ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effects of Combined Therapy of Olanzapine and Samidorphan on Safety and Metabolic Parameters in Schizophrenia Patients: A Meta-Analysis

Zhenlei Peng 1,*, Qiyu Jia^{2,*}, Junxiong Mao¹, Qizhong Yi¹

¹The Psychological Medicine Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Trauma Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Qizhong Yi, The Psychological Medicine Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 13999138673, Email qizhongyi@126.com

Purpose: This meta-analysis intended to evaluate the safety and metabolic effects of the combination of olanzapine (OLZ) and samidorphan (SAM) in the treatment of schizophrenia (SCZ) patients.

Patients and Methods: We searched for the English and Chinese databases for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the OLZ combined with SAM for SCZ. The English databases included PubMed, Web of Science, EMbase, and Cochrane Library, however, Chinese databases included Chinese Biology Medicine (CBM), VIP, Wanfang, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). All database searches were due by May 31, 2023. Using Review Manager 5.4 software, a meta-analysis was conducted following a literature review and data extraction.

Results: This study included five RCTs involving 1781 patients. Regarding safety, the meta-analysis revealed that the probability of weight gain was reduced in the OLZ and SAM group than in the OLZ group (RR = 0.83, 95% CI (0.69, 0.99), P < 0.05). Statistically, the incidence of severe adverse safety events, dry mouth, headache, drowsiness, death, and suicidal perception events was insignificant (P > 0.05); in terms of metabolism, compared with the OLZ group, the OLZ plus SAM group reduced total cholesterol (TC) levels (MD = -3.58, 95% CI (-6.81, -0.34), P < 0.05). However, it had no significant effect on metabolic indices, including low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides, glucose, and insulin index (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: In patients with SCZ, treatment with the combination of OLZ and SAM decreased the incidence of weight gain adverse events and TC levels; nevertheless, it did not affect other adverse events or metabolic parameters. These findings provide clinicians with evidence-based guidance and support for drug selection. However, it is crucial to confirm these findings through further high-quality research.

Keywords: schizophrenia, SCZ, samidorphan, safety, metabolic parameters, meta-analysis

Introduction

Recently, samidorphan (SAM) has received much attention as a novel formulation for reducing olanzapine's (OLZ) side effects in schizophrenia (SCZ) treatment.^{1–3} Despite the widespread recognition of OLZ's efficacy in treating SCZ, its concomitant significant safety concerns of weight gain and associated metabolic disorders (eg, hyperglycemia, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipidemia) continue to be a primary factor limiting its widespread clinical use.^{1,4,5} Numerous investigations have determined that OLZ has fewer adverse effects. Some researchers believe that OLZ treatment should be coupled with real-time monitoring and must be switched swiftly to other antipsychotics in case of intense adverse events.^{6–8} Various perspectives propose co-administering metformin, topiramate, and reboxetine to reduce weight gain is also a viable option.^{9,10} The new application of SAM appears to provide an additional therapeutic approach.

2295

Promoting new treatment methods requires long-term and comprehensive scientific evidence. Czyzyk et al reported that^{11,12} SAM acts as a μ -opioid receptor antagonist and a partial agonist of κ - and δ -opioid receptors. The μ -, κ -, and δ opioid receptors knockout mice have gained less body weight compared to wild mice. Furthermore, a preclinical study demonstrated¹³ that OLZ can increase glucose uptake in adipose tissue, whereas SAM can restore glucose utilization to normal levels and reduce olanzapine-induced weight gain. Further clinical trials^{14,15} revealed that compared to OLZ alone, the incidence of adverse events and metabolic effects were not significantly different when OLZ was combined with SAM. Subsequently, Srisurapanont et al conducted a meta-analysis¹⁶ to explore the impact of OLZ/SAM on shortterm weight gain and cardiac metabolic changes. Four studies were included in this analysis, three of which involved patients with SCZ, and one had a healthy population. The findings did not suggest that SAM could prevent OLZ-induced weight gain and cardiac metabolic abnormalities. Another systematic review on the application of SAM in conjunction with OLZ for the treatment of SCZ and bipolar I disorder found¹⁷ that the combination therapy tended to reduce weight gain in adult patients with SCZ compared to OLZ monotherapy, however, there was no significant difference in metabolic effects. Subsequently, two studies^{18,19} on the treatment of SCZ with the OLZ/SAM were reported, and one randomized controlled trial (RCT) confirmed that¹⁸ OLZ/SAM treatment decreased the risk of weight gain. Briefly, higher-quality evidence regarding the specific reduction of weight gain and metabolic disturbances induced by OLZ during treatment of SCZ with OLZ/SAM is still lacking.

The transition from OLZ monotherapy to OLZ/SAM combination therapy has begun for OLZ-eligible patients due to the expansion of SAM's clinical application. Although studies indicate a trend toward reducing adverse events related to weight gain with the use of OLZ/SAM, there is no clear consensus on whether OLZ/SAM increases the probability of other side effects or impacts metabolic processes such as glucose and lipid metabolism. In the context of comparable OLZ doses, combining the two medications increases the hepatic and renal burden and the risk of adverse events compared to a single dosing regimen. This scientific question is essential for patients, nevertheless, no studies with a higher level of evidence clarify it. When considering the potential side effects that may arise at the onset of treatment, clinicians also encounter challenges in choosing the appropriate medication. In search of more substantial evidence, we conducted our first systematic review, concentrating on the safety and metabolic effects of OLZ in combination with SAM for the treatment of SCZ. This meta-analysis seeks to determine whether OLZ/SAM is safer and has a negligible impact on metabolic levels in treating SCZ. This study aims to provide valuable insights into managing SCZ and enhancing patient medication acceptance and adherence.

