FEBS openbio



Dimerization facilitates the conformational transitions for bacterial phosphotransferase enzyme I autophosphorylation in an allosteric manner

Ko On Lee^{1,*}, Young-Joo Yun^{1,*}, Iktae Kim¹ and Jeong-Yong Suh^{1,2}

1 Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Korea 2 Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan

Keywords

allostery; conformational transition; dimerization; phosphotransferase system

Correspondence

J.-Y. Suh, Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea Fax: +82 2 877 4906 Tel: +82 2 880 4879 E-mail: jysuh@snu.ac.kr

*These authors contributed equally to this work

(Received 4 April 2017, revised 21 June 2017, accepted 21 June 2017)

doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12260

The bacterial phosphotransferase system is central to sugar uptake and phosphorylation. Enzyme I (EI), the first enzyme of the system, autophosphorylates as a dimer using phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), but it is not clearly understood how dimerization activates the enzyme activity. Here, we show that EI dimerization is important for proper conformational transitions and the domain association required for the autophosphorylation. EI(G356S) with reduced dimerization affinity and lower autophosphorylation activity revealed that significantly hindered conformational transitions are required for the phosphoryl transfer reaction. The G356S mutation does not change the binding affinity for PEP, but perturbs the domain association accompanying large interdomain motions that bring the active site His189 close to PEP. The interface for the domain association can prime the conformational change in an allosteric manner.

Enzyme I (EI) is the first protein of the bacterial phosphotransferase system, which catalyzes the sugar transport and phosphorylation [1,2]. EI catalyzes an Mg^{2+} -dependent autophosphorylation reaction using phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) as a substrate, and a phosphoryl transfer reaction to histidine-containing phosphocarrier protein, HPr. EI consists of an Nterminal domain (EIN) comprising an HPr binding subdomain (EIN $\alpha\beta$), and a catalytic phosphohistidine subdomain (EIN $\alpha\beta$), and a C-terminal dimerization domain (EIC) for PEP binding [3,4]. EI switches between open and closed conformational states via large domain motions for its autophosphorylation reaction. Free EI adopts an open state that is relevant to a phosphoryl transfer reaction between EI and HPr [5,6], and PEP binding to EI induces a closed state that enables the autophosphorylation reaction [7]. Transition between two conformational states involves a hinge motion of EIN α and a swivel motion of EIN $\alpha\beta$ (Fig. 1). Once the hinge motion disengages EIN α from EIN $\alpha\beta$, the active site His189 of EIN $\alpha\beta$ can be brought to PEP bound on EIC without steric clash by the swivel motion.

EI forms a dimer via EIC, and the dimerization of EI and isolated EIC has been extensively investigated by sedimentation equilibrium experiments [8,9]. PEP binding largely increased EI dimerization, inducing a compact EI dimer formation. It is known that an EI

Abbreviations

El, enzyme I; EIC, C-terminal domain of enzyme I; EIN, N-terminal domain of enzyme I; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate.

Fig. 1. The open (PDB code 2kx9) and closed (PDB code 2hwg) conformations of EI shown in a cartoon diagram. The EIN α subdomain is colored in blue, the EIN $\alpha\beta$ subdomain in cyan, and the EIC domain in red. The active site His189 in the open conformation and phospho-His189 and oxalate in the closed conformation are shown as space-filling models. EIN α and EIC are highlighted with dashed rectangles in blue and red, respectively, to visualize the hinge and swivel domain motions during the open-to-closed conformational switch of EI upon PEP binding.



dimer is capable of the autophosphorylation reaction, but how the dimerization makes EI functionally competent is not clearly understood [10,11]. Previously, EI with a defect in dimerization and autophosphorylation activity was found in Salmonella typhimurium strains, and a G356S mutation was linked to the reduced EI activity [12,13]. When the G356S mutation was introduced to EI of Escherichia coli, EI(G356S) also exhibited significantly weaker dimerization and reduced autophosphorylation activity [13]. Here, we used EI (G356S) of E. coli to address the mechanistic link between EI dimerization and autophosphorylation activity. We demonstrate that EI dimerization facilitates the conformational transitions between open and closed states required for the autophosphorylation reaction.

