DOI: 10.1002/ctm2.985



WILEY

UBQLN4 promotes STING proteasomal degradation during cisplatin-induced DNA damage in triple-negative breast cancer

To the Editor:

Cisplatin is a platinum agent that causes DNA damage and it is used as a single agent or in combination for the treatment of recurrent/unresectable triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC).¹ There is a renewed interest in cisplatin usage to treat TNBC in neoadjuvant/metastatic settings.² Treatment options become limited when patients develop resistance, thus new insights into the molecular mechanisms driving cisplatin resistance will improve TNBC patient outcomes.

The aim of this study is to unravel novel molecular mechanisms controlling STING protein levels during cisplatin treatment. We hypothesised that during cisplatininduced DNA damage, STING is recognised by UBQLN4, and degraded through the ubiquitin-proteasome system. UBQLN4 mRNA expression was analysed in the TCGA BRCA and GTEx datasets. UBQLN4 levels were significantly higher in primary TNBC tumours (Figure 1A,B). Patients with high UBQLN4 mRNA levels had significantly reduced relapse-free survival (RFS) (Figure 1C). UBQLN4 gene is in the 1q22 region, and the amplification of the 1q arm is a frequent event in breast cancer (BC) and other solid tumours.^{3,4} UBQLN4 copy number variation (CNV) and mRNA levels showed a significant positive correlation in TNBC tumours and cell lines (Figure 1D,E). Immunohistochemistry analysis demonstrated significant elevated UBQLN4 protein levels for TNBC tumours (Figure 1F,G and Figure S1A). We have previously reported that UBQLN4 levels affect cisplatin sensitivity.^{5,6} UBQLN4 levels were associated with increased cisplatin resistance in TNBC cell lines (Figure 1H). UBQLN4 depletion did not induce significant transcriptional changes in TNBC cell lines (Figure S1B-F) or reduce cellular proliferation (Figure S1G-J); however, it increased the sensitivity to cisplatin, whereas UBQLN4-OV led to cisplatin resistance (Figure 1I–K). In summary, the UBQLN4 gene amplification correlates with increased UBQLN4 levels that led to cisplatin resistance in TNBC cell lines.

UBOLN4 interacts with the chaperone protein BAT3 to reduce proteotoxic cell stress by translocating misassembled ER-localised proteins for proteasomal degradation.⁷ BAT3 mRNA levels were significantly higher in primary TNBC tumours (Figure 1L,M), but high BAT3 mRNA levels did not associate with RFS (Figure S2A). BAT3 knockdown did not affect UBQLN4 levels or cellular proliferation (Figure S2B-E), but increased the sensitivity to cisplatin (Figure 1N,O). Cisplatin treatment significantly increased y-H2AX foci-formation in UBQLN4-KO and BAT3-knockdown, but not in UBQLN4-OV cell lines (Figure S3A,B). Ubiquitination of BAT3-captured proteins is required for efficient protein degradation.⁸ Under cisplatin treatment and proteasomal degradation blockage, BAT3 co-immunoprecipitated with UBQLN4 in UBQLN4-OV and parental cell lines (Figure S3C,D,F,G). Under the same conditions, UBQLN4 and BAT3 coimmunoprecipitated with ubiquitinated DDK-tagged proteins (Figure S3E). These results suggested that UBQLN4 and BAT3 interact with specific ubiquitinated proteins during cisplatin treatment in TNBC cell lines.

STING ubiquitination is required to initiate cytosolic DNA-mediated activation.^{9,10} Therefore, we hypothesised that in response to cisplatin-induced DNA damage, STING is activated, ubiquitinated and regulated by UBQLN4-mediated proteasomal degradation. *STING* mRNA levels were significantly lower in primary TNBC tumours (Figure 2A,B). Patients with low *STING* mRNA levels had significantly poor RFS (Figure 2C). Increased phosphory-lated TBK1 levels were observed after cisplatin treatment, suggesting the STING pathway activation (Figure 2D). STING protein levels showed a significant positive correlation with cisplatin response (Figure 2E). *STING* knockdown did not affect UBQLN4/BAT3 levels or cellular

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2022} The Authors. Clinical and Translational Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Shanghai Institute of Clinical Bioinformatics.



UBQLN4 levels determine cisplatin sensitivity in TNBC. (A) UBQLN4 mRNA levels in normal breast (Normal), primary BC FIGURE 1 (BC), and metastatic BC (MBC) tissues in the TCGA and GTEx databases (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (B) UBQLN4 mRNA levels in tissues from the tumour-adjacent normal breast (Normal), luminal (Lum), luminal-HER2 (Lum-HER2), HER2 and TNBC subtypes in the TCGA BRCA database (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (C) Relapse-Free Survival (RFS) analysis for TNBC patients with low (n = 267) versus high (n = 267) UBQLN4 mRNA levels in TCGA, GEO and EGA databases combined. (D) Correlation between UBQLN4 copy number variation (CNV) and UBQLN4 mRNA levels for 119 TNBC tissues in the TCGA BRCA database. (E) Correlation between CNV and UBQLN4 mRNA levels for 23 TNBC cell lines in the CCLE database. (F) (top) Representative images of UBQLN4 IHC for tumour-adjacent normal breast (Normal) and primary TNBC tissues in the BC TMA. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu$ m. (down) Magnification of the representative images. Scale bar = $20 \ \mu m$. (G) Quantification of H-score values (Mann–Whitney U test). (H) Correlation between UBQLN4 mRNA levels and cisplatin activity for 40 BC cell lines in the CCLE and GDSC databases. (I-K) Drug sensitivity assays comparing MDA-MB-231 parental and UBQLN4-KO (I), HCC1937 si-Ctrl and si-UBQLN4 (J), and MDA-MB-231 UBQLN4-KO and UBQLN4-OV (K) cell lines treated with different cisplatin concentrations (two-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons test). (L) BAT3 mRNA levels in normal breast (Normal), primary BC (BC) and metastatic BC (MBC) tissues in the TCGA and GTEx databases (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (M) mRNA levels in tissues from the tumour-adjacent normal breast (Normal), luminal (Lum), luminal-HER2 (Lum-HER2), HER2 and TNBC subtypes in the TCGA BRCA database (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (N and O) Drug sensitivity assays comparing si-Ctrl and si-BAT3 in MDA-MB-231 (N) and HCC1937 (O) cell lines treated with different cisplatin concentrations (two-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons test). Drug sensitivity assays in each cell line were performed in replicates (n = 3)

3 of 6



FIGURE 2 UBQLN4 promotes STING proteasomal degradation during cisplatin treatment. (A) STING mRNA levels in normal breast (Normal), primary BC (BC) and metastatic BC (MBC) tissues in the TCGA and GTEx databases (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (B) STING mRNA levels in tissues from the tumour-adjacent normal breast (Normal), luminal (Lum), luminal-HER2 (Lum-HER2), HER2 and TNBC subtypes in the TCGA BRCA database (one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test). (C) Relapse-Free Survival (RFS) analysis for TNBC patients with low (n = 110) versus high (n = 110) STING mRNA expression in TCGA, GEO and EGA databases combined. (D) Western blotting analysis for STING pathway molecules (pTBK1, TKB1, BAT3, UBQLN4, cGAS and STING) and β -actin (loading control) in TNBC cell lines untreated or treated with cisplatin (5 μ M, 8 hours). pTBK1/TBK1 ratio was quantified relative to respective controls. (E) Correlation between STING protein levels and cisplatin activity for 45 cancer cell lines in the NCI-60 and GDSC-MGH-Sanger datasets. Protein levels were determined using SWATH-mass spectrophotometry. (F and G) Drug sensitivity assays comparing si-Ctrl and si-STING in MDA-MB-231 (F) and HCC1937 (G) cell lines treated with different cisplatin concentrations (two-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons test). (H) Correlation between UBQLN4 and STING protein levels for 59 cancer cell lines in the NCI-60 dataset. (I and J) Quantification of STING protein levels for CHX assay in MDA-MB-231 UBQLN4-KO (I), si-BAT3 (J), and the respective control cell lines treated with cisplatin (5 μ M) ± MG-132 (5 μ M) for 8 hours (two-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons test). (K) Co-immunoprecipition (Co-IP) assay in MDA-MB-231 UBQLN4-OV, UBQLN4-KO and UBQLN4-OV+si-BAT3 cell lines treated with cisplatin $(5 \,\mu\text{M}) \pm \text{MG-}132 (5 \,\mu\text{M})$ for 8 hours. Co-IPs were performed using STING or control Ab. Protein levels were assessed in whole-cell lysates (WC) and co-IP fractions (IP). Drug sensitivity and CHX assays in each cell line were performed in replicates (n = 3)







