ORIGINAL ARTICLE

In vitro analysis of factors influencing CYP1A2 expression as potential determinants of interindividual variation

ChengHui Xie, Marta Pogribna, Beverly Word, Lascelles Lyn-Cook Jr., Beverly D. Lyn-Cook & George J. Hammons

Division of Biochemical Toxicology, FDA/National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, Arkansas 72079

Keywords

Cigarette smoke condensate, CYP1A2, histone modifications, human cells

Correspondence

G. J. Hammons, Division of Biochemical Toxicology, FDA/National Center for Toxicological Research, HFT-100, Jefferson, AR 72079. Tel: 879.543.7552; Fax: 870.543.7773; E-mail: ghammons@nctr.fda.gov

Funding Information

No funding information provided.

Received: 1 September 2016; Revised: 14 December 2016; Accepted: 10 January 2017

Pharma Res Per, 5(2), 2017, e00299, doi: 10.1002/prp2.299

doi: 10.1002/prp2.299

Abstract

Individual differences in drug metabolism contribute to interindividual variation that characterizes responses to drugs and risk in exposure to foreign chemicals. Large individual differences are found in expression levels of CYP1A2, a major drug-metabolizing enzyme. Underlying causes for this variation are not well understood. Several factors, including tobacco smoking, consumption of cruciferous vegetables, and sex, have been associated with modulating CYP1A2 expression. To understand factors regulating expression of CYP1A2 in establishing a causal relationship, this study examined effects of cigarette smoke condensate (CSC), indole-3-carbinol (I3C), and 17*β*-estradiol (estradiol) on CYP1A2 expression in in vitro systems using human liver and lung cells. Treatment with CSC (2-25 µg/mL) significantly increased levels of CYP1A2 in six cell lines examined, in a concentration- and time-dependent manner. Fold changes in expression levels relative to controls varied among cell lines. CYP1A2 enzymatic activity also increased with CSC exposure. Treatment of H1299 and HepB3 cells with dietary agent I3C (50 and 100 µmol/L) increased CYP1A2 expression. In human cell lines H1299 and H1395, treatment with estradiol (10 and 100 nmol/L) significantly reduced expression of CYP1A2. Using ChIP assays, effects of CSC on histone modifications were analyzed. Increases in H3K4me3 and H4K16ac were observed at several segments in the CYP1A2 gene, whereas H3K27me3 decreased, following CSC treatment. These results suggest that CYP1A2 expression is affected epigenetically by CSC. Additional studies will be needed to further establish regulatory mechanisms underlying effects of various environmental, dietary, and endogenous factors on CYP1A2 expression in better predicting individual variation.

Abbreviations

AhR, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; AzadC, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine; CSC, cigarette smoke condensate; DMF, 3',4'-dimethoxyflavone; Estradiol, 17β -estradiol; HDAC, histone deacetylase; I3C, indole-3-carbinol; TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin; TSA, trichostatin.

Introduction

The human cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2) enzyme is a major drug-metabolizing enzyme, metabolizing about 15% of clinical drugs such as clozapine, theophylline, and tacrine (Zhou et al. 2009). CYP1A2 also plays an important role in bioactivation of various procarcinogens, including heterocyclic amines, found in foods and other

products, and tobacco-specific nitrosamines (Hecht 2006). CYP1A2 is well represented in human liver, accounting for about 15% of total P450 content (Shimada et al. 1994), and is also in human lung tissue (Wei et al. 2002; Ding and Kaminsky 2003; Choudhary et al. 2005; Iba et al. 2010). Large individual differences are found in expression levels of CYP1A2 (Hammons et al. 1985; Kalow and Tang 1991; Schweiki et al. 1993). Underlying

2017 | Vol. 5 | Iss. 2 | e00299

Page 1

Published 2017. This is a U.S. Government work and is in the publisc domain in the USA. *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and

British Pharmacological Society and American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics.

no modifications or adaptations are made.

causes for this variation are not well understood. *CYP1A2* is genetically polymorphic (http://www.cypalleles:ki.se/ cyp1a2.htm). However, the low frequency of functionally different variant alleles in populations and results of several genotype-phenotype association and other studies indicate limited function of *CYP1A2* genetic polymorphism as a cause of interindividual variation in *CYP1A2* expression (Jiang et al. 2006; Ghotbi et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2010; Spina and de Leon 2015; Vukovic et al. 2016). Other factors must play a critical role.

Several factors in human exposures have been associated with the modulation of CYP1A2 activity, including smoking and various dietary agents. Cigarette smoking induces CYP1A2 activity, as shown by lower plasma concentrations of phenacetin or accelerated metabolism of caffeine in smokers compared to nonsmokers (Pantuck et al. 1972; Kotake et al. 1982). In tissue samples from patients of known smoking status, CYP1A2 content was 3.5-fold higher in smokers than in nonsmokers (Sesardic et al. 1988). Other studies have also found this relationship. A 1.66-fold increase in CYP1A2 activity was observed for smokers consuming 11-20 cigarettes daily (n = 863) (Tantcheva-Poor et al. 1999). Smokers had significantly higher paraxanthine:caffeine ratio (CYP1A2 activity) than nonsmokers in both Koreans (n = 150) and Swedes (n = 194) (Ghotbi et al. 2007). Additionally, induction of CYP1A2 has been demonstrated to dissipate after quitting smoking (Faber and Fuhr 2004). Clinical studies have shown that smoking can reduce plasma concentrations of many CYP1A2 substrate drugs, which has significant implications for drug efficacy and safety (Kroon 2007).

Increased CYP1A2 activity is associated with intake of cruciferous vegetables. Diets containing 500 g/day of broccoli were ingested, which led to a 25% increase in the elimination of CYP1A2-derived metabolites of caffeine in patients (Kall et al. 1996). Using a randomized, cross-over feeding trial in humans, dose effects of cruciferous vegetables were investigated (Peterson et al. 2009). Compared with basal diet, basal plus single dose of cruciferous diet increased CYP1A2 activity and basal plus double dose of cruciferous diet resulted in further increases, with men experiencing greater dose-response than women. In a recent phase I study of indole-3-carbinol (I3C), a major indole found in cruciferous vegetables, conducted in women, CYP1A2 levels were found to be elevated after a 4-week dose period of 800 mg daily (Reed et al. 2005).

