewski, Jake Andrews</li></ul>						
1900_1.http://withinatticas.ptp/         1901_1.http://withinatticas.pt/         1901_1.http://withinatticas.pt/ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
<ul> <li>1911. Globin by docymethythansferase GlyA</li> <li>1994. Formats-teratrytocidate igase fit.</li> <li>2449. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2449. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2449. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2440. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2440. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2440. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2441. Pythic Cluster Protein higo</li> <li>2442. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2443. Pythic Cluster Protein higo</li> <li>2444. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2444. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2444. PAS domain S-box containing protein hist</li> <li>2444. Pythic Cluster Protein higo</li> <li>2444. Pythic Cluster Protein higo</li> <li>2444. Pythic Cluster PLAY taminy, duritury resistance protein</li> <li>2444. Pythic Cluster protein and protein information and protein protein graduator. LyAR taminy</li> <li>2444. Pythical terms concorpynanae subont A</li> <li>2444. Pythical terms resolutions aphra subont A</li> <li>2444.</li></ul>						
1944_Formative Harring Horizon         1945_Formative Harring Har						
<ul> <li>2449, PAS domain S-box containing protein Hist</li> <li>2347, Pormitant-Fease</li></ul>		 				
<ul> <li>2440. PAS domain 5-box-containing protein Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist Hist 5-Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist Hist 5-Hist</li> <li>2440. PAR domain 5-box hists for Hist Hist 5-Hist Hist 1-Hist Hist 1-Hist 1-Hist Hist 1-</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>240. Freedom NAOP reductase Hor</li> <li>245. Freedom NAOP reductase transcription regulator NoR</li> <li>246. American tutio content reductase transcription regulator NoR</li> <li>246. American tution potein, multidory esistance potein</li> <li>246. American tution termination termination termination termination</li> <li>246. American tution termination termination termination</li> <li>246. American termination termination termination termination</li> <li>246. American termination termination termination</li> <li>246. American termination termi</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>2451_Hydrd Cluster Protein Hzp</li> <li>2452_Anareolic nitric xide reductase transcription regulator NoR</li> <li>2563_Hits transcription regulator NoR</li> <li>2564_HYDr Hards Transcriptional regulator Taniy</li> <li>2564_HYD Hards Transcriptional regulator (LYR family</li> <li>2565_HyDr Hordscriptional regulator, Back NoRT 2</li> <li>2566_HyDr Hordscriptional regulator, Back NoRT 2</li> <li>2570_Franscriptional regulator, Back NoRT 2</li> <l< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></l<></ul>						
2502       Membrane fusion probein, multidrug resistance probein         2503       Effut varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2504       Effut varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2504       Effut varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2504       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2504       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer membrane factor Nord Tamily         2505       Jender Market varsporter, outer varsporter, o						
256						
2564_MFS transporter, DH42 family, multidug resistance protein         2565_MA-binding transcriptional regulator, LysR family         2565_MA-binding transcriptional regulator, LysR family         2566_MA-binding transcriptional regulator, LysR family         2569_Hemoglobin         2570_Hemoglobin         2						2562_Membrane fusion protein, multidrug efflux system
2956       Hypothetical protein         2956       Uncharacterized conserved protein YeaO, DUF488 family         2957       Uncharacterized conserved protein YeaO, DUF488 family         2958       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2958       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2959       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2950       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2950       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2951       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2951       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2951       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2952       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2953       Transcriptional regulator, BadMRr02 family         2954       Transcriphytronelase base subunit BadMRr02 family						
2566_DNA-binding transcriptional regulator, LysR family         2570_Firstophical regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2570_Firstophical regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2581_Transcriptional regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2589_Transcriptional regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2589_Transcriptional regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2589_Transcriptional regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2589_Transcriptional regulator, BadMRrt2 family         2589_Transcription         2580_Transcription         2580_Transcription         2581_Transcription         2582_Transcription         2583_Transcription         2584_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2584_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B         2584_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B         2585_Transcription         2585_Transcription <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>						_
2967_Uncharacterized conserved protein YesD, DUF486 family         2968_Transcriptional regulator, BadWRrd 2 family         2969_Hemoglobin         2970_Ferradoxin-NADP reductase         2971_Ferradoxin-NADP reductase         2972_Ferradoxin-NADP reductase         2973_Ferradoxin-NADP reductase         2974_Particulate methane monocoxygenase subunit C         2974_Particulate methane monocygenase subunit B         3187_Respiratory nitrate reductase laber subunit         3188_Hyothetical protein         3199_Dissimilatory nitrate reductase laber subunit         3191_Dissimilatory nitrate reductase parma subunit B         3286_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit B         3286_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3286_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3286_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3286_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3287_Formitarsferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3288_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3288_Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C <tr< td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>		_				
258, Transcriptional regulator, BadW/Rrt2 family         269, Hemoglobin         270, Ferredoxin-NADP reductase         284, Particulate methane monocygenase subunit A         284, Porticulate methane monocygenase subunit A         285, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit A         286, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit A         287, Form		 _				
2669_Hemoglobin       2570_Ferredoxin-NADP reductase         2770_articulate methane monoxygenase subunit C       2247_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit C       2247_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit C       2247_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit C       2347_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A       2848_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A       2848_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A       2848_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B       2888_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit B         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B       2888_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit B         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B       2888_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit C         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B       2888_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit B         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase hydrolase       2887_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit C         2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase hydrolase       2887_PomyItrasefeasehydrolase complex subunit A         2846_Particulate methanemonia monox						
2846_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit C         2847_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2847_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B         3188_Respiratory nitrate reductase bith subunit NarG         3189_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaprone NarJ         3189_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaprone NarJ         3199_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaprone NarJ         3199_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaprone NarJ         3199_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaprone NarJ         3190_Respiratory nitrate reductase complex subunit B         3286_Formyttransferase/hydrolase complex subunit D         3287_Formyttransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3289_Methanyterahydrofolase complex subunit C         3289_Methanot dehydrogenase sexolisted protein XoxJ         3280_Formyttransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         32						
2847_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit A         2848_Particulate methane monoxygenase subunit B         3186_Respiratory nitrate reductase aipha subunit NarG         3187_Respiratory nitrate reductase aipha subunit NarG         3187_Respiratory nitrate reductase base subunit         3188_Hypothetical protein         3189_Respiratory nitrate reductase daparone NarJ         3190_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3191_Dismitatory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3192_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3193_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3191_Dismitatory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3191_Dismitatory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3192_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3193_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3194_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3195_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3191_Dismitatory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3192_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3193_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3194_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3195_Respiratory nitrate reductase operane NarJ         3286_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit D         3287_Sommyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3298_Somethydromyltransferase/hydrolase complex su						2570_Ferredoxin-NADP reductase
2448       Particulate methane monocingenase subunit B         3166       Respiratory nitrate reductase alpha subunit NarG         3177       Respiratory nitrate reductase alpha subunit         3188       Hypothetical protein         3189       Hypothetical protein         3284       Hypothetical protein Hypothypotein Hypothetical Protein Hypothetical Protein Hypot						2846_Particulate methane monooxygenase subunit C
1       3166, Respiratory nitrate reductase lapha subunit NarG         3167, Respiratory nitrate reductase beta subunit       3187, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3189, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ       3189, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3190, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ       3190, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3190, Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ       3191, Dissimilatory nitrite reductase NirK         2286, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit A       2286, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         2887, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C       2288, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         2887, Formytransferase/hydrolase       3739, Formaldehyd-activating enzyme Fae         2887, Committen dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ       3927, Quinoprotein dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         2887, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit Mal       4152, Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         2887, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit Mal       4152, Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         2887, Formytransferase/hydrolase complex subunit Mal       4152, Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         2887, Formaldehyd-activating enzyme Fae       3927, Quinoprotein dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         2887, Formaldehyd-activating enzyme Fae       4152, Methanol dehydrogenase associated protein XoxJ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>						_
3187_Respiratory nitrate reductase beta subunit         3188_Hypothetical protein         3188_Hypothetical protein         3188_Hypothetical protein         3189_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3190_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3191_Dissimilatory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         3192_Respiratory nitrate reductase NarJ         3191_Dissimilatory nitrate reductase NarJ         3191_Dismilatory nitrate reductase NarJ         3192_FormyItransferase/hydrolase complex subunit A         3288_FormyItransferase/hydrolase complex subunit D         3288_FormyItransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3291_MethenyItetrahydrofeate cyclohydrolase         3292_MethenyItetrahydrofeate cyclohydrolase         3292_MethenyItetrahydrogenase XoxF         3292_Quinoprotein dehydrogenase XoxF         3293_MethenyItetrahydromethanopterin Athydrogenase MdB         3294_MethenyItetrahydromethanopterin Athydrogenase MdB         3294_MethenyItetrahydromethanopterin Athydrogenase MdB         3295_MethenyItetrahydromethanopterin Athydrogenase MdB         3297_MethenyItetrahydromethanopterin Athydrogenase MdB						
3188_Hypothetical protein         3199_Respiratory nitrate reductase gamma subunit         3190_Respiratory nitrate reductase gamma subunit         3191_Dissimilatory nitrite reductase NirK         3286_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit B         3286_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3287_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3288_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3288_Wethanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3288_Wethanol dehydrogenase sesociated protein XoxJ         3288_Methanol dehydrogenase AvxF         44153_Cytochrome cL MxaG         4451_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase MtB         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase MtB         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin cyclohydrolase         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin cyclohydrolase MtdB         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin cyclohydrolase MtdB         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin synthesis/regulation protein Orff         4453_Tetrahydromethanopterin synthesis/regulation protein Orff						
319_Respiratory nitrate reductase chaperone NarJ         328_Formytitransferase/hydrolase complex subunit D         328_Methylenetrahydrofolase complex subunit C         329_Pormaldehyde-activating enzyme Fae         3927_Courioprotein dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3928_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3928_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein MaJ         4152_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein MaJ         4155_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein MaJ         4155_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein MaJ         4152_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase MtdB         4451_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin dehydrogenase MtdB         4452_Methylene-tetrahydromethanopterin orythesis/regulation protein Orff						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Construction of the second						3190_Respiratory nitrate reductase gamma subunit
Image: Construction of the second						3191_Dissimilatory nitrite reductase NirK
Image: Section of the section of th						
3288_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         3288_Formyltransferase/hydrolase complex subunit C         329_Methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase         3739_Formaldehyde activating enzyme Fae         3867_Formaldehyde-activating enzyme Fae         3927_Quinoprotein dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3928_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3928_Methanol dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ         3928_Methanol dehydrogenase small subunit Mxal         4152_Methanol dehydrogenase associated protein MxaJ         4154_Quinoprotein dehydrogenase associated protein MxaJ         4155_Methanol dehydrogenase associated protein MxaJ         4154_Quinoprotein dehydrogenase MtdB         4155_Methanol dehydrogenase MtdB         4152_Methanol dehydrogenase mtdB         452_Methanolyterin synthesis regulation protein OrfY         452_Methanolyterin synthesis/regulation protein OrfY         453_Tetrahydromethanopterin synthesis/regulation protein Orf17         45						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Construction of the second						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Section of the section of th						3927_Quinoprotein dehydrogenase-associated protein XoxJ
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Construction of the system of the						
Image: Constraint of the second se						
Image: Sector of the sector						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Sector						
Image: Section of the section of th						
Image: Constraint of the constraint						
Image: Constraint of the constraint						
Image: Constraint of the constraint						
Image: Constraint of the state of the s						
Image: Constraint of the state of the s						
Image: Constraint of the imag						
4629_Methane/ammonia monooxygenase homolog PxmB						
Minimum 4630_Methane/ammonia monooxygenase homolog PxmA						
	Minimum					4630_Methane/ammonia monooxygenase homolog PxmA

