



Brief Report Estimated Artificial Neural Network Modeling of Maximal Oxygen Uptake Based on Multistage 10-m Shuttle Run Test in Healthy Adults

Hun-Young Park ^{1,2}, Hoeryoung Jung ³, Seunghun Lee ³, Jeong-Weon Kim ⁴, Hong-Lae Cho ⁵ and Sang-Seok Nam ^{6,*}

- ¹ Physical Activity and Performance Institute, Konkuk University, 120 Neungdong-ro, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 05029, Korea; parkhy1980@konkuk.ac.kr
- ² Department of Sports Medicine and Science, Graduate School, Konkuk University, 120 Neungdong-ro, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 05029, Korea
- ³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Konkuk University, 120 Neungdong-ro, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 05029, Korea; junghl80@konkuk.ac.kr (H.J.); erioer95@daum.net (S.L.)
- ⁴ Graduate School of Professional Therapy, Gachon University, 1342 Seongnam-daero, Sujeong-gu, Seongnam-si 13120, Korea; zeezone@gachon.ac.kr
- ⁵ Inc. Doctor Care Company, Startup Maru Nabi, 48 Buldang 14ro, Seobuk-gu, Cheonan-si 31169, Korea; ily2604@gmail.com
- ⁶ Taekwondo Research Institute of Kukkiwon, 32 Teheran 7-gil, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06130, Korea
- Correspondence: playdata.n@gmail.com; Tel.: +82-2-3469-0181

Abstract: We aimed to develop an artificial neural network (ANN) model to estimate the maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) based on a multistage 10 m shuttle run test (SRT) in healthy adults. For ANN-based VO₂max estimation, 118 healthy Korean adults (59 men and 59 women) in their twenties and fifties (38.3 \pm 11.8 years, men aged 37.8 \pm 12.1 years, and women aged 38.8 \pm 11.6 years) participated in this study; data included age, sex, blood pressure (systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP)), waist circumference, hip circumference, waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), body composition (weight, height, body mass index (BMI), percent skeletal muscle, and percent body), 10 m SRT parameters (number of round trips and final speed), and VO₂max by graded exercise test (GXT) using a treadmill. The best estimation results ($R^2 = 0.8206$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7010$, root mean square error; RMSE = 3.1301) were obtained in case 3 (using age, sex, height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, WHR, SBP, DBP, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT), while the worst results ($R^2 = 0.7765$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7206$, RMSE = 3.494) were obtained for case 1 (using age, sex, height, weight, BMI, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT). The estimation results of case 2 (using age, sex, height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, WHR, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT) were lower ($R^2 = 0.7909$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7072$, RMSE = 3.3798) than those of case 3 and higher than those of case 1. However, all cases showed high performance (R^2) in the estimation results. This brief report developed an ANN-based estimation model to predict the VO₂max of healthy adults, and the model's performance was confirmed to be excellent.

Keywords: maximal oxygen uptake; artificial neural network; estimation model; 10 m shuttle run test; graded exercise test

1. Introduction

In the field of sports medicine, cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is the most important physical factor in assessing health conditions, including metabolic and cardiovascular disease [1,2]. CRF is a physiological indicator that reflects the ability of the circulatory and respiratory systems to deliver oxygen to skeletal muscles during exercise [3]. CRF is an indicator that reflects risk factors and disease burden throughout an individual's



Citation: Park, H.-Y.; Jung, H.; Lee, S.; Kim, J.-W.; Cho, H.-L.; Nam, S.-S. Estimated Artificial Neural Network Modeling of Maximal Oxygen Uptake Based on Multistage 10-m Shuttle Run Test in Healthy Adults. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 8510. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18168510

Academic Editors: Jung-Min Lee and Hyun Chul Jung

Received: 12 July 2021 Accepted: 11 August 2021 Published: 12 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). lifespan, and numerous studies have reported that high levels of CRF reduce morbidity and mortality [3–7]. Additionally, a low CRF increases the risk of obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease [8–11]. As such, CRF can be used as a risk indicator that can provides information in clinical decision making, and efforts to enhance or maintain an appropriate level of CRF via physical activity are critical [1,3,12,13].

