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#### Abstract

Summary Cytokinesis is executed by protein networks organized into functional modules. Individual proteins within each module have been characterized to various degrees. However, the collective behavior and interplay of the modules remain poorly understood. In this study, we conducted quantitative time-lapse imaging to analyze the accumulation kinetics of more than 20 proteins from different modules of cytokinesis in budding yeast. This analysis has led to a comprehensive picture of the kinetic landscape of cytokinesis, from actomyosin ring (AMR) assembly to cell separation. It revealed that the AMR undergoes biphasic constriction and that the switch between the constriction phases is likely triggered by AMR maturation and primary septum formation. This analysis also provided further insights into the functions of actin filaments and the transglutaminase-like protein Cyk3 in cytokinesis and, in addition, defined Kre6 as the likely enzyme that catalyzes $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthesis to drive cell wall maturation during cell growth and division.


## Introduction

Cytokinesis, the last step of cell division, physically separates one cell into two cells. In fungal and animal cells, cytokinesis is accomplished by the spatiotemporally coordinated processes of a cortical actomyosin ring (AMR) constriction, targeted vesicle fusion, and localized extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling (Bhav-sar-Jog and Bi, 2017; Pollard, 2017; Willet, et al., 2015; Balasubramanian, et al., 2004). The AMR is thought to produce a contractile force that drives furrow ingression, as well as to guide targeted exocytosis that increases the surface area and delivers enzymatic cargoes for localized ECM remodeling at the division site (Wloka, et al., 2013; Fang, et al., 2010; Schmidt, et al., 2002; Vallen, et al., 2000). ECM remodeling is thought to stabilize the constricting AMR (Xu and Vogel, 2011; VerPlank and Li, 2005; Schmidt, et al., 2002; Bi, 2001). Thus, different elements of cytokinesis can regulate each other by a largely undefined mechanism to execute this fundamental process with high efficiency and fidelity.

Genome annotation indicates that more than 90 proteins localize to the bud neck, the cell division site of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Handfield, et al., 2013; Huh, et al., 2003). Among these, ~40 proteins have been identified to play a direct role in cytokinesis (Meitinger and Palani, 2016). These proteins can be assigned to at least five distinct modules: AMR, vesicle transport and exo-endocytosis, primary septum (PS) formation, secondary septum (SS) formation, and temporal coordination of cytokinetic events. Many of these proteins (described in Results) have been analyzed individually for their cellular behaviors and mutant phenotypes to define their roles in cytokinesis. However, what is common and unique about each component in a cytokinetic module and how different modules are temporally coordinated at the division site under normal and stressed conditions remain largely unknown.

Quantitative analysis of a multiprotein-driven process by imaging the molecular behaviors of the core components under the same experimental conditions has provided invaluable insights into the process. This approach has led to the establishment of the number and nanoscale architecture of proteins at the division site in fission yeast (McDonald, et al., 2017; Laplante, et al., 2016; Laporte, et al., 2011; Wu and Pollard, 2005) and of the temporal order and dynamic changes in local concentration and mobility of the cytokinetic proteins at the division site during the cell cycle in fission yeast and budding yeast, respectively (Wloka, et al., 2013; Wu, et al., 2006; Wu, et al., 2003). Microscopy-based kinetic analysis provides information on the
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dynamic accumulation of a protein at a given subcellular location, which can be very sensitive to environmental and genetic perturbations. This approach has proven powerful in understanding the fine control of timely SS formation by the F-BAR protein Hof1 (Oh, et al., 2017), the CDK regulation of IQGAP Iqg1 in AMR assembly (Naylor and Morgan, 2014), and vacuolar protein sorting in budding yeast (Casler and Glick, 2020). This approach has also provided mechanistic insights into the roles of the myosin-II isoforms Myo2 and Myp2 in cytokinesis under normal and stressed conditions in fission yeast (Okada, et al., 2019).

In this study, we used microscopy-based kinetic analysis to determine the accumulation kinetics of more than 20 core cytokinetic proteins from five different modules at the division site during the cell cycle at a 1 -min time resolution. This analysis has provided a comprehensive temporal and kinetic picture of cytokinesis. More importantly, this analysis has revealed that proteins in each module share a kinetic signature, i.e., the peaking time, with some distinct attributes, whereas proteins from different modules display distinct signatures. At the mechanistic level, this analysis has also revealed that AMR constriction switches from a slow phase to a fast phase during furrow ingression, and this switch is controlled by the transgluta-minase-like protein Cyk3-activated PS formation. Using the kinetic signature for functional prediction, coupled with validation experiments, we found that the type-II membrane protein Kreb likely catalyzes $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis at the cell surface to drive cell wall maturation during cell growth and division.

## Results

## Strategy for quantitative and comparative analysis of protein accumulation kinetics at the division site during the cell cycle

As a key step in understanding the assembly principles of the cytokinetic machinery, we performed quantitative live-cell imaging to compare the accumulation kinetics of more than 20 core cytokinetic proteins at the division site during cytokinesis (Figures 1A-1C). These proteins were carefully selected to represent different aspects of cytokinesis (Figure S1A). Unless noted otherwise, proteins were either N - or C-terminally tagged with GFP, indicated as GFP-X or X-GFP (X is the protein of interest), respectively. The tagged proteins were expressed from their endogenous loci controlled by their native promoters. To achieve an accurate comparison of the accumulation kinetics of each GFP-tagged protein from different strains, we included two RFP-based cell cycle markers as the "clock" in each strain (Figure 1A). mRuby2-Tub1, an RFP-tagged alpha-tubulin, marks the mitotic spindle (Markus, et al., 2015), and the spindle breakage is known to occur a few minutes before the onset of AMR constriction in wild-type (WT) cells (Pigula, et al., 2014; Woodruff, et al., 2010). Except where noted, time " 0 " refers to the point of spindle breakage or disassembly in all the analyses. MIc2-mApple, an RFP-tagged regulatory light chain for the myosin-II heavy chain, Myo1, in budding yeast (Luo, et al., 2004), marks the AMR. Mlc2 co-localizes with Myo1 throughout the cell cycle, and its localization to the bud neck completely depends on its binding to Myo1 (Luo, et al., 2004). Importantly, the signal intensity and ring diameter of Myo1-GFP were highly correlated (Figure S1B), thus, the level of Myo1-GFP signal at the bud neck can be used to estimate the degree of AMR constriction or cytokinesis progression. As expected, the accumulation kinetics of Myo1-GFP and MIc2-mApple were similar (Figures 1A and 1B), with the exception of a moderate decrease ( -20 to -8 min ) in Mlc2-mApple intensity that was presumably caused by the signal interference from a subset of mRuby2-Tub1 in the quantified region (Figures 1A and 1B). The kinetics of Mlc2-mApple, in relation to the spindle breakage, from all the strains imaged in this study were remarkably similar (Figures 1C and S1C), suggesting that our clockbased alignment of protein accumulation kinetics acquired from different strains is highly reliable. This result also indicates that none of the GFP-tagged genes significantly compromises cytokinesis progression.

## The actomyosin ring module: the switch of myosin constriction from the slow to the fast phase coincides with the completion of septin hourglass-to-double ring transition and the rapid arrival of tropomyosin at the division site

We first examined a set of proteins involved in AMR assembly and constriction. This includes septin Cdc3 (Caviston, et al., 2003), myosin-II heavy chain Myo1 (Bi, et al., 1998; Lippincott and Li, 1998), IQGAP Iqg1 (Lippincott and Li, 1998; Epp and Chant, 1997), the essential light chain for myosin-II Mlc1 (Feng, et al., 2015; Luo, et al., 2004; Boyne, et al., 2000; Shannon and Li, 2000; Stevens and Davis, 1998), and tropomyosin Tpm1 (Liu and Bretscher, 1992) (Figures 1D and 1E). Septins are required for the assembly of the AMR before cytokinesis (Shannon and Li, 2000; Bi, et al., 1998). Upon the mitotic exit, septins undergo the hourglass-to-double ring (HDR) transition that is accompanied by $20 \%-30 \%$ loss of signal intensity (McQuilken, et al., 2017; Wloka, et al., 2011). In accordance, the signal intensity of Cdc3-GFP (GFP inserted after residue 13 in Cdc3, Caviston, et al., 2003) decreased by $22.6 \%$ ( -6 to -1 min ) during this
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Figure 1. Quantification scheme of protein accumulation kinetics at the division site and the kinetics of proteins involved in AMR assembly
(A) Schematic of quantification of cytokinetic proteins. Fluorescent intensity of GFP-tagged proteins (e.g., Myo1-GFP) at the division site (blue box) was measured from a series of time-lapse images. Representative images of the WT strain YEF9609 carrying Myo1-GFP that co-expressed with two cell cycle markers (mRuby2-Tub1 and MIc2-mApple). Montages were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline.
(B) Kinetics of Myo1-GFP and MIc2-mApple (and sub-portion of mRuby2-Tub1). All data from individual cells were aligned with the timing of mitotic spindle breakage. See also Figure S1.
(C) Kinetics of Mlc2-mApple of all analyzed strains. See also Figure S1.
(D) Images of GFP-tagged proteins involved in AMR assembly. Montages of cells were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline. Strains used are as follows: YEF8434 (CDC3-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9609 (MYO1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9610 (IQG1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8626 (GFP-MLC1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF9583 (GFP-TPM1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). All strains were cultured in SC medium. Scale bars, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(E) Kinetics of the indicated GFP-tagged proteins in (D). Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively.
transition (Figures 1D and 1E). The onset of the HDR transition coincided with the onset of AMR constriction, as indicated by the initial drop in Myo1-GFP signal intensity ( $\sim-6 \mathrm{~min}$, arrowhead, Figures 1D, 1E, and S1B). Myo1-GFP exhibited a biphasic rate of constriction (Figure S1B), as seen for myosin-II during cytokinesis in fission yeast (Okada, et al., 2019), embryo cleavage in Drosophila (Xue and Sokac, 2016), or furrow ingression in mammalian cells (Wang, et al., 2019). The rate of constriction was initially slow ( $0.02 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$, from -6 to -2 min ), and then ramped up to $\sim 9$-fold faster $(0.18 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~m} /$ min , from -2 to +4 min , Figure S 1 B ). The slow and fast phases contributed $9.6 \%$ and $90.4 \%$ of the ring constriction (i.e., $0.10 \pm 0.07$ and $0.92 \pm 0.11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter), respectively (Figure S1B). Strikingly, the fast phase began after the completion of HDR transition, which supports the idea that septin clearance between the AMR and the plasma membrane (PM) during the HDR transition is required for AMR constriction (Chen, et al., 2020; Tamborrini, et al., 2018).

The yeast IQGAP Iqg1 is required for actin ring assembly (Lippincott and Li, 1998; Epp and Chant, 1997), Myo1 maintenance at the division site during cytokinesis (Fang, et al., 2010), and PS formation (Ko, et al., 2007; Korinek, et al., 2000). The accumulation of Iqg1-GFP at the bud neck began during G2/M ( -40 min ), rapidly increased during anaphase (from -15 min ), peaked during AMR constriction ( -2 min ), and then disappeared quickly at the end of AMR constriction (Figures 1D and 1E). This pattern of localization is consistent with previous immunostaining results (Lippincott and Li, 1998; Epp and Chant, 1997). Because Mlc1, the essential light chain for Myo1 (Luo, et al., 2004), also binds to the IQ motifs of Myo2 (a myosin-V in yeast) and lqg1 (Boyne, et al., 2000; Shannon and Li, 2000; Stevens and Davis, 1998) and is required for the localization of Iqg1 to the bud neck (Boyne, et al., 2000; Shannon and Li, 2000), we also analyzed its accumulation kinetics at the bud neck. Mlc1 was previously shown to slightly precede lag1 in localization to the bud neck by immunostaining (Boyne, et al., 2000; Shannon and Li, 2000). However, in our study, the accumulation of GFP-Mlc1 at the bud neck started during anaphase ( -20 min ), later than expected. Other parameters (the peak and disappearance) were similar to those of Iqg1 (Figures 1 D and 1 E ). The delay in its initial recruitment could be due to (1) its initial localization being too faint and/or transient to be detected in live cells and/or (2) its localization being out-competed by the endogenous Mlc1, as the strain carried both GFP-MLC1 and MLC1 copies (Feng, et al., 2015).

Strikingly, the peaks of both Iqg1-GFP and GFP-MIc1 coincided with the onset of the fast-phase Myo1-GFP constriction ( -2 min ). We hypothesized that both proteins could trigger the fast phase by promoting the assembly of the cytokinetic machinery, including the AMR and perhaps targeted vesicle fusion (see the section below). To examine this possibility further, we constructed GFP-Tpm1, a GFP-tagged yeast tropomyosin expressed by the HIS3 promoter, to monitor the actin filaments at the division site. Tropomyosinstabilized actin filaments are known to form the actin ring to mediate AMR constriction (Tolliday, et al., 2002), as well as the actin cables to guide exocytosis toward the division site during cytokinesis (Evangelista, et al., 2002; Sagot, et al., 2002). In support of our hypothesis, we found that GFP-Tpm1 began to localize to the division site as lqg1 reached its peak ( -3 min, Figures 1D and 1E). GFP-Tpm1 signal lingered after constriction (up to +12 min ), which presumably reflects the actin cable-mediated exocytosis toward the division site.

The vesicle transport and exo-endocytosis module: sequential assembly of the transport machine and spatiotemporal coupling of exo-endocytosis at the division site
The function of this module is to transport the post-Golgi vesicles to the division site for exocytosis to increase surface area and to deliver "enzymatic cargoes" (chitin synthases, glucan synthases, endochitinase, and glucanases) for localized ECM remodeling, i.e., cell wall synthesis and breakdown, at the division site (Bi and Park, 2012; Weiss, 2012). This module also includes endocytosis, which removes the enzymatic cargoes from the division site in a timely manner to prevent premature AMR constriction and spindle breakage (Chin, et al., 2016). The representatives of this module include the formins Bni1 and Bnr1 (Evangelista, et al., 1997; Imamura, et al., 1997), the type-V myosin Myo2 (Govindan, et al., 1995; Johnston, et al., 1991), the exocyst subunit Exo84 (Guo, et al., 1999), and the endocytic protein Abp1 (Holtzman, et al., 1993). Formins nucleate actin filaments that serve as the tracks for myosin-V-driven vesicle transport (Evangelista, et al., 2002; Sagot, et al., 2002). Two yeast formins (Bnr1 and Bni1) are known to switch their localization at the division site before cytokinesis (Orii, et al., 2016; Bloom, et al., 2011). The "early" formin (Bnr1-GFP) began to decrease at the division site during anaphase ( -25 min ) and was entirely removed during AMR constriction ( -2 min , Figures 2A and 2B). In contrast, the "late" formin (Bni1-GFP) arrived at the division site slightly before the onset of AMR constriction ( -8 min, Figures 2 A and 2 B ). Strikingly, the arrival of Bni1-GFP at the division site was closely followed by Myo2-GFP and Exo84-GFP in succession (Figures 2 A and 2 B ), which presumably reflects their order in the assembly and function of the transport module. The endocytic marker Abp1-3GFP appeared to sandwich the exocytic marker Exo84-GFP, and their kinetics of accumulation at the division site were remarkably similar (Figures 2A and 2B). This is consistent with the idea of spatiotemporal coupling of exo-endocytosis as seen during polarity establishment in budding yeast (Jose, et al., 2013) as well as in diverse processes across model systems (Grebnev, et al., 2017; Johansen, et al., 2016; Gundelfinger, et al., 2003; Battey, et al., 1999).