As another source of variation, sex differences in CYP1A2 activity have been demonstrated. Lower CYP1A2 activity was reported in female human liver microsomes compared with male human liver microsomes (Parkinson et al. 2004). Additionally, using both urinary and plasma caffeine metabolic rates, significantly lower CYP1A2

activity has been reported in women compared to men in Caucasian (Relling et al. 1992; Bock et al. 1994; Carrillo and Benitez 1996) and Chinese populations (Ou-Yang et al. 2000). Other substrates have been utilized to investigate the activity of CYP1A2 in humans. In results from a study analyzing plasma levels of thiothixene in 42 patients after oral administration, men were found to display significantly higher rate of clearance than women (Ereshefsky et al. 1991). In a study with Chinese schizophrenic nonsmoking patients, women had a significantly higher clozapine plasma concentration than men after normalizing for dose and weight (Tang et al. 2007).

Demonstration of a direct causal relationship in the effect of these factors on CYP1A2 expression has, however, been limited. In this study, the effect of cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) on the expression of CYP1A2 was determined in several in vitro model systems, including both human liver and lung cell lines. The lung is an important portal of entry, and environmental risk factors are important in the etiology of lung disease (Rom et al. 2000). Local levels of carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes should influence an individual's lung cancer risk by modifying cellular response to carcinogens. The effects of 17β estradiol (estradiol) and dietary agent I3C were also examined. In this comprehensive study, each factor was shown to modulate CYP1A2 expression in the cellular systems, demonstrating a causal effect. In a mechanistic assessment, findings from the study support the involvement of epigenetics in the induction by CSC. These results are consistent with the increasing evidence of the involvement of epigenetics in the regulation of CYP1A2 expression being reported (Tang and Chen 2015).

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and treatment conditions

The human liver and lung cell lines, SNU-387 (hepatocellular carcinoma), HepB3 (hepatocellular carcinoma), A549 (lung carcinoma), H1395 (lung adenocarcinoma), H1792 (lung adenocarcinoma), and H1299 (non-small cell lung carcinoma), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassa, VA). The cells were cultured in growth medium as recommended by the supplier and routinely maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) was purchased from Murty Pharmaceuticals (Lexington, KY) and was prepared using a smoking machine designed for Federal Trade Commission testing. The particulate matter from Kentucky standard cigarettes (1R3F; University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY) was collected on Cambridge glass fiber filters and the amount of CSC obtained was determined by weight increase on the filter. CSC was prepared by dissolving the collected smoke particulates in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to yield a 4% solution (w/v). The CSC was diluted into DMSO and aliquots were stored at -80° C. In treatment experiments, cells (400,000 cells per plate) were cultured in 100 mm dishes in appropriate media with DMSO alone or with treatment agent. 3',4'-Dimethoxyflavone (DMF), 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), estradiol, I3C, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (AzadC), and trichostatin (TSA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). At appropriate times, cells were harvested, and processed for further analysis. Cell proliferation was assessed by MTT techniques using the Cell Titer 96 Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega; Madison,WI).

RNA isolation and Quantitative Real-time PCR (QRT-PCR) analysis

Total cellular RNA was isolated from the cells using the Qiagen RNeasy isolation kit (Qiagen; Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of RNA was determined by NanoDrop[®] Spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific; Wilmington, DE). The OD260/OD280 nm ratios of all RNA samples were determined to be between 1.7 and 2.0 to ensure that all RNA samples are highly pure. RNA integrity was verified by Experion[™] (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA). Real-time PCR reactions were then performed using a SyberGreen PCR master mix on iQ[™]5 Multicolor Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA). The primers for CYP1A2 and GADPH (β -actin) were synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich Oligo Division (Woodlands, TX). Primers were as follows: CYP1A2 forward 5'-CCACACCAGCCATTACAA CCCTGCC-3' and reverse 5'-TGCGCTGGGTCATCCTTG ACAGTGC-3'; GADPH - forward 5'-GAAGGTGAAGG TCGGAGTC-3' and reverse 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGGGA TTTC-3'. Reactions were carried out in triplicates in a 96-well plate in a total volume of 15 μ L. Each reaction mixture contained 7.5 μ L of SyberGreen PCR master mix, 3.8 µL of sterile nuclease-free water, 0.6 µL of forward primer (20 μ mol/L), 0.6 μ L of reverse primer (20 µmol/L). PCR conditions were as follows: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 1 min (40 cycles). Quantification of relative mRNA levels was carried out by determining the threshold cycle (C_T), which is defined as the cycle at which the reporter fluorescence exceeds by 10 times the standard deviation of the mean baseline emission for cycles 3-10. GADPH was used as an internal control. The mRNA levels of CYP1A2 were normalized to those of GADPH according to the following formula: C_T (target) – C_T (GADPH) = ΔC_T . Thereafter, the relative mRNA levels of CYP1A2 after treatment were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method: ΔC_T (treatment) $-\Delta C_T$ (vehicle) = $\Delta\Delta C_T$ (treatment). The fold changes of mRNA levels were expressed as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$. All PCR reactions were performed in triplicate in three independent experiments.