Maximal oxygen uptake (VO₂max) is the main criterion for evaluating CRF, that is, aerobic fitness [1]. VO_2max is generally measured by indirect calorimetry, which is a method of performing graded exercise tests using a respiratory gas analyzer, heart rate monitor, and treadmill or cycle ergometer in a laboratory [1,14]. In addition, measurement of VO₂max via indirect calorimetry requires considerable effort, time, money, and a skilled workforce [14,15]. As such, Léger and Lambert [16] developed a 20 m shuttle run test (SRT) using a regression model to conveniently estimate VO_2max (r = 0.84). Currently, 20 m SRT is widely used to measure cardiovascular fitness, and prediction of VO_2max using a 20 m SRT has been reported as a useful factor in monitoring the health and physical performance of healthy individuals [1,17,18]. However, the regression model developed by Léger and Lambert [16] has the disadvantage that sex is not considered in the estimated equation, the participants are mainly in their twentieth year of age, and a distance of 25 m (straight line 20 m and safety distance 5 m) or more is required for measurement. Besides, 20 m SRT was originally developed to evaluate the aerobic fitness of athletes, the estimated VO₂max at the first stage was set at 27.8 mL/kg/min, a high level of exercise intensity. This field test cannot, therefore, be used to evaluate aerobic fitness below a VO2max of 27.8 mL/kg/min, and it would impose an excessive, high-risk workload on middle-aged subjects [19]. In previous studies, Matsuzaka et al. [20], Andersen et al. [21], and Mikawa and Senjyu [19] conducted various studies that developed a VO₂max regression model using 15 m or 20 m SRT; however, efforts to overcome sex, age, and spatial limitations were insufficient. Cho et al. [22] most recently developed the 10 m SRT to evaluate CRF in healthy adults using the regression equation and verified its validity and utility. However, they failed to come up with a regression equation for high regression rates (men: $R^2 = 0.588$, women: $R^2 = 0.692$).

In addition to regression models, machine learning methods, including artificial neural network (ANN), have been used as regressors to predict VO₂max with given input parameters including age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) [23–26]. The ANN-based prediction model, which consists of fully connected sequential layers, can represent not only leaner features but also non-linear features of the target system in virtue of non-linear activation function such as rectified linear unit (ReLU), hyperbolic tangent, and exponential linear unit (ELU). This is the key advantage of the ANN-based prediction model compared to the linear regression model, and it enables the ANN model to be used as a powerful tool, which dramatically changes accessibility of science, research, and practice in all domains [27,28]. Przednowek et al. [1] proposed an ANN-based VO₂max prediction model using a 20 m SRT and reported that the ANN model provides the most accurate predictions when compared to previous regression models. However, there has not been enough previous reports to assure ANN's prediction performance to estimate physical fitness data in the field of sports science.

Therefore, the present study proposes an ANN-based prediction model for indirect VO₂max estimation using a 10 m SRT, which is less spatially constrained than a 20 m SRT. The purpose of our study is to develop estimated ANN modeling of VO₂max based multistage 10 m SRT, which is easier to perform than the 20 m SRT, and to compare the performance of the ANN-based prediction model with the previous regression models.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

This was a cross-sectional study. The participants of our study were 118 healthy Korean adults (38.3 ± 11.8 years, men aged 37.8 ± 12.1 years (n = 59; 15 twenties, 15 thirties, 15 forties, and 14 fifties) and women aged 38.8 ± 11.6 years (n = 59; 15 twenties, 15 thirties,

15 forties, and 14 fifties)), who were selected via random sampling and who were nonsmokers and had no history of musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, or pulmonary diseases or diabetes. All study participants were provided with a detailed explanation of the purpose and process of the present study, and consent was obtained after being fully informed about the possible side effects related to the experiment before the start of the present study. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of KyungHee University (KHU IRB 2014-G01) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1, and the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials flow diagram is shown in Figure 1.

	Both (<i>n</i> = 118)	Men (<i>n</i> = 59)	Women (<i>n</i> = 59)
Age (yrs)	38.29 ± 11.82	37.75 ± 12.09	38.83 ± 11.62
Height (cm)	166.69 ± 8.16	172.69 ± 6.19	160.69 ± 4.77
Weight (kg)	65.36 ± 10.72	72.99 ± 8.61	57.73 ± 6.26
BMI (kg/m^2)	23.41 ± 2.54	24.44 ± 2.24	22.37 ± 2.40
Percent skeletal muscle (%)	29.86 ± 3.76	32.89 ± 2.42	26.84 ± 2.01
Percent body fat (%)	24.42 ± 5.56	20.97 ± 4.51	27.87 ± 4.22
SBP (mmHg)	121.89 ± 9.99	126.61 ± 7.07	117.17 ± 10.30
DBP (mmHg)	79.14 ± 10.05	82.85 ± 9.32	75.44 ± 9.43
Waist circumference (cm)	81.32 ± 7.66	84.38 ± 7.70	78.26 ± 6.33
Hip circumference (cm)	96.04 ± 5.16	96.62 ± 5.52	95.47 ± 4.75
WHR	0.85 ± 0.05	0.87 ± 0.05	0.82 ± 0.04
Numbers of round trips in 10 m SRT (n)	115.61 ± 26.19	131.49 ± 24.08	99.73 ± 17.06
Final speed in 10 m SRT (km/h)	10.03 ± 0.94	10.56 ± 0.89	9.50 ± 0.64
VO_2 max by GXT (mL/kg/min)	44.27 ± 7.70	49.08 ± 6.70	39.46 ± 5.26

Table 1. Participants' characteristics.

Note: Values are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; SRT, shuttle run test; VO₂max, maximal oxygen uptake; GXT, graded exercise test.