Materials and methods

Cloning, protein expression, and purification

Full-length and domain deletion mutants of EI(1-575) were cloned into a pET11 or a pET15b vector with an Nterminal His6 tag. EIA denotes the active site H189A mutation in the $\alpha\beta$ subdomain of EI, and EIC(G356S) denotes the G356S mutation in EIC(231-575). EIN $\alpha\beta(1-$ 21, 146-249) was cloned to remove EINa(25-143) and loop regions that connect EINaß and EINa from EIN(1-249). We note that EIN and EIC are linked by a long connecting α -helix(231–260) that is partly included in the EIN and EIC domain constructs in this study for optimal protein stability. Thus, $EI_A^{\Delta EIN\alpha}(G356S)$ denotes the EI (1-21, 146-575, H189A, G356S) mutant. The plasmids were introduced into E. coli strain BL21star (DE3) (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA), and the transformant was grown in either Luria-Bertani or minimal media with ¹⁵NH₄Cl as the sole nitrogen source. The culture was induced at an A_{600} of ~ 0.8 by the addition of 1 mm

isopropyl-B-D-thiogalactopyranoside, and harvested by centrifugation after 4 h of induction. The cell pellet was resuspended in 50 mL (per liter of culture) of 50 mM Tris/ HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1 tablet of protease cocktail inhibitor (S8830 SIGMAFAST; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The suspension was lysed by three passages through Emulsiflex (Avestin, Ottawa, ON, Canada) after homogenizing and was centrifuged at 24 000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant fraction was filtered and loaded onto a DEAE column or a HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). The fractions containing the proteins were purified by a Superdex200 column or a Superdex75 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, and 2 mM β -mercaptoethanol and were then further purified by monoQ anion-exchange column (8 mL; GE Healthcare) with a 160-mL gradient of 1 M NaCl. All proteins were dialyzed against 20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 4 mM MgCl₂ (buffer A) for further analysis.

Circular dichroism

Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was conducted at 25 °C using a ChirascanTM-plus CD spectrometer. Wave scans were acquired by sampling data at 1-nm intervals between 200 and 250 nm for far UV CD measurement. Far UV CD spectroscopy was carried out with 10 μ M of proteins in buffer A using a 0.5-mm quartz cuvette. Each far UV CD spectrum was obtained from an average of three scans, and the results were presented as mean residue ellipticity (deg cm²·dmol⁻¹) at each wavelength.

Multiangle light scattering

Purified proteins were characterized by multiangle light scattering (MALS) following the size exclusion chromatography. Two hundred micromolar proteins was injected onto a WTC-0303 column (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) equilibrated with buffer A in the presence and absence of 10 mm PEP. The chromatography system was connected to an 18-angle light scattering detector (DAWN HELEOS II; Wyatt Technology), a dynamic light scattering detector (DynaPro Nanostar; Wyatt Technology), and a refractive index detector (Optilab t-rEX; Wyatt Technology). Data were collected every 1 s at a flow rate of $0.5 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at 25 °C. Data analysis was carried out using the software package ASTRA 6 (Wyatt Technology) to determine the molar mass and mass distribution of the sample.

Isothermal titration calorimetry

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were performed using the ITC₂₀₀ microcalorimeter (Malvern) at 25 °C. Three hundred micromolar PEP was titrated into 30 μ M EI_A(G356S) or EI_A^{Δ EIN α}(G356S) in buffer A, and 3 mM PEP was titrated into 300 μ M EIC(G356S). Twenty consecutive 2- μ L aliquots of PEP were titrated into the proteins in the cell. The duration of each injection was 4 s, and injections were made at intervals of 150 s. The heats associated with the dilution of PEP were subtracted from the measured heats of binding. ITC titration data were analyzed with the ORIGIN version 7.0 program (Northampton, MA, USA) provided with the instrument.

