Journal of Advanced Research 13 (2018) 69-100



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Advanced Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jare

Review

A review on the development of urease inhibitors as antimicrobial agents against pathogenic bacteria $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle\!\!\!\!\wedge}{}$



Yuri F. Rego^a, Marcelo P. Queiroz^a, Tiago O. Brito^b, Priscila G. Carvalho^b, Vagner T. de Queiroz^c, Ângelo de Fátima^{a,*}, Fernando Macedo Jr.^{b,*}

^a Departamento de Química, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^b Departamento de Química, Centro de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina, PR, Brazil

^c Departamento de Química e Física, Centro de Ciências Exatas, Naturais e da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Alegre, ES, Brazil

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 February 2018 Revised 30 April 2018 Accepted 1 May 2018 Available online 4 May 2018

Keywords: Urease inhibitors Urea Thiourea Heterocycles Phosphorated compounds

ABSTRACT

Ureases are enzymes that hydrolyze urea into ammonium and carbon dioxide. They have received considerable attention due to their impacts on living organism health, since the urease activity in microorganisms, particularly in bacteria, are potential causes and/or factors contributing to the persistence of some pathogen infections. This review compiles examples of the most potent antiurease organic substances. Emphasis was given to systematic screening studies on the inhibitory activity of rationally designed series of compounds with the corresponding SAR considerations. Ureases of *Canavalia ensiformis*, the usual model in antiureolytic studies, are emphasized. Although the active site of this class of hydrolases is conserved among bacteria and vegetal ureases, the same is not observerd for allosteric site. Therefore, inhibitors acting by participating in interactions with the allosteric site are more susceptible to a potential lack of association among their inhibitory profile for different ureases. The information

* This work was made possible partly by the Network for the Development of Novel Urease Inhibitors (www.redniu.org).

Peer review under responsibility of Cairo University.

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: adefatima@qui.ufmg.br (Â. de Fátima), macedofc@uel.br (F. Macedo Jr.).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2018.05.003

2090-1232/© 2018 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

about the inhibitory activity of different classes of compounds can be usefull to guide the development of new urease inhibitors that may be used in future in small molecular therapy against pathogenic bacteria. © 2018 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Urease, an enzyme that strictly depends on nickel ions (Ni^{2+}) [1], is a type of hydrolase that accelerates the rate of urea hydrolvsis to ammonia (NH₃) and carbamic acid, which disproportionates into ammonia and carbon dioxide (CO₂), by one hundred trillionfold (Scheme 1) [2-4]. Since its discovery in plants [5], Canavalia ensiformis (Fabaceae) urease has been exhaustively used as a model to develop new urease inhibitors for use in clinical and agricultural applications [6] and has become the milestone in biochemistry as the first enzyme to be crystallized [7]. The versatile uses of the purified urease from C. ensiformis in the discovery of new urease inhibitors is in part due to the similarity of amino acid sequences among ureases from multiple species [8], suggesting the presence of a common ancestor of this enzyme. The first complete threedimensional structure of a urease was reported by Jabri and coworkers in 1995 from crystallography studies performed with urease from Klebsiella aerogenes [9]. Later, other structures were disclosed for ureases from Bacillus pasteurii [10], Helicobacter pylori [11] and, most recently, *C. ensiformis* [12]. Indeed, the elucidation of the urease structure from a legume was crucial to obtain a better understanding of the requirements for the ureolytic activity of this class of enzymes in different organisms [12].

The increase in medium pH caused by the accumulation of NH₃ is a urease trait of tremendous medical importance [4]. Urine and/ or gastrointestinal infections by ureolytic bacteria cause health complications in humans and animals, including kidney stone formation, pyelonephritis, hepatic encephalopathy and, ultimately, hepatic coma [4,13]. Therefore, major public health issues are related to Helicobacter pylori, a gram-negative bacterial species that is able to survive in an acidic environment, such as the stomach (pH 1-2). Consequently, H. pylori infections induce gastric inflammation and increase the risk of developing duodenal and gastric ulcers, gastric adenocarcinoma and gastric lymphoma [4,14]. Approximately 50% of the global population is infected with H. pylori. This bacteria species can persist in the stomach throughout the life of infected individuals without causing disease symptoms. The high prevalence of *H. pylori* in the human population indicates that this microorganism has developed mechanisms of resistance against host defenses [14]. The urease enzyme in the cytoplasm and/or bound to the H. pylori surface is the main virulence factor of this human pathogen [15,16]. Urease represents up to 10% of the total protein content of *H. pylori* [17]. Moreover, the lysis of some pathogen-infected cells leads to the release of cytosolic ureases that bind to the surface of intact bacterial cells and cause the hydrolysis of urea, which is present in the human gut at a concentration of 3 mM. The resulting production of NH₃ increases the medium pH, creating a permissive environment that promotes H. pylori survival [15,18]. During the past 20 years, the recommended first-line therapy for *H. pylori* eradication consists of a combination

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ H_2N \\ H_2N \\ H_2 \end{array} + H_2O \\ H_2O \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \\ H$$

Scheme 1. Representation of urea hydrolysis catalyzed by ureases.

of the antibiotics amoxicillin and clarithromycin with omeprazole, a proton pump cell inhibitor. However, the increase in *H. pylori* resistance to these antibiotics (particularly to clarithromycin) has rendered these therapeutics an ineffective option in recent years [3,19,20]. Indeed, other treatment strategies have emerged to fight *H. pylori* infection, including the use of bismuth salts (a metal with antiurease properties [21]) combined with a proton pump inhibitor or combinations of other classes of antibiotics as fluoroquinolones, aminopenicillins, and tetracyclines [3,20,22].

Urease is also produced by most strains of Proteus mirabilis and Staphylococcus saprophyticus [23]. P. mirabilis, a gram-negative bacteria, causes a variety of community- or hospital-acquired illnesses, including urinary tract, wound, and bloodstream infections [24]. One of the major factors known to be involved in *Proteus* mirabilis-induced urinary crystal formation is the bacterial urease, a well-known virulence factor of this microorganism [25-27]. Indeed, the *P. mirabilis* urease increases the pH of the urinary tract and causes the local supersaturation and formation of carbonate apatite and struvite crystals [28]. In addition, the ability of a urease-negative mutant of P. mirabilis urease to colonize the urinary tract is approximately 100-fold less than the parent strain [26,29]. S. saprophyticus, a spherical bacterium of the grampositive coccus group, is also a frequent cause of urinary tract infections [30]. Among the virulence factors in *S. saprophyticus*, urease is a major factor contributing to the invasiveness of this bacteria [31], particularly in the bladder tissue, whereas its persistence in the urinary tract and nephropathogenicity are governed by factors other than urease [32]. Indeed, P. mirabilis and S. saprophyticus are some of the primary etiological agents related to urinary tract infections [33,34], and urease is one of the key virulence factors that allows these pathogens to successfully infect the urinary tract [34-36].

Another urease-dependent human pathogen is *Yersinia enterocolitica*, a well-known enteric pathogen that causes yersiniosis [37– 39], is an invasive enteric pathogen that gains access to the body *via* the oral route through the consumption of contaminated food or water [40,41]. This bacteria causes a wide spectrum of clinical disorders, ranging from self-limiting gastroenteritis to mesenteric lymphadenitis, visceral abscesses, septicemia in immunocompromised hosts, and reactive arthritis [40–42]. Although *Y. enterocolitica* grows optimally at a pH of approximately 7.0 to 8.0, these bacteria remains viable in acidic conditions (pH 4.4) for 48 h [43]. The ability of certain *Y. enterocolitica* strains to survive the high acidity of some foods and *in vitro* acidic conditions suggests that these bacteria are relatively acid tolerant [44,45]. The mechanism underlying the acid tolerance of *Y. enterocolitica* has been proposed to be due to the urease activity present in this species [44,46].

Due the tremendous medical importance of urease, urease inhibitors with improved stability and low toxicity may be an effective therapy against diseases caused by urease-dependent pathogenic microorganisms. Here, we present an overview of the most relevant organic substances that exert antiureolytic inhibitory effects on ureases. The urease inhibitors presented here are organized into five classes according to their chemical structures, namely: (thio) urea derivatives, five- and six-membered heterocycles, barbituric analogues and phosphoramidated substances. Urease inhibitors derived from natural products and metal complexes will not addressed in this review since very good reviews of these compounds have been published elsewhere [6,47].

Organic substances as urease inhibitors

(Thio)urea derivatives

The development of enzyme inhibitors based on the molecular structure of the native substrate is an approach commonly used in rational drug design. Several systematic screens of urease inhibitors designed based on the urea structure have been conducted, particularly in the last 10 years.

In one of the first of these studies, a series of N^1 , N^2 -di- and trisubstituted urea derivatives were synthesized, and their inhibitory activities against *Canavalia ensiformis* urease were tested [48]. N^1 , N^2 -diaryl derivatives containing nitro groups at both phenyl rings (like compound **1**, Scheme 2) show low micromolar inhibition of *C. ensiformis* urease.

More recently, Mustafa and co-workers identified several novel urease inhibitors [49]. The authors designed and synthesized N^1 -toluoyl, N^2 -substituted urea derivatives and evaluated them using *in vitro* enzyme-inhibition assays that included *C. ensiformis* urease. Three compounds containing a methoxy group in the phenyl ring [compounds **2**, **3** and **4** (Series A), Scheme 3] exhibited the strongest inhibition of the urease enzyme (47 to 59%). Notably, each of the three abovementioned inhibitors contains its tolyl moiety with different substitution patterns (*ortho, meta* or *para* position), suggesting that the inhibitory activity is not substantially affected by the position of R¹.

In 2002, Uesato et al., based on the urease inhibiting capacity of hydroxyurea, synthesized N^1 -hydroxy- N^2 -substituted derivatives and evaluated their inhibitory activity towards urease using hydroxyurea as a substrate (Scheme 4) [50]. Ortho- and para substitutions at the phenyl ring decreased the inhibitory activity, possibly because of the steric hindrance provided by the groups at these positions, which might diminish the hydroxamic acid connection with the active site. A few years later, using the same motivation, Rajic and co-workers synthesized hydroxamic acid derivatives, tested their antiurease activity and found that only the derivatives bearing a hydroxyl group inhibited urease activity [51].

More recently, many investigations examining thiourea-based urease inhibitors have developed thiourea derivatives that present higher inhibitory potency than their urea counterparts. Khan and co-workers have synthesized a variety of substituted thioureas and screened their urease inhibitory activity [52]. Substitutions with functional groups attached to the phenyl or heterocyclic ring around the thiourea core and compounds with substituents containing lone electron pairs exert a decisive effect on the urease inhibitory activity. The strongest inhibitory activity (IC_{50} 8.43 µM)

 $R^1 = IC_6H_4$, 4-(NO₂)C₆H₄, 2,4-(Cl)C₆H₃

R² = H, CH₃, C₄H₉, C₂H₅ R³ = CH₃, C₃H₇, C₄H₉, C₂H₅, C₆H₅, 4-NO₂-C₆H₄, 2-Pyridinyl,

3-Pyridinyl, 4-Pyridinyl, Morpholinyl, (C_2H_4) C_6H_5 .

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21.0 μ M)

Series: 14 *compounds* (IC_{50} = 1.25 *to* 55.6 μ *M*)

Best inhibitor: **1** ($IC_{50} = 1.25 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$; $R^2 = H$; $R^3 = 4-NO_2-C_6H_4$) *Values of IC_{50} determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 2. Chemical structures of N^1 , N^2 -di- and tri-substituted urea derivatives reported as potential urease inhibitors.





 R^2 = pyrrolidin-2-one, mesityl, ethylbenzene,

4-methoxyphenyl, benzothiazolyl, 1-(o-toluyl)ethanone

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (Inhibition = 98.2 %)

Series A: 14 compounds (Inhibition= 0.30 to 59.1 %)

Series B: 03 compounds (Inhibition= 19.9 to 37.7 %)

Best inhibitors: **2 (A)** (Inhibition= 59.1 %; R¹ = 4-CH₃; R² = anisole),

3 (A) (Inhibition = 53.2 %; R¹ = 3-CH₃; R² = anisole),

4 (A) (Inhibition= 47.5 %; R¹ = 2-CH₃; R² = anisole).

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 3. Chemical structures of N^1 -toluoyl- N^2 -substituted urea derivatives described as urease inhibitors.

was observed for the derivative bearing a 3-pyridyl substituent (compound **8**, Scheme 5).

Taha and co-workers screened a series of symmetrical *bis*thiourea derivatives bearing a disulfide moiety [53] (Scheme 6). Compounds with different substituted phenyl rings at both terminal nitrogens were evaluated as inhibitors of *C. ensiformis* urease. The presence of a fluorine atom at the phenyl groups, regardless of the position, lead to high inhibition (compounds **8**, **9** and **13**; Scheme 6). Comparable inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ ranging between 0.4 and 1.7 μ M) was observed for derivatives containing *para*-Cl-phenyl (**14**) *para*-CF₃-phenyl (**12**) or electron-releasing substituents, such as methyl and methoxy groups at *para* or *ortho* positions (compounds **10**, **11** and **15**). In addition, these compounds were considered nontoxic, based on a cytotoxicity assay.

The introduction of the benzoyl moiety at the thiourea nitrogen atom was extensively probed in the literature in studies involving N^{1} -benzoyl, N^{2} -aryl substituted derivatives (Scheme 7) [54–58]. The beneficial effect of the benzoyl group on the inhibitory activity towards C. ensiformis urease was evidenced in a direct comparison between extent of inhibition achieved by the monosubstituted Nbenzoyl thiourea and thiourea [54]. Additionally, kinetic experiments designed to probe the mechanism of urease inhibition suggested that the benzoyl thiourea derivatives acted as mixed-type inhibitors that bound to either catalytic or allosteric sites of the enzyme [54]. In the same paper, the screen of the inhibitory activities revealed eight title derivatives showing percent inhibition values (51 to 72%) comparable to the positive control hydroxyurea (74%). Compounds containing electron-donating and electronwithdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring showed variable inhibitory activities [56]. Nevertheless, the presence of *p*-tertbutyl, o-NO₂, (*m*- or *p*-)Cl or (*m*- or *p*-)Br at the phenyl ring attached to N^2 of the thiourea core enhanced the inhibitory activity [54]. Similarly, Rauf and co-workers (2016) revealed that benzoylthioureas bearing the 2,4,6- thichlorophenyl group as a substituent (compound 19 – Series A; Scheme 7) (IC_{50} 1.67 μ M) and derivatives with 2,4-dichlorophenyl (compound 17 - Series A; Scheme 7) and 2,3-dichlorophenyl groups (compound 18 - Series A; Scheme 7) $(IC_{50} 1.34 \text{ and } 1.92 \mu \text{M}, \text{ respectively})$ were much more active than the standard inhibitor (thiourea, IC_{50} 22.3 μ M) [56].

The effect of a phenyl ring bearing either *para*-ethyl benzoate [58] or *para*-sulfanilamide [57] at N^2 nitrogen of benzoylthioureas

В





Series A: 13 compounds (IC₅₀ = 12.7 to 533.3 μM) [50]

 $R^1 = F, CH_3, OCH_3, NO_2$

Standard inhibitor: Hydroxyurea (IC₅₀ = 22.3 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **5** (IC_{50} = 12.7 μ M; R^1 = 3-CH₃); **6** (IC_{50} = 16.2 μ M; R^1 = 3-OCH₃)

Series B: 18 compounds ($IC_{50} = 37.4 \mu M$ to no activity) [51]

R² = CH(CH₃)-[4-CH₂CH(CH₃)₂-C₆H₄], CH(CH₃)(3-OC₆H₅-C₆H₄), CH(CH₃)(3-COC₆H₅-C₆H₄), CH₂-[2-NH(2,6-Cl-C₆H₃)-C₆H₄], 3-CH₂-{1,2,5-[CO(4-Cl-C₆H₄]]-CH₃-OCH₃-indol}, CH(CH₃)-(3-CH₂C₆H₅-C₆H₄)

 $R^3 = H, CH_3$

 $R^4 = H, CH_3, CH_2CH_3, CH_2C_6H_5$

Best inhibitor: **7** (IC_{50} = 37.4 μ M; R^2 = CH_2 -[2-NH(2,6-Cl-C₆H₃)-C₆H₄]; R^3 = H; R^4 =H) *Values of IC_{50} determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 4. Chemical structures of N¹-hydroxy-N²-substituted derivatives described as urease inhibitors.

$$R^2 N H H H R^1$$

$$R^1 = H, CI$$

 $R^2 = Aryl or Alkyl$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (K_i = 20.01 ± 0.020 μ M)

Series: 38 compounds ($K_i = 8.6 \pm 0.024$ to $19.29 \pm 0.026 \mu M$)

Best inhibitor: **8** (K_i = 8.6 ± 0.024 μ M; R^1 = H; R^2 = 3-pyridyl)

*Values of K_i determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 5. Chemical structures of *N*¹-aryl-*N*²-(aryl or alkyl)-substituted thiourea derivatives that possesses antiureolytic activity.

was evaluated in the studies developed by Saeed and co-workers. The *in vitro* assays using *C. ensiformis* urease showed very high inhibitory activities for most of the tested derivatives. Among the N^2 -para-benzoate series, compounds bearing a 4-methoxy group (compound **22** Series A; Scheme 8) and 3,4-dimethoxy substituent (compound **21** Series A; Scheme 8) at the benzoyl group showed the best urease inhibition, with IC₅₀ values of 0.21 and 0.13 μ M, respectively. Notably, compounds derived from 3-chloro and 2,4-dichloro benzoic acid also showed comparable IC₅₀ values [58].

Among the series of sulfanilamide thioureas, the most active compounds contain 4-chloro (compound **23** Series B; Scheme 8) and 2-chloro-5-nitro (compound **24** Series B; Scheme 8) substituents on aryl group and showed K_i values of 0.20 and 0.44 μ M, respectively. According to the analysis of the structure–activity relationship, substituents with an electron-withdrawing group located at the 4-aryl group were the most potent inhibitors [57].

In 2017, Saeed and co-workers reported the synthesis of two series of *N*-acyl thioureas derived from myristic (Series B, Scheme 9) [59] and palmitic acids (Series A, Scheme 9) [60]. The



$$\begin{split} R &= H, \ CH_3, \ F, \ Br, \ OCH_3, \ 3-NO_2, \ 4-NO_2, \ 4-CF_3, \ 3,4-Cl, \ 4-Cl-, \ 2-Cl. \\ Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC_{50} = 19.46 \ \mu M) \\ Series: 20 \ compounds (IC_{50} = 0.40 \ to \ 18.60 \ \mu M) \\ Best inhibitors: 8 (IC_{50} = 0.40 \ \mu M; \ R = 4-F); \\ 9 (IC_{50} = 0.70 \ \mu M; \ R = 2-F); 10 (IC_{50} = 1.10 \ \mu M; \ R = 2-CH_3); \\ 11 (IC_{50} = 1.40 \ \mu M; \ R = 4-CH_3); \\ 12 (IC_{50} = 1.50 \ \mu M; \ R = 4-CF_3); 13 (IC_{50} = 1.70 \ \mu M; \ R = 3-F); \\ 14 (IC_{50} = 1.60 \ \mu M; \ R = 4-CI); 15 (IC_{50} = 1.70 \ \mu M; \ R = 2-OCH_3) \\ * Values of \ IC_{50} \ determined \ with \ urease \ from \ Canavalia \ ensiform is \\ \end{split}$$

Scheme 6. Chemical structures of symmetrical (*bis*)-thiourea which possesses antiurease activity.

inhibitory effects of substituted phenyl rings at the remaining nitrogen atom on *C. ensiformis* urease were evaluated. All tested compounds presented very low μ M IC₅₀ values (c.a. 0.01 to 0.09). One compound with a chlorine atom on the phenyl ring was identified as the most active inhibitor in each of the studied series (compounds **25** and **26**). Curiously, the chlorine atom of the most active acyl-thiourea derivative of palmitic acid [60] is attached at the *para* position, whereas the most active derivative of the myristic acid series [59] contains its chlorine at the *meta* position. Kinetic investigations of these two compounds indicated a non-competitive inhibitory profile for this class of compounds.