## The primary septum module: the actomyosin ring-associated activation of Chs2 for primary septum formation likely drives the fast-phase constriction

In budding yeast, the head of the myosin-II heavy chain Myo1, including its motor domain and light chainbinding sites, is responsible for only $\sim 20 \%-30 \%$ of the rate of AMR constriction, and the rest is attributed to


Figure 2. The kinetics of proteins involved in vesicle transport, PS formation, and SS formation (A, C, and E) Images of GFP-tagged proteins involved in (A) vesicle transport, (C) PS formation, and (E) SS formation. Montages of cells were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline. Strains used are as follows: (A) YEF9200 (BNR1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8535 (BNI1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8428 (MYO2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8432 (EXO84-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF9198 (ABP1-3GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), (C) YEF8627 (HOF1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8628 (INN1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9197 (CYK3-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF9611 (CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and (E) YEF9189 (GFP-CHS4 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9612 (CHS3-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF8435 (GFP-FKS1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2mApple). All strains were cultured in SC medium. Scale bars, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
( $B, D$, and F) Kinetics of the indicated GFP-tagged proteins in (A, C, and E), respectively. Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively. See also Figure S2.

PS formation, which follows the AMR and drives furrow ingression (Fang, et al., 2010; Lord, et al., 2005). The PS module includes the F-BAR protein Hof1 (Moravcevic, et al., 2015; Nishihama, et al., 2009), the C2domain protein Inn1 (Nishihama, et al., 2009; Sanchez-Diaz, et al., 2008), the transglutaminase-like domain-containing protein Cyk3 (Nishihama, et al., 2009; Korinek, et al., 2000), and the chitin synthase-II Chs2 (an enzymatic cargo) (Chuang and Schekman, 1996; Sburlati and Cabib, 1986) (Figures 2C and 2D). Once the Chs2 cargo is delivered to the division site, Hof1, Inn1, and Cyk3 interact with each other to maintain and activate Chs2 at the division site for PS formation (Wang, et al., 2018; Foltman, et al., 2016; Nishihama, et al., 2009). Hof1-GFP displayed dramatic three-peak kinetics (at $-5,-1$, and +6 min, Figures 2D and S2A, green arrowheads). The first peak was nearly concurrent with the onset of the HDR transition, suggesting its association with the remodeling of septin hourglass (Figures S2A and S2B) (Meitinger, et al., 2011). The second peak, similar to that of its binding partner Iqg1 (Naylor and Morgan, 2014; Tian, et al., 2014), occurred at the onset of the fast-phase AMR constriction. This peak coincided with the acute recruitment of Chs2-GFP, Inn1-GFP, and Cyk3-GFP to the AMR (Figures 2C and 2D). The transition of Hof1 from its first peak to its second peak is consistent with its cell cycle-triggered shift from the septin hourglass to the AMR (Meitinger, et al., 2011). Together, these data suggest that the AMR-associated activation of Chs 2 for PS formation (via the lqg1-Hof1-Inn1-Cyk3-Chs2 complex) might drive the fast-phase AMR constriction.

The third peak appeared to control the timely SS formation, as reported previously (Oh, et al., 2017). Thus, each discrete peak represents a distinct regulation and/or function of Hof1. Both Inn1 and Cyk3 are required for the activation of Chs2 (Devrekanli, et al., 2012; Nishihama, et al., 2009). Not surprisingly, they arrived at the division site at almost the same time as Chs2-GFP (Figures 2C and 2D). The kinetics of Inn1-GFP were nearly identical to those of Chs2-GFP, whereas the rates of the rise and fall of Cyk3GFP were attenuated (Figures 2C and 2D). The slower rise of Cyk3-GFP is consistent with the observation that Hof1-Inn1 complex was readily detectable before AMR constriction, whereas Hof1-Cyk3 complex was detected only during cytokinesis (Wang, et al., 2018; Nishihama, et al., 2009). This also supports the hypothesis that the arrival of Cyk3 uncages the inhibitory effect of Chs 2 by the C terminus of $\operatorname{Inn} 1$ at the division site (Foltman, et al., 2016). The fluctuating plateau ( +2 to +8 min ) followed by the slower fall of Cyk3-GFP is consistent with a role in SS formation (Onishi, et al., 2013). Together, these data suggest that the localization and activation of Chs2 at the division site for PS formation are temporally controlled with precision and are spatially coupled to the AMR to drive its fast-phase constriction and furrow ingression.

## The secondary septum module: Hof1 and Cyk3-based inhibitory mechanisms likely ensure the order of primary and secondary septum formation

At or near the end of PS formation, the SS that sandwiches the PS is synthesized by the chitin synthase-III (the catalytic subunit Chs3 and its activator Skt5/Chs4, hereafter Chs4) and the catalytic subunit of $\beta-1,3-$ glucan synthase Fks1 (Oh, et al., 2017; Onishi, et al., 2013; Cabib, et al., 2001). As reported previously (Oh, et al., 2017), GFP-Chs4 preceded the arrival of Chs3-GFP at the division site, but both reached their peaks simultaneously (Figures 2E and 2F). This peak ( $\sim+4$ min, orange and blue arrowheads) was slightly after that of PS formation ( +2 min , Figures 2C and 2D), in agreement with their order of formation. Hof1 competitively inhibits the binding of Chs4 to Chs3 to prevent precocious Chs3 activation during PS formation, and this inhibition is alleviated afterward to allow SS formation (Oh, et al., 2017). In line with this conclusion, Hof1-GFP suddenly dropped in intensity while Chs3-GFP and GFP-Chs4 were reaching their peaks (Figure 2F). Hof1 is also required for efficient removal of Chs4 after cytokinesis (Oh, et al., 2017). In line with this conclusion, the third peak of Hof1-GFP ( +6 min , arrowhead) coincided with the rapid decrease of Chs4 at the division site (Figure 2F). Cyk3 inhibits Rho1-mediated activation of Fks1 for glucan synthesis during PS formation (Onishi, et al., 2013). Apparently, this inhibitory mechanism is attenuated during SS formation, as indicated by the small drop and then flattened peak of Cyk3-GFP while GFP-Fks1 was surging toward its peak (Figure 2F). Thus, the Hof1 and Cyk3-mediated inhibitory mechanisms ensure the timely activation of Chs3 and Fks1 for SS formation. The similar kinetics of Chs3-GFP and GFP-Fks1 (Figure 2F) suggest that chitin and glucan are concomitantly deposited in the SS. The removal of Fks1 and Cyk3 was slower than that of Chs3, suggesting that Cyk3-paced, Fks1-catalyzed glucan synthesis lasts longer than chitin synthesis during SS formation. Taken together, these data suggest that the timing of SS formation is dictated by the localization and activation of their synthetic enzymes at the division site, and that the Hof1 and Cyk3-based inhibitory mechanisms likely ensure the order of PS and SS formation.

## The temporal coordination module: the MEN and RAM ensure the order of furrow ingression and cell separation

To analyze how the cytokinetic events such as the AMR, PS and SS formation, and cell separation are temporally coordinated, we examined the mitotic exit network (MEN) and the regulation of Ace2 and morphogenesis (RAM) signaling pathways (Figure 3A) (Ma, et al., 2019; Weiss, 2012). The MEN controls mitotic exit by inactivating cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) activity (Stegmeier and Amon, 2004) and controls cytokinesis by regulating the septin HDR transition, AMR constriction, and PS formation (Figure 3A) (Meitinger, et al., 2012; Oh, et al., 2012; Weiss, 2012). We performed time-lapse analysis of two MEN components, the upstream Mob1-mNeonGreen (mNG, the activator of the LATS-like kinases Dbf2 and Dbf20) and the downstream Cdc14-GFP (phosphatase) (Meitinger, et al., 2012; Weiss, 2012). In support of their roles in cytokinesis, the recruitment of the MEN components to the division site ( -10 to -8 min ) slightly preceded the onset of HDR transition and AMR constriction ( -6 min ), and both proteins reached their peaks during the fast-phase constriction ( 0 min , Figures 3 B and 3 C ). Mob1-mNG disappeared more quickly than Cdc14-GFP, suggesting a more sustained functional requirement for Cdc 14 at the division site.

The RAM controls cell morphogenesis and cell separation, the final step of cytokinesis (Figure 3A) (Weiss, 2012; Nelson, et al., 2003; Bidlingmaier, et al., 2001; Colman-Lerner, et al., 2001). The activation of RAM during cytokinesis was manifested by the upstream bud-neck localization of Mob2-3GFP (the activator of the Ndr-like kinase Cbk1) and the downstream daughter cell-specific nuclear localization of


Figure 3. The kinetics of proteins involved in temporal coordination of cytokinetic events
(A) Diagram of the signaling networks MEN and RAM in S. cerevisiae. The MEN controls mitotic exit and cytokinesis, whereas the RAM controls cell separation. See text for details.
(B) Images of GFP-tagged proteins involved in the temporal control of cytokinesis and cell separation. Montages of cells were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline. Strains used are as follows: YEF8533 (MOB1-mNG mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9819 (CDC14-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8427 (MOB2-3GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9276 (ACE2-3GFP mRuby2TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF9186 (CTS1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). All strains were cultured in SC medium except for YEF9186, which was cultured in neutralized SC medium. Scale bars, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(C) Kinetics of the indicated GFP-tagged proteins in (B). The intensity of Ace2-3GFP signal in the nucleus was measured. Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively. The timing of cell separation was scored from bright-field (BF) images.

Ace2-3GFP (transcription factor, hence intensity was measured from the nucleus, Figure 3A) (Weiss, 2012; Nelson, et al., 2003; Bidlingmaier, et al., 2001; Colman-Lerner, et al., 2001). Both proteins arrived at their respective cellular locations at the same time as the MEN components but reached their peaks at later time points (Figures 3B and 3C). Similar to the MEN components, Ace2-3GFP disappeared from its functional location later than Mob2-3GFP. This is consistent with their order of actions and suggests a sustained requirement for Ace2 function. Importantly, Ace2-3GFP, which drives the expression of the endochitinase (Cts1-GFP) that degrades the PS during cell separation, reached its peak ( +5 min ) coincident with the initial recruitment of Cts 1 to the division site. Cts1 accumulated there progressively until its peak ( +25 min), which was followed by cell separation (+38 $\pm 6.6 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

## Kinetic analysis of molecular response to actin filament disruption reveals mechanistic insights into the interplays between cytokinetic modules

The kinetic analyses described above indicate that different modules are coordinately regulated to ensure a functional order during cytokinesis under normal growth conditions. However, it remains poorly understood how different modules respond to chemical or genetic perturbations at the system level. To address this question, we first examined the modular response to filamentous actin ( $F$-actin) disruption by latrunculin A (LatA) (Ayscough, et al., 1997). To ensure the disruption of F-actin in all the cells analyzed, we mixed Abp1-3GFP cells with our test cells before time-lapse analysis. Most of the actin patches were quickly disassembled ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) after the addition of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ LatA (Figure S3A), and all the kinetics were acquired after this time point. The fact that all the Mlc2-mApple kinetics from our test strains aligned so tightly ensures a fair comparison (Figure S3B). We first analyzed the behaviors of the AMR components, Myo1-GFP, Iqg1GFP, and GFP-Mlc1, in the absence of F-actin. All three proteins failed to constrict, as previously observed for Myo1 (Bi, et al., 1998), and all disassembled with a linear kinetic profile (Figures 4A-4C). However, Myo1GFP did not shift its peak and only exhibited a mild reduction at the division site when compared with the


Figure 4. Effects of disrupting actin filaments on the kinetics of proteins involved in AMR assembly and septum formation (A-G) The impact of disrupting actin filaments on AMR constriction and septum formation. Effects of actin filament disruption by LatA (200 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) on $A M R$ constriction, exocytosis, and septum formation were determined by imaging a mixed culture of two strains YEF9198 and (A) YEF9609, (B) YEF9610, (C) YEF8626, (D) YEF8428, (E) YEF9611, (F) YEF9612, and (G) YEF8435. Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands, which represent mean

## Figure 4. Continued

and SD values, respectively. Montages of division sites were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1.5 -min interval. See also Figure S3. Scale bars, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(H) Montages of representative cells at the indicated cell cycle stages were created from selected frames of the time-lapse series acquired in (G). Scale bars, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
(I) Symmetry of Chs2 ring constriction was scored from the time-lapse data acquired in (E).
(J) Summary of the kinetics of indicated cytokinetic proteins in the presence of DMSO or LatA. All kinetics obtained in (A-G) were superimposed in the two separate plots marked as DMSO or LatA.

DMSO control ( $91 \%$ of the control value at the peak, Table S3). In contrast, both Iqg1-GFP and GFP-Mlc1 left-shifted their peaks toward Myo1-GFP by 3 min and displayed a significant reduction at the division site ( $84 \%$ and $66 \%$ of their control values at the peaks for Iqg1-GFP and GFP-Mlc1, respectively, Table S3). These data indicate that the surge of Iqg1-GFP and GFP-MIc1 after the Myo1-GFP peak, which correlates with the switch of Myo1-GFP constriction from the slow-phase to fast-phase (Figure 1E), depends on actin filaments. Consistent with our previous observation (Feng, et al., 2015), the localization of GFP-Mlc1 at the division site showed the strongest dependency on F-actin during cytokinesis. This is understandable, as Mlc1 binds not only to Myo1 and lag1 but also to Myo2 and secretory vesicles whose targeting to the bud neck was severely compromised by F-actin disruption (Figure 4D). Collectively, these data indicate that LatA treatment reduces the accumulation of these cytokinetic proteins at the division site to various degrees, left-shifts the peaks of Iqg1-GFP and GFP-Mlc1 toward that of Myo1-GFP, and causes them to disassemble with similar kinetics.

Next, we examined the kinetics of vesicle transport and cargo proteins in the presence of LatA. Strikingly, Myo2-GFP (myosin-V) displayed a dramatic reduction in both the rate and the magnitude of accumulation at the division site ( $12 \%$ and $53 \%$ of their control values, respectively), with its peak right-shifted by 16.5 min (Figure 4D and Table S3). A small yet significant fraction of Myo2-GFP displayed F-actin-independent localization at the division site, suggesting that Myo2 can be captured from the cytoplasm and retained at the division site by some cytokinetic proteins. Both Chs2-GFP and Chs3-GFP accumulated at the division site with much slower rates ( $37 \%$ and $29 \%$ of their control rates, respectively) and substantially reduced peak levels ( $73 \%$ and $65 \%$ of their control values, respectively), with their peaks also right-shifted by 15 and 19.5 min , respectively (Figures 4 E and $4 F$, and Table S3). In contrast, virtually no GFP-Fks1 was accumulated at the division site (Figure 4G). This difference could be explained by their distinct trafficking routes. Chs2 and Chs3 are transported from the ER-Golgi system and chitosomes, respectively, to the PM (Ziman, et al., 1998; Chuang and Schekman, 1996). In contrast, GFP-Fks1 appeared to require endocytosis-mediated recycling from the bud membrane to the bud-neck membrane (Figure 4H), which suggests that there is no de novo synthesis of Fks1 during cytokinesis. LatA abolishes actin patch-mediated internalization of cargoes during endocytosis (Chin, et al., 2016; Ayscough and Drubin, 1998). As a result, GFP-Fks1 lingered at the bud membrane (Figure 4H) and Chs2-GFP and Chs3-GFP delayed their endocytic removal from the division site (Figures 4E and 4F). Collectively, these data indicate that LatA treatment significantly reduces the levels of the cargo enzymes at the division site and right-shifts their peaks away from that of Myo1.

The AMR is known to guide PS formation, and a compromised AMR structure leads to misoriented PS formation (Fang, et al., 2010; Schmidt, et al., 2002; Vallen, et al., 2000). In agreement with this, in LatA-treated cells, Chs2-GFP constricted asymmetrically (Figures 4E, 4I, and S3C). Simultaneous imaging of Chs2-GFP and chitin deposition (calcofluor white [CW]) in chs $1 \Delta$ chs $3 \Delta$ cells (in which Chs 2 is the only remaining chitin synthase) in the presence of LatA showed that Chs2-GFP movement (green arrowheads) was strictly followed by chitin deposition (blue arrowheads, Figure S3C, 22 of 23 cells showed such a phenotype). This result demonstrates that the activation of Chs2 for PS formation does not require F-actin or AMR constriction, and also provides the visual evidence that chitin deposition is the driving force for furrow ingression in the absence of AMR constriction.