NMR spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on Bruker Avance 600- and 900-MHz spectrometers equipped with *x*, *y*, *z*shielded or *z*-shielded gradient triple resonance probes. To examine the binding interface of isolated EINαβ for isolated EIC(G356S), two-dimensional ¹H-¹⁵N heteronuclear single quantum correlation spectra of 0.3 mm ¹⁵N-labeled EINαβ(H189A) were obtained titrating with unlabeled EIC (G356S) in the presence and absence of 10 mm PEP in buffer A. The backbone chemical shifts of EINαβ(H189A) were obtained by the comparison with previously assigned chemical shifts of EIN, and confirmed by three-dimensional triple resonance through-bond scalar correlation CBCA-CONH and HNCACB experiments. NMR spectra were processed using the NMRPipe [14] program and analyzed using the PIPP [15] and the NMRView [16] programs.

Results and Discussion

Impact of G356S mutation on EI and EIC dimerization

We employed the active site mutant EI_A (His189 replaced with Ala) in this study, so that PEP binding to EI would not proceed with the autophosphorylation reaction. The circular dichroism spectra were very similar between EI_A and EI_A (G356S), and also between



Fig. 2. Far UV (200–250 nm) circular dichroism spectra of (A) EI_A and EI_A (G356S) in black and red, respectively, and (B) EIC and EIC (G356S) in black and red, respectively.

EIC and EIC(G356S), indicating that the G356S mutation did not perturb the secondary structures (Fig. 2). We examined the dimerization states of the mutants using MALS data. EI_A (~ 63.5 kDa) exhibited 119.5 ± 1.2 kDa at 50 μ M of the elution concentration, indicating $\sim 90\%$ of a dimer (Fig. 3A). The elution concentration was obtained from the UV₂₈₀ absorption at the peak height. On the other hand, $EI_A(G356S)$ exhibited 90.1 \pm 4.1 kDa at 50 μ M of the elution concentration, indicating $\sim 40\%$ of a dimer (Fig. 3A). The estimated equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of an EI_A dimer from the dimer fraction was $\sim 0.4 \ \mu\text{M}$ that was in good agreement with $K_{\rm D} \sim 0.6 \ \mu \text{M}$ from the sedimentation velocity data of wild-type EI [5]. The K_D value of EI_A(G356S) was calculated as $\sim 30 \mu M$, indicating a ~ 75 -fold reduction in the dimerization affinity. PEP binding, however, largely restored the EI dimerization, and both EIA and $EI_A(G356S)$ formed predominantly a dimer (> 90%) upon PEP binding (Fig. 3B).



Fig. 3. Multiangle light scattering analysis of EI_A in black and $EI_A(G356S)$ in red (A) in the absence of PEP and (B) in the presence of PEP, and multiangle light scattering analysis of EIC in black and EIC(G356S) in red (C) in the absence of PEP, and (D) in the presence of PEP. The absolute molecular masses obtained from the light scattering data are shown above individual elution peaks.