Materials and Methods

Data Sources and Search Strategy

This meta-analysis adheres to the Preferred Reporting for Systematic Reviews and Meta-AnalysIs (PRISMA) Statement.²⁰ After two researchers independently screened the search results based solely on eligibility criteria, it was registered in the PROSPERO database (registration number: CRD420234325). We searched Wanfang, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), VIP, Chinese Biology Medicine (CBM), PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Web of Science for articles written in English or Chinese. RCTs were conducted to investigate the efficacy of OLZ and SAM in treating SCZ patients. The research was conducted on all publications until May 31, 2023, without restrictions on countries or article categories. Additionally, a manual search of references from reviews, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and trials to identify additional relevant literature and enhance accessibility to the relevant research. The search strategy was subtly modified for different databases. The terms used in the search were olanzapine, samidorphan, Lybalvi, 3-carboxamido-4-hydroxynaltrexone, schizophrenia*/schizophrenic Disorder*and schizophrenic. A flowchart of the literature screening process and results is shown in Figure 1. The search details are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Patients diagnosed with SCZ by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV or DSM-V),^{21,22} regardless of race, nationality, gender, or duration of illness; 2) The trial method was an RCT; 3) The intervention group was treated with OLZ combined with SAM, while the control group



Figure I Flow diagram of the literature screening process and results.

received OLZ alone; 4) Outcome indicators included both safety and metabolic indicators; safety indicators in this study refer to the number of adverse reactions with an incidence rate of $\geq 5\%$ during the medication treatment process, that include death, somnolence, headache, weight gain ($\geq 7\%$ change from baseline), dry mouth, and other adverse events. Metabolic indicators, however, included alterations in total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), glucose, and insulin. The following were the criteria for exclusion:1) Literature in languages other than Chinese and English; 2) Unavailability of the full text; 3) Absence of or insufficient data for key outcome indexes; 4) Reviews, commentaries, and conference abstracts, among others, are excluded categories of literature.

Screening and Data Extraction

Two researchers independently reviewed the titles and abstracts, assessed the full-text publications, selected the trials, extracted the data, and evaluated the trial quality. The process was carried out per the Cochrane Systematic Evaluation Manual criteria.²³ A third researcher would intervene and summarize the discussion in the case of a disagreement. The screening of published articles begins with an initial review of the article's title and abstract. After eliminating irrelevant literature, we examined the content of the remaining literature to determine if it met the criteria. The data was then extracted using a predesigned table. The subsequent information was extracted from each study: first author, year of publication, country, diagnostic criteria, sample size, study design, sample characteristics, intervention and control group treatments, duration of intervention, and outcome indicators (see Table 1). If there were inadequate or missing data, we contacted the authors to request the raw data.

Assessment of the Risk of Bias

Two authors independently evaluated the included trials' methodological quality using the Cochrane risk of bias (ROB) tool.²⁶ The contents of the evaluation tool comprised sequence generation, allocation sequence concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, and others that could introduce various sources of bias (see Figure 2a/b). If there were divergent viewpoints, a third party was consulted to determine the outcome.

Table I	Characteristics	of	Included	Trails
---------	-----------------	----	----------	--------

First Author	Study Design (Sponsor)	Sample	Sample Characteristics	Interve	Outcome Indicators		
(Year), Country		Size (T/C)		т	С	Safety Indicators	Metabolic Indicators
Martin (2019),	DBRT, placebo-	234/75	Aged: 18–50 years	OLZ/SAM=	OLZ=	1234	9_14
Multi-country ¹⁴	controlled, I 2 weeks (Alkermes)		Male: 73.8% Race: Black/African-American: 61.2%, White: 36.2% BMI: 17–30kg/m ² Diagnosis: Schizophrenia diagnosed by DSM-IV-TR	5–20/5–20mg/day	5–20mg/day	568	
			Mean PANSS total score: 62.8				
Correll (2020),	DBRT, placebo-	274/276	Aged: 18–55 years	OLZ/SAM=	OLZ=	1234 6	9_14
USA ¹⁵	controlled,24 weeks (Alkermes)		Male: 72.7% Race: Black/African-American: 71.3%, White: 23.3% BMI: 18–30kg/m ² Diagnosis: Schizophrenia diagnosed by	10–20/10mg/day	10–20mg/day	6	
			DSM-V				
		12//122	Mean PANSS total score: 69.2	017/0114	017		
Potkin (2020), USA and Europe ²⁴	DBRT, placebo- controlled,4 weeks (Alkermes)	134/133	Aged: 18–70 years Male: 62.2% Race: Black/African-American: 28.1%, White: 69.7% BMI: 18–40kg/m ² Diagnosis: Schizophrenia diagnosed by DSM-V	OLZ/SAM= 10–20/10mg/day	OLZ= 10–20mg/day	1234 5678	
			Mean PANSS total score: 101.2				
Brunette (2020), Multi-country ²⁵	DBRT, placebo- controlled,36 weeks (Alkermes)	112/117	Aged: 18–65 years Male: 78.6% Race: Black/African-American: 53.3% Mean initial BMI: 28.5kg/m ² Diagnosis: Schizophrenia diagnosed by DSM-IV-TR	OLZ/SAM= 14/10mg/day (Mean dose)	OLZ= 15mg/day (Mean dose)	1235 78	
			Mean PANSS total score: 64.7				
Kahn (2023), Multi- country ¹⁸	DBRT, placebo- controlled, I 2 weeks (Alkermes)	211/215	Aged: 18–40 years Male: 73.8% Race: Black/African-American: 28.2%, White: 66.4% BMI: 15–32kg/m ² Diagnosis: Schizophrenia diagnosed by DSM-V	OLZ/SAM= 5–20/10mg/day	OLZ= 5–20mg/day	1234 578	9—14

Notes: T: OLZ/SAM (A combination of olanzapine and samidorphan group); C: OLZ (Olanzapine group); DBRT (Double blind randomized trial); BMI, body mass index; DSM-IV-TR (the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision); DSM-V (the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition). Outcome Indicators: ①The incidence of any adverse events; ②The incidence of serious adverse events; ③The incidence of somolence; ⑤The incidence of headache; ⑥The incidence of dry mouth; ⑦The incidence of suicide perception; ⑧The incidence of death; Metabolic Indicators; ⑨TC (mg/dL); ⑩LDL (mg/dL); ⑪HDL (mg/dL); ⑫Triglycerides (mg/dL); ⑭Insulin (mIU/mL).

Peng et al





b



Figure 2 Risk of bias graph.

Notes: (a) Review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies. (b) Review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.

Statistical Analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan version 5.4 Software. We tabulated the characteristics of the study interventions and compared them against the control groups for each synthesis. Additionally, we analyzed safety indicators and metabolic indicators from the relevant literature. The dichotomous outcomes were presented as pooled relative risks (*RRs*) with 95% confidence intervals (*CIs*); however, the continuous outcomes were presented as mean differences (*MDs*) with 95% *CIs*. Additionally, heterogeneity between studies was analyzed using the Cochran Q test and the I^2 statistic. If the Q test result was P > 0.1 and $I^2 < 50\%$, the fixed effects model (FEM) was used to calculate the pooled *RRs*. Otherwise, the random effects model (REM) was employed. Furthermore, we conducted sensitivity analysis or subgroup analysis to explore the stability of the model and further eliminate potential sources of heterogeneity. The reporting bias was estimated using funnel diagrams (See <u>Supplementary Figures 1–14</u>). Due to the limited number of trials (less than ten), we did not conduct a statistical test to measure publication bias.²⁶ We will present the results in graphs and tables. When data was insufficient, we inquired to the authors for additional information. We eliminated that study from the meta-analysis if data could not be obtained.