FIG 1 Heat map depicting differential expression of select genes in *M. tundripaludum* 31/32 under oxic (1 h) and increasingly hypoxic (24 and 48 h) conditions (experiments 1A and B). A single replicate for each experiment is shown. Complete transcriptomics data, including additional replicates, are presented in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material. MFS, major facilitator superfamily.

Yu et al.





**FIG 2** (A) Schematic depicting a gene cluster encoding hybrid cluster protein (Hcp) and a cognate flavodoxin reductase (Hcr). NorR is annotated as an anaerobic NO reductase transcriptional regulator. Hst is a proposed name for the protein that appears to be involved in sensing  $O_2$  and/or NO (hypoxia signal transduction). Hmr is a hemerythrin. Gene numbers (in parentheses) as well as the respective gene sizes are shown. (B) Schematic depicting the dissimilatory and assimilatory denitrification pathways, with Hcp/Hcr serving as a NO reductase. No genes are recognized in the genome that would encode an alternative NO reductase or an  $N_2O$  reductase.

transcription of over 500 genes was downregulated more than 10-fold, while the transcription of about 150 genes was upregulated more than 10-fold, in response to hypoxia. Between the two experiments, the trends observed were very similar (Fig. 1; Tables S1 and S2). The expression of two genes was dramatically upregulated (up to 4,000-fold) in response to hypoxia, encoding a hybrid cluster protein (Hcp) (gene 2451) and a cognate flavodoxin reductase (Hcr) (gene 2450). A closer look uncovered a long history of misannotation of the gene for Hcp. In most genomes, it is annotated as a hydroxylamine reductase, with this persistent misannotation stemming from the previously reported observation of a secondary, minor side activity of the enzyme (22). In a later study, the respective enzyme was identified as a high-affinity NO reductase (23). Additional studies implicated this protein in nitrosative and oxidative stress responses (24, 25). While the hcp-hcr genes were previously proposed to be involved in the denitrification pathway in a methanotroph (a Crenothrix species [26]), in the experiment presented here, genes for other respiratory and assimilatory nitrate reduction functions were expressed at low levels, and they did not show differential expression in response to the onset of hypoxia (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2B; Tables S1 and S2).

One of the most highly downregulated gene clusters in response to hypoxia was the one for the calcium-dependent MDH, the *mxa* cluster (genes 4146 to 4157) (Fig. 1; Tables S1 and S2). Conversely, the gene for an alternative MDH, the lanthanide-dependent XoxF enzyme (gene 3928), was upregulated. A pattern of reversed transcriptional regulation of the alternative MDH enzymes in response to hypoxia has been noted previously (19). As for other methylotrophy functions, the transcription of genes for MMO (genes 2846 to 2848) was slightly upregulated, while the transcription of most of the genes for the assimilatory ribulose monophosphate and the (partial) serine cycles (27, 28) as well as of genes for formaldehyde and formate oxidation was downregulated (up to 45-fold) (Fig. 1; Tables S1 and S2). Overall, the transcription of genes for many essential cellular functions, including ribosomal proteins, was decreased, likely indicating a switch to the oxygen starvation mode.