EIC (~ 38.5 kDa) exhibited 73.1 ± 1.3 kDa at 50 µm of the elution concentration, and mostly existed as a dimer (Fig. 3C), which was consistent with $K_{\rm D} \sim 6$ nm from sedimentation velocity data [9]. EIC (G356S) eluted as two peaks of 65.2 ± 4.8 kDa and 42.5 ± 1.6 kDa with a 1 : 2 ratio, both of which corresponded to a mixture of a monomer and a dimer (Fig. 3C). The separate elution of two peaks suggests a possible conformational heterogeneity of EIC (G356S), which was not evident in $EI_A(G356S)$. The lower bound of K_D value of EIC(G356S) was estimated as 5 µM from the higher molecular weight fraction, indicating that the G356S mutation caused a significantly larger impact on the dimerization of isolated EIC than that of EI. PEP binding increased the dimerization of EIC(G356S) such that EIC(G356S): PEP appeared as a single peak of 67.1 ± 3.8 kDa, indicating $\sim 80\%$ of a dimer (Fig. 3D). Taken together, the G356S mutation considerably reduced the dimerization of both EIA(G356S) and EIC (G356S), but PEP binding largely restored the dimerization affinity, especially for $EI_A(G356S)$. Although the estimated dimerization constants from the MALS data are only semiquantitative, they are consistent with the reported values of EI_A and EIC from previous analytical centrifugation experiments [5,9]. As $EI_A(G356S)$ formed a tight dimer that was comparable to EI_A , we examined the impact of G356S mutation on the conformational transitions of EI during the phosphoryl transfer reaction.

Impact of G356S mutation on PEP binding and conformational transitions of EI

It has been reported that EI(G356S) suffers from significantly reduced autophosphorylation activity with only ~ 4% of V_{max} of autophosphorylation compared to EI [13]. As EI(G356S) formed a weaker dimer than EI, the reduced activity was supposed to originate from an EI(G356S) monomer. Our study, however, showed that EI(G356S) could form a tight dimer in the presence of PEP, suggesting that the reduced activity could be intrinsic to the EI(G356S) dimer. We investigated the impact of G356S mutation on PEP binding and conformational transitions of EI using EI_A(G356S) and its domain deletion mutants by calorimetry and NMR spectroscopy. We recall that EI switches from an open state to a closed state upon PEP binding, which involves a hinge motion of EINa and an association of EIN $\alpha\beta$ and EIC by a swivel motion [5,7]. The apparent free energy (ΔG_{app}) of PEP binding to EI_A is comprised of the free energy contributions from intrinsic PEP binding of EIC (ΔG_{PEP}) and accompanying conformational transitions (ΔG_{hinge} and ΔG_{asso} , such that $\Delta G_{\text{app}}(\text{EI}_{\text{A}}) = \Delta G_{\text{PEP}} +$ $\Delta G_{\text{hinge}} + \Delta G_{\text{asso}}$, as previously described [17]. When the EIN α subdomain is removed from EI_A, EI_A^{Δ EIN α} binds to PEP and switches to the closed state without the hinge motion, yielding $\Delta G_{app}(EI_A^{\Delta EIN\alpha}) =$ $\Delta G_{\text{PEP}} + \Delta G_{\text{asso}}$. The PEP binding (ΔG_{PEP}) can be directly measured using isolated EIC that binds to PEP without domain motions [18]. Linear combinations of measured $\Delta G_{app}(EI_A)$, $\Delta G_{app}(EI_A^{\Delta EIN\alpha})$, and ΔG_{PEP} values can then provide individual ΔG_{PEP} , ΔG_{hinge} , and ΔG_{asso} of EI_A. Recently, NMR residual dipolar coupling and small-angle X-ray scattering data have revealed that EI(H189A) forms a mixture of partially closed and closed states in the presence of PEP [19]. The partially closed state represents the intermediate state between the open and closed states, where the domain orientation of EIN α and EIN $\alpha\beta$ resembles the closed state conformation, but EIN $\alpha\beta$ is not fully engaged with EIC to catalyze the in-line phosphoryl transfer reaction with PEP. Thus, it should be mentioned that ΔG_{asso} in our study includes the domain association to form the partially closed state in addition to the closed state observed in the crystal structure. Given that buried accessible surface in the closed state is 3.4 times larger than that in the partially closed state, we speculate a larger contribution of the closed state to the measured ΔG_{asso} [19].