2.2. Study Design

The design of the present study is shown in Figure 2. We recruited 150 healthy adult volunteers (75 men and 75 women) in healthy adults. Subsequently, a questionnaire test using the Physical Activities Readiness Questionnaire and You and American Heart Association/American College of Sports Medicine Health/Fitness Facility Pre-Participation Screening Questionnaire and blood pressure (BP) test were performed. Additionally, cardiovascular disease risk in volunteers was classified using the criteria suggested by the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. Thirty-two volunteers with risk factors for participation in the exercise test were excluded through the screening process, and 118 volunteers participated in this study. The selected 118 participants were first subjected to waist circumference, hip circumference, waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), and body composition test. The graded exercise test (GXT) and multistage 10 m SRT were performed sequentially with an interval of at least 5 days. All participants underwent GXT using a treadmill (Precor 932i, Precor, WA, USA), an automatic respiration metabolic analyzer K4B2 (Cosmed, Rome, Italy), and a heart rate monitor (S610i, Polar, Helsinki, Finland). The GXT protocol started at 3.6 km/h and increased the speed by 1.2 km/h every 2 min, and the treadmill slopes were set to 0% at all stages [26]. The 10 m SRT was measured using an automatic respiration metabolic analyzer K4B2 (Cosmed, Rome, Italy) and heart rate monitor (S610i, Polar, Helsinki, Finland) along with nine sound

sources composed of scale (Do, Re, Mi, Fa, So, La, Ti, Do) and buzzer sounds. The detailed protocol of the 10 m SRT is shown in Table 2.



Figure 1. Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) flow diagram. GXT, graded exercise test.

After all measurements were completed, ANN modeling was performed to estimate the VO₂max measured by GXT using the easy-to-measure dependent parameters acquired via data including age; sex; BP (systemic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic pressure (DBP)); waist circumference; hip circumference; WHR; body composition (height, weight, BMI, percent skeletal muscle, and percent body); 10 m SRT parameters (number of round trips in 10 m SRT and final speed in 10 m SRT). For this process, the acquired data were used to train an estimated ANN model, and 30% of the data was randomly sampled from the training dataset for the validity test.

2.3. Blood Pressure

After the participants sufficiently rested for >20 min, BP of the right brachial artery was measured twice using an autonomic BP monitor (HBP-9020, Omron, Tokyo, Japan), and the average value was used as the result value.



Artificial neural network modeling

Figure 2. Study design. GXT, graded exercise test; VO₂max, maximal oxygen uptake.

Table 2. The 10 m shuttle run test protocol.

Start Time (min)	Finish Time (min)	Speed (km/h)	Moving Time Per 10 m (s)	Beats Per min	Number of Shuttles
0:00:00	0:01:00	3.6	10.00	54	6
0:01:00	0:02:00	4.8	7.50	72	8
0:02:00	0:03:00	6.0	6.00	90	10
0:03:00	0:04:00	6.0	6.00	90	10
0:04:00	0:05:00	7.2	5.00	108	12
0:05:00	0:06:00	7.2	5.00	108	12
0:06:00	0:07:00	8.4	4.29	126	14
0:07:00	0:08:00	8.4	4.29	126	14
0:08:00	0:09:00	9.6	3.75	144	16
0:09:00	0:10:00	9.6	3.75	144	16
0:10:00	0:11:00	10.8	3.33	162	18
0:11:00	0:12:00	10.8	3.33	162	18
0:12:00	0:13:00	12.0	3.00	180	20
0:13:00	0:14:00	12.0	3.00	180	20
0:14:00	0:15:00	13.2	2.73	198	22
0:15:00	0:16:00	13.2	2.73	198	22
0:16:00	0:17:00	14.4	2.50	216	24
0:17:00	0:18:00	14.4	2.50	216	24
0:18:00	0:19:00	15.6	2.31	234	26
0:19:00	0:20:00	15.6	2.31	234	26
0:20:00	0:21:00	16.8	2.14	252	28
0:21:00	0:22:00	16.8	2.14	252	28

2.4. Waist Circumference, Hip Circumference, and WHR

Waist circumference and hip circumference were measured using a tape measure (Balzer 80206F, Hoechstmass, Sulzbach, Germany). The waist circumference was measured at the level of the navel, and the hip circumference was measured at the greatest protrusion. Moreover, the WHR was calculated by dividing the waist circumference (cm) by the hip circumference (cm).

2.5. Body Composition

The body composition parameters (height, weight, BMI, percent skeletal muscle, and percent body) of all the participants were analyzed using a stadiometer (YM-1, KDS, Seoul, Korea) and bioelectrical impedance analyzer (Karada scan, Omron, Kyoto, Japan). All participants wore lightweight clothing and were asked to remove all metal items on their body.

2.6. Graded Exercise Test

The GXT was performed using a treadmill (Precor 932i, Precor, WA, USA), an automatic respiration metabolic analyzer K4B2 (Cosmed, Rome, Italy), and a heart rate monitor (S610i, Polar, Helsinki, Finland). The GXT protocol started at 3.6 km/h and increased the speed by 1.2 km/h every 2 min, and the treadmill slopes were set to 0% at all stages [19]. Respiratory gas data (minute ventilation, oxygen uptake, carbon dioxide excretion, and respiratory exchange ratio and heart rate (HR)) were measured every 10 s during GXT, and blood lactate levels were analyzed using a Lactate pro2 (Arkray, Kyoto, Japan) by collecting 80 μ L of blood from the capillaries in the fingertips every min during GXT. When at least three of the following conditions were fulfilled while performing GXT, it was judged to have reached the stage of exhaustion, and the exercise was terminated, and VO₂max, the result value, was acquired: (1) when the HR did not increase in proportion to exercise intensity, (2) when VO₂ did not increase, even when exercising intensity increased, (3) when the respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was 1.10 or higher, (4) when the Borg scale score was 17 or higher, and (5) when the predicted HRmax exceeded 90% HRmax.