In summary, despite the specific and meaningful differences between individual proteins, the major impact of LatA treatment is to shift the peaks of the AMR components to the left slightly and shift the peaks of the synthetic cargo enzymes to the right significantly (Figure 4J). This increased temporal separation between the peaks of the AMR and PS formation components explains why Myo1 disassembles without constriction in LatA-treated cells (Bi, et al., 1998), as Myo1 disassembly is not followed by concomitant PS formation. This observation also suggests that Myo1 disassembly per se is not sufficient to trigger furrow ingression. Collectively, these data indicate that the localizations of the AMR components are much less dependent on


Figure 5. Functional analysis of Cyk3 and its TGc domain in fast-phase constriction and septum formation
(A) Effects of loss of Cyk3 on the AMR behavior and septum formation were determined by imaging of WT and cyk34 strains: YEF9609, YEF9729 (cyk3 MYO1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9611, YEF9732 (cyk3D CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF9612, YEF9733 (cyk3D CHS3-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF8435, and YEF9242 (cyk3 GFP-FKS1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. Montages of division sites were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1 -min interval. See also Figure $S 4$. Scale bars, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(B) Diameter of the Myo1 ring in WT and cyk3 cells during constriction. Data were acquired from the cells imaged in (A, left most images). Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively.
(C) Effects of reduced Chs2 activity on septum formation (Chs2-GFP) and AMR constriction (Mlc2-mApple) were determined by imaging of WT, cyk3 3 , and chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797Q }}$ strains: YEF10098 (CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF10153 (cyk3D CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF10101 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797O_GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, }}$ respectively. See also Figure S4.

## Figure 5. Continued

(D) Effects of overexpression of CYK3 on septum formation (Chs2-GFP) and AMR constriction (Mlc2-mApple) were determined by imaging of chs2 ${ }^{N 797 O}$ strains carrying either CYK3 high-copy plasmid or empty vector: YEF10128 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797Q_GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple [2 } \mu, \text { TRP1, CYK3]) and YEF10129 }}$
 plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively.
(E) The impact of the TGc domain of Cyk3 on PS formation (chitin stained by CW) was determined by imaging of chs $1 \Delta$ chs $3 \Delta$ strains carrying a high-copy plasmid containing CYK3 or cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGct }}$ or the empty vector alone: YEF10369 (chs14 chs34 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple [2 $\mu$, TRP1, CYK3]), YEF10370 (chs1 $1 \Delta$ chs34 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple [2 $\mu$, TRP1, cyk3 $\left.{ }^{\text {TGct }}\right]$ ), and YEF10371 (chs1 $\Delta$ chs34 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple [ $2 \mu$, TRP1]). Chitin deposition at PS was visualized by CW $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. $\mathrm{n}>80$ of division sites were scored. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.01$ by Student's $t$ test. See also Figure S4.
(F) Effects of deletion of the TGc domain in Cyk3 on septum formation (Chs2-GFP) and AMR constriction (Mlc2-mApple) were determined by imaging of cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$ strains: YEF9833 (cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcA }}$ CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. The reference plots of CHS2-GFP in WT and cyk3 $3 \Delta$ were modified from (C). Montages of division sites were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval of WT (YEF10098) and cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGc4 }}$ (YEF9833). Scale bars, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(G) Effect of deletion of the TGc domain on Cyk3 localization was determined by imaging of WT Cyk3-GFP and Cyk3 TGca -GFP strains: YEF9197 and YEF9847 (cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGca }}$-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. The reference plots of Myo1-GFP, Chs2-GFP, and GFP-Fks1 in WT were modified from Figures 1E, 2D, and 2F, respectively. Montages of division sites were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval. Scale bars, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
actin filaments than those of the cargo enzymes, and that actin filaments are required for the coordinated functions of the AMR, vesicle transport, and septum formation.

## Genetic perturbation coupled with kinetic analysis defines the dual role of Cyk3 in the activation of PS formation and inhibition of SS formation

Cyk3 is thought to promote PS formation and inhibit precocious SS formation during AMR constriction (Foltman, et al., 2016; Onishi, et al., 2013; Oh, et al., 2012; Meitinger, et al., 2010; Nishihama, et al., 2009; Korinek, et al., 2000). To determine whether and how Cyk3 affects different modules at the system level and to gain additional insights into the mechanism of Cyk3 function, we analyzed the accumulation kinetics of the modular representatives (Myo1-GFP for AMR, Chs2-GFP for PS, and Chs3-GFP and GFPFks1 for SS) in cyk3 cells. We found that deletion of CYK3 caused various degrees of increased accumulation and delayed removal of all four representatives (Figure 5A), uncovering a role for Cyk3 in AMR constriction and corroborating its roles in PS and SS formation (Foltman, et al., 2016; Meitinger, et al., 2013; Onishi, et al., 2013; Oh, et al., 2012; Meitinger, et al., 2010; Nishihama, et al., 2009; Korinek, et al., 2000). Strikingly, the deletion of CYK3 impacted only the fast-phase Myo1 constriction, wherein the rate was reduced by $\sim 40 \%$ (Figure 5B). Similar to Myo1-GFP, the accumulation of Chs2-GFP was largely unaffected, but its removal was delayed (Figure 5A). As Cyk3 is a putative activator of Chs 2 in vivo, and chitin deposition by Chs2 is the major force of ingression (Foltman, et al., 2016; Oh, et al., 2012; Meitinger, et al., 2010; Nishihama, et al., 2009), it is possible that this delay is caused by decreased Chs2 activity in cyk3 3 cells. To test this possibility, we examined the impact of several hypomorphic mutations in CHS2 (I750A, S751A , and N797Q) on its accumulation kinetics. Chs2 $2^{1750 \mathrm{~A}}$, Chs2 $2^{5751 \mathrm{~A}}$, and Chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797O }}$ reduce the Chs2 activity to $\sim 75 \%, \sim 45 \%$, and $13.4 \%$ of its WT level in vitro, respectively (Yabe, et al., 1998). Surprisingly, only Chs2 ${ }^{\text {N7970_GFP }}$ displayed the slower removal and the failure to trigger the fast-phase AMR constriction (Figures 5C and S4C). Thus, Cyk3 could regulate Chs2 removal and the fast-phase AMR constriction by acting as a major activator of Chs2.

To determine how Cyk3 might activate Chs2, we focused on the transglutaminase core (TGc) domain of Cyk3 (Makarova, et al., 1999). The point mutations at the putative catalytic triad in the TGc domain abolish the ability of Cyk3 to stimulate Chs2 activity (Foltman, et al., 2016). To confirm and extend this observation, we overexpressed either CYK3 or cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$, in which the TGc domain (amino acid [aa] 519-580) is deleted, by a multi-copy plasmid in the chs $1 \Delta \operatorname{chs} 3 \Delta$ strain wherein Chs2 is the sole chitin synthase and the endogenous CYK3 is present (Oh, et al., 2012). We found that multi-copy CYK3, but not cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$ or the vector control, increased the Chs2-mediated chitin synthesis at the division site (Figure 5E), and also largely rescued the phenotypes of the chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797O }}$-GFP cells (Figures 5D and S4D), suggesting that the TGc domain of Cyk3 is critical for its role in Chs2 activation. When the endogenous CYK3 was replaced with cyk $3^{\top G c \Delta}$, defects in Chs2-GFP removal and the fast-phase AMR constriction were observed, although they were milder than those seen in cyk3s cells (Figure 5F), suggesting that the Cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$ still has some residual activity toward Chs2. Given that a tremendous reduction in Chs2 activity is required to produce any detectable phenotype (Figure S4C), Chs2 activation might be seriously compromised in cyk $3^{T G c \Delta}$ cells. Remarkably, when expressed at the endogenous level, Cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs_GFP was able to localize and constrict during PS }}$ formation but disappeared thereafter (Figure 5G). Thus, the TGc domain is essential for Cyk3 localization at
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Figure 6. Prediction and validation of Kre6 functioning as a $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthase to promote cell wall maturation during cell growth and division
(A) Diagram of Kre6. As a type-II membrane protein, Kre6 has an N-terminal cytosolic domain (orange line) and a C-terminal extracellular domain (blue line). The putative catalytic triad of the ExDxxE motif was located in the extracellular GH16 domain (blue box). See also Figure S5.
(B) Images of GFP-Kre6 and Crh1-GFP during cytokinesis. Montages of cells were created from selected frames of timelapse series taken with a 1-min interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline. Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. Strains used are as follows: YEF8625 (GFPKRE6 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple) and YEF9863 (CRH1-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). The reference plots of Myo1-GFP, Chs2-GFP, and GFP-Fks1 in WT were modified from Figures 1E, 2D, and 2F, respectively. All strains were cultured in SC medium except for YEF9863, which was cultured in neutralized SC medium. Scale bars, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. See also Table S5.
(C) Mutations in the ExDxxE motif (QNQ-mutation) disrupt the functionality of Kre6. Growth of cells carrying LEU2plasmid of WT KRE6 (pRS315-GFP-KRE6), empty vector (pRS315), and kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ (pRS315-GFP-kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ ) are tested on plates containing the medium SC-LEU-URA or SC-LEU+5-FOA to selecting for or against the cover plasmid (pRS316KRE6), respectively. Strains used are as follows: YEF10141 (kre64 skn14 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, GFP-KRE6]), YEF10143 (kre64 skn14 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2]), and YEF10142 (kre64 skn14 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, GFP-kre $6^{\mathrm{QNO}}$ ]). Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days. See also Figure S 5 .
(D-F) The kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{ONQ}}$ allele causes cell wall defects. (D) The sensitivity of WT , kre6 $\Delta$, and $k r e 6^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ cells to CW was tested on YPD plates containing CW. Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 days. (E) The chitin deposition in the cell wall of WT, kre6 , and $k r e 6^{\mathrm{QNO}}$ cells. Cells cultured to exponentially phase in SC medium at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were resuspended in SC medium containing CW $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ to stain the cell wall chitin. (F) The K1 killer toxin sensitivity of WT , kre6 $\Delta$, and $k r e 6^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ was tested by measuring the growth inhibition to the toxin-producing strain. Growth of testing yeast lawn was recorded after 3 days of incubation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Strains used are as follows: YEF10248 (WT KRE6), YEF10249 (kre6D), YEF10250 (kre6 ${ }^{\text {QNO }}$ ), and NCYC232 (toxin-producing strain). See also Figure S5.
(G) Images of GFP-tagged Kreb and Kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ during the cell cycle. Both GFP-Kre6 and GFP-Kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ were accumulated at the bud cortex in G2/M phase (white arrowheads). They were internalized as puncta (yellow arrowheads) at the onset of

Figure 6. Continued
cytokinesis and then localized to the bud neck (red arrowheads). In the new cell cycle, Kre6 was re-localized to the bud cortex (cyan arrowheads). Strains used are as follows: YEF8625 (GFP-KRE6 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple) and YEF10337 (GFP-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {ONO }}$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Montages of cells were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a $1.5-\mathrm{min}$ interval. The gray dotted line represents the cell outline.
the division site only during SS formation. More importantly, this result suggests that the defects in Chs2 activation and AMR constriction in cyk $3^{T G c \Delta}$ cells are caused by a defect in Cyk3 activity, not its localization. Taken together, these data indicate that Cyk3 activates Chs2 via its TGc domain to drive PS formation, which, in turn, drives the switch of the AMR from its slow-phase to fast-phase constriction.

Cyk3 is also thought to prevent precocious SS formation during AMR constriction and PS formation by binding to Rho1 via its TGc domain and inhibiting Rho1 activation (Onishi, et al., 2013). Rho1-GTP directly activates Fks1 (Drgonova, et al., 1996; Qadota, et al., 1996) and also increases the expression of Fks1 and Chs3 via the cell-wall-integrity (i.e., an MAPK) pathway to control the synthesis of $\beta-1,3$-glucan and chitin in response to cell wall stress (Jung and Levin, 1999). Consistent with these observations, the accumulation of both GFP-Fks1 and Chs3-GFP was significantly increased in cyk3 cells (Figure 5A). Strikingly and surprisingly, Cyk3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$ _GFP specifically lost its localization during SS formation (Figure 5G), suggesting that the binding of Cyk3 to Rho1 is essential for its localization during SS formation. To evaluate the influence of premature Rho1 activity on other aspects of cytokinesis, we analyzed cells lacking Lrg1, a GAP for Rho1 that specifically acts in the Rho1-Fks1 pathway (Jonasson, et al., 2016). Loss of Lrg1 enhances Rho1 activity and causes premature SS formation (Onishi, et al., 2013; Watanabe, et al., 2001). As expected, the accumulation of both Chs3-GFP and GFP-Fks1 was increased in Irg1 Mlc2-mApple were unaffected (Figures S4A and S4B), suggesting that premature Rho1 activation specifically affects SS formation. Together, these data indicate that Cyk3 inhibits the Fks1 and Chs3 accumulation at the division site not only during PS formation, as demonstrated previously (Onishi, et al., 2013), but also during SS formation presumably by binding to Rho1 via its TGc domain.

In conclusion, the combined kinetic and genetic analyses demonstrate that Cyk3 plays a dual role in cytokinesis by stimulating Chs2-mediated PS formation and inhibiting Fks1- and Chs3-mediated SS formation via its TGc domain. Cyk3-activated PS formation drives the fast-phase AMR constriction, whereas temporally controlled attenuation of the Cyk3-mediated inhibition of Rho1 presumably determines the timing of SS formation.

Kre6 likely catalyzes $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthesis and functions in cell wall maturation between SS formation and cell separation as predicted by its kinetic signature
Proteins functioning in the same module appear to share the same "peak time," which we designate the "kinetic signature." For example, proteins involved in PS formation, such as Chs2-GFP and Inn1-GFP, share a kinetic signature ( +2 min , Figure 2D), which is different from the one shared by proteins involved in SS formation, such as Chs3-GFP, GFP-Chs4, and GFP-Fks1 (+5 min, Figure 2F). Correlation analysis of the kinetics defined the similarity between modular components (Table S4). For example, Chs2-GFP showed the strongest similarity ( $1^{\text {st }}, r=0.95$ ) to Inn1-GFP, whereas Chs3-GFP, GFP-Chs4, and GFP-Fks1 showed weaker similarity $\left(7^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}\right.$, and $12^{\text {th }} ; r=0.66,0.74$, and 0.47 , respectively). This signature, coupled with genetic analysis, should be able to predict not only the functional time but also the mechanism of an uncharacterized or under-studied protein in cytokinesis.

Genetic evidence indicates that Kre6, a type-II membrane protein (Figure 6A), is involved in the synthesis of $\beta-1,6$-glucan (Roemer, et al., 1993; Roemer and Bussey, 1991), an essential component of the fungal cell walls, but when, where, and how it functions remains poorly understood. We hypothesize that Kre6 is involved in cytokinesis (separation of cytoplasm between mother and daughter cells) or cell separation (detachment between mother and daughter cells) for the following reasons: (1) kre6 cells show a multiple bud phenotype, indicative of a defect in cytokinesis or cell separation (Dijkgraaf, et al., 2002), and (2) Sbg1, the Kre6 ortholog in fission yeast, is involved in cytokinesis by coupling AMR constriction to PS formation (Davidson, et al., 2016; Sethi, et al., 2016). KRE6 has a paralog, SKN1, in budding yeast, but we focused our analysis on KRE6 due to its clear involvement in $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis (Roemer, et al., 1993). We constructed GFP-Kre6 and confirmed that this allele was functional, as it suppressed the lethality of kre6 $\Delta$ skn1s (Figures S5A and S5B). Time-lapse analysis indicated that GFP-Kre6 localized to the bud cortex, which is consistent with the previous immunostaining result (Kurita, et al., 2012). GFP-Kre6 also showed
bud neck localization, which was not reported previously (Figure 6B). Strikingly, GFP-Kre6 peaked between SS formation and PS degradation, which is unique among all the proteins examined thus far (Figure 6B). Because Kre6 is involved in $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis (Roemer, et al., 1993) and the transglycosylase Crh1 attaches chitin to both $\beta-1,6$-glucan and $\beta-1,3$-glucan (Cabib, 2009), we also examined the kinetics of Crh1GFP (GFP inserted after residue 56 in Crh1, Rodriguez-Pena, et al., 2000). Remarkably, Crh1-GFP peaked significantly after Chs3-GFP and GFP-Fks1 and slightly after GFP-Kre6, which is perfectly consistent with its role in cross-linking the products of these synthetic enzymes (Figure 6B). Correlation analysis of the kinetics between GFP-Kre6 and other proteins revealed that Kre6 and Crh1 showed the strongest similarity among proteins analyzed ( $1^{\text {st }}, r=0.96$, Table S5). Together, these data suggest that Kre6 functions at the division site between SS formation and cell separation and is unlikely to be involved in the coupling of the AMR to PS formation as suggested for its counterpart in fission yeast (Davidson, et al., 2016; Sethi, et al., 2016).