We first examined whether the G356S mutation would affect the intrinsic PEP binding of EIC. The $K_{\rm D}$ value of PEP binding to EIC(G356S) was measured as $\sim 310 \pm 100 \ \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 4A), which was comparable to $\sim 260 \pm 80 \; \mu \text{M}$ obtained for EIC [18]. Thus, G365S mutation did not perturb the intrinsic PEP binding affinity of EIC. We then examined the conformational transitions of EI_A(G356S) upon PEP binding. The ΔG_{app} value for overall PEP binding and the conformational transitions of EIA(G356S) was measured as $-6.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4B), which was -2.1kcal·mol⁻¹ smaller than that of EI_A ($\Delta G_{app} = -8.7 \pm$ $0.2 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). The decreased free energy change suggests that the conformational transitions of



Fig. 4. Integrated heats of injections from the titration between (A) PEP and EIC(G356S), (B) PEP and EI_A(G356S), and (C) PEP and EI_A^{ΔEINα}(G356S). The squares are the experimental data, and the lines represent the least-squares best-fit curves derived for one set of sites binding model. The equilibrium dissociation constants and thermodynamic parameters are given in Table 1.

EI_A(G356S) upon PEP binding were less efficient than EI_A. We further examined whether the hinge motion of EINα (ΔG_{hinge}) or the association between EINαβ and EIC (ΔG_{asso}) by the swivel motion was affected by the G356S mutation (Fig. 4C). ΔG_{hinge} was obtained by subtracting ΔG_{app} (-8.0 ± 0.1 kcal·mol⁻¹) of EI_A-^ΔEINα(G356S) from ΔG_{app} (-6.6 ± 0.1 kcal·mol⁻¹) of EI_A(G356S). ΔG_{hinge} of EI_A(G356S) was 1.4 ± 0.1 kcal·mol⁻¹, which was largely the same as that of EI_A (Table 1). On the other hand, the G356S mutation significantly reduced the domain association between EINαβ and EIC. ΔG_{asso} of EI_A(G356S) was

accompanying ninge and swiver motions were obtained by linear combinations of measured parameters as described in the main text.				
	<i>K</i> _D (μs)	riangle G (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	riangle H (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	$-T \triangle S$ (kcal·mol ⁻¹)
EI _A + PEP	0.43 ± 0.12	-8.7 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.1	-12.3 ± 0.2
$EI_{A}^{\DeltaEIN\alpha}$ + PEP	0.037 ± 0.001	-10.1 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 0.1	-16.6 ± 0.1
EIC + PEP	260 ± 80	-4.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.4	-6.8 ± 0.4
Hinge motion		1.5 ± 0.2	-2.9 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.3
Swivel motion		-5.2 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 0.5	-9.8 ± 0.5
$EI_A(G356S) + PEP$	14 ± 0.9	-6.6 ± 0.1	12.0 ± 0.2	-18.6 ± 0.2
$EI_A^{\Delta EIN\alpha}$ (G356S) + PEP	1.3 ± 0.1	-8.0 ± 0.1	14.5 ± 0.0	-22.5 ± 0.1
EIC(G356S) + PEP	310 ± 100	-4.7 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.4	-7.6 ± 0.4
Hinge motion		1.4 ± 0.1	-2.5 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.2
Swivel motion		-3.3 ± 0.2	11.6 ± 0.5	-14.9 ± 0.5

Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters for PEP binding and conformational transitions of EI mutants. $(EI_A + PEP')$ denotes the measured thermodynamic parameters for the binding between EI_A and PEP. Thermodynamic parameters for the conformational transition accompanying hinge and swivel motions were obtained by linear combinations of measured parameters as described in the main text.