Cytochrome (CYP) 1A2 activity assay

The P450-GloTM CYP1A2 (Luciferin-1A2) assay (Promega; Madison, WI) was used to determine CYP1A2 enzymatic activity in H1395, H1299, SNU-397, and HepB3 cells treated with CSC. This assay provides a luminescent method to measure CYP1A2 activity via the conversion of the inactive luciferin-1A2 into the active form by CYP1A2 enzyme to produce luminescence. The amount of luminescence produced is directly proportional to CYP1A2 activity. Cells were treated with 2–25 µg/mL CSC for 16 h. Control cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Assaying was conducted according to manufacturer's instructions. The luminescences were measured in a Chameleon plate reader (Hidex; Turku, Finland) at 700 nm.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay

SNU-387 cells were treated with CSC (25 μ g/mL) or vehicle (DMSO) only for 24 h. ChIP assays were performed using a Millipore Magna ChIPTM A assay kit (Millipore; Temecula, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Antibodies used in the immunoprecipitations were purchased from Millipore and recognized trimethylated histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4me3), acetyl histone H4 lysine 16 (H4K16ac), trimethylated histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3). The immunoprecipitated DNA was eluted in a total volume of 30 μ L. DNA (3 μ L) was analyzed by real-time PCR using the following conditions: 95°C for 10 min, 95°C for 20 sec, 60°C for 1 min (40 cycles). Eight subregions were analyzed in the 5'-flanking region of the CYP1A2 gene (GenBank, NCBI Reference Sequence: NG_008431.1): Reg-1, 8456-8549; Reg-2, 28319-28405; Reg-3, 28521-28560; Reg-4, 28791-28814; Reg-5, 29081-29154; Reg-6, 30378-30402; Reg-7, 31261-31271; Reg-8, 31431-31510. The sequence of primer sets used in the analysis were as followed: Reg-1, forward GCTACAGCCTACCAGGACTC and reverse CGCCATCC ATTCCGATCCTT; Reg-2, forward ATCTTGGCTCACC GCAACCT and reverse AAATTAGCTGGGCGTGATGG; Reg-3, forward CTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTAGAA and reverse TGCACAGCCCACACCGAAGGAGT; Reg-4, forward GGAAAGGTGGGAAAGGAGTAAC and reverse AG GTGCC ATTCTCGTCACAT; Reg-5, forward ACTGTC ACAGCCAAGAGGAATC and reverse GGTTTGAGGTT AGGCTCGGTTT; Reg-6, forward CACCTCCCAAGTTC AAGCCATT and reverse AGCACTTTGAGAGGCCGAG

AT; Reg-7, forward CAAGGCCAAG AGTTGATCCTTC and reverse AGCTTCTGGCTCTAGGTGAGT; Reg-8, forward CTCCACACCAGCCATTACAA and reverse ATCGGGAAACAGAAGT CAAGAG. Results are expressed as fold changes relative to control for percent of input.

Statistical analysis

Prism IV software (GraphPAD Software; San Diego, CA) was used for graphical analyses. Data were analyzed for statistical significance using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test. Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Increased levels of CYP1A2 have been found to be associated with cigarette smoking in population studies (Pantuck et al. 1972; Kotake et al. 1982; Sesardic et al. 1988; Tantcheva-Poor et al. 1999; Faber and Fuhr 2004; Ghotbi et al. 2007; Kroon 2007). Using an in vitro approach, this study investigated the effect of CSC on *CYP1A2* expression in human liver and lung cell lines. Two liver cell lines and four lung cell lines were included. Under the conditions of the CSC concentrations employed in these experiments and the duration of exposure, inhibition of cell growth did not exceed 15%. Figure 1 shows a representation of these results.

Treatment with CSC significantly increased the level of *CYP1A2* in each of the six cell lines examined (Fig. 2).

Fold changes in the expression levels relative to controls varied among the cell lines. Changes in *CYP1A2* expression levels varied with time of exposure (4–36 h), as shown in Figure 3. Increases in levels of *CYP1A2* expression were also concentration-dependent in the range 2–25 μ g/mL CSC (Fig. 4). Using the P450-GloTM CYP1A2 assay system, CYP1A2 enzymatic activity was examined. In each of the cell lines, CYP1A2 activity increased with CSC exposure (Fig. 5). These increases in CYP1A2 activity were concentration-dependent.

The effects of estradiol and the dietary agent, I3C, on *CYP1A2* expression were also examined. In the human cell lines H1299 and H1395, treatment with estradiol (10 and 100 nmol/L) significantly reduced the expression levels of CYP1A2 (Fig. 6). Interestingly, *CYP1A2* expression was not significantly changed with either concentration of estradiol in A549 cells. Treatment of H1299 and HepB3 cells with I3C (50 and 100 μ mol/L) increased the level of *CYP1A2* expression, as shown in Figure 7. At 100 μ mol/L I3C, the increase was significant in both cell lines.

To explore the potential underlying pathway involved in the induction of *CYP1A2* expression by CSC, the effect of DMF on CSC-inducible expression of *CYP1A2* was determined. DMF is a competitive antagonist of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) that inhibits AhR-mediated induction of cytochrome P450 expression (Lee and Safe 2000). Treating cells with CSC and DMF resulted in only a slight reduction in the *CYP1A2* expression level resulting from treatment with CSC alone (Fig. 8). In contrast,



Figure 1. Effect of CSC on human liver and lung cells proliferation. HepB3 (A) and H1299 (B) cells were treated with 2 or 25 μ g/mL CSC for 12, 24, or 36 h and assayed by MTT techniques. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations.



Figure 2. Effect of CSC on *CYP1A2* expression in human liver and lung cells. Cells were treated with 25 μ g/mL CSC for 24 h. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in CSC-treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) compared to controls. CSC cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

DMF strongly inhibited TCDD-induced CYP1A2 expression.

There is increasing evidence of the involvement of epigenetics in the regulation of *CYP1A2* expression (Tang and Chen 2015), suggesting another pathway that may be involved in CSC induction of CYP1A2. Figure 9 shows the effect of AzadC, a DNA methylation inhibitor (Hackanson and Daskalakis 2014), and TSA, a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor (Monneret 2005), on CYP1A2 expression levels in SNU-387 and H1299 cell lines. Both agents increased CYP1A2 expression in a concentrationdependent manner. Although either agent increased CSCinducible CYP1A2 expression slightly, the effect was not significant (Fig. 10). Given these results indicating the potential of epigenetics in the regulation of CYP1A2 expression, the effect of CSC on histone modifications in CYP1A2 was explored in cells treated with CSC. Three modifications, H3K4me3, H4K16ac, histone and H3K27me3, were analyzed by ChIP assay. Eight specific segments in the 5'-flanking region in the CYP1A2 gene were included. Increases in H3K4me3 and H4K16ac were detected in several segments of the gene (Reg-3, Reg-5, and Reg-6 for H3K4me3; Reg-2, Reg-5, and Reg-8 for H4K16ac); a decrease in H3K27me3 was detected in Reg-4 (Fig. 11). Comparison of several these histone modifications (H3K4me3 at Reg-3 and H4K16ac at Reg-5) in two other cell lines demonstrated similar results (Fig. 12).

