

# Comorbid pain in axial spondyloarthritis, including fibromyalgia

Clementina López-Medina  and Anna Moltó 

*Ther Adv Musculoskel Dis*

2020, Vol. 12: 1–7

DOI: 10.1177/  
1759720X20966123

© The Author(s), 2020.  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

**Abstract:** The main symptom in patients with axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is inflammatory back pain, caused principally by inflammation of the sacroiliac joints and the spine. However, not all back pain in patients with axSpA is related to active inflammation: other types of pain can occur in these patients, and may be related to structural damage (e.g. ankylosis), degenerative changes, vertebral fractures or comorbid fibromyalgia, which are not uncommon in these patients. Structural damage and ankylosis may lead to a biomechanical stress, which can lead to chronic mechanical pain; and degenerative changes of the spine may also exist in patients with axSpA also leading to mechanical pain. Osteoporosis is more prevalent in axSpA patients than in the general population, and vertebral fractures may result in acute bone pain, which can persist for several months. Fibromyalgia, which is also more prevalent in patients with chronic inflammatory diseases (including axSpA), presents with widespread pain which can mimic enthesal pain. A correct diagnosis of the origin of the pain is crucial, since treatments and management may differ considerably. Recognizing these causes of pain may be a challenge in clinical practice, especially for fibromyalgia, which can coexist with axSpA and may have a significant impact on biologic drug response.

In this review, we provide an update of the most common causes of pain other than inflammatory back pain in axSpA patients, and we discuss the latest management options for such causes.

**Keywords:** fibromyalgia, pain, spondyloarthritis

Received: 22 June 2020; revised manuscript accepted: 7 September 2020.

## Introduction

Spondyloarthritis (SpA) is a chronic inflammatory disease that mainly affects the axial skeleton (spine and sacroiliac joints), peripheral joints and entheses.<sup>1</sup> Patients with predominantly axial symptoms can be classified as axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA), which encompasses patients with radiographic axSpA (r-axSpA, with advanced structural damage on X-ray) and nonradiographic axSpA (nr-axSpA, no definitive signs of structural damage on X-ray).<sup>2</sup> The hallmark symptom in patients with axSpA is inflammatory back pain (IBP), which is caused principally by inflammation of the sacroiliac joints and spine. This symptom has been well defined, and it is characterized by insidious onset, morning stiffness, improvement by movement, awakenings because of back pain during the second half of the night and good response to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).<sup>3–5</sup> However,

other type of axial pain may be related to syndesmophytes, ankylosis, vertebral fractures and degenerative changes, which are common in patients with long-standing axSpA. Other rare causes with possible neurological signs are subarachnoid cysts and atlanto-axial dislocation.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, fibromyalgia (FM) can frequently be associated with axSpA, representing diagnostic and treatment dilemmas because some clinical features of axSpA (e.g. pain at entheses) can be also found in patients with FM.<sup>7</sup>

Determining the cause of pain other than inflammation in axSpA patients may be challenging in clinical practice.

## *Syndesmophytes and ankylosis*

Axial SpA is characterized by local inflammation in the entheses at the annulus-bone junction or in

Correspondence to:  
**Anna Moltó**  
Rheumatology  
Department, Hôpital  
Cochin, Rue Fbg. Saint  
Jacques, Paris, 75014,  
France

INSERM (U1153) PRES  
Sorbonne Paris-Cité,  
Université de Paris, Paris,  
France

[anna.molto@aphp.fr](mailto:anna.molto@aphp.fr)

**Clementina López-Medina**  
Rheumatology  
Department, Hôpital  
Cochin, Assistance  
Publique-Hôpitaux de  
Paris, Paris, France

INSERM (U1153) PRES  
Sorbonne Paris-Cité,  
Université de Paris, Paris,  
France