A or B Series A: 64 compounds (IC₅₀ = 1.23 to 74.91 μM) **[55, 56]**

$$R^{\perp} = H, 3-CI$$

 R^2 = H, Mono, di and tetra-substituted: F, Cl, Br, NO₂, CF₃, CH₃, CH₂CH₃, CH₂CH₂CH₃, OCH₃

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 22.3 \mu M$)

18 ($IC_{50} = 1.92 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = 3$ -Cl; $R^2 = 2.3$ -Cl).

19 (
$$IC_{50} = 1.67 \,\mu\text{M}$$
; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 2,4,6$ -Cl)

Series B: 65 compounds (% inhibition \leq 5 to 73.9%) [54]

$$R_1 = NO_2, OCH_3, CI$$

$$R_2 = H, F, Cl, Br, CH_3, tBu, OH, OCH_3$$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (% inhibition = 22.6);

Best inhibitors: **20** (% inhibition = 73.9%; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 4$ -Cl)

Scheme 7. Chemical structures of N^1 -benzoyl, N^2 -aryl substituted derivatives described as urease inhibitors.



R = 4-OCH₃, 3,4-OCH₃, 4-Cl, 2-Cl-5-NO₂.

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀= 20.3 μ M and Ki = 23.0 μ M)

Series A: 10 compounds (IC₅₀ = 0.13 to 1.07 μM)

Series B: 20 compounds (Ki = 0.20 to 53.0μ M)

Best inhibitors: **21 (A)** (IC₅₀ = 0.13 μM; R = 3,4-Cl);

Scheme 8. Chemical structures of potential urease inhibitors based on benzoate or

sulfanilamide thioureas.

Jamil and co-workers reported the results of a screen for symmetrical isophthalyl-*bis*-(thioureas) (Scheme 10) [61]. The presence of an electron-withdrawing substituent at each terminal nitrogen was identified as a crucial factor determining the inhibitory activity of the four most active compounds (**27–30**).

Eighteen substituted benzylidene thiosemicarbazide derivatives synthesized by Aslam and co-workers (2011) [62] (Scheme 11) showed low IC_{50} values for *C. ensiformis* urease. Compounds bearing 3-NO₂ and 4-N(CH₃)₂ as substituents showed very good inhibitory activity (compounds **31** and **32**, respectively).



R = 4-Cl, 2-Cl, 2,4-NO₂, 2-CN-4-NO₂, 3-Cl, 4-CH₃, 4-COOH, acetyl, 2,3-Cl, 4-NO₂, 4-SO₃H, napthyl, mesityl

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 4.72 and 18.19 μ M)

Series A: 10 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.01$ to 0.07 μM)

Series B: 11 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.03$ to 0.09 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **25 (A)** (K_i=0.014 μM; R = 4-Cl); **26 (B)** (K_i=0.021 μM; R = 3-Cl)

*Values of IC₅₀ and K_i determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 9. Chemical structures of *N*-acyl thioureas-urease inhibitors derived from myristic or palmitic acids.



R = purine, $4 - COOH - C_6H_4$, $3 - NO_2 - C_6H_4$, $2 - NO_2 - C_6H_4$.

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21.0 μ M)

Series: 10 *compounds* ($IC_{50} = 26.3$ to 31.1 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **27** (*I*C₅₀ = 26.3 μ*M*; *R* = 4-COOH-C₆H₄); **28** (*I*C₅₀ = 26.7 μ*M*; *R* = 3-NO₂-C₆H₄); **29** (*I*C₅₀ = 28.4 μ*M*; *R* = purine);

30 ($IC_{50} = 31.1 \ \mu M$; $R = 2 - NO_2 - C_6 H_4$).]

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 10. Chemical structures of symmetrical isophthalyl-*bis*-(thioureas) urease inhibitors.

 $R = 3-NO_{2}, 4-N(CH_{3})_{2}$ Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (K_i = 19.6 ± 0.45 µM) Series: 18 compounds (K_i = 0.090 ± 0.06 to 0.910 ± 0.11 µM) Best inhibitors: **31** (K_i = 0.090 ± 0.06 µM; R = 3-NO₂); **32** (K_i = 0.122 ± 0.11 µM; R = 4-N(CH₃)₂)

*Values of K_i determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 11. Chemical structures of benzylidene thiosemicarbazides urease inhibitors.

Other derivatives with a halogen group at *ortho* position showed comparable activity, whereas compounds with a halogen group at *meta* and *para* positions showed lower activity.

Similarly, Pervez et al. examined the use of several isatin derivatives as potential urease inhibitors [63]. Overall, compounds with a methoxy substituent at the para position and compounds with a chloro substituent at the ortho position of the phenyl ring (compound 33 - Series A, Scheme 12) showed the most potent inhibitory effects of compounds in the present series, exhibiting relatively greater activity at the tested concentrations. Subsequently, the same research group reported the results for a novel series of N^4 -substituted isatin-3-thiosemicarbazones. In general, the addition of one, two or three substituents with inductive electron-withdrawing effects at different positions of the phenyl ring increased the urease inhibitory activity of the derivatives. For example, compounds bearing trifluoromethoxy and trifluoromethyl (compounds 34 and 35 - Series B. Scheme 12) substituents showed the highest inhibitory activity (41 to 78%) compared with compounds bearing methoxy and methyl substituents (8 to 29%). Additionally, substitutions in the phenyl ring with an electron-withdrawing group at N^4 position exerted a positive effect on enzyme activity, as compounds showed more potent urease inhibition $(IC_{50} = 20.6 \,\mu\text{M})$ than the standard thiourea $(IC_{50} = 21.0 \ \mu M)$. [64].

Sharma and co-workers [65] also explored the isatin moiety in a study involving a comprehensive SAR analysis the urease inhibitory activities of 32 N-phenyl urea/thiourea compounds (Scheme 13). Substituents at the phenyl ring bearing halogen and methoxy groups were screened for their potency in inhibiting C. ensiformis urease. The presence of the double 3-(1-piperazinyl)-1, 2-benzisothiazole moiety and conjugation to the N-phenyl-urea/ thiourea motif enhanced the inhibitory activity. Additionally, all compounds in the thiourea series (Series B - Scheme 13) were slightly more potent than their urea counterparts (Series A -Scheme 13). Moreover, fluoro and methoxy derivatives showed promising inhibitory activities and were more potent than the corresponding chlorinated or bromated structures. Finally, the addition of a methoxy group at the *para* or *ortho* position vielded the two most potent thioureas of the series (compounds 36 and 37, respectively, both from Series B).





Scheme 12. Chemical structures of potential urease inhibitors based on isatin derivatives.



*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 13. Chemical structures of potential urease inhibitors bearing an isatin moiety.



R = H, F, Cl, Br, 2-CH₃, OCH₃, 4-CH₃, 4-NO₂, 3-CH₃, 3-NO₂, 3,4-Cl, 4-CF₃.

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $19.46 \pm 1.20 \mu M$)

Series: 20 compounds ($IC_{50} = 34.48 \text{ to} 1.4 \mu M$) Best inhibitors: **38** ($IC_{50} = 1.4 \pm 0.10 \mu M$; $R = 4-NO_2$); **39** ($IC_{50} = 1.6 \pm 0.10 \mu M$; $R = 4-CF_3$); **40** ($IC_{50} = 2.1 \pm 0.24 \mu M$; R = 3,4-CI); **41** ($IC_{50} = 2.5 \pm 0.10 \mu M$; R = 4-F)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 14. Chemical structures of hybrids benzothiazole thiosemicarbazides that present antiurease activities.

A hybridization strategy using benzothiazoles and thiosemicarbazides was also employed by Taha et al. to develop new antiurease agents [66]. Eighteen of the synthesized compounds (Scheme 14) exhibited IC_{50} values less than thiourea, revealing a trend in which substituted aromatic rings were more active than unsubstituted rings. Furthermore, when an electron-withdrawing group is present in the aryl motif, the polarizability and activity of the molecule towards urease increases [66].

Five-membered heterocycles

In 2010, Khan reported a potent series of inhibitors based on 2aminothiophenes derivatives (Scheme 15) that were identified using molecular modeling and virtual screens against *Canavalia ensiformis* urease. According to the docking study, compounds with a single thiophene ring bind next to the nickel ions and exhibit better inhibitory potency than compounds with fused bulky rings or compounds with substitutions at the amino group. In the latter case, the thiophene ring is located at a distant site from the nickel ions and results in a loss of activity, apparently due to torsional



 $R^{1} = H, C(O)OCH_{3}, C(O)CH_{2}CI, C(O)CHCI_{2}, C(O)CH_{2}CI, C(S)SCH_{3}, C(O)CI$

 $R^2 = H, C(O)Cl$ Standard inhibitor: acetohydroxamic acid (IC₅₀ = 24.1 μ M) Series A: 10 compounds (IC₅₀ = 2.99 to 31.8 μ M) Series B: 1 compound (IC₅₀ = 2.81 μ M) Best inhibitors: **42 (A)** (IC₅₀ = 2.99 μ M; R¹ = R² = C(O)Cl); **43 (B)** (IC₅₀ = 2.81 μ M)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 15. Chemical structures of 2-aminothiophenes – a five-membered here-tocycle-urease inhibitors.

strain [67]. For example, a compound with a methyl group at C-4 position was the most potent among the series and more active than the standard inhibitor. On the other hand, a similar derivative with fused ring showed a loss of activity against the enzyme.

Ali and coworkers assessed the antiurease activity of diverse 5aryl-thiophene-2-carbaldehydes [68]. The authors observed a trend in which electron-withdrawing groups were more active at inhibiting the enzyme than their electron-donating counterparts,



Pyrazole derivatives have also been explored in an attempt to identify new urease inhibitors [70]. Harit et al. evaluated pyrazole dimers, forming nitrogen centered tripods (Scheme 17). When the pyrazole rings were fused through either a N-C or C-C linkage, the 4 resulting tripods were selective urease inhibitors compared with *a*-chemotrypsin, cholinesterases, phosphodiesterase and β -glucoronidase. Dimerization also reduces the IC₅₀ of the derivative by 50%, from 94 μ M to 44 μ M. Furthermore, the authors concluded that the nature of the side arm had no effect on urease inhibition.

Similarly, the imidazole motif has been extensively explored in medicinal chemistry investigations and specifically in screens for urease inhibitors. Naureen and coworkers [71] tested fifteen series of tetraaryl imidazole-indole compounds (Scheme 18) and showed that they exhibited comparable or better urease inhibitory activity than the positive control thiourea. The most potent inhibitors were the compounds containing disubstituted halogens (compounds **52**, **55**, and **56**; Scheme 18) or containing the trifluoromethyl moiety on the arylindole group (compounds **53** and **54**; Scheme 18) and displayed IC₅₀ values as low as 0.12μ M.

Some antimicrobial nitroimidazole drugs, namely metronidazole and secnidazole, also present antiurease activity. Encouraged by these properties, Mao and coworkers synthesized a series of hybrids of salicylates and metronidazole [72] or secnidazole [73]. Both hybrid series were active against urease, with secnidazoles being more active (Scheme 19). A synergistic effect was observed, since secnidazole alone yielded an $IC_{50} = 156 \pm 10 \,\mu$ M [73] and the hybrids showed inhibition at the submicromolar level. Docking studies using both hybrids types showed that their binding generated a flap movement of α 313- α 346 residues, opening the enzyme's active site. The most active compound, **57** (Series D;



Series A: 10 compounds (IC_{50} = 32.2 to 27.1 $\mu g/mL$)

R¹ = H, 3,5-CF₃, 3-CN-5-CF₃, 4-CH₃, 4-OCH₃, 3,5-CH₃, 3,4-Cl, 3-Cl-4-F

Series C: 2 compounds ($IC_{50} = 28.4 \ \mu g/mL$ to no activity)

$$R^3 = CH_{3}, CI$$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 27.5 \mu g/mL$)

Best inhibitors: **44 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 27.1 \ \mu g/mL$; $R^1 = 3 - Cl - 4 - F$); **45 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 27 \ \mu g/mL$; $R^1 = 4 - CH_3$); **46 (C)** ($IC_{50} = 28.4 \ \mu g/mL$; $R^3 = Cl$)



Series B: 7 compounds (IC_{50} = 218 to 17.1 $\mu q/mL$)

 $R_2 = H, 3, 5-CF_3, 4-Cl, 4-CH_3, 3, 5-CH_3, 3, 4-Cl$

Series D: 1 compound (IC₅₀ = 17.1 \pm 0.15 μ g/mL)

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 43 \pm 0.38 μ g/mL)

Best inhibitors: **47** (**D**) ($IC_{50} = 17.1 \pm 0.15 \mu g/mL$); **48** (**B**) ($IC_{50} = 17.9 \pm 0.13 \mu g/mL$; $R^2 = 3,5-CH_3$); **49** (**B**) ($IC_{50} = 23.3 \pm 0.21 \mu g/mL$; $R^2 = 4-CI$)

Scheme 16. Chemical structures of urease inhbitors based on 5-aryl-thiophene-2-carbaldehydes scaffold.



R = 2-ethanol or cyclohexyl Standard inhibitor: thiourea (Inhibition = 21 ± 0.011 µM) Series A: 2 compounds (Inhibition = 44.66 to 43.46 µM) Series B: 1 compound (no Inhibition)

*Series C: 1 compound (IC*₅₀ = 94.3 \pm 0.47 μ *M)*

Best inhibitors: **50 (A)** $(IC_{50} = 43.46 \pm 0.02 \,\mu\text{M}; R = cyclohexyl);$

51 (A) (*IC*₅₀ = 44.66 ± 0.03 μ*M*; *R* = ethanol)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 17. Chemical structures of urease inhbitors based on pyrazoles moiety.



 $R^1 = H, Br$ $R^2 = H, Cl, CF_3, Br$ $R^3 = H, Br, F, Cl, CH_3, OCH_3$

(1 - 1), (1, 1), (1, 0), (1, 0)

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $21.25 \pm 0.15 \mu$ M)

Series: 18 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.12$ to 29.12 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **52** (
$$IC_{50} = 0.15 \pm 0.04 \ \mu M$$
; $R^1 = 5$ -Br, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = 4$ -Br),
53 ($IC_{50} = 0.12 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 4$ -CF₃; $R^3 = 4$ -CH₃);
54 ($IC_{50} = 0.16 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 4$ -CF₃; $R^3 = 4$ -F);
55 ($IC_{50} = 0.37 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 4$ -Cl; $R^3 = H$);
56 ($IC_{50} = 0.44 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 4$ -Cl; $R^3 = 4$ -F)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 18. Chemical structures of urease inhbitors based on imidazole-indole moieties.

Scheme 19), also showed hydrogen bonding between the phenolic oxygen and Thr135 and His417 in addition to hydrophobic interactions with Phe195, Ala246 and Phe273 [73].

Along with thiazoles, thiazolidine aliphatic esters (Scheme 20) were also described as potential antiurease agents by Lodhi et al.

[74]. A screen against *C. ensiformis* and *B. pasteurii* ureases identified nine esters that were more active than thiourea, and the heptyl ester **62** (Scheme 20) was the most active inhibitor of both enzymes. Molecular docking studies showed the interaction of the carbonyl oxygen atom with a nickel atom, forming a pseudotetrahedral geometry responsible for the principal interaction between the thiazolidines and urease. The authors inferred that the observed increase in activity with increase of chain length represented an inductive effect that accumulates to a greater extent than steric hindrance until the octyl ester [74]. These theories agree with observed decrease in the potency of compounds containing branched chains and heteroatoms.

In contrast to the abovementioned related heterocycles, a screen of the urease inhibitory activity of several difunctionalized oxazolones revealed that only compounds possessing a phenyl ring at C2 displayed antiureolytic activity, but was 3 times less active than thiourea (compounds **65** and **66**, Scheme 21) [75].

Some researchers have explored the use of sulfur heterocycles, mainly benzothiazoles. Araujo and coworkers [76] synthesized a number of 2-arylbenzothiazoles (Scheme 22) with the aim of obtaining urease inhibitors. Among the synthesized compounds, three stand out as being as potent as known urease inhibitors: compound 67, which is comparable to hydroxyurea (62% inhibition), and compounds 68 and 69, which are equivalent to thiourea (26%). The inhibitory mechanism of compound 67 was investigated using kinetic experiments revealing that this benzothiazole binds either to the free urease or the enzyme-substrate complex; thus, it represents a mixed-type inhibitor. The dissociation constants obtained from those experiments showed a K_i for the ureasecompound **67** of 1.02 ± 0.04 mM and a K_i for the urease-ureacompound 67 of 3.17 ± 0.69 mM, indicating that the affinity of compound **67** for the active site is approximately three times that of urea.

Conjugation of benzothiazole and acyl thiourea cores was also exploited by Gull et al. [77] to obtain hybrid 6-aryl-2acetamidobenzothiazoles (Scheme 23). All compounds displayed similar range of inhibitory activity, with an IC₅₀ of approximately 18 µg/mL, and were more active than thiourea. The addition of an electron-donating group in the *para* position of the aryl group resulted in a small gain in potency compared to an electronwithdrawing group. In silico docking studies of compound 70 (R = p-tolyl, Scheme 23) in both active sites, A and B, showed hydrophobic interactions with the residues His593, Met637, Ala636, Gln635 and Asp494 and a hydrogen bond with the phosphate group at site A. At site B, cation-pi type interactions were observed between compound 70 and Lys208, Asp206, Thr158, Glu254, Phe182, Lys156 and Asp183, along with hydrogen bonds with Glu252 and Lys156. All compounds interacted better with site B than site A of H. pylori urease, indicating that these molecules participate in a stronger bond with site B than with site A. Furthermore, an inversely proportional linear correlation between the number of hydrogen bonds and the IC₅₀ was noted [77].

Akhtar and coworkers synthesized chiral-substituted 1,2,4triazoles (Scheme 24) and assessed their activity [78]. Substituents with differently sized chiral moieties showed an insignificant influence on urease inhibition. In a subsequent paper, the same authors [79] synthesized 5-aryl-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones with different halogen patterns at the 5-aryl moiety (Scheme 25). The bromosubstituted rings in compounds **72** and **73** were more active than their chlorinated or fluorinated analogues and thiourea itself.

Similarly, Özil et al. synthesized 1,2,4-triazole derivatives (Scheme 26) by modifying groups attached to the central benzene ring and N^2 -atom from triazole rings. Of all compounds, derivatives **74** and **75** were the most active [80].

The urease inhibition potential of disubstituted 1,2,4-triazole-3thiones (Series A, Scheme 27) were evaluated by Khan and cowork-



*IP = inhibition percentage at 1mM *Values of IC_{50} determined with urease from Helicobacter pylori

Scheme 19. Chemical structures of urease inhbitors based on hybrids of salicilates and metronidazole or secnidazole.