Results

Screening and Selection of Studies

Figure 1 represents a flowchart of the literature selection approach. Initial electronic database searches yielded 237 retrieved studies (one from CNKI, two from Wanfang, two from VIP, two from CBM, 66 from Web of Science, 42 from PubMed, 70 from EMbase, and 52 from Cochrane Library). During the preliminary assessment of the title and abstract, 163 duplicate and 55 irrelevant studies were removed. Furthermore, after excluding 14 studies by further reading their full texts, only five studies^{14,15,18,24,25} were included in this meta-analysis, all from the English literature.

Study Characteristics and Quality Assessment

Table 1 summarizes the primary characteristics of the suitable studies, whose outcome indicators included safety indicators (such as the incidence of weight gain, headache, and dry mouth) and metabolic indicators (such as TC, triglycerides, and glucose). Five studies^{14,15,18,24,25} with 1781 patients were included in our meta-analysis, with 965 in the OLZ/SAM group and 816 in the OLZ group. Figure 2a and b illustrate the results of the risk of bias evaluation of the included studies. All five trials^{14,15,18,24,25} included in this study were multicenter, double-blind trials and described the randomization method; one trial¹⁸ specified the method of allocation concealment, The remaining four trials were missing a precise description of allocation concealment and were therefore rated as having an unclear risk. Five experimental studies were conducted with Alkermes, Inc.'s participation, and only one trial¹⁸ indicated that the company was unaware of the entire trial procedure. Contrarily, the remaining four trials failed to specify whether or not the company intervened, resulting in an unclear risk rating. We believe that the aggregate quality of the included studies is low-risk.

Meta-Analysis of Safety

All five studies^{14,15,18,24,25} reported any adverse events and serious adverse events. These studies had no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.67; $I^2 = 25\%$, P = 0.25. Figure 3a/b); therefore, a FEM was used for analysis. The meta-analysis showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (RR = 0.96, 95% CI (0.89, 1.02), P = 0.19; RR = 0.77, 95% CI (0.45, 1.33), P = 0.34. Figure 3a/b). Five of these studies^{14,15,18,24,25} reported weight gain (RR = 0.83, 95% CI (0.69, 0.99), P = 0.04; $I^2 = 33\%$, P = 0.20. Figure 3c), treatment with OLZ in combination with SAM reduced the incidence of weight gain events in patients with SCZ compared to OLZ. Furthermore, for subgroup analysis, we divided studies into two groups, including a long follow-up time group with a follow-up time of < 12 weeks. The results demonstrated that in the long follow-up time group, OLZ in combination with SAM still reduced the rate of weight-gaining events in SCZ's patients (RR = 0.78, 95% CI (0.64, 0.94), P = 0.43; $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.01. Figure 3c), and there was no significant difference between the two groups in the short follow-up time group (RR = 1.31, 95% CI (0.76, 2.25), P = 0.34. Figure 3c). Additionally, four studies^{14,15,18,24,25} reported headache

а

OLZ/SAM OLZ **Risk Ratio Risk Ratio** Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Brunette 2020 64 112 69 117 12.0% 0.97 [0.78, 1.21] Correll 2020 203 227 40.1% 0.90 [0.82, 0.98] 274 276 136 Kahn 2023 134 211 215 23.9% 1.00 [0.87, 1.16] Martin 2019 127 234 41 75 11.0% 0.99 [0.78, 1.26] Potkin 2020 73 134 73 133 13.0% 0.99 [0.80, 1.23] Total (95% CI) 816 100.0% 0.96 [0.89, 1.02] 965 Total events 601 546 Heterogeneity: Chi² = 2.38, df = 4 (P = 0.67); I² = 0% 0.7 0.85 1.2 1.5 1 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.30 (P = 0.19) Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ] b OLZ/SAM OLZ **Risk Ratio Risk Ratio** M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Brunette 2020 112 7 117 23.8% 0.90 [0.31, 2.58] 6 7 Correll 2020 10 274 276 24.3% 1.44 [0.56, 3.73] Kahn 2023 0.19 [0.04, 0.83] 2 211 11 215 37.9% Martin 2019 0.96 [0.20, 4.66] 6 234 2 75 10.5% Potkin 2020 1 134 1 133 3.5% 0.99 [0.06, 15.70] Total (95% CI) 965 816 100.0% 0.77 [0.45, 1.33] Total events 25 28 Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 5.34$, df = 4 (P = 0.25); l² = 25% 1000

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.95 (P = 0.34)



	OLZ/S	AM	OLZ			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Long follow-up	time						
Brunette 2020	16	112	14	117	6.8%	1.19 [0.61, 2.33]	
Correll 2020	68	274	100	276	49.7%	0.68 [0.53, 0.89]	
Kahn 2023	46	211	55	215	27.2%	0.85 [0.61, 1.20]	
Martin 2019	21	234	9	75	6.8%	0.75 [0.36, 1.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		831		683	90.5%	0.78 [0.64, 0.94]	\bullet
Total events	151		178				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.79, df =	3 (P = 0	0.43); l² =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.58 (P = 0.0	10)				
1.1.2 Short follow-up	time						
Potkin 2020	25	134	19	133	9.5%	1.31 [0.76, 2.25]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		134		133	9.5%	1.31 [0.76, 2.25]	•
Total events	25		19				
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.96 (P = 0.3	4)				
Total (95% CI)		965		816	100.0%	0.83 [0.69, 0.99]	◆
Total events	176		197				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	5.99, df =	4 (P = 0	0.20); l ² =	33%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.06 (P = 0.0	4)				0.05 0.2 1 5 20
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: C	hi² = 3.	08, df = 1	(P = 0	08), I ² = 6	7.5%	Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]

d

С

	OLZ/S	AM	OLZ	2		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Correll 2020	58	274	50	276	57.1%	1.17 [0.83, 1.64]	₽
Kahn 2023	23	211	20	215	22.7%	1.17 [0.66, 2.07]	
Martin 2019	29	234	3	75	5.2%	3.10 [0.97, 9.88]	· · · ·
Potkin 2020	12	134	13	133	15.0%	0.92 [0.43, 1.93]	
Total (95% CI)		853		699	100.0%	1.23 [0.95, 1.60]	•
Total events	122		86				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² :	= 3.16, df =	3 (P = 0	0.37); l ² =				
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 1.55 (P = 0.1		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]			

Figure 3 Continued.