Rheumatology  
Department, Reina Sofia  
Hospital/IMIBIC/University  
of Cordoba, Cordoba,  
Spain



the subcortical vertebral body, causing new bone formation and the development of syndesmophytes, resulting in vertebral fusion and ankylosis.<sup>8,9</sup> Patients with long-standing axSpA show a gradual loss of the normal lumbar lordosis, often associated with spasms of paraspinal muscles which become tender.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, the excessive kyphosis of the thoracic spine observed in these patients may lead to a biomechanical stress producing chronic pain. Kyphosis produces an excessive stress on the ligaments and muscles of the thoracic spine producing local pain.<sup>11</sup> However, these patients may also experience inflammatory pain despite total ankylosis of the spine. In fact, van der Heijde *et al.* reported that patients with a total spinal ankylosis may also have active inflammatory disease and that the symptoms of active disease in these patients can be improved with anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$  treatment.<sup>12</sup>

#### *Degenerative changes*

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a key role in the diagnosis and evaluation of axSpA patients. MRI can show not only bone marrow oedema but also structural lesions for axSpA, such as fatty lesions, erosions and bone formation.<sup>13</sup> Apart from these lesions, MRI may also detect degenerative changes, which either occur in isolation or in combination with typical lesions for axSpA.<sup>14</sup> These degenerative changes might be mistaken for inflammatory lesions as sometimes they can be present with bone marrow oedema. It has been described that up to 70% of patients with recent axSpA show at least one degenerative lesions of the spine, concentrated predominantly in the lower lumbar spine.<sup>14</sup> The most frequent finding was degenerated discs (42.9%) (i.e. degenerative complex with the presence of disc degeneration and a high-intensity zone and herniation together in an intervertebral discs) and Schmorl nodes (36.7%) (i.e. projection of disc material into the vertebral endplate, resulting in an indentation of the vertebral endplate), while Modic type I and II changes were exceptions. Modic type I lesions, herniation (projection of disc material outside the vertebral contour), high-intensity zone and degenerative disc disease have shown a strong association with pain, being strongest in patients >35 years old.<sup>13</sup> Treatment for patients with mild to moderate pain is conservative and includes analgesics (i.e. acetaminophen or NSAIDs), neuropathic pain medication in case of radicular symptoms and

opioids.<sup>15</sup> Epidural steroid injections can be proposed in patients with radicular pain from herniated discs in patients who do not respond to conventional treatment.<sup>15</sup>

#### *Vertebral and spinal fractures*

Osteoporosis is the most frequent comorbidity among patients with SpA, with a prevalence of 13.4%.<sup>16</sup> Despite excessive bone formation in axSpA, these patients have a high risk of vertebral fracture (VF) due to the combination of low bone mineral density (BMD) and mechanical factors related to stiffening of the spine.<sup>6,17</sup> Increasing evidence suggests that changes in the biomechanical properties of the spine such as osteoporosis (rendering the vertebrae prone to fracture) and osteoproliferation (making the spine less flexible) play an intermediate role.<sup>17</sup>

The prevalence of VFs among the axSpA population has been reported to be between 6% and 20%, depending on the cohort.<sup>18–21</sup> However, this prevalence is even lower in recent axSpA cohorts, in which this prevalence is approximately 3.0% with an incidence of new VF of 1.1% over 5 years of follow up.<sup>22</sup> The majority of VFs in these patients are located at the thoracic spine, which is also the most frequent location of vertebral deformities (due to anterior corner erosions, squaring and wedging).

Despite the observation that >80% of VFs are asymptomatic in patients with axSpA,<sup>23</sup> sometimes they may result in bone pain and muscle spasm, and disabling pain can persist for several months.<sup>24,25</sup> Short-term bed rest and pain relief with acetaminophen and NSAIDs can be used as general measures; however, long-term therapy with calcitonin is not recommended. Spinal orthoses and corsets should be considered in the acute treatment phase to help immobilize the fracture site, reducing loads on fractured vertebrae and improving spinal alignment.<sup>25,26</sup> Physical therapy, such as ultrasound, hydrotherapy, early mobilization and stretching exercises, may be beneficial to patients in reducing pain and improving mobility.<sup>25</sup>