R = H, CH₃, C₂H₅, CH₂CH₂CH₃, CH(CH₃)₂, CH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃, C(CH₃)₃, CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃, CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₃, CH(CH₃)CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃, CH₂(CH₂)₆CH₃, CH(CH₃)CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃, CH₂(CH₂)₇CH₃, CH₂CH₂CI

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21.01 \ \mu$ M), pH = 5.0 Series: 14 compounds ($IC_{50} = 180.99 \ to \ 0.29 \ \mu$ M)

Best inhibitors: **62** ($IC_{50} = 0.29 \pm 0.012 \ \mu$ M; R = CH₂(CH₂)₅CH₃); **63** ($IC_{50} = 0.40 \pm 0.003 \ \mu$ M; R = CH₂(CH₂)₄CH₃); **64** ($IC_{50} = 0.34 \pm 0.60 \ \mu$ M; R = CH(CH₃)CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₃)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 20. Chemical structures of thiazoles, thiazolidines aliphatic esters – potential urease inhibitors.



$$\begin{split} R^1 &= phenyl, \ CH_3 \\ R_2 &= 3\text{-}NO_2\text{-}4\text{-}Cl\text{-}C_6H_3, \ 2\text{-}(ethoxy)phenyl, \ 3,5\text{-}Br\text{-}4\text{-}OH\text{-}C_6H_2, \\ 4\text{-}OCH_3\text{-}cinnamyl \\ \text{Standard inhibitor: thiourea} (IC_{50} = 21.4 \ \mu\text{M}) \\ \text{Series: } 6 \ compounds (IC_{50} = 65.3 \ to \ 58 \ \mu\text{M}) \\ \text{Best inhibitors: } \mathbf{65} \ (IC_{50} = 58 \ \mu\text{M}; \ R^1 = phenyl; \ R^2 = 2\text{-}(ethoxy)phenyl \); \\ \mathbf{66} \ (IC_{50} = 62 \ \mu\text{M}; \ R^1 = phenyl; \ R^2 = 4\text{-}OCH_3\text{-}cinnamyl) \\ ^*Values of \ IC_{50} \ determined \ with urease \ from \ Canavalia \ ensiformis \end{split}$$

77

Scheme 21. Chemical structures of oxazolones: a class of urease inhibitors.



R = phenyl, 4 -NO₂-C₆H₄, 4-CN-C₆H₄, 4-N(CH₃)₂-C₆H₄, 4-SCH₃-C₆H₄, 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄, 3-OCH₃-C₆H₄, 4-F-C₆H₄, 4-OH-C₆H₄, 3-OH-C₆H₄, 2-OH-C₆H₄, 2-COOH-C₆H₄, 3,5-OCH₂O-C₆H₄, 2-thiophenyl, 2-pyrrolyl, 2 -furyl, 4 -pyridinyl, 3 -pyridinyl, cyclohexyl. Standard inhibitor: thiourea (26% inhibition)

Series A: 18 compounds

Best inhibitors: **67** (K_i = 1.02 ± 0.04 mM; R = 3-pyridinyl); **68** (R = 4-pyridinyl); **69** (R = 2-COOH-C₆H₄)

*Values of % inhibition and K, determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 22. Chemical structures of benzothiazoles – an interesting class of urease inhbitors.



R= 2-Cl, 3-Cl, 4-Cl, 2-Br, 3-Br, 4-Br, 2-F, 3-F, 4-F Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21.0 ± 0.1 μM) Series: 10 compounds (IC₅₀ = 15.0 to 30.5 μM) Best inhibitors: **72** (IC₅₀ = 7.8 ± 0.2 μM; R = 2-Br); **73** (IC₅₀ = 12.4 ± 0.2 μM; R = 3-Br)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis Scheme 25. Chemical structures of urease based on 5-aryl-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiones.



R = phenyl, 3,5-CF₃-C₆H₃, 4-Cl-C₆H₄, 4-CH₃-C₆H₄, 3,5-CH₃-C₆H₃, 3,4-Cl-C₆H₃, 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄, 5-methyl-thiophen-2-yl. Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 23.1 μg/mL) Series: 8 compounds (IC₅₀ = 19.2 to 16.5 μg/mL) Best inhibitor: **70** (IC₅₀ = 16.5 μg/mL; R = 4-CH₃-C₆H₄)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Helicobacter pylori

Scheme 23. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on 6-aryl-2-acetamidobenzothiazoles.



 $\begin{aligned} R &= CH_3, \ (CH_3)_2 CH, \ (CH_3)_2 CHCH_2, \ (CH_3) CHCH_2 CH_3, \ CH_2 OH, \\ CH_2 C_6 H_5, \ CH_2 CH_2 SCH_3, \ (imidazol-4-yl)methyl, \ (indol-3-yl)methyl \\ Standard \ inhibitor: \ thiourea \ (IC_{50} = 21.0 \pm 0.1 \ \mu M) \\ Series: \ 9 \ compounds \ (IC_{50} = 22.0 \ to \ 43.8 \ \mu M) \end{aligned}$

Best inhibitor: **71** (IC₅₀ = 22.0 \pm 0.5 μ M; R = (CH₃)₂CH)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 24. Chemical structures of urease inhibitor bearing the 1,2,4-triazole core.

ers, which were more active than the thiodiazole analogue (Series B, Scheme 27). A suitable structure–activity relationship was stablished for these compounds. The presence of one nitro group in the *meta* position of the aryl ring at position 5 of the triazole (compound **76** – Series A; Scheme 27) enhanced the inhibitory potency compared to an unsubstituted phenyl ring. The substitution pat-





75 ($IC_{50} = 77.09 \pm 8.66 \, \mu g/mL$)

74 ($IC_{50} = 26.54 \pm 2.01 \, \mu g/mL$)

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 98.18 \pm 2.13 µg/mL)

*Values of IC50 determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 26. Chemical structures of 1,2,4-triazole derivatives – potent substances described as urease inhibitor.



R¹ = 4-CH₃, 2- CH₃, 2-Cl, 3-Cl, 4-Cl, 3-NO₂, 4-NO₂, H

 $R^2 = 2,3$ - CH_3 , 2,4- CH_3 , 2,6- CH_3

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $21.0 \pm 0.11 \mu M$)

Series A: 10 compounds (IC₅₀ = 459.5 to 45.6 μM)

Series B: 8 compounds (IC₅₀ = 483.5 to 214.7 μM)

Best inhibitors: **76 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 45.60 \pm 0.04 \mu M$; $R^1 = 3-NO_2$, $R^2 = 2,4-CH_3$);

77 (A) (*IC*₅₀ = 86.0 ± 0.04 μ*M*; *R*¹ = *H*, *R*² = 2,3-*CH*₃)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 27. Chemical structures of urease inhibitor bearing the 1,2,4-triazole-3-thione moiety.

tern of the ring attached to the nitrogen atom at position 4 also had a clear influence on the inhibitory capacity. Small polarizable groups as a methyl group, in the *para* position favored inhibitory activity compared to groups placed at the *meta* and *ortho* positions [81].

Abid et al. [82] screened a series of triazole derivatives (Scheme 28) that were synthesized by varying benzyl and phenyl groups and contained halogen atoms, methyl or methoxy moieties. The compound with a bromine atom at the *meta* position in the benzyl moiety (compound **78**; Scheme 28) was the most active derivative, whereas inhibitors with electron-donating groups at the *para* or *meta* positions of the phenyl group were the least active compounds of the series against urease.

Oxadiazoles and their derivatives have also been reported to function as urease inhibitors. Akhtar and coworkers screened 2-ary lamino-5-aryloxylalkyl-1,3,4-oxadiazoles (Scheme 29) for urease-inhibiting activity [83] The influence of the nature of the 2-

 $R^{1} = 2$ -Br-C₆H₄-CH₂, 4-Br-C₆H₄-CH₂, 3-Br-C₆H₄-CH₂, 3,4-OCH₃-C₆H₃, 2,4-Cl-C₆H₃-CH₂, 3-OH-4-OCH₃-C₆H₃

 $R^{2} = 4 - CH_{3} - C_{6}H_{4}, 4 - OCH_{3} - C_{6}H_{4}, 4 - Br - C_{6}H_{4} - CH_{2}, 3 - OCH_{3} - C_{6}H_{4}$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (Inhibition = $21.0 \pm 0.01 \mu M$)

Series: 14 compounds ($IC_{50} = 170.6$ to 10.7 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **78** ($IC_{50} = 10.66 \pm 0.16 \mu M$; $R^1 = 3$ -Br- C_6H_4 -CH₂; $R^2 = 3$ -OCH₃- C_6H_4);

79 ($IC_{50} = 18.10 \pm 0.09 \ \mu$ M; $R^1 = 3$ -Br- C_6H_4 -CH₂; $R^2 = 4$ -CH₃- C_6H_4)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 28. Chemical structures of triazole derivatives described as potential urease inhibitors.



 $R^{1} = 4$ -Cl, 4-Br, 4-CH₃, 3,4-Cl $R^{2} = CH_{2}$, CH₂CH₂

$$n = cn_3, cn_2cn_3$$

 $R^3 = CH_3$, F, Br, Cl, NO_2

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $22.0 \pm 1.2 \mu M$)

Series: 21 compounds (IC₅₀ = 57.2 to 6.0 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **80** ($IC_{50} = 6.03 \pm 0.02 \ \mu$ M; $R^1 = 4$ -Br, $R^2 = Et$, $R^3 = NO_2$); **81** ($IC_{50} = 6.21 \pm 0.04 \ \mu$ M; $R^1 = 4$ -Br, $R^2 = CH_3$, $R^3 = F$); **82** ($IC_{50} = 7.42 \pm 0.03 \ \mu$ M; $R^1 = 4$ -CH₃, $R^2 = Et$, $R^3 = F$); **83** ($IC_{50} = 7.65 \pm 0.06 \ \mu$ M; $R^1 = 4$ -Br, $R^2 = Et$, $R^3 = F$)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 29. Chemical structures of oxadiazoles described as potential urease inhibitors.

arylamino substituent was the most relevant finding, whereas compounds containing a methyl group or chlorine or bromine atoms at the *para* position represented the least active molecules. However, the oxadiazoles with a fluorine or nitro group were the most active inhibitors (compounds **80–83**, Scheme 29).

Similar results were obtained for analogue compounds [84], where a *p*-methyl substituent in the aminophenyl (compound **86** – Series B, Scheme 30) group increased activity. 1,3,4-Oxadiazoles-2-thione analogues containing methoxylated aromatic groups (compounds **89** and **90**, Scheme 31) also showed high antiurease activity [85]. Although halogenated derivatives generally showed the lowest activity, the *para*-chlorobenzyl analogue was the most active tested inhibitor (IC₅₀ 1.15 ± 0.2 μ M).

The oxadiazole core was also explored by Shahzada and coworkers, who evaluated different substituted phenyl rings and aliphatic chains at position 5 of the oxadiazole rings (Scheme 32) [86]. The activity of halogenated rings decreases from *ortho* to *para* patterns, the exception being a bromo-substituted ring, where the *para*-bromo displays the highest activity of all synthesized com-



 $R = F, Cl, Br, CH_3, NO_2$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $21.0 \pm 0.011 \mu$ M)

Series A: 5 compounds (IC₅₀ = 80.3 to 16.1 μ M)

Series B: 5 compounds ($IC_{50} = 131.7$ to 16.7 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **84 (A)** (IC₅₀ = 16.1 ± 0.12 μM; R =F); **85 (B)** (IC₅₀ = 18.9 ± 0.188 μM; R = Cl); **86 (B)** (IC₅₀ = 16.7 ± 0.178 μM; R = Me)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 30. Chemical structures of aminophenyl benzylalcohols which possesses antiurease properties.



 $R = 2,3,4-OCH_3, indole-2-yl, 4-Br, 4-CH_3, 3,4-Cl, 2-F, 4-OCH_3, 2,4-Cl, 2-OCH_3, 4-Cl, 2-Cl, 3-OCH_3, pyridine-3-yl, 2,6-Cl n = 0, 1 or 2$ Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 22.3 ± 1.2 µM) Series: 18 compounds (IC₅₀ = 42.4 to 1.15 µM)

Best inhibitors: **87** (IC₅₀ = 1.15 ± 0.2 μM; R = 4-Cl, n = 1); **88** (IC₅₀ = 3.27 ± 0.3 μM; R = 2-F, n = 1); **89** (IC₅₀ = 5.61 ± 0.3 μM; R = 4-OCH₃, n = 2); **90** (IC₅₀ = 5.60 ± 0.6 μM; R = 2-OCH₃, n = 1)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 31. Chemical structures of 1,3,4-oxadiazoles-2-thione analogues described as potent inhibitors of urease enzyme.



R = 2-OH, 4-OCH₃, 3-NO₂, 4-NO₂, 3,4,5-OCH₃, 2-Cl, 3-Cl, 4-Cl, 4-F, 2-Br, 3-Br, 4-Br, 3-CH₃, 4-CH₃, 2-NH₂, 3-(CH₃)-4(NO₂)

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21.00 μ M)

Series: 18 compounds (IC_{50} = 166.35 to 12.60 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **91** (IC₅₀ = 13.03 ± 1.80 μM; R = 4-Br); **92** (IC₅₀ = 13.62 ± 1.49 μM; R = 3-CH₃-4-NO₂); **93** (IC₅₀ = 12.60 ± 0.92 μM; R = 2-Br);

94 (
$$IC_{50} = 15.55 \pm 2.37 \,\mu$$
M; R = 3-NO₂)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 32. Chemical structures of substances based on oxadiazole platform that has antiureolytic properties.

pounds. Molecules with nitro-substituted rings displayed maximum activity when positioned at the *meta* carbon, whereas the compound was inactive if attached to the *para* position. The introduction of a methyl group at position 3 on the *para*-nitrophenyl moiety decreased the IC₅₀ to $13.6 \,\mu$ M. The *n*-octyl (not shown) chain was as active as the control, thiourea. The authors inferred that activity decreases as steric hindrance increases, probably due to diminished interactions with nickel ions.

Rheman and coworkers [87] built a thioether version of the 1,3,4-oxadiazole coupled to the 3,4-methylenedioxiphenyl group (Scheme 33). Of the synthesized compounds, derivative **95** containing a bromine atom in *meta* position was the most active inhibitor against urease, displaying an IC_{50} in the same range as thiourea.

Fused heterocycles, such as the 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-*b*]1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives developed by Rafiq and coworkers (Scheme 34), were assembled for antiureolytic purposes. The assay of the compounds against urease identified inhibitors that were more active than thiourea, and compound **97** was the most potent derivative [88].

Pyrazolotriazines were hybridized with sulfonamides (Scheme 35) and presented high antiurease potency (IC₅₀ from 0.037 to 0.084 μ M) [89]. Remarkably, the most active inhibitor was the chiral compound containing a (S)-2-hydroxy-1-methylethaneamine substituent (compound **100**; Scheme 35), but its enantiomer displayed the lowest inhibition. A kinetic study



R = CH₂CH₂Br, CH₂CH₂Cl, (2-ethoxycarbonyl)-methyl, benzyl, 2-methylbenzyl, 2- bromobenzyl, 3-bromobenzyl or 4-bromobenzyl

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21.28 \pm 0.11 \mu M$)

Series: 8 *compounds* (IC_{50} = 313.49 to 30.10 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **95** (IC₅₀ = 30.10 ± 0.65 μM; R = 3-bromobenzyl); **96** (IC₅₀ = 46.20 ± 0.85 μM; R = 2-Methylbenzyl)

Scheme 33. Chemical structures of substances based on 1,3,4-oxadiazole moiety coupled to 3,4-methylenedioxiphenyl group.



Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = 26 ± 5 μ M)

Series: 10 compounds ($IC_{50} = 32$ to 0.764 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **97** ($IC_{50} = 0.764 \pm 0.03 \mu M$; R = 4-OCH₃; n = 0);

Scheme 34. Chemical structures of antiureolytic subtances based on 1,2,4-triazolo [3,4-*b*]1,3,4-thiadiazole platform.



$$\begin{split} R &= (S)-CH(CH_3)CH_2OH, (R)-CH(CH_3)CH_2OH, (S)-CH(CH_2OH)CH(CH_3)CH_3, \\ (R)-CH(CH_2OH)CH(CH_3)CH_3, (S)-CH_2CH(OH)CH_3, (R)-CH2CH(OH)CH3, \\ (S)-CH_2CH(OH)CH_2OH, (R)-CH_2CH(OH)CH_2OH, (S)-CH(CH_2OH)CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_3, \\ (R)-CH(CH_2OH)CH_2CH(CH_3)CH_3 \end{split}$$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ = $20.7 \pm 0.45 \mu M$)

Series: 10 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.084$ to 0.037 μM)

Best inhibitors: **100** ($K_i = 0.01 \ \mu M$; R = (S)-CH(CH₃)CH₂OH); **101** ($IC_{50} = 0.044 \pm 0.130 \ \mu M$; R = (R)-CH₂CH(OH)CH₃); **102** ($IC_{50} = 0.042 \pm 0.012 \ \mu M$; R = (S)-CH(CH₂OH)CH₂CH(CH₃)CH₃)

*Values of IC₅₀ and K_i determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 35. Chemical structures of hybrids pyrazolotriazine-sulfonamidas described as potential urease inhibitors.

of compound **100** was performed and exhibited a mixed type inhibitory behavior with a $K_i = 0.01 \,\mu$ M. Saify et al. reported 7-azoindole derivatives (Scheme 36), whose IC₅₀ values ranged from 2.19 to 255.11 μ M [90]. The analogue **103** (Scheme 35) possessing a 4-methoxyphenacyl moiety presented the highest inhibition with an IC₅₀ of 2.19 ± 0.37 μ M, whereas the second best inhibitor, compound **104**, only had an IC₅₀ = 133.31 ± 0.46 μ M.

Selenium compounds are also reported to possess antiureolytic activity, such as ebselen (**105**, Scheme 37, $IC_{50} = 3.3 \mu$ M) and its derivatives described by Macegoniuk et al.; ebselen was reported to exhibit antiulcer properties and inhibits gastric secretion [91,92]. In an attempt to further derivatize ebselen, Macegoniuk et al. evaluated the activity of compounds bearing different groups on the nitrogen atom. The presence of a carboxylic acid group decreased significantly the activity of the inhibitors (IC_{50} of 25.4 μ M to inactive). The activity returned to the previous order of magnitude for the corresponding methyl ester counterpart [IC_{50} 3.3 μ M (**106**) to 4.07 μ M (**107**); Scheme 37]. Compounds containing phe-



 $R = 3,4-OH-C_{6}H_{3}, 3-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}, 2-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}, 4-NO_{2}-C_{6}H_{4}, 2,4-F-C_{6}H_{3}, 4-F-C_{6}H_{4}, 4-CI-C_{6}H_{4}, 4-OCH_{3}-C_{6}H_{4}, 2-naphthyl$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21 \mu M$)

Series: 13 compounds (IC₅₀ = 255.11 to 2.19 μM)

Best inhibitors: **103** ($IC_{50} = 0.764 \ \mu M$; R = 4-OCH₃-C₆H₄), **104** ($IC_{50} = 133.31 \pm 0.46 \ \mu M$; R = 2-naphthyl)

*Values of IC50 determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis and Bacillus pasteurii

Scheme 36. Chemical structures of 7-azoindoles stated as urease inhibitors.

nyl group, including ebsalen (**105**; Scheme 37), and methyl ester derivatives were the most active inhibitors, with IC_{50} values as low as 3.3 μ M.