	OLZ/S/		OLZ			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup						M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Brunette 2020	2	112	4	117	14.5%	0.52 [0.10, 2.80]		
Kahn 2023	13	211	10	215	36.8%	1.32 [0.59, 2.95]		
Martin 2019	5	234	4	75	22.5%	0.40 [0.11, 1.45]		
Potkin 2020	8	134	7	133	26.1%	1.13 [0.42, 3.04]		
Total (95% CI)		691		540	100.0%	0.95 [0.57, 1.60]	+	
Total events	28		25					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3				0%			0.01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.19 (F	² = 0.8	5)				Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]	
f								
	OLZ/S/	٩M	OLZ			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Brunette 2020	1	211	0	215	33.6%	3.06 [0.13, 74.61]		
Kahn 2023	1	112	1	117	66.4%	1.04 [0.07, 16.50]	_	
Martin 2019	0	234	0	75		Not estimable		
Potkin 2020	0	134	0	133		Not estimable		
Total (95% CI)		691		540	100.0%	1.72 [0.23, 12.99]		
Total events	2		1					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	0.25, df = 1	1 (P = 0	0.62); l² =	0%			0.001 0.1 1 10	1000
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.53 (F	P = 0.6	0)				Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]	1000
g	OLZ/S/	٩M	OLZ			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total		Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Correll 2020	35	274	22	276	62.6%	1.60 [0.97, 2.66]		
Martin 2019	13	234	4	75	17.3%	1.04 [0.35, 3.10]		
Potkin 2020	10	134	7	133	20.1%	1.42 [0.56, 3.61]		
Total (95% CI)		642		484	100.0%	1.47 [0.97, 2.22]	•	
Total events	58		33					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0		•		0%			0.01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.83 (F	⊃ = 0.0	7)				Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]	
h								
		0 M	OLZ			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
	017/5/				Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		
Study or Subgroup	OLZ/S/ Events		Events					
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total			-			
Brunette 2020	Events 0	Total 112	4	117	55.7%	0.12 [0.01, 2.13]		
	Events	Total			-			
Brunette 2020 Kahn 2023 Potkin 2020	Events 0 1	Total 112 211	4 0	117 215 133	55.7% 6.3%	0.12 [0.01, 2.13] 3.06 [0.13, 74.61] 0.66 [0.11, 3.90]		
Brunette 2020 Kahn 2023 Potkin 2020 Total (95% CI)	Events 0 1 2	Total 112 211 134	4 0 3	117 215 133	55.7% 6.3% 38.1%	0.12 [0.01, 2.13] 3.06 [0.13, 74.61]		
Brunette 2020 Kahn 2023 Potkin 2020 Total (95% CI) Total events	Events 0 1 2 3	Total 112 211 134 457	4 0 3 7	117 215 133 465	55.7% 6.3% 38.1%	0.12 [0.01, 2.13] 3.06 [0.13, 74.61] 0.66 [0.11, 3.90]		
Brunette 2020 Kahn 2023 Potkin 2020 Total (95% CI)	Events 0 1 2 3 2.29, df = 2	Total 112 211 134 457 2 (P = (4 0 3 7 0.32); ² =	117 215 133 465	55.7% 6.3% 38.1%	0.12 [0.01, 2.13] 3.06 [0.13, 74.61] 0.66 [0.11, 3.90]	0.001 0.1 1 10 Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]	1000

Figure 3 Forest plot: safety indicators.

Notes: (a) Forest plot: the incidence of any adverse events. (b) Forest plot: the incidence of serious adverse events. (c) Forest plot: the incidence of weight gain. (d) Forest plot: the incidence of somnolence. (e) Forest plot: the incidence of headache. (f) Forest plot: the incidence of death. (g) Forest plot: the incidence of dry mouth. (h) Forest plot: the incidence of suicide perception.

Abbreviations: OLZ/SAM, A combination of olanzapine and samidorphan group; OLZ, Olanzapine group.

 $(RR = 0.95, 95\% CI (0.57, 1.60), P = 0.85; I^2 = 0\%, P = 0.39$. Figure 3e), four^{14,18,24,25} reported death $(RR = 1.72, 95\% CI (0.23, 12.99), P = 0.60; I^2 = 0\%, P = 0.62$. Figure 3f), three^{14,15,24} reported dry mouth $(RR = 1.47, 95\% CI (0.97, 2.22), P = 0.07; I^2 = 0\%, P = 0.78$. Figure 3g), and three^{18,24,25} reported suicide perception $(RR = 0.51, 95\% CI (0.15, 1.68), P = 0.27; I^2 = 13\%, P = 0.32$. Figure 3h). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups on these adverse events.

Meta-Analysis of Metabolic Parameters

Regarding metabolic parameters, three studies were included.^{14,15,18} Among them, there was insignificant heterogeneity in these studies at the level of TC ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.40. Figure 4a), LDL ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.46. Figure 4b), triglycerides ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 1.00. Figure 4c), glucose ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.73. Figure 4d), and insulin ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.68. Figure 4e) indicators; thus, a FEM was

а

used for the analysis. Conversely, there was heterogeneity in the studies at the HDL indicators level ($I^2 = 64\%$, P = 0.06. Figure 4f), hence, a REM analysis was used. Among them, OLZ combined with SAM can reduce TC levels (MD = -3.58, 95% CI (-6.81, -0.34), P = 0.03. Figure 4a). However, the meta-analysis showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups in LDL (MD = -1.76, 95% CI (-4.56, 1.04), P = 0.22. Figure 4b), triglycerides (MD = -3.04, 95% CI (-11.09, 5.02), P = 0.46. Figure 4c), glucose (MD = 1.40, 95% CI (-0.34, 3.13), P = 0.11. Figure 4d), or insulin (MD = -0.31, 95% CI (-3.01, 2.38), P = 0.82. Figure 4e), HDL (MD = -0.70, 95% CI (-2.89, 1.48), P = 0.53. Figure 4f).