To test whether Kre6 is involved in cytokinesis, we screened for temperature-sensitive mutations in KRE6 by random mutagenesis in a skn1s background and identified two alleles (kre6 ${ }^{\text {N461S }}$ skn1 $1 \Delta$ and kre6 ${ }^{\text {E582G }}$ skn14). Both mutants showed temperature sensitivity for growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on plate or in liquid medium (Figures S5C and S5D). Both mutants displayed some cell clumps or clusters at the non-permissive temperature, indicative of a defect in cytokinesis and/or cell separation. However, upon mild sonication (to reduce clumps for imaging), neither mutant showed a multiple bud phenotype, suggesting no apparent defect in cytokinesis (Figures S5E and S5F), although it remains possible that a weak defect in cell separation in the mutant cells was abolished by sonication. Both mutants exhibited the stereotypical phenotypes for cells with defective cell walls, including broad-neck morphology, sensitivity to CW, enhanced chitin deposition into the cell wall, and lethality suppression by the osmotic support of 1 M sorbitol (Figures S5E and S5GS5I) (Kubo, et al., 2019; Levin, 2011; Ram and Klis, 2006). These data demonstrate that, in contrast to its fission yeast ortholog Sbg1, Kre6 is not involved in the AMR and PS-mediated membrane closure, and that its primary function is likely to be in the cell wall biogenesis or maturation. This difference in function might reflect the fact that Sbg1 is shorter than Kre6, containing only the N -terminal transmembrane domain of Kre6, but lacking the C-terminal glycosyl hydrolase family 16 (GH16) domain (Davidson, et al., 2016; Sethi, et al., 2016), which is likely involved in $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis (see below).

Kre6 has the hallmarks of glycoside hydrolases or transglycosidases (Montijn, et al., 1999) and is required for $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis (Roemer, et al., 1993), but whether it functions as the catalytic enzyme remains unclear. To address this question, we analyzed its domain function. Kre6 belongs to the GH16 family, which includes Crh1 that catalyzes chitin-glucan cross-linking and hence is considered a cell wall maturation enzyme (Cabib, 2009). GH16 proteins have a consensus motif (ExDxE or ExDxxE) for their catalytic activity (Blanco, et al., 2015). We found a similar motif in Kre6 (ExDxxE at aa 497-502). To examine its function, we mutated three residues to QxNxxQ (hereafter called $k r e 6^{\mathrm{QNQ}}$ ), and the $k r e 6^{\mathrm{ONQ}}$ allele failed to suppress the lethality of kre6 skn1 (Figure 6C), suggesting that these residues are essential for its function. The $k r e 6^{\mathrm{ONO}}$ strain exhibited defective cell wall phenotypes, such as increased sensitivity to CW and increased chitin deposition in the cell wall (Figures 6D and 6E). Because the deletion of $K R E 6$ leads to a reduction in the amount of $\beta-1,6$-glucan in the cell wall (Roemer, et al., 1993), we assessed $\beta-1,6$-glucan content by testing for sensitivity to the K1 killer toxin, as the toxin requires $\beta-1,6$-glucan for its incorporation and subsequent formation of lethal pores on the membrane (Roemer, et al., 1993). As expected, the kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNO}}$ and kre6 $\Delta$ strains were resistant to K1 killer toxin (Figure 6F), suggesting that the $\beta-1,6-g l u c a n$ content was reduced. This result demonstrates that the ExDxxE motif in Kre6 is essential for its function, likely by catalyzing $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthesis.

Kre6 is thought to participate in $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis at the Golgi (Shahinian and Bussey, 2000) or at the PM (Montijn, et al., 1999). To gain additional insight into this question, we performed time-lapse analysis on Kre6 localization during the cell cycle. We found that Kreb accumulated at the bud cortex (white arrowheads), was internalized into endosome-like puncta (yellow arrowheads) precisely at the time of spindle breakage, and was then re-localized to the bud neck (red arrowheads) (Figure 6G). After cytokinesis and cell separation, Kre6 was re-localized to the new bud cortex (cyan arrowheads) (Figure 6G). These data suggest that Kre6 is more likely to function at the PM, providing an additional support to one of the previous studies (Montijn, et al., 1999). We also found that GFP-Kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNO}}$ exhibited a similar pattern of localization to the WT protein (Figure 6G), suggesting that the catalytic residues are dispensable for proper localization but essential for $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis at the PM. Of note, the intensity of GFP-Kre6 ${ }^{\mathrm{ONO}}$ was higher than


Figure 7. The kinetic landscape of cytokinesis
As the cell cycle progresses from the onset of mitotic exit to cell separation, distinct functional modules accumulate at the division site in a strict temporal order to play their specific roles in cytokinesis. The kinetics were modified from Figures 1, 2,3 , and 6 . See text for details.
that of GFP-Kre6, which might be caused by increased expression of KRE6 as the result of a cell wall stress response and/or prolonged binding between an inactive enzyme and its substrate at its functional location.

In conclusion, our kinetic analysis makes and then validates the prediction that Kre6 functions temporally between SS formation and cell separation at the division site and is not involved in AMR-PS coupling as its counterpart does in fission yeast. Furthermore, our genetic analysis on the putative catalytic motif strongly suggests that Kre6 is the enzyme responsible for $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis at the sites of polarized cell growth to drive cell wall biogenesis and maturation.

## Discussion

Cytokinesis is a complex process involving the interplay of many conserved proteins, but the temporal and kinetic relationships between these proteins at the division site have not been comprehensively analyzed under the same experimental setting using the same cell cycle clock, with the exception of a temporal analysis of cytokinetic proteins in fission yeast (Wu, et al., 2003). In this study, we performed quantitative live-cell imaging to analyze the accumulation kinetics of more than 20 core cytokinetic proteins at the division site from five distinct modules to depict the kinetic landscape of cytokinesis at the system level (Figure 7). This imaging strategy, coupled with genetic or chemical perturbations, has revealed connections between different modules and provided mechanistic insights into the biphasic constriction of the AMR and the roles of F-actin and the transglutaminase-like protein Cyk3 in cytokinesis. Importantly, we found that the kinetic signature defined in this study can be used as a predictive parameter, coupled with functional analysis, to define the role of an uncharacterized protein, such as the type-II membrane protein Kre6, in cytokinesis.

## Kinetic analysis reveals the temporal order of cytokinetic modules and identifies the likely drivers for the switch from the slow- to fast-phase constriction

Kinetic analysis of all the representatives from different modules indicates that these modules function at the division site in a strict order (Figure 7). During anaphase, a component of the MEN, the temporal cue for cytokinesis, arrives at the bud neck ( -10 min ). A few minutes later, septins undergo the HDR transition that coincides with the onset of AMR constriction ( -6 min ). The constriction is initially slow, and then ramped up (from $\sim-2 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to complete cytokinesis. The switch from the slow- to fast-phase constriction coincides with the completion of the septin HDR, the initial recruitment of exo-endocytic components, and the peaking of the AMR (IQGAP and ELC), PS formation (Chs2), and MEN (Cdc14) components. These observations suggest that the completion of the HDR transition clears the septin filaments between the AMR and the PM, which enables the anchoring of the $A M R$ to the PM and permits its efficient constriction (Chen, et al.,

2020; Tamborrini, et al., 2018). These observations also suggest that AMR maturation and ring-guided PS formation likely drive the fast-phase constriction. AMR constriction is followed sequentially by PS formation (Chs2), SS formation (Chs3 and Fks1), septum maturation (Crh1 and Kre6), and eventually cell separation (Cts1). Thus, this comprehensive analysis has not only revealed the temporal order of cytokinetic modules but also identified the likely mechanisms underlying the switch from the slow- to fast-phase constriction.

## Cyk3 plays distinct roles in PS and SS formation and controls the fast-phase AMR constriction

Kinetic and genetic analyses have uncovered the distinct roles of the TGc domain of Cyk3 in PS and SS formation as well as the role of Cyk3 in controlling the fast-phase ring constriction. Chs 2 plays a pivotal role in cytokinesis by driving PS formation. Chs2 appears to be inactive at the delivery and then activated on-site by an unknown mechanism. Inn1 and Cyk3 have been implicated in Chs2 activation, as $\operatorname{Inn} 1$ is essential and Cyk3 is partially required for PS formation (Wang, et al., 2018; Foltman, et al., 2016; Devrekanli, et al., 2012; Meitinger, et al., 2010; Nishihama, et al., 2009). How Cyk3 activates Chs2 to promote PS formation remains unclear. It was proposed that Inn1 interacts with Chs2 via its C-terminus at the division site to prevent the precocious activation of Chs2 (Foltman, et al., 2016). Upon the arrival of Cyk3 at the division site, Inn1 interacts with the N-terminal SH3 domain of Cyk3 via a PXXP motif in its C terminus (Nishihama, et al., 2009), thus relieving its C-terminus-mediated inhibition of Chs2 (Foltman, et al., 2016). Cyk3 may also bind directly to Chs2 via multiple domains, including its central TGc domain (Foltman, et al., 2016). The TGc domain in Cyk3 is unconventional in that it lacks a conserved cysteine in the putative catalytic triad (Makarova, et al., 1999), whereas this TGc domain and other conserved residues within it are essential for the Cyk3 dosage-dependent activation of Chs2 in vivo (Foltman, et al., 2016) (this study). Strikingly, our kinetic analysis indicates that Cyk3 lacking the TGc domain localizes to the division site normally during PS formation but disappears from the division site specifically during SS formation. In addition, this mutant allele of CYK3 as well as the hypomorphic allele of CHS2 compromise PS formation and the switch of AMR constriction from its slow phase to its fast phase. Together, these observations strongly suggest that the TGc domain of Cyk3 affects the activation of Chs2 at the division site, and that PS formation contributes to the switch from the slow- to fast-phase constriction. Chs2 requires proteolysis for its activation in vitro (Mar-tinez-Rucobo, et al., 2009; Uchida, et al., 1996; Sburlati and Cabib, 1986). Interestingly, the TGc domains of a bacteriophage protein and a mouse muscular dystrophy protein possess protease activity (Beatham, et al., 2004; Blanco, et al., 2001; Pfister, et al., 1998). Thus, it is possible that Cyk3 activates Chs2 in vivo by acting as a protease via its TGc domain. Taken together, two distinct domains of Cyk3 (SH3 and TGc) appear to be involved in Chs2 activation in vivo, but their relative contributions and detailed mechanisms of action require further investigation.

Our kinetic analysis has also revealed that the TGc domain is essential for Cyk3 localization at the division site, specifically during SS formation. This localization is likely controlled by the interaction between Rho1 and the TGc domain of Cyk3 (Onishi, et al., 2013), which presumably ensures the appropriate timing and rate for Rho1 activation and its role in promoting SS formation via Fks1 and Chs3. Importantly, the role of Cyk3 in Rho1-mediated SS formation is likely independent of its role in PS formation, as deletion of Lrg1, a GAP for Rho1 that functions during SS formation (Jonasson, et al., 2016; Onishi, et al., 2013; Watanabe, et al., 2001), does not affect the kinetics of Chs2 accumulation at the division site or the rate of AMR constriction. Collectively, these observations suggest that Cyk3 controls the switch of AMR constriction from its slow phase to its fast phase via its role in PS formation.

## Kre6 likely catalyzes $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthesis at the cell surface to promote cell wall maturation during cell growth and division

Our prediction based on kinetic signature, followed by validation experiments, has led to the discovery of a critical role of Kreb in cell wall maturation between SS formation and cell separation. This role likely involves the synthesis of $\beta$-1,6-glucan by Kre6 at the cell surface that is branched off the Fks1-synthesized $\beta-1,3$ glucan. $\beta-1,6$-glucan is an essential component of the cell wall, interconnecting other wall components, including cell wall proteins, $\beta-1,3$-glucan, and chitin (Shahinian and Bussey, 2000; Montijn, et al., 1999). However, three fundamental questions regarding $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis remained elusive: the identity and functional location of its synthase and the coordination of $\beta-1,6-$ and $\beta-1,3$-glucan synthesis during cell wall assembly. Deletion of KRE6 is known to cause a reduction in $\beta-1,6$-glucan content, which leads to resistance to K1 killer toxin (Roemer, et al., 1994; Roemer, et al., 1993; Roemer and Bussey, 1991). Given that Kre6 contains a GH16 domain, the hallmark of glycoside hydrolases or transglycosidases (Montijn, et al., 1999), we mutagenized its putative catalytic motif (ExDxxE) and found that the mutant allele did
not affect Kre6 localization, but completely failed to rescue the killer toxin resistance. Thus, Kre6 is highly likely to possess the enzymatic activity required for $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis. Our time-lapse indicates that Kre6 first localizes to the bud membrane without any accumulation in an intracellular organelle and then relocalizes, through endocytosis, to the bud neck during cytokinesis. This observation suggests that Kre6 likely catalyzes the synthesis of $\beta-1,6-$ glucan at the PM, which corroborates a previous conclusion (Montijn, et al., 1999).

Strikingly, Kre6 peaks after Fks1 at the division site, suggesting that $\beta$-1,6-glucan synthesis may occur after $\beta-1,3$-glucan. Fks1 appears to only synthesize linear $\beta-1,3$-glucan (Chhetri, et al., 2020). Interestingly, mutations in FKS1 affect both types of glucan synthesis, suggesting that the linear $\beta-1,3$-glucan polymer might serve as the acceptor for the $\beta-1,6$-glucan branching (Dijkgraaf, et al., 2002). Both Kre6 and Fks1 display a similar localization pattern during the cell cycle (Kurita, et al., 2012; Utsugi, et al., 2002), and deletion of KRE6 causes delocalization of Fks1 from the PM (Dijkgraaf, et al., 2002). Collectively, these observations suggest that Kre6 might coordinate both types of glucan synthesis at the cell surface by catalyzing the formation of $\beta-1,6$-glucan that branches off the linear $\beta-1,3$-glucan polymer to promote cell wall biogenesis or maturation during cell growth and division.

In fission yeast, Sbg1, the ortholog of the budding yeast Kre6, is required for cytokinesis by regulating the localization of Bgs1, a $\beta-1,3-$ glucan synthase that is essential for PS formation (Davidson, et al., 2016; Sethi, et al., 2016). Because of the fundamental difference in PS formation between the budding yeast (Chs2controlled chitin synthesis) and the fission yeast (Bgs1-controlled $\beta-1,3-$ glucan synthesis), the functions of Kre6 in budding yeast and its counterpart Sbg1 in fission yeast during cytokinesis are superficially quite different. However, at the mechanistic level, the difference is much smaller than it appears. Sbg1 contains only the N-terminal counterpart of Kre6, including the transmembrane domain, but lacks the GH16 domain (Sethi, et al., 2016). Not surprisingly, the $\beta-1,6$-glucan content is not affected in the sbg 1 mutant (Sethi, et al., 2016). Thus, Sbg1 can only carry out one of two functions for Kre6, controlling the localization of a $\beta-1,3-$ glucan synthase at the PM but not catalyzing the synthesis of $\beta-1,6$-glucan. We searched the genome database of $S$. pombe and found two other uncharacterized genes (SPAC23H3.11c and SPAC17G6.11c) that would encode proteins with similar sequence and domain organization, including the GH16 domain, as in Kre6. It would be very interesting to determine whether these two proteins promote cell wall maturation during cell growth and division by controlling $\beta-1,6$-glucan synthesis off the linear $\beta-1,3$-glucan polymer as suggested for Kre6 in budding yeast.

