Clinical Study

A Prospective Randomized Study of Brain Tissue Oxygen Pressure-Guided Management in Moderate and Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Patients

Chien-Min Lin,^{1,2} Ming-Chin Lin,^{1,3} Sheng-Jean Huang,⁴ Cheng-Kuei Chang,^{1,5} Dan-Ping Chao,⁶ Tai-Ngar Lui,⁷ Hsin-I Ma,⁸ Ming-Ying Liu,⁸ Wen-Yuh Chung,⁹ Yang-Hsin Shih,⁹ Shin-Han Tsai,^{1,5} Hung-Yi Chiou,¹⁰ Mau-Roung Lin,⁵ Sen-Li Jen,⁹ Li Wei,⁷ Chung-Che Wu,¹¹ En-Yuan Lin,¹¹ Kuo-Hsing Liao,⁷ Yung-Hsiao Chiang,^{2,11} Wen-Ta Chiu,^{5,12} and Jia-Wei Lin^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- ² School of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- ³ Graduate Institute of Biomedical Informatics, College of Medical Science and Technology, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁴ Department of Surgery, National Taiwan University and Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁵ Graduate Institute of Injury Prevention and Control, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁶ Department of Tourism and Leisure Management, China University of Technology, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁷ Department of Neurosurgery, Taipei Medical University-Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁸ Department of Neurological surgery, Tri-Service General Hospital and National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan
- ⁹ Department of Neurosurgery, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- ¹⁰School of Public Health, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan
- ¹¹Department of Neurosurgery, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
- ¹²Department of Health, Executive Yuan, Taipei, Taiwan

Correspondence should be addressed to Jia-Wei Lin; ns246@tmu.edu.tw

Received 22 April 2014; Revised 14 April 2015; Accepted 15 April 2015

Academic Editor: Achim Langenbucher

Copyright © 2015 Chien-Min Lin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this study was to compare the effect of $PbtO_2$ -guided therapy with traditional intracranial pressure- (ICP-) guided treatment on the management of cerebral variables, therapeutic interventions, survival rates, and neurological outcomes of moderate and severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients. From 2009 to 2010, TBI patients with a Glasgow coma scale <12 were recruited from 6 collaborative hospitals in northern Taiwan, excluding patients with severe systemic injuries, fixed and dilated pupils, and other major diseases. In total, 23 patients were treated with $PbtO_2$ -guided management ($PbtO_2 > 20 \text{ mmHg}$), and 27 patients were treated with ICP-guided therapy (ICP < 20 mmHg and CPP > 60 mmHg) in the neurosurgical intensive care unit (NICU); demographic characteristics were similar across groups. The survival rate in the $PbtO_2$ -guided group was also significantly increased at 3 and 6 months after injury. Moreover, there was a significant correlation between the $PbtO_2$ signal and Glasgow outcome scale-extended in patients from 1 to 6 months after injury. This finding demonstrates that therapy directed by $PbtO_2$ monitoring is valuable for the treatment of patients with moderate and severe TBI and that increasing PaO_2 to 150 mmHg may be efficacious for preventing cerebral hypoxic events after brain trauma.

1. Introduction

Survival rates and outcomes for patients suffering from severe traumatic brain injury (TBI) depend on the severity of secondary cerebral insults [1, 2]. Previous studies have shown that cerebral ischemia, which may be caused by systemic hypotension, intracranial hypertension, impaired autoregulation, or hyperventilation, is a common and independent factor associated with neurological deterioration after injury [3–7]. Although no randomized trial has demonstrated an improved outcome for severe TBI patients provided with intracranial pressure (ICP) signal-guided treatment, current guidelines for severe TBI management recommend ICP monitoring to calculate and maintain cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) and prevent cerebral ischemia and infarction [8]. However, certain studies have confirmed that CPP may not be correlated with cerebral blood flow and oxygen consumption in TBI patients [7, 9-12]. Cerebral ischemia and infarction may not be observed in patients with marginally low CPP, but these events may still occur, even with the maintenance of normal or supranormal CPP. In addition, certain CPPguided treatments, such as the administration of vasopressor agents or fluid expanders, have been demonstrated to cause adverse effects, including respiratory distress syndrome, and were shown to offset the original benefits of these treatments on the outcome of severe TBI patients [13, 14].