Mutants in the *hcp-hcr* gene cluster, key denitrification genes, demonstrate altered hypoxia and oxidative and nitrosative stress responses. We focused on the cluster of genes whose transcription was most highly induced by hypoxia, *hcp* and *hcr*. Additional genes that were part of the cluster encoded a transcriptional regulator annotated as NorR (anaerobic NO reductase transcriptional regulator), a signal transduction protein possessing PAS, EAL, and GGDEF domains (here named Hst, for hypoxia signal transfer), and a hemerythrin (Hmr), a small diiron protein for the transfer and storage of dioxygen (Fig. 2A). While the Hcp/Hcr pair has been previously demonstrated

to act as a high-affinity NO reductase (23), the expression pattern for *hcp-hcr*, as reported here, showed a poor correlation with the expression patterns for other genes in the NO-producing denitrification pathway (Fig. 2B), potentially suggesting an additional function for Hcp/Hcr. We hypothesized that this additional function may be in the stress response, as previously reported for other organisms (24, 25). To test our hypothesis, we constructed knockout mutants for each of the genes in the *hcp* gene cluster. To directly test the potential of nitrate in serving as an alternative electron acceptor to allow *Methylobacter* to cope with hypoxia, we mutated the major subunit of the respiratory nitrate reductase (NR) (NarG; gene 3186). As a control, we also mutated the assimilatory NR (NasA; gene 1731). To select for recombinants, we used both nitrate-supplemented (nitrate minimal salts [NMS]) and ammonium-supplemented (ammonium mineral salts [AMS]) selective media. We noted that in each case, colonies appeared only on the AMS and not on the NMS plates, likely suggesting that all the mutants had decreased survival rates when nitrate served as the nitrogen source.

When inoculated into liquid media, all the mutants were able to grow with both nitrate and ammonium as sources of nitrogen, with one exception. The mutant in NasA did not show any appreciable growth in nitrate-supplemented medium, while the remaining mutants showed decreased growth compared to ammonium-supplemented medium and to wild-type controls (Fig. S1). These results suggested that all the functions encoded by the *hcp-hcr* gene cluster and both the assimilatory and the dissimilatory denitrification pathways must be involved in the hypoxia stress response by *Methylobacter*.

We tested the survival rate under hypoxic conditions by incubating cells for 40 days without replenishing dioxygen in the headspace atmosphere, monitoring the fate of cell populations every 10 days using LIVE/DEAD cell stain in medium supplemented with either nitrate or ammonium. Over 40 days, approximately 65% of the initial wild-type cell population died out in both types of media, presumably from dioxygen starvation (Fig. S2A). All the mutants demonstrated reduced survival rates compared to the wild type, with the NasA mutant demonstrating a dramatic death rate in nitrate medium while surviving quite well in ammonium medium. Notably, cultures starved for dioxygen do not show significant growth, as reported previously (17, 18). Thus, nitrogen availability should not be essential for building biomass. This suggested that the phenotype of the NasA mutant might be reflective of a specific stress response. The pattern of rapid dying out was further tested in a short-term experiment, over 12 h, carried out with the entire group of strains. In this experiment, only the NasA mutant revealed a significant decline in live cells and only in nitrate-supplemented medium (Fig. S2B). The most parsimonious explanation for such a phenotype would be the redirection of most of the nitrate into the dissimilatory pathway, via respiratory NR (NarGHIJ) and NO-producing nitrite reductase (NirK), resulting in a surge in toxic NO (Fig. 2B).

We further employed several stressors that are typically used to test for tolerance to oxidative and nitrosative stresses. When challenged with  $H_2O_2$ , all the mutants ceased growth, and the number of live cells steadily decreased over the course of the experiment (Fig. S3A), in contrast to the nonstressed cultures (Fig. S3D). The NasA mutant was one exception, and it revealed a steep decline in live-cell numbers when incubated in nitrate medium, even in cultures with no added stressor, further suggesting that a stressor, likely NO, was produced by this mutant. While wild-type cells showed a diminished growth rate under  $H_2O_2$  stress, cell death was not observed over the course of the experiment (Fig. S3A). Very similar results were obtained with an alternative oxidative stress agent, paraquat (Fig. S3B).

As a nitrosative stress agent, we employed a diethylenetriamine (DETA)-NO adduct. When tested under oxic conditions, most of the mutants were able to grow at rates similar to wild-type strain rates with both nitrate and ammonium. The NasA mutant did not grow in nitrate medium, as expected (Fig. S3C). Two other exceptions were the mutants in Hcp and Hcr, showing severely declining growth. This phenotype was







**FIG 3** Instantaneous measurements of dissolved  $O_2$ , NO, and  $N_2O$  in select mutant and wild-type (Wt) cells incubated in nitrate-containing medium. The NasA mutant shows immediate accumulation of NO. Long-term kinetics are shown for the Hcp mutant and the wild type in Fig. S4 in the supplemental material.

consistent with the Hcp/Hcr pair possessing NO reductase activity, with the mutants thus being subject to NO toxicity (Fig. S3C).

NO and N<sub>2</sub>O measurements support the role of NO in the stress response and the role of Hcp/Hcr in NO reduction. We directly measured the dynamics of instantaneous concentrations of dissolved NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and O<sub>2</sub> in select strain cultures, using microsensors, after the growth medium containing ammonium as the nitrogen source (AMS) was replaced with NMS medium. Upon exposure to nitrate, the NasA mutant immediately accumulated NO. The peak of NO was observed as early as 1 h after exposure to nitrate, while oxygen consumption was very slow (Fig. 3B), corroborating the observations of rapid cell death in the presence of nitrate (Fig. S1 and S2). No short-term NO accumulation was observed when NarG and Hcp mutants or the wild-type strain was exposed to nitrate (Fig. 3A, C, and D). The NarG mutant showed brief dioxygen consumption followed by the loss of metabolic activity. This phenotype is not easy to interpret, but it would be consistent with the involvement of NO in the stress response. Neither NO nor N<sub>2</sub>O was produced by this mutant (Fig. 3C). In the case of the Hcp mutant and the wild-type strain, NO accumulated only after a long lag time (>10 h) (Fig. S4A and B). A peak of N<sub>2</sub>O was measured only in the wild-type strain, with this peak coinciding with the NO peak (Fig. S4A). Notably, NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production by the wild-type strain was observed only after the onset of hypoxia, while the Hcp mutant accumulated NO under oxic conditions (Fig. S4B), suggesting that the denitrification pathway was deregulated in this mutant. The lack of N<sub>2</sub>O production by this mutant further supported the role of Hcp in NO reduction.

