Familial Adenomatous Polyposis: Experience from a Study of 1164 Unrelated German Polyposis Patients

Waltraut Friedl, Stefan Aretz

Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Germany

Key words: familial adenomatous polyposis, APC gene, APC mutations, genotype-phenotype correlations

Corresponding author: Dr. Waltraut Friedl, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Wilhelmstrasse 31, D-53111 Bonn, Germany, phone +49 (0) 228-287-2334, fax +49 (0) 228-287-2380, e-mail: waltraut.friedl@ukb.uni-bonn.de

Submitted: 29 August 2005 Accepted: 2 September 2005

Abstract

The autosomal-dominant precancerous condition familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is caused by germline mutations in the tumour suppressor gene APC. Consistent correlations between the site of mutations in the gene and clinical phenotype have been published for different patient groups. We report our experiences of APC mutation analysis and genotype-phenotype correlations in 1166 unrelated polyposis families and discuss our results in the light of literature data. We show that the mutation detection rates largely depend on the family history and clinical course of the disease. We present a list of 315 different point mutations and 37 large deletions detected in 634 of the 1166 index patients. Our results confirm previously published genotype-phenotype correlations with respect to the colorectal phenotype and extracolonic manifestations. However, 'exceptions to the rule' are also observed, and possible explanations for this are discussed. The discovery of autosomal-recessive *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP) as a differential diagnosis to FAP implies that some results have to be reinterpreted and surveillance guidelines in the families have to be reevaluated.

Introduction

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP, OMIM +175100) is a clinical diagnosis that is typically based on the presence of more than 100 colorectal adenomas. If untreated patients develop colorectal cancer at a mean age of 40 years [1]. Other gastrointestinal features (duodenal adenomas, fundic gland cysts) and extragastrointestinal manifestations, including congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE), desmoids and osteomas are frequently described.

FAP is an autosomal-dominant disorder caused by germline mutations in the tumour suppressor gene APC on chromosomal region 5q22 [2, 3]. The APC gene encodes a multifunctional protein of 2843 amino acids

that plays a major role in controlling cell cycle progression, migration, differentiation and apoptosis (review in [4, 5]). Germline mutations in the APC gene were also detected in some families with an attenuated polyposis phenotype (AAPC, AFAP) who present with less than 100 adenomas and a later age at onset compared to patients with typical FAP [6].

During the last few years correlations between site of mutation in the APC gene and clinical phenotype have been reported on patient groups of different sizes [7-12]. Most of the published correlations proved to be statistically consistent, but there were also 'deviations from the rule' when individual cases were considered.

Recently a polyposis syndrome characterised by multiple adenomatous polyps and an autosomal-

-recessive mode of inheritance has been identified that is caused by germline mutations in the base excision repair gene *MUTYH* (*MYH*) [13]. Biallelic *MUTYH* mutations have been identified in up to 40% of patients in whom no mutation in the *APC* gene was identified [14-16]. This new adenomatous polyposis condition is designated as *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP; OMIM #608456) and has to be considered as a differential diagnosis of the autosomal-dominant FAP.

In this review we report on our experience of mutation analysis in the APC gene and genotype-phenotype correlations in 1166 unrelated patients with a clinical diagnosis of FAP or multiple adenomatous polyposis consistent with AAPC and discuss our results in the light of literature data.

Phenotype classification

Since 1991, blood samples from 1166 unrelated patients with a clinical diagnosis of typical or attenuated FAP (AAPC) have been referred to the Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn for mutation analysis in the APC gene. Clinical information on 2066 patients from the 1166 families was obtained during genetic counselling sessions, from a questionnaire, or through telephone interviews and/or medical records. The study was approved by the Ethics Commission of University Hospital, Bonn.

Colorectal phenotype

The classification of different FAP phenotypes was based on the number of colorectal adenomas, age at diagnosis of FAP and occurrence of CRC (Table 1). The FAP phenotype was classified as typical when the patient presented with more than 100 colorectal adenomas before the age of 35; in cases of unavailable or unclear colonoscopic data, the classification was based on the occurrence of clinical bowel symptoms before the age of 35, or a diagnosis of CRC before the age of 45. The diagnostic criterion for the attenuated phenotype (AAPC) was the occurrence of a smaller number of adenomas (10-100) after the age of 25 or more than 100 adenomas diagnosed for the first time after the age of 45. When the polyp number was unknown, AAPC was assigned if the first symptoms or diagnosis of CRC occurred after the age of 45. The phenotype was considered severe in patients who developed more than a thousand adenomas or polyposis-related bowel symptoms before the age of 15, or when diagnosed with polyps before the age of 10. The phenotype was classified as atypical in patients who did not meet the criteria for either typical or attenuated FAP, when an unambiguous attribution was impossible. Patients with

an atypical course usually presented with more than 100 polyps, diagnosed between 35 and 45 years of age; in addition, cases with an obvious discrepancy between the age at diagnosis (symptoms) and the number of colorectal adenomas were also considered atypical. If clinical information on the colorectal disease was not available or not sufficient to determine the extent of colorectal polyposis, the phenotype was considered 'unknown'.

Family history

Due to the existence of the recently discovered MUTYH-associated polyposis [13] we considered it important to allow for the mode of inheritance in the families: index patients were classified as familial cases with autosomal-dominant inheritance when at least two patients (one of them a parent of the index patient) were affected in the family. An autosomal-recessive mode of inheritance was considered when the index patient had at least one affected sibling, but no affected parents or children. Cases where the index patient was the only affected person known in the family, or no information on other family members (parents, siblings, children) was available, were classified as 'single cases'. Index patients were classified as de novo mutations only if an APC mutation was identified and both parents were either healthy until an advanced age, or when the mutation was excluded in both parents, irrespective of whether or not the index patient had affected children [17].

Mutation analysis in the APC gene

Point mutations

Genomic DNA extracted from peripheral blood samples was used for mutation analysis. We started the search for germline mutations in the APC gene in 1991 by SSCP and heteroduplex analysis. To date, we apply the protein truncation test (PTT) for detection of mutations in exon 15 in four overlapping fragments, essentially as described [18], using an in vitro transcription translation kit (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) in the presence of ³⁵S-Methionine (Amersham). Denaturing high-performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) is used for screening of exons 1-14 and the first 400 bp of exon 15 (WAVE, Transgenomics). PCR fragments showing variant bands by either method were sequenced on an ABI prism 377 or ABI 3100 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) using the cycle sequencing procedure and the BigDye terminator kit version 2.0 or 1.1, respectively. Some of the APC mutation negative patients from the first series were reexamined using the more up-to-date procedures described.

	Colorectal phenotype					
	all	severe	typical	atypical	attenuated	unknown
Patients	1166	33	504	85	407	137
point mutation	597	25	384	38	93	57
large deletion	37		30	2	4	1
all mutations	634	25	414	40	97	58
Mutation detection rate	54%	76%	82%	47%	24%	42%
Familial cases (dominant)	558	13	326	38	131	50
Mutation detection rate	73%	85%	85%	71%	49%	56%
Familial cases (recessive)	27	1	2	6	17	1
Mutation detection rate	0%					
De novo mutations	63	10	46	-	6]*
Mutation detection rate	100%					
Single cases	255	7	65	26	127	30
Mutation detection rate	30%	43%	60%	27%	14%	30%
Unknown	263	2	66	15	125	55
Mutation detection rate	34%	50%	80%	40%	7%	36%

Table 1. APC mutation detection rate according to colorectal phenotype and family history

* The diagnosis of FAP was suspected because of osteomas in a child with normal colonic phenotype at the age of 11. In this patient a de novo frameshift mutation in codon 1551 was proven.

We identified a germline point mutation in the APC gene in 597/1166 patients (51.2%) (Table 1). Overall, we found 315 different point mutations (Supplementary table 1). Most of the mutations are spread over the first half of the gene. Of note, no mutation was detected in exons 1 and 2 (Fig. 1). Two hot spot mutations at codons 1309 and 1061 are evident.