A continuous supply of oxygen and glucose to brain tissue is important for maintaining a normal aerobic metabolism inside the brain cells. However, an excessive oxygen requirement and insufficient cerebral blood flow after trauma may cause cerebral hypoxia and transform a normal metabolism into an anaerobic condition [12, 15, 16]. The regional partial pressure of brain tissue oxygen (PbtO₂) has been described as an independent, sensitive, and direct predictor of cerebral ischemia and hypoxia [9, 17, 18]. It has been reported that the incidence, frequency, and duration of cerebral hypoxic events, indicated by $PbtO_2 < 5$, 10, or 15 mmHg, are significantly correlated with an unfavorable outcome in patients after trauma [7, 19–23]. The $PbtO_2$ can be manipulated by adjusting the ICP and CPP or the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂). Decreasing ICP below 20 mmHg and increasing CPP above 60 mmHg, when guided by PbtO₂ monitoring, were reported to relieve cerebral hypoxia simultaneously through intact cerebral autoregulation [19, 24]. However, the cardiopulmonary complications arising from ICP and CPP treatments should be managed carefully. Several studies have shown that elevating FiO₂ can increase PaO₂, reduce hypoxia, and reinstate the aerobic metabolism inside the brain cells with fewer cardiopulmonary complications [16, 25, 26].

The effects of TBI treatment using additional PbtO₂ monitoring on cerebral hypoxia and patient outcome remain controversial, and evidence from randomized clinical studies is scant. Several investigators have reported that PbtO₂-guided therapy significantly reduced cerebral hypoxic events and mortality rates and improved outcomes in severe TBI patients compared with historical controls [24, 27–29]. However, Martini and colleagues determined that severe TBI management guided by PbtO₂ monitoring was associated with a poor neurological outcome and was an inefficient use of hospital resources [30]. Therefore, the purpose of this prospective randomized trial was to compare the effect of $PbtO_2$ -guided therapy (maintaining $PbtO_2 > 20 \text{ mmHg}$) with traditional ICP-guided treatment (maintaining ICP < 20 mmHg and CPP > 60 mmHg) on the management of cerebral variables, therapeutic interventions, survival rates, and neurological outcomes in moderate and severe TBI patients. The outcomes of TBI patients in this study were evaluated on both the GOS and the Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOSE).

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Subjects. This human study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of 6 collaborative hospitals in northern Taiwan, including Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Tri-Service General Hospital, En Chu Kong Hospital, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei Medical University-Wan Fang Hospital, and Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital. The study was explained to patients by investigators, coinvestigators, or the nursing and research staff, and a statement of informed consent was signed by each patient. The medical records used in this study were also evaluated as part of an observational TBI registry with IRB approval.

Moderate TBI patients with Glasgow coma scale (GCS) scores of 9–12 and severe TBI patients with GCS scores <8 were considered for recruitment from January 2009 to December 2010. Eligible patients were aged 17–70 years. Patients were excluded if they presented with severe systemic injuries with hypotension or multiple trauma, a GCS = 3 with fixed and dilated pupils, or a supplied 100% FiO₂ with saturation of arterial O₂ below 93%. Patients whose families refused aggressive treatment, as well as those transferred from another institution more than 24 hours after injury, were also excluded, as were patients suffering from open gunshot or stab wounds, postcardiac pulmonary resuscitation or obvious hypoxia, or other major underlying diseases such as uremia, liver cirrhosis, congestive heart failure with pulmonary edema, or coagulopathy.

2.2. Patient Monitoring. Enrolled patients were randomized into ICP- and PbtO₂-guided groups. Patients in the ICP group received an ICP monitor for use only in traditional ICP and CPP therapy. In the PbtO₂-guided group, patients were treated using both ICP and PbtO₂ monitors for combined FiO₂ modulation with ICP and CPP management. An intraparenchymal or subdural ICP monitor (Codman electrode MicroSensor, Johnson and Johnson Medical, Ltd., USA) was used for monitoring the ICP signal in both groups. The ICP monitor was connected to an HP monitor (model 66s-M116A) through a pressure transducer and module (Codman neuromonitor interface control unit, 82-6605). In the PbtO₂guided group, an intraparenchymal brain tissue oxygen probe (LICOX REFIT2, Integra NeuroSciences, Ltd., England) was used for monitoring the PbtO₂ signal. The PbtO₂ signal was transmitted to an HP monitor through a transducer box and monitor cable (LICOX REF POM.BOX and LICOX REF NL950-MC-01, Integra NeuroSciences, Ltd., England). Both ICP and $PbtO_2$ monitors were placed in the margin (2-3 cm) of necrotic brain tissue (hematoma) of TBI patients, located by the penumbra in preoperative brain computed tomography scans.