Discussion

Individual variation in the expression of major drugmetabolizing enzymes is associated with substantial individual differences in bioavailabilities and clearance of drugs and other xenobiotics and presents a major challenge in evaluating drug safety and efficacy and in



Figure 3. Effect of length of CSC exposure on *CYP1A2* expression. HepB3 (A) and H1299 (B) cells were treated with 25 μ g/mL CSC for 4–36 h. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in CSC-treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) compared to controls. CSC, cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 4. Dependence of *CYP1A2* expression on concentration of CSC. HepB3 (A) and H1299 (B) cells were treated with 2–25 μ g/mL CSC for 24 h. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in CSC-treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) compared to controls. CSC, cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 5. Effect of CSC on CYP1A2 activity in human liver and lung cells. Cells were treated with 2–25 μ g/mL CSC and CYP1A2 enzymatic activity was measured using the P450-GloTM CYP1A2 assay. Fold changes in activity levels in CSC-treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (P < 0.05) compared to controls. CSC, cigarette smoke condensate.

assessing individual risk in exposure to foreign chemicals. Large individual differences in expression levels of CYP1A2 have been established (Hammons et al. 1985; Kalow and Tang 1991; Schweiki et al. 1993). Although smoking has been recognized as a critical factor associated with levels of CYP1A2 expression (Pantuck et al. 1972;



Figure 6. Effect of estradiol on *CYP1A2* expression in human lung cells. H1299 (A), A549 (B) and H1395 (C) cells were treated with 10 or 100 nm estradiol. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) compared to controls. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 7. Effect of indole-3-carbinol on *CYP1A2* expression in human liver and lung cells. H1299 (A) and HepB3 (B) cells were treated with 50 or 100 μ mol/L indole-3-carbinol (I3C). Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (P < 0.05) compared to controls. DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

Kotake et al. 1982; Sesardic et al. 1988; Tantcheva-Poor et al. 1999; Faber and Fuhr 2004; Ghotbi et al. 2007; Kroon 2007), only limited evidence of a causal relationship has been reported. Using CSC exposure in an in vitro approach, results from the study provide the first comprehensive determination of the causal effect of CSC on *CYP1A2* expression, showing that *CYP1A2* expression levels were increased in cells treated with CSC. This was demonstrated in human liver and lung cells. The effect was in a concentration- and time-dependent manner. These results support observations of CYP1A2 expression levels being higher in smokers (Pantuck et al. 1972; Kotake et al. 1982; Sesardic et al. 1988; Tantcheva-Poor et al. 1999; Faber and Fuhr 2004; Kroon 2007) in



Figure 8. Effect of DMF on TCDD or CSC-induced expression of *CYP1A2* in human liver and lung cells. SNU-387 (A) and H1299 (B) cells were treated with 10 μ mol/L DMF, 10 nm TCDD, or 25 μ g/mL CSC alone, or DMF plus TCDD or DMF plus CSC. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (P < 0.05) compared to controls. TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin; CSC cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 9. Effect of TSA or AzadC on *CYP1A2* expression in human liver and lung cells. SNU-387 (A) and H1299 (B) cells were treated with 0.1 or 1.0 μ mol/L TSA for 24 h, or 2 or 10 μ mol/L AzadC for 72 h. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) compared to controls. TSA, trichostatin; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 10. Effect of TSA or AzadC and CSC on CYP1A2 expression. SNU-387 were treated with 1 μ mol/L TSA, 10 μ mol/L AzadC or 25 μ g/mL CSC alone, or TSA plus CSC or AzadC plus CSC. Controls were treated with vehicle (DMSO) only. Expression levels were quantified by real-time PCR. Fold changes in expression levels in treated cells are in comparison to controls. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of at least three determinations. *Denotes a significant difference (P < 0.05) compared to controls. CSC, cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 11. Effect of CSC on histone modifications on the *CYP1A2* gene. SNU-387 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 25 µg/mL CSC for 24 h and subjected to ChIP analysis with antibodies targeted to the indicated histone modifications. The DNA was amplified by real-time PCR using primers specific for eight segments of the *CYP1A2* gene (Reg-1, 8456-8549; Reg-2, 28319-28405; Reg-3, 28521-28560; Reg-4, 28791-28814; Reg-5, 29081-29154; Reg-6, 30378-30402; Reg-7, 31261-31271; Reg-8, 31431-31510). Data are expressed as fold changes relative to control for percent of input. CSC, cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.



Figure 12. Comparison of the effect of CSC on histone modifications in the *CYP1A2* gene in SNU-387, H1299, and A549 cells. Cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 25 μ g/mL CSC for 24 h and subjected to ChIP analysis with antibodies targeted to H3K4me3 (A) or H4K16ac (B). The DNA was amplified by real-time PCR using primers specific for Reg-3 (H3K4me3) or Reg-5 (H4K16ac) in the *CYP1A2* gene. Data are expressed as fold changes relative to control for percent of input. CSC cigarette smoke condensate; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

demonstrating directly a causal relationship. Only two earlier in vitro studies were found. CSC was shown to upregulate the expression of *CYP1A2* in HepG2 cells, although *CYP1A2* expression was not the focus of the study (Washio et al. 2011). Using cDNA array analysis, exposure of human vascular endothelial cells to CSC (30 μ g/ml; 24 hrs) increased *CYP1A2* expression (Nordskog et al. 2003). In an animal model study, *CYP1A2* expression was induced in Sprague–Dawley rats exposed to passive smoking (He et al. 2015).