2.7. Ten-Meter Shuttle Run Test

The 10 m SRT was performed using an automatic respiration metabolic analyzer K4B2 (Cosmed, Rome, Italy) and heart rate monitor (S610i, Polar, Helsinki, Finland), and the speed was controlled by nine sound sources (Do, Re, Mi, Fa, So, La, Ti, Do) and a buzzer sound. The 10-SRT adjusts the speed of by nine sound sources, providing incremental exercise load similar to the GXT. Therefore, we converted the speed of each step in the step-by-step 10 m SRT to beats per min and adjusted the playback speed of the sound accordingly. The 10 m SRT also checked the oxygen uptake and HR like the GXT, and in consideration of the characteristics of the 10 m SRT, the test was terminated when the participant could not repeatedly follow the speed of the sound source. The result value obtained via the 10 m SRT corresponded to VO₂max, the number of round trips, and the final speed.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) for Windows. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. The normality of the distribution of all outcome variables was verified using the Shapiro–Wilk test. A paired *t*-test was used to verify the difference between VO₂max in the GXT and VO₂max in the 10 m SRT. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between dependent parameters and VO₂max measured by GXT and the correlation between the measured VO₂max and the estimated VO₂max. Statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05.

2.9. Artificial Neural Network-Based Prediction Model

An ANN, which is a fully connected feedforward network composed of three different types of layers, that is, the input layer, hidden layer, and output layer, was used to construct the VO₂max prediction models. The input layer consisted of 14 nodes (independent variables) and received predictors to estimate VO_2max . The input variables were age; sex; blood pressure (SBP, DBP); waist circumference; hip circumference; WHR; body composition (weight, height, BMI, percent skeletal muscle, and percent body fat); 10 m SRT parameters (number of round trips and final speed). These input data are standardized so that the average and standard deviation of all input data are equal to 0 and 1, respectively, to prevent biased output to the specific input parameter. The standardized input data are passed to the hidden layers, which are composed of three layers with 21 nodes (a total of 63 nodes), and the final estimation of VO₂max by GXT is given through the single node output layer. The proposed prediction model is a multiple-input single-output type. The 10 m SRT data gathered from the participants were used to train the proposed ANN-based prediction model. The Elu function is used as an activation function for all the nodes in the hidden layer. The batch normalization and dropout techniques are applied in the training of the ANN model to prevent overfitting. The training was conducted with a learning rate of 0.001 via stochastic gradient descent until the number of epochs reached 1000. The proposed ANN model was implemented using the Python (3.8.5) language using Tensorflow (2.4.1) and Keras (2.4.3) packages.

3. Results

3.1. Estimation Accuracy of Artificial Neural Network-Based Maximal Oxygen Uptake Prediction Model

We verified the absolute value of the standardized residual as ≥ 3 to omit the outlier data. There were no outlier data in the ANN-based VO₂max using the GXT estimation model. The correlation between the measured VO₂max and the dependent variables (input data) is shown in Table 3.

In total, 118 sets of SRT data were used to train the ANN-based VO₂max estimation model, and 36 sets of data randomly sampled from the training datasets were used as test data to evaluate the estimation accuracy of the proposed model. Table 4 shows the estimation accuracy of the ANN-based VO₂max prediction model. The VO₂max measured by GXT was estimated using the ANN-based prediction model by varying the input data of the model. In case 1, six input data composed of age, sex, height, weight, BMI, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT were used to estimate the VO₂max values: the number of input data increased in cases 2 (age, sex, height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, WHR, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT.

In the estimation models of measured VO₂max by GXT, the best estimation result ($R^2 = 0.8206$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7010$, RMSE = 3.1301) was obtained in case 3, while the worst result ($R^2 = 0.7765$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7206$, RMSE = 3.494) was obtained in case 1. Case 2 ($R^2 = 0.7909$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7072$, RMSE = 3.3798) showed lower estimation results than case 3 and higher estimation results than case 1. However, all the cases showed high performance in the estimation results.