## Limitations of the study

Our study has established a kinetic landscape of protein networks involved in cytokinesis, revealed the order and interplay between different functional modules, and provided further mechanistic insights into the functions of specific proteins. Despite this significant progress, biochemical study is required to further solidify some of the conclusions that are drawn mainly based on genetic and cell biological arguments as well as on existing data. For example, we show here that the TGc domain of Cyk3 is essential for its localization at the division site during SS formation, but we do not know whether the interaction of the TGc with Rho1 or other cytokinetic protein(s) is responsible for this localization. Our analysis and existing data also suggest that Kre6 and Fks1 might coordinate the synthesis of $\beta-1,6-$ glucan and $\beta-1,3$-glucan at the sites of polarized cell growth, but the coordination mechanism at the biochemical level remains unknown.
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## SEPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

| List of proteins analyzed in this study |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. AMR | 2. Vesicle transport Exo-endocytosis | 3. Primary septum | 4. Secondary septum | 5. Temporal coordination of cytokinetic events |
| Septin | Formin | PS formation | SS formation | MEN |
| Cdc3 | Bnr1 | Hof1 | Chs4 | Mob1 |
|  | Bni1 | Inn1 | Chs3 | Cdc14 |
| AMR | Exocytosis | Суk3 | Fks1 | RAM |
| Myo 1 | Myo2 | Chs2 |  | Mob2 |
| lqg1 | Exo84 |  |  | Ace2 |
| Mlc1 | Endocytosis |  |  | PS degradation |
| Tpm1 | Abp1 |  |  | Cts1 |

B Myo1-GFP diameter vs. intensity


## C Mlc2-mApple kinetics in all strains



Figure S1. The core cytokinetic proteins analyzed in this study. Related to Figure 1.
(A) List of proteins analyzed in this study. Twenty-two proteins were selected from all aspects of cytokinesis. See text for details.
(B) Diameter and intensity of Myo1-GFP during constriction. Plots were created from the data in Figures 1B and 1D.
(C) The individual plots of the kinetics of Mlc2-mApple from all strains. See also Figure 1C. Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively.


Figure S2. The kinetics and localization change of Hof1 during the septin HDR transition. Related to Figure 2.
(A) Kinetics of Hof1-GFP and Cdc3-mCherry. The strain used is YEF8631 (WT HOF1GFP CDC3-mCherry). Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively.
(B) Montages of the division site were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a 1-min interval presented in (A). The shift of Hofl-GFP from the mother side of the septin hourglass to the middle of the division site occurred at or immediately after the onset of septin HDR. Scale bar, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


B
Mlc2-mApple kinetics


C chs $1 \Delta$ chs $3 \Delta+$ LatA $(200 \mu \mathrm{M})$


Figure S3. Effect of latrunculin A on actin patches, AMR, and PS formation. Related to Figure 4.
(A) The disruption of F-actin by LatA $(200 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was determined by imaging a mixed culture of the strain YEF9198 (ABP1-3GFP) and another strain expressing a GFP-tagged cytokinetic protein [e.g., YEF9609 (MYO1-GFP)]. Disappearance of Abp1-3GFP patches was observed within $\sim 5 \mathrm{~min}$ after LatA addition. Scale bar, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(B) Kinetics of Mlc2-mApple from all strains in the presence of DMSO or LatA. Data were acquired from the time-lapse imaging presented in Figure 4. Bold lines and associated shaded bands represent mean and SD values, respectively.
(C) Montages of the division site were created from selected frames of time-lapse series taken with a $1.5-\mathrm{min}$ interval. The strain used is YEF 10368 (chsl $\Delta$ chs $3 \Delta$ CHS2-GFPTRP1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Cells cultured to exponentially phase in SC medium at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were resuspended in SC medium containing LatA $(200 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and CW $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ to visualize the impact of F-actin disruption on PS formation. Scale bar, $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure S4. Effect of LRG1 deletion, chs2 hypomorphic mutations, and overexpression of $C Y K 3^{T G c A}$ on PS formation and AMR. Related to Figure 5.
(A) Effects of precocious Rho1 activation on PS and SS formation were determined by imaging of $\operatorname{lrg} 1 \Delta$ strains: YEF10291 (lrg1 $\triangle$ CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF10292 (lrg1D CHS3-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF10290 (lrg1 $\Delta$ GFP-FKS1 mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. The reference plots of Chs2-GFP, Chs3-GFP, and GFP-Fks1 in WT and cyk3 3 were modified from Figure 5A.
(B) Effect of precocious Rho1 activation on AMR (Mlc2-mApple). Data were acquired from the time-lapse imaging presented in (A) and Figure 4A.
(C) Effects of hypomorphic mutations of CHS2 in comparison to cyk3 3 on PS formation were determined by imaging of strains: YEF10098 (CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2mApple), YEF10153 (cyk3 $\Delta$ CHS2-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF10443 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {I750A }}$-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), YEF10100 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {S751A }}$-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple), and YEF10101 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797Q }}$-GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple). Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively. Mutations of I750A, S751A, and N797Q reduce the Chs2 activity to $\sim 75, \sim 45$, and $13.4 \%$ of its wild-type level in vitro (Yabe, et al., 1998). See also Figure 5C.
(D) Effects of overexpression of $C Y K 3^{T G c \Delta}$ on septum formation (Chs2-GFP) and AMR constriction (Mlc2-mApple) in chs2 $2^{N 797 Q}$ cells were determined by imaging the cells carrying CYK3 ${ }^{T G c \Delta}$ high-copy plasmid: YEF10378 (chs2 $2^{N 797 Q_{-}}$GFP mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2mApple $\left[2 \mu, T R P 1, C Y K 3^{T G c \Delta}\right]$ ). The reference plots of CHS2-GFP and chs $2^{N 797 Q_{-G}}{ }^{-G F P}$ with overexpression of CYK3 (chs2 ${ }^{\text {N797O }}$-GFP [CYK3]) and empty vector (chs $2^{\text {N797Q }}$-GFP [Vector]) were modified from Figure 5D. Kinetics were plotted as bold lines and associated shaded bands that represent mean and SD values, respectively.


Figure S5. Functional analysis of GFP-KRE6 and kre6-ts mutants. Related to Figure 6.
(A) Diagram of GFP-Kre6. N-terminally tagged GFP is exposed to the cytosol. See also Figure 6A.
(B) The functionality of GFP-Kre6 was tested by examining the growth of cells carrying LEU2-plasmid of WT KRE6 (pRS315-KRE6), GFP-KRE6 (pRS315-GFP-KRE6), and empty vector (pRS315), on plates selecting for (SC-LEU-URA) or against (SC-LEU+5FOA) the cover plasmid (pRS316-KRE6). Strains used are as follows: YEF10140 (kre64 skn14 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, KRE6]), YEF10141, and YEF10143. Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four days. See also Figure 6C.
( C and D ) The temperature sensitivity of the kre6-ts mutants was examined by testing colony formation on plates (C) or measuring optical density of liquid cell cultures (D). See Methods for detailed procedures. Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four days in (C). Strains used are as follows: YOC5439 (KRE6 skn1D), YOC5428 (kre6 ${ }^{\text {N461S }} \operatorname{skn} 1 \Delta$ ), and YOC5376 (kre6 ${ }^{E 582 G}$ skn1 $\Delta$ ).
(E and F) Morphology of kre6-ts cells at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were cultured to exponential phase at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in YPD medium and split into two and diluted with fresh YPD medium to the $0.1 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$. Split cultures were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 hours. Cells were then harvested, sonicated at $15 \%$ power for 15 seconds to declump, and imaged without fixation. Over 300 cells from (E) were counted for the budding pattern (F). Strains used are as follows: YOC5439, YOC5428, and YOC5376.
(G) The sensitivity of kre6-ts cells to CW was tested on YPD plates containing CW. Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. Strains used are as follows: YOC5439, YOC5428, and YOC5376.
(H) Chitin deposition in the cell wall of kre6-ts cells. Cells used in (E and F) were resuspended in SC medium containing $\mathrm{CW}(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ to stain chitin in the cell wall.
(I) Suppression of temperature sensitivity of kre6-ts cells by osmotic support was determined by examining colony formation on YPD plates containing 1M sorbitol. Cells were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for six days. Strains used are as follows: YOC5439, YOC5428, and YOC5376.

## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Table S1. Strains used in this study. Related to All Figures and TRANSPERANT METHODS.

| Strain | Genotype | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEF473A | MATa trpl-463 leu2-41 ura3-52 his3-4200 lys2-801 | (Bi and <br> Pringle, <br> 1996) |
| YEF473B | MATa trp1-463 leu2-41 ura3-52 his3-4200 lys2-801 | (Bi and <br> Pringle, <br> 1996) |
| BY4741 | MATa his 341 leu2 20 met 1540 ura340 | (Brachmann, et al., 1998) |
| BY4742 | MATa his341 leu240 lys240 ura340 | (Brachmann, et al., 1998) |
| NCYC232 | Saccharomyces cerevisiae Meyen ex E.C. Hansen (ATCC60782) | (Kurita, et al., 2011) |
| MOY882 | As YEF473A except $c y k 3^{T G c} 4$ | (Onishi, et al., 2013) |
| YO1194 | As YEF473B except chs $24:$ His $3 M X 6$ [ $2 \mu$, URA3, CHS2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Oh, et al., } \\ & 2012 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| YEF5804 | As YEF473A except CDC3: CDC3-mCherry-LEU2 | (Wloka, et al., 2013) |
| YEF7488 | As YEF473A except chs $14:$ :KanMX6 chs $34: \because$ His 3 MX6 | Lab stock |
| YOC5376 | As BY4742 except kre64::kanmx4::kre $6^{\text {E582G }}$-URA3 skn14::KanMX4 met1540 | This study ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| YOC5428 | As BY4741 except kre64::kanmx4::kre $6^{\text {N461S }}$-URA3 skn14::KanMX4 lys240 | This study ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| YOC5439 | As BY4742 except kre64::kanmx4::KRE6-URA3 skn14::KanMX4 met 1540 | This study ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| YEF8174 | As YEF473A except $f k s 1: \therefore$ URA3-kanMX6 | This study ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| YEF8228 | As YEF473A except GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-FKS1 | This study ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| YEF8353 | As YEF473A except kre6::URA3-kanMX6 | This study ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| YEF8361 | As BY4741 except fks $1: \because U R A 3-k a n M X 6$ | This study ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| YEF8378 | As YEF473A except GFP ${ }^{\text {Enyy }}$-KRE6 | This study ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| YEF8390 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 | (Marquardt, et al., 2020) |


| YEF8391 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEF8392 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF8407 | As BY4741 except GFP Envy $-F K S 1$ | This study ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| YEF8427 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX MOB2-3GFP-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF8428 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX MYO2-GFP-TRP1 | This study |
| YEF8432 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX exo84::EXO84-GFP-TRP1 | This study ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| YEF8434 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CDC3::CDC3-GFP-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| YEF8435 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX fks 1(1-789)::GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-FKS1-LYS2 | This study ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| YEF8533 | As YEF473A except TUB1 $\because: H P H-p H I S 3-m R u b y 2-T U B 1$ MLC2-mApple-URA3MX MOB1-mNeonGreen-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF8535 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX BNI1-GFP-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF8625 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX kre6(1-341): :GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KRE6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| YEF8626 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX MLC1::GFP-MLC1-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{1}$ |
| YEF8627 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX HOF1-GFP-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF8628 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX INN1-GFP-TRP1 | This study |
| YEF8631 | As YEF473A except CDC3::CDC3-mCherry-LEU2 HOF1-GFPHis $3 M X 6$ | This study |
| YEF9186 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CTS1-GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF9189 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 chs4(41828-2091): :GFP-CHS4-URA3 | This study ${ }^{\text {m }}$ |
| YEF9197 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CYK3-GFP-His3MX6 | This study |
| YEF9198 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX ABP1-3GFP-KanMX6 | This study |
| YEF9200 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX BNR1-GFP-NatMX | This study |

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { YEF9242 } & \text { As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2- } & \text { This study } \\ & \text { mApple-URA3MX fks } 1(1-789):: \text { GFP }{ }^{\text {Envy }} \text {-FKSI-LYS2 }\end{array}\right]$

| YEF9837 | As YEF473A except CRHI -GFP ${ }^{\text {ET}}$ | This study ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEF9847 | As YEF473A except cyk3 ${ }^{T G c s}$ _GFP-His $3 M X$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX | This study |
| YEF9848 | As YEF473A except $C R H 1-G F P^{\text {Envy }}$ MLC2-mApple-URA3MX | This study |
| YEF9863 | As YEF473A except CRH1-GFP Envy MLC2-mApple-URA3MX TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 | This study ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| YEF9871 | As YEF473A except kre64: NatMX | This study ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |
| YEF9894 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1[2 $\mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| YEF9900 | As YEF473A except kre64::NatMX [CEN, URA3, KRE6] | This study ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |
| YEF9905 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 [2 $\mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study |
| YEF9911 | As YEF473A except kre61::NatMX skn14::TRP1 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] | This study |
| YEF9921 | As YEF473B except chs $24:: H i s 3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu $2:$ :chs $2^{1750 A}-G F P-$ KanMX6-LEU2 [2 $\mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10092 | As YEF473B except chs24::His3MX6 TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs2 ${ }^{\text {S75LA }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 [2 $\mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10093 | As YEF473B except chs $24:: H i s 3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs $2^{\text {N7979 }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 [2 $\mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10098 | As YEF473B except chs2ム::His3MX6 TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::CHS2-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10100 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs $2^{\text {S751A }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10101 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta!:$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu $2::$ chs $2^{\text {N7970 }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10128 | As YEF473B except chs24::His3MX6 TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu $2::$ chs $2^{\text {N7972 }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 $[2 \mu$, TRP1, CYK3] | This study ${ }^{\text {u }}$ |


| YEF10129 | As YEF473B except chs 24 1::His3MX6 TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs2 $2^{N 999}-$ GFP-KanMX6-LEU $2[2 \mu, T R P I]$ | This study ${ }^{\text {u }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEF10140 | As YEF473A except kre64::NatMX skn14::TRP1 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, KRE6] | This study ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |
| YEF10141 | As YEF473A except kre64::NatMX skn14::TRP1 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KRE6] | This study ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |
| YEF10142 | As YEF473A except kre64::NatMX skn14::TRP1 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2, GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-kre6 $6^{\text {QNO }}$ ] | This study ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |
| YEF10143 | As YEF473A except kre64::NatMX skn14::TRP1 [CEN, URA3, KRE6] [CEN, LEU2] | This study ${ }^{\text {v }}$ |
| YEF10153 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta 1:$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::CHS2-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 cyk34::NatMX | This study |
| YEF10246 | As YEF473A except chs $14::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX | This study |
| YEF10247 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX kre64::KanMX4 | This study |
| YEF10248 | As YEF473A kre64::KanMX4 leu2::KRE6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |
| YEF10249 | As YEF473A kre64::KanMX4 leu2::LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |
| YEF10250 | As YEF473A kre64 $:$ KanMX4 leu $2::$ kre $^{\text {QNQ }}$-LEU 2 | This study ${ }^{\text {w }}$ |
| YEF10290 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX fks 1(1-789)::GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-FKS1-LYS2 $\operatorname{lrg} 14$ ::KanMX4 | This study |
| YEF10291 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CHS2-GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-His $3 M X 6 \operatorname{lrg} 14::$ KanMX4 | This study |
| YEF10292 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CHS3-GFP-His $3 M X 6 \operatorname{lrg} 14::$ KanMX4 | This study |
| YEF10337 | As YEF473A except TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX kre64::KanMX4 : :GFP $P^{E N V Y}$-kre $6^{\text {QNQ }}$-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| YEF10361 | As YEF473B except chs24::HIS3 TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::CHS2-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 [ $2 \mu$, URA3, CHS2] | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
| YEF10368 | As YEF473A except chs $1 \Delta::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX CHS2-GFP-TRPI | This study |


| YEF10369 | As YEF473A except chs $14::$ NatMX chs $34 \because:$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX $[2 \mu$, TRP1, CYK3] | This study ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEF10370 | As YEF473A except chs $1 \Delta::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX $[2 \mu$, TRP1, cyk $\left.3^{\text {TGcc }}\right]$ | This study ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |
| YEF10371 | As YEF473A except chs $14::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX $[2 \mu$, TRPI] | This study ${ }^{\text {y }}$ |
| YEF10378 | As YEF473B except chs $24:: H i s 3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs $2^{\text {N7979 }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 $\left[2 \mu, T R P 1, c y k 3{ }^{T G c d}\right]$ | This study ${ }^{\text {u }}$ |
| YEF10443 | As YEF473B except chs $2 \Delta::$ His $3 M X 6$ TUB $1:: H P H-p H I S 3-$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2:: chs $2^{\text {IF50A }}$-GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 | This study ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |

YEF10370 As YEF473A except chs $14::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6$ This study ${ }^{y}$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX $[2 \mu$, TRP1, cyk $3^{\text {TGcd }}$ ]

YEF10371 As YEF473A except chs $14::$ NatMX chs $34::$ His $3 M X 6 \quad$ This study ${ }^{y}$ TUB1::HPH-pHIS3-mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-URA3MX $[2 \mu$, TRPI]
YEF10378 As YEF473B except chs24::His3MX6 TUB $1::$ HPH-pHIS3- This study ${ }^{u}$ mRuby2-TUB1 MLC2-mApple-KanMX6 leu2::chs $2^{\text {N7970 }}{ }_{-}$GFP-KanMX6-LEU2 $\left[2 \mu\right.$, TRP1, cyk3 $\left.{ }^{\text {TGcs }}\right]$

YEF10443 As YEF473B except chs24::His3MX6 TUB1::HPH-pHIS3- This study ${ }^{t}$ KanMX6-LEU2

Except where noted, gene deletion or tagging at the chromosomal locus was constructed using a PCR method as described previously (Slubowski, et al., 2015; Lee, et al., 2013; Longtine, et al., 1998). Primers used for the PCR are listed in Table S2.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Transparent Methods.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ A DNA fragment (URA3-KanMX6 cassette) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-URA3-KanMX6 as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the FKS1 start codon (P217 and P498), and the DNA fragment was then inserted at the FKS1 locus in YEF473A to replace the start codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ A DNA fragment ( $G F P^{E n v y}$ ) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-GFPEnvyKanMX6 as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the FKS1 start codon (P217 and P506), and the DNA fragment was then used to replace the URA3KanMX6 cassette in YEF8174.
${ }^{d}$ A DNA fragment (URA3-KanMX6 cassette) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-URA3-KanMX6 as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the KRE6 start codon (P215 and P496), and the DNA fragment was then inserted at the KRE6 locus of YEF473A to replace the start codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ A DNA fragment ( $f k s 1:: U R A 3$-KanMX6 cassette) was amplified by PCR using the chromosomal DNA of YEF8174 as the template DNA and the pair of primers (P97 and P498), and the DNA fragment was then inserted at the FKSI locus in BY4741.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ A DNA fragment ( $G F P^{E n v y}$ ) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-GFPEnvyKanMX6 as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the KRE6 start codon (P215 and P504), and the DNA fragment was then used to replace the URA3KanMX6 cassette in YEF8353.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ A DNA fragment ( $G F P^{\text {Envy }}$ ) was amplified by PCR using the chromosomal DNA of YEF8228 as the template DNA and the pair of primers (P96 and P506), and the DNA fragment was then used to replace the URA3-KanMX6 cassette in YEF8361.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ BgIII-digested plasmid pG366 (a gift from Wei Guo) was integrated into EXO84 locus. As a result, the endogenous copy is disrupted.
${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ BgIII-digested plasmid YIp128-CDC3-mCherry was integrated into the CDC3 locus.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ HindIII-digested plasmid pRS307-ENVY-FKS1(1-789) was integrated into the FKS1 locus. As a result, the endogenous copy is truncated.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ NheI-digested plasmid pRS305-ENVY-KRE6(1-341) was integrated into the KRE6 locus. As a result, the endogenous copy is truncated.
${ }^{1}$ Tth111I-digested plasmid YIplac128-GFP-MLC1 was integrated into the MLC1 locus.
${ }^{m}$ NheI-digested plasmid pJL68 (a gift from Kelly Tatchell) was integrated into the CHS4 locus. As a result, the endogenous copy is truncated.
${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ XbaI-digested plasmid pHis3:mRuby2-Tub1+3'UTR $:$ :HPH was integrated into the TUB1 locus.
${ }^{\circ}$ EcoRV-digested plasmid YIp128-proHIS3-yEGFP-TPM1-tADH1 was integrated into the LEU2 locus.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ A DNA fragment (NatMX cassette) from EcoRI-digested plasmid p4339 was used to switch the KanMX4 cassette at a chromosomal locus.
${ }^{\text {q }}$ A DNA fragment (URA3-KanMX6 cassette) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-URA3-KanMX6 (a gift from John Pringle) as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the site between codons 56 and 57 in the CRH1 ORF (P300 and P589), and the DNA fragment was then inserted at the CRHI locus of YEF473A.
${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ A DNA fragment ( $G F P^{E n v y}$ ) was amplified by PCR using the plasmid pFA6a-GFPEnvyKanMX6 as the template DNA and the pair of primers with 40-bp flanking sequence of the site between codons 56 and 57 in the CRHI ORF (P300 and P590), and the DNA fragment was then used to replace the URA3-KanMX6 cassette in YEF9803.

[^0]${ }^{\text {t }}$ AflII-digested plasmid pRS305-CHS2-GFP, pRS305-CHS2(I750A)-GFP, pRS305-CHS2(S751A)-GFP, or pRS305-CHS2(N797Q)-GFP was integrated into the LEU2 locus of YEF9905 to generate YEF10361, YEF9921, YEF10092, or YEF10093, respectively. These strains were further selected on $\mathrm{SC}+5-\mathrm{FOA}$ plate to remove the CHS 2 cover plasmid to generate YEF10098, YEF10443, YEF10100, or YEF10101, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{u}}$ Multi-copy plasmid pBK42 (a gift from John Chant), YEplac112-CYK3(TGc $\Delta$ ), or YEplac112 was transformed into YEF10093, and the Trp+ transformants were then selected on SC-Trp+5FOA plate to remove the CHS2 cover plasmid to generate YEF10128, YEF10378, or YEF10129, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{v}}$ Low-copy plasmid pRS315-KRE6, pRS315-ENVY-KRE6, pRS315-ENVY-KRE6QNQ, or pRS315 was transformed into YEF9911 to generate YEF10140, YEF10141, YEF10142, or YEF10143, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ BstEII-digested plasmid pRS305-KRE6, pRS305-KRE6QNQ, or pRS305 was integrated into the LEU2 locus of YEF9768 to generate YEF10248, YEF10250, or YEF10249, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ BspEI-digested plasmid pRS305-ENVY-KRE6QNQ was integrated into the promoter region of KRE6 locus of YEF 10247 to generate YEF10337.
${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ Multi-copy plasmid pBK42, YEplac112-CYK3(TGcD), or YEplac112 was transformed into YEF10246 to generate YEF10369, YEF10370, or YEF10371, respectively.

Table S2. Oligonucleotides and plasmids used in this study. Related to All Figures and TRANSPARENT METHODS.
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Oligonucleotides } & \text { Function } & \text { Identifier } \\ \hline \text { F219-FKS1-40up-from-start-F1: } & \text { For the construction of } \text { fks } 1:: U R A 3- & \mathrm{P} 217 \\ \text { CAAGTAGCTGAAATCAAGTCTTTCA } & \text { KanMX6 and } G F P^{\text {Eny }} \text {-FKS1 }\end{array}\right]$

| F234-MOB1-300up-from-Stop: <br> TAAGTATGTGGAATGTTTGATGAGG | For the amplification of MOB1-mNeonGreen-KanMX6 | P232 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TG |  |  |
| R209-MOB1-tag-R3: | For the amplification of MOB1- | P526 |
| GAAGAATACAACCTACAAGCAGAC | mNeonGreen-KanMX6 |  |
| TTATATAAATATACAATATCGATGA |  |  |
| ATTCGAGCTCG |  |  |
| F236-BNI1-310up-from-Stop: | For the amplification of BNII- | P234 |
| TCTATTCTTTATTCTCCAGAAGCTA | GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| TG |  |  |
| R211-BNI1-300down-from-Stop: | For the amplification of BNII- | P528 |
| CTGTGCTTGTCACTTAGGTAAACGA | GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| G |  |  |
| F181-HOF1-300up-from-Stop: | For the amplification of HOF1- | P179 |
| ACAGGAAACATCATGGATGAGAGA | GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| G |  |  |
| R145-HOF1-300down-from-Stop: | For the amplification of HOF1- | P462 |
| GTCATTAGAGCACATTAAATAATAT | GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| G |  |  |
| F003-INN1-INT1: | For the amplification of INN1- | P3 |
| CAATACGCCGTTAGTAAGAA | GFP-TRP1 |  |
| R002-INN1-3'-500: | For the amplification of INN1- | P320 |
| AAACATCGGACTATCCATGCT | GFP-TRP1 |  |
| F180-HOF1-tag-F5: | For the amplification of HOF1- | P178 |
| TTAATTCCCTATAATTTCATTCAGC | GFP-His3MX6 |  |
| TACTGCATCAAGGTCTTGGTGACGG |  |  |
| TGCTGGTTTA |  |  |
| R144-HOF1-tag-R3: | For the amplification of HOF 1 - | P461 |
| TTTCTTTTATCAGAAAACTAGTAAA | GFP-His3MX6 |  |
| ATTGATATACATCGAGATCGATGA |  |  |
| ATTCGAGCTCG |  |  |
| Cts1-ORF-F: | For the amplification of CTS1- | N/A |
| TGACGAGTAGCACCAAGA | GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KanMX6 |  |
| Cts1-Flank-R: | For the amplification of CTS1- | N/A |
| TTTCACCGCATAGACCAA | GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KanMX6 |  |
| F204-CYK3-300up-from-Stop: | For the amplification of CYK3- | P202 |
| ATTGTCGAGCCACAGAGTAGATAT | GFP-His3MX6 |  |
| C |  |  |
| R168-CYK3-300down-from-Stop: | For the amplification of CYK3- | P485 |
| GAAGTAGCACTCAATTGGTTTTCAG | GFP-His3MX6 |  |
| G |  |  |
| F118-ABP1-250up-from-Stop: | For the amplification of $A B P 1$ - | P116 |
| CCATCAAGAAGCTCTGCAGCTCC | 3GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| R089-INN1-K31A-mutagenesis: | For the amplification of $A B P 1$ - | P406 |
| CCTAAGCATTACATTTTGAGCGTCC | 3GFP-KanMX6 |  |
| AGTTTATTCAAATTAG |  |  |


| F247-BNR1-300up-from-Stop: <br> AGAGTGTACGAACAAAGGAAGAGC | For the amplification of BNRI-GFP-NatMX | P241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R211-BNI1-300down-from-Stop: <br> CTGTGCTTGTCACTTAGGTAAACGA G | For the amplification of BNRI-GFP-NatMX | P528 |
| CYK3-F-Check: <br> AAGGCCAAAATTAACGGGAC | For the amplification of cyk3A::His $3 M X 6$ and cyk3 $3:$ :NatMX | N/A |
| R168-CYK3-300down-from-Stop: <br> GAAGTAGCACTCAATTGGTTTTCAG G | For the amplification of cyk3A::His $3 M X 6$ and cyk3 $\because:$ NatMX | P485 |
| Ace2-Check-F: <br> CTGTCTCAGAATGTCCCAATA | For the amplification of ACE2-3GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | N/A |
| Ace2-Check-R: <br> GGTCTAACCAACAGGGTGTAT | For the amplification of ACE2-3GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | N/A |
| F297-TPM1-40up-from-start-F1: <br> ATAGTAGAACTCACACCCCATACA CACAAAAAAGGCAACACGGATCCC CGGGTTAATTAA | For the construction of tpm1 $1:: T R P 1$ | P290 |
| R270-TPM1-40down-from-stop-R1: <br> GATTTGCTCAAGAACAAGGAACAA <br> AGACCAGCGTGTTGGGGAATTCGA <br> GCTCGTTTAAAC | For the construction of tpm1 $1:: T R P 1$ | P581 |
| Myo 1-159bp-US-Stop: <br> CTAGCGAATAAAAATAGAAGCGA | For the amplification of MYO1-GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | N/A |
| Myo 1-249bp-DS-Stop: <br> GATACGGGGTGAAAGAGTT | For the amplification of MYO1-GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | N/A |
| F183-IQG1-300up-from-Stop: <br> ACTAGCTGAACTGACTGTAAAAGT C | For the amplification of IQG1-GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | P181 |
| R147-IQG1-300down-from-Stop: AAATGATGATTGCCAACTATAGTA GCC | For the amplification of IQG1-GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | P464 |
| F123-CHS2-300up-from-Stop: <br> GAATTGTGATGATTTGGATGC | For the amplification of CHS2GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KanMX6 and CHS2GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-His $3 M X 6$ | P121 |
| R093-CHS2-300down-from-Stop: TCAAAAGCTCTTGATGCCCA | For the amplification of CHS2GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KanMX6, CHS2-GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$ His $3 M X 6$ and CHS2-GFP-TRP1 | P410 |
| F077-CHS3-250up-from-Stop: <br> TGCTACGAGATGGTCGTACCTATGG TGG | For the amplification of CHS3-GFP-His3MX6 | P75 |
| R062-CHS3-250down-from-Stop: GCCACAGATAAATAGTGGCATATT CGG | For the amplification of CHS3-GFP-His $3 M X 6$ | P379 |
| F152-KRE6-270up: <br> AGCTTACAGAAGCACTTAAGAATC | For the amplification of kre64::kanMX4 | P150 |


| R115-KRE6-250down: | For the amplification of | P432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TGTATACCTATATAAACAATCTTAG | kre6 ${ }^{\text {: }}$ :kanMX4 |  |
| AGG |  |  |
| F307-CRH1-40up-SpeI-F1: | For the construction of | P300 |
| AAAAACTACAGGTTGTACGCCGGA | crh1::URA3-KanMX6 and CRH1- |  |
| TACAGCTTTGGCAACTCGGATCCCC | GFP ${ }^{\text {envy }}$ |  |
| GGGTTAATTAA |  |  |
| R278-CRH1-40down-SpeI-R1: | For the construction of | P589 |
| TAAACCATTTGGATGAAGATGAGA | crh1::URA3-KanMX6 |  |
| AATCTTCGCTAAAACTGAATTCGAG |  |  |
| CTCGTTTAAAC |  |  |
| F262-450up-CDC14: | For the amplification of CDC14- | P256 |
| TGCCAGAAATTCGAGCCAACCTTCT | GFP-His 3MX6 |  |
| ACG |  |  |
| R033-CDC14-yoEGFP-tag: | For the amplification of CDC14- | P351 |
| TGCATAAATCAGGCAAGTTACTATT | GFP-His3MX6 |  |
| GCCGGTATACATATGACTCGATGA |  |  |
| ATTCGAGCTCG |  |  |
| R279-CRH1-40down-SpeI-ENVY: | For the construction of CRH1- | P590 |
| TAAACCATTTGGATGAAGATGAGA | GFP ${ }^{\text {envy }}$ |  |
| AATCTTCGCTAAAACTTTTGTACAA |  |  |
| TTCGTCCATTC |  |  |
| F218-SKN1-40up-from-start-F1: | For the construction of | P216 |
| TAACCATTACTAGCTAGTGTTACAT |  |  |
| AAACAATAGCAGAATCGGATCCCC |  |  |
| GGGTTAATTAA |  |  |
| R287-SKN1-R1: | For the construction of | P598 |
| ATTTTGCCTCCTTAGAATTAAAGCG |  |  |
| CACTTAGATAGTAGGGAATTCGAG |  |  |
| CTCGTTTAAAC |  |  |
| P641-F-600up-LRG1-from-start: | For the amplification of | P641 |
| ACCTCAAAACTGAAATTATGGGCA | $\operatorname{lrg} 1 \Delta:: k a n M X 4$ |  |
| AAC |  |  |
| P642-R-500down-LRG1-from-stop: | For the amplification of | P642 |
| TATTTAGAGAATAAGTTACTGGTGG | $\operatorname{lrg} 1 \Delta:: k a n M X 4$ |  |
| G |  |  |
| F145-CHS2-1000up-MCS: | For the amplification of $\mathrm{CHS} 2-$ | P143 |
| AGCTCCACCGCGGTGTCTTGCTGGA | GFP-TRP1 |  |
| TCACAAGTTATAAATAATG |  |  |
| F312-CHS2(I750A)-mutagenesis: <br> ACATCGTTGCCTCATTGCTCTCCAC | For the site-directed mutagenesis of CHS2 | P305 |
| F313-CHS2(S751A)-mutagenesis: | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P306 |
| TCGTTATCGCATTGCTCTCCACCTA | CHS2 |  |
| TG |  |  |
| F314-CHS2(N797Q)-mutagenesis: | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P307 |
| CTTCTGTCAGACACACGACGTTTCC | CHS2 |  |
| TG |  |  |