Experiments were conducted to explore possible mechanisms involved in induction of *CYP1A2* expression by CSC. There is evidence that induced expression can be mediated by AhR as demonstrated by studies using AhR null mice (Fernandez-Salguerro et al. 1995; Schmidt et al. 1996), suggesting the presence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cigarette smoke may contribute to the inductive effect of cigarette smoke (Hoffmann et al. 1997). In our study, treating cells with CSC and DMF resulted in only a partial reduction in *CYP1A2* expression levels induced by treatment with CSC alone. DMF is a competitive antagonist of AhR that inhibits AhRmediated induction of cytochrome P450 expression (Lee and Safe 2000). These results indicated that alternative pathways are involved.

Expression of *CYP1A2* has been shown to be affected epigenetically. Results from our laboratory showed that human hepatic *CYP1A2* expression is linked to the methylation status of the CCGG site adjacent to the AP-1 site in human *CYP1A2* gene's promoter region

(Hammons et al. 2001). Other studies examining CYP1A2 expression and epigenetic changes have been reported. CpG sites of the Cyp1a2 promoter were undermethylated in the mouse liver when compared to sites in the lung and kidney, suggesting that DNA methylation regulates the tissue-specific expression of the Cvp1a2 gene (Jin et al. 2004). Treatment with a combination of TSA and AzadC enhanced expression of CYP1A2 in HeLa cells, suggesting that cooperation between DNA methylation and histone acetylation is important in CYP1A2 expression (Nakajima et al. 2003). The methylation of two separate core CpG sites was found to be strongly associated with the CYP1A2 mRNA levels in human liver samples (Ghotbi et al. 2009). Additionally, CYP1A2 expression in human hepatoma B16A2 cells was strongly induced by treatment with AzadC (Ghotbi et al. 2009). Regulation of CYP1A2 by DNA methylation and histone modification was demonstrated in the differential expression of CYP1A2 in human primary hepatocytes compared to human embryonic stem cell-derived hepatocytes (Park et al. 2015). Inhibition of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT) increased expression levels of CYP1A2. Enrichment of active histone modification H3K4me3 modulated expression of CYP1A2; the presence of repressive histone modification H3K27me3 found in the cells was associated with down-regulation of CYP1A2 transcription.

In this study, *CYP1A2* expression was demonstrated to be inducible by TSA and AzadC in the cellular systems. The effect of CSC treatment on three histone modifications, H3K4me3, H4K16ac, and H3K27me3, in specific

segments in the 5'-flanking region of CYP1A2 was determined. DNA-binding sequences of several putative regulatory factors have been characterized in the CYP1A2 gene, including xenobiotic response element (Quattrochi et al. 1994), AP-1 element (Ouattrochi et al. 1988), E-box motifs (Pickwell et al. 2003), and Ets element (Aklillu et al. 2003). Additional putative binding sites for HNF-1 and HNF-3 have also been identified farther upstream (Corchero et al. 2000). A GC box proximal to the TATA box is also a critical element for the CYP1A2 promoter (Miyajima et al. 2009). Segments were selected for these initial experiments to include the binding sequences. Specific histone modifications were explored given their reported involvement in the expression of CYP1A2 and several other CYPs (Okino et al. 2006; Li et al. 2009; Ovesen et al. 2011; Park et al. 2015). Increases in H3K4me3 and H4K16ac were observed at several segments, whereas H3K27me3 was shown to decrease, following CSC treatment. H3K4me3 and H4K16ac are associated with active transcription; H3K27me3 is repressive (Handy et al. 2011). This is the first report identifying specific histone modifications involved in CSC-induced expression of CYP1A2. These results indicate involvement of epigenetics in induction of CYP1A2 by CSC, potentially at DNA-binding sites. Cigarette smoking has been shown in previous studies to be associated with epigenetic changes (Anttila et al. 2003; Divine et al. 2005; Szulakowski et al. 2006; Hammons and Lyn-Cook 2011; Tekpli et al. 2012). Cigarette smoke-induced chromatin remodeling by acetylating lysine residues on histone proteins to facilitate gene expression was found (Marwick et al. 2004). In addition, the activity of HDACs, which remove acetyl groups to repress transcription, was reduced in bronchial biopsies from smokers compared to nonsmokers (Ito et al. 2001).

Given the sex differences associated with CYP1A2 expression, a hormonal effect on expression levels was investigated using estradiol. Treatment with estradiol was found to reduce CYP1A2 expression in two of the cell systems examined. Estradiol has been shown to modulate expression of several other CYPs. Real-time RT-PCR analvsis has revealed that treatment with estradiol induced CYP1B1 mRNA expression in ER-positive MCF-7 cells (Tsuchiya et al. 2004). Luciferase reporter assays using MCF-7 cells showed a significant transactivation by estradiol with a reporter plasmid containing a region from -151 to +25 of the human CYP1B1 gene. Specific binding of the estrogen receptor (ER) to the putative estrogen responsive element (ERE) was demonstrated by chromatin immunoprecipitation assays and gel shift analyses. Estradiol has also been shown to be involved in regulation of CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 in human lung cells (Kuo et al. 2013). The possible role of estrogen in regulating expression of the human CYP3A subfamily was examined

(Williams et al. 2004), since CYP3A4 mRNA expression in liver was lower in women than in men (Wolbold et al. 2003). It was shown that CYP3A4 and CYP3A43 are downregulated by estrogen, whereas CYP3A5 is expressed at higher levels during the secretory phase in endometrium. Estradiol derivatives also downregulated CYP2C19 expression in Huh-7 cells via estrogen receptor ERa, which interacts with the newly identified ER-binding half site at position -151/-147 in CYP2C19 promoter (Mwinyi et al. 2010). The mechanism of action of estradiol on expression of CYP1A2 and whether the involvement of estradiol in regulation of CYP1A2 expression underlies the higher expression of CYP1A2 in men compared to women remain, however, to be established. Computerassisted homology search of CYP1A2 does identify the presence of the putative half ERE at several sites in the gene.