Most mutations were truncating, including 364 frameshift mutations, 182 nonsense mutations and 38 mutations in the highly conserved splice site sequences. In addition, in 13 patients we identified 8 different missense mutations and three different silent substitutions; seven of these single base substitutions were localised at exonic boundaries. For four substitutions localised in exon 4 and exon 14 we could demonstrate by RNA analysis that they actually affect splicing and thus cannot be considered missense mutations or silent variants [19].

Large genomic deletions

DNA samples from patients negative for point mutations in the APC gene were examined for the

presence of large genomic deletions or duplications using MLPA (multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification) (SALSA PO43 APC exon deletion test kit; MRC Holland, Amsterdam) according to the manufacturer's recommendations, as described [20].

Five large genomic deletions encompassing the APC gene had been detected earlier by haplotype analysis; one of them was cytogenetically visible [21, 22]. By use of MLPA we have examined so far 401 out of the 569 patients negative for point mutations in the APC gene for the presence of large genomic deletions or duplications [20]. Altogether we have detected a total of 37 large deletions. The deletions extend over single exons up to the entire APC gene including the promotor region (see Supplementary table 2 and Fig. 1).

Mutational hot spots

The 5 bp deletion c. 3927_3931delAAAGA (at codon 1309) was detected in 56 of the 1166 index patients (4.8%). All except two patients with this mutation showed typical or severe early onset polyposis; in this combined patient group the frequency of the

Supplementary table 1. 315 different point mutations detected in 597 out of 1166 unrelated patients suspected of FAP. (The DNA mutation numbering is based on the cDNA sequence for APC where +1 corresponds to the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the reference sequence Genbank, NM_000038.2.)

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles	
3	122	c.366delG	p.Gly122fs	1	
3	129	c.386_387insT	p.Glu129fs	1	
3	139	c.416_419delAAGA	p.Lys139fs	1	
intron 3	141	c.423-5A>G		2	
intron 3	141	c.423-3T>A		1	
intron 3	141	c.423-2A>T		1	
intron 3	141	c.423-1G>A		1	
intron 3	141	c.423-1G>T		1	
intron 3	141	c.423-1G>C		1	
4	141	c.423G>T	p.Arg141>Ser*	2	
4	142	c.426_427delAT	p-Ser142fs	1	
4	150	c.450_453delAGAA	p.Lys150fs	2	
4	151	c.453delA	p.Glu151fs	1	
4	152	c.455_459delAAAG	p.Glu152fs	1	
4	153	c.457_458delAA	p.Lys153fs	1	
4	156	c.468_472delCTGGT	p.Asp156fs	1	
4	159	c.477C>G	p.Tyr159X	2	
4	161	c.481C>T	p.Gln161X	1	
4	170	c.509_512delATAG	p.Asp170fs	4	
4	171	c.509_512dupATAG	p.Ser171fs	1	
intron 4	177	c.531+1G>A		1	
intron 4	177	c.531+5G>C		1	
intron 4	177	c.531+5_531+8delGTAA		2	
intron 4	178	c.532-2A>G		1	
intron 4	178	c.532-1G>T		1	
5	181	c.540_541insA		1	
5	181	c.541C>T	p.Gln181X	4	
5	191	c.573dupT	p.Tyr191fs	1	
5	197	c.591_592delAG	p.Arg197fs	1	
5	203	c.607delC	p.Gln203fs	2	
5	213	c.637C>T	p.Arg213X	7	
5	214	c.641dupC	p.Ala214fs	1	
6	216	c.646C>T	c.646C>T p.Arg216X		
6	225	c.673G>T	c.673G>T p.Glu225X		
6	226	c.677delA	c.677delA p.Lys226fs		
6	232	c.694C>T	p.Arg232X	6	
6	242	c.726delA	p.Pro242fs	1	
intron 6	243	c.730-1G>T		2	

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles	
7	264	c.790C>T	p.Gln264X	1	
7	267	c.799G>T	p.Gly267X	1	
7	271	c.812delT	p.Met271fs	1	
7	271	c.811_818delATGGCAAC	p.Met271fs	1	
7	278	c.834G>A	p.Gln278	1	
8	283	c.847C>T	p.Arg283X	6	
8	297	c.891_894delACAC	p.Thr297fs	1	
8	302	c.904C>T	p.Arg302X	5	
intron 8	311	c.933+2T>C		1	
intron 8	311	c.933+1delG		1	
9	314	c.940dupA	p.Met314fs	1	
9	332	c.994C>T	p.Arg332X	9	
9	340	c.1018_1019dupTC	p.Ser340fs	1	
9	367	c.1100_1101delCT	p.Ser367fs	1	
9	368	c.1102dupG	p.Val368fs	1	
9	374	c.1120dupC	p.Ar.374fs	1	
9	386	c.1158_1159delAC	p.Ala386fs	1	
9	398	c.1192_1193delAA	p.Lys398fs	2	
9	405	c.1213C>T	p.Arg405X	3	
9	414	c.1240C>T	p.Arg414Cys	1	
9	414	c.1242delC	p.Arg414fs	1	
9	423	c.1269G>A	p.Trp423X	2	
9	433	c.1297C>T	p.Glu433X	2	
9	436	c.1307dupA	p.Asn436fs	1	
intron 9	438	c.1312+2dupT		3	
intron 9	438	c.1312+2T>C		1	
intron 9	438	c.1312+3A>G;		2	
intron 9	438	c.1312+5C>A		1	
10	438	c.1313dupT	p.Met438fs	1	
10	445	c.1333_1334dupCA	p.Gln445fs	1	
10	457	c.1370C>G	p.Ser457X	2	
10	457	c.1370C>A	p.Ser457X	2	
intron 10	470	c.1409-5A>G		1	
intron 10	470	c.1409-2A>C		1	
intron 10	470	c.1409-2A>G		1	
intron 10	470	c.1409-1G>A		1	
11	471	c.1411G>T	p.Gly471X	1	
11	471	c.1412delG	p.Gly471fs	1	
11	473	c.1417delC	p.Gln473fs	1	
11	478	c.1434delA	p.Ile478fs	1	

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
11	493	c.1479_1483delCAGTA	p.Tyr493fs	1
11	499	c.1495C>T	p.Arg499X	8
11	516	c.1548G>C	p.Lys516Asn	1
12	517	c.1549-1G>A		1
12	519	c.1555dupC	p.Leu519fs	1
12	527	c.1581_1590del10bp	p.Arg527fs	1
12	528	c.1584dupA	p.Ala528fs	2
12	538	c.1613A>T	p.Glu538Val	1
12	541	c.1621C>T	p.Gln541X	1
12	542	c.1624C>T	p.Gln542X	1
13	554	c.1660 C>T	p.Arg554X	10
13	561	c.1683_1684delGA	p.Lys561fs	1
13	562	c.1685_1686insCC	p.Thr562fs	1
13	563	c.1688delT	p.Leu563fs	1
13	564	c.1690C>T	p.Arg564X	9
13	567	c.1700_1704delGAAGT	p.Gly567fs	1
13	571	c.1712delC	p.Ala571fs	1
13	580	c.1737dupT	p.Lys580fs	1
13	581	c.1742delA	p.Lys581fs	1
13	581	c.1742A>G	p.Lys581Arg	1
intron 13	582	c.1744-2A>G		1
intron 13	582	c.1744-[5_13del; 17_18del]		1
14	583	c.1748C>A	p.Ser583X	1
14	592	c.1775T>G	p.Leu592X	1
14	593	c.1779G>A	p.Trp593X	1
14	599	c.1797C>A	р.Суs599Х	1
14	614	c.1840dupG	p.Gly614fs	2
14	616	c.1847delT	p.Leu616fs	1
14	617	c.1849delG	p.Val617fs	1
14	620	c.1858_1859dupCT	p.Leu620fs	3
14	621	c.1861dupA	p.Thr621fs	1
14	621	c.1863_1866delTTAC	p.Thr621fs	3
14	622	c.1866C>G	p.Tyr622X	1
14	628	c.1884_1897dup14	p.Thr628fs	1
14	629	c.1886delT	p.Leu629fs	1
14	629	c.1886dupT	p.Leu629fs	1
14	629	c.1885_1886dupTT	p.Leu629fs	1
14	630	c.1890delC	p.Ala630fs	1
14	636	c.1907dupG	p.Gly636fs	1
14	639	c.1916delT	p.Leu639fs	2