2.3. Patient Management. All patients were monitored by nursing staff in the NICU and were positioned in bed with a 30° head-up position. General monitoring included continual or intermittent assessment of mean arterial pressure, central venous pressure, electrocardiogram, pulse oximetry values, end-tidal CO₂, and body temperature. Routine physical and pharmacological methods were adopted to prevent patient body temperature elevations above 37.5° C. Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to keep end-tidal CO₂ between 30 and 35 mmHg. Osmotic modulation, sedation, and decompressive craniectomy were used to control intracranial hypertension.

The major differences between the ICP- and PbtO₂guided groups were the treatment goals. In the ICP-guided group, ICP was predominantly maintained at <20 mmHg, and CPP was maintained at >60 mmHg. However, in the PbtO₂-guided group, PbtO₂ was maintained at >20 mmHg, accompanied by ICP monitoring. Patients in the PbtO₂guided group were treated in compliance with standard procedures under 3 sets of conditions. If patients had intracranial hypertension (ICP > 20 mmHg) but a PbtO₂ > 20 mmHg, then the primary strategy was the treatment of intracranial hypertension with mannitol, glycerol, colloid, sedatives, or decompressive craniectomy, which is similar to traditional ICP-guided management. Vasopressor agents and hyperventilation could also be used to raise CPP appropriately, while controlling PbtO₂.

If patients had marginal cerebral hypoxia (PbtO₂ < 20 mmHg) but ICP at <20 mmHg, PbtO₂ was normalized with a 100% FiO_2 challenge for 5 minutes. If $PbtO_2$ was increased by the FiO₂ challenge, then FiO₂ was slowly tapered while maintaining PbtO2. The 100% FiO2 challenge was limited to 5 hours or less to avoid oxygen intoxication. If 100% FiO₂ was needed for longer than 5 hours, CPP was elevated up to 80 mmHg, and arterial carbon dioxide pressure ($PaCO_2$) was elevated to 40 mmHg to replace long-term highpercentage FiO₂ administration. If PbtO₂ was not increased by the FiO₂ challenge, then CPP and PaCO₂ could also be raised to resolve continuous cerebral ischemia after confirmation by brain computed tomography that the sensor tip was in place, and there was no evidence of heart failure or lung problems (pulmonary edema or acute respiratory distress syndrome).

Finally, if both intracranial hypertensive (ICP > 20 mmHg) and marginally cerebral hypoxic (PbtO₂ < 20 mmHg) events occurred simultaneously, then normalization of PbtO₂ was the most important strategy.

Each of the 6 collaborative hospitals offered training courses in the standard protocol for multisite principal investigators, coinvestigators, and research assistants to maintain optimal patient management and avoid cluster effects caused by differences in equipment, faculty, and patient sources. 2.4. Data Collection. Collected patient data included age, the initial GCS score, recruiting year, body mass index, injury etiology, the pathological status diagnosed by brain computed tomography, and operative status. Cerebral variables, such as GCS score, ICP, CPP, PbtO₂, PaO₂, and PaCO₂, were noted during the first 5 days in the NICU, as well as the therapeutic administration of drugs such as mannitol, glycerol, colloids, vasopressor agents, and sedatives. Intracranial hypertension was indicated as ICP > 20 mmHg, whereas cerebral ischemia was defined as CPP < 60 mmHg. The CPP was calculated as the mean arterial pressure minus the ICP. Cerebral hypoxia was defined as $PbtO_2 < 15 \text{ mmHg}$ and was measured only in the PbtO₂ group. In addition, the survival rates and outcomes at 1, 3, and 6 months following injury were evaluated using the GOS and GOSE questionnaires. The collaborative hospitals held regular meetings to verify that all eligible patients were enrolled and that the data recording was complete.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. After the data were cleaned and checked for completeness, we used an χ^2 test and an independent Student's t test to compare categorical and continuous data between the ICP- and PbtO₂-guided groups. Categorical variables included age, initial GCS score, recruiting year, etiology, pathological diagnosis, and operative status, as well as the appearance of intracranial hypertension, cerebral ischemia, therapeutic interventions, survival, and favorable outcome. Continuous variables included the mean age, initial GCS score, and cerebral monitoring over 5 days in the NICU; the data were expressed as means \pm SD. Linear regression was used to further evaluate the relationship between $PbtO_2$ and GOS and GOSE scores. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS, version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant at P <0.05.