Six-membered heterocycles

Due to the wide range of biological activities of six-membered heterocyles, such as pyridinones [93–98], pyridopyrimidine and other compounds, [99–109] several studies aiming to develop urease inhibitors based on these structural motifs have been reported. Rauf et al. [110] evaluated the urease inhibitory activity of pyridopyrimidine derivatives. According to the researchers, the presence of a metal-chelating group such as –SH or the moiety 4-nitrobenzohidrazide determines the activity of compounds which could explain the inhibitory activity of compounds **108** and **109** (Scheme 38) towards urease. Based on the results from tautomerization studies, an increase in the negative charge of the heteroatoms of the compound correlates with the increase in urease inhibition.



$$\begin{split} R &= 2 - C(O)CH_3 - C_6H_4, \ 3 - C(O)CH_3 - C_6H_4, \ 4 - C(O)CH_3 - C_6H_4, \ 2,4,6 - (CH_3)_3 - C_6H_2, \\ 2 - F - C_6H_4, \ 3 - F - C_6H_4, \ 4 - F - C_6H_4, \ 2 - SH - C_6H_4, \ 3 - CH_3 - pyridyl, \ 4 - CH_3 - pyridyl, \\ 4 - NO_2 - pyridyl, \ NHC(O) - 4 - NO_2 - C_6H_4, \ NHC(O)C_6H_5, \ NHC(O) - 4 - Br - C_6H_4, \\ NHC(O) - 4 - Cl - C_6H_4, \ NHC(O) - 4 - OH - C_6H_4 \end{split}$$

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (94% inhibition in 100 μ M concentration) Series: 16 compounds (0.2 to 97% inhibition in 100 μ M concentration)

Best inhibitors: **108** (97% inhibition in 100 μ M concentration; R = 2-SH-C₆H₄); **109** (87% inhibition in 100 μ M concentration; R = 4-NO₂-pyridyl) *Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 38. Chemical structures of pyridopyrimidine-based urease inhibitors.

Bektas et al. envisaged a strategy to connect moieties of known bioactive 1,2,4-triazoles and oxazolidinones to the linezolid structure, developed analogues, and screened their antiurease activity. All synthesized hybrid compounds (Scheme 39) exhibited good inhibition of the urease enzyme [111].

Oliveira et al. synthesized several pyridinone derivatives and evaluated their inhibitory potential against urease (Scheme 40) [112]. Although an apparent relationship between the presence of an alkyl substituent at the bridge carbon and urease inhibition was not observed, the presence of an electron-releasing group at the *para* position of the benzene ring increased the biological activity of the compounds, which explained the high inhibition percentage of compounds **111** and **112** (Scheme 40). Additionally, hyperconjugation of ethyl and methyl groups and electron-releasing groups at the *meta* position of the benzene ring are not associated with increased inhibitory activity.

Recently, Iftikhar et al. reported progress in their fruitful research on dihydropyrimidine (DHPM) by screening 15 new 5-C-substituted (Scheme 41) analogues for their urease inhibition potential [113]. The SAR studies based on urease inhibition assays showed that thiosemicarbazides and isatin derivatives were more potent inhibitors. Molecular docking showed that compound **113**





 $R_1 = Phenyl, (R)-1-(1-phenylethyl), (S)-1-(2-hydroxy-1-phenylethyl), (CH_2)_nCOOX$ $R_2 = CH_3, CH(CH_3)_2, CH_2CH(CH_3)_2, CH_2COOH, (CH_3)CHCH_2CH_3$

 $X = H \text{ or } CH_3$

Standard inhibitor: Acetohydroxamic acid ($K_i = 3 300 \pm 400 \text{ nM}$)

Series A: 6 compounds ($K_i = 2.1 \pm 0.18$ to 1210 ± 120 nM)

Series B: 7 compounds (K_i = 32.6 ± 2.6 to 2410 ± 190 nM)

Best inhibitors: **105 (A)** (K_i = 2.11 ± 0.18 nM; R = phenyl); **106 (B)** (K_i = 76.3 ± 5.2 nM; R = CH₂CH(CH₃)₂; X = CH₃); **107 (B)** (K_i = 164.2 ± 9.3 nM; R = (CH₃)CHCH₂CH₃; X = CH₃)

*Values of K_i determined with urease from Bacillus pasteurii

Scheme 37. Chemical structures of containing selenium atom urease inhibitors.



 $R = OCH_2CH_3$, $NHNH_2$, $NH(N)CH(4-Br-C_6H_4)$, $NH(N)(CH)_3C_6H_5$, $(NH)_2CSNHC_6H_5$,



Series: 7 compounds (IC₅₀ = 2.37 ± 0.19 to $13.23 \pm 2.25 \mu$ M)



Scheme 39. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors derived from linezolid core.



$$\begin{split} R^1 &= H, \ CH_3, \ CH_2CH_3, \ CH_2CH_2CH_3, \ CH_2CH(CH_3)_2, \ C_6H_5, \ CH_2C_6H_4, \\ 2-NO_2-C_6H_4, \ 3-NO_2-C_6H_4, \ 4-NO_2-C_6H_4, \ 3-CN-C_6H_4, \ 4-CN-C_6H_4, \\ 3-F-C_6H_4, \ 4-F-C_6H_4, \ 3-OH-C_6H_4, \ 4-N(CH_3)_3-C_6H_4, \ 3-OCH_3-C_6H_4, \\ 4-OCH_3-C_6H_4, \ 3-CH_3-C_6H_4, \ 4-CH_2CH_3-C_6H_4, \ 3,4-Br, OCH_3-C_6H_3, \\ 4-Br-C_6H_4, \ 3-Cl-C_6H_4, \ 4-Cl-C_6H_4, \ 4-CF_3-C_6H_4, \ 4-NHCOCH_3-C_6H_4, \\ 3,4-(OCH_2O)-C_6H_4 \end{split}$$

 $R^{2} = H$, 4-Br-C₆H₄, 4-Cl-C₆H₄, 2-NO₂-C₆H₄

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (22.1 ± 0.4% of inhibition)

Series A: 27 compounds (-4.6 \pm 1.8 to 49.8 \pm 1.6% of inhibition)

Series B: 1 compound (13.9 \pm 0.8% of inhibition) Series C: 4 compounds (5.3 \pm 0.9 to 19.4 \pm 1.2% of inhibition) Series D: 1 compound (36.2 \pm 2.0% of inhibition)

Best inhibitors: **111 (A)** (49.8 ± 1.6% of inhibition; $R^1 = 4$ -OCH₃-C₆H₄); **112 (A)** (42.0 ± 1.2% of inhibition; $R^1 = 3$ -Br-4-OCH₃-C₆H₃)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 40. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on piyridinones.

(Scheme 41) coordinates with the *bis*-nickel center *via* its 4-methoxy group at phenyl ring of semithiocarbazide; the NH group of pyrimidine forms a hydrogen bond with CME592 at the entrance of binding pocket. The aromatic ring of pyrimidine forms arene-cation interaction with Arg439, also at the entrance of binding pocket. The best result obtained for compound **116** (Scheme 41) among the other compounds was explained by the presence of 3 typical hydrogen bonds with His409, KCX490 and Asp633, in addition to the formation of hydrogen bonds with active site flap CME592 [113].

Khan et al. also reported studies on the urease-inhibiting activities of DHPM analogues, but the most active compounds in this study were the hydrazine derivatives (Series B, Scheme 42) [114]. Kinetic studies and molecular docking analyses of this class of substances suggested a mixed-type inhibition profile. The compounds participated in strong interactions with amino acids residues and the nickel center in the active site of the enzyme; the strongest interactions were observed for hydrazine derivatives, probably due to their polar nature [114]. On the other hand, the investigation conducted by Rashid et al. indicated that 3,4dihydropirimidine-2-ones and particularly 3,4-dihydropirimidine-2-thiones (Series A, Scheme 42) were an active series of urease inhibitors [115]. Products with substituents at position 3 of the benzene ring showed higher inhibitory activity. According to the molecular docking studies, the free S atom and the hydrazine moiety were the main substituents responsible for the inhibitory capacity of the compounds through interactions with the nickel center of the enzyme [115].

Quinolone derivatives are another interesting class of compounds, due to some of their pharmacological properties [116– 119]; these compounds were synthesized and screened as urease inhibitors. The studies conducted with sparfloxaxin (Series A, Scheme 43) [120] and 8-nitroflouroquinolone (Series B, Scheme 43) [121] derivatives revealed moderate urease inhibition activities.

The diverse applicability of xanthenes and xanthones in areas such as technology, photochemistry and biology [122–128] motivated the Khurana group to develop derivatives as potent urease inhibitors [129]. Based on the results of the urease inhibition assay, compounds with aryl groups carrying electron-donating groups at the *para* position exhibited the best inhibitory activity (Scheme 44).





 R^2







D

 $R^{1} = H, OH, O(CH_{2})_{3}CH_{3}$ $R^2 = Br, OH, NO_2$ $R^3 = H$, OCH₃, Br

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21 \pm Series C: 2 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.23$ and 0.011 μM) 5.2 µM)

Series A: 4 compounds ($IC_{50} = 33.71$ to 111 μM)

С

Series D: 2 compounds ($IC_{50} = 10.87$ and 12.3 μM)

Series B: 4 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.58$ to 1.05 Series E: 3 compounds ($IC_{50} = 10.54$ to μM)

16.7 μM)

Best inhibitors: **113 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = Br$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^2 = R^2$; $R^3 = OMe$); **114 (R)** ($IC_{50} = 0.58 \pm 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^3 = 0.03 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^$ $0.61 \pm 0.19 \ \mu\text{M}; R^2 = Br; R^3 = Br); 115 (B) (IC_{50} = 0.79 \pm 0.05 \ \mu\text{M}; R^2 = Br; R^3 = H); 116$ (C) $(IC_{50} = 0.23 \pm 0.01 \,\mu\text{M}; R^2 = Br)$

> *Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis Scheme 41. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on dihydropyrimidines.



Series A: 25 compounds (IC₅₀ = 5.36 μ M to no activity) [115]

$$\begin{split} R_1 &= H, \ CH_3, \ CH_2C_6H_5/R_2 = C_6H_5, \ 3-Br-C_6H_4, \ 3-OCH_3-C_6H_4, \ 3-OH-C_6H_4, \ 3,4-OCH_3, \ OH-C_6H_3, \ 4-OCH_3-C_6H_4, \ 4-N(CH_3)_2-C_6H_4, \ 3-thienyl, \ 4-OH-C_6H_4, \ 4-Cl-C_6H_4, \ 3-pyridyl/R_3 = CH_3, \ C_6H_5, \ OCH_2CH_3/R_4 = CH_3, \ OCH_2CH_3/C_{6}H_5/X = O, \ S \end{split}$$

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21.0 \pm 0.1 \mu M$)

Best inhibitors: **117 (A)** (*IC*₅₀ = 5.36 μM; *R*₁ = H; *R*₂ = 3-Br-C₆H₄; *R*₃ = OCH₂CH₃; *R*₄ = CH₃; *X* = S); **118 (A)** (*IC*₅₀ = 5.4 μM; *R*₁ = H; *R*₂ = 3-OCH₃-C₆H₄; *R*₃ = OCH₂CH₃; *R*₄ = CH₃; *X* = S)

Series B: 6 compounds ($K_i = 14.63 \pm 0.02$ to $29.42 \pm 0.00 \mu M$) [114]

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea ($K_i = 20.01 \pm 0.02 \mu M$)

 $R_1 = H$

 $R_2 = C_6H_5$, 4-CH₃-C₆H₄, 3-OH-C₆H₄, 4-NO₂-C₆H₄

Best inhibitor: **119 (B)** (K_i = 14.63 ± 0.02 μ M; R_2 = 4-NO₂-C₆H₄; R_3 = OCH₂CH₃; R_4 = Me)

*Values of IC₅₀ and K_i determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 42. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on dihydropyrimidine platform.

Barbituric acid derivatives

Khan et al. synthesized and screened antiurease activity of several barbituric acid derivatives in two different studies evaluating the influence of the group attached to N in the barbituric acid moiety and the substituents at the phenyl ring (Scheme 45) [130,131]. However, the best results were obtained for the series containing the endocyclic NH group. Among these compounds, the addition of substituents at the para position of the phenyl ring increased the inhibitory capacity.

The role played by the substitution of thiobarbituric acid derivatives on the phenyl ring was also verified (Scheme 46) [132]. As shown in the results of the SAR study, substituents that bind the nickel center, such as OH, sulfur atoms or even the pyridyl moiety instead of the phenyl group, increased the inhibitory activity. Additionally, the steric hindrance of large groups of some compounds was probably responsible for decreasing the inhibition of the enzyme.

Motivated by broad spectrum of biological and pharmaceutical applications of cyano acetamide derivatives [135–143], in 2015, Qureshi et al. screened several compounds based on this moiety (Scheme 47) to continue determine their urease inhibitory activity [144] and continue previous studies of urease inhibitors [133,134]. According to the authors, the high urease inhibitory activity potentially resulted from the extra interaction of the furan and thiophene ring with the urease nickel center.

The antiurease activities of both barbituric acid and thiobarbituric acid derivatives substituted with aniline [145] and several sulfonamides [146] (Scheme 48) were studied by Rauf et al. In both cases, thiobarbituric acid derivatives showed greater inhibition than their corresponding oxygenated analogues. The SAR studies also revealed an increase in urease inhibition by compounds containing a carboxyl group at the aniline moiety, probably due to hydrogen bonding with the nickel center. The authors use the same explanation to rationalize the finding that SH and OH also showed better results than other substituents (Scheme 48).

 $R_3 = OCH_3, OCH_2CH_3$

 $R_4 = CH_3$

X = S

Pyrano pyrimidine dione derivatives (Scheme 49) showed high inhibitory values, which were associated with the presence of hydrophobic substituents at the phenyl ring [147]. According to the authors, the reduction of the partial charge on nitrogen atoms in the compounds with a phenyl ring bearing electronwithdrawing groups was responsible for their relatively low inhibitory activity. These conclusions could explain the high inhibitory capacity of compound **132** (Scheme 49).

Barakat et al. screened several *bis*-barbituric acid derivatives (Scheme 50) for urease inhibition. The best compounds had similar IC_{50} values to the positive control thiourea [148,149]. According to molecular docking studies, several interactions potentially explain the high activity of compounds **133** and **134** (Scheme 50), such as hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl of the barbituric acid moiety and KCX219 and Arg338; hydrogen bonding between the amine group adjacent to the carbonyl moiety and KCX219,





Series A: 3 compounds (IC₅₀ = 393.9 to 421.76 µM) [120]

 $R^1 = H, OH, NH_2$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21 \pm 0.011 \,\mu M$)

Best inhibitor: **120 (A)** $(IC_{50} = 393.9 \pm 0.99 \,\mu\text{M}; \text{R}^1 = O\text{H})$

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Series B: 5 compounds ($IC_{50} = NA \text{ to } 151 \mu M$) [121]

 $R^2 = H, CH_3, OCH_3, CI, F$

Standard inhibitor: acetohydroxamic acid ($IC_{50} = 27 \mu M$)

Best inhibitor: **121 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 151 \mu M$; $R^2 = OCH_3$)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Helicobacter pylori

Scheme 43. Chemical structures of quinolones described as urease inhibitors.



 $R^{1} = 4$ -Cl, 3-Br, 4-F, H, 4-CH₃, 4-OCH₃, 2-OCH₃, 3-OCH₃-4-CH³, 2-Br-C₆H₄, 3-Cl-C₆H₄, 4-NO₂

$$R^2 = CH_3, H$$

Series: 14 compounds (up to 47% inhibition after 9h) Best inhibitor: **122** (47% inhibition after 9h; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = H$)

Scheme 44. Chemical structures of xanthenes analogues that possesses urease inhibitory properties.

Ala169, Gly279 and Asp362; hydrophilic interactions between the aldehyde group of A2 and His323. Moreover, all compounds studied showed similar conformations at the active site of the urease enzyme, interacting with the nickel center and amino acid residues. In addition to the substituted phenyl ring derivatives, the authors also synthesized naphthyl substituents, which exhibited IC₅₀ values ranging from 22.7 ± 0.20 to $123.2 \pm 0.37 \,\mu$ M [148,149]. Rahim et al. reported the synthesis and the results of urease inhibition assays for *bis*-thiobarbituric derivatives (Scheme 51) [150]. The most effective compounds possessed a substituted phenyl ring, whereas indole and naphtyl derivatives, among others, showed moderate activity against urease. SAR studies revealed that electron-donating groups and substituents capable of making hydrogen bonds, such as OH, exhibited better IC_{50} values.

Organophosphorus compounds

Phosphoramidates represent one of the most active classes of compounds that function as urease inhibitors [151]. This class of compounds also presents other biological activities, such as antimalarial activity [152], insecticide activity [153,154], antiHCV activity [155], antiHIV activity [156,157], and inhibitors of reverse transcriptase [158] and hepatitis C virus [159]. Due the clinical relevance of this class of compounds, Oliveira and co-workers [160] synthesized 25 new phosphoramidates (Scheme 52) and screened their inhibitory activity towards urease isolated from Jack bean. The most active compounds (138 to 142; Scheme 52) showed the properties expected for drug-like compounds, gualifying them to have good pharmacokinetics and drug bioavailability. Considering the correlation between electronic properties and biological activity [161,162], a correlation between the urease inhibitory activity of positive controls hydroxyurea/thiourea and compounds 139, 140 and 142 was predicted.

Dominguez and co-workers performed molecular modeling studies based on data obtained from the structures of the





Series A: 15 compounds ($IC_{50} = 13.0 \mu M$ to no activity) [131]

$$R^1 = H, OCH_3, F$$

$$R^2 = H, OH, OCH_3, F, CI, NO_2$$

$$R^{3} = H, F, Cl, NO_{2}, OH, SCH_{3}, OCH_{3}, C_{6}H_{5},$$
$$N(CH_{3})_{2}$$

 $R^4 = H, CH_2CH_3, OH$

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (21.1 \pm 0.3 μ M)

Best inhibitor: **123 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 13.0 \pm 1.2 \mu M$; $R^{1} = R^{2} = R^{4} = H$; $R^{3} = F$)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Bacillus pasteurii



Series B: 23 compounds ($IC_{50} = 91.83 \mu M$ to

 $R^4 = H, CH_3, OCH_3$

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21 \pm 0.11 μ M)

Best inhibitor: **124 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 91.83 \pm 0.59$ μM ; $R^1 = R^4 = H$; $R^2 = R^3 = OH$)

Scheme 45. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on barbituric acid moiety.



Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21 \pm 0.11 μ M)

Series: 19 *compounds* (*IC*₅₀ = 1.61 to 58.5

μM)

 $R^1 = H, CH_3, OCH_3, OH, NH_2, Br$

R² = H, OCH₃, OH, Cl, Br, I

 $R^3 = H$, OH, OCH₃, SCH₃, Br, CH₃, N(CH₃)₂, OCH₂CH₃

 $R^4 = H$, OCH₃, Cl, Br

Best inhibitors: **125** ($IC_{50} = 1.61 \pm 0.18 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = R^4 = H$; $R^2 = R^3 = OH$); **126** ($IC_{50} = 6.7 \pm 0.27$

$$\mu M; R^1 = R^2 = R^4 = H; R^3 = CH_3)$$

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Bacillus pasteurii

Scheme 46. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on thiobarbituric acid moiety.

inhibitor-*Bacillus pasteurii* urease complexes to design and synthesize 40 phosphor(di)amide derivatives (Scheme 53). The data obtained from the docking study allowed the authors to propose a model to explain the interactions between the studied compounds and the active site of the enzyme. The most active compounds (**143** to **148**; Scheme 53) present a flat aromatic or heteromatic ring atomic structure containing substituents (NO₂) that are able to establish hydrogen bonds with free NH₂ terminal groups or similar groups present in surrounding residues in the active site of the enzyme. Moreover, phosphordiamides have a greater inhibitory activity than phosporamides. Steric hindrance, as observed for *N*-alkyl-substituted diamidophosphate derivatives, reduces the ureolytic activity once the inhibitor interacts with Ni atoms in the active site [163,164].