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
14	650	c.1948G>T	c.1948G>T p.Glu650X	
14	652	c.1956C>T	p.His652*	1
14	653	c.1957A>C	p.Arg653*	2
14	653	c.1957A>G	c.1957A>G p.Arg653Gly*	
intron 14	653	c.1958+1G>T		4
intron 14	653	c.1958+1G>A		1
intron 14	653	c.1958+3A>G		2
intron 14	653	c.1959-2A>G		1
15A	653	15A: c.1959delG		1
15A	658	c.1972G>T	p.Glu658X	1
15A	669	c.2005_6delTT	p.Leu669fs	1
15A	685	c.2055G>A	p.Trp685X	1
15A	703	c.2107delG	p.Ala703fs	2
15A	703	c.2107dupG	p.Ala703fs	1
15a	710	c.2130delC	p.Leu710fs	1
15A	712	c.2136_2139delTTCA	p.His712fs	1
15A	737	c.2209_2218del10	p.Tyr737fs	1
15A	747	c.2240C>G	c.2240C>G p.Ser747X	
15B	757	c.2269delC	c.2269delC p.Gln757fs	
15B	767	c.2299C>T	c.2299C>T p.Gln767X	
15B	770	c.2309delC	p.Gln770fs	1
15B	773	c.2318_2319delTT	p.Phe773fs	2
15B	779	c.2335_2336dupTT	p.Leu779fs	2
15B	781	c.2343delC	p.Pro781fs	1
15B	791	c.2373_2374delCA	p.Lys791fs	2
15B	797	c.2391_2392delTG	p.Gly797fs	1
15B	799	c.2396_2397delAT	p.Tyr799fs	1
15B	805	c.2413 C>T	p.Arg805X	3
15B	811	c.2432C>G	p.Ser811X	1
15B	828	c.2483delC	p.Thr828fs	1
15B	834	c.2502delC	p.Ser834fs	1
15C	837	c.2510delC	p.Ser837fs	1
15C	841	c.2523dupA	p.Leu841fs	1
15C	843	c.2527_2530delAGTT	p.Ser843fs	1
15C	849	c.2547_2548delTA	p.Asp849fs	1
15C	849	c.2546_delATAGAAG	c.2546_delATAGAAG p.Asp849fs	
15C	849	c.2547_2550delTAGA	p.Asp849fs	2
15C	850	c.2548delAGAA	p.Arg850fs	1
15C	855	c.2563G>T	p.Glu855X	1
15C	855	c.2563_2564delGA	p.Glu855fs	1

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
15C	857	c.2570delG	p.Gly857fs	1
15C	863	c.2589C>G	p.Tyr863X	1
15C	871	c.2612delG	p.Gly871fs	1
15C	872	c.2614_2617delACTT	p.Thr872fs]
15C	876	c.2626C>T	p.Arg876X	6
15C	900	c.2698_2699insTG	p.Ser900fs	1
15C	903	c.2709_2712delCAGA	p.Asp903fs]
15C	916	c.2748delA	p.Thr916fs	1
15C	929	c.2787_2790delTACA	p.His929fs]
15C	932	c.2795C>G	p.Ser932X	1
15C	934	c.2802_2805delTTAC	p.Thr934fs	3
15C	935	c.2805C>G	p.Tyr935X	6
15D	939	c.2816delA	p.Lys939fs	1
15D	947	c.2840delG	p.Cys947fs	1
15D	951	c.2853T>A	p.Tyr951X]
15D	951	c.2853 T>G	p.Tyr951X	1
15D	962	c.2884delG	p.Asp962fs]
15D	965	c.2893_2896delAATA	p.Asn964fs	3
15D	974	c.2921delG	p.Gly974fs	1
15D	976	c.2926delA	p.Arg976fs	1
15D	978	c.2932C>T	p.Gln978X	2
15D	985	c.2953_3022del70	p.Ser985fs	1
15D	985	c.2953dupT	p.Ser985fs	1
15D	997	c.2991T>G	p.Tyr997X	1
15D	1000	c.3000C>G	p.Tyr100X	1
15D	1010	c.3027_3028dupTA	p.Ser1010fs	1
15D	1023	c.3068delC	p.Thr1023fs	1
15D	1023	c.3069_3070delAC	p.Thr1023fs	1
15D	1024	c.3071delC	p.Pro1027fs	1
15D	1032	c.3095C>A	p.Ser1032X	1
15D	1041	c.3121C>T	p.Gln1041X	2
15E	1044	c.3131C>A	p.Ser1044X	1
15E	1045	c.3133C>T	p.Gln1045X	1
15E	1049	c.3146G>A	p.Trp1049X	1
15E	1049	c.3147G>A	p.Trp1049X	1
15E	1050	c.3148delG	p.Ala1050fs	1
15E	1050	c.3149delC	p.Ala1050fs	1
15E	1055	c.3164_3168delTAATA	p.lle1055fs	4
15E	1055	c.3164_3165delTA	p.lle1055fs	1
15E	1061	c.3183_3187delACAAA	p.Lys1061fs	43

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
15E	1062	c.3184_3187delCAAA	p.Gln1062fs	1
15E	1062	c.3186_3187delAA	p.Gln1062fs	1
15E	1065	c.3193C>T	p.Gln1965X	1
15E	1068	c.3202_3205delTCAA	p.Ser1068fs	19
15E	1071	c.3211C>T	p.Gln1071X	1
15E	1072	c.3215_3216delGTinsC	p.Ser1072fs	1
15E	1075	c.3225delT	p.Tyr1075fs	1
15E	1087	c.3260_3261delTC	p.Leu1087fs	1
15E	1088	c.3263delA	p.Gln1088fs	1
15E	1096	c.3286C>T	p.Gln1096X	3
15E	1107	c.3316_3319dupGGAG	p.Ala1107fs	1
15E	1114	c.3340C>T	p.Arg1114X	5
15E	1121	c.3361delA	p.Glu1121fs	1
15E	1127	c.3379C>T	p.Gln1127X	1
15E	1129	c.3386T>C	p.Leu1129Ser	1
15E	1130	c.3390T>A	p.Cys1130X	1
15E	1132	c.3394delG	p.Glu1132fs	1
15E	1147	c.3439dupT	p.Tyr1147fs	1
15E	1147	c.3441C>G	p.Tyrll47X	1
15E	1152	c.3454C>T	p.Gln1152X	1
15E	1155	c.3464_3468delAAGAA	p.Glu1155fs	1
15F	1156	c.3467_3470delAAGA	p.Glu1156fs	4
15F	1157	c.3471_3474delGAGA	p.Glu1157fs	1
15F	1166	c.3497dupA	p.Tyr1166fs	1
15F	1167	c.3501_3504delTGAA	p.Asn1167fs	1
15F	1168	c.3502G>T	p.Glu1168X	2
15F	1169	c.3505_3509delGAGAA	p.Glu1169fs	1
15F	1186	c.3556_3557 delGA	p.Asp1186fs	1
15F	1187	c.3559dupA	p.lle1187fs	2
15F	1193	c.3577_3578delCA	p.Gln1193fs	1
15F	1194	c.3581C>A	p.Ser1194X	1
15F	1194	c.3581C>G	p.Ser1194X	1
15F	1196	c.3587C>A	p.Ser1196X	1
15F	1198	c.3593C>G	p.Ser1198X	1
15F	1199	c.3595_3596delAA	p.Lys1199fs	4
15F	1201	c.3602C>G	p.Ser1201X	1
15F	1209	c.3625_3628delGAAC	p.Glu1209fs	1
15F	1222	c.3666_3679del14	p.Ser1222fs	1
15F	1230	c.3688C>T	p.Gln1230X	1
15F	1244	c.3730C>T	p.Gln1244X	1

