

RESEARCH PAPER

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors control acetylcholine and noradrenaline release in the rodent habenulointerpeduncular complex

Correspondence

Dr Petra Scholze, Department of Pathobiology of the Nervous System, Center for Brain Research, Medical University of Vienna, Spitalgasse 4, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: petra.scholze@meduniwien.ac.at

Received 8 March 2014 Revised 23 June 2014 Accepted 25 June 2014

F Beiranvand¹, C Zlabinger¹, A Orr-Urtreger², R Ristl³, S Huck¹ and P Scholze¹

¹Department of Pathobiology of the Nervous System, Center for Brain Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ²Genetic Institute, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, and ³Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics, and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nACh receptors) play a central role in the habenulo-interpeduncular system. We studied nicotine-induced release of NA and ACh in the habenula and interpeduncular nucleus (IPN).

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

The habenula and IPN were loaded with [³H]-choline or [³H]-NA and placed in superfusion chambers. [³H]-ACh release was also stimulated using nicotinic agonists, electrical pulses and elevated [KCI]_o in hippocampal and cortical slices from rats, wild-type mice and mice lacking $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 7$, $\beta 2$, or $\beta 4$ nACh receptor subunits. Finally, we analysed nACh receptor subtypes in the IPN using immunoprecipitation.

KEY RESULTS

Nicotine induced release of [³H]-ACh in the IPN of rats and mice. This release was calcium-dependent but not blocked by tetrodotoxin (TTX); moreover, [³H]-ACh release was abolished in β 4-knockout mice but was unaffected in β 2- and α 5-knockout mice. In contrast, nicotine-induced release of [³H]-NA in the IPN and habenula was blocked by TTX and reduced in both β 2-knockout and β 4-knockout mice, and dose–response curves were right-shifted in α 5-knockout mice. Although electrical stimuli triggered the release of both transmitters, [³H]-ACh release required more pulses delivered at a higher frequency.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Our results confirm previous findings that β 4-containing nACh receptors are critical for [³H]-ACh release in the mouse IPN. Experiments using α 5-knockout mice also revealed that unlike in the hippocampus, nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release in the habenulo-interpeduncular system is altered in this knockout model. As α 5-containing nACh receptors play a key role in nicotine intake, our results add NA to the list of transmitters involved in this mechanism.

Abbreviations

18-MC, 18-methoxycoronaridine; Hb, habenula; IP, immunoprecipitation; IPN, interpeduncular nucleus; KO, knockout; LC, locus coeruleus; MCA, mecamylamine; MHb, medial habenula; nACh receptor, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; TTX, tetrodotoxin; WT, wild-type

© 2014 The Authors. British Journal of Pharmacology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of The British Pharmacological Society.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Table of Links

TARGETS	LIGANDS
α_2 adrenoceptor	ACh
α2 nACh receptor	Atropine
α3 nACh receptor	Bicuculline
α4 nACh receptor	Choline
α5 nACh receptor	Clorgyline
α6 nACh receptor	Cytisine
α7 nACh receptor	[³ H]-epibatidine
β2 nACh receptor	Hemicholinium
β4 nACh receptor	Mecamylamine
GABA _A receptor	Nicotine
Muscarinic receptor	Noradrenaline
	Tetrodotoxin
	Yohimbine

This Table lists key protein targets and ligands in this document, which are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http:// www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (Pawson *et al.*, 2014) and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14 (Alexander *et al.*, 2013a,b).

Introduction

The habenulo-interpeduncular (Hb-IPN) complex is a central relay station between forebrain and midbrain structures. The major inputs to the lateral habenula (Hb) project from the limbic system and basal ganglia motor areas, as well as brainstem areas such as the median raphe and ventral tegmental area (VTA). Ascending fibres from the lateral Hb project to the limbic forebrain, whereas descending fibres project to the median and dorsal raphe, the VTA, the substantia nigra and the locus coeruleus (LC). The medial habenula (MHb) receives descending afferents from the diagonal band of Broca and the septofimbrial and triangular nuclei; the MHb receives ascending fibres from the raphe nuclei and LC (reviewed in Klemm, 2004; Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Bianco and Wilson, 2009). The anatomical and functional connection between the triangular septum and the bed nucleus of the anterior commissure and the MHb was studied recently in a transgenic mouse model (Yamaguchi et al., 2013). Afferent fibres projecting to the MHb use the neurotransmitters GABA, glutamate, ATP and acetylcholine (ACh) (Qin and Luo, 2009), whereas efferent fibres connecting to the IPN co-release glutamate and ACh (Ren et al., 2011; Kobayashi et al., 2013).

Although the MHb is the major source of afferents projecting to the IPN, the IPN also receives descending input from the limbic forebrain and ascending input from brainstem regions such as the raphe nuclei, LC and the dorsal tegmental area (reviewed in Klemm, 2004; Bianco and Wilson, 2009; see also Kobayashi *et al.*, 2013). The IPN has widespread ascending projections to limbic structures and descending projections to the dorsal tegmental area and midbrain raphe (reviewed in Klemm, 2004; Bianco and Wilson, 2009). These anatomical connections reflect the Hb-IPN's complex role as a central axial core of connectivity that links diverse forebrain and midbrain structures, suggesting that the Hb-IPN axis modulates cognition and behaviour under the control of monoaminergic centres (Klemm, 2004; Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Kobayashi *et al.*, 2013). In humans, structural abnormalities in the Hb have been linked to schizophrenia and affective disorders, and lesion studies in rodents suggest that the habenular complex plays important roles in various behavioural domains such as cognition, anxiety, learning and memory and impulsivity (Klemm, 2004; Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Bianco and Wilson, 2009). More specifically, genetic deletion of the cholinergic Hb-IPN pathway showed recently that the cholinergic part of the MHb plays a crucial role in inhibitory control and cognitiondependent executive functions (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2013).

Both the MHb and the IPN are unique in the CNS because of their high density of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nACh receptors) and because the majority of their nACh receptors contain the α 3 and β 4 subunits together with α 2, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 6$, $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ subunits. Deleting or impairing the function of nACh receptors in the habenular complex has a large impact on nicotine dependence in rodents (and possibly humans). Hence, certain allelic variations in the gene encoding the α 5 nACh receptor subunit decrease receptor function and increase susceptibility to tobacco addiction (Bierut et al., 2008). In rodents, α5-containing nACh receptors in the MHb may play a crucial role in controlling nicotine addiction (Salas et al., 2009; Fowler et al., 2011; Frahm et al., 2011). The local delivery of the putative $\alpha 3\beta 4$ -specific nicotinic antagonist 18-methoxycoronaridine (18-MC) into the MHb, the basolateral amygdala or the dorsolateral tegmentum decreases nicotine self-administration (Glick et al., 2011), whereas local delivery of 18-MC into the IPN (but not into the VTA) increases nicotine self-administration (Glick et al., 2011).



Here, we re-examined the subunit composition of nACh receptors in the rat and mouse IPN by immunoprecipitating [³H]-epibatidine-labelled receptors using custom-generated subunit-specific antibodies. We measured the receptor subunits in wild-type (WT; C57Bl/6J) mice and transgenic mice lacking the $\alpha 5$, $\beta 2$ or $\beta 4$ subunit. Therefore, our results complement and support similar studies that examined the identity of nACh receptors in the IPN of rats, WT mice, β 2-knockout (KO) and β 3-KO mice (Grady *et al.*, 2009). We also measured the induced release of ACh from the Hb, IPN, hippocampus and cortex of both rats and mice, and of noradrenaline (NA) from the Hb, IPN and hippocampus. NA plays important roles in anxiety, stress and nicotine addiction (Bruijnzeel, 2012); thus, stressors facilitate the initiation of smoking, decrease one's motivation to quit and increase the risk of relapse after quitting. Animal studies indicate that NA - as well as other factors such as corticotropin-releasing factor, neuropeptide Y and hypocretins - plays a role in tobacco and nicotine withdrawal (reviewed in Bruijnzeel, 2012).