3. Results

Twenty-seven TBI patients were treated with traditional ICP-guided therapy (mean age $53.3 \pm 20.1 \text{ y}$), whereas 23 patients were treated with PbtO₂-guided management (mean age $53.7 \pm 19.4 \text{ y}$). Table 1 shows the demographic data for both ICP- and PbtO₂-guided groups. The distribution of age, initial GCS score, recruiting year, body mass index, etiology, pathological diagnosis, and operative status was similar across the 2 groups.

Cerebral monitoring variables over 5 days in the NICU are shown in Table 2. In the ICP-guided group, mean ICP was significantly higher (P = 0.017), and the intracranial hypertensive events (ICP > 20 mmHg) were almost 5 times more frequent (22.2% versus 4.3%) than in the PbtO₂-guided group. The average CPP was significantly higher (P =0.013) in PbtO₂-guided patients compared with ICP-guided patients, although these signals were >60 mmHg in both groups. The mean GCS score and PaCO₂ showed no difference between the ICP- and PbtO₂-guided groups. The mean PaO₂ was significantly elevated in the PbtO₂-guided group compared with the ICP-guided group (P = 0.033). Figure 1 shows that the mean PaO₂ should be adjusted to >150 mmHg to prevent cerebral hypoxic events (PbtO₂ < 15 mmHg) in

	ICP-guided	PbtO ₂ -guided	Р
Number	27	23	
Age			
<40 y/o	8 (29.6)	6 (26.1)	0.781
≧40 y/o	19 (70.4)	17 (73.9)	
Average age	53.3 ± 20.1	53.7 ± 19.4	0.938
Initial GCS			
3-8	19 (70.4)	17 (73.9)	0.781
9–12	8 (29.6)	6 (26.1)	
Average GCS	6.9 ± 2.6	7.1 ± 2.7	0.791
Recruiting year			
2009	12 (44.4)	10 (43.5)	0.945
2010	15 (55.6)	13 (56.5)	
BMI	22.7 ± 4.3	23.9 ± 4.7	0.441
Etiology			
Traffic accident	15 (55.6)	13 (56.5)	0.945
Fall	12 (44.4)	10 (43.5)	
Pathological diagnosis			
SDH	20 (74.1)	14 (60.9)	0.318
EDH	2 (7.4)	5 (21.7)	0.145
SAH	11 (40.7)	10 (43.5)	0.845
ICH	11 (40.7)	9 (39.1)	0.908
IVH	2 (7.4)	1 (4.3)	0.650
Contusion	3 (11.1)	4 (17.4)	0.524
Skull fracture	3 (11.1)	5 (21.7)	0.307
Uncal herniation	1 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	0.351
Brain swelling	1 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	0.351
Operation			
Craniotomy	25 (92.6)	21 (91.3)	0.867
Craniectomy	22 (88.0)	18 (90.0)	0.832

TABLE 1: Demographic characteristics of TBI patients guided by ICP and PbtO₂ monitoring.

TABLE 2: Cerebral variables of TBI patients guided by ICP and $\rm PbtO_2$ monitoring in the ICU.

	ICP-guided	PbtO ₂ -guided	Р
GCS	6.2 ± 2.3	6.7 ± 2.4	0.424
ICP (mmHg)	17.9 ± 13.0	10.1 ± 4.7	0.017^{*}
ICP > 20 mmHg	6 (22.2)	1 (4.3)	0.069
CPP (mmHg)	73.5 ± 10.6	83.1 ± 11.5	0.013^{*}
CPP < 60 mmHg	1 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	0.351
PbtO ₂ (mmHg)	—	22.8 ± 9.9	_
$PbtO_2 < 15 mmHg$	—	5 (21.7)	_
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	174.3 ± 73.9	232.4 ± 98.7	0.033*
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	33.3 ± 5.0	33.1 ± 5.7	0.867
* D 0 0 5			

 $^{*}P < 0.05.$

patients with moderate to severe TBI. Therapeutic interventions were comparable across both treatment groups, as shown in Table 3.

In Table 4, we provided the mortality rate of each group. Survival rates were significantly higher in patients guided by $PbtO_2$ monitoring at 3 and 6 months postinjury compared




FIGURE 1: Distribution of average PaO_2 and $PbtO_2$ signals of TBI patients guided by $PbtO_2$ monitoring within 5 ICU days.

TABLE 3: The rapeutic interventions of TBI patients guided by ICP and $\rm PbtO_2$ monitoring.