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
15F	1249	c.3747C>A	p.Cys1249X	1
15F	1250	c.3749_3750delAA	p.Lys1250fs	1
15F	1256	c.3768dupA	p.Glu1257fs	1
15F	1262	c.3786T>A	p.Tyr1262X	1
15F	1267	c.3796_3799dupGATA	p.Thr1267fs	2
15F	1268	c.3803_3815del13	p.Pro1268fs	1
15F	1269	c.3805delA	p.lle1269fs	1
15F	1269	c.3806dupT	p.lle1269fs	1
15G	1270	c.3810_3811insC	p.Phe1270fs	1
15G	1272	c.3816_3817ins14	p.Arg1272fs	1
15G	1275	c.3824_3831delGTTCATTA	p.Ser1275fs	1
15G	1278	c.3833C>A	p.Ser1278X	1
15G	1286	c.3856G>T	p.Glu1286X	1
15G	1294	c.3880delC	p.Gln1294fs	1
15G	1298	c.3892_3903del12insATTT	p.Ser1298fs	1
15G	1309	c.3925G>T	p.Glu1309X	2
15G	1309	c.3925-3928delGAAA	p.Glu1309fs	5
15G	1309	c.3927_3931delAAAGA	p.Glu1309fs	56
15G	1321	c.3963delC	p.Ser1321fs	1
15G	1338	c.4012C>T	p.Gln1338X	1
15G	1341	c.4022delG	p.Ser1341fs	1
15G	1341	c.4022_4023ins22	p.Ser1341fs	2
15G	1342	c.4025dupT	p.Leu1342fs	2
15G	1344	c.4031C>G	p.Ser1344X	1
15H	1367	c.4099C>T	p.Gln1367X	2
15H	1376	c.4128delT	p.Tyr1376fs	1
15H	1376	c.4127_4128delAT	p.Tyr1376fs	1
15H	1378	c.4132C>T	p.Gln1378X	1
15H	1381	c.4142dupC	p.His1381fs	1
15H	1400	c.4199C>A	p.Ser1400X	1
15H	1425	c.4273delGA	p.Asp1425fs	1
15H	1426	c.4275dupT	p.Ser1426fs	1
15H	1432	c.4295delC	p.Pro1432fs	1
15H	1444	c.4331C>T	p.Gln1444X	1
15H	1450	c.4348C>T	p.Arg1450X	5
15H	1451	c.4351G>T	p.Glu1451X	1
15H	1455	c.4364_4368delATAAA	p.Asn1455fs	1
15H	1459	c.4377delT	p.Thr1459fs	1
15H	1461	c.4383_4387delAAAGA	p.Lys1461fs	1
15H	1462	c.4385delA	p.Arg1462fs	1

Exon/Intron	Codon	Mutation	Consequence	Number of alleles
15H	1464	c.4391_4394delAGAG	p.Glu1464fs	3
15H	1465	c.4393_4394delAG	p.Ser1465fs	8
151	1495	c.4483delA	p.Ser1495fs	1
151	1496	c.4487dupC	p.Thr1496fs	1
151	1498	c.4492del G	p.Asp1498fs	1
151	1516	c.4547_4548delTA	p.p.lle1516fs	1
151	1517	c.4549C>G	p.Gln1517X	2
151	1522	c.4570_4576del7	p.lle1522fs	1
151	1531	c.4592dupA	p.Met1531fs	1
151	1538	c.4612_4613delGA	p.Glu1538fs	2
151	1541	c.4621C>T	p.Gln1541X	1
151	1545	c.4634C>G	p.Ser1545X	1
151	1548	c.4643delA	p.Asn1548fs	1
151	1551	c.4652_4655delAAGA	p.Lys1551fs	2
151	1556	c.4666delA	p.Thr1556fs	1
151	1556	c.4666dupA	p.Thr1556fs	3
151	1563	c.4688_4703del16	p.Leu1563fs	1
151	1565	c.4694T>A	p.Leu1565X	1
151	1567	c.4700C>G	p.Ser1567X	1
151	1578	c.4733_4734delGT	p.Cys1578fs	1
151	1581	c.4741dupT	p.Leu1581fs	1
151	1596	c.4786delC	p.Gln1596fs	4
151	1600	c.4799_4800dupAA	p.Asn1600fs	1
15J	1628	c.4883delA	p.Lys1628fs	1
15J	1652	c.4954delT	p.Ser1652fs	1
15J	1661	c.4982_4983insTA	p.Thr1661fs	1
15J	1683	c.5047G>T	p.Glu1683X	1
15L	1823	c.5471delA	p.Asn1823fs	1
15N	1958	c.5873delA	p.Asn1958fs	2
15N	1973	c.5917delA	p.Ser1973fs	1
15N	1981	c.5943_5946delTAAA	p.lle1981fs	1
15M	1983	c.5947_5950delGAAA	p.Glu1983fs	1
15N	1984	c.5952_5955delTGAA	p.Asn1984fs	1
15N	1986	c.5957delC	p.Pro1986fs	1
15N	1993	c.5978delC	p.Pro1993fs	1
15P	2117	c.6351delA	p.Gln2117fs	1
15P	2192	c.6574A>T	p.Lys2192X	1
15T	2557	c.7671_7678delCCTTCCTC	p.Ser2557fs	1

* These missense or silent mutations result in exon splicing [19]



Fig. 1. Distribution of 597 germline point mutations and 37 large deletions in the APC gene detected in 634 out of 1166 unrelated FAP patients. A schematic diagram presents the promotor region (P) and the 15 exons of the APC gene. The black horizontal bars represent the extent of deletions as determined by MLPA, and the numbers on the left side of the bars indicate the deletions that have been identified more than once (details in [20]). The bar with dotted lines indicates a cytogenetically detectable deletion.

1309 mutation increases to 10% (54/537). The mutation occurs at high frequency *de novo*: in 22 of the 56 index patients (39%) the 5 bp deletion at codon 1309 was excluded in both parents [17]. In the two patients diagnosed at ages of 43 and 53, the mutation had occurred *de novo* as well. This mutation was also reported at high frequencies in patients from Japan, Singapore and South Africa [23-25] and at moderate proportions in most European populations [26-29], but – interestingly – it has not been found in patient groups from Australia or Spain [30, 31].

The hot spot mutation c. 3183_3187delACAAA (at codon 1061) was detected in 43 index patients: 3.7% of all patients and 7.1% (36/504) in the group of patients with typical FAP. This mutation occurred *de novo* in 7 of the 43 index patients (16.3%).

Mutation detection rate according to phenotype and family history

APC mutation detection rates in FAP patients varying between 30-85% have been published [26-29, 32]. For evaluation of the APC mutation detection rate and prevalence of mutations it is worthwhile to consider the colorectal phenotype and the family history of index patients examined for mutations. Overall, point mutations and large deletions in the APC gene were detected in 634 of the 1166 index patients (54%) (Table 1). The mutation detection rate was 82% in patients with typical FAP, but only 24% in patients with AAPC. Remarkably, 30 of the large genomic deletions (81%) occurred in patients with typical FAP.

Site of APC mutation (Codon)	Predicted CHRPE status	Number of patients examined for CHRPE	Number of patients with CHRPE	Number of patients without CHRPE
122-414	CHRPE-negative	52 (39) **	6 (6)	46 (34)
423-1367	CHRPE-positive	307 (205)	244 (156)	63 (58)
1451-2557	CHRPE-negative	34 (29)	3 (3)	31 (28)
large deletions*	Ş	20 (12)	15 (9)	5 (5)
all patients		413 (285)	268 (174)	145 (118)

 Table 2. Relationship between the site of mutation in the APC gene and CHRPE status in 413 FAP patients from 285 families. The patients whose CHRPE status conforms to the published correlation are printed in bold.

*Patients with large deletions encompassing the whole gene were in both CHRPE-positive and CHRPE-negative groups

**The numbers of families are shown in parentheses. In some families a discrepant CHRPE status was observed.

The distribution of patients according to family history and the corresponding mutation detection rates are presented in Table 1. As expected, the mutation detection rate increases in all patient groups when only familial cases with an autosomal-dominant mode of inheritance are considered (Table 1). The mutation detection rate in cases with *de novo* mutations is – by our definition – 100%. Most of the patients with a *de novo* mutation had developed typical FAP.