Lack of Hcp alters the transcription pattern and reveals connections between the hypoxia stress response, quorum sensing, and the secondary metabolite tundrenone. With transcriptomics experiment 2, we approached the following questions: does the mutation in Hcp cause a transcriptional response, and is this response hypoxia specific? RNA was isolated from cells exponentially growing under oxygenated conditions with nitrate or with ammonium and from cells subjected to dioxygen starvation for 24 h (see Materials and Methods). A total of 16 RNA samples were sequenced, representing the wild type and the Hcp mutant incubated under the four conditions, in two replicates each (Table S3). When the transcriptomes of the Hcp mutant and the wild type were compared, under either condition, the most dramatic response to the lack of Hcp was manifested by the recently characterized gene cluster encoding guorum sensing (QS) functions and the novel secondary metabolite tundrenone (Tun) (29) (Fig. 4). The transcription of a total of 27 genes in the cluster was upregulated, including key genes in Tun biosynthesis. The gene for an N-3hydroxydecanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (HSL) synthase, mbal, showed the most dramatic response, which was most pronounced in cells subjected to hypoxia in nitrate medium, >1,000-fold upregulation. In comparison, the transcription of mbal was >100-fold upregulated in cells subjected to hypoxia in ammonium medium and approximately 50-fold upregulated in cells from the oxygenated cultures (Fig. 5). It has been noted previously that in the Mbal mutant not producing native HSL, when exogenous HSL was added, some of the most downregulated genes were the genes for Hcp and Hcr (29). Thus, it appears that the Hcp/Hcr and the QS/Tun systems are inversely regulated and that they potentially regulate each other. It appears that Hcp/Hcr or the products controlled by this enzyme pair (potentially NO) control the transcription of the QS system and, thus, the production of Tun and that a product positively regulated by the QS system, potentially Tun, controls transcription from hcp-hcr. Other notable alterations in transcription included the significantly reduced expression of the mxa operon in the mutant when grown with ammonium but not nitrate. The mxa gene cluster was also downregulated in wild-type cells in response to hypoxia, as has been noted previously (19), but to a much lesser degree than in the Hcp mutant (Fig. 5). This further suggested that an intermediate in the denitrification pathway, likely NO, must be part of the regulatory cascade controlling the expression of the mxa gene operon. The levels of NO should be elevated in the Hcp mutant grown with nitrate, thus positively correlating with mxa expression. The transcription of the nar operon was slightly ( $\sim$ 2-fold) elevated in this mutant (Fig. 4).

NO is involved in transcriptional regulation of quorum sensing, tundrenone synthesis, and methanol oxidation. With transcriptomics experiment 3, we tested whether NO may be directly involved in gene expression regulation and which, if any, functions would be affected by the elevated levels of NO. We employed the NasA mutant, which revealed the most dramatic phenotype for growth with nitrate, and it emitted NO (Fig. 3B). Samples for RNA extraction were taken after 1 h of exposure to nitrate, based on the evidence for immediate NO release by the mutant (Fig. 3B), and these nitrate (NO)-challenged samples were compared to samples incubated with ammonium under fully oxygenated conditions. Overall, the expression of many genes, including most of the methylotrophy genes, was downregulated (3- to 5-fold) after exposure to nitrate, in agreement with the toxic nature of NO. However, some genes showed upregulation. Among these were genes involved in nitrate metabolism functions, such as ammonium transporters, nitrogen regulatory proteins, nitrate/nitrite transporters, as well as the assimilatory nitrite reductase (Fig. 5; Table S4). In addition, the transcription of genes encoding flagella as well as type IV pilus assembly functions was upregulated. Remarkably, some of the regulated gene clusters included those for QS/Tun, Hcp/Hcr, Mxa, and Xox functions. Of these, the transcription of genes encoding QS/Tun as well as Mxa functions showed rather significant (up to 20-fold) downregulation, while the transcription of genes encoding Hcp/Hcr and XoxF was slightly upregulated, once again suggesting an inverse regulation of QS/Tun/Mxa versus Hcp/ Hcr/XoxF genes. These results suggested that the transcriptional response to NO must be dose dependent and that NO can serve as either a stimulator or a toxic agent, depending on its intracellular concentration.

**Quorum sensing and tundrenone are involved in the hypoxia response.** Transcriptomics experiment 4 was designed to further decipher the potentially interlinked roles of Hcp/Hcr and QS/Tun in the hypoxia response. This experiment was carried out with the previously described mutants in QS (Mbal) (29) and Tun biosynthesis (TunJ) (30). The former mutant does not produce the signal molecule HSL, which induces the







**FIG 4** Heat map depicting differential expression of select genes in the Hcp mutant in comparison with wild-type *M. tundripaludum* 31/32 under four different conditions (experiment 2). A single replicate for each strain/condition is shown. Complete transcriptomics data, including additional replicates, are presented in Table S3 in the supplemental material. GNAT, general control nonrepressed-related *N*-acetyltransferase; HAD, haloacid dehydrogenase; ACP, acyl carrier protein; PGA, poly-beta-1,6-acetyl-D-glucosamine.



## Experiment 3 AMS Experiment 3 NMS R1 R2 R1 R2 Maximum 962\_ammonium transporter, Amt family 902\_anitrogen regulatory protein P-II family 963\_nitrogen regulatory protein P-II family 965\_nitrogen regulatory protein P-II family 966\_Putative beta-baret porin-2, OmpL-like bbp2 967\_glutamine synthetase 1130\_nitrogen fixation negative regulator NifL 1131\_Nif-specific regulatory protein 1701\_nitrite reductase (NADH) small subunit 1702\_nitrite reductase (NADH) large subunit 1703\_response regulator receiver and ANTAR domain protein 1715\_nitrate/nitrite transport system substrate-binding protein 1716\_nitrate/nitrite transport system ATP-binding protein 1717\_nitrate/nitrite transport system ATP-binding protein 1734\_NtrC family, nitrogen regulation sensor histidine kinase GInL 1735\_NtrC family, nitrogen regulation response regulator GlnG 1789\_flagellar hook-associated protein 3 FlgL 1799\_flagellar hook-associated protein 3 FigL 1790\_flagellar hook-associated protein 3 FigK 1791\_flagellar Portein FigJ 1793\_flagellar P-ring protein precursor FigI 1793\_flagellar L-ring protein precursor FigH 1794\_flagellar basal-body rod protein FigG 1795\_flagellar basal-body rod protein FigF 1796\_flagellar hook protein FlgE 1797\_flagellar basal-body rod modification protein FlgD 1795\_flagellar basal-body rod protein FIgC 1799\_flagellar basal-body rod protein FIgC 1800\_flagellar basal body P-ring formation protein FIgA 1801\_anti-sigma-28 factor, FIgM family 1802\_flagella synthesis protein FlgN 1939 type IV pilus assembly protein PilA 2014\_N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone synthetase Mbal 2016\_imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit hisH 2017\_Integrase core domain-containing protein 2026\_N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone synthetase 2027\_HAD-superfamily subfamily IB hydrolase, TIGR01490 TunA 2028 Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehvdratase, porphobilinogen synthase TunB 2029 A-factor biosynthesis hotdog domain-containing protein TunC 2030\_2-polyprenyl-6-methoxyphenol hydroxylase TunD 2031\_Predicted oxidoreductase TunE 2032\_MFS transporter, DHA2 family, multidrug resistance protein TunF 2033\_membrane fusion protein, multidrug efflux system TunG 2034\_Acyl carrier protein TunH 2035\_Condensation domain-containing protein TunI 2036\_long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase TunJ 2037\_PimeloyI-ACP methyl ester carboxylesterase TunK 2039\_NADPH:quinone reductase TunM 2040\_anthranilate synthase, component I (EC 4.1.3.27) TunN 2041\_anthranilate synthase, component II (EC 4.1.3.27) TunO 2042\_glutathione S-transferase TunP 2450 Ferredoxin-NADP reductase 2451 hydroxylamine reductase precursor (EC 1.7.99.1) 2452\_anaerobic nitric oxide reductase transcription regulator 2846\_methane monooxygenase subunit C 2847\_methane monooxygenase subunit A 2848\_methane monooxygenase subunit B 3514\_two-component system, sensor histidine kinase FIrB 3515\_two-component system, response regulator FIrC 3516\_flagellar hock-basal body complex protein FilE 3517\_flagellar M-ring protein FilF 3613\_flagellar FilL protein 3614\_flagellar motor switch protein FilM 3615\_flagellar motor switch protein FliN/FliY 3616\_flagellar protein FliO/FliZ 3617\_flagellar biosynthetic protein FliP 3618\_flagellar biosynthetic protein FliQ 3619\_flagellar biosynthetic protein FliR 3620\_flagellar biosynthetic protein FlhB 3621\_flagellar biosynthesis protein FlhA 3622 flagellar biosynthesis protein FlhF 3623\_flagellar biosynthesis protein FlhG 3927\_quinoprotein dehydrogenase-associated XoxJ 3928\_PQQ-dependent dehydrogenase, methanol/ethanol family XoxF 4144\_NitT/TauT family transport system substrate-binding protein 4145\_hypothetical protein 4146\_mxaL protein 4147\_mxaK protein 4148\_mxaC protein 4149\_mxaA protein 4150\_hypothetical protein 4151\_MoxR-like ATPase 4152\_methanol dehydrogenase small subunit Mxal 4153\_cytochrome cL apoprotein MxaG 4154\_mxaJ protein 4155\_methanol dehydrogenase large subunit MxaF 4156\_two component transcriptional regulator, LuxR family 4157\_mxaD protein 4393\_hypothetical protein 4394\_protein of unknown function (DUF3391) 4395\_two-component system, chemotaxis family, sensor kinase CheA 4396\_chemotaxis protein methyltransferase CheR 4397\_two-component system, chemotaxis family, response regulator CheB 4398\_purine-binding chemotaxis protein CheW