 $-3.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, whereas that of EI_A was $-5.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, revealing that the G356S mutation reduced the domain association by $-1.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

The hinge motion separates EIN $\alpha\beta$ and EIN α within EIN and exposes hydrophobic interfacial residues between the subdomains, resulting in unfavorable entropic cost (Table 1). The energetic penalty of the hinge motion is largely compensated by the association between EIN $\alpha\beta$ and EIC accompanying the swivel motion, which buries wide hydrophobic interaction surfaces [7]. The enthalpic and entropic changes upon the hinge motion were very similar between EI_A and EI_A(G356S), indicating that the G356S mutation had little impact on the hinge motion (Table 1). The G356S mutation, however, profoundly influenced the thermodynamics of the association between EINaß and EIC. $EI_A(G356S)$ exhibited much weaker domain association that was attributed to high enthalpic cost outweighing entropic gains from the association. The origin of large changes in enthalpy and entropy in $EI_A(G356S)$ is not clear, but the large difference in entropic contributions may reflect a less compact dimeric state of $EI_A(G356S)$.

Interfaces for the association between $\text{EIN}\alpha\beta$ and EIC

We further investigated the impact of the G356S mutation on the interface ¹⁵N-EIN $\alpha\beta$ for EIC by NMR titration. We monitored the ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of ¹⁵N-EIN $\alpha\beta$ titrating with EIC or EIC(G356S). Neither of the titration experiments showed any change in the absence of PEP, indicating that EIN $\alpha\beta$ did not interact with EIC without PEP. This is consistent with previous data that EI adopts predominantly an open state in the absence of PEP [5]. When 0.3 mm ¹⁵N-EIN $\alpha\beta$

was complexed with 0.45 mM EIC in the presence of 10 mm PEP, several residues exhibited severe line broadening (Fig. 5A). Residues with the largest line broadening were I5, A7, A102, L149, T164, T168, G178, G184, G185, S188, T190, R195-E198, G206, and N230, and most of them were located at the interaction surface for EIC (Fig. 5C). On the contrary, a similar titration of 0.3 mm 15 N-EIN $\alpha\beta$ with 0.45 mm EIC(G356S) in the presence of PEP showed little changes, which is consistent with the weaker interaction between EIC and EIN $\alpha\beta$ of EI_A(G356S) (Fig. 6). Increasing the concentration of EIC(G356S) up to 0.9 mM resulted in modest changes for a few residues that exhibited line broadening as well in the titration with EIC (Fig. 5B). Thus, EIN $\alpha\beta$ employs similar binding interfaces for both EIC(G365S) and EIC in the presence of PEP, albeit much weaker affinity for EIC(G365S).

The dimer interface of EI is mainly comprised of β3α3 loop (333–366) and β6α6 loop (453–477) of EIC. We suppose that the PEP binding, EI dimerization, and domain association events are connected by an intricate signaling network that is allosterically regulated by the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop. The $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop not only constitutes the dimer interface, but also contains Arg358 that interacts with the phosphoryl group of PEP, propagating conformational changes between the dimerization interface and the PEP binding site (Fig. 5D). Initial PEP binding to EI likely adjusts the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop conformation to provide optimal dimerization interfaces. A compact EI dimer, once formed, suppresses conformational dynamics prevailing in EIC to facilitate the EIC-EIN $\alpha\beta$ domain association, as was demonstrated by NMR relaxation dispersion [20,21]. The sequential signaling cascade from PEP binding to compact dimerization to domain association triggers the overall open-to-closed conformational transition.



Fig. 5. The ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectra of 0.3 mm ¹⁵N-EIN $\alpha\beta_A$ (black) in the presence of 10 mm PEP titrated with (A) 0.45 mm unlabeled EIC (red) and (B) 0.45 mm unlabeled EIC(G356S) (blue). Residues of EIN $\alpha\beta_A$ that exhibited chemical shift changes or line broadening upon the titration are annotated by the residue types and numbers in the spectra. Side and top views (PDB code 2hwg) of (C) EIN $\alpha\beta$ associated with EIC and (D) the EIC dimer as a cartoon diagram. EIN $\alpha\beta$ is colored in cyan and EIC in red. EIN $\alpha\beta$ residues are shown as space-filling models enclosed by dashed circles in orange in (D). EIN $\alpha\beta$ in cyan is added on the right panel to illustrate that the interaction surface of EIC for EIN $\alpha\beta$ is distant from the dimerization interface. The active site phospho-His189 and oxalate bound on EIC are shown as space-filling models as a visual guidance.