Our approach using both intact tissue (Hb and IPN) and slices (hippocampus and cortex) enabled us to induce transmitter release both chemically and electrically. In addition, we used mice that lack the $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 7$, $\beta 2$ or $\beta 4$ nACh receptor subunits. In both rats and mice, we found that activating nACh receptors in the IPN - but not in the Hb, cortex or hippocampus - drives substantial [3H]-ACh release. In mice, this release required the presence of β 4-containing receptors, but did not require the $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 7$ or $\beta 2$ subunit. In contrast, nicotine induced [3H]-NA release in both the Hb and IPN, and this release required the presence of receptors containing primarily $\beta 2$ and $\beta 4$ subunits. On the other hand, deleting the α5 subunit right-shifted the dose-response curves for nicotine-induced [3H]-NA release. Importantly, moderate electrical stimuli induced robust [3H]-NA release from both the IPN and the Hb, whereas electrically induced [3H]-ACh release from the IPN required a much stronger stimulation regimen. Our finding that nicotine is less potent at inducing $[^{3}H]$ -NA release in α 5-KO mice adds to the complex role that α 5-containing receptors play in the Hb-IPN system.

Methods

Animals

Transmitter-release experiments were performed using 4–8week-old female Sprague-Dawley rats (Institute of Biomedical Research, Medical University of Vienna, Himberg, Austria). In addition, male and female 4–8-week-old WT (C57Bl/6J) mice and α 5-, α 7-, β 2- and β 4-KO mice (David *et al.*, 2010) were used. In total, 1625 animals were used for these experiments (1279 mice and 346 rats). All animals were group-housed at an ambient temperature of 21°C with a light : dark regime of 10:14 h, with *ad libitum* access to standard food and water. All studies involving animals are reported in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting experiments involving animals (Kilkenny *et al.*, 2010; McGrath *et al.*, 2010).

Generation of anti-nACh receptor subunit antibodies

All antibodies were raised against the cytoplasmic loop domain of respective mouse nACh receptor subunit for the

anti- α 3, anti- α 4, anti- α 5, anti- β 2 and anti- β 4 antibodies (David *et al.*, 2010), and for the anti- α 2 and anti- α 6 (Scholze *et al.*, 2012) antibodies. The specificity and immunoprecipitation (IP) efficacy of these antibodies has been tested extensively (David *et al.*, 2010; Scholze *et al.*, 2012). We have previously reported that our anti- α 6 antibody effectively precipitates striatal as well as recombinant α 6 β 2 receptors expressed in HEK cells (Scholze *et al.*, 2012). In order to exclude unspecific binding of α 3-containing receptors, we now probed the antibody with superior cervical ganglion nACh receptors, known to be devoid of α 6. Indeed, IP with our anti- β 4 antibody precipitated 267 ± 28 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein (*n* = 3) of [³H]-epibatidine binding sites, whereas anti- α 6 was ineffective (-1.3 ± 3.4 fmol·mg⁻¹; *n* = 3).

IP of [³H]-epibatidine-labelled receptors

All IP experiments were performed using IPN tissue obtained from prepubescent mice and rats (17–19 days of age) following decapitation. The protocols for solubilizing, isolating and labelling the receptors with [³H]-epibatidine were as published previously (David *et al.*, 2010; Scholze *et al.*, 2012). Here, we used standardized Pansorbin cells (catalog number 507861; Calbiochem, Merck-Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) for IP and the bicinchoninic acid protein assay reagent kit (Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) for protein quantification.

Superfusion experiments

Four to eight-week-old rats and mice were decapitated (the rats were anaesthetised under CO_2) in accordance with the Guidelines of the Animal Care Committee of the Medical University of Vienna. The brains were removed immediately and placed in ice-cold low-calcium uptake buffer containing (mM): NaCl 118; KCl 4.8; CaCl₂ 0.2; MgSO₄ 1.2; NaHCO₃ 25; KH₂PO₄ 1.2; Na₂-EDTA 0.03; glucose 11; ascorbic acid 0.57; fumaric acid 0.5 and Na-pyruvate 5; the solution was saturated with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. The experiments were performed using intact tissue (Hb and IPN) or hippocampal (300 µm thickness) and parietal neocortical (400 µm thickness) slices; the slices were cut using a McIlwain tissue chopper (The Mickle Laboratory Engineering Co. Ltd, Guildford, Surrey, UK). The radiolabelled tracers were loaded by incubating the tissue for 2 h (IPN and Hb) or 1 h (slices) at 37°C in low-calcium buffer containing either 0.05 μ M [³H]-NA (in the presence of 0.5 μ M clorgyline hydrochloride and 1 mM ascorbic acid) or 0.1 µM [³H]choline. After loading, the tissues were placed in a small chamber between two platinum wire electrodes and superfused for 1 h with superfusion buffer (which was identical to the low-calcium buffer, except that the [CaCl₂] was increased to 2.5 mM). The buffer was kept at 29°C and bubbled continuously with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. For the [³H]-ACh release assays, the choline re-uptake inhibitor hemicholinium (10 µM) and atropine (0.5 μ M) were added to the buffer 10 min before and throughout the sample collection. Eight samples per chamber were normally collected at 2 min intervals with a buffer flow rate of 1 mL·min⁻¹. After a 6 min baseline collection period, release was triggered with electrical stimulation (100 pulses at 10 Hz, 0.5 ms, 40 V·cm⁻¹, 40 mA, except where specified otherwise), a 30 s pulse of nicotine or cytisine or a 90 s pulse of elevated [KCl]. Where applicable, the antagonists mecamylamine (MCA), yohimbine and/or tetrodotoxin (TTX) were



applied 10 min before and throughout the respective stimuli. To measure transmitter release in the absence of calcium, the tissues were superfused with calcium-free buffer throughout the experiment. At the end of the experiment, the radioactivity that remained in the tissue (i.e. was not released) was recovered by extraction with 1% SDS and sonication. The radioactivity in the tissue extracts and the collected perfusate samples was measured in a liquid scintillation counter.

Calculations

The radioactive content (measured as cpm) of a given fraction was divided by the total radioactivity at the start of the corresponding 2 min collection period. Accordingly, the radioactivity of all subsequent fractions was added to the radioactivity retained in the tissue. Baseline release was calculated as the average of three fractions before a stimulus. Stimulation-evoked release was calculated by normalizing to baseline (i.e. release during and after a stimulus divided by baseline, assuming that basal release is linear). We quantified stimulation-evoked release using the AUC feature in Graph-Pad Prism (version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com; see Figure 4A).

All summary data are presented as mean ± SEM. Group differences were analysed using Student's unpaired t-test (for a comparison between two related datasets) or one-way ANOVA to test the global hypothesis of no differences in true mean values between groups. If this test was significant at $\alpha = 0.05$, four (or more) datasets were analysed using *post hoc* multiple comparison tests (Tukey's for pairs of datasets or Dunnett's for comparing datasets with a reference group). Datasets consisting of three groups were compared pair-wise using Fisher's least significant difference test: when comparing only three groups, no further adjustment for multiple testing is required when the global null hypothesis was rejected. Dose-response curves for the agonists were fitted using non-weighted nonlinear regression to the dose-response curve (GraphPad Prism). An F-test was used to test for different versus shared (identical) EC₅₀ parameter values. Differences are considered significant if P < 0.05.

Materials

The following materials and reagents were obtained from the following sources: levo-[ring-2,5,6-[³H]-noradrenaline ([³H]-NA, 250 Ci mmol⁻¹): PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, USA); [methyl-³H]-choline chloride, 60 Ci mmol⁻¹: ARC American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc (St. Louis, MO, USA); TTX HCI: Latoxan (Valence, France); atropine (A0257), hemicholinium (H108), (-)-nicotine (N3876), MCA hydrochloride (M9020), ascorbic acid (A4544), yohimbine (Y3125) and clorgyline hydrochloride (M3778): Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals were obtained as analytical grade from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The drug/molecular target nomenclature conforms to BJPs Concise Guide to Pharmacology (Alexander *et al.*, 2013).