Minimum

FIG 5 Heat map depicting differential expression of select genes in the NasA mutant challenged with nitrate (experiment 3). R1 and R2 are data from biological replicates. Complete transcriptomics data are presented in Table S4 in the supplemental material. PQQ, pyrroloquinoline quinone.



transcription of the genes essential for the biosynthesis of Tun. Thus, this mutant produces neither HSL nor Tun under the conditions used (29). The latter mutant does not produce Tun, while it still produces HSL (30). Note that a different but closely related M. tundripaludum strain, 21/22, was employed in these experiments, due to the availability of validated mutants (29, 30). The mutants and the wild type were grown in either nitrate (NMS)- or ammonium (AMS)-containing medium for 48 h, at which stage cell densities sufficient for the production of HSL were reached (29, 30), and at the same time, dioxygen in the cultures was sufficiently depleted to cause hypoxia (13, 14). Remarkably, neither Mbal nor TunJ mutants produced appreciable amounts of hcp-hcr transcripts with either nitrate or ammonium, revealing phenotypes not responsive to hypoxia. Likewise, the transcriptions of both the mxa gene cluster and xoxF were deregulated, with the mutants not responding to hypoxia (Fig. 6; Table S5). While Mbal and TunJ mutants showed similar transcription patterns for some functions, they demonstrated different patterns for others, suggesting that HSL and Tun may have overlapping but distinct functions in transcriptional regulation. For example, it appears that in the absence of Tun, the expression levels of the pilus function genes (genes 4154 to 4169), exopolysaccharide biosynthesis genes (genes 2050 to 2058), and genes encoding a homolog of MMO (PxmABC; genes 3483 to 3486) were downregulated under both nitrate and ammonium conditions, while the expression patterns between the mbal mutant and the wild-type strain were reversed (Fig. 6; Table S5).

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we initiated the quest for untangling the complex mechanistic details of the hypoxia response employed by species of the Methylobacter genus that are aerobic methanotrophs. This stress response system uniquely combines modules of central carbon metabolism (i.e., methanol oxidation), denitrification, quorum sensing, and a secondary metabolite, tundrenone. This complex system may be responsible for the persistence and activity of Methylobacter species across gradients of dioxygen tensions and for their cosmopolitan distribution in freshwater and soil environments in the Northern Hemisphere, including the fast-melting permafrosts. One of the key elements of this hypoxia response system is the Hcp/Hcr pair of proteins that serve as a NO reductase. While Hcp and Hcr are rather widespread among Proteobacteria inhabiting both oxic and anoxic environments (31), to our knowledge, Methylobacter presents a unique case in which transcription from these genes appears to be controlled by a homolog of NorR that has been previously demonstrated to directly sense NO (32). Thus, NO appears to act as one of the signaling molecules in the hypoxia response. A hemerythrin (Hmr) encoded by the same gene cluster likely binds O<sub>2</sub>, as has been demonstrated for a homolog from a related Methylococcales species, Methylococcus capsulatus Bath (33). Thus,  $O_2$  appears to be another signaling molecule that Hmr potentially transfers to the PAS domain of the signal transduction protein named Hst here, while this domain could potentially also sense NO (34). The presence of the EAL and GGDEF domains (35) in the Hst protein provides support for the existence of a downstream regulatory cascade mediated by cyclic diguanylate, thus implying further complexity of the hypoxia response switch. Complex regulatory patterns have been previously proposed for some of the methylotrophy functions, such as the methanol oxidation functions, involving multiple transcriptional regulators (36, 37). From the data presented here, it appears that methanol oxidation is also regulated at the transcriptional level as part of the hypoxia response cascade, potentially responding to NO,  $O_{2}$ , and additional regulators such as NorR.

Importantly, while the traditional respiratory denitrification functions (Nar and Nir) were expressed under both oxic and hypoxic conditions, their transcription patterns did not follow the ones of the *hcp-hcr* genes. One intriguing scenario that emerges from these data is that the main role of the respiratory denitrification pathway may be in producing the signaling molecule NO in response to hypoxia rather than in serving as a major energy-generating pathway.

The functional interconnection between the Hcp/Hcr and the QS/Tun systems





**FIG 6** Heat map depicting differential expression of select genes in the Mbal and TunJ mutants in comparison with *M. tundripaludum* 21/22, grown with either ammonium or nitrate (experiment 4). Complete transcriptomics data are presented in Table S5 in the supplemental material. SAM, *S*-adenosylmethionine.





**FIG 7** Simplified schematic of the complex interconnections between  $O_2$  and NO, likely directly sensed by regulatory and signal-transducing proteins, Hcp/Hcr and QS/Tun systems, and their effect on each other and on some key methylotrophy functions.

presents the next level of complexity and suggests a potential role for the recently discovered Tun as a novel signaling molecule whose synthesis, in turn, involves a more traditional signal transducer, HSL (Fig. 7). It is also possible that the QS/Tun system may respond to NO directly, as has been previously described for other QS systems (38, 39).