	VO ₂ max by GXT			
		Total	Men	Women
Age (yrs) —	Correlation	-0.339 *	-0.391 *	-0.412 *
	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.002	0.001
Height (cm) —	Correlation	0.412 *	-0.182	0.047
	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.167	0.724
Weight (kg) —	Correlation	0.215 *	-0.440 *	-0.409 *
	<i>p</i> -value	0.019	0.000	0.001
	Correlation	-0.047	-0.431 *	-0.437 *
BMI (kg/m^2) —	<i>p</i> -value	0.617	0.001	0.001
	Correlation	0.767 *	0.593 *	0.526 *
Percent skeletal muscle (%)	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Correlation	-0.783 *	-0.697 *	-0.577 *
Percent body fat (%) —	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.000	0.000
CDD (manual La)	Correlation	0.194 *	-0.198	-0.125
SBP (mmHg) —	<i>p</i> -value	0.036	0.133	0.347
DBP (mmHg) —	Correlation	0.066	-0.300 *	-0.145
	<i>p</i> -value	0.477	0.021	0.275
Waist circumference (cm) —	Correlation	-0.151	-0.642 *	-0.441 *
	<i>p</i> -value	0.104	0.000	0.000
	Correlation	-0.272 *	-0.457 *	-0.419 *
Hip circumference (cm)	<i>p</i> -value	0.003	0.000	0.001
	Correlation	0.003	-0.561 *	-0.277 *
WHR	<i>p</i> -value	0.974	0.000	0.034
Numbers of source during in 10 m CDT (a)	Correlation	0.837 *	0.764 *	0.688 *
Numbers of round trips in 10 m SKI (n)	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.000	0.000
Final aread in 10 m CDT (long /b)	Correlation	0.777 *	0.683 *	0.611 *
rinai speed in 10 m 5K1 (km/h)	<i>p</i> -value	0.000	0.000	0.000

Table 3. Correlation between dependent variables (input data) and measured VO₂max by GXT (output data).

Note: Values are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. Significant correlation between measured VO₂max by GXT and dependent variables, * *p* < 0.05. BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; SRT, shuttle run test; VO₂max, maximal oxygen uptake; GXT, graded exercise test.

Table 4. Estimation accuracy of artificial neural network-based maximal oxygen uptake estimation model for three cases of various input compositions.

	Measured VO ₂ max by GXT		
	R ²	Adjust R ²	RMSE
Case 1 ANN-based estimation	0.7765	0.7206	3.4940
Case 2 ANN-based estimation	0.7909	0.7072	3.3798
Case 3 ANN-based estimation	0.8206	0.7010	3.1301

Note: The inputs of case 1 consisted of age, sex, height, weight, BMI, number of round trips in the 10 m shuttle run test, and final speed in the 10 m shuttle run test. The inputs of case 2 consist of inputs of case 1, waist circumference, hip circumference, and waist-hip ratio (WHR), and the inputs of case 3 consist of inputs of case 2, SBP, and DBP. VO₂max, maximal oxygen uptake; GXT, graded exercise test; ANN, artificial neural network; RMSE, root mean square error.

3.2. Difference between Measured Maximal Oxygen Uptake and Artificial Neural Network-Based Predicted Maximal Oxygen Uptake

In the present study, there was no significant difference between the measured VO₂max by GXT and estimated VO₂max in case 1; however, there was a significant difference between VO₂max by GXT and the estimated VO₂max by cases 2 and 3 (Table 5). The mean bias between the measured VO₂max and the estimated VO₂max in cases 1, 2, and 3 were -0.54 mL/kg/min, -1.32 mL/kg/min, and -0.93 mL/kg/min, respectively (Table 5). The measured and estimated VO₂max in all cases showed a similar average value, and their correlation coefficients also demonstrated a significant correlation (measured VO₂max and estimated VO₂max in case 1: r = 0.890, p < 0.001; measured VO₂max and estimated VO₂max in case 3: r = 0.903, p < 0.001) (Figure 3).

Table 5. Measured and estimated VO₂max in all cases of healthy adults.

	Model	Mean \pm S.D.	Bias	t-Value	<i>p</i> -Value
Case1	Predicted treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min) Measured treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min)	$\begin{array}{c} 43.73 \pm 6.62 \\ 44.27 \pm 7.70 \end{array}$	-0.54	1.674	0.097
Case2	Predicted treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min) Measured treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min)	$\begin{array}{c} 42.94 \pm 5.55 \\ 44.27 \pm 7.70 \end{array}$	-1.32	3.753	0.000
Case3	Predicted treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min) Measured treadmill VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min)	$\begin{array}{c} 43.33 \pm 6.36 \\ 44.27 \pm 7.70 \end{array}$	-0.93	3.012	0.003

Note: VO₂max, maximal oxygen uptake.



Figure 3. Scatter plot between measured VO_2max by GXT and the estimated VO_2max by case 1, case 2, and case 3 of healthy adults. (A). Case 1 scatter plot and correlation. (B). Case 2 scatter plot and correlation. (C). Case 3 scatter plot and correlation. GXT, graded exercise test; VO_2max , maximal oxygen uptake.

4. Discussion

Our brief report was conducted to develop an ANN-based estimation model for predicting the VO₂max of healthy adults using 10 m SRT parameters (number of round trips in 10 m SRT and final speed in 10 m SRT) and various easy-to-measure dependent variables (age, sex, height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, WHR, SBP, and DBP). Based on the data obtained, we developed three cases of ANN-based VO₂max estimation models (case 1: $R^2 = 0.7765$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7206$, RMSE = 3.494, case 2:

 $R^2 = 0.7909$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7072$, RMSE = 3.3798, case 3: $R^2 = 0.8206$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.7010$, RMSE = 3.1301).