Genotype-phenotype correlations

For evaluation of the correlation between the site of mutation in the APC gene and different colonic and extracolonic manifestations we included clinical information obtained from 2066 patients from the 1166 families.

CHRPE

Presence of CHRPE has been reported in patients with mutations 3' to exon 9 [9, 10] but not beyond codon 1444 [8, 33]. However, isolated cases constituting exceptions to this 'rule' have also been published [34].

Information on the results of retinal examination was available for 413 patients from 285 families with known germline mutations (Table 2). Since patients with mutations in exon 9 were published both with and without CHRPE, we defined the limit of the region characteristic for CHRPE in codon 410, the first codon outside the alternatively spliced sequence of exon 9.

Overall, CHRPE was detected in 268 of the 413 patients (65%), including 15 patients from 9 families with large deletions. 244 of the 253 CHRPE-positive patients with point mutations in the APC gene (96%) had mutations between codons 423-1367. However, 63 of the 307 patients with mutations in this part of the gene (21%) did not present CHRPE, and thus do not

conform to the previously published correlation. On the other hand, 76 out of the 85 patients (89%) with mutations between codons 122-414 or 1451-2557 did not have CHRPE; thus, only nine patients did not conform to the published rule. CHRPE was detected in 15 out of 20 patients with large deletions. Of note, deletions of the entire APC gene were detected in both CHRPE-positive and CHRPE-negative groups.

Taken together, the previously published correlation between site of mutation and expression of CHRPE was confirmed in our large group of patients, but overall 18% of patients with point mutations (63+9/393) do not conform to the rule. Part of this deviation might be explained by the fact that some patients were seen by ophthalmologists who are not aware of the different types of CHRPE, including even small retinal lesions [35]. However, intrafamilial discrepant observations were also found in some patients who were examined by an ophthalmologist experienced in diagnosis of CHRPE over several years. Of note, 12 out of the 63 CHRPE-negative patients (19%) with mutations within codons 423-1367, but only 21 of the 244 CHRPE-positive patients (9%) had a de novo mutation (p=0.023; Fisher's exact test, two sided), suggesting that CHRPE may not be expressed in some patients with de novo mutations because of somatic mosaicism.

Colorectal phenotype

According to data from the literature, a severe clinical phenotype is associated with mutations at codon 1309 [7, 12], and an attenuated FAP is observed in patients with mutations 5' to codon 168 [6], in the alternatively spliced sequence of exon 9 [11, 36-39] or 3' to codon 1580 [40-42] while typical FAP is observed in patients with mutations at the remaining sites.

Progression of colorectal disease in FAP patients is a continuous process. Therefore, evaluation of the severity of the disease is largely dependent on time of

Deleted exons Genomic deletion GenBank AC008575.7		Number of alleles
Cytogenetic deletion	cytogenic	1
Promotor and whole gene	g.26940-?_133343+?del	16
Promotor and exon 1	g.26940-?_44326+?del	1
Promotor and exon 1-5	g.26940-?_70201+?del	1
Promotor and exon 1-7	g.26940-?_90721+?del	1
Promotor and exon 1-10	g.26940-?_111301+?del	1
Exon 1-15 end	g.44326-?_133343+?del	2
Exon 2-15 end	g.55780-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 4	g.65700-?_66200+?del	1
Exon 5-15 end	g.70201-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 8-15 end	g.104881-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 9-15 end	g.108601-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 11	g.115000-?_116900+?del	1
Exon 11-12	g.116521-?_1117361+?del	2
Exon 11-15 end	g.116521-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 14	g.123900-?_125100+?del	2
Exon 14-15 middle	g.123900-?_129830+?del	1
Exon 14-15 end	g.123900-?_133343+?del	1
Exon 15 middle - 15 end	g.129830-?_133343+?del	1

Supplementary table 2. Large deletions detected in 37 out of 1166 unrelated patients suspected of FAP

diagnosis. The most accurate parameters for evaluation of the natural course of the disease would be the age at occurrence of the first colonic adenomas and the age-related number of polyps. However, these data are available only for a small number of mutation carriers who started with regular colonoscopies at an early age.

Age at diagnosis of adenomas in asymptomatic mutation carriers who underwent endoscopic screening is not a suitable parameter as it depends on the time of the first endoscopy and whether surveillance is regularly performed. Therefore, we used the age at diagnosis of FAP in patients who developed gastrointestinal symptoms (rectal bleeding, diarrhoea, etc.) prior to the first endoscopies as a parameter for the severity of the colorectal phenotype [28]. Age at diagnosis of FAP was known in 760 patients who were diagnosed after the onset of bowel symptoms (Fig. 2). The mean age at diagnosis in these patients was 35 years (range 3-78 years). Germline mutations in the APC gene were identified in 485 of the 760 patients diagnosed because of bowel symptoms. We confirmed in these patients the correlation between APC mutation site and mean age at diagnosis of FAP (Fig. 2). Patients with a mutation at codon 1309 developed bowel symptoms more than 10 years earlier (20 years of age) compared with patients with other mutations: 50 years of age in patients with mutations at sites characteristic of AAPC, 32 years of age in patients with mutations at other sites and 41 years of age in patients with no identified APC mutation (p<0.001 for all comparisons; two-sided Student's ttest). The 32 patients with large genomic deletions had a mean age at diagnosis of 33 years, comparable to that of patients with mutations characteristic of typical FAP (p=0.38). Despite this significant correlation a considerable variation of age at diagnosis in patients with the same mutation or even within the same family was observed, as we had illustrated for part of this patient group in a previous report [28].

As another parameter for severity of the disease we used the clinical phenotype classification that takes into account the age-related polyp number in addition to the age at diagnosis (see phenotype classification).

Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in FAP

When grouping the 2066 patients according to the clinical phenotype and the mutation intervals outlined above, most patients conformed to the published genotype-phenotype correlation (Table 3): 84 of the 101 patients with mutations at sites characteristic of AAPC (83%) were classified as having an attenuated phenotype, and another 8 patients had an atypical phenotype. Accordingly, 80% of patients with mutations at sites characteristic of typical FAP (587/735) were classified as having a typical FAP phenotype. Only 34% (28/83) of patients with mutations at codon 1309 were classified as having a severe phenotype, whereas 63% (52/83) had typical FAP.

Influence of mutation type on clinical phenotype

The genotype-phenotype correlations presented here and in reports on other patient groups roughly consider only the site and not the type of mutations. When we looked in more detail at mutations between codons 170-1578 in the 68 patients with attenuated phenotype who did not conform with the expected genotype-phenotype correlation, we observed some distinctive features.

In this patient group there was an unexpectedly high proportion of splice site mutations: 20/68=29% in patients with attenuated phenotype vs. 25/587=4% in patients with typical phenotype (p<0.001; Fisher's exact test, two sided). Some of these splice site mutations may lead to in-frame deletions or to partial exon deletions. We present some examples: we showed by RNA analysis that the substitution c.1312+3A>G in intron 9 detected in two unrelated patients with attenuated phenotype leads to partial loss of exon 9,



APC mutation (codons)

Fig. 2. Box plot showing the relationship between the mutation site in the APC gene and age at diagnosis (achieved after bowel symptoms had developed) in 485 patients with known mutations and 275 patients with unknown mutations. The black horizontal bars represent the median age at diagnosis

while the substitution at position +5 of this intron (c.1312+5G>A) detected in a family with typical FAP leads to complete loss of exon 9. Moreover, the substitution at the highly conserved position -2 in intron 14 (c.1959-2A>G) is predicted to result in a splicing

Table 3. Grouping of patients classified according to the site of APC mutation and colorectal phenotype. The numbers of patients with the expected phenotype at the respective germline mutation region are printed in bold

		Colorectal phenotype						
Site of APC mutation (Codon)	Predicted phenotype	All patients	known	severe	typical	atypical	attenuated	unknown
122-161 314-405 1581-2557	Attenuated FAP	146	101		9	8	84	45
170-1578 without 1309	Typical FAP	1059	735	34	587	46	68	324
1309	Severe FAP	96	83	28	52	1	2	13
Large deletions	Ś	78	67		57	4	6	11
No mutation	Ś	687	540	12	123	52	353	147
All		2066	1526	74	828	111	513	540

defect. RNA analysis demonstrated a partial use of a cryptic splice site 12 bp downstream, resulting in a slightly shortened in-frame transcript and likely to lead to a functional APC protein [19].