Sensitivity Analysis

Using a FEM, the results of modifying the combined model for HDL metabolic indicators were ($I^2 = 64\%$, P = 0.06). Figure 4g demonstrates that the difference in HDL levels between the two groups was not statistically significant (MD =

4									
	OL	Z/SAN	N		olz			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Correll 2020	3.4	26.4	198	4.5	24.7	207	42.2%	-1.10 [-6.08, 3.88]	
Kahn 2023	7.1	22.9	138	13.2	22.5	143	37.2%	-6.10 [-11.41, -0.79]	
Martin 2019	4.4	27.3	234	8.5	27.4	75	20.7%	-4.10 [-11.22, 3.02]	
Total (95% CI)			570			425	100.0%	-3.58 [-6.81, -0.34]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.84, df	= 2 (P	= 0.40)); l ² = 0%	6				-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.17	' (P = (0.03)						Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]
b									
	0	.Z/SAI	MI.		OLZ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean			Mean		Total	Weight		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Correll 2020		24.2	197		21.6		39.2%	0.30 [-4.18, 4.78]	-
Kahn 2023		20.1	138		19.7	143	36.3%		
Martin 2019		21.3	234		21.9	75	24.6%		
		21.0	201	11.0	21.0	10	21.070	1.10[0.10, 1.00]	
Total (95% CI)			569			425	100.0%	-1.76 [-4.56, 1.04]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.54. df	= 2 (P	= 0.46): $I^2 = 0^6$	%			-	
Test for overall effect:		•		,,					-50 -25 0 25 50
		. (.	,						Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]
С									
-									
		7/9 4 8	л					Moon Difforence	Moon Difforence

	OL	Z/SAN	Λ		olz			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Correll 2020	16.6	75.9	198	19.8	65.4	207	33.9%	-3.20 [-17.03, 10.63]	
Kahn 2023	26.3	49.1	138	29.4	48.4	143	49.9%	-3.10 [-14.50, 8.30]	
Martin 2019	4	80.9	234	6.5	75.6	75	16.2%	-2.50 [-22.50, 17.50]	
Total (95% CI)			570			425	100.0%	-3.04 [-11.09, 5.02]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0).00, df :	= 2 (P	= 1.00)	; l ² = 0%	6				-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.74	(P = (0.46)						-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]

d

	OLZ/SAM			OLZ/SAM				OLZ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI					
Correll 2020	4.2	15.5	197	1.9	13.8	206	36.6%	2.30 [-0.57, 5.17]	+ ■-					
Kahn 2023	3.6	10.1	138	2.8	10.1	143	54.0%	0.80 [-1.56, 3.16]	*					
Martin 2019	5.4	25.8	234	4.1	20.2	75	9.5%	1.30 [-4.34, 6.94]						
Total (95% CI)			569			424	100.0%	1.40 [-0.34, 3.13]	•					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.63, df = 2 (P = 0.73); l ² = 0%													
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.58	(P = (-20 -10 0 10 20 Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]											

Figure 4 Continued.

е

Church an Curk manua		Z/SAI			OLZ	Tetal	Mainh4	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup		26.4	Total				Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Correll 2020 Kahn 2023		26.4 14.6	196 138	5.86	20.7	203 143	33.4% 62.3%	0.36 [-4.31, 5.03] -0.29 [-3.70, 3.12]	-
Martin 2023		40.8	234	10.8		75	4.4%		_
Martin 2019	5	40.0	234	10.0	52.1	75	4.4%	-5.60 [-16.70, 7.10]	
Total (95% CI)			568			421	100.0%	-0.31 [-3.01, 2.38]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.78, df	= 2 (P	= 0.68)	; I ² = 0%	6			-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.23	B (P = ().82)						-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]
Ť									
	OL	Z/SAN	/		OLZ			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Correll 2020	-3.6	13	198	-3.3	12.9	207	30.9%	-0.30 [-2.82, 2.22]	
Kahn 2023	-5	7.96	138	-2.5	7.88	143	38.1%	-2.50 [-4.35, -0.65]	
Martin 2019	-2.1	10.3	234	-3.2	9.4	75	31.1%	1.10 [-1.40, 3.60]	
Total (95% CI)			570			425	100.0%	-0.70 [-2.89, 1.48]	🕈
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.37; Cł	ni² = 5.	52, df =	2 (P =	0.06);	$ ^2 = 64^\circ$	%		-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.63	(P = 0).53)						Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]
g									
5									
		Z/SAI			OLZ	_		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean			Mean	SD		Weight		IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Correll 2020	-3.6	13	198		12.9	207	25.8%	-0.30 [-2.82, 2.22]	
Kahn 2023		7.96	138		7.88	143	47.9%		
Martin 2019	-2.1	10.3	234	-3.2	9.4	75	26.2%	1.10 [-1.40, 3.60]	
Total (95% CI)			570			425	100.0%	-0.99 [-2.27, 0.30]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.52, df	= 2 (P	= 0.06	; l ² = 64	1%				
Test for overall effect:			,	,, · · ·					-10 -5 0 5 10
		·. ·	,						Favours [OLZ/SAM] Favours [OLZ]

Figure 4 Forest plot: metabolic parameters.

Notes: (a) Forest plot: Total Cholesterol (mg/dL). (b) Forest plot: Low Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL). (c) Forest plot: Triglycerides (mg/dL). (d) Forest plot: Glucose (mg/dL). (e) Forest plot: Insulin (mIU/dL). (f) Forest plot: High Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL). (g) Forest plot: High density lipoprotein (mg/dL) sensitivity analysis. Abbreviations: OLZ/SAM, A combination of olanzapine and samidorphan group; OLZ, Olanzapine group.

-0.99, 95% CI (-2.27, 0.3), P = 0.13). In Figure 4g, the combined results of the two models were consistent, indicating that the results were reliable and that the model was robust.