	ICP-guided	PbtO ₂ -guided	Р
Mannitol	19 (70.4)	15 (65.2)	0.697
Glycerol	7 (25.9)	8 (34.8)	0.496
Colloid	17 (63.0)	14 (60.9)	0.879
Vasopressors	7 (25.9)	2 (8.7)	0.114
Sedatives	16 (59.3)	18 (78.3)	0.151

TABLE 4: The mortality rate of each group.

Initial GCS	ICP-guided	PbtO ₂ -guided
3-8	9	1
9–12	1	0
Total	10	1

with those guided by ICP monitoring (Figure 2(a)). However, a favorable outcome was observed in <30% of patients in either group at any point after injury (Figure 2(b)). Mean GOS scores were 2.2–2.3 and 2.6–2.7, and mean GOSE scores were 2.4–2.6 and 3.0–3.2 in the ICP- and PbtO₂-guided groups, respectively, from 1 to 6 months postinjury. Although no differences were significant in favorable outcome rates across the 2 groups, patients in the PbtO₂-guided group had a 1.8–2.9 times more favorable outcome from 1 to 6 months postinjury than patients in the ICP-guided group.

Figure 3 shows the correlation between the PbtO₂ signal and the outcome scale of TBI patients at 1, 3, and 6 months after injury. The PbtO₂ signals were significantly correlated with GOS scores at 1 and 3 months postinjury (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)) and with GOSE scores from 1 to 6 months postinjury (Figures 3(d)-3(f)). However, at 6 months postinjury, the correlation between PbtO₂ signals and GOS scores (Figure 3(c)) was still marginally significant (P = 0.060).






FIGURE 2: Ratio of survival (a) and favorable outcome (b) of TBI patients in ICP and PbtO₂ guided groups at one, three, and six months after injury. *P < 0.05 (ICP guided group versus PbtO₂ guided group at the same month).

4. Discussion

Several studies have suggested that $PbtO_2$ is an independent factor related to the neurological outcome of severe TBI patients and weakly correlated with ICP or CPP signals [7, 31]. Other investigators have reported a positive correlation between $PbtO_2$ and CPP under a specific range of CPP [19, 32]. Although our results show that both ICP and CPP were managed in the reference range in most patients, significantly lower ICP and higher CPP were observed in patients treated with $PbtO_2$ monitoring compared with those treated with ICP monitoring alone. Treatment interventions were comparable between the 2 groups. Intracranial hypertensive events (ICP > 20 mmHg) in the $PbtO_2$ -guided group were rare in our study, which was similar to the previous results by Meixensberger et al. [24].

Previous studies have demonstrated that a cerebral hematoma that impairs blood flow and oxygen delivery into the brain tissue and induces secondary ischemia can progress with time after an intracerebral hemorrhage [33–35]. Furthermore, ischemia is a cause of brain edema surrounding the hematoma region [34, 35]. Irrespective of whether maintaining cerebral oxygen consumption could reduce ischemia-induced edema, further improving CPP and normalizing ICP, even when these signals have already been controlled, is an important issue that requires further investigation.

Fewer patients (n = 1; 4.3%) in the current study had pulmonary complications in the PbtO₂-guided group compared with the ICP-guided group (n = 3; 11.1%). Stiefel et al. suggested that treating severe TBI patients with causespecific management might result in improved survival rates and improved outcomes compared with treating ICP or CPP alone [27]. Increasing FiO₂ in patients with severe TBI has been studied as a strategy for achieving elevated PaO₂ and adequate PbtO₂ to avoid cerebral hypoxia and further improve outcomes by returning the cerebral metabolism to aerobic conditions [16, 25, 26, 32, 36–38]. As shown in this study, the mean PaO_2 increased in $PbtO_2$ -guided patients. Therefore, a combination of FiO_2 modulation with traditional ICP and CPP treatment may simultaneously prevent hypoxia and other secondary complications, especially in pulmonary events. We also suggest that the PaO_2 targets in moderate and severe TBI patients should be different from those in general neurological patients. In moderate and severe TBI patients, PaO_2 must be adjusted to a value >150 mmHg, in contrast with the PaO_2 standard (PaO_2 > 60 mmHg), to prevent cerebral hypoxic events after trauma.