Why would such complexity be necessary? It is tempting to speculate that the complex interplay between different signals and regulators and their further interconnection with central metabolic pathways constitute a very robust system that allows Methylobacter to better adapt to changing environmental factors such as fluctuations in dioxygen availability and, potentially, to combinations of stressors. This hypothesis would be consistent with the persistence of Methylobacter over other aerobic methanotrophs, including the closely related genera Methylosarcina and Methylomonas, in methane-fed laboratory microcosms, especially under hypoxic conditions (13, 14). While carbon metabolism schemes between representatives of Methylococcaceae are very similar (6), they appear to be differentially equipped for stress responses. While Methylosarcina and Methylomonas may or may not encode the Hcp/Hcr pair and other respiratory denitrification enzymes, none of their known genomes encode the QS/Tun module (6). At the same time, it has been demonstrated that signaling molecules produced by Methylobacter may elicit a response by members of the natural community inhabiting the same niche, such as Methylomonas (40). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that Methylobacter may respond to signals from other bacteria by altering its methylotrophy scheme (16). Intriguingly, the QS/Tun module in Methylobacter appears to be encoded by a genomic island (29); thus, it can be potentially spread among the community members under specific selective pressures, such as prolonged hypoxia, or be lost without such selective pressures, for example, as a result of a drought.

The details and the role of the hypoxia response described here in the environmental fitness of *Methylobacter* need further investigations, via both broader analyses of environmental microbiomes as well as laboratory manipulation of methanotrophs differentially equipped for a hypoxia response. However, the importance of this response likely extends beyond single-organism physiology, as aerobic methanotrophy is increasingly recognized as a community function. Thus, perhaps, further advances into the hypoxia response should involve not only single-species-based experiments but also community-based analyses.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and growth conditions.** *Methylobacter tundripaludum* 21/22 and *Methylobacter tundripaludum* 31/32 (6) were routinely cultivated in nitrate mineral salts (NMS) medium (41) with 25% methane and 75% (vol/vol) air in the headspace, with shaking at 200 rpm at 18°C, or on NMS medium solidified with 1.5% agar (Difco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and placed under a methane-air atmosphere in tight jars. The headspace atmosphere was created essentially as previously described (13, 14, 16–19). For certain experiments, ammonium mineral salts (AMS) medium (41) was used as per the experimental design (see below). All the glassware employed was acid washed for 24 h in 1 M hydrochloric acid before use, to remove trace amounts of any metals that may be adhering to the glass, such as lanthanides, which are known to affect the expression of alternative methanol dehydrogenases (15, 16). For some experiments, the headspace atmosphere was altered by replacing dioxygen with dinitrogen in order to create hypoxic conditions as per the experimental design.



**Genetic manipulations.** All genetic manipulations were achieved through electroporation of the assembled PCR amplification-based constructs into the cells of *M. tundripaludum* 31/32, followed by the selection of chromosomal recombinants, as previously described (42). Briefly, for the gene knockouts, the kanamycin resistance gene cassette (amplified from plasmid pCM433 [43]) and the two regions flanking the gene of interest were assembled by fusion PCR (44). The assembled fragment was further amplified using nested primers at a high annealing temperature (44). The resulting product was purified by using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), and the product was directly electroporated into *M. tundripaludum* 31/32. After electroporation, cells were precultivated overnight in liquid NMS medium without kanamycin, after which cells were harvested and transferred onto either NMS or AMS plates containing 50  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin. Single colonies were selected, and the desired mutation was verified by sequencing of the respective PCR-amplified fragment of the chromosomal DNA.

**Measurement of growth rates.** To investigate the growth dynamics of mutant strains in comparison to wild-type *M. tundripaludum* 31/32, cultures were placed into 30-ml tubes sealed with rubber stoppers (Wheaton, Millville, NJ, USA). Each culture (10 ml) was incubated in either NMS or AMS medium with 25% methane in the headspace and various dioxygen concentrations. For "high-oxygen" conditions, a methane/air ratio of 25:75 was used. For "low-oxygen" conditions, a methane/air/dinitrogen ratio of 25:570 was used. To create the former conditions, tubes were flushed with air for 2 min to equalize pressure, 5 ml of air was then removed by using a syringe, and 5 ml of CH<sub>4</sub> was injected into the headspace. To create the latter conditions, tubes were flushed with dinitrogen for 2 min to equalize pressure, 6 ml of the gas phase was then removed by using a syringe, and 5 ml of CH<sub>4</sub> and 1 ml of air ware injected into the headspace. Tubes were incubated with shaking (200 rpm) at 18°C. Optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) measurements were carried out using a Jenway 7300 spectrophotometer (Bibby Scientific, Burlington, NJ, USA) every 4 h. All values presented with a  $\pm$  sign represent a minimum of 3 measurements, with  $\pm$  reporting the standard error.

**Live/dead cell tests.** To obtain real-time relative dead/live cell abundances, cell numbers were determined by flow cytometry after staining with the LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the analysis, 10  $\mu$ l of the cell sample was mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of LIVE/DEAD dye (1:100 in dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]) and 0.22- $\mu$ m filtered NMS medium to a final volume of 830  $\mu$ l per sample. These samples were incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. Cells were measured with a CyFlow Space flow cytometer (Partec, Münster, Germany) with the following parameters: a laser emitting at 488 nm, fluorescence collected in the green and red channels, side scatter, green fluorescence and red fluorescence analyzed and displayed in log<sub>3</sub> or log<sub>4</sub>, flow rate kept between 4 and 6  $\mu$ l/s, and particle analysis rate at below 1,000 particles/s.

**Stress response experiments.** To test the hypoxia response of the mutants, cells of the seven mutants and the wild-type control were grown in 30-ml tubes, as described above, in both NMS and AMS media, starting with cultures at an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.4. The high-oxygen headspace was created once and not replenished for 40 days. Survival rates were determined using LIVE/DEAD stain. A similar, short-term experiment was run over 12 h, and samples were taken every 4 h. For oxidative and nitrosative stress responses, 0.1 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, paraquat, or DETA-NO, all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), was added to the cultures under a methane-air (25:75) atmosphere, and samples for dead/live tests were taken every 4 h. To select for the specific concentration of each stressor, series of preliminary experiments were carried out using plate assays with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and paraquat over 10 days, with concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 2 mM. Concentrations of 0.1 mM and above were sufficient to prevent the growth of each of the mutants, while the wild-type strain was able to grow. As DETA-NO is oxygen sensitive, only liquid culture experiments were carried out, with 0.02 and 0.1 mM DETA-NO. The latter concentration produced a stronger negative response by the mutants.

**Instantaneous dissolved gas detection.** Cells were grown under standard conditions in AMS medium to the mid-exponential stage ( $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.8). Cells were then concentrated by centrifugation (4,500 × g for 10 min) and resuspended in NMS medium to an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 1.5, and cell suspensions (40 ml) were immediately placed into a 60-ml triple-port microrespirometry (MR) chamber (Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark). All MR experiments were performed at room temperature (approximately 20°C). O<sub>2</sub> dynamics were measured using a Stox oxygen microsensor (Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark). The sensor body was partially wrapped with parafilm to fit into the port on the MR chamber. N<sub>2</sub>O and NO concentrations were measured using an N<sub>2</sub>O-MR sensor (Unisense) and a NO-MR sensor (Unisense), respectively. For O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O sensors, calibration was conducted according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the NO sensor, 100 mM freshly prepared *S*-nitroso-*N*-acetyl-D<sub>1</sub>-penicillamine (SNAP; Cayman Chemical, Ml, USA) in a 0.1 M CuCl<sub>2</sub> solution was used for calibration. All sensors were plugged directly into a microsensor multimeter (unisense) and polarized for >1 day prior to use. All data were logaed on a labtop via the microsensor multimeter using SensorTrace Logaer software (Unisense).