Increased CYP1A2 activity is also associated with intake of cruciferous vegetables (Kall et al. 1996; Peterson et al. 2005). Results from this study show that I3C, an important indole in cruciferous vegetables, induces expression of CYP1A2 in several cellular systems, suggesting that this dietary component contributes to the higher CYP1A2 activity associated with the consumption of cruciferous vegetables. In the only other report found, treatment with I3C (10 – 50 μ M) was shown to increase CYP1A2 expression in three human breast cell lines, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and MCF10A (Szaefer et al. 2012). Although the mechanism underlying the modulation of CYP1A2 expression by I3C is not yet known, dietary agents can effect epigenetic changes. I3C is a hypomethylating agent, as demonstrated in several studies including results from our laboratory (Lyn-Cook et al. 2008, 2009). I3C has also been reported to decrease histone deacetylase-I (HDAC-I) expression in SEB-activated T cells (Busbee et al. 2014).

In conclusion, several factors known to be associated with CYP1A2 expression in population studies were shown to directly modulate the expression of CYP1A2 in this comprehensive in vitro study. Given the crucial role of drug-metabolizing enzymes in regulating the pharmacological and biological activity of drugs as well as being a critical determinant in risk to foreign chemicals, it is important to understand the regulatory features that lead to individual differences in the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes. Results from this study clearly establish a causal relationship between tobacco smoke and CYP1A2 expression. The effect was demonstrated in both human liver and lung cells. Further evidence is provided supporting the involvement of epigenetic mechanisms. In examining other factors, evidence is presented that estradiol may be a contributing factor in the sex difference in the expression of CYP1A2 and that the dietary component I3C may have an important role as well in CYP1A2

expression. Studies to further clarify the regulatory mechanisms involved in *CYP1A2* expression in response to environmental, dietary, and endogenous factors will be needed.

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors only and do not necessarily represent the views, official policy, or position of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or any of its affiliated institutions or agencies.

Author Contributions

Hammons, Lyn-Cook, Xie, Pogribna, Word, Lyn-Cook, Jr participated in research design. Xie, Pogribna, Word, Lyn-Cook, Jr conducted the experiments. Xie, Pogribna, and Hammons performed data analysis. Hammons and Lyn-Cook wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Disclosure

None declared.

References

Aklillu E, Carrillo JA, Makonnen E, Hellman K, Pitarque M, Bertilsson L, et al. (2003). Genetic polymorphisms of CYP1A2 in Ethiopians affecting induction and expression: characterization of novel haplotypes with single-nucleotide polymorphisms in intron 1. Mol Pharmacol 64: 659–669.

Anttila S, Hakkola J, Tuominen P, Elovaara E, Husgafvel-Puriainen K, Karjalainen A, et al. (2003). Methylation of cytochrome P4501A1 promoter in the lung is associated with tobacco smoking. Cancer Res 63: 8623–8628.

Bock KW, Schrenk D, Forster A, Griese EU, Morike K, Brockmeier D, et al. (1994). The influence of environmental and genetic factors on CYP2D6, CYP1A2, and UDPglucuronosyltransferases in man using sparteine, caffeine, and paracetamol as probes. Pharmacogenetics 4: 209–218.

Busbee PB, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti PS (2014). Natural indoles, indole-3-carbinol and 3,3'-diindolymethane, inhibit T cell activation by staphylococcal enterotoxin B through epigenetic regulation involving HDAC expression. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 274: 7–16.

Carrillo JA, Benitez J (1996). CYP1A2 activity, gender, and smoking as variables influencing the toxicity of caffeine. Br J Clin Pharmacol 41: 605–608.

Choudhary D, Jansson I, Stoloilov I, Sarfarazi M, Schenkman JB (2005). Expression patterns of mouse and human CYP

orthologs (families 1-4) during development and in different adult tissues. Arch Biochem Biophys 436: 50–61.

Corchero J, Kimura S, Pimprale S, and Gonzalez F. J. (2000). Organization of the CYP1A cluster on human chromosome 15: implications for gene regulation. Pharmacogenetics 11: 1–6.

Ding X, Kaminsky LS (2003). Human extrahepatic cytochromes P450: function in xenobiotic metabolism and tissue-selective chemical toxicity in the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 43: 149–173.

Divine KK, Pulling LC, Marron-Terada PG, Liechty KC, Kang T, Schwartz AG, et al. (2005). Multiplicity of abnormal promoter methylation in lung adenocarcinomas from smokers and never smokers. Int J Cancer 114: 400–405.

Ereshefsky L, Saklad SR, Watanabe MD, Davis CM, Jann MW (1991). Thiothixene pharmacokinetic interactions: a study of hepatic enzyme inducers, clearance inhibitors, and demographic variables. J Clin Psychopharmacol 11: 296–301.

Faber MS, Fuhr U (2004). Time response of cytochrome P450 1A2 on cessation of heavy smoking. Clin Pharmacol Ther 76: 178–184.

Fernandez-Salguerro P, Pineau T, Hilbert DM, McPhail T, Lee SST, Kimura S, et al. (1995). Immune system impairment and hepatic fibrosis in mice lacking the dioxin-binding Ah receptor. Science 268: 722–726.

Ghotbi R, Christensen M, Roh HK, Ingelman-Sunberg M, Aklillu E, Bertilsson L (2007). Comparison of CYP1A2 genetic polymorphisms, enzyme activity and the genotype-phenotype relationship in Swedes and Koreans. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 63: 537–546.

Ghotbi R, Gomez A, Milani L, Tybring G, Syvanen A-C, Bertilsson L, et al. (2009). Allele-specific expression and gene methylation in the control of *CYP1A2* mRNA level in human livers. Pharmacogenomics J 9: 208–217.

Hackanson B, Daskalakis M (2014). Decitabine. Recent Results Cancer Res 201: 269–297.

Hammons G, Lyn-Cook B (2011). Epigenetics in tobacco smoke toxicology. Current Topics in Toxicol 7: 63–77.

Hammons GJ, Guengerich FP, Weis CC, Beland FA, Kadlubar FF (1985). Metabolic oxidation of carcinogenic arylamines by rat, dog, and human hepatic microsomes and by purified flavin-containing and cytochrome P-450 monooxygenases. Cancer Res 45: 3578–3585.

Hammons GJ, Yan-Sanders Y, Jin B, Blann E, Kadlubar FF, Lyn-Cook BD (2001). Specific site methylation in the 5'flanking region of CYP1A2: interindividual difference in human livers. Life Sci 69: 839–845.