Our results indicate that patients guided by PbtO₂ monitoring had increased survival rates at 3 and 6 months postinjury. Nevertheless, mean GOS scores were <3, and favorable outcome rates were <30% in both groups at all the time points postinjury. A trend emerged toward greater favorable outcomes (1.8–2.9 times greater) in PbtO₂-guided patients versus ICP-guided patients from 1 to 6 months after injury. These results are similar to those of other studies that observed a positive trend toward more favorable outcomes in severe TBI patients treated with PbtO₂-guided monitoring [24, 27]. The small difference in favorable outcomes between groups in our study was possibly due to most patients (>70%) having a TBI that was too severe to permit recovery. Spiotta et al. reported a study with more patients (n = 123) and noted a significantly improved short-term outcome in PbtO₂-guided patients [29]. Therefore, the small sample may be another reason that differences in favorable outcome were undetectable for patients in our study. Despite the differences in favorable outcomes between the 2 groups in the study, positive correlations were noted between PbtO₂ signals and outcome scales, especially in GOSE scores, at 1, 3, and 6 months postinjury.

This study has several strengths and limitations. This was the first prospective randomized clinical trial studying




FIGURE 3: Correlation of $PbtO_2$ signal with GOS ((a), (b), (c)) and GOSE ((d), (e), (f)) scores of TBI patients at one ((a), (d)), three ((b), (e)), and six ((c), (f)) months after injury.

the application of $PbtO_2$ monitoring for patients suffering from moderate to severe TBI. Additional strengths were shown in the collaboration among the 6 hospitals in northern Taiwan, which managed unselected brain-trauma patients, and held regular meetings to standardize the collection and recording of data. One limitation was the small number of patients enrolled, which may have resulted in an inability to detect differences in patient outcomes between the ICPand PbtO₂-guided groups. However, the positive correlation between PbtO₂ signal and GOSE score reported here reflects the importance of PbtO₂ monitoring in TBI patients. Another limitation was that PbtO₂ and FiO₂ signals could not be compared across groups. The PbtO₂ was not monitored in the ICP-guided group, and FiO₂ was adjusted only according to PbtO₂ signals in the PbtO₂-guided group during the first 5 days in the NICU, rather than continually recorded. Hence, cerebral oxygen consumption in the PbtO₂-monitored patients was increased in accordance with increased PaO₂ in this study and in previously published studies [26, 32].

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that (1) there was an increase in PbtO₂ related to increase of PaO₂. (2) The PbtO₂ signals demonstrate a close correlation with patient outcomes from 1 to 6 months postinjury. Other than increase of PaO₂, hemoglobin transfusion, decreasing oxygen demand (increased sedation, paralysis, and barbiturates use), and increased CO₂ (if ICP is controlled) can improve cerebral hypoxia. Therefore, we propose that PbtO₂ control is correlated with increase of PaO₂ and that therapy directed by PbtO₂ monitoring may be valuable in treating patients with moderate or severe TBI. In addition, increasing PaO₂ above 150 mmHg seems to efficaciously prevent cerebral hypoxic events after trauma. The mechanism and effects of PbtO₂ manipulation on well-controlled ICP (<20 mmHg) and CPP (>60 mmHg) TBI patients require further investigation.

Due to the small sample size in this study, we concluded that $PbtO_2$ -monitoring therapy might be beneficial in clinical care for managing moderate to severe brain injured patients. Firm conclusion shall be drawn in a larger and adequately designed study in the future.

Conflict of Interests

There is no potential conflict of interests to be disclosed.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the TBI patients and the nursing and research staffs from each of the 6 collaborative hospitals in northern Taiwan for their dedication to this study. Funding for this study was provided by the National Health Research Institutes (NHRI-EX100-9707PI), the Department of Health (DOH100-TD-B-111-003), and the National Science Council (NSC98-2321-B-038-003-MY3) in Taiwan.

References

- S. L. Bratton and R. L. Davis, "Acute lung injury in isolated traumatic brain injury," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 707– 712, 1997.
- [2] A. S. Sarrafzadeh, E. E. Peltonen, U. Kaisers, I. Küchler, W. R. Lanksch, and A. W. Unterberg, "Secondary insults in severe head injury—do multiply injured patients do worse?" *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1116–1123, 2001.
- [3] G. J. Bouma, J. P. Muizelaar, S. C. Choi, P. G. Newlon, and H. F. Young, "Cerebral circulation and metabolism after severe traumatic brain injury: the elusive role of ischemia," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 685–693, 1991.
- [4] R. M. Chesnut, L. F. Marshall, M. R. Klauber et al., "The role of secondary brain injury in determining outcome from severe head injury," *Journal of Trauma*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 216–222, 1993.
- [5] R. M. Chesnut, S. B. Marshall, J. Piek, B. A. Blunt, M. R. Klauber, and L. F. Marshall, "Early and late systemic hypotension as a

frequent and fundamental source of cerebral ischemia following severe brain injury in the Traumatic Coma Data Bank," *Acta Neurochirurgica, Supplement*, vol. 59, pp. 121–125, 1993.