Somatic mosaicism in patients with *de novo* mutations may be another reason for an attenuated phenotype. In two patients the nonsense mutations p. Arg216X and p. Gln1127X, respectively, were detected in blood samples only as faint signals, suggesting in these cases the presence of a somatic mosaic in the blood and possibly in the colonic epithelium. In the two patients with the 5 bp deletion at codon 1309 and an attenuated phenotype the mutation had occurred *de novo*; the mutant alleles were detected in their blood samples at normal levels (comparable to familial cases) but may be present as somatic mosaics in the intestinal epithelium.

While these examples can explain only some of the cases of nonconformity with the established genotype-phenotype correlations, they demonstrate that mutational consequences may be different even if mutations occur in the immediate vicinity of one another. Since the majority of mutations are truncating and most cases of possible mosaicism affect only the first generation, exceptions to the otherwise consistent genotype-phenotype correlations are relatively rare.

Modifier genes

The intrafamilial variability frequently observed in large multigeneration FAP families [41, 43-45] cannot be explained by the reasons outlined above. Variations in other genes acting as modifiers at the level of APC synthesis and function or on other regulatory proteins in Wnt signalling and cell adhesion are discussed as causative factors of phenotypic variability within families or in patients with the same germline mutation. In animal experiments a variant in the secretory type II phospholipase A2 gene (Pla2s) designed as MOM1 (modifier of Min) has been proven as the first modifier influencing the number of intestinal polyps in Min mice [46]. Discordant findings regarding the existence of a modifying locus for severity of colonic or extracolonic manifestations in FAP on human chromosome 1p35-36, the region synthenic with the Pla2s locus on mouse chromosome 4, have been published [47-50]. Moreover, polymorphisms in enzymes playing a role in different metabolic and cell cycle controlling pathways, e.g. N-acetyl transferase type 1 and 2, glutathione S-transferases M1 amd T1, and others, have been examined for possible modifying effects on gastrointestinal and extragastrointestinal symptoms [47-50]; however, no concordant results were obtained.

Desmoid disease and osteomas

A higher frequency of desmoid tumours and osteomas has been repeatedly observed in FAP patients with a germline mutation 3' to codon 1444 [8, 51]. Moreover, several families with mutations in the 3' part of the APC gene who developed desmoids in the absence of polyposis or with a very mild polyposis phenotype have been described [52, 53].

In our patient sample presence or absence of desmoids and osteomas was only occasionally reported in the medical records, and we have not specifically asked for this information during the last few years. Therefore, our data on these extracolonic manifestations are mainly similar to those previously published [28]. The actual data are presented in Table 4. Information on desmoid and osteoma status was obtained from 380 and 195 patients with identified mutations, respectively. From these data it becomes evident that in a retrospective study like ours, where patients are not explicitly examined for desmoids or osteomas, there must be a selection bias: the presence of clinically manifest desmoids or osteomas in patients is more likely to be documented as compared to their absence. Therefore, when calculating the presence of these extracolonic manifestations on the basis of patients with documented disease status, the values are too high. We believe that the proportions calculated on the basis of all patients with mutations in different APC regions (figures given in parentheses in Table 4) better reflect the real situation regarding the presence of these extracolonic features. Even with this rough estimation the higher proportion of desmoids and osteomas in patients with mutations 3' to codon 1444 remains evident. Of note, CHRPE status is not so much affected by this selection bias, because determination of the presence or absence of CHRPE requires a special ophthalmological examination.

Conclusion

The discovery of the molecular basis of FAP by identification of germline mutations in the APC gene in polyposis patients has stimulated the interest of clinicians and molecular biologists in this disease. During the last years several groups of polyposis patients have been examined for mutations in the APC gene. APC mutation detection rates in FAP patients varying between 30-85% have been published. This variation largely depends on patient selection and their clinical phenotype: the discovery that attenuated FAP is also caused by germline mutations in the APC gene has increased the proportion of patients with few adenomas irrespective of the family history among

	All	Site of mutation		
		5' to codon 1444	3' to codon 1444	large deletions
All patients	1379	1169	132	78
Desmoids				
present	76	52	19	5
absent	304	267	22	15
all*	380	319	41	20
% desmoids	20%	16%	46%	25%
% desmoids (minimal estimate)**	(5%)	(4%)	(14%)	(6%)
Osteomas				
present	65	36	28	1
absent	130	115	8	7
all*	195	151	36	8
% osteomas	33%	23%	78%	12%
% osteomas (minimal estimate)**	(5%)	(3%)	(21%)	(1%)

Table 4. Distribution of patients according to the site of APC mutation and the presence or absence of desmoids and osteomas

*number of patients with records regarding presence or absence of desmoids/osteomas

**Because of a selection bias towards patients with expressed desmoids or osteomas, a minimal estimate was calculated based on the documented presence of the respective extracolonic manifestations in all patients.

patients examined for mutations. This has led to an overall decrease in the mutation detection rate. Our data show mutation detection rates up to 85% when patients with typical FAP are considered.

Impressive correlations between the site of mutations in the large APC gene and the clinical phenotype including both the colonic polyposis phenotype and manifestation of extracolonic features have been published. Examination for CHRPE had been proposed as a useful marker for pointing to the gene region that should be examined for APC mutations. In addition, CHRPE status was thought to be used for predictive testing in families with no identified APC mutation, but where the index patient presents with CHRPE. However, findings of intrafamilial discrepancies in CHRPE status limit its use as a predictive marker in persons at risk. Moreover, due to the absence of CHRPE in most patients with an attenuated polyposis phenotype, ophthalmogical examinations are of little value in the actual diagnostic procedure.

The association of attenuated FAP with APC mutations localised in the 5' or 3' part of the gene or in the alternatively spliced region of exon 9 has also repeatedly been published and has been, in principle, confirmed in our sample of 1379 patients with known APC mutations. When examining this correlation it

should be kept in mind that classification of the clinical phenotype into typical and attenuated FAP may be difficult in some cases. This classification is based ideally on the age at onset of polyp growth and age-related polyp numbers, but in most cases these data are not available because patients are diagnosed at different stages of disease development. On the other hand, the type of mutation may also play a role and may explain some exceptions to the expected relationship. In addition, even intrafamilial variation of the clinical phenotype has been described, pointing to the presence of other genetic or environmental factors. Therefore, identification of the APC mutation in a patient is of limited value for the prediction of the clinical course of the disease or for therapeutic decisions.

The discovery of *MUTYH*-associated polyposis (MAP) due to biallelic mutations in the *MUTYH* gene has complicated our perception of adenomatous polyposis. Initially it was thought that all cases of adenomatous polyposis follow an autosomal-dominant mode of inheritance and are caused by mutations in the *APC* gene, irrespective of whether or not the mutation was identified. The existence of an autosomal-recessive polyposis disease that clinically resembles FAP implies that some statements regarding risk predictions in the families based on indirect genotype analysis or apparent *de novo* mutations have to be reevaluated. The frequency of *MUTYH*-associated polyposis among unselected *APC*-mutation-negative polyposis patients ranges between 15% and 40%.

In this context the search for an APC mutation, especially in patients without a family history of FAP, is of importance for defining the recurrence risk in their families. However, mutation analysis is still laborious and expensive, and even with more up-to-date and sophisticated methods a mutation in the APC (or MUTYH) gene(s) cannot be excluded. Moreover, as other polyposis syndromes (e.g. familial juvenile polyposis or Peutz Jeghers syndrome, caused by mutations in other genes) have to be considered as differential diagnoses, we would like to emphasise the importance of the *clinical* diagnosis including polyp number, site of location in the colorectum and histology, and family history prior to requesting molecular diagnostics. These data, especially polyp histology, are necessary in order to differentiate between different types of polyposis and to provide mutation analysis of the appropriate genes.