Handy DE, Castro R, Loscalzo J (2011). Epigenetic modifications: Basic mechanisms and role in cardiovascular disease. Circulation 123: 2145–2156.

He X-M, Zhou Y, Xu M-Z, Li Y, Li H-Q, Li M-Y (2015). Effects of long-term smoking on the activity and mRNA expression of CYP isozymes in rats. J Thorac Dis 7: 1725–1731.

Hecht SS (2006). Cigarette smoking risks, carcinogens, and mechanisms. Langenbecks Arch Surg 391: 603–613.

Hoffmann D, Djordjevic MV, Hofmann I (1997). The changing cigarette. Prev Med 26: 427–434.

Iba MM, Shin M, Caccavale RJ (2010). Cytochromes P4501 (CYP1): Catalytic activities and inducibility by diesel exhaust particle extract and benzo[a]pyrene in intact human lung *ex vivo*. Toxicology 273: 35–44.

Ito K., Lim S, Caramori G, Chung KF, Barnes PJ, Adcock IM (2001) Cigarette smoking reduces histone deacetylase 2 expression, enhances cytokine expression, and inhibits glucocorticoid actions in alveolar macrophages FASEB J 15: 1110–1112.

Jiang Z, Dragin N, Jorge-Nebert LF, Martin MV, Guengerich FP, Aklillu E, et al. (2006) Search for an association between the human CYP1A2 genotype and CYP1A2 metabolic phenotype. Pharmacogenet Genomics 16: 359–367.

Jin B, Park DW, Nam K-W, Oh GT, Lee Y-S, Ryu D-Y (2004). CpG methylation of the mouse CYP1A2 promoter. Toxicol Lett 152: 11–18.

Kall MA, Vang O, Clausen J (1996). Effects of dietary broccoli on human in vivo drug metabolizing enzymes: evaluation of caffeine, oestrone and chlorzoxazone metabolism. Carcinogenesis 17: 793–799.

Kalow W, Tang BK (1991). Caffeine as a metabolic probe: exploration of the enzyme-inducing effect of cigarette smoking. Clin Pharmacol Ther 49: 44–48.

Klein K, Winter S, Turpeinen M, Schwab M, Zanger UM (2010). Pathway-targeted pharmacogenomics of CYP1A2 in human liver. Front Pharmacol 1: 1–20.

Kotake AN, Schoeller DA, Lambert GH, Baker AL, Schaffer DD, Joseph H (1982). The caffeine CO2 breath test: dose response and route of N-demethylation in smokers and non-smokers. J Clin Pharmacol Ther 32: 261–269.

Kroon LA (2007). Drugs interactions with smoking. Am J Health-Syst Pharm 64: 1917–1921.

Kuo LC, Cheng LC, Lin CJ, Li LA (2013). Dioxin and estrogen signaling in lung adenocarcinoma cells with different aryl hydrocarbon receptor/estrogen receptor α phenotypes. Am J Respir Cell Mol Bio 49: 1064–1073.

Lee J-E, Safe S (2000). 3',4'-Dimethoxyflavone as an aryl hydrocarbon receptor antagonist in human breast cancer cells. Toxicol Sci 58: 235–242.

Li Y, Cui Y, Hart SN, Klaassen CD, X-b Zhong (2009). Dynamic patterns of histone methylation are associated with ontogenic expression of the *Cyp3a* genes during mouse liver maturation. Mol Pharmacol 75: 1171–1179. Lyn-Cook BD, Haefele A, Word B, Hammons GJ (2008). Indole-3-carbinol (I3C) reactivates p16 in pancreatic cancer cells through hypomethylation. Proc Amer Assoc Cancer Res 49: 2606.

Lyn-Cook BD, Edwards T, Starlard-Davenport A, Word B, Hammons GJ (2009). Indole-3-carbinol (I3C) increases the expression of UDP-glucuronsyltransferases in estrogen receptor negative and positive breast cells: role of DNA methylation. Proc Amer Assoc Cancer Res 50: 2863.

Marwick JA, Kirkham PA, Stevenson CS, Danahay H, Giddings J, Butler K, et al. (2004). Cigarette smoke alters chromatin remodeling and induces proinflammatory genes in rat lungs. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 31: 633–642.

Miyajima A, Furihata T, Chiba K (2009). Functional analysis of GC Box and its CpG methylation in the regulation of CYP1A2 gene expression. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 24: 269–276.

Monneret C (2005). Histone decetylase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 40: 1–13.

Mwinyi J, Cavaco I, Pedersen RS, Persson A, Burkhardt S, Mkrtchian S, et al. (2010). Regulation of CYP2C19 expression by estrogen receptor α : implications for estrogen-dependent inhibition of drug metabolism. Mol Pharmacol 78: 886–894.

Nakajima M, Iwanari M, Yokoi Y (2003). Effects of histone deacetylation and DNA methylation on the constitutive and TCDD-inducible expression of the human CYP1 family in MCF-7 and HeLa cells. Toxicol Lett 144: 247–256.

Nordskog BK, Blixt AD, Morgan WT, Fields WR, Hellman GM (2003). Matrix-degrading and pro-inflammatory changes in human vascular endothelial cells exposed to cigarette smoke condensate. Cardiovasc Toxicol 3: 101–117.

Okino ST, Pookot D, Li L-C, Zhao H, Urakami S, Shiina H, et al. (2006). Epigenetic inactivation of the dioxin-responsive *Cytochrome P4501A1* gene in human prostate cancer. Cancer Res 66: 7420–7428.

Ou-Yang DS, Huang SL, Wang W, Xia HG, Xu ZH, Shu Y, et al. (2000). Phenotypic polymorphism and gender-related differences on CYP1A2 activity in a Chinese population. Br J Clin Pharmacol 49: 145–151.

Ovesen JL, Schnekenburger M, Puga A (2011). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands of widely different toxic equivalency factors induce similar histone marks in target gene chromatin. Toxicol Sci 121: 123–131.

Pantuck EJ, Kuntzman R, Conney AH (1972). Decreased concentration of phenacetin in plasma of cigarette smokers. Science 175: 1248–1250.