Results

Subunit composition of nACh receptors in the rat IPN

We labelled solubilized nACh receptors with [³H]-epibatidine, then performed IP using subunit-specific antibodies. We



Figure 1

Subunit composition of nACh receptors in the mouse and rat interpeduncular nucleus (IPN). The IPN of P18 wild-type (C57BI/6J) mice or wild-type (Sprague-Dawley) rats were solubilized, and the nACh receptors were labelled with 1 nM [³H]-epibatidine, then immunoprecipitated with the subunit-specific antibodies indicated. Nonspecific binding was measured in the presence of 300 μ M nicotine and subtracted from the total in order to obtain the specific binding depicted in the graph. A value of 100% is based on the combined use of anti- β 2 and anti- β 4 antibodies, which precipitates all heterooligomeric receptors. The data are represented as the mean ± SEM of three (rat) and four (mouse) independent experiments, each of which was performed in duplicate.

found that the rat IPN contains high levels of receptors containing the $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 4$, $\beta 2$ and $\beta 4$ subunits and lower (but detectable) levels of $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ subunits (Figure 1). The total number of receptors was 262.2 ± 41.3 fmol·mg⁻¹ protein (44.5 ± 2.6 fmol per IPN; n = 3; Figure 2H,I). In addition, the rat IPN contained approximately twice as many $\beta 2$ -containing receptors as $\beta 4$ -containing receptors (Figure 1).

Subunit composition of nACh receptors in the mouse IPN

The total number of receptors was 24.3 ± 2.2 fmol per IPN (313.6 ± 10.4 fmol mg⁻¹ protein; n = 4; Figure 2H,I; which is on par with the rat IPN). The majority of α 3 subunits were co-assembled with β 4, as the level of α 3 subunits was significantly lower in the β 4-KO mice (3.7 ± 0.1 fmol per IPN; n = 4) compared with WT mice (13.7 ± 0.5 fmol per IPN; n = 5) (Figure 2). The number of α 2-, α 4-, α 5- and α 6-containing receptors was similar between WT and β 4-KO mice. The number of β 2-containing receptors was higher – albeit not statistically significant – in the β 4-KO mice (17.6 ± 2.5; n = 6) compared with WT mice (12.7 ± 0.6; n = 7) (Figure 2).

The β 2-KO mice contained significantly fewer nACh receptors compared with WT mice (Figure 2H,I); specifically, the number of α 2-, α 4-, α 5- and α 6-containing receptors was lower in the β 2-KO mice; however, the number of α 3- and β 4-containing receptors was similar to WT (Figure 2). Because the number of α 2, α 5 and α 6 subunits was reduced in the β 2-KO IPN, we examined whether these subunits co-assemble into one receptor (i.e. receptors containing α 2 and α 5, α 5 and α 6, or α 2 and α 6). We, therefore, immunoprecipitated receptors from WT mice using the anti- α 2, anti- α 5 or anti- α 6 antibody alone or in combination (Figure 3). We then





Quantification of distinct nACh receptors in the IPN of wild-type mice (WT), α 5-, β 2-, and β 4-KO mice and wild-type rats. The IPN from the indicated animals were solubilized and the nACh receptors were labelled with 1 nM [³H]-epibatidine and immunoprecipitated with the indicated subunit-specific antibody. Non-specific binding was measured in the presence of 300 µM nicotine and subtracted from each total in order to obtain the specific binding shown in the plots. Each data point represents an experiment performed in duplicate. Horizontal and vertical lines show the mean ± SEM, respectively, of three to five independent experiments. Data were analysed using the one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* tests (values compared with wild-type mice). Headings in each panel designate nACh receptors precipitated using the corresponding subunit-specific antibodies. The total number of receptors (panels H and I) was assessed by the combined use of anti- β 2 and anti- β 4 antibodies. WT: C57BL/6J mice; α 5-KO, β 2-KO and β 4-KO: α 5-knockout, β 2-knockout and β 4-knockout mice respectively. Levels of significance for global ANOVA were: *F*_{3, 16} = 83.13, *P* < 0.0001 (for α 2); *F*_{3, 15} = 46.70, *P* < 0.0001 (for α 3); *F*_{3, 12} = 16.36, *P* = 0.0002 (for α 4); *F*_{3, 16} = 19.44, *P* < 0.0001 (for α 5); *F*_{3, 14} = 22.10, *P* < 0.0001 (for α 6); *F*_{3, 17} = 9.96, *P* = 0.0005 (for β 2); *F*_{3, 13} = 47.17, *P* < 0.0001 (for β 4); *F*_{4, 14} = 44.84, *P* < 0.0001 (for fmol per IPN); *F*_{4, 13} = 4.08, *P* = 0.0233 (for fmol-mg⁻¹ protein). Panels show only significant differences: ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, **P < 0.05.

compared the results obtained using individual antibodies with the results obtained using a mixture of the same antibodies and found significant differences for anti- α 5 combined with either anti- α 2 or anti- α 6 (Figure 3). Furthermore, when comparing the sum of anti- α 5 and anti- α 2 (Figure 3A) and the sum of anti- α 5 and anti- α 6 (Figure 3C) with the results obtained using a mixture of the same two antibodies, we found no difference. These data imply that α 5 does not co-assemble with either α 2 or α 6 (Figure 3).

[³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from the rat IPN

We next examined the release of ACh ([³H]-ACh) and NA ([³H]-NA) from rat IPN upon stimulation of nACh receptors (Figure 4). Nicotine induced [³H]-ACh release with an EC₅₀ of 47.9 μ M and a maximum release (measured using the AUC method) of 5.5 (Figure 4B,D). The effect of cytisine, a full agonist of β4-containing receptors, was similar to nicotine





 $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5,$ and $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ nACh receptor subunits do not co-assemble. [3H]-epibatidine-labelled nACh receptors were immunoprecipitated using anti- $\alpha 2$, anti- $\alpha 5$ or anti- $\alpha 6$ antibodies. In three identically designed assays, the receptors were precipitated by each antibody alone and by combining either two (panels A-C) or all three antibodies (panel D). Each bar represents the mean percentage of [³H]-epibatidine-labelled receptors that were precipitated by the antibodies in relation to the combined use of all three antibodies (100% in panel D). Where indicated, the number of receptors immunoprecipitated by each individual antibody differed significantly from the number of receptors precipitated by a combination of the antibodies (the blue bars in all panels). The data are presented as the mean percentage ± SEM (relative to the combined precipitation using the anti $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ antibodies, see panel D) of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. The data were analysed using repeated one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett's multiple comparison post hoc test with data referenced to the result obtained by immunoprecipitation with combined antibodies, indicated by #). Levels of significance for global ANOVA were: $F_{3, 6} = 161.2$ and P < 0.0001 (panel A), $F_{3, 6} = 58.3$ and P < 0.0001 (panel B), $F_{3, 6}$ = 18.6 and *P* = 0.0019 (panel C). ns, *P* > 0.05, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001.

(Figure 4B), suggesting that β 4-containing receptors mediate the majority of nicotine-induced release. Nicotine-induced [³H]-ACh release required extracellular calcium and was blocked completely in the presence of the nACh receptor antagonist MCA (Figure 4B). On the other hand, [³H]-ACh release was not dependent on action potentials, as it was not blocked by the sodium channel blocker TTX (Figure 4B).

Unlike nicotine-induced release, the release of [3H]-ACh using standard electrical field pulses (100–300 pulses at 10 Hz) was relatively weak (AUC: 0.23), and this release was not significantly reduced in the presence of TTX (Figure 4C). Because applying sustained tetanic stimulation (e.g. 20 s at 50 Hz) to cholinergic IPN afferents produces nACh receptormediated slow inward currents in IPN neurons (Ren *et al.*, 2011), we increased the number and frequency of pulses to 1000 pulses at 50 Hz. This stimulation protocol increased the maximum release of [³H]-ACh to 1.2 \pm 0.2, and this release was partially blocked by TTX (Figure 4C). Increasing extracellular [KCI] from 4.8 to 25 mM moderately increased [³H]-ACh release (AUC: 1.4), and this release required extracellular calcium but was not blocked by TTX (Figure 4C).

We found strikingly different effects with respect to nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release from the IPN. Although the effects of nicotine on [3H]-NA release were much smaller than on [³H]-ACh (AUC: 0.56 \pm 0.08 with 100 μ M nicotine), the release of [³H]-NA was blocked completely by TTX (Figure 4E). Cytisine, a partial agonist of β 2-containing receptors, had the same effect as nicotine at 100 µM, although 10 µM cytisine induced significantly less release than 10 μ M nicotine (P < 0.01, Student's unpaired *t*-test; Figure 4E). The EC_{50} and maximum nicotine-induced [3H]-NA release were 2.9 µM and 0.61 respectively (Figure 4F). In contrast with [³H]-ACh release, electrically induced [3H]-NA release was considerably greater than nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release (P < 0.01, Student's unpaired t-test; comparison between release induced by 100 pulses and release induced by 10 µM nicotine) and was blocked completely by TTX (Figure 4E).