The management of chronic pain due to a long-standing VF may be a challenge, as these patients may experience chronic back pain related to degenerative changes adjacent to the VF. Moreover, due to the kyphosis, the biomechanics of the spine may be disrupted resulting in chronic

soft-tissue pain.<sup>25</sup> In case of persistent and severe pain, a vertebroplasty can be proposed, even if the role of vertebroplasty for treating acute or subacute osteoporotic vertebral fractures in routine practice is controversial.<sup>27</sup>

Spinal fractures (e.g. trans-discal fractures) are a different entity from VFs, as they are not related to low BMD. They can occur after a trauma in patients with an ankylosed spine, being their hallmark an injured posterior osteoligamentous component detected in MRI or in computerized tomography.<sup>28</sup> Direct compression by the fracture or by spinal epidural haematoma can lead to spinal cord injuries, necessitating urgent neurosurgical intervention. Moreover, subacute myelopathy can also occur with focal narrowing of the canal due to plane deformation after a displaced spinal fracture.<sup>28</sup>

#### *Cauda equina syndrome and atlantoaxial subluxation*

Neurological complications in axSpA patients are uncommon. Cauda equina syndrome is a rare but significant complication of long-standing axSpA patients.<sup>10</sup> It manifests clinically as sciatic pain in 22% of patients, low back pain in 10%, weakness in 62%, sensory loss in 96%, bladder dysfunction in 95% and bowel disfunction in 80%.<sup>29,30</sup> The pathophysiology is thought to include arachnoiditis and chronic dural inflammation, which may result in dural ectasias. Another hypothesis is that a reduced compliance and an expansible caudal sac resulting from excessive cerebrospinal fluid pressure lead to a capacious caudal sac, enlarging arachnoid diverticula, secondary erosion into bone and potential lumbosacral nerve root injury.<sup>23,31</sup> Myelography and MRI show a wide spinal canal without compressive lesions but with posterior lumbosacral arachnoidal diverticula.<sup>10</sup> Rheumatologists should consider cauda equina syndrome in patients with long-standing axSpA who have slowly progressing sensory and motor symptoms affecting the lower limbs with sphincter dysfunction.<sup>30</sup> Treatments for this syndrome include NSAIDs, corticosteroids, acetazolamide, lumbo-peritoneal shunting and laminectomy. These surgical procedures may improve or stop the neurological deterioration, but both procedures have significant risk of complications in patients with ankylosed spine.<sup>29</sup>

Spontaneous atlantoaxial subluxation is a well-recognized complication in patients with rheumatoid

arthritis. However, approximately 2% of patients with axSpA may show this complication, presenting with or without signs of spinal cord compression.<sup>23</sup> Duration of the disease is the major factor that determines atlantoaxial subluxation, but it is also associated with the presence of peripheral arthritis.<sup>32</sup> One possible explanation is that chronic systemic inflammation in these patients may lead to chronic synovitis resulting in bony erosion and ligamentous laxity that may result in instability.<sup>33</sup> Other causes of atlantoaxial subluxation may be sequelae of ossification of the anterior and posterior longitudinal ligaments and physical stresses (kyphosis of the dorsal spine and weight of the head at the C1–C2 level).<sup>23</sup> One of the most common symptom of atlantoaxial subluxation is neck pain at the C1–C2 or occipital level. Patients presenting with this condition can also suffer from paraesthesia, weakness or signs of myelopathy upon examination.