FIGURE 4 *UBQLN4* and *STING* mRNA levels predict cisplatin response in vivo. (A) RNA-seq data were obtained from 21 samples across 15 primary TNBC PDX models, which were tested for cisplatin response. TNBC PDX models were treated by 2 mg/kg cisplatin (treated tumours, n = 8–11) or 5% dextrose in water, 5 ml/kg (control tumours, n = 6–11), 1/week, for three courses. Tumours with complete or partial responses were defined as responders, whereas stable diseases or progressive diseases were defined as non-responders. (B) Volcano plot showing the transcriptomic changes in the group using the RNA-seq data obtained from 21 TNBC PDX samples. *UBQLN4* and *STING* are indicated in blue and red, respectively. (C and D) Comparison of *UBQLN4* (C) and *STING* (D) mRNA levels in TNBC tissues from responders and non-responders obtained from TNBC PDX mouse models (Student's *t*-test)

proliferation (Figure S4A–C), but increased cisplatin resistance (Figure 2F,G). In conclusion, STING downregulation led to cisplatin resistance in TNBC cell lines.

UBQLN4 and STING protein levels showed a significant inverse correlation (Figure 2H). STING protein levels were decreased by cisplatin treatment and the blockage of the proteasomal degradation increased STING levels in UBQLN4-OV, but not in UBQLN4-KO cell lines (Figure S4D), suggesting that STING levels are controlled by UBQLN4-mediated degradation. STING protein levels significantly increased by cisplatin treatment in UBQLN4-KO and partially increased in BAT3knockdown cell lines compared to respective controls (Figure 2I,J and Figure S4E,F). Importantly, UBQLN4 co-immunoprecipitated with STING during both the presence/absence of BAT3, suggesting a BAT3-independent UBQLN4-STING interaction (Figure 2K). G10 is a wellestablished human-specific STING agonistthat activated STING pathway in TNBC cell lines (Figure S4G).Also, UBQLN4 status determined G10 response (Figure 3A,B).

During G10 treatment, UBQLN4/BAT3 interacted with STING (Figure 3C). Confocal microscopy was utilised to assess the co-localisation of UBQLN4, STING and ubiquitinated proteins (DDK-tagged). Increased STING ubiquitination, as well as significantly higher co-localisation rates, were observed for UBQLN4-ubiquitinated proteins (DDK-tagged) UBQLN4-STING, UBQLN4-BAT3 and STING-BAT3 during cisplatin or G10 treatment (Figure 3D,E). In summary, UBQLN4 mediates ubiquitinated STING proteasomal degradation during STING activation.

Multiplex immunofluorescence for UBQLN4 and STING were performed on primary TNBC FFPE tissues (Table S1) and analysed by confocal microscopy (Figure S5A). A significant inverse correlation was observed between UBQLN4 and STING protein levels (Figure S5B). STING levels were significantly higher in TNBC tumours with low UBQLN4 (Figure S5C). We then assessed TNBC PDX models stratified into two treatment groups according to cisplatin responses (Tables S2 and S3 and Figure 4A). *UBQLN4* mRNA levels were significantly higher, whereas *STING* mRNA levels were significantly lower in tumour samples that had a non-complete response (non-CR, Figure 4B–D). As a readout of STING activation, IL-6 levels were evaluated. In silico analysis showed that the *IL6* and *STING* mRNA levels positively correlated, while the *IL6* and *UBQLN4* mRNA levels negatively correlated (Figure S5D,E). Also, *UBQLN4*-KO cell lines treated with cisplatin or G10 showed enhanced IL6 protein levels (Figure S5F,G).