Given that electrical stimuli evoked relatively weak [³H]-ACh release, we tested whether simultaneously releasing endogenous NA or GABA might inhibit this ACh release. Neither the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline nor the α_2 adrenoceptor antagonist yohimbine increased the release of [³H]-ACh in the IPN (Figure 4C). Similarly, yohimbine had no effect on electrically induced [³H]-ACh release in the hippocampus (see Figure 6A). In contrast, yohimbine increased electrically induced [³H]-NA release in the IPN (Figure 4E).

[³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from the rat Hb

We next examined the release of [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA from the rat Hb (Figure 5). In contrast with the IPN, nicotine induced virtually no [³H]-ACh release in the Hb (AUC: 0.03; Figure 5A). As in the IPN, electrically induced [³H]-ACh release was low in the Hb (AUC: 0.2), but was TTX-sensitive (Figure 5A). On the other hand, high-KCl-induced [³H]-ACh release was smaller in the Hb (AUC: 0.56) than in the IPN, and was calcium-dependent but TTX-insensitive (Figure 5A).

The EC_{50} and maximum nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release from the Hb were 8.3 μ M and 0.57, respectively, which are similar to the IPN (Figure 5B,C). The nicotine-induced release





Chemically and electrically induced [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from rat IPN. **(A)** [³H]-ACh release in response to a 30 s pulse of 30 μ M nicotine (indicated by the horizontal bar at the 4 min time point). Atropine (0.5 μ M) and hemicholinium (10 μ M) were present throughout the experiment. Each data point represents the mean ± SEM of three separate IPN tissue samples. The shaded area under the curve (AUC) for this experiment was 2.2. (B) [³H]-ACh release in response to nicotine or cytisine. (B₁) TTX had no significant effect on the release induced by 30 μ M nicotine (*P* > 0.05, Student's t-test). (B₂) Data were analysed using ANOVA (significant with *F*_{6, 49} = 12.94 and *P* < 0.0001) and Dunnett's *post hoc* test with 100 μ M nicotine as the reference data (designated by #). (C) [³H]-ACh release in response to high potassium or electrical pulses. (C₁) Data were analysed using ANOVA (significant with *F*_{6, 49} = 12.94 and *P* < 0.0001) and Dunnett's *post hoc* test with 100 μ M nicotine (significant with *F*_{2, 20} = 3.58 and *P* = 0.047) and Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test for pairwise group comparisons. (C₂) Data were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with *F*_{6, 59} = 12.60 and *P* < 0.0001), followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test for pairs of datasets. (D) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-ACh release. Nicotine EC₅₀: 47.9 μ M, maximum release effect (AUC): 5.5. Each data point represents ≥9 separate measurements. (E) [³H]-NA release data were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with *F*_{2, 24} = 16.70 and *P* < 0.0001) and pairwise group comparisons using Fisher's LSD test. (F) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release. Nicotine EC₅₀: 2.9 μ K; maximum effect (AUC): 0.61. Each data point represents ≥6 separate measurements. All data are presented as the mean ± SEM. All concentrations are in μ M, and the numbers in parentheses indicate the number of measurements. Bicuc, 30 μ M bicuculline; Cyt, cytisine; MCA, 10 μ M m





Chemically and electrically induced [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from rat habenula. (A) [³H]-ACh release in response to the indicated stimuli. (A₁) Due to low levels, release in response to nicotine was not investigated further for TTX sensitivity or dependence on calcium. (A₂) Difference was analysed by Student's unpaired *t*-test. (A₃) Release data were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{2, 24} = 4.25$ and P = 0.026) and Fisher's least significant difference test for pairwise group comparisons. (B) [³H]-NA release in response to the indicated stimuli. (B₁) Student's unpaired *t*-test was applied for a comparison of data with equal agonist concentrations. Note that 10 µM nicotine was less efficacious than 10 µM cytisine, whereas the effect of 100 µM did not differ significantly from 100 µM cytisine. (B₂) Difference was analysed by Student's unpaired *t*-test. (C) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release. Nicotine EC₅₀: 8.3 µM, maximum release (AUC): 0.57. Each data point represents ≥6 separate measurements. All data are presented as mean ± SEM. All concentrations are in µM; the numbers in parentheses indicate the number of measurements. Cyt, cytisine; Nic, nicotine; MCA, 10 µM mecamylamine; TTX, 1 µM tetrodotoxin; 100 P, 100 pulses (0.5 ms, 10 Hz, 40 mA); 25 KCI: 25 mM KCl. ns, P > 0.05; ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.05.

of [³H]-NA in the Hb was blocked completely by MCA and TTX (Figure 5B). Similar to the IPN, electrically induced [³H]-NA release was significantly larger than nicotine-induced release (P < 0.001, Student's unpaired *t*-test; comparison between release induced by 100 pulses and release induced by 30 μ M nicotine); moreover, cytisine- and nicotine-induced release were similar at 100 μ M, whereas cytisine induced significantly less release than nicotine at 10 μ M (P < 0.001, Student's unpaired *t*-test; Figure 5B).

Release of [³*H*]*-ACh in the rat hippocampus and cortex*

We next measured the release of [³H]-ACh in the parietal cortex and hippocampus in order to compare its release with the IPN and Hb. Nicotine induced extremely little [³H]-ACh release from hippocampal slices (AUC: 0.18; Figure 6A) and cortical slices (AUC: 0.05; Figure 6B). In contrast, our standard electrical stimulation protocol (i.e. 100 pulses) induced robust [³H]-ACh release from both the hippocampus and cortex (AUC: 1.8 and 1.4, respectively; Figure 6A, B), a response that was nearly 10-fold larger than the Hb and IPN. The release of [³H]-ACh increased approximately linearly with increasing pulse number, and the release induced with 100

5216 British Journal of Pharmacology (2014) 171 5209-5224

pulses was blocked completely by TTX in both the hippocampus (Figure 6A) and cortex (Figure 6B). The α_2 adrenoceptor antagonist yohimbine had no effect on electrically induced [³H]-ACh release in the hippocampus (Figure 6A). Moreover, omitting both the muscarinic receptor antagonist atropine and the choline re-uptake inhibitor hemicholinium from the bath decreased electrically induced [³H]-ACh release by approximately 50% (*P* < 0.01; one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's *post hoc* test; Figure 6A).

[³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release in the mouse IPN

We next examined [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release in the mouse IPN and Hb. In the IPN, nicotine induced [³H]-ACh release with an EC₅₀ and maximum release of 8.3 μ M and 3.5 respectively (Figure 7C). Thus, nicotine was more potent – but less efficacious – at driving ACh release in the mouse IPN compared with the rat IPN (hypothesis of shared EC₅₀ rejected with *P* < 0.05; hypothesis of shared maximum rejected with *P* < 0.01; *F*-test). However, the general properties of [³H]-ACh release were similar to the rat. Specifically, (i) nicotine-induced [³H]-ACh release was TTX-insensitive but required extracellular calcium (Figure 7A); (ii) the response to our





Nicotine-induced and electrically induced [³H]-ACh release from rat hippocampal and parietal cortical slices. (A and B) [³H]-ACh release from hippocampal slices (A) and cortical slices (B) in response to the indicated stimuli. Nicotine-induced release was small in both the hippocampus and the cortex and was therefore not analysed further. The data for electrically induced [³H]-ACh release in panel (A) were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{5, 57} = 5.07$ and P = 0.0007), followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (referenced to 100 pulses; indicated by #). (B) TTX fully prevented electrically induced release in cortical slices. The data are presented as mean ± SEM. All nicotine concentrations are in μ M; the numbers in parentheses represent the number of measurements. Atr, atropine; HMC, 10 μ M hemicholinium; Nic, nicotine; TTX: 1 μ M tetrodotoxin; Yohim, 2 μ M yohimbine; 100 P, 100 pulses (0.5 ms, 10 Hz, 40 mA). ns, P > 0.05; **P < 0.01.

standard pulse protocol was small (AUC: 0.15 with 100 pulses; 1.3 with 1000 pulses; Figure 7B); and (iii) increasing extracellular KCl from 4.8 to 25 mM increased [³H]-ACh release in a partially calcium-dependent, TTX-insensitive manner (Figure 7B). In addition, increasing extracellular KCl from 4.8 to 15 mM induced only marginal [³H]-ACh release in the IPN; however, unlike the hippocampus (Figure 9A), this release was not TTX-sensitive (Figure 7B).

