SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 24 October 2018 Accepted: 12 February 2019 Published online: 19 March 2019

OPEN The tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine inhibits neuronal autophagic flux

Federica Cavaliere^{1,2}, Alessandra Fornarelli¹, Fabio Bertan¹, Rossella Russo², Anaïs Marsal-Cots¹, Luigi Antonio Morrone², Annagrazia Adornetto ², Maria Tiziana Corasaniti 3, Daniele Bano 1, Giacinto Bagetta 2 Pierluigi Nicotera

Antidepressants are commonly prescribed psychotropic substances for the symptomatic treatment of mood disorders. Their primary mechanism of action is the modulation of neurotransmission and the consequent accumulation of monoamines, such as serotonin and noradrenaline. However, antidepressants have additional molecular targets that, through multiple signaling cascades, may ultimately alter essential cellular processes. In this regard, it was previously demonstrated that clomipramine, a widely used FDA-approved tricyclic antidepressant, interferes with the autophagic flux and severely compromises the viability of tumorigenic cells upon cytotoxic stress. Consistent with this line of evidence, we report here that clomipramine undermines autophagosome formation and cargo degradation in primary dissociated neurons. A similar pattern was observed in the frontal cortex and liver of treated mice, as well as in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans exposed to clomipramine. Together, our findings indicate that clomipramine may negatively regulate the autophagic flux in various tissues, with potential metabolic and functional implications for the homeostatic maintenance of differentiated cells.

Depression is a long-term, disabling condition affecting more than 350 million people worldwide¹. The number of diagnosed individuals with mood disorders is constantly increasing each year. Apart from psychiatric syndromes, depressive states are commonly manifested in patients affected by neurodegenerative diseases². As a consequence, antidepressants are widely prescribed drugs across an array of neurological disorders³. Antidepressants are a heterogeneous group of compounds, which can be divided into four distinct categories, depending on their primary mechanism of action: norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitors (NRIs), selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin/norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitors (SNRIs) and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs). A fifth group comprises atypical antidepressants, such as the unicyclic aminoketone bupropion (i.e., norepinephrine-dopamine re-uptake inhibitor) and the noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant mirtazapine⁴. Among the first antidepressant drugs launched on the market, the tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) act primarily as SNRIs⁵.

As noted above, the primary action of most antidepressants involves the increase of monoamine concentration in the neuronal synaptic space⁴. While the modulation of monoamine concentration is quite rapid, the therapeutic response takes several weeks. This line of evidence has suggested that other molecular processes may contribute to the retarded therapeutic outcome of the antidepressants⁶⁻⁸. In support of this hypothesis, antidepressants have been demonstrated to possess a large spectrum of biological properties^{4,6,9,10}.

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved homeostatic process that crucially regulates cellular function and maintenance¹¹. Activation of the autophagic pathway results in the degradation of long-lived proteins and organelles¹². This process is constitutively active at basal levels and can be further induced by a variety of stimuli, including environmental and cellular stressors. Notably, it has been suggested that autophagic stimulation can diminish the formation and accumulation of intracellular protein aggregates or insoluble inclusions¹³⁻¹⁶. The loss of intracellular proteostasis is particularly deleterious in the nervous system and has been associated with many forms of neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease and Huntington's

¹German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany. ²Preclinical and Translational Pharmacology, Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza), Italy. ³Department of Health Sciences, University "Magna Graecia" of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.N. (email: pierluigi.nicotera@dzne.de)

disease^{17,18}. The importance of autophagy to neuronal maintenance has been further highlighted by evidence in transgenic mice, in which genetic suppression of the autophagy-related proteins ATG-5 or ATG-7 compromises the autophagic pathway, negatively affects cellular viability, causes neuronal degeneration and leads to premature death^{19,20}. It was previously reported that exposure of tumorigenic cell lines to tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine inhibits the degradation of the autophagic cargo^{21,22}. It remains unclear whether clomipramine may also affect autophagy in postmitotic cells. In the present study, we provide evidence that clomipramine blocks the autophagic flux in primary neuronal culture. Consistently, we show that clomipramine negatively alters autophagy *in vivo* in three-weeks treated mice as well as in nematodes. Taken together, long-term treatment with tricyclic antidepressants may influence autophagy, and therefore cellular homeostasis, in the central nervous system. Further investigations and evaluations are warranted to determine the possible pathophysiological implications in common idiopathic neurodegenerative diseases.

Materials and Methods

Animal procedures and *in vivo* **mouse treatment.** All animal work was approved and performed in conformity to the guidelines of the State Agency for Nature, Environment and Consumer Protection in North Rhine Westphalia (LANUV) and of the Italian Ministry of Health for Animal care (DM 116/1992). In all our experiments, we used C57BL/6J mice that were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Germany and Italy), housed under a 12h light–dark cycle and allowed *ad libitum* access to food and water. Mice were used at 6 weeks of age and 22 to 25 g of weight. Mice were treated intraperitoneally with clomipramine hydrochloride (20 mg/kg) or fluoxetine hyrochloride (10 and 30 mg/kg) for 21 days and according to previous published protocols^{23,24}. For *in vivo* experiments, we used 7 males per group. Control mice were injected with an equivalent volume of saline solution. All adult animals included in this study were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and, when required, embryos were removed by caesarean section.

