

Association of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Toll–like Receptor Genes With Asthma Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta–analysis

Kalthoum Tizaoui,^{1*} Wajih Kaabachi,¹ Kamel Hamzaoui,¹ Agnès Hamzaoui^{1,2}

¹Division of Histology and Immunology, Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of medicine Tunis, Tunis El Manar University, Tunis, Tunisia ²Division of Pulmonology, Unit research: 12SP15"Homeostasis and Cell Immune Dysfunction", A. Mami Hospital, Ariana, Tunisia

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose: Asthma is a complex disease, with contributions from multiple genes, various genetic backgrounds, and environmental factors. Many human epidemiological studies have demonstrated that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in Toll-like receptor (TLR) genes are inconsistently associated with asthma risk. Some have demonstrated differences concerning the study design and effect size, and conflicting results have been reported. A meta-analysis is necessary to determine the magnitude of this association. **Methods:** Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses guidelines, a systematic search and meta-analysis of the literature was conducted to estimate the association of SNPs in *TLR* genes with asthma risk. We screened the medical literature based on the following keyword searches in MEDLINE and EMBASE databases: TLR', 'polymorphism', 'asthma', and their combinations. **Results:** Meta-analysis of eight studies on *TLR4 Asp299Gly* showed a marginal association of *TLR4* with asthma risk (odds ratio [OR]=0.814 [95% confidence interval [CI], 0.652-1.016; *P*=0.069]) in the recessive model. *TLR4 Thr399Ile* was not associated with asthma risk under any genetic model. Meta-analysis of four studies on *TLR2 Arg753Gln* indicated that *TLR2* might be significantly associated with asthma risk (OR=0.408 [95% CI, 0.163-1.021; *P*=0.065]) in the codominant model. Analysis using the allele contrast model showed that the major *TLR9 -1237* T allele tended to be a significant protective factor with OR=0.689 (95% CI, 0.471-1.007; *P*=0.055). **Conclusions:** The results showed that *TLRA Asp299Gly, TLR2 Arg753Gln*, and *TLR9-1237* might contribute significantly to asthma susceptibility. Future genetic association studies would consolidate these findings.

Key Words: Asthma; TLR genes; SNPs; association; meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a chronic and complex disorder of the respiratory system characterized by airway obstruction and inflammation,¹ and its prevalence is increasing in both developed and developing countries.^{2,3} This increased prevalence may reflect increased exposure to environmental risk factors. Although environmental factors are important determinants of asthma, numerous studies have revealed that asthma has a strong genetic component. Susceptibility genes have been identified by candidate gene association studies, genome-wide linkage studies, and genome-wide association studies.⁴ Many genes have been shown to make small contributions to the overall phenotype.

Toll-like receptors are an essential family of innate immune pattern recognition receptors that play a pivotal role in host defense against microbes.⁵ TLRs can modulate the immune sys-

tem through cellular activation, modulation of cytokine secretion⁶ and production of soluble factors to local dendritic networks.⁷ Human epidemiological studies have supported the common clinical perception that TLRs are associated with asthma. These studies have varied in design, population composition, asthma definition and size. Macrophages, one of the main immune cell types involved in asthma, express various TLRs, including *TLR2*, *4*, *5*, *6*, *7*, *8*, and 9.⁸ Each TLR recognizes different classes of molecules expressed by pathogens and recogniz-

Correspondence to: Kalthoum Tizaoui, PhD student, Division of Histology and Immunology, Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of medicine Tunis, Tunis El Manar University, 15 Rue Djebel Lakdhar, 1007 Tunis, Tunisia. Tel: +216-24-616-454; Fax: +216-71-660-444; E-mail: kalttizaoui@gmail.com Received: January 9, 2014; Revised: April 13, 2014; Accepted: May 2, 2014 • There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.

TLR1 and 6 recognize multiple diacyl peptides, and TLR1/2 dimers can recognize lipopeptides.¹⁰ TLR2, which has been found to be expressed by various cell types,¹¹ is related to protection against allergies and allergic asthma by sensing pathogen-associated patterns in lipoproteins and lipopeptides. The TLR2/6 dimer is involved in the recognition of diacylated lipopeptides.¹² Long-term stimulation of TLR3 upregulates the production of inflammatory cytokines and cellular recruitment to the airways.¹³ TLR4 encodes a macrophage cell-surface receptor, which is principally activated by bacterial endotoxin, also known as lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Few studies of the role of TLR5 in asthma have been reported. However, a significant decrease in the expression of TLR5 was observed in asthma patients,14 and TLR5 recognizes bacterial flagellin when complexed with TLR4.15 Similar to TLR5, few data exist on the role of TLR6 in allergic asthma.¹² TLR6 is expressed on mast cells, which play important roles in allergy.¹⁶ TLR7 and TLR8, which are localized on the sex chromosome Xp22,¹⁷ recognize singlestranded RNA, and induce interferons (IFNs) to protect the host from viral infection.¹⁸ TLR9 detects bacterial or viral DNA with unmethylated cytosine and guanine.⁸ TLR10 is the most recently identified gene in the TLR family whose product recognizes pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs).¹⁹ TLRs are also involved in various signaling pathways of the immune system that protect from asthma or develop asthma phenotypes.20-25

Most studies published since 2004 have comprised genetic association investigations in various clinical settings, and have found positive associations of SNPs in *TLR2*, ²⁶⁻²⁸ *TLR4*, ²⁹ *TLR6*, ³⁰ *TLR7/8*, ³¹ *TLR9*, ³² and *TLR10*¹⁹ with asthma. Recent insights into the complex mechanisms of human innate immunity have suggested that genetic variability in genes may play a role in the development of asthma and related diseases.³⁴⁻³⁸

Due to the important contribution of TLR genes to asthma, an updated meta-analysis was conducted to estimate the effect of SNPs in TLR genes on asthma susceptibility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of eligible studies

The review process followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.³⁹ We performed a literature search using the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases to identify articles that examined associations between TLR polymorphisms and asthma. Combinations of keywords, such as 'TLR', 'polymorphism', and 'asthma' were entered as medical subject heading (MeSH) and text words. References in the identified studies were used to identify additional studies not indexed by MEDLINE or EMBASE databases. No language or date restrictions were applied.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies identified from the searches were screened and excluded from further analysis if one of the following reasons was satisfied: a review article, lack of information, animal research, not case-control or nested case-control study design, or unreported genotype frequencies.

For inclusion, the studies must have met the following criteria: (1) they evaluated TLR gene polymorphisms and asthma; (2) were case-control studies; (3) supplied the number of individual genotypes in asthmatic cases and in controls, respectively; or (4) they had an asthma outcome definition that followed accepted diagnostic guidelines.

Data extraction

Information was extracted carefully from all of the eligible publications independently by 2 authors, based on the inclusion criteria above. The following information was extracted from each study: author, year of study publication, ethnicity of the study population, demographics (age), asthma status, and number of cases and controls for each TLR polymorphism.

Statistical analysis

Data from the studies were combined to provide a summary odds ratio (OR). Summary ORs were represented as a point estimate with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) on a forest plot.⁴⁰ The heterogeneity of the data was evaluated using the *Q* statistic.⁴¹ The stability of the summary risk estimate was evaluated using a sensitivity analysis in which each study was removed individually, and the OR was recalculated. Egger's regression test was used to identify publication bias.⁴²

RESULTS

Study inclusion and characteristics

The initial search using the headings 'TLR', 'polymorphism' 'asthma', and their combinations resulted in approximately 6,395 journal articles. Based on the titles, 110 were potentially relevant. Nineteen studies investigating general atopic and allergic phenotypes were excluded. An additional 25 studies on TLR polymorphism involvement in signaling pathways were excluded. Review articles (n=28) were also excluded. Seventeen studies were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria: eight did not report genotypic frequencies in cases and/or in controls^{24,25,38,43-47}; two were cross-sectional studies,⁵⁰⁻⁵³ and 3 were abstracts.54-56 Twenty case-control studies were eligible for metaanalysis,^{19,26-33,57-67} one study was in Portuguese,⁶² and another was in Chinese.⁶⁴ Among the eligible studies, 11 were excluded because the number of studies of the same polymorphism was less than 3.