The application of phosphordiamidates as urease inhibitors is restricted due to their susceptibility to hydrolysis. In an attempt





 $R^1 = 3,4-OCH_3-C_6H_3, 3,4,5-OCH_3-C_6H_2, 3-OCH_3 4-OH-C_6H_3, furanyl, thienyl$

$$R^2 = H, CH_3$$

$$x - 0 s$$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 27.5$

 $\mu q/mL$)

Series A: 15 compounds ($IC_{50} = 17.34 \mu g/mL$

Best inhibitors: **127 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 17.34 \pm 0.01$ $\mu g/mL; R^1 = furanyl; R^2 = CH_3; X = O$); **128 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 36.75 \pm 0.05 \ \mu g/mL; R^1 = thienyl; R^2 = H; X = S$)

Scheme 47. Chemical structures of cyano acetamide barbituric-like substances that shown antiurease properties.





В

Series A: 36 compounds (IC₅₀ = 8.53 μM to ND) **(2015)**

X = O, S

 $R^1 = H$, COOH, CF₃, Cl, OH, SH

 $R^3 = H$, COOH, OH, NO₂, CF₃, Cl, I

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀ = 21 ± 0.011 μ M)

 $R^4 = H, NO_2, CI$

 $R^5 = H, OH, CI$

R² = H, COOH, OH, CF₃, Cl

Best inhibitors: **129 (A)** (IC₅₀ = 8.53 ± 0.027 μM; X = S; R¹ = COOH; R² = R³ = R⁵ = H; R⁴ = NO₂); **130 (A)** (IC₅₀ = 8.93 ± 0.027 μM; X = S; R¹ = R² = R⁴ = R⁵ = H; R³ = COOH)

Series B: 14 compounds (IC₅₀ = 3.76 µM to ND) (2011)

X = O, S

 R^6 = H, CNHNH₂, 4,6-(CH₃)₂-pyrimidyl, 4-CH₃-lisoxazyl, thiazolyl

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21 \pm 0.11 \mu M$)

Best inhibitor: **131 (B)** (
$$IC_{50} = 3.76 \pm 0.027 \,\mu$$
M; X = S; R¹ = H)

Scheme 48. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on (thio)barbituric aniline-substituted derivatives.

to mitigate this problem, Berlicki and co-workers [165–168] adopted a structurally related analogue to phosphorodiamidic acid as a scaffold to design and synthesize phosphonic and phosphinic acid derivatives and evaluate their functions as urease inhibitors

(Scheme 54). These classes of compounds are potent inhibitors of enzymatic hydrolysis of the amide bond [169,170]. Since these compounds do not contain the unstable P-N bonds (phosphoramides), they are expected to be stable under physiological



Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC_{50} = 21.0 μ M) Series A: 12 compounds (IC_{50} = 19.45 to

 $R^{1} = H, CI$ $R^{2} = H, NO_{2}, CH_{3}, OCH_{3}$

 $R^3 = H$, Cl, Br, CH₃, OCH₃, NO₂, OH $R^4 = H$, Cl

$$R^* = R^0 = CI; R^* = R^* = H$$

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from

Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 49. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on pyrano pyrimidine platforms.



Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC50 = 21.10 \pm 0.012 μ M)

 $R^{1} = H, OH \qquad Series: 10 \ compounds \ (IC_{50} = 7.45 \ to \ 74.24 \ \mu M)$ $R^{2} = H, OCH_{3}, OH, C(CH_{3})_{3} \qquad Best \ inhibitors: 135 \ (IC_{50} = 7.45 \pm 0.12 \ \mu M);$ $R^{3} = H, OH, OCH_{3}, OCH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}, N(CH_{3})_{2} \qquad R^{4} = H, OCH_{3}, C(CH_{3})_{3} \qquad (IC_{50} = 18.17 \pm 1.03 \ \mu M); R^{1} = R^{3} = R^{4} = H;$ $R^{2} = OH$

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Bacillus pasteurii

Scheme 51. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on *bis*-thiobarbituric acid derivatives.

conditions. Moreover, this class of compounds exhibits similar inhibitory properties to phosphoramides, as the computed free energy of binding is very similar [171,172]. Most of the studied compounds constitute a group of competitive, reversible inhibitors of bacterial ureases [151]. Higher inhibitory efficiency in controlling urease activity was observed for P-methyl thiophosphinic acids than for P-methyl phosphinic acids, most probably due to the stronger interaction of a sulfur atom with nickel ions in the

enzyme active site [173]. The IC_{50} and K_i values decreased to the micromolar range with the structural extension of the N-terminal group in compound **148** (Scheme 54). Compared to the compound **148**-urease complex, computer-aided studies of the compound **149**-urease complex have shown that hydrophobic bulky substituents docked well in the enzyme and two additional hydrogen



 $R^1 = H, CH_3, Br$

 $R^2 = H$, CH_3 , OH, OCH_3 , CHO, NO_2 , CI

 $R^3 = H, CH_3$

 $R^4 = H, CH_3$

 $R^6 = H$, Br, OH $R^7 = H$, CH₃, OCH₃, Cl, Br, N(CH₃)₂, OH, CHO

В R⁵ = H, NO₂, Cl

R⁵

 $R^8 = H. Cl$

ò

R⁸

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC₅₀ = $21.2 \pm 1.3 \mu M$)

Series A: 14 compounds ($IC_{50} = 17.2$ to 54.2 μ M)

Series B: 19 compounds ($IC_{50} = 23.7$ to 142.1 μM)

Best inhibitors: **133 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 17.6 \pm 0.23 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^1 = R^3 = R^4 = H$; $R^2 = CH_3$); **134 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 17.2 \pm 0.44 \ \mu\text{M}$; $R^1 = R^4 = H$; $R^2 = CHO$; $R^3 = CH_3$)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 50. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on bis-barbituric acid derivatives.



Best inhibitors: **138** (A) (29.00 \pm 7.30% inhibition; R¹ = 4-Cl); **139** (B) (36.00 \pm 4.80% inhibition; R² = 3-Cl); **140** (C) (69.42 \pm 4.0% inhibition; R³ = 4-Cl); **141** (C) (72.05 \pm 6.15% inhibition; R³ = 4-Br); **142** (D) (62.05 \pm 2.27% inhibition; R² = 4-Cl)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 52. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on phosphoramidates.

bonds were formed (carbonyl oxygen atom-Arg339 and NH of the amide group-Ala366) [151]. In a subsequent work [166], the authors proposed a mode of binding between compound **151** (K_i) = 0.62 μ M) and the *B. pasteurii* enzyme in which the phosphinic acid group forms two hydrogen bonds with the enzyme active residues (His222 and Asp363) and interacts with the two nickel ions. Furthermore, one hydrogen bond is broken between bulk water and its amine group to form one hydrogen bond with the carbonyl moiety (Ala366). In the compound 148-urease complex, the energy balance is less favorable during the complexation process because three hydrogen bonds with water molecules must be broken to form one hydrogen bond with its amine group. After synthesizing and evaluating the substituted aminomethane-P-hydroxymethylphosphinic acids (Scheme 54), the authors observed that approximately half of the studied compounds did not show inhibitory activity against B. pasteurii urease. Mono N-substituted derivatives were more active than their N,Ndisubstituted counterparts [167]. On the other hand, the majority of the bis(aminomethyl)-phosphinic acid derivatives showed significant inhibitory potency in a low micromolar range.

Based on the structure of the complex between the *Sporosarcina pasteurii* urease enzyme and citrate [174], Ntatsopoulos and co-workers synthesized a group of organophosphorus derivatives containing a phosphonate/carboxylate system (Scheme 55) and evaluated their inhibitory effect on *S. pasteurii* urease [175]. In con-

trast to citrate, the authors postulated that both phosphonic acidic groups are fixed on a hydrophobic, partially rigid core that mimic the 1,2-dicarboxylate portion of citrate in its affinity for urease. According to the computer-based studies, the *p*-methyl group of the aromatic ring of the most active inhibitor (compound 154; Scheme 55) of urease is conveniently accommodated in the hydrophobic cleft of the urease active site. The polar region of compound 154 is involved in strong interactions in the enzyme active site once the carboxylate group forms two hydrogen bonds mediated by a salt bridge in a region opposite to the guanidine moiety of Arg339. Furthermore, the oxygen atom of the phosphoryl group forms a bridge between both metallic centers and interacts with a nitrogen atom of the imidazole group (His222) through a hydrogen bond. The authors hypothesized that the α,β -unsaturated system formed between the free carboxylate group and the aromatic ring present in compound 154 presents the size and rigidity that comply with the steric and electronic demands of the enzyme active site. Thus, both the loss of compound rigidity and separation of the acidic groups from three to four bonds in distance decreases the K_i value approximately 150- and 110-fold, respectively.

Miscellaneous

Derivatives of 1,5-benzothiazepines (Scheme 56) were synthesized, screened for urease inhibitory activity and examined using



R¹ = H, 4-NO₂, 3,5-CH₃, 2-NO₂-4-CH₃, 3-OCH₃, 4-cyclohexyl, 2,5-OCH₃, 4-OC₆H₅, 2-NO₂, 2-CF₃, 2-OCF₃, 2-OCH₃-4-NO₂

$$R^2 = H, 6-OCH_2CH_3, 6-F, 4-OCH_3, CH_3$$

$$Y = O, S$$

Standard inhibitor: Nbutylthiophosphorictriamide (NBPT) (IC₅₀ = 100 nM); phenylphosphorodiamidate (PPD) (IC₅₀ = 3 nM).

Series A: 40 compounds (IC₅₀ from 2 to 300 nM)

Best inhibitors: **143 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 2 \text{ nM}$; $R^2 = H$; X = NH; Y = O); **144 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 3 \text{ nM}$; $R^1 = 2-NO_2$; X = NH; Y = O); **145 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 3.5 \text{ nM}$; $R^1 = 2-NO_2$ - $4-CH_3$; X = NH; Y = O); **146 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 5 \text{ nM}$; $R^1 = 6-OCH_2CH_3$; X = NH; Y = O); **147 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 5 \text{ nM}$; $R^2 = 6-F$; X = NH; Y = O); **148 (B)** ($IC_{50} = 10 \text{ nM}$; $R^1 = 4-OCH_3$; X = NH; Y = O)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 53. Chemical structures of phosphor(di)amides-based urease inhibitors.





 $R^{1} = H, CH_{3,} C(O)CH_{2}NH_{2},$ $C(O)OCH_{2}C_{6}H_{5},$ $C(O)CH_{2}NHCOOCH_{2}C_{6}H_{5},$ $C(O)CH(NH_{2})CH_{2}OH,$ $C(O)CH(NH_{2})CH_{2}OCH_{2}C_{6}H_{5},$ $C(O)CH(NH_{2})CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5},$

X = O, S

Series A: 10 compounds; (IC₅₀ Bacillus pasteurii = 1.8 μM to NA); (IC₅₀ Proteus vulgaris = 3.1 μM to no activity)

Best inhibitors: **148** (A) (IC_{50} 1.8 ± 0.1 and 3.1 ± 0.3 μ M; R^{1} = C(O)CH₂NHC(O)OCH₂C₆H₅; X= S); **149** (A) (IC_{50} 112 ± 0.6 and 158 ± 1.3 μ M); R^{1} = C(O)OCH₂C₆H₅; X= S)

$$\stackrel{R^3 \quad O}{R^2 \stackrel{N}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}}}}}}_{OH} \stackrel{O}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}{\overset{H}}}}}}_{OH} R^4$$



С



 $R^2 = H, CH_3$

 $R^3 = H, CH_{3}, COCH_3, CH_2C_6H_5$

 $R^4 = OH, CH_3$

Series B: 10 compounds; (IC_{50} B. pasteurii = 3.8 μ M to no activity)

Best inhibitors: **150** (B) (IC_{50} 3.8 ± 0.4 μ M; $R^2 = R^3 = R^4 = CH_3$); **151** (B) (IC_{50} 49 ± 1.7 μ M; $R^2 = R^3 = CH_3$; $R^4 = OH$); **152** (B) (IC_{50} 60±0.3 μ M; $R_2 = H$; $R^3 = R^4 = CH_3$) $R^5 = H, CH_3$

 $R^6 = H, CH_3, CH_2C_6H_5$

 $R^7 = H, CH_3, C_2H_5, [CH_2]_5$

 $R^8 = H, CH_3, C_2H_5, [CH_2]_{(2-7)}CH_3, C_6H_{11}, CH_2C_6H_5;$

Standard inhibitor: Acetohydroxamic acid, Ki= (Sporosarcina pasteurii, 3.3 ± 0.4 μM); (Proteus mirabilis, 57 ± 0.4 μM)

Series C: 16 compounds Ki = (S. pasteurii, 0.108 μM to no activity); (P. mirabilis, 0.202 μM to NA)

Best inhibitor: **153 (C)** (Ki S. pasteuri = $0.108 \pm 0.0116 \mu$ M and Ki P.mirabilis = $0.202 \pm 0.057 \mu$ M; $R^5 = R^6 = R^7 = H$; $R^8 = [CH_2]_5CH_3$)



 $R^{1} = H, CH_{3}$ $R^{2} = H, OH, CH_{3}, CH_{2}OH, CH_{2}NH_{2}$ $R^{3} = H, CH_{3}, F, Cl, NHC(O)CH_{3}, OCH_{3}$ n = 1, 2.Series: 31 compounds (Ki = 0.594 µM to no activity) Best inhibitors: **154** (Ki = 0.594 ± 0.028 µM; R^{1} = H; R^{2} = OH; R^{3} = CH_{3}; n = 1); **155** (Ki = 3.05 ± 0.16 µM; R^{1} = CH_{3}; R^{2} = OH; R^{3} = H; n = 2);

156 (*Ki* = 13.1 ± 2.3 μ M; *R*¹ = *R*³ = *H*; *R*² = *OH*; *n* = 1);

157 (*Ki* = 66.7 ± 6.6 μ *M*; *R*¹ = *R*³ = *H*; *R*² = *OH*; *n* = 2)

*Values of K_i determined with urease from Bacillus pasteurii

Scheme 55. Chemical structures of organophosphorus urease inhibitors containing a phosphonate/carboxylate scaffold.

molecular docking studies [176]. Based on the IC_{50} values and the results of molecular docking studies, the authors concluded that strong interactions with the active center of urease, such as coordination of the 2-hydroxyl group with the nickel atoms, hydrogen bonds between the same group and His139 and Ala170, and hydrophobic contacts with other amino acids residues, were the main contributors to the increased inhibitory activity of compound **158** (Scheme 56).

Due to the various biological activities of chalcone derivatives [177–185], Ahari-Mostafavi and co-workers synthesized several β -aryl- β -mercapto ketone derivatives (Scheme 57) and evaluated their inhibitory potential against urease [186]. Compound **160** (Scheme 57) inhibited the enzyme with the greatest efficiency, with an IC₅₀ = 6 μ M (the IC₅₀ of the standard hydroxyurea = 100 μ M). The improved antiurease activity of the compound was



$$\begin{split} R^{1} &= H, \, OH, \, 4\text{-}OCH_{3}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, CH_{3} \\ R^{2} &= H, \, 4\text{-}CH_{3}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 4\text{-}OCH_{3}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 2\text{-}NO_{2}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 4\text{-}Cl\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \\ C_{6}H_{5}, \, 4\text{-}CN\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 4\text{-}F\text{-}C_{6}H_{4} \\ R^{3} &= 4\text{-}Cl\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 2\text{-}NH_{3}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, 4\text{-}NH_{3}\text{-}C_{6}H_{4}, \, C_{6}H_{5}, \, furan, \, CH_{3}\text{-}furan, \\ CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}, \, naphthyl, \, (CH_{2})_{7}CH_{3} \\ Standard inhibitor: Hydroxyurea (IC_{50} = 100 \, \mu\text{M}) \\ Series: 22 \, compounds (IC_{50} = 6 \, to \, 181 \, \mu\text{M}) \end{split}$$

Best inhibitor: **160** ($IC_{50} = 6 \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = 2-NO_2-C_6H_4$; $R^3 = 2-NH_3-C_6H_4$)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 57. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on β -aryl- β -mercapto ketone derivatives.

associated with $\pi - \pi$ interactions between the sulfur atom in Met366 residue and phenyl ring at R², closer interactions with His 274 and 138 residues by changing Cl to F at R₂, hydrogen bonding between the NH₂ group and Asn 168, the presence of electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents on rings at R² and R³, respectively, and the presence of an electron-donating substituent in R¹.

Batool et al. reported the antibacterial, antiurease and NO scavenging activities of a small series of substituted (prop-2-ynyloxy) benzene derivatives (Scheme 58) [187]. According to the results from the urease inhibitory assay and SAR studies, compounds with electron-donating groups carried by the phenyl ring exhibited increased activity, which was probably caused by the stronger interaction between the ring and the active site of the enzyme. Since strong binding to the nickel center is important for urease inhibition, the higher chelation properties might explain increased activity of phenolic compounds.



 $R^1 = OH, H$

$$R^2 = OCH_2O, H, CH_3, NO_2, F$$

Standard inhibitor: Thiourea ($IC_{50} = 21.10 \pm 0.310 \mu M$)

Series A: 1 compound – **158 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 32.51 \pm 0.045 \mu M$)

Series B: 4 compounds (IC_{50} = 19.07 to 36.71 μ M) Series C: 4 compounds (IC_{50} = 91.21 μ M to NA)

Best inhibitor: **159 (B)** (IC_{50} = 19.07 ± 0.045 μ M; R^{1} = 2-OH; R^{2} = 3,4-OCH₂O)

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 56. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors bearing a 1,5-benzothiazepine moiety.





	Standard inhibitor: Thiourea (IC $_{50}$ = 23.3 μ g/mL)	
R ¹ = H, CH ₃ , Cl, Br	Series A: 10 compounds (IC ₅₀ = 60.2 μ g/mL to no	
$R^2 = H, CH_3$	activity)	
$R^3 = H, CH_3, F, Cl, Br, NO_2$	Series B: 3 compounds (IC ₅₀ = 68 μg/mL to no activity)	
Y = NH, O		

Best inhibitor: **161 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 60.2 \ \mu g/mL; R^1 = CI; R^2$ $= H; R^{3} = Br; Y = O$

Scheme 58. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on substituted (prop-2-ynyloxy)benzene derivatives.