LC3 and p62 formation in *ex vivo.* Brain tissues were incubated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% FCS and incubated at 37 °C, with 5% CO₂. To block lysosomal proteases, tissues were exposed to NH_4Cl (20 mM, Sigma-Aldrich) and leupeptin (200μ M, Sigma-Aldrich)²⁵. After 2 h incubation, tissues were separately collected from each well and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min at 4 °C. The obtained pellet was homogenized in an appropriate volume of 0.25 M sucrose (supplemented with protease inhibitors), sonicated and processed for protein quantification.

Cell Cultures. Primary cortical neurons were prepared from E17.5 pregnant mice as described previously²⁶. Dissociated neurons were plated on 100µg/ml poly-L-lysine (MW > 300 kDa) coated dishes at a density of about $4 \cdot 10^5$ cells/ ml (12-well plate), cultured at 37 °C and at 5% CO₂. After 2 h, the medium was completely removed and neurons were maintained in Neurobasal Medium supplemented with 2% B27, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/l penicillin and 100µg/ml streptomycin. Cytosine arabinoside (10µM) was added at 5 days *in vitro* in order to inhibit the cells mitotic division. Cortical neurons were routinely used between day 6 and 8.

Chemicals and cultures treatment. Both clomipramine and fluoxetine (Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared in 100% DMSO at 10 mM final concentration and diluted in PBS immediately before use. Where indicated, PBS-diluted clomipramine, fluoxetine (1 and 5μ M, final concentration) and/or bafilomycin A1 (Baf A1, 20 nM; Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the cellular medium. Control cells were treated with the corresponding volume of vehicle (i.e., DMSO + PBS).

Immunoblot analysis. Neuronal cells and tissue samples were directly lysed in boiling Laemmli buffer (60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8; 2% SDS; 10% glycerol; 5% beta-mercaptoethanol; 0.01% bromophenol blue). Nematodes were collected and resuspended in RIPA lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). After sonication, equal amount of total proteins was separated by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% to 15% percentage of acryla-mide in the running gel) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline, containing 0.05% Tween-20. Primary and secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies were incubated in the same buffer. Protein specific signals were detected using ECL Western Pico Detection system (ThermoFisher Scientific) and chemiluminescence signal visualized using Chemidoc imaging system (Biorad). The following primary antibodies and dilutions were used: guinea-pig polyclonal anti-p62 (1:1000; Progen), rabbit polyclonal anti-LC3B (1:1500; Sigma-Aldrich), mouse monoclonal anti- β -actin (1:5000; Sigma-Aldrich) and mouse monoclonal anti-actin (1:5000, Abcam). HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse, anti-rabbit and anti guinea pig IgG (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham) were used as secondary antibodies.

Caenorhabditis elegans strains and methods. The following strains were used: wild type N2, AM141 *rmIs133 [unc-54p::Q40::YFP]*, CL2120 *dvIs 14 [(pCL12) unc-54::beta 1–42 + (pCL26) mtl-2::GFP]*, DA2123 *adIs2122[lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-1+rol-6(su1006)]*. Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) plates were seeded with *E. coli* strain OP50 as a food source and kept at 20 °C. NGM agar plates containing clomipramine and fluoxetine (final concentration of 10 or 50 µg/ml) were kept at 4 °C and used within one week. Drug concentrations were chosen based on previous protocols^{27–30}. Synchronized L3 larvae or young adult nematodes were transferred to drug-containing agar plates for 1 to 7 days as indicated in the text.

Statistical analysis. Data were expressed as the mean \pm S.E.M of the indicated experiment numbers. Statistical analysis was evaluated by ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test for multiple comparisons. Where indicated, Student's t test was used to evaluate differences between two means.



Figure 1. Clomipramine and fluoxetine treatments reduce autophagy flux in cortical neurons. (**A**,**B**) Primary cortical neurons were treated with (**A**) clomipramine or (**B**) fluoxetine at concentrations of 1 and 5 μ M for 12, 24 and 48 h. Densitometric analysis of LC3-II (left) and p62 (right) is reported. β -Actin was used as loading control. Bars represent mean \pm S.E.M. Each group results from 6 independent neuronal cultures. (**C**) Cortical neurons were incubated with clomipramine (5 μ M) or fluoxetine (5 μ M) for 12 h, while they were exposed to 20 nM Baf A1 for only 3 h. Densitometric analysis of LC3-II represents mean \pm S.E.M of 4 independent neuronal cultures (^+p = 0.0867, *p < 0.05, *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001).

Results

Clomipramine and fluoxetine reduce autophagy in neuronal primary cultures. Autophagy requires the formation and the expansion of phagophores³¹. An important step in the autophagosome maturation is the conjugation of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3-I, 18 kDa) to phosphatidylethanolamine. The conversion of LC3-I to the lipidated membrane-bound LC3-II (16k Da) is used as a marker for autophagosome formation^{32,33}. Following a previous line of evidence in tumorigenic cells^{21,22}, we set off to determine whether clomipramine alters neuronal autophagy. We initially used primary cortical neurons exposed to increasing concentrations (1 and 5 μ M) of clomipramine. We also used fluoxetine, a SSRI with a chemical structure completely unrelated to tricyclics, since we aimed to define whether the effect was specific for clomipramine or a general property of antidepressants. We found that both compounds enhanced the LC3-I conversion to LC3-II in a concentration-dependent manner at all analyzed time points (Fig. 1A,B). Higher levels of LC3-II normally indicate an increased autophagosome number³³. However, this can be ascribed to an increased formation or a decreased degradation of LC3-II containing vesicles. To discriminate between these two possibilities, we assessed the degradation of the protein cargo p62, which is a substrate that accumulates in autophagy deficient cells^{34,35}. Similar to the enhanced LC3-II conversion, we found that p62 levels increased following treatment with both antidepressants in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1A,B). To further support our line of evidence, we exposed primary neuronal cultures to the V-ATPase inhibitor bafilomycin A1 (Baf A1)³⁶. Baf A1 blocks lysosomal acidification and prevents the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes³⁷, leading to a higher amount of LC3-II when autophagy flux is accelerated in the presence of autophagy activators³⁸. While 20 nM Baf A1 treatment induced a significant increase of LC3-II conversion, co-treatment of cortical neurons with clomipramine or fluoxetine and Baf A1 did not enhance further LC3-II accumulation (Fig. 1C). This evidence strongly suggests that clomipramine and fluoxetine inhibit the autophagic flux in primary dissociated neurons rather than increase the autophagic rate. Taken together, these data suggest that clomipramine and fluoxetine negatively regulate neuronal autophagic pathway in primary cultured cells.

Clomipramine decreases autophagic flux in murine tissues. To assess whether antidepressant treatment affects autophagy *in vivo* in mammals, chronic intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of clomipramine and fluoxetine was performed in mice for 21 days. We assessed LC3-II and p62 levels in the presence or absence of lysosomal inhibitors using an assay previously adopted in similar experimental settings^{39–41}. Lysosomal inhibitors act on lysosomal proteases, blocking their activity, thus preventing cargo degradation. Consequently, incubation with such inhibitors is informative of the autophagosome degradation rate.

We initially tested the autophagic flux rate *ex vivo* in liver. Since liver is the organ mainly responsible for clomipramine⁴² and fluoxetine metabolism⁴³, we reasoned that this tissue would have been definitely influenced by the two antidepressants. At the basal level, a very little amount of LC3-I levels was detected in the liver, probably due to the high autophagic flux in this organ and the high conversion of LC3-I in LC3-II in the *ex vivo* assay. However, both LC3-II and p62 were significantly increased in the liver of clomipramine treated mice compared to vehicle treated ones (Fig. 2A). Following incubation with lysosomal protease inhibitors, a significant increase of LC3-II levels was detectable in the liver of vehicle treated mice indicating the presence of an active autophagic process (Fig. 2A). Conversely, neither LC3-II nor p62 accumulated in the samples from clomipramine treated mice incubated with lysosomal inhibitors as compared to the same samples in the absence of the inhibitors (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that blockade of the autophagic flux was already occurring in the liver of the animals treated with the tricyclic antidepressant. To our surprise and against our previous findings in cortical neurons, fluoxetine treatment did not increase LC3-II and p62 levels in the liver of treated mice (Fig. 2B). These data demonstrate that impairment of the autophagic pathway does not occur in the liver of fluoxetine-treated animals.

Next, we assessed the autophagic flux in the frontal cortex of antidepressant treated mice as compared to vehicle treated. In line with what observed in liver, clomipramine treatment led to an increased LC3-II and p62 protein levels in murine frontal cortex (Fig. 2C). As expected, *in ex vivo* incubation with lysosomal inhibitors was associated with a significant accumulation of LC3-II and p62 compared to vehicle treated mice, while little effect was observed in the brain samples from clomipramine-treated mice (Fig. 2C). On the contrary, fluoxetine treatment did not alter the basal levels of LC3-II and p62 (Fig. 2D). In the samples from fluoxetine-treated mice and following incubation with the lysosomal inhibitors, the significant accumulation of p62 indicated an active autophagic flux (Fig. 2D). The same effects were observed with a higher dose of fluoxetine (i.e., 30 mg/kg i.p., data not shown), suggesting that the absence of effects with this SSRI was not dose-related. Overall, our findings suggest that clomipramine, but not fluoxetine, impairs autophagy in the brain when chronically administered to mice.

Clomipramine treatment increases intracellular aggregates in *C. elegans.* To determine the evolutionarily conserved mechanism of clomipramine and fluoxetine, we performed a series of experiments in the nematode C. elegans. We initially assessed autophagosome formation in C. elegans carrying the transgene lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-144 and exposed to two concentrations (i.e., 10 and 50µg/ml) of clomipramine and fluoxetine for 24 h. LGG-1 is the mammalian ortholog of LC3 and is recruited to nascent autophagosomes. In our experimental conditions, 24 h incubation of L3 larvae with clomipramine and fluoxetine resulted in an increased number of GFP::LGG-1 positive puncta, suggesting that both clomipramine and fluoxetine affected autophagosome formation in nematodes (Fig. 3A and Table 1). We reasoned that block of autophagy would promote the accumulation of insoluble intracellular species in long-lived cells^{17,45,46}, including in *C. elegans* tissues⁴⁷. Thus, we assessed the cytotoxic consequence of clomipramine and fluoxetine treatment in animals expressing aggregate-prone proteins. To do so, we used nematodes overexpressing a YFP tagged to polyglutamine expansions (i.e., Q40::YFP) in the body wall muscle cells⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. Over time, these animals display motility defects due to the accumulation of protein aggregates. We found that clomipramine treatment resulted in a time-dependent increased formation of polyQ-YFP-positive puncta (Fig. 3B,C and Table 1). On the contrary, fluoxetine treatment did not affect the formation of polyQ-YFP-positive puncta compared to untreated nematodes (Fig. 3B,C and Table 1). To support these findings with an alternative model of proteotoxicity, we employed a C. elegans strain overexpressing human β -amyloid peptide that causes cytotoxicity in muscle cells⁵¹. Consistent with the data above, we found that clomipramine significantly increased the percentage of paralyzed animals, while fluoxetine had almost an opposite effect, since it partially ameliorated mobility compared to untreated nematodes (Fig. 3D and Table 1). Taken together, our findings suggest that clomipramine treatment impairs autophagy and, consequently, affects proteostasis in C. elegans. Conversely, fluoxetine may stimulate autophagy in nematodes, resulting in an efficient maintenance of the proteome during aging.

Discussion

Clomipramine is a tricyclic antidepressant that influences serotonergic neurotransmission. Although clomipramine has been used for short- and long-term treatment of many mental illness, it has shown to be particularly effective for obsessive-compulsive disorders. Several reports suggest that antidepressant drugs interfere with the autophagic process^{21,22,52-54}, however all previous studies were conducted in dividing cells. Here, we focused our work on two antidepressants with unrelated chemical structures. We provide evidence that both clomipramine



Figure 2. Autophagic flux is decreased by clomipramine treatment in mouse tissues. LC3-II and p62 levels in the (**A**,**B**) liver and (**C**,**D**) frontal cortex of mice treated with (**A**–**C**) clomipramine (Clo) or (**B**–**D**) fluoxetine (Fluo), compared to untreated animals (Ctrl). Data are relative to *ex vivo* tissues incubated with (+) and without (–) NH₄Cl and leupeptin (inhib). β -Actin was used as a loading control. For the densitometric analysis, bars represent mean \pm S.E.M. of 7 mice for each group (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

and fluoxetine can inhibit autophagy *in vitro* in primary dissociated neurons. However, only chronic clomipramine treatment can affect the autophagic flux in frontal cortex and liver as revealed by a well-established *ex vivo* assay^{39,40,55}. To support further this set of observations, we extended our work to nematodes. We found that, in an evolutionarily conserved manner, clomipramine stimulates the accumulation of GFP::LGG-1-positive autophagosomes. Moreover, clomipramine increases the accumulation of polyQ-containing and A β intracellular



D







В





unc-54p::Q40::YFP (from young adults)



unc-54p::beta 1-42



Figure 3. Clomipramine and fluoxetine modulate *C. elegans* autophagy in a different manner. (**A**) Quantification of GFP::LGG-1 positive puncta at the confocal microscope (n animals = 60, ****p < 0.0001). Nematodes overexpressing *lgg-1p::gfp::lgg-1* were exposed to clomipramine and fluoxetine for 24 h. Data information = $_{10}$ Clo and $_{10}$ Fluo = 10 µg/ml in NGM agar; $_{50}$ Clo and $_{50}$ Fluo = 50 µg/ml in NGM agar; C = control (equivalent volume of DMSO as vehicle). (**B**,**C**) Quantification of Q40::YFP containing puncta in nematodes upon treatment with 10µg/ml clomipramine or fluoxetine. (**B**) L3 larvae expressing *unc-54p::Q40::YFP* transgene were exposed to antidepressants for (**B**) 24 h (n animals = 40, ***p < 0.0001), while (**C**) young adults were grown for 24 h and 96 h on drug-containing NGM agar plates (n animals = 40, *p < 0.05 **p < 0.01). (**D**) Percentage of paralyzed nematodes overexpressing human β -amyloid peptide. Animals were treated for 7 consecutive days with 10µg/ml clomipramine or fluoxetine (n animals = 150, **p < 0.01). Percentage of paralyzed animals was determined every 24h.

Fig.	Test	Factor	F(DFn,Dfd)	p-value	Sum	Post hoc correction	Mean 1	Mean 2	<i>p</i> value	Sum
3A	One-way ANOVA	Treatment	19.74 (4, 259)	<0.0001	****	$\begin{array}{c} C \text{ vs. }_{10}Clo\\ C \text{ vs. }_{50}Clo\\ C \text{ vs. }_{10}Fluo\\ C \text{ vs. }_{50}Fluo\\ \end{array}$	3.839 3.839 3.839 3.839 3.839	26.22 36.12 27.36 32.61	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	**** ****
3B	One-way ANOVA	Treatment	2.164 (2, 114)	0.0003	***	C ^{24h} vs. Clo ^{24h} C ^{24h} vs. Fluo ^{24h}	75.38 75.38	83.38 76.74	0.0003 0.7297	*** ns
3C	Two-way ANOVA	Interaction Time Treatment	0.3581 (2, 233) 57.38 (1, 233) 13.88 (2, 233)	0.6994 <0.0001 <0.0001	ns **** ****	$\begin{array}{c} C^{24h} vs. Clo^{24h} \\ C^{24h} vs. Fluo^{24h} \\ C^{96h} vs. Clo^{96h} \\ C^{96h} vs. Fluo^{96h} \\ \end{array}$	91.42 91.42 100.6 100.6	96.57 90.31 106.8 98.08	0.0274 0.8246 0.0070 0.3953	* ns ** ns
3D	Survival Curves	Clomipramine Fluoxetine		0.0023 0.8604	** ns					

Table 1. Statistic of Figure 3.

inclusions, suggesting an impairment in the global proteostasis and consequent formation of insoluble proteinaceous deposits. Antidepressant clomipramine may inhibit autophagy because of its basic and lipophilic properties. As such, we would expect that other antidepressants may have a similar effect. Indeed, being lipophilic amines, some antidepressants accumulate into acidic compartment (e.g., lysosomes)^{56–58} as it has been reported in tumorigenic cells treated with several of these compounds⁵⁹. This enrichment in lysosomes may affect vesicular

pH and block cargo degradation^{37,60-62} as observed for the antimalarial chloroquine^{60,63}. Based on this line of evidence, one explanation of our findings is that the inhibition of the autophagic flux is potentially due to altered lysosomal acidification. We cannot rule out that additional mechanisms, other than lysosomotropism, may participate in the modulation of autophagy, since clomipramine seems to impair autophagy in mouse tissues as well as in nematodes, while fluoxetine does not. Equally relevant, the absence of substantial autophagic defects in fluoxetine-treated animals may be due to the different pharmacokinetic (i.e., metabolism and volume of distribution) of fluoxetine compared to clomipramine. Although further studies are warranted to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying clomipramine-mediated autophagic inhibition, we cautiously envisage that our findings may have some implications. For example, since the duration of antidepressant treatments can last for decades in some patients, several tissues would suffer of the burden of altered autophagic flux, potentially predisposing organs to proteotoxicity and consequent damage. This scenario fits with the knowledge that impairment of autophagy results in inefficient protein clearance^{15,40,46,64} and, as a consequence, may predispose to idiopathic neurodegenerative diseases^{17,45,65}. Moreover, since autophagy is a process that is progressively reduced during aging^{15,66,67}, certain antidepressants (e.g., clomipramine) may have an adverse effect to human healthspan⁶⁸, especially to elderly individuals. Preclinical studies in rodents indicate that clomipramine negatively affects hippocampus-dependent spatial learning and memory⁶⁹, however it remains uncertain their effects in humans due to to the lack of conclusive epidemiologic evidence. In this scenario, the consequence of long-term clomipramine treatment would be even more relevant in patients already affected by neurodegenerative disorders, as the high incidence of depression and agitation symptoms in patients suffering of Alzheimer's or Parkinson's disease often leads to the chronic use of antidepressants^{70,71}. It is reasonable to assume that a further impairment of the cellular proteostasis may be detrimental and contribute to the progression of the pathology in these subjects⁷²⁻⁷⁴. In support of this hypothesis, it seems that some, but not all, psychotropic medications may induce a more rapid cognitive decline in people affected by Alzheimer's or Parkinson's diseases^{75,76}. This issue remains a long-standing debate because other studies indicate positive or no effects of these drugs on cognition^{77,8}.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that clomipramine treatment reduces neuronal autophagic flux in primary dissociated neurons. Moreover, chronic treatment with clomipramine causes autophagy deficiency in the liver and brain of mice. In a consistent manner, clomipramine enhances the number of autophagosomes and inhibits the degradation of aggregate-prone proteins in *C. elegans*. We recognize the importance of antide-pressants in the treatment of psychiatric syndromes, as well as the repurposing of some of these existing compounds for fast-track development of novel therapeutic alternatives. While we acknowledge the limitations of our findings mainly based on experimental models, their underlying molecular meanings merit attention. Although the pathophysiological consequences of long-term clomipramine treatment require further studies in preclinical models and, more importantly, in humans, our study confirms further that certain tricyclic antidepressants (i.e., clomipramine) may be negative regulators of homeostatic processes that are critical for neuronal maintenance and function, with potential implications for certain forms of brain disorders and in subjects at risk of neurode-generative diseases.