The controls for the studies by Lachheb *et al.*³² and Zhang *et al.*²⁹ deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the

controls (P<0.01). The study by Caravalho *et al.*⁶¹ was small, and the definition of asthma was restricted to severe asthma with fungal sensitization. In the study by Palikhe *et al.*,⁶⁵ the definition of asthma was limited to aspirin-tolerant asthma. In the study by Yang *et al.*,⁵⁸ three sets of patients (first-affected sibling, second-affected sibling and asthmatic parents) were compared with hyper-normal controls. A meta-analysis was conducted when at least 3 studies on the same polymorphism were available. Fig. 1 provides a summary of the search results. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the case-control studies.

Data analysis

Association of TLR4 Asp299Gly with asthma risk

Analyses in the random effects model showed that the *TLR4 Asp299Gly* polymorphism was marginally associated with asthma under the recessive (0.069) (Fig. 2) and allele contrast (0.055) models. In the allele contrast model, sensitivity analysis by exclusion of any one particular study⁶⁰ in the influence analysis significantly changed the results. The recalculated OR was 0.803 (95% CI, 0.647-0.997; P=0.047), indicating that the major A allele might protect against asthma (Table 2).

The estimated OR1 (AA vs GG), OR2 (AG vs GG) and OR3 (AA vs AG) were 0.897, 0.831, and 0.972, respectively. These estimates were likely to suggest a codominant genetic model. The pooled OR1 was 0.897 (95% CI, 0.597-1.346; P=0.599). Heterogeneity was absent (0.00%). No evidence of publication bias was detected by Egger's test (P=0.12). Sensitivity analysis by the removal of one study did not significantly change the results (Table 2).

Association of TLR4 Thr399Ile C>T with asthma risk

Analyses in the random-effects model showed that the *TLR4 Thr399Ile* polymorphism was not associated with asthma under any of the genetic models.

The estimated OR1 (CC vs TT), OR2 (CT vs TT) and OR3 (CC vs TC) were 1.223, 1.306, and 0.398, respectively. These estimates did not fit any genetic model. Analysis in the allele contrast model showed no significant association of the *TLR4 Thr399Ile* C allele with asthma risk (OR=1.223 [95% CI, 0.655-2.286; P=0.528]) (Fig. 3). Heterogeneity was absent in all of the models (0.00%). Sensitivity analysis by the removal of one study did not significantly change the results. Publication bias was detected by Egg-



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the systematic review and meta-analysis literature search results.

Table 1. Characteristics of the reviewed studies on SNPs in TLR genes and asthma risk