Discussion

While OLZ/SAM (LYBALVITM) has received FDA approval²⁷ as an effective new combination for treating SCZ, academic opinion has not been unanimous, and widespread controversy persists regarding the safety and effects of SAM on metabolic parameters. Regarding efficacy, the study by Potkin et al indicated²⁴ significant differences between OLZ/SAM and monotherapy with OLZ or placebo relative to the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) scores after four weeks of treatment. Moreover, at 12¹⁴ and 24¹⁵ weeks of treatment, the total PANSS scores of both groups improved similarly relative to baseline. Furthermore, a 52-week study of SCZ patients treated with OLZ/SAM revealed²⁸ a decrease in PANSS total scores from baseline, with 93.5% of patients retaining stable mental symptoms and no significant relapses. Although relevant meta-analyses¹⁶ and systematic reviews¹⁷ have been conducted on weight gain and metabolic changes in individuals treated with OLZ/SAM, they have not focused solely on SCZ patients. Additionally, it has not been considered to investigate other adverse safety events caused by OLZ/SAM in patients. Given the paucity of high-quality evidence on the safety and impact of metabolic indicators of OLZ combined with SAM for treating SCZ patients, the drug's safety should be considered first in a composite event for patients. A total of 1781 patients from five studies were included in this study.^{14,15,18,24,25} In terms of adverse events related to weight gain, we

included one trial²⁴ with a duration of 4 weeks and four trials^{14,15,18,25} with follow-up periods exceeding 12 weeks. Subgroup analysis was conducted based on the duration of follow-up. After excluding the negative results from the study²⁴ by Potkin et al, the incidence of adverse events in the OLZ/SAM group was further reduced compared to the OLZ group, and the between-group difference became more significant. Regarding the metabolic parameter of TC, all included studies^{14,15,18} showed a decreasing trend in TC levels with OLZ/SAM compared to OLZ monotherapy. These early metabolic changes suggest that²⁹ they may be a direct effect of OLZ rather than a consequence of weight gain associated with antipsychotic medications, and SAM appears to attenuate this metabolic risk. The results demonstrated in the meta-analysis that compared with the control group, in terms of safety, the incidence of any adverse events and the incidence of adverse events such as dry mouth, headache, somnolence, suicide perception, and death were significantly indifferent. Regarding metabolic indicators, the intervention group reduced TC levels in SCZ's patients, while there were no significant differences in triglyceride, LDL, HDL, glucose, and insulin levels, which differed from the previous meta-analysis.¹⁶

While many antipsychotic medications can cause weight gain, OLZ is considered high-risk.^{30–32} Indeed, the higher the dose of OLZ, the greater the observed weight gain. In the first six weeks of OLZ treatment, high doses were associated with an average weight gain of +3.2kg, while moderate doses were associated with an average weight gain of +1.9kg, according to a study.³³ Nevertheless, co-administration of SAM can mitigate this problem. Specifically, previous studies have shown that ^{11,12,34} SAM played a vital role as a μ -opioid receptor antagonist and a partial agonist of κ - and δ opioid receptors mainly in regulating feeding behavior. Preclinical studies reported that the knockdown of μ-, κ-, and δopioid receptors prevented obesity and impaired glucose tolerance in transgenic mice fed a high-energy diet.^{11,12} Additionally, studies have demonstrated that SAM can attenuate weight gain by restoring the increased glucose absorption caused by OLZ.¹³ SAM has a bioavailability of 69% and a half-life of 7-10 h compared to OLZ's 35-52 h, making it an appropriate companion for OLZ when taken as a combination tablet.³⁵ Therefore, adding SAM to antipsychotics known to induce weight gain (such as OLZ) would reduce weight gain associated with OLZ use and enhance patient adherence to long-term treatment. Due to the presence of opioid receptors in the central nervous system and the periphery (eg, pancreas, muscle, and liver), the opioid system is a potential therapeutic target for addressing antipsychotic-associated weight gain, as shown by the significantly lower incidence of weight gain in the intervention group compared to the control group.^{36,37} SAM can counteract the weight gain associated with OLZ administration by inhibiting fat and glucose uptake and resisting insulin resistance caused by OLZ.³⁸ This therapeutic benefit supports the idea that OLZ/SAM would be a better treatment option for long-term use than OLZ monotherapy.

In addition to preventing weight gain, SAM also plays a role in metabolism.^{11,12,34} When using atypical antipsychotics, such as OLZ, to enhance the prognosis of patients with SCZ, the metabolic syndrome is equally as concerning as weight gain. A recent meta-analysis revealed a stronger association between OLZ use and elevated levels of TC, lowdensity lipoprotein, and triglycerides.³⁹ It has been established that OLZ increases the susceptibility to cardiovascular and endocrine disorders by inducing metabolic alterations.⁴⁰ Considering the metabolic effects of the second-generation antipsychotics, such as OLZ, can be enhanced. In this case, metabolic diseases, including diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension can be prevented, and the therapeutic effect can be substantially enhanced.⁴¹ This study compared two treatment regimens and found that SAM had a positive effect on reducing serum TC levels, but had no significant impact on metabolic parameters such as triglycerides, blood glucose, and serum insulin. Hypercholesterolemia is a risk factor independent of atherosclerosis.⁴² Increasing evidence indicates that^{43,44} OLZ treatment can result in severe cardiac metabolic disturbances, such as abnormal cholesterol levels, exacerbating the cardiac burden in SCZ patients and decreasing their lifespan. Unknown is the mechanism by which SAM may reduce the increase in TC caused by OLZ. Previous research indicates that⁴⁵ morphine, a member of the same class of κ - and δ -opioid receptor agonists as SAM, may inhibit hepatic cholesterol secretion by stimulating the Oddi sphincter. Recent studies have shown that⁴² κ -opioid receptor agonists can normalize endothelial ultrastructure and function in hyperlipidemic states by activating the PI3K/ Akt signaling pathway and downregulating endothelial NO synthase expression/activity. Future research should characterize the mechanisms by which SAM affects lipid metabolism. Further validation of the reduced cardiovascular risks associated with OLZ/SAM treatment versus OLZ monotherapy in patients with SCZ will necessitate longer-term comparative trials and retrospective observational studies. This phenomenon prompted us to consider that any potential metabolic effects of the OLZ/SAM combination to reduce weight gain may have been neglected. The patients included in this study, except for those in Kahn et al's study¹⁸ who were young individuals in the early stages of the disease, were individuals who had been suffering from SCZ for many years and were in a stable phase.^{14,15,24,25} These samples may represent a relatively unique subgroup of patients with SCZ who may not be particularly sensitive to the metabolic effects of antipsychotic drugs. Therefore, it may be necessary to observe the metabolic benefit of reduced weight gain with OLZ/SAM in patients with first-episode of SCZ or in extended follow-up experimental studies, where future experimental research should be conducted.