When the G356S mutation is introduced to the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop, the former signaling pathway from PEP binding to compact EI dimerization was not perturbed, but the subsequent signaling from compact dimerization to facilitated domain association was compromised. It is remarkable that the large conformational transitions in



Fig. 6. The $^1H^{-15}N$ HSQC spectra of 0.3 mm $^{15}N\text{-EIN}\alpha\beta_A$ (black) in the presence of 10 mm PEP titrated with 0.45 mm unlabeled EIC (G356S) (red).

EI are sensitive to a single G356S mutation. We speculate that the hydroxyl side chain of Ser356 might form hydrogen bonds with neighboring Glu350 and Asn352 to distort the $\beta 3\alpha 3$ loop conformation, which could be deleterious to the conformational transition. We note that the dynamic nature at the active site extends to the intermolecular interaction between EI and HPr, suggesting that the conformational plasticity may fine-tune protein-protein interactions in general [22].

In summary, we demonstrate that the dimerization of EI facilitates the conformational transitions required for the autophosphorylation reaction in an allosteric manner. The loop $\beta 3\alpha 3$ of EIC is in the center for the allosteric regulation between PEP binding, compact dimerization, and conformational transitions of EI. A single G356S mutation in the loop $\beta 3\alpha 3$ was enough to perturb the communication between the dimerization and the conformational transition, leading to a defect in EI autophosphorylation. The mechanistic link between protein dimerization and allosteric regulation may be general in other multidomain multimeric enzymes [23,24].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development, Rural Development Administration (PJ011112), and New & Renewable Energy Core Technology Program of the Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning from the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy, Republic of Korea (20143030090940). We thank the high-field NMR facility at the Korea Basic Science Institute and the National Center for Inter-University Research Facilities.

Author contributions

YJY and JYS conceived and designed the experiments; YJY and IK performed the experiments; KOL, YJY, IK, and JYS analyzed the data; and KOL, YJY, and JYS wrote the manuscript.

References

- 1 Meadow ND, Fox DK and Roseman S (1990) The bacterial phosphoenolpyruvate:glycose phosphotransferase system. *Annu Rev Biochem* **59**, 497–542.
- 2 Siebold C, Flükiger K, Beutler R and Erni B (2001) Carbohydrate transporters of the bacterial phosphoenolpyruvate: sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS). *FEBS Lett* **504**, 104–111.
- 3 Chauvin F, Fomenkov A, Johnson CR and Roseman S (1996) The N-terminal domain of *Escherichia coli* enzyme I of the phosphoenolpyruvate/glycose phosphotransferase system: molecular cloning and characterization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93, 7028–7031.
- 4 Fomenkov A, Valiakhmetov A, Brand L and Roseman S (1998) *In vivo* and *in vitro* complementation of the N-terminal domain of enzyme I of the *Escherichia coli* phosphotransferase system by the cloned C-terminal domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**, 8491–8495.
- 5 Schwieters CD, Suh JY, Grishaev A, Ghirlando R, Takayama Y and Clore GM (2010) Solution structure of the 128 kDa Enzyme I dimer from *Escherichia coli* and its 146 kDa complex with HPr using residual dipolar couplings and small- and wide-angle x-ray scattering. *J Am Chem Soc* **132**, 13026–13045.
- 6 Oberholzer AE, Schneider P, Siebold C, Baumann U and Erni B (2009) Crystal structure of enzyme I of the phosphoenolpyruvate sugar phosphotransferase system in the dephosphorylated state. *J Biol Chem* 284, 33169–33176.
- 7 Teplyakov A, Lim K, Zhu PP, Kapadia G, Chen CCH, Schwartz J, Howard A, Reddy PT, Peterkofsky A and Herzberg O (2006) Structure of phosphorylated enzyme I, the phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system sugar translocation signal protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**, 16218–16223.
- 8 Patel HV, Vyas KA, Savtchenk R and Roseman S (2006) The monomer/dimer transition of enzyme I of the *Escherichia coli* phosphotransferase system. *J Biol Chem* 281, 17570–17578.
- 9 Patel HV, Vyas KA, Mattoo RL, Southworth M, Perler FB, Comb D and Roseman S (2006) Properties of the C-terminal domain of enzyme I of the *Escherichia coli* phosphotransferase system. *J Biol Chem* 281, 17579– 17587.