The identification of the underlying germline mutation(s) in polyposis patients allows predictive diagnostics in persons at risk in their families. Genetic counselling should be offered to the patients and their families in order to explain the complex picture of polyposis disease, including the possibilities and limitations of molecular diagnostics for surveillance and therapy.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the patients who participated in the study and to the colleagues who helped us with clinical information about the patients. We thank K. Siberg and M. Vogel for data collection and M. Sengteller, D. Stienen and S. Uhlhaas for skilful technical assistance. The study was supported by German Cancer Aid (Deutsche Krebshilfe e. V. Bonn, project no. 106244).

References

- Bülow S. Clinical features in familial polyposis coli. Results of the Danish Polyposis Register. Dis Colon Rectum 1986; 29 (2): 102-107.
- Groden J, Thliveris A, Samowitz W, Carlson M, Gelbert L, Albertsen H, Joslyn G, Stevens J, Spirio L, Robertson M, Sargeant L, Krapcho K, Wolff E, Burt R, Hughes JP, Warrington J, McPherson J, Wasmuth J, Le Paslier D, Abderrahim H, Cohen D, Leppert M and White R. Identification and characterization of the familial adenomatous polyposis coli gene. Cell 1991; 66 (3): 589-600.
- Kinzler KW, Nilbert MC, Su LK, Vogelstein B, Bryan TM, Levy DB, Smith KJ, Preisinger AC, Hedge P, McKechnie D, Finniear R, Markham A, Groffen J, Boguski MS, Altschul SF, Horii A, Ando

H, Miyoshi Y, Miki Y, Nishisho I and Nakamura Y. Identification of FAP locus genes from chromosome 5q21. Science 1991; 253 (5020): 661-665.

- Goss KH and Groden J. Biology of the adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18 (9): 1967-1979.
- Fodde R, Smits R and Clevers H. APC, signal transduction and genetic instability in colorectal cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2001; 1 (1): 55-67.
- Spirio L, Olschwang S, Groden J, Robertson M, Samowitz W, Joslyn G, Gelbert L, Thliveris A, Carlson M, Otterud B, Lynch H, Watson P, Lynch P, Laurent.Puig P, Burt R, Hughes JP, Thomas G, Leppert M and White R. Alleles of the APC gene: an attenuated form of familial polyposis. Cell 1993; 75 (5): 951-957.
- Caspari R, Friedl W, Mandl M, Moslein G, Kadmon M, Knapp M, Jacobasch KH, Ecker KW, Kreissler-Haag D, Timmermanns G and Propping P. Familial adenomatous polyposis: mutation at codon 1309 and early onset of colon cancer. Lancet 1994; 343 (8898): 629-632.
- Caspari R, Olschwang S, Friedl W, Mandl M, Boisson C, Boker T, Augustin A, Kadmon M, Möslein G, Thomas G and Propping P. Familial adenomatous polyposis: desmoid tumours and lack of ophthalmic lesions (CHRPE) associated with APC mutations beyond codon 1444. Hum Mol Genet 1995; 4 (3): 337-340.
- Olschwang S, Tiret A, Laurent-Puig P, Muleris M, Parc R and Thomas G. Restriction of ocular fundus lesions to a specific subgroup of APC mutations in adenomatous polyposis coli patients. Cell 1993; 75 (5): 959-968.
- Wallis YL, Macdonald F, Hulten M, Morton JE, McKeown CM, Neoptolemos JP, Keighley M and Morton DG. Genotype-phenotype correlation between position of constitutional APC gene mutation and CHRPE expression in familial adenomatous polyposis. Hum Genet 1994; 94 (5): 543-548.
- Soravia C, Berk T, Madlensky L, Mitri A, Cheng H, Gallinger S, Cohen Z and Bapat B. Genotype-phenotype correlations in attenuated adenomatous polyposis coli. Am J Hum Genet 1998; 62 (6): 1290-1301.
- 12. Nagase H, Miyoshi Y, Horii A, Aoki T, Ogawa M, Utsunomiya J, Baba S, Sasazuki T and Nakamura Y. Correlation between the location of germ-line mutations in the APC gene and the number of colorectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis patients. Cancer Res 1992; 52 (14): 4055-4057.
- Al-Tassan N, Chmiel NH, Maynard J, Fleming N, Livingston AL, Williams GT, Hodges AK, Davies DR, David SS, Sampson JR and Cheadle JP. Inherited variants of MYH associated with somatic G: C-->T: A mutations in colorectal tumors. Nat Genet 2002; 30 (2): 227-232.
- 14. Isidro G, Laranjeira F, Pires A, Leite J, Regateiro F, Castro e Sousa F, Soares J, Castro C, Giria J, Brito MJ, Medeira A, Teixeira R, Morna H, Gaspar I, Marinho C, Jorge R, Brehm A, Ramos JS and Boavida MG. Germline MUTYH (MYH) mutations in Portuguese individuals with multiple colorectal adenomas. Hum Mutat 2004; 24 (4): 353-354.
- 15. Sampson JR, Dolwani S, Jones S, Eccles D, Ellis A, Evans DG, Frayling I, Jordan S, Maher ER, Mak T, Maynard J, Pigatto F, Shaw J and Cheadle JP. Autosomal recessive colorectal adenomatous polyposis due to inherited mutations of MYH. Lancet 2003; 362 (9377): 39-41.
- Sieber OM, Lipton L, Crabtree M, Heinimann K, Fidalgo P, Phillips RK, Bisgaard ML, Orntoft TF, Aaltonen LA, Hodgson SV, Thomas HJ and Tomlinson IP. Multiple colorectal adenomas, classic adenomatous polyposis, and germ-line mutations in MYH. N Engl J Med 2003; 348 (9): 791-799.
- Aretz S, Uhlhaas S, Caspari R, Mangold E, Pagenstecher C, Propping P and Friedl W. Frequency and parental origin of de

novo APC mutations in familial adenomatous polyposis. Eur J Hum Genet 2004; 12 (1): 52-58.

- van der Luijt R, Khan PM, Vasen H, van Leeuwen C, Tops C, Roest P, den Dunnen J and Fodde R. Rapid detection of translation-terminating mutations at the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene by direct protein truncation test. Genomics 1994; 20 (1): 1-4.
- Aretz S, Uhlhaas S, Sun Y, Pagenstecher C, Mangold E, Caspari R, Moslein G, Schulmann K, Propping P and Friedl W. Familial adenomatous polyposis: aberrant splicing due to missense or silent mutations in the APC gene. Hum Mutat 2004; 24 (5): 370-380.
- Aretz S, Stienen D, Uhlhaas S, Pagenstecher C, Mangold E, Caspari R, Propping P and Friedl W. Large submicroscopic genomic APC deletions are a common cause of typical familial adenomatous polyposis. J Med Genet 2005; 42 (2): 185-192.
- Mandl M, Caspari R, Jauch A, Boker T, Raschke H, Sengteller M, Propping P and Friedl W. Familial adenomatous polyposis: a submicroscopic deletion at the APC locus in a family with mentally normal patients. Hum Genet 1996; 97 (2): 204-208.
- 22. Raedle J, Friedl W, Engels H, Koenig R, Trojan J and Zeuzem S. A de novo deletion of chromosome 5q causing familial adenomatous polyposis, dysmorphic features, and mild mental retardation. Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96 (10): 3016-3020.
- Cao X, Eu KW, Seow-Choen F, Zao Y and Cheah PY. APC mutation and phenotypic spectrum of Singapore familial adenomatous polyposis patients. Eur J Hum Genet 2000; 8 (1): 42-48.
- 24. Grobbelaar JJ, Oosthuizen CJ and Kotze MJ. Screening South African familial adenomatous polyposis families for the five-nucleotide deletion at codon 1309 of the APC gene. Mol Cell Probes 1995; 9 (1): 49-51.
- 25. Miyaki M, Konishi M, Kikuchi-Yanoshita R, Enomoto M, Igari T, Tanaka K, Muraoka M, Takahashi H, Amada Y, Fukayama M, Maeda Y, Iwama T, Mishima Y, Mori T and Koike M. Characteristics of somatic mutation of the adenomatous polyposis coli gene in colorectal tumors. Cancer Res 1994; 54 (11): 3011-3020.
- Heinimann K, Mullhaupt B, Weber W, Attenhofer M, Scott RJ, Fried M, Martinoli S, Muller H and Dobbie Z. Phenotypic differences in familial adenomatous polyposis based on APC gene mutation status. Gut 1998; 43 (5): 675-679.
- 27. Giarola M, Stagi L, Presciuttini S, Mondini P, Radice MT, Sala P, Pierotti MA, Bertario L and Radice P. Screening for mutations of the APC gene in 66 Italian familial adenomatous polyposis patients: evidence for phenotypic differences in cases with and without identified mutation. Hum Mutat 1999; 13 (2): 116-123.
- Friedl W, Caspari R, Sengteller M, Uhlhaas S, Lamberti C, Jungck M, Kadmon M, Wolf M, Fahnenstich J, Gebert J, Moslein G, Mangold E and Propping P. Can APC mutation analysis contribute to therapeutic decisions in familial adenomatous polyposis? Experience from 680 FAP families. Gut 2001; 48 (4): 515-521.
- 29. Wallis YL, Morton DG, McKeown CM and Macdonald F. Molecular analysis of the APC gene in 205 families: extended genotype-phenotype correlations in FAP and evidence for the role of APC amino acid changes in colorectal cancer predisposition. J Med Genet 1999; 36 (1): 14-20.
- Scott RJ, Meldrum C, Crooks R, Spigelman AD, Kirk J, Tucker K, Koorey D and Hunter Family Cancer Service. Familial adenomatous polyposis: more evidence for disease diversity and genetic heterogeneity. Gut 2001; 48 (4): 508-514.
- Ruiz-Ponte C, Vega A, Carracedo A and Barros F. Mutation analysis of the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene in northwest Spanish patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and sporadic colorectal cancer. Hum Mutat 2001; 18 (4): 355.
- 32. van der Luijt RB, Khan PM, Vasen HF, Tops CM, van Leeuwen-Cornelisse IS, Wijnen JT, van der Klift HM, Plug RJ,