| R284-CHS2(I750A)-mutagenesis: | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P595 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAATGAGGCAACGATGTCGACAAA | CHS2 |  |
| TA |  |  |
| R285-CHS2(S751A)-mutagenesis: | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P596 |
| AGCAATGCGATAACGATGTCGACA | CHS2 |  |
| AAT |  |  |
| R286-CHS2(N797Q)-mutagenesis: | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P597 |
| CGTGTGTCTGACAGAAGGCAAAAA | CHS2 |  |
| TCTG |  |  |
| F231-pRS-MCS(SacI)-KRE6-1kb-up: | For the construction of pRS315- | P229 |
| CTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCCGTC | KRE6, pRS315-ENVY-KRE6, and |  |
| ATCCTGAACGTTCATAAAACACATA | pRS305-ENVY-KRE6(1-341) |  |
| TGAAACAACC |  |  |
| R295-pRS-MCS(ApaI)-KRE6-term: | For the construction of pRS315- | P606 |
| CAAAAGCTGGGTACCGGGCCCAAT | KRE6 and pRS315-ENVY-KRE6 |  |
| TATTTACCTCATAGGGTACACAATA |  |  |
| TCCGTGAG |  |  |
| F324-KRE6-mutagenesis-E497Q-D499N- | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P317 |
| E502Q: | pRS305-KRE6 or pRS315-ENVY- |  |
| CAAATTAATGTTTTGCAAGGTGAAA | KRE6 |  |
| CTGATACTAAGATTG |  |  |
| R294-KRE6-mutagenesis-E497Q-D499N- | For the site-directed mutagenesis of | P605 |
| E502Q: | pRS305-KRE6 or pRS315-ENVY- |  |
| TTGCAAAACATTAATTTGTGGAGCT | KRE6 |  |
| CCTCTACCAACACCTTG |  |  |
| R205-pRS-MCS(ApaI)-KRE6-aa341- | For the construction of pRS305- | P522 |
| with-stop: | ENVY-KRE6(1-341) |  |
| CAAAAGCTGGGTACCGGGCCCCTA |  |  |
| AAATGTTCTGCCTTCTGCATTAAAC |  |  |
| TCATCAGAAAA |  |  |
| F224-pRS-MCS(SacI)-FKS1-1kb-up: | For the construction of pRS316- | P222 |
| CTATAGGGCGAATTGGAGCTCGCA | ENVY-FKS1(1-788) and pRS307- |  |
| AAAAGAGAAAACCAAGGGACCAG | ENVY-FKS1(1-788) |  |
| AACAAAGCAAAAT |  |  |
| R195-pRS-MCS(ApaI)-FKS1-aa790- | For the construction of pRS 316 - | P512 |
| with-stop: | ENVY-FKS1(1-788) and pRS307- |  |
| CAAAAGCTGGGTACCGGGCCCCTA | ENVY-FKS1(1-788) |  |
| AGTTCTTTTACCTTCGATTTCAGAT |  |  |
| GGAACTTGATG |  |  |
| F295-linker(SpeI)-TPM1: | For the construction of YIp 128- | P288 |
| TAGAACTAGTGGTGGTAGCGGAGG | proHIS3-yEGFP-TPM1-tADH1 |  |
| AACAGGTGGTATGGACAAAATCAG |  |  |
| AGAAAAGCTAAG |  |  |
| R269-TPM1-Stop-AscI: | For the construction of YIp 128- | P580 |
| GAAGTGGCGCGCCTCACAAGTTTTC | proHIS3-yEGFP-TPM1-tADH1 |  |
| CAGAGATGCAGCAATTTCGTCCAGT |  |  |
| TCCTT |  |  |


| P632-R-CYK3-GTc-deletion: | For the construction of YEplac112- | P632 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATTGGGTTGGTTACATTTCCTTTCTC | CYK3 ${ }^{\text {TGc } \Delta}$ |  |
| TAAATTTTGGGGTTCCGCAGAAAA ATCTGACGTT |  |  |
| P633-F-CYK3-GTc-deletion: | For the construction of YEplac112- | P633 |
| AACCCCAAAATTTAGAGAAAGGAA | CYK3 ${ }^{\text {TGc } \Delta}$ |  |
| ATGTAACCAACCCAATTCATGAATT |  |  |
| TGTAAATAACA |  |  |
| Plasmids | Source | Identifier |
| p4339 | (Tong, et al., 2001) |  |
| pFA6a-3GFP-KanMX6 | (Wu, et al., 2006) |  |
| pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-kanMX6 | (Longtine, et al., 1998) |  |
| pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-TRP1 | (Longtine, et al., 1998) |  |
| pFA6a-GFPEnvy-KanMX6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2341 |
| pFA6a-His3MX6 | (Longtine, et al., 1998) |  |
| pFA6a-link-yomApple-CaURA3 | (Lee, et al., 2013) | Addgene Cat\#44879 |
| pFA6a-link-yomApple-Kan | (Lee, et al., 2013) | Addgene Cat\#44957 |
| pFA6a-link-ymNeonGreen-KanMX6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2265 |
| pFA6a-link-yoEGFP-SpHIS5 | (Lee, et al., 2013) | Addgene Cat\#44836 |
| pFA6a-link-GFPEnvy-KanMX6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2282 |
| pFA6a-link-GFPEnvy-SpHis5 | (Slubowski, et al., 2015) | Addgene Cat\#60782 |
| pFA6a-link-yoEGFP-NatMX6 | (Marquardt, et al., 2020) | BiLab collection\#E2375 |
| pFA6a-TRP1 | (Longtine, et al., 1998) |  |
| pG366 | Wei Guo |  |
| pHis3:mRuby2-Tub1+3'UTR::HPH <br> (bWL715) | (Markus, et al., 2015) |  |
| pRS305 | (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989) |  |
| pRS305-CHS2-GFP | (Wloka, et al., 2011) | BiLab collection\#E2529 |


| pRS305-CHS2(I750A)-GFP | This study | BiLab collection\#E2531 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pRS305-CHS2(S751A)-GFP | This study | BiLab collection\#E2532 |
| pRS305-CHS2(N797Q)-GFP | This study | BiLab collection\#E2533 |
| pRS305-KRE6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2577 |
| pRS305-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$ | This study | BiLab collection\#E2580 |
| pRS305-ENVY-KRE6(1-341) | This study | BiLab collection\#E2380 |
| pRS305-ENVY-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$ | This study | BiLab collection\#E2578 |
| pRS306-GFP-Chs40610-696 (pJL68) | (Larson, et al., 2008) |  |
| pRS307 | (Eriksson, et al., 2004) | Addgene Cat\#51785 |
| pRS307-ENVY-FKS1(1-789) | This study | BiLab collection\#E2361 |
| pRS315 | (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989) |  |
| pRS315-KRE6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2556 |
| pRS315-ENVY-KRE6 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2557 |
| pRS315-ENVY-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$ | This study | BiLab collection\#E2558 |
| pRS316 | (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989) |  |
| pRS316-ENVY-FKS1(1-789) | This study | BiLab collection\#E2356 |
| pRS316-KRE6 (pYO3165) | This study |  |
| SB221 | (Ben-Aroya, et al., 2008) |  |
| YIplac128-GFP-MLC1 | (Feng, et al., 2015) | BiLab collection\#E2062 |
| YIp128-CDC3-GFP | (Gao, et al., 2007) | BiLab collection\#E1915 |
| YIp128-proHIS3-yEGFP-TPM1-tADH1 | This study | BiLab collection\#E2497 |

YEplac 112
YEplac 112-CYK3 (pBK42)
YEplac 112-CYK3 ${ }^{\text {TGc } \Delta}$
(Gietz and Sugino, 1988)
(Korinek, et al., 2000)
This study
BiLab collection\#E2598

Table S3. Statistics of LatA effects on kinetics of cytokinetic proteins. Related to Figure 4.

| Protein | Treatment | n | Maximum intensity |  |  |  |  | Recruitment rate (intensity/min) |  |  |  | Removal rate (intensity/min) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Intensity (a.u.) |  |  | Time point (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { to } \\ \text { DMSO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | At Max. | $\begin{gathered} \text { From } \\ \text { DMSO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mean | DMSO |  | Time point (min) | Mean | SD | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { to } \\ \text { DMSO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Time point (min) |
| Myol | DMSO | 21 | 6927 | 1084 | - | -10.5 | - | 83 | 91 | - | -16.5, -15, -13.5 | -951 | 291 | - | 0, 1.5, 3 |
|  | LatA | 22 | 6269 | 1406 | 91\% | -10.5 | 0 | 69 | 176 | 83\% | -16.5, -15, -13.5 | -462 | 172 | 49\% | 0, 1.5, 3 |
| Iqg1 | DMSO | 22 | 2848 | 633 | - | -3 | - | 196 | 54 | - | -15, -13.5, -12, -10.5 | -644 | 231 | - | -1.5, 0, 1.5 |
|  | LatA | 20 | 2384 | 740 | 84\% | -6 | -3 | 116 | 54 | 59\% | -16.5, -15, -13.5, -12 | -161 | 58 | 25\% | $-1.5,0,1.5,3$ |
| Mlcl | DMSO | 22 | 13978 | 2323 | - | -1.5 | - | 452 | 230 | - | -16.5, -15, -13.5, -12, -10.5 | -1549 | 507 | - | 0, 1.5, 3 |
|  | LatA | 27 | 9235 | 1316 | 66\% | -4.5 | -3 | 384 | 122 | 85\% | -16.5, -15, -13.5, -12, -10.5 | -489 | 162 | 32\% | 0, 1.5, 3 |
| Myo2 | DMSO | 20 | 9056 | 1934 | - | 7.5 | - | 596 | 220 | - | -6, -4.5, -3, -1.5 | -394 | 228 | - | $9,10.5,12,13.5$ |
|  | LatA | 21 | 4772 | 918 | 53\% | 24 | 16.5 | 70 | 98 | 12\% | -6, -4.5, -3, -1.5 | -47 | 56 | 12\% | $31.5,33,34.5,36,37.5,39$ |
| Chs2 | DMSO | 22 | 4778 | 1239 | - | 0 | - | 838 | 263 | - | -4.5, -3, -1.5 | -581 | 185 | - | 3, 4.5, 6 |
|  | LatA | 22 | 3511 | 1188 | 73\% | 15 | 15 | 311 | 196 | 37\% | -3, -1.5, 0 | -61 | 53 | 10\% | 21, 22.5, 24, 25.5, 27 |
| Chs3 | DMSO | 22 | 5490 | 1299 | - | 3 | - | 537 | 225 | - | -3, -1.5, 0, 1.5 | -366 | 208 | - | 6, 7.5, 9 |
|  | LatA | 19 | 3557 | 1442 | 65\% | 22.5 | 19.5 | 153 | 118 | 29\% | $-1.5,0,1.5,3$ | -17 | 44 | 5\% | 28.5, 30, 31.5, 33 |
| Fks1 | DMSO | 19 | 26552 | 4995 | - | 4.5 | - | 3370 | 865 | - | -3, -1.5, 0 | -838 | 317 | - | $9,10.5,12,13.5$ |
|  | LatA | 18 | 6920 | 2549 | 26\% | -19.5 | -24 | -57 | 84 | -10\% | -3, -1.5, 0 | -9 | 53 | 1\% | $9,10.5,12,13.5$ |

Table S4. Pearson correlation coefficient between Inn1-GFP and cytokinetic proteins. Related to Figure 6.

| Rank | Protein | $r$ | $P$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Chs2-GFP | 0.95 | $2.0 \times 10^{-22}$ |
| 2 | GFP-Tpm1 | 0.93 | $6.8 \times 10^{-19}$ |
| 3 | Mob2-3GFP | 0.86 | $1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 4 | Cdc14-GFP | 0.83 | $1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 5 | GFP-Chs4 | 0.74 | $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 6 | Mob1-mNG | 0.74 | $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 7 | Chs3-GFP | 0.66 | $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 8 | Bni1-GFP | 0.62 | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 9 | Ace2-3GFP | 0.58 | $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 10 | GFP-Mlc1 | 0.50 | $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 11 | Cyk3-GFP | 0.48 | $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 12 | GFP-Fks1 | 0.47 | $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 13 | Hof1-GFP | 0.38 | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 14 | Iqg1-GFP | 0.27 | $8.7 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 15 | Myo2-GFP | 0.25 | $1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 16 | Abp1-3GFP | 0.23 | $1.4 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 17 | Exo84-GFP | 0.21 | $1.8 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 18 | Myol-GFP | 0.09 | $5.9 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 19 | Cdc3-GFP | -0.18 | $2.5 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 20 | Crh1-GFP | -0.31 | $5.0 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 21 | GFP-Kre6 | -0.33 | $3.7 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 22 | Bnr1-GFP | -0.54 | $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 23 | Cts1-GFP | -0.60 | $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Table S5. Pearson correlation coefficient between GFP-Kre6 and cytokinetic proteins. Related to Figure 6.

| Rank | Protein | $r$ | $P$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Crh1-GFP | 0.96 | $8.9 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| 2 | Abp1-3GFP | 0.79 | $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 3 | Exo84-GFP | 0.76 | $7.3 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 4 | Myo2-GFP | 0.70 | $7.3 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 5 | GFP-Fks1 | 0.60 | $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 6 | Cyk3-GFP | 0.50 | $8.7 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 7 | Bni1-GFP | 0.33 | $3.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 8 | Chs3-GFP | 0.27 | $9.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 9 | Ace2-3GFP | 0.25 | $1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 10 | Mob2-3GFP | -0.17 | $2.8 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 11 | GFP-Tpm1 | -0.23 | $1.4 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 12 | Chs2-GFP | -0.25 | $1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 13 | Cts1-GFP | -0.26 | $9.8 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 14 | Inn1-GFP | -0.33 | $3.7 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 15 | GFP-Chs4 | -0.34 | $3.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 16 | Mob1-mNG | -0.34 | $3.2 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 17 | Hof1-GFP | -0.60 | $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 18 | Bnr1-GFP | -0.65 | $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 19 | Cdc14-GFP | -0.68 | $9.5 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 20 | GFP-Mlc1 | -0.83 | $1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 21 | Cdc3-GFP | -0.85 | $8.9 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 22 | Myo1-GFP | -0.93 | $2.2 \times 10^{-19}$ |
| 23 | Iqg1-GFP | -0.94 | $9.1 \times 10^{-20}$ |

## TRANSPARENT METHODS

## Yeast media and culture conditions

Standard culture media and genetic techniques were used (Guthrie and Fink, 1991). Yeast strains were grown routinely at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in synthetic complete (SC) minimal medium lacking specific amino acid(s) and/or uracil or in rich medium YM-1 (Lillie and Pringle, 1980) or yeast extract/peptone/dextrose (YPD). Neutralized SC medium ( pH was adjusted to 7.0 by supplement of sodium hydroxide) was used for live-cell imaging of GFP molecule exposed to the extracellular environment (e.g., Cts1-GFP). Magic medium (MM, SC-Leu-HisArg+Canavanine+G418) (Pan, et al., 2004) was used for MATa strain selection during kre6$t s$ strain construction. Low-pH YPD medium ( pH was adjusted to 4.5 by supplement of 110 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{K}{ }_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ and 73.4 mM of citric acid, final concentrations) was used for the K 1 killer toxin sensitivity test. Stock solutions of 20 mM LatA (in DMSO, FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan), and $1 \%$ (w/v) CW (in distilled water, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) were diluted into media at the indicated final concentrations.