- 10 Misset O, Brouwer M and Robillard GT (1980) *Escherichia coli* phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase system. Evidence that the dimer is the active form of enzyme I. *Biochemistry* 19, 883–890.
- 11 Seok YJ, Zhu PP, Koo BM and Peterkofsky A (1998) Autophosphorylation of enzyme I of the *Escherichia coli* phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system requires dimerization. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 250, 381–384.
- 12 Saier MH Jr and Roseman S (1972) Inducer exclusion and repression of enzyme synthesis in mutants of *Salmonella typhimurium* defective in enzyme I of the phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system. *J Biol Chem* 247, 972–975.
- 13 Brokx SJ, Talbot J, Georges F and Waygood EB (2000) Enzyme I of the phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system. In vitro intragenic complementation: the roles of Arg126 in phosphoryl transfer and the C-terminal domain in dimerization. *Biochemistry* **39**, 3624–3635.
- 14 Delaglio F, Grzesiek S, Vuister GW, Zhu G, Pfiefer J and Bax A (1995) NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J Biomol NMR 6, 277–293.
- 15 Garrett DS, Powers R, Gronenborn AM and Clore GM (1991) A common sense approach to peak picking in two-, three-, and four-dimensional spectra using automatic computer analysis of contour diagrams. *J Magn Reson* **95**, 214–220.
- 16 Johnson BA and Blevins RA (1994) NMRView: a computer program for the visualization and analysis of NMR spectra. J Biomol NMR 4, 603–614.

- 17 Yun YJ, Choi BS, Kim EH and Suh JY (2013) Thermodynamic dissection of large-scale domain motions coupled with ligand binding of enzyme I. *Protein Sci* 22, 1602–1611.
- 18 Yun YJ and Suh JY (2012) Calorimetric and spectroscopic investigation of the interaction between the C-terminal domain of enzyme I and its ligands. *Protein Sci* 21, 1726–1733.
- 19 Venditti V, Schwieters CD, Grishaev A and Clore GM (2015) Dynamic equilibrium between closed and partially closed states of the bacterial enzyme I unveiled by solution NMR and X-ray scattering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112, 11565–11570.
- 20 Venditti V, Tugarinov V, Schwieters CD, Grishaev A and Clore GM (2015) Large interdomain rearrangement triggered by suppression of micro- to millisecond dynamics in bacterial enzyme I. *Nat Commun* 6, 5960.
- 21 Venditti V and Clore GM (2012) Conformational selection and substrate binding regulate the monomer/ dimer equilibrium of the C-terminal domain of *Escherichia coli* enzyme I. J Biol Chem 287, 26989– 26998.
- 22 Yu TK, Yun YJ, Lee KO, Ahn KJ and Suh JY (2012) Active site phosphoryl groups in the biphosphorylated phosphotransferase complex reveal dynamics in a millisecond time scale. *FEBS Lett* **586**, 1439–1444.
- 23 Swain JF and Gierasch LM (2006) The changing landscape of protein allostery. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 16, 102–108.
- 24 Nussinov R, Tsai CJ and Liu J (2014) Principles of allosteric interactions in cell signaling. J Am Chem Soc 136, 17692–17701.