Management of atlantoaxial subluxation in rheumatic patients includes patient education, lifestyle modification, regular radiographic follow up and early surgical intervention when indicated.<sup>33</sup> Aggressive drug treatment with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in combination with TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors administered before the onset of cartilage destruction reduces the incidence of upper cervical abnormalities.<sup>33,34</sup>

#### *Fibromyalgia*

FM is a chronic condition characterized by widespread pain, which is the dominant symptom, associated with fatigue, nonrefreshed sleep, mood disturbance and cognitive impairment.<sup>35</sup> In the last few years, FM has been considered a comorbid condition in patients with rheumatic diseases, that is, coexistent clinical disorders that appear as a consequence of persistent inflammatory activity and/or treatment.<sup>36</sup> The prevalence of FM in the general population ranges from 2% to 7%, while it has been reported to be more frequent in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases. In axSpA patients specifically, it has been reported to have a prevalence of 25%.<sup>37,38</sup> However, this prevalence can be different depending on the use of different FM criteria. A recent study demonstrated that the prevalence of coexistent FM using the 2010 criteria was significantly higher than that using the 1990 criteria (24% versus 14%, respectively) in the same cohort.<sup>39</sup> Interestingly, Moltó *et al.* demonstrated that the prevalence using the Fibromyalgia Rapid Screening Tool (FiRST)

questionnaire<sup>40</sup> was even higher (37.8%), suggesting that concomitant FM is more frequent in axSpA patients than the general population but not more frequent than in other rheumatic diseases.<sup>41</sup>

Several studies have demonstrated that FM in axSpA patients is associated with peripheral enthesitis and the female sex.<sup>41</sup> FM is characterized by widespread pain and tenderness, which can be caused by enthesitis, present in up to 55.8% of patients with recent axSpA.<sup>42</sup> In fact, a significant degree of overlap between FM tender points and enthesitis sites in patients with IBP have been demonstrated.<sup>43</sup> Similarly, many FM patients can also suffer from spinal morning stiffness and they could fulfill IBP. For this reason, in 2018, a consensus-based definition of ultrasound-detected enthesitis in SpA and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) was published, which allows for its differentiation from noninflammatory enthesitis.<sup>44</sup> Interestingly, although nr-axSpA patients have shown a greater prevalence of enthesitis in comparison with r-axSpA,<sup>45</sup> the prevalence of coexistent FM using both the 2010 and the 1990 criteria was demonstrated to be more frequent in r-axSpA than in nr-axSpA, showing that nr-axSpA patients are not especially prone to have FM compared to patients with r-axSpA.<sup>39</sup> These results were confirmed in a French cohort in which an association between FM according to the FiRST questionnaire and absence of radiographic sacroiliitis was not found.<sup>41</sup>

Axial SpA patients presenting with concomitant FM exhibit higher scores for patient-reported outcomes (PROs) such as the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Activity Index (BASDAI).<sup>46</sup> Moreover, a definition based on “extreme PRO” has been validated as a surrogate marker of FM in axSpA in which the specific instruments for FM recognition are not available.<sup>47</sup> Interestingly, patients fulfilling the “extreme PRO” definition had similar characteristics as FM patients; they were frequently women, older and with a history of depression or antidepressants drugs use.<sup>46</sup> Axial SpA patients with concomitant FM also usually report significantly worse function, global severity scores and poorer quality of life.<sup>48</sup> For this reason, objective measures, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), and the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score play a key role in discriminating between pain caused by inflammatory activity and pain due to the interference of FM.<sup>49</sup>

The evaluation of FM in axSpA patients is of particular interest since the coexistence of this comorbidity impacts treatment and patient management. Because axSpA patients with concomitant FM usually present with higher scores on PROs, the evaluation of disease activity and treatment effect might be challenging and might lead to unnecessary initiation of biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs), dose escalations and switches.<sup>50</sup> In 2016, Bello *et al.* demonstrated that the percentage of patients initiating bDMARDs was similar between FM<sup>+</sup> and FM<sup>-</sup> patients; however, patients with concomitant FM were more likely to switch to other bDMARD treatments and the retention rate for the first bDMARD agent was shorter in the FM group.<sup>50</sup> These results suggested that FM should be screened for axSpA patients when initiating anti-TNF and/or evaluating its treatment effect, especially in the presence of peripheral and/or enthesitic symptoms and in the presence of extreme PROs. Later, a study conducted by Moltó *et al.* showed that concomitant FM has a significant impact on anti-TNF response after 12 weeks of follow up, but the impact was only observed when this effect is evaluated by PROs and not by objective biological parameters (i.e. CRP).<sup>41</sup> In the same line, Iannone *et al.* recently reported a significantly lower drug survival in psoriatic arthritis patients with concomitant FM, as well as lower rate of remission after 24 months of follow up.<sup>51</sup>