- [6] J. Cruz, "On-line monitoring of global cerebral hypoxia in acute brain injury. Relationship to intracranial hypertension," *Journal* of *Neurosurgery*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 228–233, 1993.
- [7] H. van Santbrink, A. I. R. Maas, and C. J. J. Avezaat, "Continuous monitoring of partial pressure of brain tissue oxygen in patients with severe head injury," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 21–31, 1996.
- [8] S. L. Bratton, R. M. Chestnut, J. Ghajar et al., "VI. Indications for intracranial pressure monitoring," *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 24, no. supplement 1, pp. S37–S44, 2007.
- [9] A. I. Maas, W. Fleckenstein, D. A. de Jong, and H. van Santbrink, "Monitoring cerebral oxygenation: experimental studies and preliminary clinical results of continuous monitoring of cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue oxygen tension," Acta Neurochirurgica Supplement, vol. 59, pp. 50–57, 1993.
- [10] J. Cruz, J. L. Jaggi, and O. J. Hoffstad, "Cerebral blood flow, vascular resistance, and oxygen metabolism in acute brain trauma: redefining the role of cerebral perfusion pressure?" *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1412–1417, 1995.
- [11] J. Sahuquillo, S. Amoros, A. Santos et al., "Does an increase in cerebral perfusion pressure always mean a better oxygenated brain? A study in head-injured patients," *Acta Neurochirurgica, Supplement*, vol. 76, pp. 457–462, 2000.
- [12] A. S. Sarrafzadeh, K. L. Kiening, T.-A. Callsen, and A. W. Unterberg, "Metabolic changes during impending and manifest cerebral hypoxia in traumatic brain injury," *British Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 340–346, 2003.
- [13] C. S. Robertson, A. B. Valadka, H. J. Hannay et al., "Prevention of secondary ischemic insults after severe head injury," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 2086–2095, 1999.
- [14] C. F. Contant, A. B. Valadka, S. P. Gopinath, H. J. Hannay, and C. S. Robertson, "Adult respiratory distress syndrome: a complication of induced hypertension after severe head injury," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 560–568, 2001.
- [15] A. B. Valadka, J. C. Goodman, S. P. Gopinath, M. Uzura, and C. S. Robertson, "Comparison of brain tissue oxygen tension to microdialysis-based measures of cerebral ischemia in fatally head-injured humans," *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 509–519, 1998.
- [16] M. Menzel, E. M. R. Doppenberg, A. Zauner, J. Soukup, M. M. Reinert, and R. Bullock, "Increased inspired oxygen concentration as a factor in improved brain tissue oxygenation and tissue lactate levels after severe human head injury," *Journal* of Neurosurgery, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 1999.
- [17] M. Menzel, A. Rieger, S. Roth et al., "Simultaneous continuous measurement of pO₂, pCO₂, pH and temperature in brain tissue and sagittal sinus in a porcine model," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 71, pp. 183–185, 1998.
- [18] M. Gelabert-González, J. M. Fernández-Villa, and V. Ginesta-Galan, "Intra-operative monitoring of brain tissue O₂ (PtiO₂) during aneurysm surgery," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 144, no. 9, pp. 863–867, 2002.
- [19] K. L. Kiening, R. Härtl, A. W. Unterberg, G.-H. Schneider, T. Bardt, and W. R. Lanksch, "Brain tissue pO2-monitoring in comatose patients: implications for therapy," *Neurological Research*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 233–240, 1997.
- [20] J. Dings, A. Jäger, J. Meixensberger, and K. Roosen, "Brain tissue pO2 and outcome after severe head injury," *Neurological Research*, vol. 20, supplement 1, pp. S71–S75, 1998.