VO₂max is the main criterion for evaluating CRF, that is, aerobic fitness [1], and is a strong health indicator [1-3]. Previous studies have reported that high levels of CRF reduce morbidity and mortality, and low CRF levels increase the risk of obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease [3–11]. Therefore, the easy and convenient measurement of VO_2 max is critical for evaluating CRF [1,14,15]. Thus, many researchers have tried various methods to easily and conveniently estimate VO₂max, and a regression model for estimating VO₂max using a 20 m SRT has been commonly used [16-21]. In this regard, Léger and Lambert [16] first validated the 20 m SRT using a regression model for conveniently estimated VO₂max in 91 adults (32 women and 59 men, aged 27.3 ± 9.2 and 24.8 ± 5.5 years, respectively) and with mean VO₂max (39.3 \pm 8.3 and 51.6 ± 7.8 mL/kg/min, respectively). Consequently, they reported the following regression model: VO₂max = 5.857 × final speed in 10 m SRT – 19.458, r = 0.84, and SEE = 5.4 and concluded that a 20 m SRT is a valid and reliable test for the estimation of VO₂max in male and female adults. Silva et al. [29] verified two models to estimate VO₂max in 104 Portuguese youths (54 girls and 60 boys), aged 10-18 years, using a 20 m SRT, and recommended the following multiple linear regression model: VO₂max $= 43.313 + 4.567 \times \text{sex} - 0.560 \times \text{BMI} + 2.785 \times \text{stage}; r = 0.84, \text{SEE} = 4.9.$ Matsuzaka et al. [20] examined the validity of a 20 m SRT as an aerobic fitness test for young adults (56 men and 99 women aged 18–23 years) and reported the following regression model: 1. $VO_2max = -2.19 - 3.46 \times sex - 0.416 \times BMI + 5.22 \times maximal running speed (R² = 0.88,$ SEE = 3.0 mL/kg/min, 2. VO₂max = $42.4 - 2.85 \times \text{sex} - 0.488 \times \text{BMI} + 0.247 \times \text{total}$ laps ($R^2 = 0.88$, SEE = 3.0 mL/kg/min). These previous studies confirm that estimation of VO₂max using multiple regression equations is a suitable method.

Recently, modified SRT with a reduced distance has been developed to simplify measurements and reduce spatial constraints. Takuro et al. [30] investigated the reproducibility of 15 m SRT in 25 healthy adults and concluded that a 15 m SRT provides reproducible results for the assessment of exercise capacity in healthy young participants. Mikawa and Senjyu [19] developed a modified SRT, the 15 m SRT, to assess CRF (VO₂max) using distance and exercise time in middle-aged adults (n = 68) and reported the following two regression models: (1) VO₂max = 14.56 + 0.02 × 15-m SRT distance (r = 0.86, p < 0.01), (2) VO₂max = 80.17 - 0.057 × 15-m SRT time (r = -0.51, p < 0.01). Based on these results, they insisted that the 15 m SRT is valid and safe for evaluating VO₂max and is recommended as a field test for evaluating aerobic fitness in middle-aged adults.

In the present brief report, we developed a 10 m SRT for more convenient and practical CRF measurements in healthy adults and developed a predictive model based on ANN with various easy-to-measure dependent variables (age, sex, height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, WHR, SBP, DBP, number of round trips in 10 m SRT, and final speed in 10 m SRT) for more accurate and reliable prediction of VO₂max. Our ANN-based modeling of VO2max based on 10 m SRT in healthy adults showed better performance and accuracy (higher R² and lower SEE) even though it is easier to measure than 15 m or 20 m estimation models using the regression equation. In particular, Cho et al. [22] developed the 10 m SRT in healthy adults (n = 120) to improve the spatial limitation by reducing the measurement to a 10 m distance and to resolve the bias uniform distributions of sex and age. They reported the following regression model: 1. VO₂max $(men) = 0.231 \times 10 \text{ m SRT count} - 1.975 \times 10 \text{ m SRT final speed} - 0.073 \times BMI - 23.488$ \times WHR + 60.909 (R² = 0.588, SEE = 4.17 mL/kg/min), 2. VO₂max (women) = 0.272 \times 10 m SRT count -1.530×10 m SRT final speed $-0.649 \times BMI + 15.392 \times WHR - 0.029$ \times age + 30.345 (R² = 0.692, SEE = 3.39 mL/kg/min). Compared with the study by Cho et al. [22], which developed a VO₂max estimation model using the same 10 m SRT based on similar participants (twenties and fifties healthy adults) and sample sizes (n = 120), our ANN-based VO₂max modeling is an accurate and valid method for estimating VO₂max. Moreover, considering the high positive correlation (case 1: r = 0.890, p < 0.001; case 2: r = 0.883, p < 0.001; case 3: r = 0.903, p < 0.001) between the measured VO₂max by GXT and estimated VO₂max in all models of the three cases we developed, we can confirm that our ANN-based modeling shows high validity and reliability. Therefore, our estimated ANN-based VO₂max modeling-based multistage 10 m SRT can be effectively utilized to evaluate CRF, the most important factor for estimating adult health conditions, including metabolic and cardiovascular disease.