### Management of fibromyalgia

Patients with FM should be managed according to the European League Against Rheumatism revised recommendations published in 2017.<sup>52</sup> The overarching principles of these recommendations are, first, to comprehensively assess pain, function and psychosocial context; and, second, to objectively manage FM to improve health-related quality of life using a multidisciplinary approach that combines pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatment modalities. The management of FM comprises different steps. First, the use of exercise is strongly recommended, given its effect on pain, physical function and well-being (without distinction between aerobic or anaerobic exercise). Meditative movement therapies or mindfulness-based stress reduction are also recommended, since they improve sleep and quality of life, as well as physical therapies (acupuncture or hydrotherapy).

Hypnotherapy, massage and other alternative therapies are not recommended because of a lack of effectiveness. When patients do not respond to nonpharmaceutical treatments, pharmacological therapies should be considered, especially for patients with severe pain (tramadol, pregabalin, duloxetine) or sleep disturbance (amitriptyline, cyclobenzaprine, pregabalin). NSAIDs, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and strong opioids are not recommended because of a lack of efficacy and risk of side effects.

### Conclusion

Pain is the hallmark feature of axSpA, but it is important to recognize types of pain other than related to inflammation. Other causes of pain may be ankylosis, degenerative changes, vertebral fractures and FM. Determining the cause of pain in axSpA patients is crucial in clinical practice, as each patient will require a specific treatment.

### Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### ORCID iDs

Clementina López-Medina  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2309-5837>

Anna Moltó  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2246-1986>

### References

1. Sieper J, Braun J, Dougados M, *et al.* Axial spondyloarthritis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2015; 1: 15013.
2. Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, *et al.* The development of assessment of spondyloarthritis international society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 777–783.
3. Calin A, Porta J, Fries JF, *et al.* Clinical history as a screening test for ankylosing spondylitis. *JAMA* 1977; 237: 2613–2614.
4. Rudwaleit M, Metter A, Listing J, *et al.* Inflammatory back pain in ankylosing spondylitis: a reassessment of the clinical history for application as classification and diagnostic criteria. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006; 54: 569–578.
5. Sieper J, Van der Heijde D, Landewé R, *et al.* New criteria for inflammatory back pain in patients with chronic back pain: a real patient exercise by experts from the Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society (ASAS). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 784–788.
6. Pathan EMI and Inman RD. Pain in spondyloarthritis: a neuro-immune interaction. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2017; 31: 830–845.
7. Moltó A and Dougados M. Comorbidities in spondyloarthritis including psoriatic arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2018; 32: 390–400.
8. Tan S, Dasgupta A, Flynn JA, *et al.* Aortic-vertebral interaction in ankylosing spondylitis: syndesmophyte development at the juxta-aortic vertebral rim. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019; 78: 922–928.
9. Sieper J, Rudwaleit M, Baraliakos X, *et al.* The Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68(Suppl. 2): 1–44.
10. Khan MA and van der Linden S. Ankylosing spondylitis: clinical aspects. In: *SPINE: state of the art reviews*. Philadelphia: Hanley & Belfus, 1990, pp.529–551.
11. Ettinger B, Black DM, Palermo L, *et al.* Kyphosis in older women and its relation to back pain, disability and osteopenia: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *Osteoporos Int* 1994; 4: 55–60.
12. Van der Heijde D, Pangan AL, Schiff MH, *et al.* Adalimumab effectively reduces the signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis in patients with total spinal ankylosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008; 67: 1218–1221.
13. De Hooge M, Bruin F, Beer L, *et al.* Is the site of back pain related to the location of magnetic resonance imaging lesions in patients with chronic back pain? Results from the spondyloarthritis caught early cohort. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017; 69: 717–723.
14. De Bruin F, Treyvaud MO, Feydy A, *et al.* Prevalence of degenerative changes and overlap with spondyloarthritis-associated lesions in the spine of patients from the DESIR cohort. *RMD Open* 2018; 4: e000657.
15. Chien JJ and Bajwa ZH. What is mechanical back pain and how best to treat it? *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2008; 12: 406–411.
16. Moltó A, Etcheto A, van der Heijde D, *et al.* Prevalence of comorbidities and evaluation of