Griffioen G and Fodde R. Molecular analysis of the APC gene in 105 Dutch kindreds with familial adenomatous polyposis: 67 germline mutations identified by DGGE, PTT, and southern analysis. Hum Mutat 1997; 9 (1): 7-16.

- Davies DR, Armstrong JG, Thakker N, Horner K, Guy SP, Clancy T, Sloan P, Blair V, Dodd C, Warnes TW, Harris R and Evans DGR. Severe Gardner syndrome in families with mutations restricted to a specific region of the APC gene. Am J Hum Genet 1995; 57 (5): 1151-1158.
- Pack K, Smith-Ravin I, Phillips RK and Hodgson SV. Exceptions to the rule: individuals with FAP specific CHRPE and mutations in exon 6 of the APC gene. Clin Genet 1996; 50 (2): 110-111.
- 35. Caspari R, Friedl W, Boker T, Augustin A, Mandl M, Jaeger K, Gallkowski K and Propping P. Predictive diagnosis in familial adenomatous polyposis: evaluation of molecular genetic and ophthalmologic methods. Z Gastroenterol 1993; 31 (11): 646-652.
- 36. Gebert JF, Dupon C, Kadmon M, Hahn M, Herfarth C, von Knebel Doeberitz M and Schackert HK. Combined molecular and clinical approaches for the identification of families with familial adenomatous polyposis coli. Ann Surg 1999; 229 (3): 350-361.
- 37. Curia MC, Esposito DL, Aceto G, Palmirotta R, Crognale S, Valanzano R, Ficari F, Tonelli F, Battista P, Mariani-Costantini R and Cama A. Transcript dosage effect in familial adenomatous polyposis: model offered by two kindreds with exon 9 APC gene mutations. Hum Mutat 1998; 11 (3): 197-201.
- Bunyan DJ, Shea-Simonds J, Reck AC, Finnis D and Eccles DM. Genotype-phenotype correlations of new causative APC gene mutations in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis. J Med Genet 1995; 32 (9): 728-731.
- 39. van der Luijt RB, Vasen HF, Tops CM, Breukel C, Fodde R and Meera Khan P. APC mutation in the alternatively spliced region of exon 9 associated with late onset familial adenomatous polyposis. Hum Genet 1995; 96 (6): 705-710.
- 40. Friedl W, Meuschel S, Caspari R, Lamberti C, Krieger S, Sengteller M and Propping P. Attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis due to a mutation in the 3' part of the APC gene. A clue for understanding the function of the APC protein. Hum Genet 1996; 97 (5): 579-584.
- Scott RJ, van der Luijt R, Spycher M, Mary JL, Muller A, Hoppeler T, Haner M, Muller HJ, Martinoli S, Brazzola PL and Meera Khan P. Novel germline APC gene mutation in a large familial adenomatous polyposis kindred displaying variable phenotypes. Gut 1995; 36 (5): 731-736.
- 42. van der Luijt RB, Meera Khan P, Vasen HF, Breukel C, Tops CM, Scott RJ and Fodde R. Germline mutations in the 3' part of APC exon 15 do not result in truncated proteins and are associated with attenuated adenomatous polyposis coli. Hum Genet 1996; 98 (6): 727-734.
- 43. Brensinger JD, Laken SJ, Luce MC, Powell SM, Vance GH, Ahnen DJ, Petersen GM, Hamilton SR and Giardiello FM. Variable phenotype of familial adenomatous polyposis in pedigrees with 3' mutation in the APC gene. Gut 1998; 43 (4): 548-552.
- 44. Giardiello FM, Krush AJ, Petersen GM, Booker SV, Kerr M, Tong LL and Hamilton SR. Phenotypic variability of familial adenomatous polyposis in 11 unrelated families with identical APC gene mutation. Gastroenterology 1994; 106 (6): 1542-1547.
- 45. Rozen P, Samuel Z, Shomrat R and Legum C. Notable intrafamilial phenotypic variability in a kindred with familial adenomatous polyposis and an APC mutation in exon 9. Gut 1999; 45 (6): 829-833.
- 46. MacPhee M, Chepenik KP, Liddell RA, Nelson KK, Siracusa LD and Buchberg AM. The secretory phospholipase A2 gene is a candidate for the Mom1 locus, a major modifier of ApcMininduced intestinal neoplasia. Cell 1995; 81 (6): 957-966.

- Dobbie Z, Heinimann K, Bishop DT, Muller H and Scott RJ. Identification of a modifier gene locus on chromosome 1p35-36 in familial adenomatous polyposis. Hum Genet 1997; 99 (5): 653-657.
- Plasilova M, Russell AM, Wanner A, Wolf A, Dobbie Z, Muller HJ and Heinimann K. Exclusion of an extracolonic disease modifier locus on chromosome 1p33-36 in a large Swiss familial adenomatous polyposis kindred. Eur J Hum Genet 2004; 12 (5): 365-371.
- 49. Tomlinson IP, Beck NE, Neale K and Bodmer WF. Variants at the secretory phospholipase A2 (PLA2G2A) locus: analysis of associations with familial adenomatous polyposis and sporadic colorectal tumours. Ann Hum Genet 1996; 60 (Pt 5): 369-376.
- Tomlinson IP, Neale K, Talbot IC, Spigelman AD, Williams CB, Phillips RK and Bodmer WF. A modifying locus for familial adenomatous polyposis may be present on chromosome 1p35p36. J Med Genet 1996; 33 (4): 268-273.
- 51. Clark SK and Phillips RK. Desmoids in familial adenomatous polyposis. Br J Surg 1996; 83 (11): 1494-1504.
- Eccles DM, van der Luijt R, Breukel C, Bullman H, Bunyan D, Fisher A, Barber J, du Boulay C, Primrose J, Burn J and Fodde R. Hereditary desmoid disease due to a frameshift mutation at codon 1924 of the APC gene. Am J Hum Genet 1996; 59 (6): 1193-1201.
- Scott RJ, Froggatt NJ, Trembath RC, Evans DG, Hodgson SV and Maher ER. Familial infiltrative fibromatosis (desmoid tumours) (MIM135290) caused by a recurrent 3' APC gene mutation. Hum Mol Genet 1996; 5 (12): 1921-1924.