 $R^1 = CH_3, H$

 $R^4 = H, OCH_3, OCH_2C_6H_5, F, Cl, Br, CF_3, N(CH_3)_2$

 $R^2 = H$, OCH₃, OH, F, Cl R⁵ = H. F. Cl $R^3 = H, OH, OCH_3, OCH_2C_6H_5, F, CI, Br, CF_3$ $R^6 = H, OH, OCH_2C_6H_5, CI$

Standard inhibitor: acetohydroxamic acid (IC₅₀ = 27.6 \pm 2.5 and 23.8 \pm 1.5 μ M)

Series: 44 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.083$ to 405 μ M)

Best inhibitors: **162** ($K_i = 0.014 \pm 0.003 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^3 = Cl$; $R^2 = R^4 = R^5 = R^6 = H$); ($K_i = 0.045 \pm 0.007 \,\mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = R^3 = CI$; $R^4 = R^5 = R^6 = H$); ($K_i = 1.11 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = R^4 = R^5 = H$; $R^3 = Cl$; $R^6 = OH$); ($K_i = 0.13 \ \mu M$; $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = R^4 = R^5 = H$; $R^3 = CI$; $R^6 = OCH_2C_6H_5$)

*Values of IC₅₀ and K_i determined with urease from Helicobacter pylori

Scheme 59. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors design from hydroxamic acids.





Series A: 10 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.012$ to 50.9 Series B: 5 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.07$ to 0.101 ng/mL) [190]

Best inhibitor: **166 (A)** (*IC*₅₀ = 0.012 ± 0.0092 nq/mL; n = 6

μq/mL) **[191]**

Best inhibitors: **167 (B)** (IC₅₀ = 0.07 ± 0.001 μg/mL; m = 5); **168 (B)** (IC₅₀ = 0.083 ± 0.002 $\mu q/mL; m = 7)$

Scheme 60. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on hydroxyl fatty acids.



Standard inhibitors: hydroxyurea (IC₅₀ = 0.22 mM) and acetohydroxamic acid (IC₅₀ = 0.05 mM)

$R^1 = H, CI, OCH_3$	Series: 8 compounds (IC ₅₀ = 0.05 mM
p^2 μ q qq	to no activity)
$R^{-} = H, CI, OCH_{3}$	Bost inhibitor: $160/10 = 0.05 \text{ mM}$
$R^3 = CH_3, CH_2CH_3$	$R^1 = OCH_3; R^2 = H; R^3 = CH_3)$

*Values of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 61. Chemical structures of $\alpha,\beta\text{-unsaturated}$ ketones which showed antiurease activity.

Because of the well-known chelating properties and antiurease potential of hydroxamic acids, in 2013 and 2016, Xiao et al. and Shi et al., respectively, synthesized a large series of derivatives with different groups at positions 2, 3 and 4 of the benzene ring (Scheme 59). Both studies reported remarkable results for urease inhibitory activity, including very low IC_{50} values for some of the tested title compounds [188,189]. As shown in the SAR studies, the hydrophobic behavior of the substituent is important to increase the inhibitory activity, although bulky hydrophobic groups reduce the potency.

Onar and collaborators evaluated the influence of the position of the hydroxyl group in a series of 20C chain fatty acids on the urease inhibitory activity (Scheme 60) [190,191]. The addition of a hydroxyl group in the middle region yielded better results,



Since α - β -unsaturated ketones were reported to inhibit *C. ensiformis* urease activity [192] and ethacrynic acid exhibits other pharmacological properties [193,194], Janser et al. synthesized hybrid analogues (Scheme 61) and screened their abilities to inhibit urease [195]. Based on the results of these studies, the authors concluded that the α - β -unsaturated carbonyl unit is the major substituent responsible for the inhibitory activity, possibly because of the interaction between the cysteine sulfhydryl moiety and unsaturated carbonyl β -carbon unit; electron-donators attached to the aromatic system were also responsible for increasing the inhibitory activity.

Due to the structural relationship between α -hydroxyketones, acetohydroxamic acid and hydroxyurea, in 2004, Tanaka and co-workers synthesized several potentially novel urease inhibitors based on α -hydroxyketones (Scheme 62) [196]. SAR studies revealed that the hydrophobic character of the R substituent in the RCOCH₂OH moiety may play an important role in the inhibitory activity. The interaction between α -hydroxyketone and the sulfhydryl group from cysteine, for example, also seems to contribute to urease inhibition.

N-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)]-4-*oxo*-4-[oxy]butanamide (compound **171**, Scheme 63) showed urease inhibitory activity (IC_{50}) of 4.08 ± 2.54 µM, a value that was much higher than the standard thiourea [197].



Standard inhibitor: thiourea ($IC_{50} = 22.3 \pm 1.2 \mu M$)

*Value of IC₅₀ determined with urease from Canavalia ensiformis

Scheme 63. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on *N*-[(4-methoxyphe-nyl)]-4-*oxo*-4-[oxy]butanamide.





 $R^{1} = CH_{3}, C_{6}H_{5}, 2$ -furyl, 2-thenyl, $C_{5}H_{11}, (CH_{2})_{3}NHC(O)C_{6}H_{5}, (CH_{2})_{4}NHC(O)C_{6}H_{5}$

$$R^2 = H, CH_3, CF_3, C_6H_5, OH, OCH_3,$$

2-furyl, 2-thenyl

$$R^3 = H, CH_3$$

Standard inhibitor: hydroxyurea (IC₅₀ = 0.10 mM); acetohydroxamic acid (IC₅₀ = 0.005 mM)

Series A: 13 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.18 \text{ mM}$ to no activity)

Series B: 7 compounds (no activity)

Best inhibitor: **170 (A)** ($IC_{50} = 0.18 \text{ mM}$; $R^1 = 2$ - thenyl; $R^2 = 2$ -thenyl; $R^3 = H$)









[200]

[198]

[199]

$R^1 = H, OH, OCH_3$ $R^2 = H, OH, OCH_3$	R ⁶ = H, CH ₂ CH ₃ , (CH ₂) ₃ CH ₃ , (CH ₂) ₅ CH ₃ , (CH ₂) ₇ CH ₃ , (CH ₂) ₉ CH ₃ , (CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	$R^{12} = OCH_3, NO_2, Cl$ $R^{13} = H, NO_2$
$R^3 = OH, OCH_3$	R ⁷ = H, OH	R ¹⁴ =H, OH, OCH ₃ , 3,4-OCH ₂ O, NO ₂
$R^4 = H, OH, OCH_3$	R ⁸ = H, OH, Cl	R ¹⁵ = H OH OCH, 3 A-OCH-O
$R^5 = OH, OCH_3, NO_2, F$	R ⁹ = H, OH, Cl	N(CH ₃) ₂ , Cl, NO ₂
Standard inhibitor: acetohydroxamic acid (% inhibition = 94.3%; IC ₅₀ = 0.017±0.002 mM)	R ¹⁰ = Cl, Br, OCH ₃ , OCH ₂ CH ₃ R ¹¹ = OH, OCH ³ , OCH ₂ CH ₃ , F, Cl, Br	Standard inhibitor: Acetohydroxamic acid (IC50 = 18.4±1.3 μM)
Series A: 10 compounds (% inhibition = inactive to 51%) Series B: 10 compounds (% inhibition = inactive to 73%)	Standard inhibitor: Acetohydroxamic acid (IC ₅₀ = 17.2±0.9 μM) Series D: 11 compounds (IC ₅₀	Series F: 21 compounds ($IC_{50} = 0.62$ to >500 μ M) Best inhibitor: 175 (F) ($IC_{50} = 0.62 \pm 0.04 \ \mu$ M; $R^{12} = 4$ -NO ₂ ; $R^{13} = H$; $R^{14} = R^{15} = OH$)
Series C: 10 compounds (% inhibition = inactive to 97.3%) Best inhibitors: 172 (C) (% inhibition = 97.3%; $IC_{50} =$ 0.011 ± 0.0012 mM; $R^1 = R^2 =$ $R^3 = R^5 = OH; R^4 = H$); 173 (C) (% inhibition = 92.5%; $IC_{50} =$ 0.047 ± 0.005 mM; $R^2 = R^3 =$ $R^5 = OH; R^1 = R^4 = H$)	= 4.9 to 250 μM) Series E: 18 compounds (IC ₅₀ = 1.5 to 277 μM) Best inhibitor: 174 (E) (IC ₅₀ = 1.5 ± 0.2 μM; R ⁸ = R ⁹ = R ¹¹ = OH; R ⁷ = R ¹⁰ = H)	* All values of IC ₅₀ and % inhibition determined with urease from Helicobacter pylori

Scheme 64. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on 1,2-arylethanes and analogues.



$$R_{1} = H, CH_{3}, OCH_{2}CH_{3}, CH_{2}CH_{3}$$

$$R_{2} = H, CH_{3}, OCH_{2}CH_{3}$$

$$R_{3} = H, CH_{3}, OCH_{2}CH_{3}$$

$$R_{4} = H, CH_{3}$$

$$n = 0 \text{ or } 2$$

Standard inhibitor: thiourea (IC₅₀ =21.28 ± 0.11 μM) Series: 9 compounds (IC₅₀ = 98.09 to 271.08 μM) Best inhibitor: **176** (IC₅₀ = 98.09 ± 0.05 μM;

 $n = 0; R^{1} = R^{2} = CH_{3}; R^{3} = R^{4} = H$

Scheme 65. Chemical structures of urease inhibitors based on sulfonamides.

Li and Xiao et al. reported results for their systematic studies of the urease inhibitory activity of 1,2-arylethanes. The first results, which were published in 2009 [198], showed that compounds 172 and 173 were most potent inhibitors among the amine and oxime derivatives (Scheme 64). The authors suggested that hydroxyl substituents at benzene ring increased the inhibitory activity due to a direct interaction with the nickel center, whereas the amine group is responsible for interactions with residues from His a323 of *H. pylori* urease. In a subsequent work (2010) [199]. compound 174 inhibited urease more effectively than all other synthesized compounds (Scheme 64). SAR studies and molecular docking helped to relate the high activity of compound 174 to the presence of the hydroxyl groups at the benzene rings, which provides extra hydrogen bonds with Asn168 and Glu222 in the active site of urease compared to acetohydroxamic acid. Their most recent study on this class of molecules examined benzylanilines (Scheme 64), of which the most potent compound was 175. SAR studies and molecular docking showed that the presence of an electron-donating group in the benzyl moiety increases the activity, but only 3,4-hydroxyl substituents provides extra hydrogen bonds; meanwhile, the nitro group at *p*- and *m*- positions of the aniline moiety enhances the inhibitory potential [200].

Aziz-ur-Rehman and coworkers [201] evaluated a small series of chlorinated sulfonamide derivatives (Scheme 65) using a urease inhibition assay. According to the SAR studies, alkyl groups at *ortho* and *meta* positions of the aniline moiety were responsible for the higher antiurease activity of compound **176** than the other compounds [202].

Conclusions and future perspectives

The amount of screening data that is currently available represents irrefutable progress in the development of drugs for the treatment of infections associated with ureolytic bacteria. Several very highly active organic substances have been identified as promising lead compounds from model screening assays involving *Canavalia ensiformis* urease. Nevertheless, despite the high degree of homology among bacteria and vegetal ureases, important differences can result in a partial or complete decrease of inhibitory activity when probed against enzymes from different species. Since the urease allosteric site is considerably less conserved than the catalytic site, inhibitors acting by participating in interactions with the former site are more susceptible to a potential lack of association among their inhibitory profile for different ureases. Therefore, studies involving native or recombinant microbial enzymes is one of the further and necessary stages of the development of therapies for diseases caused by ureolytic pathogens. Advances in this field will also require analyses of structure–activity relationships of organic compounds based on bioassays using different sources of ureases, particularly studies comparing the mechanisms of action of these compounds for different ureases. These approaches will lead to a better understanding and identification of druggable allosteric sites, which may allow researchers to identify selective sites for ureases derived from different sources.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Compliance with Ethics Requirements

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible by the Network for the Development of Novel Urease Inhibitors (www.redniu.org) which is financially supported by the Brazilian agencies Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG). AdF is recipient of research fellowships from CNPq.

References

- Dixon NE, Gazzola C, Watters JJ, Blakeley RL, Zerner B. Jack Bean Urease (EC 3.5.1.5) [letter]. A metalloenzyme. A simple biological role for nickel? J Am Chem Soc 1975;97(14):4131–3.
- [2] Krajewska B, Ureases I. Functional, catalytic and kinetic properties: a review. J Mol Catal B Enzym 2009;59(1):9–21.
- [3] Follmer C. Ureases as a target for the treatment of gastric and urinary infections. J Clin Pathol 2010;63:424–30.
- [4] Maroney MJ, Ciurli S. Nonredox nickel enzymes. Chem Rev 2014;114:4206–28.
- [5] Takeuchi T. On the occurrence of urease in higher plants. J Coll Agric Tokyo Imp Univ 1909;1:1–14.
- [6] Modolo LV, de Souza AX, Horta LP, Araujo DP, de Fátima A. An overview on the potential of natural products as ureases inhibitors: A review. J Adv Res 2015;6:35–44.
- [7] Sumner JB. The isolation and crystallization of the enzyme urease. J Biol Chem 1926;69:435–41.
- [8] Sirko A, Brodzik R. Plant ureases: Roles and regulation. Acta Biochim Pol 2000;47:1189–95.
- [9] Jabri E, Carr MB, Hausinger RP, Karplus PA. The crystal structure of urease from Klebsiella aerogenes. Science 1995;268:998–1004.

- [10] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Miletti S, Ciurli S, Mangani S. A new proposal for urease mechanism based on the crystal structures of the native and inhibited enzyme from *Bacillus pasteurii*: Why urea hydrolysis costs two nickels. Structure 1999;7(2):205–16.
- [11] Ha NC, Oh ST, Sung JY, Cha KA, Lee MH, Oh BH. Supramolecular assembly and acid resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* urease. Nat Struct Biol 2001;8(6):505–9.
- [12] Balasubramanian A, Ponnuraj K. Crystal structure of the first plant urease from jack bean: 83 years of journey from its first crystal to molecular structure. J Mol Biol 2010;400:274–83.
- [13] Boer JL, Mulrooney SB, Hausinger RP. Nickel-dependent metalloenzymes. Arch Biochem Biophys 2014;544:142–52.
- [14] Algood HMS, Cover TL. *Helicobacter pylori* persistence: An overview of interactions between *H. pylori* and host immune defenses. Clin Microbiol Rev 2006;19(4):597–613.
- [15] Sachs G, Weeks DL, Wen YI, Marcus EA, Scott DR. Acid acclimation by Helicobacter pylori. Physiology 2005;20:429–38.
- [16] Kao CY, Sheu BS, Wu JJ. *Helicobacter pylori* infection: An overview of bacterial virulence factors and pathogenesis. Biomed J 2016;39:14–23.
- [17] van Vliet AHM, Kuipers EJ, Waidner B, Davies BJ, Vries N, Penn CW, et al. Nickel-responsive induction of urease expression in *Helicobacter pylori* is mediated at the transcriptional levels. Infect Immun 2001;69(8):4891–7.
- [18] Stingl K, Altendorf K, Bakker EP. Acid survival of *Helicobacter pylori*: how does urease activity trigger cytoplasmic pH homeostasis? Trends Microbiol 2002;10(2):70–4.
- [19] Graham DY, Fischbach L. Helicobacter pylori treatment in the era of increasing antibiotic resistance. Gut 2010;59:1143–53.
- [20] Mégraud F. The challenge of *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to antibiotics: the comeback of bismuth-based quadruple therapy. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2012;5(2):103–9.
- [21] Zhang L, Mulrooney SB, Leung AFK, Zeng Y, Ko BBC, Hausinger RP, et al. Inhibition of urease by bismuth(III): Implications for the mechanism of action of bismuth drugs. Biometals 2006;19:503–11.
- [22] Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain C, Bazzoli F, El-Omar E, Graham D, et al. Current concepts in the management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: the Maastricht III Consensus Report. Gut 2007;56:772–81.
- [23] Mobley HL, Hausinger RP. Microbial ureases: significance, regulation, and molecular characterization. Microbiol Rev 1989;53(1):85–108.
- [24] O'Hara CM, Brenner FW, Miller JM. Classification, identification, and clinical significance of *Proteus*, *Providencia*, and *Morganella*. Clin Microbiol Rev 2000;13:534–46.
- [25] Musher DM, Griffith DP, Yawn D, Rossen RD. Role of urease in pyelonephritis resulting from urinary tract infection with *Proteus*. J Infect Dis 1975;131:177–81.
- [26] Johnson DE, Russel RG, Lockatell CV, Zulty JC, Warren JW, Mobley HLT. Contribution of *Proteus mirabilis* urease to persistence, urolithiasis, and acute pyelonephritis in a mouse model of ascending urinary tract infection. Infect Immun 1993;61(7):2748–54.
- [27] Coker C, Poore CA, Li X, Mobley LT. Pathogenesis of Proteus mirabilis urinary tract infection. Microbes Infect 2000;2(12):1497–505.
- [28] Griffith DP, Musher DM, Itin C. Urease. The primary cause of infectioninduced urinary stones. Invest Urol 1976;13:346–50.
- [29] Jones BD, Lockatell CV, Johnson DE, Warren JW, Mobley HL. Construction of a urease-negative mutant of *Proteus mirabilis*: Analysis of virulence in a mouse model of ascending urinary tract infection. Infect Immun 1990;58:1120–3.
- [30] Gatermann S, Marre R. Cloning and expression of Staphylococcus saprophyticus urease gene sequence in Staphylococcus carnosus and contribution of the enzyme to virulence. Infect Immun 1989;57 (10):2998–3002.
- [31] Schäfer UK, Kaltwasser H. Urease from *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*: Purification, characterization and comparison to *Staphylococcus xylosus* urease. Arch Microbiol 1994;161:393–9.
- [32] Gatermann S, John J, Marre R. Staphylococcus saprophyticus urease: Characterization and contribution to uropathogenicity in unobstructed urinary tract infection of rats. Infect Immun 1989;57(1):110–6.
- [33] Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: Traditional and emerging pathogens. Am J Med 2002;113(1A):14–9.
- [34] Nielubowicz GR, Mobley HLT. Host-pathogen interactions in urinary tract infection. Nat Rev Urol 2010;7(8):430–41.
- [35] Raz R, Colodner R, Kunin CM. Who are you Staphylococcus saprophyticus? Clin Infect Dis 2005;40(6):896–8.
- [36] Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. Urinary tract infections: Epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. Nat Rev Microbiol 2015;13(5):269–84.
- [37] Ostroff S. Yersinia as an emerging infection: Epidemiologic aspects of yersiniosis. Contrib Microbiol Immunol 1995;13:5–10.
- [38] Sharma S, Sachdeva P, Virdi JS. Emerging water-borne pathogens. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2003;61:424–8.
- [39] Zadernowska A, Chajęcka-Wierzchowska W, Łaniewska-Trokenhein Ł. Yersinia enterocolitica: A dangerous, but often ignored, foodborne pathogen. Food Rev Int 2014;30(1):53–70.
- [40] Cornelis G, Laroche Y, Balligand G, Sory MP, Wauters G. Yersinia enterocolitica, a primary model for bacterial invasiveness. Rev Infect Dis 1987;9:64–87.
- [41] Cover TL, Aber RC. Yersinia enterocolitica. N Engl J Med 1989;321:16–24.