- their screening in spondyloarthritis: results of the international cross-sectional ASAS-COMOSPA study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; 75: 1016–1023.
17. Vosse D, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, *et al.* Ankylosing spondylitis and the risk of fracture: results from a large primary care-based nested case-control study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 1839–1842.
  18. van der Weijden MA, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, van Denderen JC, *et al.* High frequency of vertebral fractures in early spondylarthropathies. *Osteopor Int* 2012; 23: 1683–1690.
  19. Maas F, Spoorenberg A, van der Slik BPG, *et al.* Clinical risk factors for the presence and development of vertebral fractures in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017; 69: 694–702.
  20. Ghozlani I, Ghazi M, Nouijai A, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of osteoporosis and vertebral fractures in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Bone* 2009; 44: 772–776.
  21. Malochet-Guinamand S, Pereira B, Tatar Z, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of low bone mineral density in spondyloarthritis and prevalence of vertebral fractures. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2017; 18: 357.
  22. Sahuguet J, Fechtenbaum J, Moltó A, *et al.* Low incidence of vertebral fractures in early spondyloarthritis: 5-year prospective data of the DESIR cohort. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019; 78: 60–65.
  23. Wysham KD and Gensler LS. Clinical features of axial spondyloarthritis. In: Hochberg MC (ed.) *Rheumatology*. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2019, pp.1002–1008.
  24. Fink HA, Ensrud KE, Nelson DB, *et al.* Disability after clinical fracture in postmenopausal women with low bone density: the Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT). *Osteoporosis Int* 2003; 14: 69–76.
  25. Kendler DL, Bauer DC, Davison KS, *et al.* Vertebral fractures: clinical importance and management. *Am J Med* 2016; 129: 221.e1–10.
  26. Stadhouders A, Buskens E, Vergroesen DA, *et al.* Nonoperative treatment of thoracic and lumbar spine fractures: a prospective randomized study of different treatment options. *J Orthop Trauma* 2009; 23: 588–594.
  27. Buchbinder R, Johnson RV, Rischin KJ, *et al.* Percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 4: CD006349.
  28. Campagna R, Pessis E, Feydy A, *et al.* Fractures of the ankylosed spine: MDCT and MRI with emphasis on individual anatomic spinal structures. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009; 192: 987–995.
  29. Ahn NU, Ahn UM, Nallamshetty L, *et al.* Cauda equina syndrome in ankylosing spondylitis (the CES-AS syndrome): meta-analysis of outcomes after medical and surgical treatments. *J Spinal Disord* 2001; 14: 427–433.
  30. Lo C, Nair KP, Romanowski CA, *et al.* Horse's tail in bamboo spine: the "cauda equina syndrome in ankylosing spondylitis". *Pract Neurol* 2014; 14: 418–421.
  31. Ginsburg WW, Cohen MD, Miller GM, *et al.* Posterior vertebral body erosion by arachnoid diverticula in cauda equina syndrome: an unusual manifestation of ankylosing spondylitis. *J Rheumatol* 1997; 24: 1417–1420.
  32. Lee JS, Lee S, Bang SY, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors of anterior atlantoaxial subluxation in ankylosing spondylitis. *J Rheumatol* 2012; 39: 2321–2326.
  33. Yang SY, Boniello AJ, Poorman CE, *et al.* A review of the diagnosis and treatment of atlantoaxial dislocations. *Global Spine J* 2014; 4: 197–210.
  34. Wasserman BR, Moskovich R and Razi AE. Rheumatoid arthritis of the cervical spine—clinical considerations. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis* 2011; 69: 136–148.
  35. Häuser W, Ablin J, Fitzcharles MA, *et al.* Fibromyalgia. *Nat Rev Dis Primer* 2015; 13: 15022.
  36. López-Medina C and Moltó A. Update on the epidemiology, risk factors, and disease outcomes of axial spondyloarthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2018; 32: 241–253.
  37. Wolfe F, Ross K, Anderson J, *et al.* The prevalence and characteristics of fibromyalgia in the general population. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995; 38: 19–28.
  38. Zhao SS, Duffield SJ, Goodson NJ, *et al.* The prevalence and impact of comorbid fibromyalgia in inflammatory arthritis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2019; 33: 101423.
  39. Baraliakos X, Regel A, Kiltz U, *et al.* Patients with fibromyalgia rarely fulfil classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatology* 2018; 57: 1541–1547.
  40. Perrot S, Peixoto M, Dieudé P, *et al.* Patient phenotypes in fibromyalgia comorbid with systemic sclerosis or rheumatoid arthritis: influence of diagnostic and screening tests. Screening with the FiRST questionnaire, diagnosis with the ACR 1990 and revised