The availability of KO mice with deletions of specific nACh receptor subunits enabled us to identify which receptor subtypes mediate [³H]-ACh release. [³H]-ACh release in the IPN of α 5-, α 7- and β 2-KO mice was similar to WT levels; however, release was abolished in the IPN of β 4-KO mice (Figure 7A).

We observed several similarities regarding [³H]-NA release between the mouse and rat IPN. Specifically, the EC50 and maximum release induced by nicotine in the mouse IPN were 1.34 µM and 0.54, respectively (Figure 7E), and nicotineinduced release was blocked by both MCA and TTX. Similarly, electrically induced [3H]-NA release was significantly larger (AUC: 2.5) than nicotine-induced release (Figure 7D). Interestingly, the \u03b32- and \u03b34-KO mice had nearly undetectable nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release (Figure 7D), suggesting that each of the two subunits is necessary - but not sufficient - for mediating nicotine-induced [3H]-NA release in the mouse IPN. In contrast, deleting the α 5 subunit increased the Hill coefficient (from 1.3 to 4.4) and rendered nACh receptors less sensitive to nicotine, causing a 50-fold increase in EC₅₀ (Figure 7E). On the other hand, α 7 subunits do not appear to play a role in nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release (Figure 7D).

The lack of release in the β 2- and β 4-KO animals can be attributed specifically to altered nACh receptors, not to the transmitter release machinery, as all three KO mice (α 5-, β 2- and β 4-KO) had WT levels of electrically induced [³H]-NA release (Figure 7D).

[³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release in the mouse Hb

Similar to the rat, stimulating the mouse Hb with 100 electrical pulses (AUC: 0.86) was more effective at releasing $[^{3}H]$ -ACh than stimulating with 300 μ M nicotine (AUC: 0.04) (Figure 8A). Due to nicotine's extremely low efficacy, we did not test MCA, TTX or calcium-free buffer; however, electrically induced $[^{3}H]$ -ACh release was inhibited by TTX (Figure 8A).

Nicotine-induced release of [³H]-NA in the Hb was qualitatively similar to nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release in the IPN. Specifically, the EC50 and maximum release were 11.87 μ M and 0.8, respectively (Figure 8C), and release was blocked by both MCA and TTX (Figure 8B). Likewise, β2and β4-KO mice had very little nicotine-induce [³H]-NA release, whereas the release in α 7-KO mice was similar to WT (Figure 8B). Deleting the α 5 subunit increased the Hill coefficient (from 1.1 to 3.3) and shifted the EC₅₀ of nicotineinduced release (to 77.3 μ M, Figure 8C). Compared with nicotine-induced release, the [³H]-NA release in response to electrical pulses was quite large (AUC: 3.1 with 100 pulses in WT mice) and not affected in any of the KO mice (Figure 8B).



Chemically and electrically induced [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release in mouse IPN. (A) [³H]-ACh release in response to nicotine. Differences were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with F7, 87 = 6.44 and P < 0.0001), followed by Dunnett's post hoc test (referenced to WT 30 µM nicotine; indicated by #). (B) [${}^{3}H$]-ACh release in response to the indicated stimuli. (B₁) Differences were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with an F_{4} . $_{25}$ = 62.37 and a corresponding *P* value < 0.0001), followed by Tukey's post hoc test for pairs of datasets. (B₂) Differences were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{2, 29} = 18.36$ and P < 0.0001) and Fisher's least significant difference test for pairwise group comparisons. (C) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [3 H]-ACh release. Nicotine EC₅₀: 8.3 μ M, maximum effect (AUC): 3.5. Each data point represents \geq 6 separate measurements. (D) [3 H]-NA release in response to the indicated stimuli. (D₁) Data were analysed by one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{8, 112} = 8.42$ and P < 0.0001), followed by Dunnett's post hoc test (referenced to WT 30 µM nicotine; indicated by #). (D₂) Data in response to electrical stimuli were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{4, 30} = 4.01$ and P = 0.010), followed by Dunnett's post hoc test (referenced to 100 pulses; indicated by #). (E) Dose-response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release. Data from WT mice are shown: nicotine EC₅₀: 1.34 μM (confidence interval 0.38–4.71 μM); maximum effect: 0.54; Hill coefficient 1.3. With data from α5-knockout mice: nicotine EC₅₀: 69.24 μM (confidence interval 52.25–91.76 μM); maximum effect: 0.48; Hill coefficient: 4.4. Although conspicuous, the shift in the dose–response curve is not significant $(F_{1,113} = 1.218 \text{ and } P = 0.272)$. Each data point represents ≥ 6 separate measurements. The data are presented as mean \pm SEM. All concentrations are in µM; the numbers in parentheses represent the number of measurements. MCA, 10 µM mecamylamine; Nic, nicotine; TTX, 1 µM tetrodotoxin; 100 P, 100 pulses (0.5 ms, 10 Hz, 40 mA); 15 KCl and 25 KCl: 15 mM and 25 mM KCl respectively. WT: C57BL/6J mice; α5 KO, α 7 KO, β 2 KO and β 4 KO: α 5-knockout, α 7-knockout, β 2-knockout and β 4-knockout mice respectively. ns, P > 0.05; ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; **P* < 0.05.





Chemically and electrically induced [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from the mouse habenula. (A) [³H]-ACh release in response to the indicated stimuli. Nicotine-induced release was small and therefore not analysed further. The release data in response to electrical stimuli were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{3, 23} = 4.05$ and P = 0.019), followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (referenced to 100 pulses; indicated by #). (B) [³H]-NA release in response to the indicated stimuli. (B₁) Differences were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with an $F_{8, 98} = 6.16$ and P < 0.0001), followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (referenced to WT 30 µM nicotine; indicated by #). (B₂) Differences were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{4, 28} = 12.11$ and P < 0.0001), followed by Dunnett's *post hoc* test (referenced to WT 100 pulses; indicated by #). (C) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release. With data from WT mice: nicotine EC₅₀: 11.87 µM (confidence interval: 2.93–48.07 µM); maximum effect: 0.80; Hill coefficient: 1.1). With data from α 5-knockout mice. nicotine EC₅₀: 77.3 µM (confidence interval: 55.2–108.4 µM); maximum effect: 0.87; Hill coefficient: 3.3). The shift in the dose–response curve is not significant ($F_{1, 112} = 0.79$ and P = 0.375). Each data point represents ≥6 separate measurements. The data are presented as mean ± SEM. All concentrations are in µM; the numbers in parentheses represent the number of measurements. MCA, 10 µM mecamylamine; Nic, nicotine; TTX, 1 µM tetrodotoxin; 100 P, 100 pulses (0.5 ms, 10 Hz, 40 mA). WT: C57BL/6J mice; α 5 KO, α 7 KO, β 2 KO and β 4 KO: α 5-knockout, α 7-knockout, β 2-knockout and β 4-knockout mice respectively. ns, P > 0.05; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

[³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release in the mouse hippocampus and cortex

In contrast to the rat experiments, in mouse slices, $30 \mu M$ nicotine was more effective at inducing [³H]-ACh release from the cortex (AUC: 0.3) than from the hippocampus (AUC:

0.07; Figure 9A,B). On the other hand, 100 electrical pulses triggered more [³H]-ACh release in the hippocampus (AUC: 3.0) than in the cortex (AUC: 0.96; Figure 9A,B). Increasing extracellular [KCl] to 15 mM increased [³H]-ACh in a partial TTX-sensitive manner, whereas 25 mM KCl caused considerably higher release that was TTX-insensitive (Figure 9A).