This meta-analysis was based on the safety and metabolic indices in SCZ patients treated with OLZ/SAM. After extensive analysis, we determined that the combination of OLZ and SAM may decrease the incidence of weight gain and positively affect serum TC. The incidence of other indicators such as somnolence, dry mouth, and other metabolic indicators such as triglycerides and glucose did not differ between the OLZ and control group. Results demonstrated that OLZ/SAM is safer than other treatment options because it is administered as a single tablet, thereby mainly avoiding the adverse effects and drug interactions associated with additional medications and prescription pills.⁴⁶ The finding gives clinicians some evidence to support and point guidance in drug use. Furthermore, clinicians can provide more precise and individualized treatment plans based on the patient's condition. Meanwhile, a significant element is the optimal timing of using OLZ in combination with SAM, whether it must be used only when metabolic effects develop or as a first-line treatment. Moreover, it is essential to ascertain the additional hepatorenal toxicity and other adverse outcomes that may develop after long-term (5 or 10 years) administration of OLZ/SAM. These points require clarification in future research.

Limitations

This study has some limitations that must be mentioned. First, it is important to note that the number of articles included in this study was limited, and there were variations in the results of the original studies. Therefore, the uncertainties related to these findings should be further investigated in future high-quality research. Second, some of the included literature does not describe the randomization and allocation concealment methods, which creates the potential for implementation and measurement bias. Third, the study's slightly different intervention protocols, with varying concentrations of OLZ combined with SAM treatment and durations of follow-up, may have influenced the results. At last, the duration of the included trials may have been insufficient for determining the maximal efficacy of SAM in preventing weight gain and metabolic index.

Conclusion

The current study found that treatment with OLZ in combination with SAM decreased the incidence of weight gain and TC levels in patients with SCZ relative to the OLZ group, nevertheless, it did not affect other adverse events or metabolic parameters. Compared to OLZ monotherapy, the advantages of OLZ/SAM regarding weight and serum TC offer empirical support for promoting OLZ/SAM in the personalized treatment of psychotic patients. Given the long-term medication requirements of patients, it remains necessary to dynamically monitor whether OLZ/SAM will cause additional hepatorenal toxicity and other adverse effects. To validate them, further high-quality clinical trials and long-term follow-ups are required.

Abbreviations

RCTs, randomized controlled trials; CBM, Chinese Biology Medicine; CNKI, China National Knowledge Infrastructure; RevMan, Review Manager 5.4 software; TC, total cholesterol; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HDL, high density lipoprotein; SCZ, schizophrenia; SAM, samidorphan; OLZ, olanzapine; PRISMA, the Preferred Reporting for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Statement; DSM-IV/V, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; BMI, body mass index; ROB, risk of bias; RRs, relative risks; Cis, confidence intervals; MDs, mean differences; FEM, the fixed effects model; REM, the random effects model; OLZ/SAM, A combination of olanzapine and samidorphan; LYBALVI™, A combination of olanzapine and samidorphan; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the other members of the team for their contributions to this study. We also thank Home for Researchers editorial team (www.home-for-researchers.com) for language editing service.

Funding

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (Grant No. 2022D01D64).

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this work.

References

- 1. Lieberman JA, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, et al. Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in patients with chronic schizophrenia. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353 (12):1209–1223. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa051688
- Treuer T, Anders M, Bitter I, et al. Effectiveness and tolerability of schizophrenia treatment in Central and Eastern Europe: results after 1 year from a prospective, observational study (IC-SOHO). Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2006;10(2):78–90. doi:10.1080/13651500500409663
- 3. Komossa K, Rummel-Kluge C, Hunger H, et al. Olanzapine versus other atypical antipsychotics for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;3:CD006654. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006654.pub2
- De Hert M, Detraux J, van Winkel R, Yu W, Correll CU. Metabolic and cardiovascular adverse effects associated with antipsychotic drugs. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2011;8(2):114–126. doi:10.1038/nrendo.2011.156
- Schneider-Thoma J, Chalkou K, Dorries C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 32 oral and long-acting injectable antipsychotics for the maintenance treatment of adults with schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet.* 2022;399(10327):824–836. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01997-8
- Siskind D, Gallagher E, Winckel K, et al. Does switching antipsychotics ameliorate weight gain in patients with severe mental illness? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Schizophr Bull. 2021;47(4):948–958. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbaa191
- Ostuzzi G, Vita G, Bertolini F, et al. Continuing, reducing, switching, or stopping antipsychotics in individuals with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders who are clinically stable: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2022;9(8):614–624. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(22)00158-4
- 8. Speyer H, Westergaard C, Albert N, et al. Reversibility of antipsychotic-induced weight gain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Endocrinol. 2021;12:577919. doi:10.3389/fendo.2021.577919
- Maayan L, Vakhrusheva J, Correll CU. Effectiveness of medications used to attenuate antipsychotic-related weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35(7):1520–1530. doi:10.1038/npp.2010.21
- 10. Meftah AM, Deckler E, Citrome L, Kantrowitz JT. New discoveries for an old drug: a review of recent olanzapine research. *Postgrad Med.* 2020;132(1):80–90. doi:10.1080/00325481.2019.1701823
- 11. Tabarin A, Diz-Chaves Y, Carmona Mdel C, et al. Resistance to diet-induced obesity in mu-opioid receptor-deficient mice: evidence for a "thrifty gene". *Diabetes*. 2005;54(12):3510–3516. doi:10.2337/diabetes.54.12.3510
- 12. Czyzyk TA, Romero-Pico A, Pintar J, et al. Mice lacking delta-opioid receptors resist the development of diet-induced obesity. *FASEB J*. 2012;26 (8):3483–3492. doi:10.1096/fj.12-208041
- 13. Cunningham JI, Eyerman DJ, Todtenkopf MS, et al. Samidorphan mitigates olanzapine-induced weight gain and metabolic dysfunction in rats and non-human primates. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2019;33(10):1303–1316. doi:10.1177/0269881119856850
- 14. Martin WF, Correll CU, Weiden PJ, et al. Mitigation of olanzapine-induced weight gain with samidorphan, an opioid antagonist: a randomized double-blind Phase 2 study in patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2019;176(6):457–467. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.18030280
- 15. Correll CU, Newcomer JW, Silverman B, et al. Effects of olanzapine combined with samidorphan on weight gain in schizophrenia: a 24-week Phase 3 study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2020;177(12):1168–1178. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.19121279
- 16. Srisurapanont M, Suttajit S, Likhitsathian S, Maneeton B, Maneeton N. A meta-analysis comparing short-term weight and cardiometabolic changes between olanzapine/samidorphan and olanzapine. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):7583. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-87285-w
- 17. Jawad MY, Alnefeesi Y, Lui LMW, et al. Olanzapine and samidorphan combination treatment: a systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2022;301:99– 106. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2022.01.004
- Kahn RS, Kane JM, Correll CU, et al. Olanzapine/samidorphan in young adults with schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, or bipolar I disorder who are early in their illness: results of the randomized, controlled ENLIGHTEN-early study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2023;84(3). doi:10.4088/ JCP.22m14674
- 19. Correll CU, Stein E, Graham C, et al. Reduction in multiple cardiometabolic risk factors with combined olanzapine/samidorphan compared with olanzapine: post hoc analyses from a 24-week Phase 3 study. *Schizophr Bull.* 2023;49(2):454–463. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbac144
- 20. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. Declaracion PRISMA 2020: una guia actualizada para la publicacion de revisiones sistematicas [The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews]. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2021;74(9):790–799. doi:10.1016/j. rec.2021.07.010
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59 Suppl 20:22–33;quiz 34–57.
- 22. Association AP. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 23. Tarsilla M. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. J Multidis Evaluat. 2008;6:142–148.