Park H-J, Choi Y-J, Kim JW, Chun H-S, Im I, Yoon S, et al. (2015). and Kim H (2015) Differences in the epigenetic regulation of cytochrome P450 genes between human embryonic stem cell-derived hepatocytes and primary

hepatocytes. PLoS ONE 10: e0132992. doi:10.137/journal.pone. 0132992.

Parkinson A, Mudra DR, Johnson C, Dwyer A, Carroll KM (2004). The effects of gender, age, ethnicity, and liver cirrhosis on cytochrome P450 enzyme activity in human liver microsomes and inducibility in cultured human hepatocytes. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 199: 193–209.

Peterson S, Schwarz Y, Li SS, Li L, King IB, Chen C, et al. (2009). *CYP1A2*, *GSTM1*, and *GSTT1* polymorphisms and diets effects on CYP1A2 activity in a crossover feeding trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 18: 3118–3125.

Pickwell GV, Shih H, Quattrochi LC (2003). Interaction of upstream stimulatory factor proteins with an E-box located within the human CYP1A2 5'-flanking gene contributes to basal transcriptional gene activation. Biochem Pharmacol 65: 1087–1096.

Quattrochi LC, Shih H, Pickwell GV (1988). Induction of the human CYP1A2 enhancer by phorbol ester. Arch Biochem Biophys 350: 41–48.

Quattrochi LC, Vu T, Tukey RH (1994). The human CYP1A2 gene and induction by 3-methylcholanthrene: a region of DNA that supports Ah-receptor binding and promoter-specific induction. J Biol Chem 269: 6949–6954.

Reed GA, Peterson KS, Smith HJ, Gray JC, Sullivan DK, Mayo MS, et al. (2005). A Phase I study of indole-3-carbinol in women: tolerability and effects. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 14: 1953–1960.

Relling MV, Lin JS, Ayers GD, Evans WE (1992). Racial and gender differences in N-acetyltransferase, xanthine oxidase, and CYP1A2 activities. Clin Pharmacol Ther 52: 643–658.

Rom WN, Hay JG, Lee TC, Jiang Y, Tchou-Wong KM (2000). Molecular and genetic aspects of lung cancer. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 161: 1355–1367.

Schmidt JV, Su GH-T, Reddy JK, Simon MC, Bradfield CA (1996). Characterization of a muring Ahr null allele: involvement of the Ah receptor in hepatic growth and development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 6731–6736.

Schweiki H, Taylor JA, Kitareewan S, Linko P, Nagorney D, Goldstein J (1993). Expression of CYP1A genes in human liver. Pharmocogenetics 3: 239–249.

Sesardic D, Boobis AR, Edwards RJ, Davies DS (1988). A form of cytochrome P450 in man, orthologous to form d in the rat, catalyses the O-deethylation of phenacetin and is inducible by cigarette. Br J Clin Pharamacol 26: 363–372.

Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, Inui Y, Guengerich FP (1994). Interindividual variations in human liver cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of drugs, carcinogens, and toxic chemicals. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 270: 414–423.

Spina Eand Leon de J, (2015). Clinical applications of CYP genotyping in psychiatry. J Neural Transm 122: 5–29.

Szaefer H, Licznerska B, Krajka-Kuźniak V, Bartoszek A, Baer-Dubowska W (2012). Modulation of CYP1A1, CYP1A2 and CYP1B1 expression by cabbage juices and indoles in human breast cell lines. Nutr Cancer 64: 879–888.

Szulakowski P, Crowther AJL, Jimeniz LA, Donaldson K, Mayer R, Leonard T, et al. (2006). The effect of smoking on the transcriptional regulation of lung inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174: 41–50.

Tang X, Chen S (2015). Epigenetic regulation of cytochrome P450 enzymes and clinical implication. Curr Drug Metab 16: 86–96.

Tang YL, Mao P, Li FM, Li W, Chen Q, Jiang F, et al. (2007). Gender, age, smoking behavior and plasma clozapine concentrations in 193 Chinese inpatients with schizophrenia. Br J Clin Pharmacol 64: 49–56.

Tantcheva-Poor I, Zaigler M, Rietbrock S, Fuhr U (1999). Estimation of cytochrome P450 CYP1A2 activity in 863 healthy Caucasians using a saliva-based caffeine test. Pharmacogenetics 9: 131–144.

Tekpli X, Zienolddiny S, Skaug V, Stangeland L, Haugen A, Mollerup S (2012). DNA methylation of the CYP1A1 enhancer is associated with smoking-induced genetic alteration in human lung. Int J Cancer 131: 1509–1516.

Tsuchiya Y, Nakajima M, Kyo S, Kanaya T, Inoue M, Yokoi T (2004). Human CYP1B1 is regulated by estradiol via estrogen receptor. Cancer Res 64: 3119–3125.

Vukovic V, Ianuale C, Leoncini E, Pastorino R, Gualano MR, Amore R, et al. (2016). Lack of association in the CYP1A2 gene and risk of cancer: evidence from meta-analyses. BMC Cancer 16: 83.

Washio I, Maeda M, Sugiura C, Shiga R, Yoshida M, Nonen S, et al. (2011). Cigarettte smoke extract induces CYP2B6 through constitutive androstance receptor in hepatocytes. Drug Metab Dispos 39: 1–3.

Wei C, Caccavale RJ, Weyand EH, Chen S, Iba MM (2002). Induction of CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 expression by prototypic and atypical inducers in the human lung. Cancer Lett 178: 25–36.

Williams ET, Leyk M, Wrighton SA, Davies PJ, Loose DS, Shipley GL, et al. (2004). Estrogen regulation of the cytochrome P450 3A subfamily in humans. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 311: 928–935.

Wolbold R, Klein K, Burk O, Nussler AK, Neuhaus M, Eichelbaum M, et al. (2003). Sex is a major determinant of CYP3A4 expression in human liver. Hepatology 38: 978–988.

Zhou S-F, Yang L-P, Zhou Z-W, Liu Y-H, Chan E (2009). Insights into the substrate specificity, inhibitors, regulation, and polymorphisms and the clinical impact of human cytochrome P450 1A2. The AAPS J 2: 481–494.