## Constructions of strains

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Except for kre6-ts mutants, new strains were constructed either by integrating a plasmid carrying a modified gene at a genomic locus or by transferring a deletion or tagged allele of a gene from a plasmid or from one strain to another via PCR amplification and yeast transformation (Slubowski, et al., 2015; Lee, et al., 2013; Longtine, et al., 1998) (see footnotes in Table S1). For the construction of kre6-ts mutants, see the section below.

## Primers and plasmids

All PCR primers and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S2. All PCR primers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). All new constructs were validated by sequencing performed at the DNA Sequencing Facility, University of Pennsylvania. Plasmids YIp128-CDC3-GFP (Gao, et al., 2007), pFA6a-link-yoEGFPSpHIS5, pFA6a-link-yomApple-CaURA3, and pFA6a-link-yomApple-Kan (Lee, et al., 2013), pFA6a-link-GFPEnvy-SpHis5 (Slubowski, et al., 2015), pFA6a-link-yoEGFPNatMX6 (Marquardt, et al., 2020), pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-TRP1, pFA6a-GFP(S65T)kanMX6, pFA6a-His3MX6 and pFA6a-TRP1 (Longtine, et al., 1998), YIplac128-GFPMLC1 (Feng, et al., 2015), and pRS305-CHS2-GFP (Wloka, et al., 2011) were described previously.

Plasmids pG366 (EXO84-GFP, integrative, TRP1) (Zhang, et al., 2005), bWL715 (Markus, et al., 2015), pFA6a-3GFP-KanMX6 (Wu, et al., 2006), pFA6a-URA3-KanMX6 (Onishi, et al., 2013), pJL68 (Larson, et al., 2008), pBK42 (Korinek, et al., 2000), and p4339 (Tong, et al., 2001) were generous gifts from Wei Guo (University of Pennsylvania), Wei-Lih Lee (Dartmouth College), Jian-Qiu Wu (The Ohio State University), John Pringle (Stanford University), Kelly Tatchell (Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center), John Chant (Harvard University), and Charles Boone (University of Toronto), respectively. The following plasmids were generated for this study: To generate pFA6a-GFPEnvy-KanMX6 and pFA6a-link-GFPEnvy-KanMX6, $\sim 0.7-\mathrm{kb}$ PacI-AscI fragment containing GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$ from pFA6a-link-GFPEnvy-SpHis5 (Slubowski, et al., 2015) was subcloned to replace $\sim 0.7-\mathrm{kb}$ PacI-AscI region of either pFA6a-yEGFP-KanMX (lab stock) or pFA6a-link-yoEGFP-Kan (Addgene \#44900), respectively. To generate pFA6a-link-ymNeonGreen-KanMX6, ~0.7kb PacI-AscI fragment containing ymNeonGreen from pFA6a-ymNeonGreen-KanMX6, a generous gift from Takashi Ito, was subcloned to replace $\sim 0.7-\mathrm{kb}$ PacI-AscI region of pFA6a-link-yoEGFP-Kan. Plasmids pRS305-CHS2(I750A)-GFP, pRS305-CHS2(S751A)GFP, and pRS305-CHS2(N797Q)-GFP were constructed by PCR-mediated site-directed mutagenesis (Carey, et al., 2013) using the plasmid pRS305-CHS2-GFP as the template DNA and the pair of primers P305 and P595, P306 and P596, and P307 and P597, respectively. Of note, during sequence validation, we found all plasmids, including the
template plasmid, carry unintended mutation corresponding to the deletion of the last five residues of Chs2 (aa 959-963). The following experiments confirmed that the deletion did not lead to a significant change in Chs2 kinetics at the division site (e.g., Figure 2C vs. Figure 5C). Plasmids pRS315-KRE6 and pRS315-ENVY-KRE6 were constructed by recombination-mediated plasmid construction (Oldenburg, et al., 1997). A DNA fragment containing KRE6 or GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-KRE6, ORF flanked by $\sim 1-\mathrm{kb}$ promoter and $\sim 0.5-\mathrm{kb}$ terminator regions were amplified by PCR from the chromosomal DNA of YEF8552 (lab stock, YEF473A background carrying WT KRE6 gene) or YEF8378 (GFPEnvy-KRE6, see Table S1 for details) and the pair of primers P229 and P606. Resultant PCR products were then assembled with XbaI-, NotI-, and BamHI-linearized pRS315 in yeast cells. Plasmids pRS305-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$ and pRS315-ENVY-KRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$ were generated by PCR-mediated sitedirected mutagenesis using the plasmid pRS305-KRE6 or pRS315-ENVY-KRE6, respectively, as the template DNA and the pair of primers P317 and P605. To generate pRS305-KRE6 and pRS305-ENVY-KRE6 ${ }^{\mathrm{QNQ}}, \sim 5.3-\mathrm{kb}$ or $\sim 6.0-\mathrm{kb}$ BglI fragment containing KRE6 or GFP Envy_kre6 ${ }^{Q N Q}$ from either pRS315-KRE6 or pRS315-ENVYKRE6 ${ }^{\text {QNQ }}$, respectively, was ligated into the $3.8-\mathrm{kb}$ Bgll backbone from pRS305. To generate pRS305-ENVY-KRE6(1-341), a DNA fragment carrying partial ORF of GFPEnvy_ KRE6 (from $\sim 1-\mathrm{kb}$ upstream of the start codon to the residue 341 of KRE6 followed by a new stop codon) was amplified by PCR using the chromosomal DNA of YEF8378 as the template DNA and the pair of primers P229 and P522. The resultant PCR product was then subcloned into ApaI- and SacI-digested pRS305 using the Quick-Fusion cloning kit (Bimake, Houston, TX, USA). To generate pRS316-ENVY-FKS1(1-789), a DNA fragment carrying partial ORF of GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}-F K S 1$ (from $\sim 1-\mathrm{kb}$ upstream of the start codon to the residue 789 of $F K S 1$ followed by a new stop codon) was amplified by PCR using the chromosomal DNA of YEF8407 (GFP ${ }^{\text {Envy }}$-FKS1, see Table $\mathbf{S 1}$ for details) as the template DNA and the pair of primers P222 and P512. The resultant PCR product was then assembled with ApaI- and SacI-linearized pRS316 in yeast cells by recombinationmediated plasmid construction. The same GFP Envy_fks 1 (1-789) fragment was amplified by PCR using the pRS316-ENVY-FKS1(1-789) as the template DNA and the pair of primers

P222 and P512. The resultant PCR product was then subcloned into ApaI- and SacIdigested pRS307 (Addgene \#51785) using the Quick-Fusion cloning kit to generate pRS307-ENVY-FKS1(1-789). To generate pRS316-KRE6 (pYO3165), a ~4.0-kb SalIBamHI fragment from pYO3162 [lab stock, pBluescript KS (+) backbone, carrying $\sim 4.0-\mathrm{kb}$ ClaI-ApaI region containing yeast chromosomal KRE6 subcloned from YGPM10h21 (Yeast Genomic Tiling Collection, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), sandwiched by SalIBamHI site] was subcloned into SalI-BamHI site of pRS316 (low-copy, URA3). To generate YIp128-proHIS3-yEGFP-TPM1-tADH1, a DNA fragment containing TPM1 ORF was amplified by PCR using YIp128-proACT1-TPM1-yeGFP-tADH1 (lab stock, carrying WT TPM1) as the template DNA and set of primers P288 and P580 with the introduction of SpeI site followed by GGSGGTGG linker and AscI-site, at 5' and 3' ends of TPM1 ORF, respectively. The resultant PCR product was digested by SpeI and AscI and then was ligated into SpeI- and AscI-digested YIp128-proHIS3-GFP-ECM25-(536-588AA)-tADH1 [lab stock, integrative, LEU2, expresses N-terminally GFP-tagged Ecm25 (aa 536-588) by HIS3 promoter] to replace the insert of ECM25-(536-588AA). The resultant plasmid carries the expression cassette of N-terminally GFP-tagged Tpm1 under HIS3 promoter control. Plasmid YEplac112-CYK3 ${ }^{\text {TGcs }}$ was constructed by inverse PCR-mediated fusion cloning (Raman and Martin, 2014). A DNA fragment containing the entire template plasmid except the TGc region of CYK3 (aa 516-581) was amplified by inverse PCR using pBK42 (YEplac112-CYK3) (Korinek, et al., 2000) as the template DNA and primers with P632 and P633. The resultant PCR product was self-assembled by the Quick-Fusion cloning kit.

## Generation of kre6-ts mutants

Temperature-sensitive mutations in KRE6 (i.e. kre6-ts mutations) were made by plasmidbased diploid shuffle (Ben-Aroya, et al., 2010). In brief, error-prone PCR (Cadwell and Joyce, 1992) was performed using pYO3165 as a template DNA to generate a library of mutagenized KRE6 fragments, hereafter kre6* $^{*}$, which contained the full-length kre6* ORF with $\sim 0.5-\mathrm{kb}$ flanking regions of promoter and terminator. The resultant PCR product and

HindIII-linearized vector pYO 3166 (lab stock, identical to pYO 3165 except for $\sim 1.7-\mathrm{kb}$ HindIII-SacI region, which includes the $\sim 1.5-\mathrm{kb}$ KRE6 ORF, was replaced by a short linker) were mixed and then assembled into plasmids by a recombination-based method in YOC4875 (lab stock, KRE6/kre64::kanMX4 skn1D/skn1D CAN1/can1 $\Delta:: L E U 2-M F A 1 p r-$ HIS3). Ura+ transformants were sporulated, plated on MM-Ura, and then incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days to select MATa haploid strains carrying a plasmid-borne kre6* allele (MATa kre64::kanMX4 skn1D can1D::LEU2-MFAlpr-HIS3 [CEN, URA3, kre6*]). Obtained colonies were replica-plated to new MM-Ura plates and incubated at either the permissive $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or restrictive $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ temperature for two days. Cells that grew under $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but not under $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were selected as $k r e 6-t s$ candidates to purify the plasmid. The kre6-ts mutations were verified by sequencing.

To validate these mutations as bona fide kre6-ts alleles, $\sim 4.0-\mathrm{kb}$ SalI-BamHI fragments from plasmids were subcloned into SalI-BamHI site of SB221, a KanMX4-URA3 switcher cassette plasmid and a generous gift from Philip Hieter (Ben-Aroya, et al., 2008). The resultant plasmids were digested by NotI within the switcher cassette fragment, generating a linearized fragment harboring either kre $^{\text {N461S }}$ - or kre $^{E 582 G_{-}}$URA3 that was sandwiched by the 5 ' and 3 ' fragments of $\operatorname{KanMX4}$. The linearized fragments were used for transformation of YOC4875 to replace chromosomal kre64::KanMX by kre6-ts-URA3 cassette. Ura+ transformants were sporulated, plated on MM-Ura-G418, and then incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days to select MATa haploid strain carrying kre6-ts allele at its endogenous locus (MATa kre64::kanmx4::kre6-ts-URA3 skn1D can1D::LEU2-MFAlpr-HIS3). After confirming their ts phenotype, these strains were crossed with MAT $\alpha$ skn $1 \Delta:: K a n M X 4$ (EUROSCARF) and then subjected to tetrad dissection to eliminate the MATa selection marker as well as to confirm the 2:2 Mendelian segregation patterns for the ts mutations.

## Imaging and data analysis

For time-lapse microscopy, cells were cultured to exponential phase at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in SC medium, briefly sonicated at $15 \%$ power for 5 seconds to declump (model Q55, Qsonica, Newtown, CT, USA), concentrated by centrifugation, and spotted onto a poly-lysine-coated glassbottom dish, and then embedded with SC containing agarose (Okada, et al., 2017). For imaging experiments with drug perfusion, cells were cultured and harvested as aforementioned and spotted onto concanavalin A (Sigma)-coated glass-bottom dish, and then drug-containing SC medium was added. Images were acquired at room temperature $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ by a spinning-disk confocal microscope (model Eclipse Ti-U, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a 100x/1.49NA oil objective (model CFI Apo TIRF 100x, Nikon), combined with a confocal scanner unit (model CSU-X1, Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan). An EMCCD camera (model Evolve 512 Delta, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) was used for capture. Solid-state lasers for excitation ( 405 nm for CW, 488 nm for GFP and 561 nm for RFP) were housed in a launch (model ILE-400, Spectral Applied Research, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada). The imaging system was controlled by MetaMorph version 7.8.10.0 (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA). Images were taken every 1 or 1.5 min with 12 z-stacks with a step-size of $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A sum or max projection was created with NIH ImageJ (1.51h). For the quantification of fluorescence intensities, the integrated density at the division site from sum projected images was calculated by subtracting the fluorescence intensity in the background area from the total intensity in an ImageJ-drawn polygon covering the division site. For calculating of constriction rate, we manually measured the myosin ring diameter during constriction from max projection images acquired from time-lapse imaging, and then calculate the slope of the diameter curve from 4 or 5-time points including midpoint of constriction. Data analyses were performed with Microsoft Excel and R (ver. 3.0.1).

## Quantification of chitin deposition in PS

To determine the relative chitin levels at the division site (data presented in Figure 5E), cells were grown to exponential phase in liquid SC-Trp at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were pelleted by
centrifugation and washed once with SC with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CW}$ (Sigma). Cells were then resuspended in the same medium and subjected to the imaging.

For the quantification of CW intensities, the integrated density at the division site from sum projected images was measured by subtracting the background intensity from the total intensity of the region of interest covered by ImageJ-drawn polygon. Cells at the later stage of cytokinesis, judged by breakage of mitotic spindle and completion of myosin constriction, were used for analysis.

## Yeast growth assay

Spot assay was performed to examine ts phenotype and sensitivity to CW of cells. Cells were cultured in YPD medium at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours, and cell culture was diluted with fresh YPD medium to the $0.3 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$. The cell suspension was subjected to 10 -fold serial dilutions and inoculated as $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of spot onto YPD-, or YPD-plate containing CW. After incubation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-6$ days, the cell growth on the plate was recorded. The growth curve of cells in liquid media was measured to determine ts phenotype. Cells were cultured to exponential phase at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in YPD medium and then split into two and diluted with fresh YPD medium to the $0.1 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$. Split cultures were incubated in a water bath shaker set at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 hours, and $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ values were measured every 2 hours for $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ samples and 1.5 hours for $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ samples.

## K1 killer toxin sensitivity assay

Cells were cultured to exponential phase at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in YM-1 medium and diluted with fresh low-pH YPD medium to the $0.25 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$. The culture medium was mixed with a prewarmed low-pH YPD medium containing low-melting agarose (final 1\%, SeaPlaque Agarose, Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and poured onto a low-pH YPD plate to make a yeast lawn. Then, spot $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of an overnight culture (in YM-1 and at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the K 1 killer toxin-
producing strain (NCYC232, at $1.4 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$ ). After incubation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days, the cell growth on the plate was recorded. ImageJ was used to measure the width of the growth inhibition zone.

## Quantification and statistical analysis

For the statistical analyses on chitin levels at the division site (related to Figure 5E) and K1 killer toxin sensitivity (related to Figure 6F), a two-sided unpaired t-test (assuming unequal variances) was performed. For the correlation analysis on kinetic signature (related to Tables S4 and S5), a Pearson correlation coefficient between mean values of protein accumulation kinetics from selected time points ( -10 to +30 min ) was calculated. " $n$ " refers to the number of cells analyzed unless indicated otherwise.
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