- 24. Potkin SG, Kunovac J, Silverman BL, et al. Efficacy and safety of a combination of olanzapine and samidorphan in adult patients with an acute exacerbation of schizophrenia: outcomes from the randomized, Phase 3 ENLIGHTEN-1 study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020;81(2). doi:10.4088/ JCP.19m12769
- Brunette MF, Correll CU, O'Malley SS, et al. Olanzapine plus samidorphan (ALKS 3831) in Schizophrenia and comorbid alcohol use disorder: a phase 2, randomized clinical trial. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020;81(2). doi:10.4088/JCP.19m12786
- 26. Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Wiley-Blackwell; 2008.
- 27. Alkermes. Alkermes announces FDA approval of LYBALVITM for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder [media release]; June 1, 2021. Available from: https://investor.alkermes.com/.
- 28. Kahn RS, Silverman BL, DiPetrillo L, et al. A phase 3, multicenter study to assess the 1-year safety and tolerability of a combination of olanzapine and samidorphan in patients with schizophrenia: results from the ENLIGHTEN-2 long-term extension. *Schizophr Res.* 2021;232:45–53. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2021.04.009
- Goh KK, Chen CY, Wu TH, Chen CH, Lu ML. Crosstalk between Schizophrenia and metabolic syndrome: the role of oxytocinergic dysfunction. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(13):7092. doi:10.3390/ijms23137092
- 30. Bai Z, Wang G, Cai S, et al. Efficacy, acceptability and tolerability of 8 atypical antipsychotics in Chinese patients with acute schizophrenia: a network meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2017;185:73–79. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2017.01.002
- Meyer JM, Simmons A, Jiang Y, Graham C, Yagoda S, McDonnell D. Olanzapine/samidorphan combination consistently mitigates weight gain across various subgroups of patients. CNS Spectr. 2022;1–4. doi:10.1017/S1092852922000967
- 32. Wu H, Siafis S, Hamza T, et al. Antipsychotic-induced weight gain: dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Schizophr Bull*. 2022;48(3):643–654. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbac001
- Bazo-Alvarez JC, Morris TP, Carpenter JR, Hayes JF, Petersen I. Effects of long-term antipsychotics treatment on body weight: a population-based cohort study. J Psychopharmacol. 2020;34(1):79–85. doi:10.1177/0269881119885918
- 34. Shram MJ, Silverman B, Ehrich E, Sellers EM, Turncliff R. Use of remifentanil in a novel clinical paradigm to characterize onset and duration of opioid blockade by samidorphan, a potent mu-receptor antagonist. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2015;35(3):242–249. doi:10.1097/ JCP.000000000000320
- 35. Sun L, McDonnell D, von Moltke L. Pharmacokinetics and short-term safety of ALKS 3831, a fixed-dose combination of olanzapine and samidorphan, in adult subjects with Schizophrenia. *Clin Ther.* 2018;40(11):1845–1854 e2. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2018.09.002
- 36. Cheng KC, Asakawa A, Li YX, et al. Opioid μ-receptors as new target for insulin resistance. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;139(3):334–340. doi:10.1016/j. pharmthera.2013.05.002
- 37. Sehgal N, Smith HS, Manchikanti L. Peripherally acting opioids and clinical implications for pain control. *Pain Physician*. 2011;14(3):249–258. doi:10.36076/ppj.2011/14/249
- Rehan ST, Siddiqui AH, Khan Z, et al. Samidorphan/olanzapine combination therapy for schizophrenia: efficacy, tolerance and adverse outcomes of regimen, evidence-based review of clinical trials. Ann Med Surg. 2022;79:104115. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104115
- 39. Pillinger T, McCutcheon RA, Vano L, et al. Comparative effects of 18 antipsychotics on metabolic function in patients with schizophrenia, predictors of metabolic dysregulation, and association with psychopathology: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(1):64–77. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30416-X
- 40. Casey DE, Haupt DW, Newcomer JW, et al. Antipsychotic-induced weight gain and metabolic abnormalities: implications for increased mortality in patients with schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry. 2004;65 Suppl 7:4–18; quiz 19–20.
- 41. Velligan DI, Weiden PJ, Sajatovic M, et al. The expert consensus guideline series: adherence problems in patients with serious and persistent mental illness. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;70 Suppl 4:1–46; quiz 47–8.
- 42. Tian F, Zheng XY, Li J, et al. kappa-opioid receptor stimulation improves endothelial function via Akt-stimulated NO production in hyperlipidemic rats. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:26807. doi:10.1038/srep26807
- 43. Li R, Zhang Y, Zhu W, et al. Effects of olanzapine treatment on lipid profiles in patients with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):17028. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-73983-4
- 44. Zhu Z, Gu Y, Zeng C, et al. Olanzapine-induced lipid disturbances: a potential mechanism through the gut microbiota-brain axis. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022;13:897926. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.897926
- 45. Bryant HU, Story JA, Yim GK. Morphine-induced alterations in plasma and tissue cholesterol levels. *Life Sci.* 1987;41(5):545–554. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(87)90406-1
- 46. Citrome L, Graham C, Simmons A, et al. An evidence-based review of OLZ/SAM for treatment of adults with Schizophrenia or Bipolar I disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2021;17:2885–2904. doi:10.2147/NDT.S313840

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment



Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS, and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal