

## Commentary: Ultrathin Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty

Endothelial keratoplasty has come a long way in the past two decades. The journey began when Melles started performing Descemet lamellar endothelial keratoplasty (DLEK) in the early 2000s.<sup>[1]</sup> The technique, however, could not gain widespread popularity because of extensive tissue dissection and a complicated technique. This was followed by Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK), developed by Price and Mark Gorovoy.<sup>[2]</sup> The technique could be standardized, delivered reproducible results and became immensely popular. However, the issues of stromal haze and minimal rejection still remained. These issues were addressed by Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK). Still DSAEK remains the most commonly performed endothelial keratoplasty because of its relative ease, less stringent donor criteria and good outcomes.

Ultrathin DSAEK (UT-DSAEK), where the thickness of donor graft is <100 µm, is a useful bridge technique between DSAEK and DMEK. The visual results of UT-DSAEK were compared with DSAEK in a prospective randomized multi-centric study.<sup>[3]</sup> The study concluded that UT-DSAEK results in faster and better recovery of visual acuity with similar refractive outcomes, endothelial cell loss, and incidence of complications. Another RCT compared the results of UT-DSAEK versus DMEK.<sup>[4]</sup> The authors concluded that DMEK provided superior visual acuity as compared with UT-DSAEK with similar complication rates and similar endothelial cell loss. DMEK also results in lesser posterior corneal higher order aberrations. Another concept of nanothin endothelial grafts (50 µm) was introduced by Cheung *et al.* They concluded nanothin-DSAEK to be safe and reported no significant endothelial cell loss compared with UT-DSAEK and DMEK grafts.<sup>[5]</sup>

Several techniques have been described for the preparation of UT-DSAEK grafts. The one introduced by Busin *et al.* involves the use of two microkeratome passes (the first one to debulk the donor tissue and the second one to refine it to an ideal thickness thinner than 100 µm) in different settings.<sup>[6]</sup> Vajpayee *et al.* described the use of single, slow pass 400 µm microkeratome for preparation of UT-DSAEK grafts.<sup>[7]</sup> Both reported good visual and refractive outcomes in their respective non-comparative studies. Villarrubia *et al.* have devised a nomogram incorporating advancement speed, blade holder size, and corneal thickness for preparation of thin endothelial grafts.<sup>[8]</sup> Apart from these techniques other approaches have been described for preparation of thinner grafts such as low-pulse energy, high-frequency femtosecond laser,<sup>[9]</sup> drying the cornea to achieve stromal dehydration before

passing a 350 µm microkeratome blade<sup>[10]</sup> and preconditioning with deswelling media before microkeratome pass.<sup>[11]</sup> The advantages of one technique over the other have not been evaluated in head-to-head randomized trials.

The authors in the current study have compared the results of single pass versus double pass technique for the preparation of UT-DSAEK tissue.<sup>[12]</sup> The authors have reported similar graft thickness with the two techniques, which is of much relevance as single pass technique is much easier and reproducible even by eye bank technicians. It would have been more enlightening to have the post cut endothelial cell count and to compare it with the post surgery count.

Thus, UT-DSAEK is a valuable potential alternative to DSAEK in terms of superior visual quality as well as a practical alternative to DMEK as it does not require the surgeon to learn a new challenging technique. In addition, UT-DSAEK can be performed in eyes with complex anatomies where DMEK may not be possible as well as minimizes the complications associated with DMEK.

**Abhishek Dave, Manisha Acharya**

Cornea and Refractive Surgery Services,  
Dr. Shroff's Charity Eye Hospital, New Delhi, India

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Abhishek Dave,  
Consultant Cornea and Refractive Surgery Services,  
Dr. Shroff's Charity Eye Hospital, 5027, Kedarnath Road,  
Daryaganj, New Delhi - 110 002, India.  
E-mail: abhishek\_dave@yahoo.co.uk

## References

1. Melles GR, Eggink FA, Lander F, Pels E, Rietveld FJ, Beekhuis WH, *et al.* A surgical technique for posterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Cornea* 1998;17:618-26.
2. Price MO, Price FW Jr. Descemet's stripping with endothelial keratoplasty comparative outcomes with microkeratome-dissected and manually dissected donor tissue. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:1936-42.
3. Dickman MM, Kruijt PJ, Remeijer L, van Rooij J, Van der Lelij A, Wijdh RH, *et al.* A randomized multicenter clinical trial of ultrathin Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) versus DSAEK. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123:2276-84.
4. Chamberlain W, Lin CC, Austin A, Schubach N, Clover J, McLeod SD, *et al.* Descemet endothelial thickness comparison trial: A randomized trial comparing ultrathin Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty with Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology* 2019;126:19-26.
5. Cheung AY, Hou JH, Bedard P, Grimes V, Buckman N, Eslani M, *et al.* Technique for preparing ultrathin and nanothin Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty tissue. *Cornea* 2018;37:661-6.

6. Busin M, Madi S, Santorum P. Ultrathin Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty with the microkeratome double-pass technique: Two year outcomes. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:1186-94.
7. Vajpayee RB, Maharana PK, Jain S, Sharma N, Jhanji V. Thin lenticule Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty: Single, slow pass technique. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2014;42:411-6.
8. Villarrubia A, Cano-Ortiz A. Development of a nomogram to achieve ultrathin donor corneal disks for Descemet-stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2015;41:146-51.
9. Phillips PM, Phillips LJ, Saad HA, Terry MA, Stolz DB, Stoeger C, *et al.* 'Ultrathin' DSAEK tissue prepared with a low-pulse energy, high-frequency femtosecond laser. *Cornea* 2013;32:81-6.
10. Romano V, Steger B, Myneni J, Batterbury M, Willoughby CE, Kaye SB. Preparation of ultrathin grafts for Descemet-stripping endothelial keratoplasty with a single microkeratome pass. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2017;43:12-5.
11. Bucher F, Roters S, Mellein A. 'OSMO-UT-DSAEK' using THIN-C medium. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2013;51:2181-5.
12. Sharma N, Hussain AY, Nagpal R, Rathi A, Maharana PK, Sinha R, *et al.* Microkeratome-assisted ultrathin Descemet's

stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty: A randomized trial comparing single-pass versus double-pass technique. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2019;67:1289-94.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

| Access this article online   |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Quick Response Code:</b>  | <b>Website:</b><br>www.ijo.in         |
|  | <b>DOI:</b><br>10.4103/ijo.IJO_863_19 |
|  |                                       |

**Cite this article as:** Dave A, Acharya M. Commentary: Ultrathin Descemet's stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2019;67:1294-5.