Chemically and electrically induced [³H]-ACh and [³H]-NA release from mouse hippocampal and parietal cortical slices. (A and B) [³H]-ACh release from hippocampal (A) and cortical slices (B) in response to the indicated stimuli. Differences in KCl-induced release (panel A) were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{5, 33} = 22.75$ and P < 0.0001), followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test for pairs of datasets. (C) [³H]-NA release from hippocampal slices in response to the indicated stimuli. (C₁) Data were analysed using Student's *t*-test (100 pulses; WT vs. α 5 knockout). (C₂) Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA (significant with $F_{5, 33} = 29.85$ and P < 0.0001), followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test. The graph shows statistical comparisons of interest. (D) Dose–response curve of nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release. With data from WT mice: nicotine EC₅₀: 5.60 μ M (confidence interval 0.94–33.14 μ M); maximum effect: 0.58; Hill coefficient: 1.0). With data from α 5-knockout mice: nicotine EC₅₀: 4.21 μ M (confidence interval 1.07–16.59); maximum effect: 0.49; Hill coefficient: 1.0. EC₅₀ values do not differ significantly ($F_{2, 55} = 0.42$ and P = 0.66). The data are presented as mean ± SEM. All nicotine concentrations are in μ M; the numbers in parentheses represent the number of measurements. Nic, nicotine; TTX, 1 μ M tetrodotoxin; 100 P, 100 pulses (0.5 ms, 10 Hz, 40 mA); 15 KCl, 25 KCl: 15 mM KCl and 25 mM KCl respectively. WT: C57BL/6] mice; α 5 KO: α 5-knockout mice. ns, P > 0.05; ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01.



Given that deleting the α 5 subunit affected nicotineinduced [³H]-NA release from mouse IPN and Hb, we also studied [³H]-NA release in the mouse hippocampus. Interestingly, we found no difference between WT and α 5-KO mice (Figure 9D). In addition, [³H]-NA release was extremely robust in response to both electrical stimuli and elevated [KCI] (Figure 9C). As with [³H]-ACh, 15 mM KCl-induced [³H]-NA release – but not 25 mM KCl-induced release – was TTX-sensitive and both conditions were highly calciumdependent (Figure 9C).

Discussion and conclusions

nACh receptor receptor subtypes in the rat and mouse IPN

The rich cholinergic innervation of the IPN is well documented (reviewed in Klemm, 2004). In the IPN, nACh receptors are located at both presynaptic and postsynaptic sites (Mulle et al., 1991; Grady et al., 2001; 2009). In contrast with a previous IP study in which β4-containing receptors outnumbered \beta2-containing receptors in the rat (Grady et al., 2009), we found more β 2-containing receptors than β 4-containing receptors (at a ratio or approximately 2:1), and we found that approximately 20% of nACh receptors in the rat IPN contain both $\beta 2$ and $\beta 4$ subunits. We also detected high levels of $\alpha 2$ subunits (particularly in the rat) and relatively low levels of $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ subunits. We did not measure β 3 subunits, which can assemble with β 4 and affect ACh release in the IPN (Grady et al., 2009). In partial agreement with Grady *et al.* (2009), the β 2-KO mice had no detectable α 6 subunits, significantly reduced $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 5$ subunits, and WT levels of $\alpha 3$ and $\beta 4$ subunits. In mice, deleting the $\beta 4$ subunit significantly reduced - but did not abolish - the number of α 3-containing receptors, indicating that approximately 73% of the α 3 subunits assemble into β 4-containing receptors and approximately 27% assemble into β 2-containing receptors. We found no change in the levels of $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$ or $\alpha 6$ subunits in the $\beta 4$ -KO mice. From our experiments with β 2-KO and β 4-KO mice, we conclude that $\alpha 5$ subunits co-assemble with $\beta 2$ – but not $\beta 4$ – subunits in the mouse IPN, as previously suggested (Grady et al., 2009). Our IP assays based on the combined use of anti- $\alpha 2$ and anti- α 5 antibodies (Figure 3) rule out the possibility that these two subunits co-assemble in one receptor. However, we currently have no evidence to support the co-assembly of a5 with either $\alpha 3$ or $\alpha 4$ subunits (to form $\alpha 3\beta 2\alpha 5$ or $\alpha 4\beta 2\alpha 5$ receptors respectively). Consistent with previous publications (Brown et al., 2007; Baddick and Marks, 2011), deleting α5 had no effect on either the total number of receptors or the expression of any other subunit.

$[^{3}H]$ -ACh release in the Hb and IPN

Both rats and mice had robust nicotine-induced [³H]-ACh release in the IPN, but not in the Hb. Consistent with previous findings in IPN synaptosomes (Grady *et al.*, 2001; 2009), deleting the β 4 subunit – but not α 5 or β 2 – eliminated nicotine-induced ACh release. Grady *et al.* (2009) also measured the release of [³H]-ACh from IPN synaptosomes isolated from α 2-, α 4-, α 6-, α 7- and β 3-KO mice and found that

ACh-induced release was reduced in β3-KO mice but not in the other KO mice. Because cytisine - a partial agonist of β 2-containing receptors and a full agonist of β 4-containing receptors (Papke and Heinemann, 1994) - was as potent as nicotine in inducing [3H]-ACh release in the rat IPN, we conclude that – as in mice – β 4-containing receptors mediate this release. The release of [3H]-ACh was calcium-dependent but TTX-insensitive, indicating that the primary source of calcium entry is presynaptic nACh receptors (Kulak et al., 2001), not voltage-gated calcium channels (Wonnacott, 1997; Kulak et al., 2001). Surprisingly, (and in contrast with the cortex and hippocampus), field stimulation with our standard pulse protocol induced only a marginal release of [³H]-ACh from the IPN in both rats and mice. However, IPN synaptosomes also require a higher concentration of [KCl]_o for inducing the release of ACh (40 mM; Grady et al., 2001) than cortical synaptosomes for the release GABA or striatal synaptosomes for the release of dopamine (20 mM; Grady et al., 2012), suggesting differences in the threshold for activating transmitter release. Alternatively, electrical stimuli may activate additional transmitter systems that exert inhibitory effects on the release of ACh. Indeed, the IPN contains a large population of GABAergic neurons (Kawaja et al., 1989; Lena et al., 1993; Hsu et al., 2013) and receives noradrenergic input from the LC, 5-hydroxytryptaminergic input from the raphe nuclei and dopaminergic input from the ventral tegmentum (Klemm, 2004; Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Bianco and Wilson, 2009; Kobayashi et al., 2013). However, neither the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline nor the α_2 adrenoceptor antagonist vohimbine affected electrically induced [³H]-ACh release.

Our data support and extend previous reports that despite its rich cholinergic innervation and the presence of postsynaptic nACh receptors, evidence of fast cholinergic synaptic transmission in the IPN remains controversial (Brown et al., 1983; Ren et al., 2011). Thus, selectively stimulating cholinergic axon terminals with optogenetics elicits fast responses mediated by synaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors; in contrast, continuous 5 s or tetanic light pulses (≥ 20 Hz for 20 s) elicit slow cholinergic effects mediated by nicotinic receptors (Ren et al., 2011). However, in slice preparations, MHb cells generated tonic trains of action potentials that averaged 5 Hz (Kim and Chang, 2005). Although applying ACh or glutamate caused rapid, short-lasting excitation of MHb neurons (McCormick and Prince, 1987), whether these neurons fire in vivo for sufficient duration at ≥20 Hz in order to cause the slow cholinergic effects observed by Ren et al. (2011) remains unknown.

$[^{3}H]$ -NA release in Hb and IPN

[³H]-NA release differed from [³H]-ACh release in both rats and mice. First, nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release in both the IPN and Hb was TTX-sensitive, suggesting an effect mediated by preterminal – rather than presynaptic – nACh receptors (Wonnacott, 1997). In striking contrast, including TTX in the superfusion buffer had no effect on the nicotine-induced release of [³H]-ACh in the IPN. Secondly, electrically induced [³H]-NA release greatly exceeded nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release in both the IPN and Hb (and even more so in the hippocampus); in contrast, in the IPN, nicotine induced much more [³H]-ACh release than electrical stimuli. The nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release in both the IPN and Hb required the presence of β 2- and β 4-containing receptors, as shown by the significantly reduced release in the respective KO mouse lines. In contrast, deleting the α 5 subunit did not affect efficacy, but rendered nACh receptors less sensitive to nicotine-induced [³H]-NA release.