In the present study, we presented that 10 m SRT with an ANN-based estimation model can be used to predict VO_2max in easy and reliable manner, but there remains a limitation that should be resolved in future research. Since the number of samples (118 samples) used to train an ANN-based estimation model is too small to represent general VO_2max characteristics, large-scale trials covering more than hundreds of subjects should be performed to secure enough training dataset and more rigorous performance validation of the estimation model. Additionally, we believe that the performance, validity, and reliability of the ANN-based estimation model between 10 m SRT and other simple tests estimating VO_2max should be compared.

5. Conclusions

In our brief report, we developed ANN-based estimation model to predict the VO₂max based on multistage 10 m SRT in healthy adults, and the model's performance was confirmed to be excellent. Therefore, we believe that ANN-based modeling of VO₂max based on multistage 10 m SRT is a highly scalable, effective, validated, and reliable method for predicting CRF in healthy adults.

Author Contributions: Study conception and design, H.-Y.P., H.J., and S.-S.N.; data curation, H.J., S.L., and S.-S.N.; formal analysis, H.-Y.P., H.J., and S.-S.N.; investigation, H.J., S.L., and J.-W.K.; methodology, H.-Y.P., H.J., S.L., J.-W.K., H.-L.C., and S.-S.N.; writing—original draft H.-Y.P., H.J., and S.-S.N.; writing—review and editing, H.-Y.P., H.J., S.L., J.-W.K., H.-L.C., and S.-S.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of KyungHee University (KHU IRB 2014-G01) in Korea and was conducted as per the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Przednowek, K.; Barabasz, Z.; Zadarko-Domaradzka, M.; Przednowek, K.H.; Nizioł-Babiarz, E.; Huzarski, M.; Sibiga, K.; Dziadek, B.; Zadarko, E. Predictive Modeling of VO₂max Based on 20 m Shuttle Run Test for Young Healthy People. *Appl. Sci.* 2018, 8, 2213. [CrossRef]
- Ross, R.; Blair, S.N.; Arena, R.; Church, T.S.; Després, J.-P.; Franklin, B.A.; Haskell, W.L.; Kaminsky, L.A.; Levine, B.D.; Lavie, C.J. Importance of assessing cardiorespiratory fitness in clinical practice: A case for fitness as a clinical vital sign: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2016, 134, e653–e699. [CrossRef]
- 3. Chu, D.J.; Al Rifai, M.; Virani, S.S.; Brawner, C.A.; Nasir, K.; Al-Mallah, M.H. The relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness, cardiovascular risk factors and atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis* **2020**, *304*, 44–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barlow, C.E.; LaMonte, M.J.; Fitzgerald, S.J.; Kampert, J.B.; Perrin, J.L.; Blair, S.N. Cardiorespiratory fitness is an independent predictor of hypertension incidence among initially normotensive healthy women. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2006, 163, 142–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Joyner, M.J.; Green, D.J. Exercise protects the cardiovascular system: Effects beyond traditional risk factors. *J. Physiol.* 2009, *587*, 5551–5558. [CrossRef]
- 6. Walsh, N.P.; Gleeson, M.; Shephard, R.J.; Gleeson, M.; Woods, J.A.; Bishop, N.C.; Fleshner, M.; Green, C.; Pedersen, B.K.; Hoffman-Goetz, L.; et al. Position statement. Part one: Immune function and exercise. *Exerc. Immunol. Rev.* **2011**, *17*, 6–63.