Laces 2003 Fungean-American Matoled Matoled N/R9 rs74/803 (C) 6 1.4 SA Naguchi 2004 Jagames (Dimese) Iniden/46.9 Matoled I.RB c. 191 6 S A 133 188 NS Naguchi 2004 Artican-American Matoled Matoled I.RB c. 191 7 C > 1 13 188 NS Tartisis 2004 Artican-American Matoled Matoled I.RB c. 1930 7 C > 1 56 57.4 SA Tartisis 2004 Empean-American Matoled Matole I.RM rs436579 A > 6 56 SA Tartisis 2004 English (Caucasian) Matoled Matole I.RM rs436579 A > 6 51 SA Matoled Matoled Matoled I.RM rs436579 A > 6 51 SA Matoled Matoled Matoled I.RM rs436579 A > 6 51 SA Matoled Matoled Matoled Matoled I.RM rs436579 A > 6 51 SA <t< th=""><th>Reference</th><th>Ethnicity</th><th>Age: Case/control</th><th>Gender</th><th>Gene</th><th>Polymorphism</th><th>Case</th><th>Control</th><th>Results</th></t<>	Reference	Ethnicity	Age: Case/control	Gender	Gene	Polymorphism	Case	Control	Results
Neguchi 2014 Japanesei (Dinese) Didom/46.9 Matchel I.R2 c. 191 G-A 1.33 1.68 NS Lisou Davie c. 130 D > C 1.34 1.68 NS c. 130 D > C 1.34 1.68 NS Lisou Davie c. 130 D > C 1.34 1.68 NS c. 130 D > C 1.34 1.68 NS Tartisting 2004 Aricas-American Matched Matched I.R6 Set 249Pa C > T 56 97 SA Lazana 2004 European-American Matched Matched I.R6 Set 249Pa C > T 56 97 SA Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched I.R8 ms4980730 A > G 108 NS 114 NS Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Sife Matched I.R8 ms4980730 A > G 105 114 NS Sife Sa Sife Sa 112 122 NS Sife Sa Sa Sa 114 NS	Lazarus 2003	European–American	Matched	Matched	TLR9	rs5743836 T/C	64	114	SA
1997 130 198 NS 1907 130 198 NS 1907 130 198 NS 1907 130 198 NS 1907 131 198 NS 1907 131 198 NS 1907 130 198 NS 1907 190 190 Sa Sa 1907 190 190 190 Sa Sa 1907 190 190 190 190 Sa 1907 190 190 190 190 190 190 1900 192/matched Matched 1140 190 187 NS 1910 191 <td>Noguchi 2004</td> <td>Japanese (Chinese)</td> <td>Children/46.9</td> <td>Matched</td> <td>TLR2</td> <td>c191 G>A</td> <td>133</td> <td>186</td> <td>NS</td>	Noguchi 2004	Japanese (Chinese)	Children/46.9	Matched	TLR2	c191 G>A	133	186	NS
$ \begin{tabular}{ $ Interpart $ $ Interpart $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $$						c. 597 T>C	133	188	NS
$ \left \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						c. 1350 T>C	134	190	NS
INSI #71 C5A 134 188 NS en 1377 C5-T 134 188 NS en 1377 C5-T Tartisira 2004 African-American Matched Matched 17.05 Ser 249Pro C5-T 56 57 SA Tartisira 2004 European-American Matched Matched 17.06 Ser 249Pro C5-T 56 513 SA Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched 17.04 er496790.A>G 309 184 NS Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched 17.04 er496790.A>G 309 184 NS Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched 17.04 er496790.A>G 309 184 NS Status 2007 German (Caucasian) 38,99 Matched 17.04 er4966790.A>G 309 184 NS Status 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 38,99 Matched 17.04 er496790.A>G 180 NS Status 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 19.2/matched 17.04 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>TLR3</td> <td>-7A</td> <td>135</td> <td>189</td> <td>NS</td>					TLR3	-7A	135	189	NS
						IVS3+71 C>A	134	188	NS
Image c.135 G.S.A. 132 198 NS Tantisira 2004 African-American Mathed Mathed I/I/I c.433 G.S.T. 56 573 SA Tantisira 2004 European-American Mathed Mathed I/I/I c.433 G.S.T. 565 573 SA Yang 2004 English (Gaucasian) Mathed Mathed II/I c.433 G.S.A. 505 513 SA Yang 2004 English (Gaucasian) Mathed Mathed II/I r.4985790 A.S.G. 309 184 NS Mathed Mathed II/I r.4985790 A.S.G. 309 184 NS Striggeon Sar.59 Sar.499h C.S.T 132 212 NS Striggeon Sar.59 Mathed II/I r.4986790 A.S.G 100 87 NS Striggeon Sar.59 Mathed II/I r.4986790 A.S.G 100 87 NS Striggeon Sar.59 Sar.59 Sar.59 Sar.59 100 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>c. 1377 C>T</td> <td>133</td> <td>189</td> <td>NS</td>						c. 1377 C>T	133	189	NS
Intering 2004 African-American Matched Matched ILBR C=40316 > T 56 97 SA Lazarus 2004 European-American Matched Matched ILBR c=4032 A>-6 56 513 SA Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched ILBR ref88970 A>-6 300 184 NS Matched Matched ILBR ref88970 A>-6 305 184 NS Matched Matched ILBR ref88970 A>-6 305 184 NS Smit 2007 Geman (Caucasian) 395/9 Matched ILBR ref89870 A>-6 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 192/matched Matched ILBR ref89870 A>-6 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 192/matched Matched ILBR ref89870 A>-6 100 87 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasian) 15/8 Matched ILBR ref89870 A>-6 100 NS					TLR9	c. 1635 G>A	132	189	NS
Lazarus 2004 European-American Matched Matched TLP10 c.+1021 (C>T 506 513 SA Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched TLP4 rs4986790.A>G 320 184 NS Hoffjan 2005 German (Caucasian) 36/59 Matched TLP4 rs4986790.A>G 309 184 NS Smit 2007 Darish (Caucasian) 36/59 Matched TLP4 rs4986790.A>G 105 827 NS Smit 2007 Darish (Caucasian) 39/791 Matched TLP4 rs4986790.A>G 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Darish (Caucasian) 19.2/matched Matched TLP4 rs4956790.A>G 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Darish (Caucasian) 19.2/matched Matched TLP2 rs5743708 C>T 100 87 NS Smit 2007 European (Caucasian) Di./6 Full 4 rs4966791 C>T 100 87 NS Lachcheb 2008 African Caucasian <	Tantisira 2004	African–American	Matched	Matched	TLR6	Ser249Pro C>T	56	97	SA
caravalhe 2008 English (Gaucasian) Matched Mat	Lazarus 2004	European–American	Matched	Matched	TLR10	c.+1031 G>T	506	514	SA
Yang 2004 English (Caucasian) Matched Matched Matched Matc						c.+2322 A>G	505	513	SA
Matched Matched Matched Matched TLP4 TLP4 rs4986790 A>G 308 184 NS Hoffjan 2005 German (Caucasian) 9.5/59 36/59 Matched TLP4 rs4986790 A>G 165 212 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 32/59 Matched TLP4 rs4986490 A>T 00 67 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 32/matched Matched TLP4 rs4986790 A>G 100 67 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasian) 57.6 ± 11.8/mot mentioned Matched TLP4 rs5436790 A>G 14 80 NS Caravalho 2008 African Caucasian 57.6 ± 11.8/mot mentioned TLP4 rs5436790 A>G 14 80 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLP4 rs5436790 A>G 14 80 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLP4 rs4986790 A>G 100 NS Lachheb 2008 Norequian (Caucasian) 10.5/8	Yang 2004	English (Caucasian)	Matched	Matched	TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	320	184	NS
Matched Watched ILR4 rs4986790 A> 6 185 184 NS Hoffgn 2005 German (Caucasian) 38/79 Matched ILR6 Sei249Pn C>T 68 212 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 19.2/matched Matched ILR6 rs4986480 A>T 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 19.2/matched Matched ILR6 rs4986790 A>G 100 87 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasian) 57.6 ± 11.8/mot mentioned Matched ILR2 rs5743708 C>T 100 687 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 57.6 ± 11.8/mot mentioned Matched ILR2 rs5743708 C>T 100 NS NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched ILR2 rs5743708 C>T 100 NS NS Larobac 2008 Venezuelan (American) 44.6 ± 15.22/42.63 ± 13.89 Matched ILR2 rs5743308 T>C 100 NS Bjarmvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasian) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Matched</td> <td>Matched</td> <td>TLR4</td> <td>rs4986790 A>G</td> <td>309</td> <td>184</td> <td>NS</td>			Matched	Matched	TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	309	184	NS
Hoffjan 2005 German (Caucasian) 95/59 38/59 95/59 Matched TLR6 Ser/249rn C>T 68 212 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 32/matched Matched TLR2 rs486480 A>T 100 87 NS Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 32/matched Matched TLR2 rs486480 A>T 100 87 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasians) 57.6 ± 11.8/not mentioned Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>A 14 80 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>A 14 80 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR4 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR4 rs4986790 A>C 100 NS Lachheb 2008 Norwegian (Caucasian) 10.5/8 Matched TLR4 rs4986790 A>C 100 NS Lachheb 2008 Norwegian (Cauc			Matched	Matched	TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	185	184	NS
Serida product Serida	Hoffjan 2005	German (Caucasian)	38/59	Matched	TLR6	Ser249Pro C>T	68	212	NS
Smit 2007 Danish (Caucasian) 192/matched Matched TLR2 rs5743704 C>A 100 87 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasian) 57 6±11.8/not mentioned Matched TLR4 rs5743708 C>T 100 87 NS Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasian) 57 6±11.8/not mentioned Matched TLR4 rs5743708 C>T 100 87 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 105/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS TLR9 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS			9.5/59			Ser249Pro C>T	132	212	NS
signal	Smit 2007	Danish (Caucasian)	19.2/matched	Matched	TLR2	rs4696480 A>T	100	87	NS
signal						rs5743704 C>A	100	87	NS
Caravalho 2008 European (Caucasians) 57.6 ± 11.8/not mentioned Matched TLR2 rs4396791 C>T 100 87 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs574308 G>A 14 80 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched TLR2 rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS Larocca 2008 Venezuelan (American) 44.6 ± 15.22/42.63 ± 13.89 Matched TLR2 rs57438761 C>T 100 NS Bjørnvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched TLR2 rs53064900 F>C 100 NS Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched TLR4 rs10983755 A>G 117 60 NS Sang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male TLR4 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>rs5743708 C>T</td><td>100</td><td>87</td><td>NS</td></td<>						rs5743708 C>T	100	87	NS
$ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	100	87	NS
						rs4986791 C>T	100	87	NS
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Caravalho 2008	European (Caucasians)	57.6 ± 11.8 /not mentioned	Matched	TLR2	rs5743708 G>A	14	80	NS
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	14	80	NS
Lachheb 2008 African Caucasian 10.5/8 Matched <i>TLR2</i> rs5743708 C>T 210 224 NS <i>TLR4</i> rs4986790 A>G 210 224 NS <i>TLR9</i> rs5743886 T>C 210 224 NS <i>TLR9</i> rs5743866720 210 224 NS <i>Lacocca 2008</i> Venezuelan (American) 44.6 ± 15.22/42.63 ± 13.89 Matched <i>TLR4</i> rs4986790 A>G 100 NS Bjørnvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasian) Children Matched <i>TLR2</i> Rs3804100 T>C 108 494 SA Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched <i>TLR4</i> rs10983756 A>G 117 60 NS Anng 2009 Chinese (Asian) 9.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male <i>TLR7</i> rs5935436 C >T 1135 200 NS 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male <i>TLR7</i> rs5935436 C >T 135 200 NS 137 50 39.80/38.26 <i>TLR7</i> rs5935436 C >T 183 151 SA 140 2010 S9.80/38.26 <i>TLR7</i> <					TLR9	rs5743836 T>C	14	80	NS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lachheb 2008	African Caucasian	10.5/8	Matched	TLR2	rs5743708 C>T	210	224	NS
Image: State Stat					TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	210	224	NS
Image: 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.						rs4986791 C>T	210	224	NS
Larocca 2008 Venezuelan (American) 44.6 ± 15.22/42.63 ± 13.89 Matched <i>TLR2</i> rs4986790 A>G 100 N0 NS Bjørnvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasian) Children Matched <i>TLR2</i> Rs3804090T>C 108 494 SA Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched <i>TLR2</i> Rs3804090T>C 108 494 NS Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched <i>TLR2</i> rs5935436 C>T 135 200 SA Tang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS Sang 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS Gian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs4833095 C>T 138 351 NS TLR2 rs5745808 T>C 318 351 NS rs3764880 G>A 182 152 SA Gian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matchee					TLR9	rs5743836 T>C	210	224	SA
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						rs187084 T>C	210	224	NS
Bjørnvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasia) Children Matched TLR2 Rs3804100 T>C 100 NS Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched TLR2 Rs3804099T>C 108 494 NS Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2 ± 2.4/Children Matched TLR2 Rs3804099T>C 108 494 NS Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80 ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 01an 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS TLR2 rs764880 G>A 182 152 SA SA rs57438031 A>G<	Larocca 2008	Venezuelan (American)	44.6±15.22/42.63±13.89	Matched	T LR4	rs4986790 A>G	100	100	NS
Bjørnvold 2009 Norwegian (Caucasian) Children Matched TLR2 Rs3804100 T>C 108 494 SA Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2±2.4/Children Matched TLR2 rs10983755 A>G 117 60 NS Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 SA Same Same Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 151 SA Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 151 SA Same Same TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 151 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>rs4986791 C>T</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>NS</td>						rs4986791 C>T	100	100	NS
Image: http://thinking.org/line TLR2 Rs3804099T>C 108 494 NS Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2±2.4/Children Matched TLR4 rs10983755 A>G 117 60 NS Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 SA 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS (San 2010) Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS (San 2010) Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS (San 2010)	Bjørnvold 2009	Norwegian (Caucasian)	Children	Matched	TLR2	Rs3804100 T>C	108	494	SA
Hseih 2009 Taiwanese (Asian) 7.2±2.4/Children Matched TLR4 rs10983755 A>G 117 60 NS Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 SA Same 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 01an 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 7LR2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA SA rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS 01an 2010 Chinese (Asian) S9.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 7LR2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351	-	-			TLR2	Rs3804099T>C	108	494	NS
Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male 7LR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 SA Sages 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male 7LR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female TLR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 0ian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 11/2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA 112 SA 0ian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 7LR2 rs76566411 G>T 317 351 SA SA 112 NS 7LR10 rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS rs5743808 T>C 318 351 NS rs2381289 C>T 318 351 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351	Hseih 2009	Taiwanese (Asian)	7.2 ± 2.4 /Children	Matched	TLR4	rs10983755 A>G	117	60	NS
Zhang 2009 Chinese (Asian) 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Male <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 135 200 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 0ian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR2</i> rs7656411 G>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR6</i> rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS <i>TLR6</i> rs5743808 T>C 318 351 NS <i>TLR6</i> rs5743808 T>C 318 351 NS <i>rs5743808</i> T>C 318 351 NS <i>rs51466655</i> A>G 318 351 NS <i>rs51466655</i> A>G 318 351 NS <i>rs4504265</i> C>A <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>rs1927914 C>T</td> <td>117</td> <td>60</td> <td>NS</td>						rs1927914 C>T	117	60	NS
Clian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs483095 C>T 318 351 NS TLR2 rs764880 G>A 135 200 NS Qian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS TLR2 rs764880 G>A 318 351 NS TLR2 rs764880 G>A 182 152 SA Oian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS TLR2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA SA TLR3 rs5743831 A>G 318 352 NS rs2381289 C>T 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs1456655 A>G 318 351 NS	Zhang 2009	Chinese (Asian)	39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31	Male	TLR7/8	rs5935436 C>T	135	200	SA
Signed ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs3764880 G>A 135 200 NS Signed ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS Signed ± 14.23/34.27 ± 13.31 Female <i>TLR7/8</i> rs5935436 C>T 183 151 SA Oian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR2</i> rs7656411 G>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR6</i> rs5743831 A>G 318 352 NS <i>TLR10</i> rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS						rs3761623 A>G	135	200	NS
39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31 Female 7LR7/8 rs5935436 C>T 183 152 NS 0ian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched 7LR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 12 7LR7/8 rs5764880 G>A 182 152 SA 0ian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched 7LR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS 7LR2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA 7LR6 rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS rs2381289 C>T 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS						rs3764880 G>A	135	200	NS
Cian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs3761623 A>G 183 151 SA Dian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR2</i> rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA <i>TLR6</i> rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS <i>rs5743808</i> T>C 318 352 NS <i>TLR10</i> rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS <i>rs11466655</i> A>G 318 351 NS <i>rs11466655</i> A>G 318 351 NS <i>rs4504265</i> C>A 317 349 NS			39.80±14.23/34.27±13.31	Female	TLR7/8	rs5935436 C>T	183	152	NS
Qian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched TLR1 rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS TLR2 rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA TLR6 rs5743808 T>C 318 352 NS rs2381289 C>T 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS						rs3761623 A>G	183	151	SA
Qian 2010 Chinese (Asian) 39.80/38.26 Matched <i>TLR1</i> rs4833095 C>T 318 351 NS <i>TLR2</i> rs7656411 G>T 317 351 SA <i>TLR6</i> rs5743831 A>G 318 352 NS rs5743808 T>C 318 351 NS <i>TLR10</i> rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS						rs3764880 G>A	182	152	SA
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Qian 2010	Chinese (Asian)	39.80/38.26	Matched	TLR1	rs4833095 C>T	318	351	NS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					TLR2	rs7656411 G>T	317	351	SA
rs5743808 T>C 318 351 NS rs2381289 C>T 318 352 NS <i>TLR10</i> rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS					TLR6	rs5743831 A>G	318	352	NS
rs2381289 C>T 318 352 NS <i>TLR10</i> rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS						rs5743808 T>C	318	351	NS
TLR10 rs11466651 G>A 318 352 NS rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS						rs2381289 C>T	318	352	NS
rs11466655 A>G 318 351 NS rs4504265 C>A 317 349 NS					TLR10	rs11466651 G>A	318	352	NS
rs4504265 (`>Δ 317 349 NS						rs11466655 A>G	318	351	NS
						rs4504265 C>A	317	349	NS

(Continued to the next page)

Table 1. Continued

Reference	Ethnicity	Age: Case/control	Gender	Gene	Polymorphism	Case	Control	Results
Zhang 2011	Chinese (Asian)	39.80/38.26	Matched	TLR4	rs1927914 T>C	318	350	SA*
					rs10983755 G>A	318	351	
					rs11536879 A>G	304	348	
					rs1927907 G>A	314	334	
Palikhe 2011	Korean (Asian)	43.1±15.2/32.1±12.7	Matched	TLR3	rs3775296 G>T	254	274	NS
					rs3775291 G>A	254	274	NS
Vorvonko 2011	Russian (Caucasian)	Adults/38.5±10.4	Matched	TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	283	227	NS
Qian 2011	Chinese (Asian)	1.9-11.6/matched	Matched	TLR9	rs187084 C/T	312	339	NS
Hussein 2012	African Caucasian	8.4±2.6/10.1±2.3	Matched	TLR2	rs5743708 G>A	500	250	SA*
				TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	500	251	
Şahin 2014	Turkish (Caucasian)	36±12.42/43±7.68	Matched	TLR4	rs4986790 A>G	131	75	NS
					rs4986791 C>T	131	75	SA

NS, non significant association; SA, significant association; SA*, significant association with asthma severity.

Meta analysis										
Study name	Statistics for each study						<u>Odd</u>	s ratio and 9	<u>5% CI</u>	
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z value	<i>P</i> value					
Yang a 2004	0.881	0.497	1.558	-0.437	0.662					
Yang b 2004	0.719	0.411	1.260	-1.152	0.249					
Yang c 2004	0.650	0.354	1.193	-1.390	0.164			-∎ł		
Smit 2007	1.167	0.441	3.084	0.311	0.756			 =		
Caravalho 2008	0.857	0.167	4.406	-0.185	0.854		-		-	
Lachheb 2008	0.937	0.058	15.080	-0.046	0.964		+		\rightarrow	
Larroca 2008	0.879	0.325	2.379	-0.253	0.800					
Vorvonko 2011	0.870	0.514	1.475	-0.516	0.606					
Hussein 2012	0.826	0.516	1.322	-0.798	0.425			- 1		
Sahin 2014	0.764	0.227	2.570	-0.435	0.663		· · ·			
	0.814	0.652	1.016	-1.820	0.069					
						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						Са	se	Со	ontrol	

Meta analysis

Fig. 2. Forest plot of the association between *TLR4 Asp299Gly* and asthma risk: AA vs AG+GG. The forest plot shows the odds ratios (ORs) and respective 95% confidence intervals (Cls) for the studies included in the meta-analysis. For each study in the forest plot, the area of the black square is proportional to the study weight, and the horizontal bar represents the 95% Cl. Z score: the standardized expression of a value in terms of its relative position in the full distribution of values.

er's test in the dominant and CC vs TC codominant models (P=0.05 and P=0.03, respectively) (Table 2).

Association of TLR2 Arg753Gln G/A with asthma risk

Analyses showed that the *TLR2 Arg753Gln* polymorphism was not associated with asthma under the recessive, homozygous (Fig. 4) and allele contrast models. In the homozygous model, sensitivity analysis by the removal of one study⁶¹ significantly changed the results. The recalculated OR was 4.460 (95% CI, 1.213-16.396), indicating that the homozygous genotypes might be a significant risk factor (P=0.024). In the GG vs GA model, when one study was removed,⁶¹ the recalculated OR

was 4.365 (95% CI, 1.227-15.536), suggesting that the homozygous genotype might be a significant risk factor (P=0.023). Sensitivity analysis by the removal of one study⁶⁰ in the allele contrast model significantly changed the results. The recalculated OR was 0.402 (95% CI, 0.199-0.811), indicating that the major G allele might protect significantly against asthma (P=0.011).

The estimated OR1 (GG vs AA), OR2 (GA vs AA) and OR3 (GG vs GA) were 0.102, 0.01, and 2.451, respectively. These estimates did not fit any genetic model. Only three studies were available; therefore, estimates were not sufficiently powerful. However, these estimates provided a first indication that genotypes with at least one copy of the major G allele might be protective. No

Meta analysis												
<u>Study name</u>		Odds ratio (95% CI) with study removed						L				
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z value	<i>P</i> value							
Smit 2007	0.989	0.447	2.191	-0.027	0.979	1		+		-+		
Larroca2008	1.229	0.554	2.726	0.507	0.612			-		$H \rightarrow I$		
Lachheb 2008	1.142	0.596	2.188	0.399	0.690			-	-	-		
Sahin 2014	1.599	0.765	3.341	1.248	0.22				+	∎├──	·	
	1.223	0.655	2.286	0.632	0.528			-				
						0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10
							Case Control		ıl			

Meta analysis

Fig. 3. Forest plot of the association between *TLR4 Thr399Ile* and asthma risk: C allele vs T allele. The forest plot shows the ORs and respective 95% Cls for the studies included in the meta-analysis. For each study in the forest plot, the area of the black square is proportional to the study weight, and the horizontal bar represents the 95% Cl. Z score: the standardized expression of a value in terms of its relative position in the full distribution of values.

Table 2. Association of TLR4 Asp299Gly A/G, TLR4 Thr399IIe C>T, TLR2 Arg753Gln G/A and TLR9 -1237 T/C with asthma risk

TLRs	Genetic model		OR	<i>P</i> -value	<i>I</i> ²	Egger P
<i>TLR4 Asp299Gly A>G</i> (n=8)	Recessive	AA vs AG+GG	0.814 (0.652–1.016)	0.069	0.000	0.25
	Homozygous	GG+AA vs AG	0.835 (0.666–1.047)	0.118	0.000	0.40
	Dominant	AA+AG vs GG	0.857 (0.297–2.477)	0.776	0.000	0.42
	Codominant*	AA vs GG	0.897 (0.597–1.346)	0.599	0.000	0.12
	Codominant*	AG vs GG	0.831 (0,663–1.042)	0.110	0.000	0.40
	Codominant*	AA vs AG	0.972 (0.320–2.953)	0.960	0.000	0.28
	Allele contrast	A allele vs G allele	0.814 (0.659–1.005)	0.055	0.000	0.05
<i>TLR4 Thr399IIe C>T</i> (n=4)	Recessive	CC vs TC+TT	0.784 (0.571–1.075)	0.130	0.00	0.15
	Homozygous	CC+TT vs TC	1.315 (0.688–2.513)	0.408	0.00	0.45
	Dominant	CC+TC vs TT	0.708 (0.111–4522)	0.715	0.00	0.05
	Codominant	CC vs TT	1.223 (0.655–2.286)	0.527	0.00	0.34
	Codominant	CT vs TT	1.306 (0.683–2.497)	0.419	0.00	0.45
	Codominant	CC vs TC	0.398 (0.053-3.006)	0.372	0.00	0.03
	Allele contrast	C allele vs T allele	1.132 (0.623–2.056)	0.684	0.00	0.34
TLR2 Arg753Gln $G > A$ (n=4)	Recessive	GG vs GA+AA	0.968 (0.441–2.123)	0.935	18.71	0.37
	Homozygous	GG+AA vs GA	2.476 (0.483–12.698)	0.277	57.34	0.30
	Dominant	GG+GA vs AA	0.100 (0.013–0.789)	0.029	0.00	-
	Codominant	GG vs AA	0.102 (0.013-0.803)	0.030	0.00	-
	Codominant	GA vs AA	0.01 (0.001–0.312)	0.009	24.25	-
	Codominant	GG vs GA	2.451 (0.483–12.441)	0.279	56.82	0.30
	Allele contrast	G allele vs A allele	0.635 (0.228–1.771)	0.385	52.24	0.47
<i>TLR9 -1237 T>C</i> (n=3)	Recessive	TT vs TC+CC	0.950 (0.570–1.583)	0.223	33.33	0.41
	Homozygous	TT+CC vs TC	1.267 (0.867–1.852)	0.222	0.00	0.39
	Dominant	TT+TC vs CC	0.684 (0.090-5.192)	0.714	85.96	-
	Codominant*	TT vs CC	0.408 (0.163-1.021)	0.065	30.95	-
	Codominant*	TC vs CC	0.498 (0.206-1.202)	0.121	16.83	-
	Codominant*	TT vs TC	0.876 (0.584–1.315)	0.525	0.00	0.12
	Allele contrast	T allele vs C allele	0.689 (0.471-1.007)	0.055	18.73	0.27

TLR4 Asp299Gly (rs 4986790); *TLR4 Thr399lle* (rs4986791); *TLR2 Arg753Gln* (rs5743708); *TLR9 -1237* (rs187084); Bold: significant *P* value (<0.05). *TLR4 Asp299Gly* polymorphism: one study removed,⁶⁰ OR=0.803 (0.647-0.997); *P*=0.047 in the allele contrast model. *TLR2 Arg753Gln* polymorphism: one study removed,⁶¹ OR=4.460 (1.213-16.396); *P*=0.024 in the homozygous model; one study removed,⁶¹ OR=4.365 (1.2265-15.536); *P*=0.023 in the GG vs GA codominant model; one study removed,⁶⁰ OR=0.402 (0.199-0.811); *P*=0.011 in the allele contrast model.

n, number of studies; OR, odds ratio; I², heterogeneity test.

*best-fitted genetic model.

Meta analysis										
<u>Study name</u>		Statistic		Odds ratio and 95% Cl						
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z value	<i>P</i> value					
Smit 2007	2.829	0.709	11.297	1.472	0.141			+-1		
Caravalho 2008	0.400	0.070	2.300	-1.027	0.305		-+			
Lachheb 2008	2.826	0.114	69.744	0.635	0.525					
Hussein 2012	30.832	1.754	542.043	2.344	0.019			-		\rightarrow
	2.476	0.483	12.699	1.087	0.277					
						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
						C	ase		Control	

Meta analysis

Fig. 4. Forest plot of the association between *TLR2 Arg753GIn* and asthma: GG+AA vs GA. The forest plot shows the ORs and respective 95% CIs for the studies included in the meta-analysis. For each study in the forest plot, the area of the black square is proportional to the study weight, and the horizontal bar represents the 95% CI. Z score: the standardized expression of a value in terms of its relative position in the full distribution of values.



Meta analysis

Fig. 5. Forest plot of the association between *TLR9 -1237* and asthma: T allele vs C allele. The forest plot shows the (ORs and respective 95% Cls for the studies included in the meta-analysis. For each study in the forest plot, the area of the black square is proportional to the study weight, and the horizontal bar represents the 95% Cl. Z score: the standardized expression of a value in terms of its relative position in the full distribution of values.

evidence of publication bias was detected by Egger's test in all of the genetic models (Table 2).

Association of TLR9 -1237 T/C with asthma risk

Analyses showed that the *TLR9 -1237 T/C* polymorphism was not associated with asthma under the recessive, homozygous and codominant models. In the allele contrast model, the major T allele was marginally associated with asthma with OR=0.689 (95% CI, 0.471-1.007; P=0.055), suggesting that the major T allele might be a significant protective factor (Table 2 and Fig. 5).

The estimated OR1 (TT vs CC), OR2 (TC vs CC) and OR3 (TT vs TC) were 0.408, 0.01, and 0.498, respectively. These estimates likely suggested a codominant genetic model. Only three studies on *TLR9 -1237 T/C* were available; therefore, estimates were not sufficiently powerful. However, these estimates indicated that the TT genotype tended to be a significant protective factor (P=0.065). No evidence of publication bias was detected by Eg-

ger's test in all of the genetic models (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

During the last decade, the function of TLRs in asthma pathogenesis has been investigated largely by comparing the incidence of disease among persons with different polymorphisms. The magnitude of the association of polymorphisms with asthma varies depending on genetics, demographics, environmental factors, and study methodologies. Many association studies have reported that TLR polymorphisms predispose to asthma.^{26,47,49,52,56,59,61,68} However, some polymorphisms might not be associated with asthma susceptibility, but rather with asthma severity, such as *TLR4* polymorphisms.^{48,51,58} Conflicting results were also reported, demonstrating a protective effect of some polymorphisms.^{12,45,46} However, other studies reported no association between TLR polymorphisms and asthma risk.^{44,50,53,60,61,} ^{64,69,70} Given the conflicting results yielded by genetic association studies, a systematic review and meta-analysis is of great value. The current meta-analysis provides a comprehensive examination of the available evidence concerning the association of SNPs in *TLR* genes with asthma and refines their risk profiles. This analysis represents the first meta-analysis concerning the impact of some *TLR* polymorphisms on asthma and assesses their role as modifiers of asthma risk.

Estimates of combined effect sizes revealed that the major TLR9-1237 T allele was significantly associated with a decreased asthma risk. Additionally, for TLR2 Arg753Gln G>A, sensitivity analysis in the allele contrast model revealed that the major G allele was significantly associated with a decreased asthma risk. For *TLR4 Asp299Gly A*>*G*, the AA homozygote genotype and A allele tended to be associated with a decreased asthma risk. These results implicate that the major allele in *TLR* polymorphisms might be generally associated with a protective effect. However, TLR4 Thr399Ile showed no significant association with asthma risk. In a previous meta-analysis, Chen⁷¹ reported no direct association between TLR4 Asp299Gly and asthma. This result is consistent with our findings in the dominant, homozygous and codominant models. In a recent systematic review, Klassen et al.72 reported that TLR 2, TLR6, TLR9, and TLR10 appear to have some association with childhood asthma in Caucasians. However, no convincing evidence for a role of TLRs in relation to childhood asthma exists. Polymorphisms in TLR3 seemed not to be associated with asthma.57,65 Results for TLR6 are conflicting. One study with a small sample size showed that *TLR6 Ser249Pro C>T* contributed to asthma susceptibility,³⁰ but a larger study on the same polymorphism reported no association in both children and adults.⁵⁹ However, other studies reported that polymorphisms in TLR6 were significantly associated with asthma phenotypes.^{44,45,56,73} Similarly, some polymorphisms in TLR7/8 have been shown to be associated with asthma disease,29,33 whereas other polymorphisms showed no association.²⁹ For TLR10, some polymorphisms showed significant association with asthma^{23,33,45}; however, other polymorphisms showed no significant association.27,45 Genetic association studies on TLR1 and TLR5 remain scarce or are lacking. Further investigations on TLR polymorphisms, including haplotype analysis along with gene-environment interaction, are needed.

SNPs, which occur at every 1.9 kb in the genome on average,⁷³ could result in reduced or increased gene expression when they occur in promoter.⁷⁴ This meta-analysis did not control for multiple known risk factors for asthma, such as age, gender, and ethnicity. These potential confounding factors may interact with *TLR* polymorphisms to moderate asthma susceptibility. Genetic associations were shown to be significantly influenced by age⁷⁵⁻⁷⁸ likely because some genes are expressed in adults and not expressed in children and *vice versa*. Observational studies showed that the prevalence of asthma has increased during the last decade due to environmental factors.³ There-

fore, it is important to investigate other polymorphisms in *TLRs*, as well as gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. A possible gene-environment interaction has been reported,⁷⁹ in which the SNPs act as modifiers of asthma risk in individuals with various degrees of environmental endotoxin exposure. Future studies should include haplotype analysis and stratification of the study population according to related environmental risk factors.

Asthma is a complex disease involving several genes that might be more relevant than *TLR* genes. Although statistically significant, the effect of the *TLRs* on asthma was modest. This result may reflect the important role of other confirmed candidate genes in asthma disease. It has been reported that many genes are involved and make small contributions to the overall asthma phenotype.

The findings of our meta-analyses are consistent with experimental studies demonstrating that *TLR* polymorphisms are important factors in asthma disease, and support the need for further research into the mechanisms underlying asthma susceptibility. The significant association between *TLR* polymorphisms and asthma risk may be of clinical and public health importance. Further meta-analyses and studies on the expression of related genes, environmental factors, and gene-gene and gene-environment interactions would provide useful information for the prevention and treatment of asthma.

The recent discovery of TLRs, with their role as initiators of the innate immune response and inflammation, suggests that modulating these receptors may be beneficial in the treatment of allergic disorders.⁷ TLRs are currently being exploited as possible targets for drug development.⁸⁰ Recently, several patents aimed to modulate the innate immune reaction occurring in asthma through the use of novel synthetic TLR2 ligands^{8,11}; these open new therapeutic perspectives for the prevention of these pathologies. Greater knowledge of risk factors will translate into improved diagnosis, prevention and therapeutic strategies for this chronic disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Higher Education, Tunisia.

REFERENCES

- 1. Corrigan CJ, Hartnell A, Kay AB. T lymphocyte activation in acute severe asthma. Lancet 1988;1:1129-32.
- Devenny A, Wassall H, Ninan T, Omran M, Khan SD, Russell G. Respiratory symptoms and atopy in children in Aberdeen: questionnaire studies of a defined school population repeated over 35 years. BMJ 2004;329:489-90.
- 3. Ho SM. Environmental epigenetics of asthma: an update. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:453-65.
- 4. Ober C, Yao TC. The genetics of asthma and allergic disease: a 21st

century perspective. Immunol Rev 2011;242:10-30.

- Lee SH, Park JS, Park CS. The search for genetic variants and epigenetics related to asthma. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2011; 3:236-44.
- Sioud M. Induction of inflammatory cytokines and interferon responses by double-stranded and single-stranded siRNAs is sequence-dependent and requires endosomal localization. J Mol Biol 2005;348:1079-90.
- Phipps S, Lam CE, Foster PS, Matthaei KI. The contribution of tolllike receptors to the pathogenesis of asthma. Immunol Cell Biol 2007;85:463-70.
- 8. Kanagaratham C, Camateros P, Flaczyk A, Radzioch D. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor genes and their roles in allergic asthma and atopy. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov 2011;5:45-56.
- 9. Takeda K, Akira S. Toll-like receptors in innate immunity. Int Immunol 2005;17:1-14.
- Liang S, Hosur KB, Lu S, Nawar HF, Weber BR, Tapping RI, et al. Mapping of a microbial protein domain involved in binding and activation of the TLR2/TLR1 heterodimer. J Immunol 2009;182: 2978-85.
- 11. Fuchs B, Braun A. Modulation of asthma and allergy by addressing toll-like receptor 2. J Occup Med Toxicol 2008;3 Suppl 1:S5.
- 12. Roponen M, Yerkovich ST, Hollams E, Sly PD, Holt PG, Upham JW. Toll-like receptor 7 function is reduced in adolescents with asthma. Eur Respir J 2010;35:64-71.
- 13. Stowell NC, Seideman J, Raymond HA, Smalley KA, Lamb RJ, Egenolf DD, et al. Long-term activation of TLR3 by poly(I:C) induces inflammation and impairs lung function in mice. Respir Res 2009;10:43.
- 14. Lun SW, Wong CK, Ko FW, Hui DS, Lam CW. Expression and functional analysis of toll-like receptors of peripheral blood cells in asthmatic patients: implication for immunopathological mechanism in asthma. J Clin Immunol 2009;29:330-42.
- 15. Mizel SB, Honko AN, Moors MA, Smith PS, West AP. Induction of macrophage nitric oxide production by Gram-negative flagellin involves signaling via heteromeric Toll-like receptor 5/Toll-like receptor 4 complexes. J Immunol 2003;170:6217-23.
- Chun E, Lee SH, Lee SY, Shim EJ, Cho SH, Min KU, et al. Toll-like receptor expression on peripheral blood mononuclear cells in asthmatics; implications for asthma management. J Clin Immunol 2010;30:459-64.
- 17. Chuang TH, Ulevitch RJ. Cloning and characterization of a subfamily of human toll-like receptors: hTLR7, hTLR8 and hTLR9. Eur Cytokine Netw 2000;11:372-8.
- Diebold SS, Kaisho T, Hemmi H, Akira S, Reis e Sousa C. Innate antiviral responses by means of TLR7-mediated recognition of singlestranded RNA. Science 2004;303:1529-31.
- 19. Lazarus R, Raby BA, Lange C, Silverman EK, Kwiatkowski DJ, Vercelli D, et al. Toll-like receptor 10 genetic variation is associated with asthma in two independent samples. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2004;170:594-600.
- 20. Nakashima K, Hirota T, Suzuki Y, Akahoshi M, Shimizu M, Jodo A, et al. Association of the RIP2 gene with childhood atopic asthma. Allergol Int 2006;55:77-83.
- 21. Nakashima K, Hirota T, Obara K, Shimizu M, Jodo A, Kameda M, et al. An association study of asthma and related phenotypes with polymorphisms in negative regulator molecules of the TLR signaling pathway. J Hum Genet 2006;51:284-91.
- 22. Lee SW, Wang JY, Hsieh YC, Wu YJ, Ting HW, Wu LS. Association of

single nucleotide polymorphisms of MD-1 gene with pediatric and adult asthma in the Taiwanese population. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2008;41:445-9.

- 23. Heinzmann A, Brugger M, Bierbaum S, Mailaparambil B, Kopp MV, Strauch K. Joint influences of Acidic-Mammalian-Chitinase with Interleukin-4 and Toll-like receptor-10 with Interleukin-13 in the genetics of asthma. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2010;21:e679-86.
- 24. Sordillo JE, Sharma S, Poon A, Lasky-Su J, Belanger K, Milton DK, et al. Effects of endotoxin exposure on childhood asthma risk are modified by a genetic polymorphism in ACAA1. BMC Med Genet 2011;12:158.
- 25. Smit LA, Bouzigon E, Bousquet J, Le Moual N, Nadif R, Pin I, et al. Mold allergen sensitization in adult asthma according to integrin β 3 polymorphisms and Toll-like receptor 2/+596 genotype. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;128:185-91.e7.
- Bjørnvold M, Munthe-Kaas MC, Egeland T, Joner G, Dahl-Jørgensen K, Njølstad PR, et al. A TLR2 polymorphism is associated with type 1 diabetes and allergic asthma. Genes Immun 2009;10: 181-7.
- 27. Qian FH, Zhang Q, Zhou LF, Jin GF, Bai JL, Yin KS. Polymorphisms in the toll-like receptor 2 subfamily and risk of asthma: a case-control analysis in a Chinese population. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2010;20:340-6.
- Hussein YM, Awad HA, Shalaby SM, Ali AS, Alzahrani SS. Toll-like receptor 2 and Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms and susceptibility to asthma and allergic rhinitis: a case-control analysis. Cell Immunol 2012;274:34-8.
- 29. Zhang Q, Qian FH, Zhou LF, Wei GZ, Jin GF, Bai JL, et al. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor 4 gene are associated with asthma severity but not susceptibility in a Chinese Han population. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2011;21:370-7.
- 30. Tantisira K, Klimecki WT, Lazarus R, Palmer LJ, Raby BA, Kwiatkowski DJ, et al. Toll-like receptor 6 gene (TLR6): single-nucleotide polymorphism frequencies and preliminary association with the diagnosis of asthma. Genes Immun 2004;5:343-6.
- 31. Zhang Q, Qian F, Zhou L, Wei G, Wang Y, Hu Z, et al. Polymorphisms of TLR7 and TLR8 associated with risk of asthma and asthma-related phenotypes in a southeastern Chinese Han population. J Nanjing Med Univ 2009;23:25-32.
- 32. Lachheb J, Dhifallah IB, Chelbi H, Hamzaoui K, Hamzaoui A. Tolllike receptors and CD14 genes polymorphisms and susceptibility to asthma in Tunisian children. Tissue Antigens 2008;71:417-25.
- 33. Lazarus R, Klimecki WT, Raby BA, Vercelli D, Palmer LJ, Kwiatkowski DJ, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the Toll-like receptor 9 gene (TLR9): frequencies, pairwise linkage disequilibrium, and haplotypes in three U.S. ethnic groups and exploratory case-control disease association studies. Genomics 2003;81:85-91.
- 34. Lazarus R, Vercelli D, Palmer LJ, Klimecki WJ, Silverman EK, Richter B, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in innate immunity genes: abundant variation and potential role in complex human disease. Immunol Rev 2002;190:9-25.
- Leung TF, Tang NL, Wong GW, Fok TF. CD14 and toll-like receptors: potential contribution of genetic factors and mechanisms to inflammation and allergy. Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy 2005; 4:169-75.
- 36. Yang IA, Fong KM, Holgate ST, Holloway JW. The role of Toll-like receptors and related receptors of the innate immune system in asthma. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;6:23-8.
- 37. Daley D, Park JE, He JQ, Yan J, Akhabir L, Stefanowicz D, et al. As-

sociations and interactions of genetic polymorphisms in innate immunity genes with early viral infections and susceptibility to asthma and asthma-related phenotypes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;130:1284-93.