In conclusion, *UBQLN4* locus amplification elevates UBQLN4 mRNA/protein levels that correlate with low STING mRNA/protein levels in TNBC tumours. Mechanistically, UBQLN4 delivers STING to proteasomal degradation during cisplatin or STING agonist treatment and promotes cisplatin resistance in vitro and in vivo (Figure S6). UBQLN4 is a novel factor regulating STING protein levels during STING pathway activation and may represent a predictive biomarker for cisplatin response in TNBC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Dr. Miriam and Sheldon G. Adelson Medical Research Foundation (D.S.B.H and Y.S.) and the Fashion Footwear Association of New York Foundation award (M.A.B. and D.S.B.H.). The authors thank Bethyl Laboratories for providing the UBQLN4 antibody, and Jackson Laboratories for providing the RNA-seq data from TNBC-PDXs. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed at the Advanced Light Microscopy/Spectroscopy Laboratory and the Leica Microsystems Center of Excellence at the California NanoSystems Institute at UCLA (Grant S100D025017 and NSF Major Research Instrumentation Grant CHE-0722519).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Yoshiaki Shoji¹ Takamichi Yokoe¹ Yuta Kobayashi¹ Tomohiro Murakami¹ Peter J. Bostick² Yosef Shiloh³ Dave S. B. Hoon¹ D Matias A. Bustos¹

¹Department of Translational Molecular Medicine, Saint John's Cancer Institute, Santa Monica, California, USA ²Mayo Clinic Care Network, Baton Rouge General Medical Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA ³David and Inez Myers Laboratory for Cancer Genetics, Tel Aviv University School of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel

Correspondence

Matias A. Bustos, Department of Translational Molecular Medicine, Saint John's Cancer Institute, 2200 Santa Monica Blvd, Santa Monica, CA, 90404, USA. Email: BustosM@jwci.org

Yoshiaki Shoji and Takamichi Yokoe contributed equally to this work.

ORCID

Dave S. B. Hoon D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1915-3683

REFERENCES

- Breast cancer (version 7. 2021). National Comprehensive Cancer Network; 2021. Accessed November 20, 2021. https://www.nccn. org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf
- Hill DP, Harper A, Malcolm J, et al. Cisplatin-resistant triplenegative breast cancer subtypes: multiple mechanisms of resistance. *BMC Cancer*. 2019;19(1):1039. doi:10.1186/s12885-019-6278-9
- Zhang X, Bustos MA, Gross R, et al. Interleukin enhancerbinding factor 2 promotes cell proliferation and DNA damage response in metastatic melanoma. *Clin Transl Med.* 2021;11(10):e608. doi:10.1002/ctm2.608
- Goh JY, Feng M, Wang W, et al. Chromosome 1q21.3 amplification is a trackable biomarker and actionable target for breast cancer recurrence. *Nat Med.* 2017;23(11):1319-1330. doi:10.1038/ nm.4405
- Murakami T, Shoji Y, Nishi T, et al. Regulation of MRE11A by UBQLN4 leads to cisplatin resistance in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Oncol.* 2021;15(4):1069-1087. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12929
- Jachimowicz RD, Beleggia F, Isensee J, et al. UBQLN4 represses homologous recombination and is overexpressed in aggressive tumors. *Cell*. 2019;176(3):505-519. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.024
- Suzuki R, Kawahara H. UBQLN4 recognizes mislocalized transmembrane domain proteins and targets these to proteasomal degradation. *EMBO Rep.* 2016;17(6):842-857. doi:10.15252/embr. 201541402
- Hu X, Wang L, Wang Y, et al. RNF126-mediated reubiquitination is required for proteasomal degradation of p97-extracted membrane proteins. *Mol Cell*. 2020;79(2):320-331. doi:10.1016/j. molcel.2020.06.023
- Ni G, Konno H, Barber GN. Ubiquitination of STING at lysine 224 controls IRF3 activation. *Sci Immunol.* 2017;2(11):eaah7119. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aah7119
- Hayman TJ, Baro M, MacNeil T, et al. STING enhances cell death through regulation of reactive oxygen species and DNA damage. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12(1):2327. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22572-8

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.