- [42] Bottone EJ. Yersinia enterocolitica: Overview and epidemiologic correlates. Microbes Infect 1999;1:323–33.
- [43] Stern NJ, Pierson MD, Kotula AW. Effects of pH and sodium chloride on Yersinia enterocolitica growth at room and refrigeration temperatures. J Food Sci 1980;45:64–7.
- [44] Bhagat N, Virdi JS. Molecular and biochemical characterization of urease and survival of Yersinia enterocolitica biovar IA in acid pH in vitro. BMC Microbiol 2009;9:1–14.
- [45] Dhar MS, Virdi JS. Strategies used by Yersinia enterocolitica to avade killing by the host: Thinking beyond yops. Microbes Infect 2014;16(2):87–95.
- [46] de Koning-Ward TF, Ward AC, Hartland EL, Robins-Browne RM. The urease complex gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and its role in virulence. Contrib Microbiol Immunol 1995;13:262–3.
- [47] de Fátima A, Pereira CP, Olímpio CRSDG, Oliveira BGF, Franco LL, da Silva PHC. Schiff bases and their metal complexes as urease inhibitors – a brief review. J Adv Res 2018;13:113–26.
- [48] Perveen S, Khan KM, Lodhi MA, Choudhary MI, Choudhary MI, Atta-ur-Rahman , Voelter W. Urease and α-chymotrypsin inhibitory effects of selected urea derivatives. Lett Drug Des Discov 2008;5:401–5.
- [49] Mustafa S, Perveen S, Khan A. Synthesis, enzyme inhibition and anticancer investigations of unsymmetrical 1,3-disubstituted ureas. J Serb Chem Soc 2014;79(1):1–10.
- [50] Uesato S, Hashimoto Y, Nishino M, Nagaoka Y, Kuwajima H. N-substituted hydroxyureas as urease inhibitors. Chem Pharm Bull 2002;50(9):1280–2.
- [51] Rajic Z, Perkovic I, Butula I, Zorc B, Hadjipavlou-Litina D, Pontiki E, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of O-methyl and O-ethyl NSAID hydroxamic acids. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2009;24(5):1179–87.
- [52] Khan KM, Naz F, Taha M, Khan A, Perveen S, Choudhary MI, et al. Synthesis and *in vitro* urease inhibitory activity of *N*,*N*-disubstituted thioureas. Eur J Med Chem 2014;74:314–23.
- [53] Taha M, Ismail NH, Imran S, Wadood A, Rahim F, Riaz M. Synthesis of potent urease inhibitors based on disulfide scaffold and their molecular docking studies. Bioorg Med Chem 2015;23:7211–8.
- [54] Brito TO, Souza AX, Mota YCC, Morais VSS, Souza LT, de Fátima A, et al. Design, syntheses and evaluation of benzoylthioureas as urease inhibitors of agricultural interest. RSC Adv 2015;5:44507–15.
- [55] Rauf MK, Talib A, Badshah A, Zaib S, Shoaib K, Shahid M, et al. Solution-phase microwave assisted parallel synthesis of N, N'-disubstituted thioureas derived from benzoic acid: Biological evaluation and molecular docking studies. Eur J Med Chem 2013;70:487–96.
- [56] Rauf MK, Zaib S, Talib A, Ebihara M, Badshah A, Bolte M, et al. Solution-phase microwave assisted parallel synthesis, biological evaluation and in silico docking studies of N, N'-disubstituted thioureas derived from 3chlorobenzoic acid. Bioorg Med Chem 2016;24:4452–63.
- [57] Saeed A, Zaib S, Pervez A, Mumtaz A, Shahid M, Iqbal J. Synthesis, molecular docking studies, and *in vitro* screening of sulfanilamide-thiourea hybrids as antimicrobial and urease inhibitors. Med Chem Res 2013;22:3653–62.
- [58] Saeed A, Khan MS, Rafique H, Shahid M, Iqbal J. Design, synthesis, molecular docking studies and *in vitro* screening of ethyl 4-(3-benzoylthioureido) benzoates as urease inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 2014;52:1–7.
- [59] Saeed A, Rehman S, Channar PA, Larik FA, Abbas Q, Hassan M, et al. Long chain 1-acyl-3-arylthioureas as jack bean urease inhibitors, synthesis, kinetic mechanism and molecular docking studies. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 2017;77:54–63.
- [60] Saeed A, ur-Rehman S, Channar PA, Larik FA, Abbas Q, Hassan M, et al. Jack bean urease inhibitors, and antioxidant activity based on palmitic acid derived 1-acyl-3- arylthioureas: synthesis, kinetic mechanism and molecular docking studies. Drug Res 2017;67:1–10.
- [61] Jamil M, Zubair M, Rasool N, Altaf AA, Rizwan K, Hafeez S, et al. Synthesis, characterization, antibacterial and urease inhibition studies of some novel symmetrical N3, N3-bis-(disubstituted)isophthalyl-bis-(thioureas). Asian J Chem 2013;25(10):5328–32.
- [62] Aslam MAS, Mahmood S, Shahidc M, Saeed A, Iqbal J. Synthesis, biological assay *in vitro* and molecular docking studies of new Schiff base derivatives as potential urease inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2011;46:5473–9.
- [63] Pervez H, Iqbal MS, Tahir MY, Nasim F, Choudhary MI, Khan KM. In vitro cytotoxic, antibacterial, antifungal and urease inhibitory activities of some N^{4} substituted isatin-3-thiosemicarbazones. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2008;23(6):848–54.
- [64] Pervez H, Chohan ZH, Ramzan M, Nasim F, Khan KM. Synthesis and biological evaluation of some new N⁴-substituted isatin-3-thiosemicarbazones. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2009;24(2):437–46.
- [65] Sharma A, Suhas R, Gowda DC. Ureas/thioureas of benzo[d]isothiazole analog conjugated glutamic acid: synthesis and biological evaluation. Arch Pharm Chem Life Sci 2013;346:359–66.
- [66] Taha M, Ismail NH, Imran S, Wadood A, Rahim F, Khan KM, et al. Hybrid benzothiazole analogs as antiurease agent: Synthesis and molecular docking studies. Bioorg Chem 2016;66:80–7.
- [67] Khan KM, Wadood A, Ali M, Zia-Ullah, Ul-Haq Z, Lodhi MA, et al. Identification of potent urease inhibitors via ligand- and structure-based virtual screening and *in vitro* assays. J Mol Graph Model 2010;28:792–8.
- [68] Ali S, Rasool N, Ullah A, Nasim FH, Yaqoob A, Zubair M, et al. Design and synthesis of arylthiophene-2-carbaldehydes via suzuki-miyaura reaction and their biological evaluation. Molecules 2013;18:14711–25.

- [69] Noreen M, Rasool N, Gull Y, Zubair M, Mahmood T, Ayub K, et al. Synthesis, density functional theory (DFT), urease inhibition and antimicrobial activities of 5-aryl thiophenes bearing sulphonylacetamide moieties. Molecules 2015;20:19914–28.
- [70] Harit T, Malek F, Bali BE, Khan A, Dalvandi K, Marasini BP, et al. Synthesis and enzyme inhibitory activities of some new pyrazole-based heterocyclic compounds. Med Chem Res 2012;21:2772–8.
- [71] Naureen S, Chaudhry F, Asif N, Munawar MA, Ashraf M, Nasim FH, et al. Discovery of indole-based tetraarylimidazoles as potent inhibitors of urease with low antilipoxygenase activity. Eur J Med Chem 2015;102:464–70.
- [72] Mao WJ, Lv PC, Shi L, Li HQ, Zhu HL. Synthesis, molecular docking and biological evaluation of metronidazole derivatives as potent *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 2009;17:7531–6.
- [73] Huang XS, Liu K, Yin Y, Li WM, Ran W, Duan M, et al. The synthesis, structure and activity evaluation of secnidazole derivatives as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Curr Bioact Compd 2011;7:268–80.
- [74] Lodhi MA, Shams S, Khan KM. Thiazolidine esters: new potent urease inhibitors. J Chem Soc Pak 2014;36:858–64.
- [75] Fareed G, Afza N, Versiani MA, Fareed N, Mughal UR, Kalhoro MA, et al. Synthesis, spectroscopic characterization and pharmacological evaluation of oxazolone derivatives. J Serb Chem Soc 2013;78(8):1127–34.
- [76] Araujo DP, Morais VSS, de Fátima A, Modolo LV. Efficient sodium bisulfitecatalyzed synthesis of benzothiazoles and their potential as ureases inhibitors. RSC Adv 2015;5:28814–21.
- [77] Gull Y, Rasool N, Noreen M, Altaf AA, Musharraf SG, Zubair M, et al. Synthesis of *N*-(6-Arylbenzo[d]thiazole-2-acetamide derivatives and their biological activities: an experimental and computational approach. Molecules 2016;21:266.
- [78] Akhtar T, Hameed S, Khan KM, Choudhary MI. Syntheses, urease inhibition, and antimicrobial studies of some chiral 3-substituted-4-amino-5-thioxo-1H,4H–1,2,4-triazoles. Med Chem 2008;4:539–43.
- [79] Serwar M, Akhtar T, Hameed S, Khan KM. Synthesis, urease inhibition and antimicrobial activities of some chiral 5-aryl-4-(1-phenylpropyl)-2H-1,2,4triazole-3-(4H)-thiones. Arkivoc 2009;7:210–21.
- [80] Özil M, Bodur O, Ülker S, Kahveci B. Microwave-promoted synthesis and biological activity of some 2-hetarylmethyl-4-(4-hetarylphenyl)-5-methyl-2,4-dihydro-3H-1,2,4-triazol-3-one derivatives. Chem Heter Comp 2015;51 (1):88–96.
- [81] Khan I, Ali S, Hameed S, Rama NH, Hussain MT, Wadood A, et al. Synthesis, antioxidant activities and urease inhibition of some new 1,2,4-triazole and 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:5200–7.
- [82] Abid OUR, Babar TM, Ali FI, Ahmed S, Wadood A, Rama NH, et al. Identification of novel urease inhibitors by high-throughput virtual and *in vitro* screening. ASC Med Chem Lett 2010;1:145–9.
- [83] Akhtar T, Khan MA, Iqbal J, Jones PG, Hameed S. A facile one-pot synthesis of 2-arylamino-5-aryloxylalkyl-1,3,4-oxadiazoles and their urease inhibition studies. Chem Biol Drug Des 2014;84:92–8.
- [84] Akhtar T, Hameed S, Khan KM, Khan A, Choudhary MI. Design, synthesis, and urease inhibition studies of some 1,3,4-oxadiazoles and 1,2,4-triazoles derived from mandelic acid. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2010;25(4): 572–6.
- [85] Hanif M, Shoaib K, Saleem M, Rama NH, Zaib S, Iqbal J. Synthesis, urease inhibition, antioxidant, antibacterial, and molecular docking studies of 1,3,4oxadiazole derivatives. ISRN Pharm 2012:1–9.
- [86] Shahzad SA, Yar M, Khan ZA, Khan IU, Naqvi SAR, Mahmood N, et al. Microwave-assisted solvent free efficient synthesis of 1,3,4-oxadiazole-2 (3H)-thiones and their potent *in vitro* urease inhibition activity. Eur J Chem 2012;3(2):143–6.
- [87] Rehman AU, Siddiqa A, Abbasi MA, Rasool S, Akhtar MN, Lodhi MA, et al. Synthesis, characterization and urease inhibiting derivatives of 5-(3,4methulenedioxyphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-thiol. Asian J Chem 2014;26 (15):4605–9.
- [88] Rafiq M, Saleem M, Hanif M, Maqsood MR, Rama NH, Lee KH, et al. Synthesis and biological activities of some new 3,6-disubstituted 1,2,4-triazolo[3,4-b] 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives. Bull Korean Chem Soc 2012;33(12):3943–9.
- [89] Mojzych M, Tarasiuk P, Kotwica-Mojzych K, Rafiq M, Seo SY, Nicewicz M, et al. Synthesis of chiral pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazine sulfonamides with tyrosinase and urease inhibitory activity. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2016:1–6.
- [90] Saify ZS, Sultana N, Khan A, Haider S. (1H-Pyrrolo [2,3-b]pyridine)7-azaindole derivatives and their antiurease, phosphodiesterase and β-glucuronidase activity. Inter J Biochem Res Rev 2015;8(1):1–12.
- [91] Macegoniuk K, Grela E, Palus J, Rudzinska-Szostak E, Grabowiecka A, Biernat M, et al. 1,2-Benzisoselenazol-3-(2H)-one derivatives as a new class of bacterial urease inhibitors. J Med Chem 2016;59:8125–33.
- [92] Tabuchi Y, Kurebayashi Y. Antisecretory and antiulcer effects of ebselen, a seleno-organic compound, in rats. Jpn J Pharmacol 1993;61:255–7.
- [93] Demuner AJ, Valente VMM, Barbosa LCA, Rathi AH, Donohoe TJ, Thompson AL. Synthesis and phytotoxic activity of new pyridones derived from 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one. Molecules 2009;14:4973–86.
- [94] Dias LC, Demuner AJ, Valente VMM, Barbosa LCA, Martins FT, Doriguetto AC, et al. Preparation of achiral and chiral Eenaminopyran-2,4-diones and their phytotoxic activity. J Agric Food Chem 2009;57:1399–405.
- [95] Amin KM, Kamel MM, Anwar MM, Khedr M, Syam YM. Synthesis, biological evaluation and molecular docking of novel series of spiro[(2H,3H)

quinazoline-2,1'-cyclohexan]-4(1H)-one derivatives as antiin?ammatory and analgesic agents. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:2117–31.

- [96] Cocco MT, Congiu C, Onnis V. New bis(pyridyl)methane derivatives from 4hydroxy-2-pyridones: synthesis and antitumoral activity. Eur J Med Chem 2003;38:37–47.
- [97] Storck P, Aubertin A, Grierson DS. Tosylation/mesylation of 4- hydroxy-3nitro-2-pyridinones as an activation step in the construction of dihydropyrido[3,4-b]benzo[f][1,4]thiazepin-1-one based antiHIV agents. Tetrahedron Lett 2005;46:2919–22.
- [98] Evidente A, Fiore M, Bruno G, Sparapano L, Motta A. Chemical and biological characterisation of sapinopyridione, a phytotoxic 3,3,6-trisubstituted-2,4pyridione produced by Sphaeropsis sapinea, a toxigenic pathogen of native and exotic conifers, and its derivatives. Phytochemistry 2006;67:1019–28.
- [99] Berthelot M. Violet d'aniline. Rep Chim App 1859;1:284.
- [100] David W, Nelson JM, Slintak V, Paul RK, Gordon WR, William AD, et al. Biochemical and antiproliferative properties of 4-[Ar(alk)ylamino]-pyridopyrimidines, a new chemical class of potent and specific epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Biochem Pharmacol 1997;54:877–87.
- [101] Mamouni R, Akssira AM, Aadil M, Elhakmaoui A, Lasri J, Zaballos-Garcia E. A facile synthesis of new 3-substituted-2,3dihydropyrido[3,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4-diones. Synth Commun 2003;33:4259–64.
- [102] Mihailo B, Iva T, Zrinka I, Sanja T, Jerka D. Pyrimidopyrimidines: a novel class of dihydrofolate reductase inhibitors. Food Technol Biotech 2009;47:236–45.
- [103] Miller JR, Dunham S, Mochalkin I, Banotai C, Bowman M, Buist S, et al. A class of selective antibacterials derived from a protein kinase inhibitor pharmacophore. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:1737–42.
- [104] Sayed RA, Shamroukh HA, Awad H. Preparation of some fused pyridopyrimidine and pyridothienotriazine derivatives for biological evaluation. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem 2005;180:2767–77.
- [105] Cockerill S, Stubberfield C, Stables J, Carter M, Guntrip S, Smith K, et al. Indazolylamino quinazolines and pyridopyrimidines as inhibitors of the EGFr and C-erbB-2. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2001;11:1401–5.
- [106] Gfesser GA, Bayburt EK, Cowart M, DiDomenico S, Gomtsyan A, Lee CH, et al. Synthesis and structure-activity relationship of 5-heteroatom-substituted pyridopyrimidines as adenosine kinase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2003;38:245–52.
- [107] Cowart M, Lee CH, Gfesser GA, Bayburt EK, Bhagwat SS, Stewart AO, et al. Structure-activity relationship studies of 5-substituted pyridopyrimidines as adenosine kinase inhobitirs. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2001;11:83–6.
- [108] Wu Z, Hartnett JC, Neilson LA, Robinson RG, Fu S, Barnett SF, et al. Development of pyridopyrimidines as potent Akt1/2 Inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2008;18:1274–9.
- [109] Finan PM, Thomas MJ. Pl 3-kinase inhibition: a therapeutic target for respiratory disease. Biochem Soc Trans 2004;32:378–82.
- [110] Rauf A, Liaqat S, Qureshi AM, Yaqub M, Rehman AU, Hassan MU, et al. Synthesis, characterization, and urease inhibition of 5-substituted-9-methyl-2H-pyrido[1,2-a]pyrimidine-2,4(3H)-diones. Med Chem Res 2012;21: 60–74.
- [111] Bektas H, Ceylan S, Demirbas N, Alpay-Karaoglu S, Sökmen BB. Antimicrobial and antiurease activities of newly synthesized morpholine derivatives containing an azole nucleus. Med Chem Res 2013;22:3629–39.
- [112] Oliveira FM, Barbosa LC, Valente VMM, Demuner AJ, Maltha CRA, Oliveros-Bastidas AJ. Structure-activity relationship of pyridin-2-(1*H*)-ones derivatives as urease inhibitors. | Pharm Res 2012;5(12):5326–33.
- [113] Iftikhar F, Ali Y, Kiani FA, Hassan SF, Fatima T, Khan A, et al. Design, synthesis, in vitro evaluation and docking studies on dihydropyrimidine-based urease inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 2017;74:53–65.
- [114] Khan A, Hashim J, Arshad N, Khan I, Siddiqui N, Wadood A, et al. Dihydropyrimidine based hydrazine dihydrochloride derivatives as potent urease inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 2016;64:85–96.
- [115] Rashid U, Batool I, Wadood A, Khan A, ul-Haq Z, Chaudhary MI, et al. Structure based virtual screening-driven identification of monastrol as a potent urease inhibitor. J Mol Graph Model 2013;43:47–57.
- [116] Wise R, Andrews JM, Edwards LJ. In vitro activity of Bay 09867, a new quinoline derivative, compared with those of other antimicrobial agents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1983;23:559–64.
- [117] Felmingham D, O'Hare MD, Robbins MJ, Wall RA, Williams AH, Cremer AW, et al. Comparative *in vitro* studies with 4-quinolone antimicrobials. Drugs Exp Clin Res 1985;11:317–29.
- [118] Maurer F, Grohe K. 2,4-Dichloro-5-fluorobenzoic acid. Chem Abstr 1986;105:97158.
- [119] Petersen U, Bartel S, Bremm KD, Himmler T, Krebs A, Schenke T. The synthesis and biological properties of 6-fluoroquinolonecarboxylic acids. Bull Soc Chim Belg 1996;105:683–99.
- [120] Arayne MS, Sultana N, Gul S, Khan A. Novel derivatives of 5-amino-1cyclopropyl-7-[(3*R*,5*S*)3,5-dimethylpiperazine-1-yl]-6,8-difluoro-4-oxoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid: their synthesis, antimicrobial, antifungal, and urease inhibitory studies. Med Chem Res 2014;23:1248–56.
- [121] Abu-Qatouseh L, Abu-Sini M, Mayyas A, Al-Hiari Y, Darwish R, Aburjai T. Synthesis of new nitrofluoroquinolone derivatives with novel antimicrobial properties against metronidazole resistant *H. pylori*. Molecules 2017;22:71–82.
- [122] Ahmad M, King TA, Ko DK, Cha BH, Lee J. Performance and photostability of xanthene and pyrromethene laser dyes in solegel phases. J Phys D Appl Phys 2002;35:1473–6.