References

- 1. Vilhelmsson, A. The devil in the details: public health and depression. *Front Public Health* **2**, 192, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014.00192 (2014).
- Rosenberg, P. B., Nowrangi, M. A. & Lyketsos, C. G. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease: What might be associated brain circuits? *Mol Aspects Med* 43–44, 25–37, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2015.05.005 (2015).
- 3. Mojtabai, R. & Olfson, M. Proportion of antidepressants prescribed without a psychiatric diagnosis is growing. *Health Aff (Millwood)* **30**, 1434–1442, https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2010.1024 (2011).
- Aboukhatwa, M., Dosanjh, L. & Luo, Y. Antidepressants are a rational complementary therapy for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Neurodegener* 5, 10, https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-5-10 (2010).
- Berton, O. & Nestler, E. J. New approaches to antidepressant drug discovery: beyond monoamines. Nat Rev Neurosci 7, 137–151, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1846 (2006).
- Szasz, B. K. *et al.* Direct inhibitory effect of fluoxetine on N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the central nervous system. *Biol Psychiatry* 62, 1303–1309, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.04.014 (2007).
- Zhang, X. et al. Venlafaxine increases cell proliferation and regulates DISC1, PDE4B and NMDA receptor 2B expression in the hippocampus in chronic mild stress mice. Eur J Pharmacol 755, 58–65, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2015.02.044 (2015).
- Boldrini, M. *et al.* Antidepressants increase neural progenitor cells in the human hippocampus. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 2376–2389, https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.75 (2009).
- 9. Ampuero, E. *et al.* Chronic fluoxetine treatment induces structural plasticity and selective changes in glutamate receptor subunits in the rat cerebral cortex. *Neuroscience* **169**, 98–108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2010.04.035 (2010).
- Klomp, A., Vaclavu, L., Meerhoff, G. F., Reneman, L. & Lucassen, P. J. Effects of chronic fluoxetine treatment on neurogenesis and tryptophan hydroxylase expression in adolescent and adult rats. *PLoS One* 9, e97603, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097603 (2014).
- Klionsky, D. J. & Codogno, P. The mechanism and physiological function of macroautophagy. J Innate Immun 5, 427–433, https:// doi.org/10.1159/000351979 (2013).
- Mizushima, N. & Klionsky, D. J. Protein turnover via autophagy: implications for metabolism. *Annu Rev Nutr* 27, 19–40, https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.nutr.27.061406.093749 (2007).
- 13. Jiang, T. *et al.* Temsirolimus attenuates tauopathy *in vitro* and *in vivo* by targeting tau hyperphosphorylation and autophagic clearance. *Neuropharmacology* **85**, 121–130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.05.032 (2014).
- Rubinsztein, D. C., Codogno, P. & Levine, B. Autophagy modulation as a potential therapeutic target for diverse diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 11, 709–730, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3802 (2012).
- Ravikumar, B., Duden, R. & Rubinsztein, D. C. Aggregate-prone proteins with polyglutamine and polyalanine expansions are degraded by autophagy. *Hum Mol Genet* 11, 1107–1117 (2002).
- Sarkar, S., Davies, J. E., Huang, Z., Tunnacliffe, A. & Rubinsztein, D. C. Trehalose, a novel mTOR-independent autophagy enhancer, accelerates the clearance of mutant huntingtin and alpha-synuclein. J Biol Chem 282, 5641–5652, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M609532200 (2007).
- 17. Nixon, R. A. The role of autophagy in neurodegenerative disease. Nat Med 19, 983–997, https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3232 (2013).
- Levine, B. & Kroemer, G. Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. Cell 132, 27–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.12.018 (2008).