Gene expression analysis. (i) Experiment 1: time-resolved hypoxia response. Experiment 1 was described previously (17). Briefly, synthetic communities were assembled by mixing 4 strains (experiment 1A) or 14 strains (experiment 1B). In the 4-strain community, *M. tundripaludum* 31/32 was the only methanotroph species, and in the 14-strain community, *M. tundripaludum* 31/32 was one of the two methanotroph species. The communities were grown in NMS medium with 25%:75% (vol/vol) methaneair in the headspace. After 24 h and at an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.5, samples were flushed with the same atmosphere, and replicate samples were sacrificed after 1, 24, and 48 h, with the first sample being oxic and the latter samples becoming increasingly hypoxic.

(ii) Experiment 2: Hcp mutant versus the wild type. Hcp mutant and wild-type cells were grown under high-oxygen conditions in either NMS or AMS medium to an OD<sub>600</sub> of approximately 0.5; these



cells were then used to inoculate replicate cultures, and these cultures were incubated as described above, for another 24 h, to an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.5. At this point, half of the samples were given the same methane-air atmosphere for 1 h, after which these (oxic) samples were collected. The second set of samples was given an atmosphere of methane-dinitrogen (25%:75%, vol/vol) to create hypoxic conditions, and the samples were collected after 24 h.

(iii) Experiment 3: NasA mutant NO challenge. The NasA mutant was grown under high-oxygen conditions in AMS medium to an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.5. At this point, half of the samples were pelleted by centrifugation (4,500  $\times$  g for 10 min) and reinoculated into AMS medium. The second set of samples was pelleted by centrifugation and reinoculated into NMS medium. All the samples were incubated under high-oxygen conditions for 1 h. After incubation, the cells were centrifuged and used for RNA extraction.

(iv) Experiment 4: Mbal and TunJ mutants versus the wild type. Mbal and TunJ mutants and wild-type *M. tundripaludum* 21/22 were grown in either NMS or AMS medium without replenishing the headspace atmosphere (25%:75% methane-air). Cells were harvested for RNA isolation after 48 h.

RNA was extracted as described previously (17, 19). cDNA library preparation and RNA sequencing were performed by Genewiz (South Plainfield, NJ, USA), using Illumina HiSeq 2-by-150 (paired-end) reads. The raw reads from the sequencing facility were aligned to the corresponding genome assemblies (6), both of which were downloaded from the Joint Genome Institute IMG website (https://img.jgi.doe.gov/). Alignments were performed using BWA version 0.7.12-r1044 using the BWA-MEM algorithm and default parameters (45). The alignments were postprocessed into sorted BAM files with SAMtools version 1.2-232-g87cdc4a (46). Reads were attributed to open reading frames (ORFs) using the htseq-count tool from "HTSeq" framework version 0.6.1p1 in the "intersection-nonempty" mode (47). Differential abundance analysis was performed with DESeq2 1.2.10 (48) using R 3.3.1.

Data availability. Transcriptomics data have been deposited under BioProject accession number PRJNA575263.

### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **FIG S1**, TIF file, 1.9 MB. **FIG S2**, TIF file, 0.7 MB. **FIG S3** TIF file, 2.3 MB

FIG S3, TIF file, 2.3 MB. FIG S4, TIF file, 1.1 MB. TABLE S1, XLSX file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S2, XLSX file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S3, XLSX file, 1 MB. TABLE S4, XLSX file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S5, XLSX file, 0.8 MB.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, under award number DE-SC-0016224.

We declare no conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Singleton CM, McCalley CK, Woodcroft BJ, Boyd JA, Evans PN, Hodgkins SB, Chanton JP, Frolking S, Crill PM, Saleska SR, Rich VI, Tyson GW. 2018. Methanotrophy across a natural permafrost thaw environment. ISME J 12:2544–2558. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0065-5.
- Smith GJ, Angle JC, Solden LM, Borton MA, Morin TH, Daly RA, Johnston MD, Stefanik KC, Wolfe R, Gil B, Wrighton KC. 2018. Members of the genus *Methylobacter* are inferred to account for the majority of aerobic methane oxidation in oxic soils from a freshwater wetland. mBio 9:e00815-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00815-18.
- Chistoserdova L. 2017. Application of omics approaches to studying methylotrophs and methylotroph communities. Curr Issues Mol Biol 24:119–142. https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.024.119.
- Chistoserdova L. 2015. Methylotrophs in natural habitats: current insights through metagenomics. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:5763–5779. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6713-z.
- Auman AJ, Stolyar S, Costello AM, Lidstrom ME. 2000. Molecular characterization of methanotrophic isolates from freshwater lake sediment. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:5259–5266. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66 .12.5259-5266.2000.
- Kalyuzhnaya MG, Lamb AE, McTaggart TL, Oshkin IY, Shapiro N, Woyke T, Chistoserdova L. 2015. Draft genomes of gammaproteobacterial

January/February 2020 Volume 5 Issue 1 e00770-19

methanotrophs isolated from Lake Washington sediment. Genome Announc 3:e00103-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00103-15.

- Schuur EAG, McGuire AD, Schädel C, Grosse G, Harden JW, Hayes DJ, Hugelius G, Koven CD, Kuhry P, Lawrence DM, Natali SM, Olefeldt D, Romanovsky VE, Schaefer K, Turetsky MR, Treat CC, Vonk JE. 2015. Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback. Nature 520: 171–179. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14338.
- Walter Anthony K, Schneider von Deimling T, Nitze I, Frolking S, Emond A, Daanen R, Anthony P, Lindgren P, Jones B, Grosse G. 2018. 21stcentury modeled permafrost carbon emissions accelerated by abrupt thaw beneath lakes. Nat Commun 9:3262. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-05738-9.
- Martinez-Cruz K, Leewis MC, Herriott IC, Sepulveda-Jauregui A, Anthony KW, Thalasso F, Leigh MB. 2017. Anaerobic oxidation of methane by aerobic methanotrophs in sub-Arctic lake sediments. Sci Total Environ 607–608:23–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.187.
- Padilla CC, Bertagnolli AD, Bristow LA, Sarode N, Glass JB, Thamdrup B, Stewart FJ. 2017. Metagenomic binning recovers a transcriptionally active gammaproteobacterium linking methanotrophy to partial denitrification in an anoxic oxygen minimum zone. Front Mar Sci 4:23. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00023.