- [21] A. B. Valadka, S. P. Gopinath, C. F. Contant, M. Uzura, and C. S. Robertson, "Relationship of brain tissue Po2 to outcome after severe head injury," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1576–1581, 1998.
- [22] W. A. van den Brink, H. van Santbrink, E. W. Steyerberg et al., "Brain oxygen tension in severe head injury," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 868–878, 2000.
- [23] S. L. Bratton, R. M. Chestnut, J. Ghajar et al., "Guidelines for the management of severe traumatic brain injury. X. Brain oxygen monitoring and thresholds," *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 24, supplement 1, pp. S65–S70, 2007.
- [24] J. Meixensberger, M. Jaeger, A. Väth, J. Dings, E. Kunze, and K. Roosen, "Brain tissue oxygen guided treatment supplementing ICP/CPP therapy after traumatic brain injury," *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 760– 764, 2003.
- [25] C. M. Tolias, M. Reinert, R. Seiler, C. Gilman, A. Scharf, and M. R. Bullock, "Normobaric hyperoxia-induced improvement in cerebral metabolism and reduction in intracranial pressure in patients with severe head injury: a prospective historical cohortmatched study," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 435– 444, 2004.
- [26] A. A. Figaji, E. Zwane, A. Graham Fieggen, A. C. Argent, P. D. Le Roux, and J. C. Peter, "The effect of increased inspired fraction of oxygen on brain tissue oxygen tension in children with severe traumatic brain injury," *Neurocritical Care*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 430–437, 2010.
- [27] M. F. Stiefel, A. Spiotta, V. H. Gracias et al., "Reduced mortality rate in patients with severe traumatic brain injury treated with brain tissue oxygen monitoring," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 103, no. 5, pp. 805–811, 2005.
- [28] A. A. Adamides, D. J. Cooper, F. L. Rosenfeldt et al., "Focal cerebral oxygenation and neurological outcome with or without brain tissue oxygen-guided therapy in patients with traumatic brain injury," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 151, no. 11, pp. 1399– 1409, 2009.
- [29] A. M. Spiotta, M. F. Stiefel, V. H. Gracias et al., "Brain tissue oxygen-directed management and outcome in patients with severe traumatic brain injury," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 113, no. 3, pp. 571–580, 2010.
- [30] R. P. Martini, S. Deem, N. D. Yanez et al., "Management guided by brain tissue oxygen monitoring and outcome following severe traumatic brain injury," *Journal of Neurosurgery*, vol. 111, no. 4, pp. 644–649, 2009.
- [31] T. F. Bardt, A. W. Unterberg, R. Härtl, K. L. Kiening, G. H. Schneider, and W. R. Lanksch, "Monitoring of brain tissue PO2 in traumatic brain injury: effect of cerebral hypoxia on outcome," *Acta Neurochirurgica, Supplement*, vol. 1998, no. 71, pp. 153–156, 1998.
- [32] M. Reinert, A. Barth, H. U. Rothen et al., "Effects of cerebral perfusion pressure and increased fraction of inspired oxygen on brain tissue oxygen, lactate and glucose in patients with severe head injury," *Acta Neurochirurgica*, vol. 145, no. 5, pp. 341–350, 2003.
- [33] D. G. Nehls, A. D. Mendelow, D. I. Graham, E. J. Sinar, G. M. Teasdale, and R. R. Smith, "Experimental intracerebral hemorrhage: progression of hemodynamic changes after production of a spontaneous mass lesion," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 439–444, 1988.
- [34] S. A. Mayer, A. Lignelli, M. E. Fink et al., "Perilesional blood flow and edema formation in acute intracerebral hemorrhage: a SPECT study," *Stroke*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1791–1798, 1998.

- [35] X. Zhao, Y. Wang, C. Wang, S. Li, and Z. Yang, "Quantitative evaluation for secondary injury to perihematoma of hypertensive cerebral hemorrhage by functional MR and correlation analysis with ischemic factors," *Neurological Research*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 66–70, 2006.
- [36] A. S. Sarrafzadeh, K. L. Kiening, T. F. Bardt, G. H. Schneider, A. W. Unterberg, and W. R. Lanksch, "Cerebral oxygenation in contusioned vs. nonlesioned brain tissue: monitoring of PtiO2 with Licox and Paratrend," *Acta Neurochirurgica. Supplement*, vol. 71, pp. 186–189, 1998.
- [37] M. Menzel, E. M. R. Doppenberg, A. Zauner et al., "Cerebral oxygenation in patients after severe head injury: monitoring and effects of arterial hyperoxia on cerebral blood flow, metabolism, and intracranial pressure," *Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 240–251, 1999.
- [38] H. van Santbrink, W. A. Vd Brink, E. W. Steyerberg et al., "Brain tissue oxygen response in severe traumatic brain injury," Acta Neurochirurgica, vol. 145, no. 6, pp. 429–438, 2003.