Role of the α 5 nACh receptor subunit

The α 5 subunit is considered an 'accessory' subunit, as it requires both a β subunit and another α subunit (e.g. $\alpha 4\beta 2\alpha 5$ or $\alpha 3\beta 4\alpha 5$ receptors) to form a functional receptor (Ramirez-Latorre *et al.*, 1996; Wang *et al.*, 1996; Gerzanich *et al.*, 1998). Consistent with our results, deleting the α 5 subunit renders mice less susceptible to both nicotineinduced seizures (Salas *et al.*, 2003) and the analgesic effects of nicotine (Jackson *et al.*, 2010).

Similarly, nicotine - and to an even greater extent, ACh activated recombinant human $\alpha 3\beta 2\alpha 5$ nACh receptors expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes more potently than α 3 β 2 receptors (Gerzanich *et al.*, 1998). On the other hand, chick a4β2a5 nACh receptors expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes were less sensitive to nicotine than $\alpha 4\beta 2$ receptors (Ramirez-Latorre et al., 1996; Fucile et al., 1997). Conversely, including α 5 had little effect on the sensitivity of native and recombinant α3β4 (Fucile *et al.*, 1997; Gerzanich *et al.*, 1998; Fischer *et al.*, 2005) and on native $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nACh receptors (although the α -CtxMII–resistant component of dopamine release was largely inhibited in α 5 KO mice; Salminen et al., 2004). Human $(\alpha 4\beta 2)_2 \alpha 5$ receptors (i.e. receptors comprised of two $\alpha 4$ subunits, two $\beta 2$ subunits and one $\alpha 5$ subunit) stably expressed in HEK cells were more potently activated by nicotine than $(\alpha 4\beta 2)_2\alpha 4$ receptors, but less potently than $(\alpha 4\beta 2)_2\beta 2$ receptors (Kuryatov *et al.*, 2008).

In mouse hippocampal synaptosomes, two types of nACh receptors have been proposed to mediate NA release: $\alpha 6(\alpha 4)\beta 2\beta 3\beta 4$ and $\alpha 6(\alpha 4)\beta 2\beta 3$ receptors (Azam and McIntosh, 2006). Consistent with this report, we found that deleting a5 did not change the potency of nicotine at triggering [³H]-NA release in the mouse hippocampus, suggesting that the nACh receptors that modulate NA release system differ between the hippocampus and the Hb-IPN system. A similar conclusion has recently been reached by comparing the NA release in the rat hippocampus and frontal cortex (Kennett et al., 2012). The general consensus in the field is that the prefrontal cortex (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003; Robertson et al., 2013), the hippocampus (see Oleskevich et al., 1989; Robertson et al., 2013) and the IPN (reviewed in Klemm, 2004; Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Bianco and Wilson, 2009) all receive noradrenergic input from the LC. Hence, either target-dependent effects on receptor expression, or projections that arise from diverse populations of brainstem noradrenergic neurons may account for the different types of receptors. The latter concept has recently been put forward by showing that noradrenergic neurons in the brainstem are comprised of four genetically distinct subpopulations (Robertson et al., 2013). Based on this new classification, future experiments may assign distinct populations of brainstem NA neurons with different properties to various brain regions, including the Hb-IPN system.

 α 5-KO mice do not exhibit an aversion to high doses of nicotine, and their nACh receptor-mediated signalling in the

IPN (assessed by the number of Fos-positive cells) is reduced, suggesting a gain-of-function effect if $\alpha 5$ assembles into $\alpha 3\beta 4$ receptors (Fowler et al., 2011). Consistent with this hypothesis, transgenic mice with targeted overexpression of CHRNB4 have a strong aversion to nicotine and viral-mediated expression of the α 5 D398N variant in the MHb – which reduces the function of $\alpha 3\beta 4$ receptors – reversed this aversion to nicotine (Frahm *et al.*, 2011). However, not only the α5 D398N variant but also WT α 5 decreased the current amplitudes if added to α3β4 receptors expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes (Frahm et al., 2011), and overexpressing the CHRNA5/CHRNA3/ CHRNB4 gene cluster created a mouse that was prone to nicotine consumption (Gallego et al., 2012). To date, no unifying mechanism has been proposed to explain these partially contradicting observations. Here, we found that (i) electrical stimuli - unlike nicotine - induce only a weak release of [3H]-ACh in the IPN, (ii) nicotine-induced [3H]-ACh release depends on β 4- but not α 5-containing nACh receptors, and (iii) deleting the α 5 subunit reduces the potency of nicotine at inducing NA release in the mouse Hb-IPN. Together, these findings increase the probable complexity of the underlying mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

Generation of the subunit-specific nACh receptor antibodies was supported by a grant from the Austrian Science Fund (P19325-B09 to P. S.). We are grateful to Karin Schwarz and Gabriele Koth for skillful technical assistance.

Author contributions

All experiments were performed at the Department of Pathobiology of the Nervous System, Center for Brain Research, Medical University of Vienna. F. B. was responsible for the conception and design of release experiments, conduction of the experiments, collection and analysis of data and drafting and revising the article critically for important intellectual content. C. Z. was responsible for the conduction of immunoprecipitation experiments and analysis of data. A. O.-U. was responsible for the generation of $\alpha 5$, $\beta 4$ and $\alpha 7$ KO mice and drafting and revising the article critically for important intellectual content. R. R. was responsible for statistical advice and reanalysis of the entire dataset. S. H. was responsible for the conception and design of the experiments, analysis and interpretation of data and drafting and revising the article critically for important intellectual content. P. S. was responsible for breeding and dissection of all animals and drafting and revising the article critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

None.



References

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Spedding M *et al.* (2013a). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: G protein-coupled receptors. Br J Pharmacol. 170: 1459–1581.

Alexander SPH, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Spedding M *et al.* (2013b). The Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2013/14: G protein-coupled receptors. Br J Pharmacol. 170: 1582–1606.

Azam L, McIntosh JM (2006). Characterization of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors that modulate nicotine-evoked [³H]norepinephrine release from mouse hippocampal synaptosomes. Mol Pharmacol 70: 967–976.

Baddick CG, Marks MJ (2011). An autoradiographic survey of mouse brain nicotinic acetylcholine receptors defined by null mutants. Biochem Pharmacol 82: 828–841.

Berridge CW, Waterhouse BD (2003). The locus coeruleusnoradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 42: 33–84.

Bianco IH, Wilson SW (2009). The habenular nuclei: a conserved asymmetric relay station in the vertebrate brain. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364: 1005–1020.

Bierut LJ, Stitzel JA, Wang JC, Hinrichs AL, Grucza RA, Xuei X *et al.* (2008). Variants in nicotinic receptors and risk for nicotine dependence. Am J Psychiatry 165: 1163–1171.

Brown DA, Docherty RJ, Halliwell JV (1983). Chemical transmission in the rat interpeduncular nucleus in vitro. J Physiol 341: 655–670.

Brown RWB, Collins AC, Lindstrom JM, Whiteaker P (2007). Nicotinic α5 subunit deletion locally reduces high-affinity agonist activation without altering nicotinic receptor numbers. J Neurochem 103: 204–215.

Bruijnzeel AW (2012). Tobacco addiction and the dysregulation of brain stress systems. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 36: 1418–1441.

David R, Ciuraszkiewicz A, Simeone X, Orr-Urtreger A, Papke RL, McIntosh JM *et al.* (2010). Biochemical and functional properties of distinct nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the superior cervical ganglion of mice with targeted deletions of nAChR subunit genes. Eur J Neurosci 31: 978–993.

Fischer H, Orr-Urtreger A, Role LW, Huck S (2005). Selective deletion of the α 5 subunit differentially affects somatic-dendritic versus axonally targeted nicotinic ACh receptors in mouse. J Physiol 563: 119–137.

Fowler CD, Lu Q, Johnson PM, Marks MJ, Kenny PJ (2011). Habenular α 5 nicotinic receptor subunit signalling controls nicotine intake. Nature 471: 597–601.