- Wilson, M.G.; Ellison, G.M.; Cable, N.T. Basic science behind the cardiovascular benefits of exercise. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2015, *91*, 704–711. [CrossRef]
- Kodama, S.; Saito, K.; Tanaka, S.; Maki, M.; Yachi, Y.; Asumi, M.; Sugawara, A.; Totsuka, K.; Shimano, H.; Ohashi, Y.; et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2009, 301, 2024–2035. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gray, B.J.; Stephens, J.W.; Williams, S.P.; Davies, C.A.; Turner, D.; Bracken, R.M. Cardiorespiratory fitness is a stronger indicator of cardiometabolic risk factors and risk prediction than self-reported physical activity levels. *Diabetes Vasc. Dis. Res.* 2015, 12, 428–435. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ling, J.C.; Mohamed, M.N.; Jalaludin, M.Y.; Rampal, S.; Zaharan, N.L.; Mohamed, Z. Determinants of High Fasting Insulin and Insulin Resistance among Overweight/Obese Adolescents. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 36270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silva, D.R.; Werneck, A.O.; Collings, P.J.; Ohara, D.; Fernandes, R.A.; Barbosa, D.S.; Ronque, E.R.V.; Sardinha, L.B.; Cyrino, E.S. Cardiorespiratory fitness effect may be under-estimated in 'fat but fit' hypothesis studies. *Ann. Hum. Biol.* 2017, 44, 237–242. [CrossRef]
- Cao, Z.B.; Miyatake, N.; Higuchi, M.; Miyachi, M.; Ishikawa-Takata, K.; Tabata, I. Predicting VO₂max with an objectively measured physical activity in Japanese women. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2010, 42, 179–186. [CrossRef]
- 13. Strasser, B.; Burtscher, M. Survival of the fittest: VO₂max, a key predictor of longevity? *Front. Biosci.* **2018**, *23*, 1505–1516. [CrossRef]
- Wier, L.T.; Jackson, A.S.; Ayers, G.W.; Arenare, B. Nonexercise models for estimating VO₂max with waist girth, percent fat, or BMI. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2006, *38*, 555–561. [CrossRef]
- 15. Cao, Z.B.; Miyatake, N.; Higuchi, M.; Ishikawa-Takata, K.; Miyachi, M.; Tabata, I. Prediction of VO₂max with daily step counts for Japanese adult women. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **2009**, *105*, 289–296. [CrossRef]
- Léger, L.A.; Lambert, J. A maximal multistage 20-m shuttle run test to predict VO₂max. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 1982, 49, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mahar, M.T.; Guerieri, A.M.; Hanna, M.S.; Kemble, C.D. Estimation of aerobic fitness from 20-m multistage shuttle run test performance. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2011, *41*, S117–S123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Paradisis, G.P.; Zacharogiannis, E.; Mandila, D.; Smirtiotou, A.; Argeitaki, P.; Cooke, C.B. Multi-Stage 20-m Shuttle Run Fitness Test, Maximal Oxygen Uptake and Velocity at Maximal Oxygen Uptake. *J. Hum. Kinet.* **2014**, *41*, 81–87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Mikawa, K.; Senjyu, H. Development of a field test for evaluating aerobic fitness in middle-aged adults: Validity of a 15-m incremental shuttle walk and run test. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* **2011**, *10*, 712–717. [PubMed]
- 20. Matsuzaka, A.; Takahashi, Y.; Yamazoe, M.; Kumakura, N.; Ikeda, A.; Wilk, B.; Bar-Or, O. Validity of the multistage 20-m shuttle-run test for Japanese children, adolescents, and adults. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 2004, *16*, 113–125. [CrossRef]
- 21. Andersen, L.B.; Andersen, T.E.; Andersen, E.; Anderssen, S.A. An intermittent running test to estimate maximal oxygen uptake: The Andersen test. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* **2008**, *48*, 434–437. [PubMed]
- Cho, H.-L.; Park, H.-Y.; Nam, S.-S. Development of multistage 10-m shuttle run test for VO₂max estimation in healthy adults. *J. Men's Health* 2021, 17, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 23. Akay, M.; Özsert, G.; George, J. Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake using support vector machines from submaximal data. DEÜ Eng. Fac. Eng. Sci. J. 2014, 16, 42–48.
- Aktürk, E.; Akay, M. Prediction of maximal oxygen uptake using multilayer perceptron combined with feature selection. In Proceedings of the 7th Engineering and Technology Symposium, Ankara, Turkey, 15–16 May 2014; pp. 3–6.
- Abut, F.; Akay, M.F.; George, J. Developing new VO₂max prediction models from maximal, submaximal and questionnaire variables using support vector machines combined with feature selection. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 2016, 79, 182–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ham, J.H.; Park, H.Y.; Kim, Y.H.; Bae, S.K.; Ko, B.H.; Nam, S.S. Development of an anaerobic threshold (HRLT, HRVT) estimation equation using the heart rate threshold (HRT) during the treadmill incremental exercise test. *J. Exerc. Nutr. Biochem.* 2017, 21, 43–49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sark, S.; Elshawi, R.; Ahmed, A.; Qureshi, W.T.; Brawner, C.; Keteyian, S.; Blaha, M.J.; Al-Mallah, M.H. Using machine learning on cardiorespiratory fitness data for predicting hypertension: The Henry Ford ExercIse Testing (FIT) Project. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0195344. [CrossRef]
- Sakr, S.; Elshawi, R.; Ahmed, A.M.; Qureshi, W.T.; Brawner, C.A.; Keteyian, S.J.; Blaha, M.J.; Al-Mallah, M.H. Comparison of machine learning techniques to predict all-cause mortality using fitness data: The Henry ford exercIse testing (FIT) project. *BMC Med. Inform. Decis. Mak.* 2017, 17, 174. [CrossRef]
- 29. Silva, G.; Oliveira, N.L.; Aires, L.; Mota, J.; Oliveira, J.; Ribeiro, J.C. Calculation and validation of models for estimating VO₂max from the 20-m shuttle run test in children and adolescents. *Arch. Exerc. Health Dis.* **2012**, *3*, 145–152. [CrossRef]
- 30. Takuro, K.; Kohei, S.; Kotarō, M.; Yoshiyasu, Y. The Reproducibility of the 15-m Shuttle Run Test, a Modified 20-m Shuttle Run Test, for the Assessment of Exercise Capacity. *Rigakuryoho Kagaku* 2015, *30*, 81–84. [CrossRef]