- Martinez-Cruz K, Sepulveda-Jauregui A, Casper P, Anthony KW, Smemo KA, Thalasso F. 2018. Ubiquitous and significant anaerobic oxidation of methane in freshwater lake sediments. Water Res 144:332–340. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.053.
- van Grinsven S, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Abdala Asbun A, Engelmann JC, Harrison J, Villanueva L. 8 December 2019. Methane oxidation in anoxic lake water stimulated by nitrate and sulfate addition. Environ Microbiol https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14886.
- Oshkin IY, Beck DA, Lamb AE, Tchesnokova V, Benuska G, McTaggart TL, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Dedysh SN, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L. 2015. Methane-fed microbial microcosms show differential community dynamics and pinpoint taxa involved in communal response. ISME J 9:1119–1129. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.203.
- Hernandez ME, Beck DA, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L. 2015. Oxygen availability is a major factor in determining the composition of microbial communities involved in methane oxidation. PeerJ 3:e801. https://doi .org/10.7717/peerj.801.
- Chistoserdova L, Kalyuzhnaya MG. 2018. Current trends in methylotrophy. Trends Microbiol 26:703–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.01.011.
- Krause SMB, Johnson T, Samadhi Karunaratne Y, Fu Y, Beck DAC, Chistoserdova L, Lidstrom ME. 2017. Lanthanide-dependent cross-feeding of methane derived carbon is linked by microbial community interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:358–363. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1619871114.
- Yu Z, Groom J, Zheng Y, Chistoserdova L, Huang J. 2019. Synthetic methane-consuming communities from a natural lake sediment. mBio 10:e01072-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01072-19.
- Yu Z, Krause SM, Beck DA, Chistoserdova L. 2016. A synthetic ecology perspective: how well does behavior of model organisms in the laboratory predict microbial activities in natural habitats? Front Microbiol 7:946. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00946.
- Yu Z, Beck DAC, Chistoserdova L. 2017. Natural selection in synthetic communities highlights the roles of *Methylococcaceae* and *Methylophilaceae* and suggests differential roles for alternative methanol dehydrogenases in methane consumption. Front Microbiol 8:2392. https://doi .org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02392.
- Kits KD, Klotz MG, Stein LY. 2015. Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction under hypoxia by the gammaproteobacterium *Methylomonas denitrificans*, sp. nov. type strain FJG1. Environ Microbiol 17:3219–3232. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12772.
- Kits KD, Campbell DJ, Rosana AR, Stein LY. 2015. Diverse electron sources support denitrification under hypoxia in the obligate methanotroph *Methylomicrobium album* strain BG8. Front Microbiol 6:1072. https://doi .org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01072.
- Wolfe MT, Heo J, Garavelli JS, Ludden PW. 2002. Hydroxylamine reductase activity of the hybrid cluster protein from *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 184:5898–5902. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.21.5898-5902.2002.
- Wang J, Vine CE, Balasiny BK, Rizk J, Bradley CL, Tinajero-Trejo M, Poole RK, Bergaust LL, Bakken LR, Cole JA. 2016. The roles of the hybrid cluster protein, Gcp and its reductase, Her, in high affinity nitric oxide reduction that protects anaerobic cultures of *Escherichia coli* against nitrosative stress. Mol Microbiol 100:877–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13356.
- Balasiny B, Rolfe MD, Vine C, Bradley C, Green J, Cole J. 2018. Release of nitric oxide by the *Escherichia coli* TftE (RIC) protein and its reduction by the hybrid cluster protein in an integrated pathway to minimize cytoplasmic nitrosative stress. Microbiology 164:563–575. https://doi.org/10 .1099/mic.0.000629.
- Almeida CC, Romão CV, Lindley PF, Teixeira M, Saraiva LM. 2006. The role of the hybrid cluster protein in oxidative stress defense. J Biol Chem 281:32445–32450. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M605888200.
- Oswald K, Graf JS, Littmann S, Tienken D, Brand A, Wehrli B, Albertsen M, Daims H, Wagner M, Kuypers MM, Schubert CJ, Milucka J. 2017. *Crenothrix* are major methane consumers in stratified lakes. ISME J 11: 2124–2140. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.77.
- Fu Y, He L, Reeve J, Beck DAC, Lidstrom ME. 2019. Core metabolism shifts during growth on methanol versus methane in the methanotroph *Methylomicrobium buryatense* 5GB1. mBio 10:e00406-19. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/mBio.00406-19.
- Nguyen AD, Park JY, Hwang IY, Hamilton R, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Kim D, Lee EY. 2019. Genome-scale evaluation of core one-carbon metabolism in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs grown on methane and methanol. Metab Eng 57:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2019.10.004.

- Puri AW, Schaefer AL, Fu Y, Beck DA, Greenberg EP, Lidstrom ME. 2017. Quorum sensing in a methane-oxidizing bacterium. J Bacteriol 199: e00773-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00773-16.
- Puri AW, Mevers E, Ramadhar TR, Petras D, Liu D, Piel J, Dorrestein PC, Greenberg EP, Lidstrom ME, Clardy J. 2018. Tundrenone: an atypical secondary metabolite from bacteria with highly restricted primary metabolism. J Am Chem Soc 140:2002–2006. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b12240.
- Rodionov DA, Dubchak IL, Arkin AP, Alm EJ, Gelfand MS. 2005. Dissimilatory metabolism of nitrogen oxides in bacteria: comparative reconstruction of transcriptional networks. PLoS Comput Biol 1:e55. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0010055.eor.
- D'Autréaux B, Tucker NP, Dixon R, Spiro S. 2005. A non-haem iron centre in the transcription factor NorR senses nitric oxide. Nature 437:769–772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03953.
- Karlsen OA, Ramsevik L, Bruseth LJ, Larsen Ø, Brenner A, Berven FS, Jensen HB, Lillehaug JR. 2005. Characterization of a prokaryotic haemerythrin from the methanotrophic bacterium *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). FEBS J 272:2428–2440. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04663.x.
- Henry JT, Crosson S. 2011. Ligand-binding PAS domains in a genomic, cellular, and structural context. Annu Rev Microbiol 65:261–286. https:// doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-121809-151631.
- Schirmer T, Jenal U. 2009. Structural and mechanistic determinants of c-di-GMP signalling. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:724–735. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro2203.
- Skovran E, Palmer AD, Rountree AM, Good NM, Lidstrom ME. 2011. XoxF is required for expression of methanol dehydrogenase in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1. J Bacteriol 193:6032–6038. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.05367-11.
- Vu HN, Subuyuj GA, Vijayakumar S, Good NM, Martinez-Gomez NC, Skovran E. 2016. Lanthanide-dependent regulation of methanol oxidation systems in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 and their contribution to methanol growth. J Bacteriol 198:1250–1259. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.00937-15.
- Plate L, Marletta MA. 2013. Nitric oxide-sensing H-NOX proteins govern bacterial communal behavior. Trends Biochem Sci 38:566–575. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2013.08.008.
- Hossain S, Heckler I, Boon EM. 2018. Discovery of a nitric oxide responsive quorum sensing circuit in *Vibrio cholerae*. ACS Chem Biol 13: 1964–1969. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.8b00360.
- Puri AW, Liu D, Schaefer AL, Yu Z, Pesesky MW, Greenberg EP, Lidstrom ME. 2019. Interspecies chemical signaling in a methane-oxidizing bacterial community. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e02702-18. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.02702-18.
- Dedysh SN, Dunfield PF. 2014. Cultivation of methanotrophs, p 231–247. In McGenity T, Timmis K, Nogales B, (ed). Hydrocarbon and lipid microbiology protocols. Springer protocols handbooks. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Yan X, Chu F, Puri AW, Fu Y, Lidstrom ME. 2016. Electroporation-based genetic manipulation in type I methanotrophs. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:2062–2069. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03724-15.
- Puri AW, Owen S, Chu F, Chavkin T, Beck DA, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Lidstrom ME. 2015. Genetic tools for the industrially promising methanotroph *Methylomicrobium buryatense*. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:1775–1781. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03795-14.
- 44. Shevchuk NA, Bryksin AV, Nusinovich YA, Cabello FC, Sutherland M, Ladisch S. 2004. Construction of long DNA molecules using long PCRbased fusion of several fragments simultaneously. Nucleic Acids Res 32:e19. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gnh014.
- Li H, Durbin R. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 26:589–595. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698.
- 46. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31:166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
- Anders S, McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, Okoniewski M, Smyth GK, Huber W, Robinson MD. 2013. Count-based differential expression analysis of RNA sequencing data using R and Bioconductor. Nat Protoc 8:1765–1786. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.099.