- Hara, T. et al. Suppression of basal autophagy in neural cells causes neurodegenerative disease in mice. Nature 441, 885–889, https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature04724 (2006).
- Komatsu, M. et al. Loss of autophagy in the central nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice. Nature 441, 880–884, https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature04723 (2006).
- Rossi, M. et al. Desmethylclomipramine induces the accumulation of autophagy markers by blocking autophagic flux. J Cell Sci 122, 3330–3339, https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.048181 (2009).
- 22. Rossi, M. et al. High throughput screening for inhibitors of the HECT ubiquitin E3 ligase ITCH identifies antidepressant drugs as regulators of autophagy. Cell Death Dis 5, e1203, https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.113 (2014).
- Pawluski, J. L. *et al.* Fluoxetine dose and administration method differentially affect hippocampal plasticity in adult female rats. *Neural Plast* 2014, 123026, https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/123026 (2014).
- Hodes, G. E., Hill-Smith, T. E., Suckow, R. F., Cooper, T. B. & Lucki, I. Sex-specific effects of chronic fluoxetine treatment on neuroplasticity and pharmacokinetics in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 332, 266–273, https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.109.158717 (2010).
- Rui, Y. N. et al. Huntingtin functions as a scaffold for selective macroautophagy. Nat Cell Biol 17, 262–275, https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncb3101 (2015).
- Ziviani, E. *et al.* Ryanodine receptor-2 upregulation and nicotine-mediated plasticity. *EMBO J* 30, 194–204, https://doi.org/10.1038/ emboj.2010.279 (2011).
- 27. Weinshenker, D., Garriga, G. & Thomas, J. H. Genetic and pharmacological analysis of neurotransmitters controlling egg laying in C. elegans. J Neurosci 15, 6975–6985 (1995).
- Kim, Y. & Sun, H. ASM-3 acid sphingomyelinase functions as a positive regulator of the DAF-2/AGE-1 signaling pathway and serves as a novel anti-aging target. PLoS One 7, e45890, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045890 (2012).
- Dempsey, C. M., Mackenzie, S. M., Gargus, A., Blanco, G. & Sze, J. Y. Serotonin (5HT), fluoxetine, imipramine and dopamine target distinct 5HT receptor signaling to modulate Caenorhabditis elegans egg-laying behavior. *Genetics* 169, 1425–1436, https://doi. org/10.1534/genetics.104.032540 (2005).
- Rangaraju, S. et al. A typical antidepressants extend lifespan of Caenorhabditis elegans by activation of a non-cell-autonomous stress response. Aging Cell 14, 971–981, https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12379 (2015).
- 31. Kraft, C. & Martens, S. Mechanisms and regulation of autophagosome formation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 24, 496–501, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2012.05.001 (2012).
- 32. Kirisako, T. et al. Formation process of autophagosome is traced with Apg8/Aut7p in yeast. J Cell Biol 147, 435-446 (1999).
- Kabeya, Y. et al. LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome membranes after processing. EMBO J 19, 5720–5728, https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.21.5720 (2000).
- Yamada, E. & Singh, R. Mapping autophagy on to your metabolic radar. *Diabetes* 61, 272–280, https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-1199 (2012).
- Komatsu, M. & Ichimura, Y. Physiological significance of selective degradation of p62 by autophagy. FEBS Lett 584, 1374–1378, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.02.017 (2010).
- Bowman, E. J., Siebers, A. & Altendorf, K. Bafilomycins: a class of inhibitors of membrane ATPases from microorganisms, animal cells, and plant cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85, 7972–7976 (1988).
- Kawai, A., Uchiyama, H., Takano, S., Nakamura, N. & Ohkuma, S. Autophagosome-lysosome fusion depends on the pH in acidic compartments in CHO cells. *Autophagy* 3, 154–157 (2007).
- Mizushima, N., Yoshimori, T. & Levine, B. Methods in mammalian autophagy research. Cell 140, 313–326, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2010.01.028 (2010).
- Esteban-Martinez, L. & Boya, P. Autophagic flux determination *in vivo* and *ex vivo*. *Methods* 75, 79–86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymeth.2015.01.008 (2015).
- Martinez-Vicente, M. *et al.* Cargo recognition failure is responsible for inefficient autophagy in Huntington's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 13, 567–576, https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2528 (2010).
- 41. Russo, R. et al. Rapamycin and fasting sustain autophagy response activated by ischemia/reperfusion injury and promote retinal ganglion cell survival. Cell Death Dis 9, 981, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-1044-5 (2018).
- Aitchison, K., Datla, K., Rooprai, H., Fernando, J. & Dexter, D. Regional distribution of clomipramine and desmethylclomipramine in rat brain and peripheral organs on chronic clomipramine administration. J Psychopharmacol 24, 1261–1268, https://doi. org/10.1177/0269881109105789 (2010).
- 43. DeVane, C. L. Pharmacokinetics of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. J Clin Psychiatry 53, Suppl, 13-20 (1992).
- Melendez, A. *et al.* Autophagy genes are essential for dauer development and life-span extension in C. elegans. *Science* 301, 1387–1391, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087782 (2003).
- Nah, J., Yuan, J. & Jung, Y. K. Autophagy in neurodegenerative diseases: from mechanism to therapeutic approach. *Mol Cells* 38, 381–389, https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2015.0034 (2015).
- Tan, C. C. *et al.* Autophagy in aging and neurodegenerative diseases: implications for pathogenesis and therapy. *Neurobiol Aging* 35, 941–957, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.11.019 (2014).
- Jia, K., Hart, A. C. & Levine, B. Autophagy genes protect against disease caused by polyglutamine expansion proteins in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Autophagy* 3, 21–25 (2007).
- Morley, J. F., Brignull, H. R., Weyers, J. J. & Morimoto, R. I. The threshold for polyglutamine-expansion protein aggregation and cellular toxicity is dynamic and influenced by aging in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99, 10417–10422, https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.152161099 (2002).
- Satyal, S. H. et al. Polyglutamine aggregates alter protein folding homeostasis in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97, 5750–5755, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.100107297 (2000).
- Arnoux, I. et al. Metformin reverses early cortical network dysfunction and behavior changes in Huntington's disease. Elife 7, https:// doi.org/10.7554/eLife.38744 (2018).
- Link, C. D. Expression of human beta-amyloid peptide in transgenic Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92, 9368–9372 (1995).
- Wuwongse, S. et al. Effects of corticosterone and amyloid-beta on proteins essential for synaptic function: implications for depression and Alzheimer's disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 1832, 2245–2256, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.07.022 (2013).
- Chen, J., Korostyshevsky, D., Lee, S. & Perlstein, E. O. Accumulation of an antidepressant in vesiculogenic membranes of yeast cells triggers autophagy. *PLoS One* 7, e34024, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034024 (2012).
- Jeon, S. H. et al. The tricyclic antidepressant imipramine induces autophagic cell death in U-87MG glioma cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 413, 311–317, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.08.093 (2011).
- Tanida, I., Minematsu-Ikeguchi, N., Ueno, T. & Kominami, E. Lysosomal turnover, but not a cellular level, of endogenous LC3 is a marker for autophagy. Autophagy 1, 84–91 (2005).
- Nadanaciva, S. et al. A high content screening assay for identifying lysosomotropic compounds. Toxicol In Vitro 25, 715–723, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2010.12.010 (2011).
- Daniel, W. A., Wojcikowski, J. & Palucha, A. Intracellular distribution of psychotropic drugs in the grey and white matter of the brain: the role of lysosomal trapping. *Br J Pharmacol* 134, 807–814, https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0704319 (2001).
- Kazmi, F. et al. Lysosomal sequestration (trapping) of lipophilic amine (cationic amphiphilic) drugs in immortalized human hepatocytes (Fa2N-4 cells). Drug Metab Dispos 41, 897–905, https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.112.050054 (2013).