- 38. Miedema KG, Tissing WJ, Te Poele EM, Kamps WA, Alizadeh BZ, Kerkhof M, et al. Polymorphisms in the TLR6 gene associated with the inverse association between childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia and atopic disease. Leukemia 2012;26:1203-10.
- 39. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg 2010;8:336-41.
- 40. Light RJ, Singer JD, Willett JB. The visual presentation and interpretation of meta-analyses. In: Cooper H, Hedges LV, editors. The handbook of research synthesis. New York (NY): Russell Sage Foundation; 1994. 439-54.
- 41. Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics 1954;10:101-29.
- 42. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629-34.
- 43. Saçkesen C, Karaaslan C, Keskin O, Tokol N, Tahan F, Civelek E, et al. The effect of polymorphisms at the CD14 promoter and the TLR4 gene on asthma phenotypes in Turkish children with asthma. Allergy 2005;60:1485-92.
- Puthothu B, Heinzmann A. Is toll-like receptor 6 or toll-like receptor 10 involved in asthma genetics--or both? Allergy 2006;61:649-50.
- 45. Kormann MS, Depner M, Hartl D, Klopp N, Illig T, Adamski J, et al. Toll-like receptor heterodimer variants protect from childhood asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:86-92, 92.e1-92.e8.
- 46. Smit LA, Siroux V, Bouzigon E, Oryszczyn MP, Lathrop M, Demenais F, et al. CD14 and toll-like receptor gene polymorphisms, country living, and asthma in adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;179:363-8.
- 47. Kerkhof M, Postma DS, Brunekreef B, Reijmerink NE, Wijga AH, de Jongste JC, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 and 4 genes influence susceptibility to adverse effects of traffic-related air pollution on childhood asthma. Thorax 2010;65:690-7.
- 48. Fagerås Böttcher M, Hmani-Aifa M, Lindström A, Jenmalm MC, Mai XM, Nilsson L, et al. A TLR4 polymorphism is associated with asthma and reduced lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin-12(p70) responses in Swedish children. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:561-7.
- 49. Eder W, Klimecki W, Yu L, von Mutius E, Riedler J, Braun-Fahrländer C, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 as a major gene for asthma in children of European farmers. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:482-8.
- 50. Raby BA, Klimecki WT, Laprise C, Renaud Y, Faith J, Lemire M, et al. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor 4 are not associated with asthma or atopy-related phenotypes. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;166:1449-56.
- Werner M, Topp R, Wimmer K, Richter K, Bischof W, Wjst M, et al. TLR4 gene variants modify endotoxin effects on asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112:323-30.
- Møller-Larsen S, Nyegaard M, Haagerup A, Vestbo J, Kruse TA, Børglum AD. Association analysis identifies TLR7 and TLR8 as novel risk genes in asthma and related disorders. Thorax 2008; 63:1064-9.
- 53. Lange NE, Zhou X, Lasky-Su J, Himes BE, Lazarus R, Soto-Quirós M, et al. Comprehensive genetic assessment of a functional TLR9 promoter polymorphism: no replicable association with asthma or

asthma-related phenotypes. BMC Med Genet 2011;12:26.

- Adjers K, Karjalainen J, Pessi T, Eklund C, Hurme M. Epistatic effect of TLR4 and IL4 genes on the risk of asthma in females. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2005;138:251-6.
- 55. He J, Bosse Y, Laprise C, Paré P, Sandford A, Kozyrskyj A, et al. Novel associations of genetic polymorphisms in the interleukin-1 receptor/Toll-like receptor signaling pathways with atopy and atopic asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;123:S167.
- 56. Koponen P, Vuononvirta J, Nuolivirta K, Helminen M, He Q, Korppi M. The association of genetic variants in toll-like receptor 2 subfamily with allergy and asthma after hospitalization for bronchiolitis in infancy. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2014;33:463-6.
- 57. Noguchi E, Nishimura F, Fukai H, Kim J, Ichikawa K, Shibasaki M, et al. An association study of asthma and total serum immunoglobin E levels for Toll-like receptor polymorphisms in a Japanese population. Clin Exp Allergy 2004;34:177-83.
- Yang IA, Barton SJ, Rorke S, Cakebread JA, Keith TP, Clough JB, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphism and severity of atopy in asthmatics. Genes Immun 2004;5:41-5.
- 59. Hoffjan S, Stemmler S, Parwez Q, Petrasch-Parwez E, Arinir U, Rohde G, et al. Evaluation of the toll-like receptor 6 Ser249Pro polymorphism in patients with asthma, atopic dermatitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. BMC Med Genet 2005;6:34.
- 60. Smit LA, Bongers SI, Ruven HJ, Rijkers GT, Wouters IM, Heederik D, et al. Atopy and new-onset asthma in young Danish farmers and CD14, TLR2, and TLR4 genetic polymorphisms: a nested case-control study. Clin Exp Allergy 2007;37:1602-8.
- 61. Carvalho A, Pasqualotto AC, Pitzurra L, Romani L, Denning DW, Rodrigues F. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor genes and susceptibility to pulmonary aspergillosis. J Infect Dis 2008;197:618-21.
- Larocca N, DeSanctis J, Toro F, Moreno D. F.23. Polymorphisms of Toll-like receptor 2 and 4 genes in asthma and COPD. Clin Immunol 2006;119 Suppl:S58-9.
- Hsieh YY, Wan L, Chang CC, Tsai CH, Tsai FJ. STAT2*C related genotypes and allele but not TLR4 and CD40 gene polymorphisms are associated with higher susceptibility for asthma. Int J Biol Sci 2009; 5:74-81.
- 64. Qian XB, Wu Y, Cao SY, Cai XH, Yu CY, Xuan MY, et al. Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the promoter region of the TLR9 gene with childhood atopic asthma. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi 2011;28:185-9.
- Palikhe NS, Kim SH, Kim JH, Losol P, Ye YM, Park HS. Role of tolllike receptor 3 variants in aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2011;3:123-7.
- Voron'ko OE, Dmitrieva-Zdorova EV, Latysheva EA, Aksenova MG, Storozhakov GI, Bodoev NV, et al. CARD15 and TLR4 genes polymorphisms in atopic bronchial asthma. Mol Biol (Mosk) 2011;45: 831-9.
- 67. Sahin F, Yıldız P, Kuskucu A, Kuskucu MA, Karaca N, Midilli K. The effect of CD14 and TLR4 gene polimorphisms on asthma phenotypes in adult Turkish asthma patients: a genetic study. BMC Pulm Med 2014;14:20.
- 68. Pacheco-Martínez MM, Saucedo-Ramírez O, Del Rio Navarro B, Del Rio-Chivardi J, Cruz M, Pérez-Figueroa GE, et al. Toll-like receptor 4 expression in obese asthmatic children with allergic inflammation. Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex 2011;68:257-61.
- 69. Liang XH, Cheung W, Heng CK, Wang DY. Absence of the toll-like receptor 4 gene polymorphisms Asp299Gly and Thr399Ile in Singaporean Chinese. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2005;1:243-6.

- 70. Douville RN, Lissitsyn Y, Hirschfeld AF, Becker AB, Kozyrskyj AL, Liem J, et al. TLR4 Asp299Gly and Thr399Ile polymorphisms: no impact on human immune responsiveness to LPS or respiratory syncytial virus. PLoS One 2010;5:e12087.
- 71. Chen S. Association between the TLR4 +896A>G (Asp299Gly) polymorphism and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Asthma 2012;49:999-1003.
- 72. Klaassen EM, Thönissen BE, van Eys G, Dompeling E, Jöbsis Q. A systematic review of CD14 and toll-like receptors in relation to asthma in Caucasian children. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol 2013; 9:10.
- 73. Marth G, Yeh R, Minton M, Donaldson R, Li Q, Duan S, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the public domain: how useful are they? Nat Genet 2001;27:371-2.
- 74. Trajkov D, Mirkovska-Stojkovikj J, Arsov T, Petlichkovski A, Strezova A, Efinska-Mladenovska O, et al. Association of cytokine gene polymorphisms with bronchial asthma in Macedonians. Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol 2008;7:143-56.
- 75. Saadat M, Ansari-Lari M. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S-

transferase T1, M1 and asthma, a meta-analysis of the literature. Pak J Biol Sci 2007;10:4183-9.

- 76. Cui L, Jia J, Ma CF, Li SY, Wang YP, Guo XM, et al. IL-13 polymorphisms contribute to the risk of asthma: a meta-analysis. Clin Biochem 2012;45:285-8.
- 77. Piacentini S, Polimanti R, Simonelli I, Donno S, Pasqualetti P, Manfellotto D, et al. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms, asthma susceptibility and confounding variables: a meta-analysis. Mol Biol Rep 2013;40:3299-313.
- Lee YH, Choi SJ, Ji JD, Song GG. The CTLA-4 +49 A/G and -318 C/T polymorphisms and susceptibility to asthma: a meta-analysis. Mol Biol Rep 2012;39:8525-32.
- 79. von Mutius E. Gene-environment interactions in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;123:3-11.
- Bezemer GF, Sagar S, van Bergenhenegouwen J, Georgiou NA, Garssen J, Kraneveld AD, et al. Dual role of toll-like receptors in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Pharmacol Rev 2012;64:337-58.