- ACR 2010 criteria. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2017; 35(Suppl. 105): 35–42.
41. Moltó A, Etcheto A, Gossec L, *et al.* Evaluation of the impact of concomitant fibromyalgia on TNF alpha blockers' effectiveness in axial spondyloarthritis: results of a prospective, multicentre study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77: 533–540.
  42. Nadon V, Moltó A, Etcheto A, *et al.* Clinical peripheral enthesitis in the DESIR prospective longitudinal axial spondyloarthritis cohort. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019; 37: 561–565.
  43. Roussou E and Ciurtin C. Clinical overlap between fibromyalgia tender points and enthesitis in patients with spondyloarthritis who present with inflammatory back pain. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2012; 30(Suppl. 74): 24–30.
  44. Balint PV, Terslev L, Aegerter P, *et al.* Reliability of a consensus-based ultrasound definition and scoring for enthesitis in spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: an OMERACT US initiative. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2018; 77: 1730–1735.
  45. López-Medina C, Ramiro S, van der Heijde D, *et al.* Characteristics and burden of disease in patients with radiographic and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis: a comparison by systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *RMD Open* 2019; 5: e001108.
  46. Dougados M, Logeart I, Szumski A, *et al.* Evaluation of whether extremely high enthesitis or Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) scores suggest fibromyalgia and confound the anti-TNF response in early non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2017; 35: 50–53.
  47. Santos-Faria D, Dougados M, Gossec L, *et al.* Evaluation of the performance of extreme patient-reported outcomes as surrogate markers for fibromyalgia in axial spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatol Int* 2019; 39: 141–146.
  48. Macfarlane GJ, Barnish MS, Pathan E, *et al.* Co-occurrence and characteristics of patients with axial spondyloarthritis who meet criteria for fibromyalgia: results from a UK National Register. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017; 69: 2144–2150.
  49. Alunno A, Carubbi F, Stones S, *et al.* The impact of fibromyalgia in spondyloarthritis: from classification criteria to outcome measures. *Front Med* 2018; 5: 290.
  50. Bello N, Etcheto A, Béal C, *et al.* Evaluation of the impact of fibromyalgia in disease activity and treatment effect in spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2016; 18: 42.
  51. Iannone F, Nivuori M, Fornaro M, *et al.* Comorbid fibromyalgia impairs the effectiveness of biologic drugs in patients with psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2020; 59: 1599–1606.
  52. Macfarlane GJ, Kronisch C, Dean LE, *et al.* EULAR revised recommendations for the management of fibromyalgia. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76: 318–328.

Visit SAGE journals online  
journals.sagepub.com/  
home/tab

 SAGE journals