- Ashoor, R., Yafawi, R., Jessen, B. & Lu, S. The contribution of lysosomotropism to autophagy perturbation. *PLoS One* 8, e82481, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082481 (2013).
- Lu, Y. et al. Vacuolin-1 potently and reversibly inhibits autophagosome-lysosome fusion by activating RAB5A. Autophagy 10, 1895–1905, https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.32200 (2014).
- Park, J. et al. Haloperidol and clozapine block formation of autophagolysosomes in rat primary neurons. Neuroscience 209, 64–73, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.02.035 (2012).
- Walls, K. C. *et al.* Altered regulation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling in cathepsin D-deficient brain. *Autophagy* 3, 222–229 (2007).
- Berliocchi, L. et al. Spinal autophagy is differently modulated in distinct mouse models of neuropathic pain. Mol Pain 11, 3, https:// doi.org/10.1186/1744-8069-11-3 (2015).
- Watanabe, Y. et al. p62/SQSTM1-dependent autophagy of Lewy body-like alpha-synuclein inclusions. PLoS One 7, e52868, https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052868 (2012).
- Russo, R. et al. In search of new targets for retinal neuroprotection: is there a role for autophagy? Curr Opin Pharmacol 13, 72–77, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2012.09.004 (2013).
- Rockenfeller, P. et al. Phosphatidylethanolamine positively regulates autophagy and longevity. Cell Death Differ 22, 499–508, https:// doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2014.219 (2015).
- Bano, D., Agostini, M., Melino, G. & Nicotera, P. Ageing, neuronal connectivity and brain disorders: an unsolved ripple effect. *Mol Neurobiol* 43, 124–130, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-011-8164-6 (2011).
- Allen, D., Curran, H. V. & Lader, M. The effects of repeated doses of clomipramine and alprazolam on physiological, psychomotor and cognitive functions in normal subjects. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 40, 355–362 (1991).
- Hatalova, H., Radostova, D., Pistikova, A., Vales, K. & Stuchlik, A. Detrimental effect of clomipramine on hippocampus-dependent learning in an animal model of obsessive-compulsive disorder induced by sensitization with d2/d3 agonist quinpirole. *Behav Brain Res* 317, 210–217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2016.09.042 (2017).
- Steinberg, M. et al. Patient predictors of response to treatment of depression in Alzheimer's disease: the DIADS study. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 19, 144–150, https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1048 (2004).
- Weintraub, D. et al. Sertraline for the treatment of depression in Alzheimer disease: week-24 outcomes. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 18, 332–340, https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181cc0333 (2010).
- Peric, A. & Annaert, W. Early etiology of Alzheimer's disease: tipping the balance toward autophagy or endosomal dysfunction? Acta Neuropathol 129, 363–381, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-014-1379-7 (2015).
- Gu, H. F. et al. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate attenuates impairment of learning and memory in chronic unpredictable mild stresstreated rats by restoring hippocampal autophagic flux. PLoS One 9, e112683, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112683 (2014).
- Murphy, K. É. et al. Lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2 Isoforms Are Differentially Affected in Early Parkinson's Disease. Movement disorders: official journal of the Movement Disorder Society, https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26141 (2015).
- Rosenberg, P. B. et al. The association of psychotropic medication use with the cognitive, functional, and neuropsychiatric trajectory of Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 27, 1248–1257, https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.3769 (2012).
- 76. Dobkin, R. D. et al. The impact of antidepressant treatment on cognitive functioning in depressed patients with Parkinson's disease. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 22, 188–195, 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.22.2.188 10.1176/jnp.2010.22.2.188 (2010).
- Mossello, E. et al. Is antidepressant treatment associated with reduced cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease? Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 25, 372–379, https://doi.org/10.1159/000121334 (2008).
- 78. Munro, C. A. *et al.* Cognitive response to pharmacological treatment for depression in Alzheimer disease: secondary outcomes from the depression in Alzheimer's disease study (DIADS). *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **12**, 491–498, https://doi.org/10.1176/appi. ajgp.12.5.491 (2004).

Acknowledgements

Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). This research was supported by the DZNE institutional budget, the European Social Fund and Department 11 "Regione Calabria". This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 676144 (Synaptic Dysfunction in Alzheimer Disease, SyDAD). PN and DB are members of the DFG Cluster of Excellence ImmunoSensation. We kindly acknowledge Mrs. Christiane Bartling-Kirsch and Dr. Dagmar Sonntag-Bensch for their technical support.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: F.C., A.F., F.B., R.R., L.A.M., A.A. and M.T.C.; Formal Analysis, Investigation, Validation: F.C., A.F., F.B., A.M.C. and R.R.; Writing: F.C., F.B., R.R., D.B., G.B. and P.N.; Project Administration: R.R., D.B., G.B. and P.N.; Funding Acquisition: D.B., G.B. and P.N.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40887-x.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019