Frahm S, Slimak MA, Ferrarese L, Santos-Torres J, Antolin-Fontes B, Auer S *et al.* (2011). Aversion to nicotine is regulated by the balanced activity of β 4 and α 5 nicotinic receptor subunits in the medial habenula. Neuron 70: 522–535.

Fucile S, Barabino B, Palma E, Grassi F, Limatola C, Mileo AM *et al.* (1997). α 5 subunit forms functional α 3 β 4 α 5 nAChRs in transfected human cells. Neuroreport 8: 2433–2436.

Gallego X, Molas S, Amador-Arjona A, Marks MJ, Robles N, Murtra P *et al.* (2012). Overexpression of the CHRNA5/A3/B4 genomic cluster in mice increases the sensitivity to nicotine and modifies its reinforcing effects. Amino Acids 43: 897–909.

Gerzanich V, Wang F, Kuryatov A, Lindstrom J (1998). α 5 subunit alters desensitization, pharmacology, Ca⁺⁺ permeability and Ca⁺⁺

modulation of human neuronal $\alpha 3$ nicotinic receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 286: 311–320.

Glick SD, Sell EM, McCallum SE, Maisonneuve IM (2011). Brain regions mediating α 3 β 4 nicotinic antagonist effects of 18-MC on nicotine self-administration. Eur J Pharmacol 669: 71–75.

Grady SR, Meinerz NM, Cao J, Reynolds AM, Picciotto MR, Changeux JP *et al.* (2001). Nicotinic agonists stimulate acetylcholine release from mouse interpeduncular nucleus: a function mediated by a different nAChR than dopamine release from striatum. J Neurochem 76: 258–268.

Grady SR, Moretti M, Zoli M, Marks MJ, Zanardi A, Pucci L *et al.* (2009). Rodent habenulo-interpeduncular pathway expresses a large variety of uncommon nAChR subtypes, but only the α 3 β 4* and α 3 β 3 β 4* subtypes mediate acetylcholine release. J Neurosci 29: 2272–2282.

Grady SR, Wageman CR, Patzlaff NE, Marks MJ (2012). Low concentrations of nicotine differentially desensitize nicotinic acetylcholine receptors that include α 5 or α 6 subunits and that mediate synaptosomal neurotransmitter release. Neuropharmacology 62: 1935–1943.

Hsu YW, Tempest L, Quina LA, Wei AD, Zeng H, Turner EE (2013). Medial habenula output circuit mediated by α 5 nicotinic receptor-expressing GABAergic neurons in the interpeduncular nucleus. J Neurosci 33: 18022–18035.

Jackson KJ, Marks MJ, Vann RE, Chen X, Gamage TF, Warner JA *et al.* (2010). Role of α 5 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in pharmacological and behavioral effects of nicotine in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 334: 137–146.

Kawaja MD, Flumerfelt BA, Hrycyshyn AW (1989). Glutamate decarboxylase immunoreactivity in the rat interpeduncular nucleus: a light and electron microscope investigation. Neuroscience 30: 741–753.

Kennett A, Heal DJ, Wonnacott S (2012). Pharmacological differences between rat frontal cortex and hippocampus in the nicotinic modulation of noradrenaline release implicate distinct receptor subtypes. Nicotine Tob Res 14: 1339–1345.

Kilkenny C, Browne W, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG (2010). Animal research: Reporting in vivo experiments: the ARRIVE guidelines. Br J Pharmacol 160: 1577–1579.

Kim U, Chang SY (2005). Dendritic morphology, local circuitry, and intrinsic electrophysiology of neurons in the rat medial and lateral habenular nuclei of the epithalamus. J Comp Neurol 483: 236–250.

Klemm WR (2004). Habenular and interpeduncularis nuclei: shared components in multiple-function networks. Med Sci Monit 10: RA261–RA273.

Kobayashi Y, Sano Y, Vannoni E, Goto H, Suzuki H, Oba A *et al.* (2013). Genetic dissection of medial habenula-interpeduncular nucleus pathway function in mice. Front Behav Neurosci 7: 17.

Kulak JM, McIntosh JM, Yoshikami D, Olivera BM (2001). Nicotine-evoked transmitter release from synaptosomes: functional association of specific presynaptic acetylcholine receptors and voltage-gated calcium channels. J Neurochem 77: 1581–1589.

Kuryatov A, Onksen J, Lindstrom J (2008). Roles of accessory subunits in $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nicotinic receptors. Mol Pharmacol 74: 132–143.

Lecourtier L, Kelly PH (2007). A conductor hidden in the orchestra? Role of the habenular complex in monoamine transmission and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 31: 658–672.

Lena C, Changeux J-P, Mulle C (1993). Evidence for 'preterminal' nicotinic receptors on GABAergic axons in the rat interpeduncular nucleus. J Neurosci 13: 2680–2688.



McCormick DA, Prince D (1987). Acetylcholine causes rapid nicotinic excitation in the medial habenular nucleus of the guinea pig, *in vitro*. J Neurosci 7: 742–752.

McGrath J, Drummond G, McLachlan E, Kilkenny C, Wainwright C (2010). Guidelines for reporting experiments involving animals: the ARRIVE guidelines. Br J Pharmacol 160: 1573–1576.

Mulle C, Vidal C, Benoit P, Changeux J-P (1991). Existence of different subtypes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the rat habenulo-interpeduncular system. J Neurosci 11: 2588–2597.

Oleskevich S, Descarries L, Lacaille JC (1989). Quantified distribution of the noradrenaline innervation in the hippocampus of adult rat. J Neurosci 9: 3803–3815.

Papke RL, Heinemann SF (1994). Partial agonist properties of cytisine on neuronal nicotinic receptors containing the β 2 subunit. Mol Pharmacol 45: 142–149.

Pawson AJ, Sharman JL, Benson HE, Faccenda E, Alexander SP, Buneman OP *et al.*; NC-IUPHAR (2014). The IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY: an expert-driven knowledgebase of drug targets and their ligands. Nucl. Acids Res. 42 (Database Issue): D1098-106.

Qin C, Luo M (2009). Neurochemical phenotypes of the afferent and efferent projections of the mouse medial habenula. Neuroscience 161: 827–837.

Ramirez-Latorre J, Yu CR, Qu X, Perin F, Karlin A, Role LW (1996). Functional contributions of α 5 subunit to neuronal acetylcholine receptor channels. Nature 380: 347–351.

Ren J, Qin C, Hu F, Tan J, Qiu L, Zhao S *et al.* (2011). Habenula 'cholinergic' neurons co-release glutamate and acetylcholine and

activate postsynaptic neurons via distinct transmission modes. Neuron 69: 445-452.

Robertson SD, Plummer NW, de Marchena J, Jensen P (2013). Developmental origins of central norepinephrine neuron diversity. Nat Neurosci 16: 1016–1023.

Salas R, Orr-Urtreger A, Broide RS, Beaudet A, Paylor R, De Biasi M (2003). The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit α 5 mediates short-term effects of nicotine in vivo. Mol Pharmacol 63: 1059–1066.

Salas R, Sturm R, Boulter J, De Biasi M (2009). Nicotinic receptors in the habenulo-interpeduncular system are necessary for nicotine withdrawal in mice. J Neurosci 29: 3014–3018.

Salminen O, Murphy KL, McIntosh JM, Drago J, Marks MJ, Collins AC *et al.* (2004). Subunit composition and pharmacology of two classes of striatal presynaptic nicotinic acetylcholine receptors mediating dopamine release in mice. Mol Pharmacol 65: 1526–1535.

Scholze P, Koth G, Orr-Urtreger A, Huck S (2012). Subunit composition of α 5-containing nicotinic receptors in the rodent habenula. J Neurochem 121: 551–560.

Wang F, Gerzanich V, Wells GB, Anand R, Peng X, Keyser K *et al.* (1996). Assembly of human neuronal nicotinic receptor α 5 subunits with α 3, β 2, and β 4 subunits. J Biol Chem 271: 17656–17665.

Wonnacott S (1997). Presynaptic nicotinic ACh receptors. Trends Neurosci 20: 92–98.

Yamaguchi T, Danjo T, Pastan I, Hikida T, Nakanishi S (2013). Distinct roles of segregated transmission of the septo-habenular pathway in anxiety and fear. Neuron 78: 537–544.