Data in brief 26 (2019) 104424

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Water capacity and size data of reverse micelles formed by novel cationic surfactants



Valentino Canale ^a, Pietro Di Profio ^{a, b, *}

^a Department of Pharmacy, University of Chieti-Pescara "G. d'Annunzio", Italy ^b CEMIN, Center of Excellence on Nanostructured Innovative Materials, University of Perugia Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 May 2019 Received in revised form 14 August 2019 Accepted 15 August 2019 Available online 22 August 2019

Keywords: Reverse micelles Cationic surfactants Water content Dynamic light scattering

ABSTRACT

This paper contains data of water capacity (in terms of $w_0 = \text{mol}_{water}/\text{mol}_{surfactant}$) in reverse micelles formed by several commercial and non-commercial cationic surfactants in cyclopentane, petroleum ether, and iso-octane. Also reported are hydrodynamic diameters of the respective reverse micelles formed. Tested surfactants were (i) single-chained cationics: cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium bromide (CBDB), cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium bromide (CBMB), cetyl dibutyl ethanolammonium bromide (CCDB); and (ii) twin-chained cationics: didodecyl dimethylammonium bromide (DDEB), didodecyl dipropylammonium bromide (DDPB), didodecyl diethylammonium bromide (DDPB), didodecyl diethylammonium bromide (DDPB), diethylammonium bromide (DBDMB). Also reported are sizes of reverse micelles as determined by dynamic light scattering.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author. Department of Pharmacy, University of Chieti-Pescara "G. d'Annunzio", Italy. *E-mail address:* pietro.diprofio@unich.it (P. Di Profio).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104424

^{2352-3409/© 2019} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

2

Subject area	Chemistry
More specific subject area	Colloid chemistry
Type of data	Tables and graphs
How data was acquired	Dynamic light scattering device (Nicomp Model 370 computing autocorrelator (PSS, Santa
	Barbara, USA))
Data format	Intensity autocorrelation function analysed by a cumulants approach. Diameters obtained with
	the Stokes-Einstein relation.
Experimental factors	Hydrodynamic diameters in nanometres (nm)
Experimental features	Water capacity of reverse micelles in organic solvents was determined visually, and micellar
	sizes determined by dynamic light scattering
Data source location	Chieti, Italy
Data accessibility	Data is within this article
Related research article	Di Profio P, Canale V, Germani R, et al. Reverse micelles enhance the formation of clathrate
	hydrates of hydrogen. J. Coll. Int. Sci. (2018) 516, pp. 224–231 [1].

Value of the data

• Reported data usefully show unexplored surfactant families forming reverse micelles in organic solvents with moderate to high values of w₀.

• Cationic surfactants tested may be a valuable alternative to the usual anionic, AOT-based surfactants in the formation of reverse micelles.

• Present data may be useful in the field of enzyme formulations, in particular for biotechnological applications.

Water capacity and size data may be the starting point for further characterizations of rheological properties.

1. Data

Data presented in this report are: (i) values of maximum water content of reverse micelles in cyclopentane, petroleum ether, and iso-octane; and (ii) values of hydrodynamic diameters (nm) of the respective reverse micelles. Data referred to in (i) and (ii) are reported in Table 1, Fig. 1, and in Supplementary materials.

Table 1

Values of water uptake in reverse micelles ($w_0 = mol water/mol surfactant$), and their hydrodynamic diameters (nm).

	w ₀	Hydrodynamic diameter (nm)
Surfactant, 0.1 M in cyclopentane		
Cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium Br	49	6.7
Cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium Br	56	5.4
Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br	42	20.4
Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br	49	6.2
Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br	45	6.4
Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br	52	11.1
Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br	59	10.0
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	42	3.5
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	49	10.3
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	56	2.9
Didodecyldiethylammonium Cl	35	4.6
Didodecyldiethylammonium Cl	52	5.8
Surfactant, 0.1M in petroleum ether		
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	42	5.6
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	49	4.6
Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br	59	7.6

Table 1 (continued)

	w ₀	Hydrodynamic diameter (nm)
Cetyl cyclohexyldimethylammonium	35	7.5
Br		
Cetyl cyclohexyldimethylammonium	52	10.0
Br		
Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl	56	5.3
Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl	35	5.3
Didodecyldiethylammonium Br	34	6.3
Didodecyldiethylammonium Br	52	6.8
Didodecyldipropylammonium Br	35	13.0
Didodecyldipropylammonium Br	52	14.1
Dodecylbenzyl dimethylammonium Br	36	12.4
Dodecylbenzyl dimethylammonium Br	53	11.6
Surfactant, 0.1M in iso-octane		
Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl	51	4.0
Didodecyldiethylammonium Br	37	6.8
Didodecyldiethylammonium Br	54	4.7
Didodecyldipropylammonium Br	34	5.1

Cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=49 Cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=56 Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=42 Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=49 Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=45 Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=52 Cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=59 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=42 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=49 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in cyclopentane W0=56 Didodecyldiethylammonium Cl in cyclopentane W0=35 Didodecyldiethylammonium Cl in cyclopentane W0=52 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=42 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=49 Cetyl dibutylethanolammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=59 Cetyl cyclohexyldimethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=35 Cetyl cyclohexyldimethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=52 Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl in petroleum ether W0=56 Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl in petroleum ether W0=35 Didodecyldiethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=34 Didodecyldiethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=52 Didodecyldipropylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=35 Didodecyldipropylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=52 Dodecylbenzyl dimethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=36 Dodecylbenzyl dimethylammonium Br in petroleum ether W0=53 Didodecyldimethylammonium Cl in iso-octane W0=51 Didodecyldiethylammonium Br in iso-octane W0=37 Didodecyldiethylammonium Br in iso-octane W0=54 Didodecyldipropylammonium Br in iso-octane W0=34



Fig. 1. Hydrodynamic diameters of reverse micelles for listed single- (green bars) and twin-chained (cyan) cationic surfactants at the highest water contents.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

Surfactants were (i) single-chained cationics: cetyl benzyl diethanolammonium bromide (CBDB), cetyl benzyl dimethylammonium bromide (CBMB), cetyl dibutyl ethanolammonium bromide (CDBEB), cetyl cyclohexyl dimethylammonium bromide (CCDB), which were synthesized as described in literature [2], except for CBMB which was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; and (ii) twin-chained cationics: didodecyl dimethylammonium chloride (DDMC), didodecyl dieth-ylammonium bromide (DDEB), didodecyl dipropylammonium bromide (DDPB), didodecyl dieth-ylammonium chloride (DDEC), dodecyl benzyl dimethylammonium bromide (DBDMB), which were synthesized as described in literature [3–5], except for DBDMB, which was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.1. Preparation of solutions for determination of w_0

All experiments were carried out at 20 °C. Surfactants were dissolved at 0.1 M concentration in organic solvent; then 10 μ L of 0.2 μ m-filtered, MilliQ water was added by a Hamilton syringe, and the solution was briefly sonicated in a Branson 220 bath sonicator until visually clear. Then the solution was left standing for 15 minutes to check its stability. If the solution remained visually clear, another 10- μ L of water were added, and the process repeated up to a point where the solution started to show a faint turbidity after standing. Table 1 reports w_0 data relating to the highest water amount which yielded a clear solution.

2.2. Preparation of solutions for dynamic light scattering measurements

Solutions were prepared as above, except that addition of water did not exceeded the coalescence limit, as determined. Further, water and organic solvents were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 15 min before starting the experiment. Light scattering was performed at 20.0 °C with an argon laser source (Coherent Innova 70-3) emitting at 4880 Å. A 64-channel Nicomp Model 370 computing autocorrelator (PSS, Santa Barbara, USA) was used to calculate the diffusion coefficient, *D*, and hydrodynamic diameters. Values of coefficients of variation were 20–40%.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104424.

References

- P. Di Profio, V. Canale, R. Germani, S. Arca, A. Fontana, Reverse micelles enhance the formation of clathrate hydrates of hydrogen, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 516 (2018) 224–231, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2018.01.059.
- [2] M. Tiecco, G. Cardinali, L. Roscini, R. Germani, L. Corte, Biocidal and inhibitory activity screening of de novo synthesized surfactants against two eukaryotic and two prokaryotic microbial species, Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces 111 (2013) 407–417, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.06.033.
- [3] L. Brinchi, P. Di Profio, R. Germani, L. Goracci, G. Savelli, N.D. Gillitt, C.A. Bunton, Premicellar accelerated decarboxylation of 6-nitrobenzisoxazole-3- carboxylate ion and its 5-tetradecyloxy derivative, Langmuir 23 (2007) 436–442, https://doi.org/ 10.1021/la061807t.
- [4] A. Cipiciani, R. Germani, G. Savelli, C.A. Bunton, M. Mhala, J.R. Moffatt, The effects of single- and twin-tailed ionic surfactants upon aromatic nucleophilic substitution, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 (1987) 541, https://doi.org/10.1039/P29870000541.
- [5] C. Pantani, N. Spreti, M.C. Maggitti, R. Germani, Acute toxicity of some synthetic cationic and zwitterionic surfactants to freshwater amphipod Echinogammarus tibaldii, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 55 (1995) 179–186, https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00203007.