- [123] Banerjee A, Mukherjee AK. Chemical aspects of santalin as a histological stain. Stain Technol 1981;56:83–5.
- [124] Menchen SM, et al., inventor; Applera Corporation, assignee. Sulfonated diarylrhodamine dyes. United States patent US 6583168; 2000 Nov 28.
- [125] Knight CG, Stephens T. Xanthene-dye-labelled phosphatidylethanolamines as probes of interfacial pH. Studies in phospholipid vesicles. Biochem J 1989;258:683–7.
- [126] Hideo T, Teruomi J, inventors; Sankyo Co, assignee. Benzopyrano[2,3-b] xanthene derivatives and its preparation. Japan patent JP 56005480; 1981 Jul 27.
- [127] Poupelin JP, Saint-Ruf G, Foussard-Blanpin O, Marcisse G, Uchida-Ernouf G, Lacroix R. Synthesis and antiInflammatory properties of bis (2-hydroxy-1naphthyl)methane derivatives. Eur J Med Chem 1978;13:67–71.
- [128] Lambert RW, et al., inventor; F. Hoffmann-LA Roche AG, assignee. Pyrimidine nucleosides. PCT International Application WO9706178; 1997 Feb 20.
- [129] Khurana JM, Magoo D, Aggarwal K, Aggarwal N, Kumar R. Srivastava. Synthesis of novel 12-aryl-8,9,10,12-tetrahydrobenzo[α]xanthene-11-thiones and evaluation of their biocidal effects. Eur J Med Chem 2012;58:470–7.
- [130] Khan KM, Khan M, Khan A, Perveen S, Naz F, Choudhary MI. 5-Arylidene N, Ndimethylbarbiturates as urease inhibitors. J Chem Soc Pak 2014;36:524–7.
- [131] Khan KM, Ali M, Wadood A, ul-Haq Z, Khan M, Lodhi MA, et al. Molecular modeling-based antioxidant arylidene barbiturates as urease inhibitors. J Mol Graph Model 2011;30:153-6.
- [132] Khan KM, Rahim F, Khan A, Shabeer M, Hussain S, Rehman W, et al. Synthesis and structure-activity relationship of thiobarbituric acid derivatives as potent inhibitors of urease. Bioorg Med Chem 2014;22:4119–23.
- [133] Qureshi AM, Qadir M, Rauf A, Idrees M, Mumtaz S, Najam-ul-Haq M, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of metal-barbiturate conjugates against pathogenic strains of escherichia coli and staphylococcus aureus. Lett Drug Des Discov 2011;8(10):980–7.
- [134] Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions in human disease: an overview. Meth Enzymol 1990;186:1–85.
- [135] Fadda AA, Bondock S, Rabie R, Etman HA. Cyanoacetamide derivatives as synthons in heterocyclic synthesis. Turk J Chem 2008;32:259–86.
- [136] Shishoo CJ, Devani MB, Anathan S, Jain KS, Bhadti VS, Mohan S, et al. Synthesis of some 2-substituted quinazolin-4-ones and thienopyrimidin-4ones of biological interest and isolation of o-functionalized amidine intermediates. Indian J Chem 1989;28B:1039–47.
- [137] Hazra K, Saravanan J, Mohan S. Synthesis and antiinflammatory evaluation of some new thiophene analogs. Asian J Chem 2007;19:3541–4.
- [138] Zhuravel IO, Kovalenko SM, Ivachtchenko AV, Balakin KV, Kazmirchuk V. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of 5-hydroxymethyl- 8-methyl-2-(Narylimino)-pyrano[2,3-c]pyridine-3-(N-aryl)-carboxamides. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005;15(24):5483-7.
- [139] Geissler AE, Huppatz JL, Phillips JN. The herbicidal activity of 2-alkyl-2cyanoacetanilides. J Pestic Sci 1980;11:432–8.
- [140] Shams HZ, Elkholy YM, Azzam RA, Mohareb RM. Synthetic potentialities of thiophene systems in heterocyclic synthesis: anovel synthesis of thieno[2,3b]pyridine derivatives. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem 1999;155:215–33.
- [141] Ismail MMF, Ammar YA, El-Zahaby HAS, Eisa SI, Barakat SE. Synthesis of novel 1-pyrazolylpyridin-2-ones as potential antiinflammatory and analgesic agents. Arch Pharm Life Sci 2007;340:476–82.
- [142] Litvinov VP. Cyanoacetamides and their thio- and selenocarbonyl analogues as promising reagents for fine organic synthesis. Russ Chem Rev 1999;68:737–63.
- [143] Ghani UN, ur-Rahman A, Choudhary MI, Ullah N, James MN. Crystal structure of γ-chymotrypsin in complex with 7-hydroxycoumarin. J Mol Biol 2001;314 (3):519–25.
- [144] Rauf A, Nazish KA, Nasim FH, Yaqoob A, Qureshi AM. Synthesis of novel cyanoacetamides derivatives and their urease inhibition studies. Eur J Chem 2015;6(2):163–8.
- [145] Rauf A, Shahzad S, Bajda M, Yar M, Ahmed F, Hussain N, et al. Design and synthesis of new barbituric- and thiobarbituric acid derivatives as potent urease inhibitors: Structure activity relationship and molecular modeling studies. Bioorg Med Chem 2015;23:6049–58.
- [146] Rauf A, Ahmed F, Qureshi AM, Aziz-ur-Rehman Khan A, Qadir MI, et al. Synthesis and urease inhibition studies of barbituric and thiobarbituric acid derived sulphonamides. J Chin Chem Soc 2011;58:528–37.
- [147] Ziarani GM, Faramarzi S, Asadi S, Badiei A, Balz R, Amanlou M. Threecomponent synthesis of pyrano[2,3-d]-pyrimidine dione derivatives facilitated by sulfonic acid nanoporous silica (SBA-Pr-SO₃H) and their docking and urease inhibitory activity. J Pharm Sci 2013;21(3):1–13.
- [148] Barakat A, Al-Majid AM, Lotfy G, Arshad F, Yousuf S, Choudhary MI, et al. Synthesis and dynamics studies of barbituric acid derivatives as urease inhibitors. Chem Cent J 2015;9:63.
- [149] Barakat A, Al-Majid AM, Al-Najjar HJ, Mabkhot YN, Javaid S, Yousuf S, et al. Zwitterionic pyrimidinium adducts as antioxidants with therapeutic potential as nitric oxide scavenger. Eur J Med Chem 2014;84:146–54.
- [150] Rahim F, Ali M, Ullah S, Rashid U, Ullah H, Taha M, et al. Development of bisthiobarbiturates as successful urease inhibitors and their molecular modeling studies. Chin Chem Lett 2016;27:693–7.
- [151] Vassiliou S, Grabowiecka A, Kosikowska P, Yiotakis A, Kafarski P, Berlicki L. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel organophosphorus inhibitors of bacterial ureases. J Med Chem 2008;51:5736–44.

- [152] Mara C, Dempsey E, Bell A, Barlow JW. Synthesis and evaluation of phosphoramidate and phosphorothioamidate analogues of amiprophos methyl as potential antimalarial agents. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2011;21:6180–3.
- [153] Paula VF, Barbosa LCA, Teixeira RR, Picanco MC, Silva GA. Synthesis and insecticidal activity of new 3-benzylfuran-2-yl N, N, NO, NOtetraethyldiamidophosphate derivatives. Pest Manage Sci 2008;64:863–72.
- [154] Oliveira FM, Barbosa LCA, Teixeira RR, Demuner AJ, Maltha CRA, Picanço MC, et al. Synthesis and insecticidal activity of new phosphoramidates. J Pestic Sci 2012;37:85–8.
- [155] McGuigan C, Kelleher MR, Perrone P, Mulready S, Luoni G, Daverio F, et al. The application of phosphoramidate ProTide technology to the potent antiHCV compound 40-azidocytidine (R1479). Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2009;19 (15):4250–4.
- [156] Derudas M, Brancale A, Naesens L, Neyts J, Balzarini J, McGuigan C. Application of the phosphoramidate ProTide approach to the antiviral drug ribavirin. Bioorg Med Chem 2010;18:2748–55.
- [157] Mehellou Y, Balzarini J, McGuigan C. An investigation into the antiHIV activity of 20,30-didehydro-20,30-dideoxyuridine (d4U) and 20,30dideoxyuridine (ddU) phosphoramidate 'Pro-Tide' derivatives. Org Biomol Chem 2009;7:2548–53.
- [158] Borrello L, Chiacchio U, Corsaro A, Pistarà V, Iannazzo D. Phosphoroamidate derivatives of N, O-nucleosides as inhibitors of reverse transcriptase. Arkivoc 2009;8:112–24.
- [159] Donghi M, Attenni B, Gardelli C, Marco AD, Fiore F, Giuliano C, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of novel phosphoramidate prodrugs of 20-methyl cytidine as inhibitors of hepatitis C virus NS5B polymerase. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2009;19:1392–5.
- [160] Oliveira FM, Barbosa LCA, Demuner AJ, Maltha CRA, Pereira SR, Horta LP, et al. Synthesis, molecular properties and DFT studies of new phosphoramidates as potential urease inhibitors. Med Chem Res 2014;23:5174–87.
- [161] Correa-Basurto J, Flores-Sandoval C, Marin-Cruz J, Rojo-Dominguez A, Espinoza-Fonseca LM, Trujillo-Ferrara JG. Docking and quantum mechanic studies on cholinesterases and their inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2007;42:10–9.
- [162] Arantes FFP, Barbosa LCA, Maltha CRA, Demuner AJ, Fidencio PH, Carneiro JWM. A quantum chemical and chemometric study of sesquiterpene lactones with cytotoxicity against tumor cells. J Chemom 2011;25:401–7.
- [163] Domínguez MJ, Sanmartín C, Font M, Palop JA, Francisco SS, Urrutia O, et al. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of phosphoramide derivatives as urease inhibitors. J Agric Food Chem 2008;56(10):3721–31.
- [164] Font M, Domínguez MJ, Sanmartín C, Palop JA, Francisco SS, Urrutia O, et al. Structural characteristics of phosphoramide derivatives as urease inhibitors. Requirements for activity. J Agric Food Chem 2008;56(18):8451–60.
- [165] Vassiliou S, Grabowiecka A, Kosikowska P, Yiotakis A, Kafarski P, Berlicki L. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel organophosphorus inhibitors of bacterial ureases. J Med Chem 2008;51:5736–44.
- [166] Berlicki Ł, Bochno M, Grabowiecka A, Białas A, Kosikowska P, Kafarski P. Nsubstituted aminomethanephosphonic and aminomethane-Pmethylphosphinic acids as inhibitors of ureases. Amino Acids 2012;42:1937–45.
- [167] Vassiliou S, Grabowiecka A, Kosikowska P, Berlicki Ł. Three component Kabachnik-Fields condensation leading to substituted aminomethane-Phydroxymethylphosphonic acids as a tool for screening of bacterial urease inhibitors. Arkivoc 2012;4:33–43.
- [168] Macegoniuk K, Dziełak A, Mucha A, Berlicki Ł. Bis(aminomethyl)phosphinic acid, a highly promising scaffold for the development of bacterial urease inhibitors. ACS Med Chem Lett 2015;6:146.
- [169] Collinsova M, Jiracek J. Phosphinic acid compounds in biochemistry, biology and medicine. Curr Med Chem 2000;7:629–47.
- [170] Berlicki L, Kafarski P. Computer-aided analysis and design of phosphonic and phosphinic enzyme inhibitors as potential drugs and agrochemicals. Curr Org Chem 2005;9:1829–50.
- [171] Merz KM, Kollman PA. Free energy perturbation simulations of the inhibition of thermolysin: prediction of the free energy of binding of a new inhibitor. J Am Chem Soc 1989;111:5649–58.
- [172] Grobelny D, Goli UB, Galard RE. Binding energetics of phosphorus-containing inhibitors of thermolysin. Biochemistry 1989;28:4948.[173] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Ciurli S, Mangani S. The complex of
- [173] Benini S, Rypniewski WR, Wilson KS, Ciurli S, Mangani S. The complex of Bacillus pasteurii urease with b-mercaptoethanol from X-ray data at 1.65 Å resolution. J Biol Inorg Chem 1998;3:268–73.
- [174] Benini S, Kosikowska P, Cianci M, Mazzei L, Vara AG, Berlicki L, et al. The crystal structure of Sporosarcina pasteurii urease in a complex with citrate provides new hints for inhibitor design. J Biol Inorg Chem 2013;18:391–9.
- [175] Ntatsopoulos V, Vassiliou S, Macegoniuk K, Berlicki Ł, Mucha A. Novel organophosphorus scaffolds of urease inhibitors obtained by substitution of Morita-Baylis-Hillman adducts with phosphorus nucleophiles. Eur J Med Chem 2017;133:107.
- [176] Ansari FL, Wadood A, Ullah A, Iftikhar F, Ul-Haq Z. In silico studies of urease inhibitors to explore ligand-enzyme interations. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2009;24(1):151–6.
- [177] Bekhit AA, Habbib NS, El-Din A, Bekhit A. Synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of chalcone and syndrome derivatives of 4(3H)-quinazolinone. Boll Chim Farm 2001;140:297–301.
- [178] Hiseh HK, Lee TH, Wang JP, Wang JJ, Lin CN. Synthesis and antiinflammatory effect of chalcones and related compounds. Pharm Res 1998;15:39–46.

- [179] Kumar SK, Hager E, Pettit C, Gurulingppa H, Davidson NE, Khan SR. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel boronic-chalcone derivatives as antitumor agents. J Med Chem 2003;46(14):2813–8.
- [180] Nielsen SF, Christensen SB, Cruciani G, Kharazmi A, Liljefors T. Antileishmanial chalcones: statistical design, synthesis, and threedimensional quantitative structure-activity relationship analysis. J Med Chem 1998;41:4819–32.
- [181] Lopez SN, Castelli MV, Zacchino SA, Dominguez JN, Lobo G, Chrris-Charriss J, et al. *In vitro* antifungal evaluation and structure-activity relationships of a new series of chalcone derivatives and synthetic analogues, with inhibitory properties against polymers of the fungal cell wall. Bioorg Med Chem 2001;9:1999–2013.
- [182] Murakami S, Muramatsu M, Aihara H, Otomo S. Inhibition of gastric H+, K(+)-ATPase by the antiulcer agent, sofalcone. Biochem Pharmacol 1991;42 (7):1447–51.
- [183] Deshpande AM, Argade NP, Natu AA, Eckman J. Synthesis and screening of a combinatorial library of naphthalene substituted chalcones: inhibitors of leukotriene B4. Bioorg Med Chem 1999;7(6):1237–40.
- [184] Khatib S, Nerya O, Musa R, Shmnel M, Tamir S, Vaya J. Chalcones as potent tyrosinase inhibitors: the importance of a 2,4-substituted resorcinol moiety. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13(2):433–41.
- [185] Severi F, Benvenuti S, Costantino L, Vampa G, Melegari M, Antolini L. Synthesis and activity of a new series of chalcones as aldose reductase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 1999;33:859–66.
- [186] Ahari-Mostafavi MM, Sharif A, Mirzaei M, Amanlou M. Novel and versatile methodology for synthesis of β-aryl-β-mercapto ketone derivatives as potential urease inhibitors. J Iran Chem Soc 2014;11:1113–9.
- [187] Batool T, Rasool N, Gull Y, Noreen M, Nasim FUH, Yaqoob A, et al. A convenient method for the synthesis of (Prop-2-Ynyloxy)benzene derivatives via reaction with propargyl bromide, their optimization, scope and biological evaluation. PLoS ONE 2014;9:1–19.
- [188] Xiao ZP, Peng ZY, Dong JJ, Deng RC, Wang XD, Ouyang H, et al. Synthesis, molecular docking and kinetic properties of β-hydroxy-β-phenylpropionylhydroxamic acids as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2013;68:212–21.
- [189] Shi WK, Deng RC, Wang PF, Yue QQ, Liu Q, Ding KL, et al. 3-Arylpropionylhydroxamic acid derivatives as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors: Synthesis, molecular docking and biological evaluation. Bioorg Med Chem 2016;24:4519–27.
- [190] Sokmen BB, Onar HC, Yusufoglu A, Yanardag R. Antielastase, antiurease and antioxidante activities of (3–13)-monohydroxyeicosanoic acid isomers. J Serb Chem Soc 2012;77(10):1353–61.
- [191] Sokmen BB, Hasdemir B, Yusufoglu A, Yanardag R. Some monohydroxy tetradecanoic acid isomers as novel urease and elastase inhibitors and as new antioxidants. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2014;172:1358–64.
- [192] Tanaka T, Kawase M, Tani S. Urease inhibitory activity of simple alpha, betaunsaturated ketones. Life Sci 2005;73(23):2985–90.
- [193] Zhao G, Yu T, Wang R, Wang X, Jing Y. Synthesis and structure-activity relationship of ethacrynic acid analogues on glutathione-s-transferase P1-1 activity inhibition. Bioorg Med Chem 2005;13(12):4056–62.
- [194] Zhao G, Liu C, Wang R, Song D, Wang X, Lou H, et al. The synthesis of alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyl derivatives with the ability to inhibit both glutathione S-transferase P1–1 activity and the proliferation of leukemia cells. Bioorg Med Chem 2007;15(7):2701–7.
- [195] Janser I, Vortolomei CM, Meka RK, Walsh CA, Janser RFJ. Ethacrynic acid as a lead structure for the development of potent urease inhibitors. C R Chim 2013;16:660–4.
- [196] Tanaka T, Kawase M, Tani S. A-Hydroxyketones as inhibitors of urease. Bioorg Med Chem 2004;12:501-5.
- [197] Sirajuddin M, Ali S, Zaib S, Iqbal J, Tahir MN, Hadda TB. Design, structural and spectroscopic elucidation and *in vitro* antimicrobial, anticancer, antileishmanial, urease inhibition activities and interaction with SS-DNA of newly synthesized amide based carboxylic acid. Inorganica Chim Acta 2015;427:178–87.
- [198] Li HQ, Xiao ZP, Yin-Luo, Yan T, Lv PC, Zhu HL. Amines and oximes derived from deoxybenzoins as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2009;44:2246–51.
- [199] Xiao ZP, Ma TW, Fu WC, Peng XC, Zhang AH, Zhu HL. The synthesis, structure and activity evaluation of pyrogallol and catechol derivatives as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:5064–70.
- [200] Xiao ZP, Shi WK, Wang PF, Wei W, Zeng XT, Zhang JR, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of *N*-analogs of 1,2-diarylethane as *Helicobacter pylori* urease inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 2015;23:4508–13.
- [201] Aziz-ur-Rehman, Awais-ur-Rehman, Abbasi MA, Khalid H, Dar P, Khan KM. Synthesis and biological screening of N-substituted derivatives of N-benzyl-4- chlorobenzenesulfonamide. Asian J Pharm Health Sci 2012;2:384–89.
- [202] Aziz-ur-Rehman, Abbasi MA, Rasool S, Ashraf M, Ejaz SA, Hassan R, et al. Synthesis, spectral characterization and enzyme inhibition Studies of different chlorinated sulfonamides. Pak J Pharm Sci 2014;27(6):1739–45.



Yuri de Freitas Rego was born in 1992. He earned his BSc. degree in Chemistry in 2016 at the Federal University of Minas Gerais (MG, Brazil). He is currently a graduate student in Chemistry under the mentoring of Dr. Ângelo de Fátima. His research interests are in the fields of Organic Synthesis and applied Biological Chemistry.



Marcelo Pereira Queiroz was born in 1998. He is an undergraduate student in Chemistry at the Federal University of Minas Gerais (MG, Brazil). Marcelo joined the scientific initiation program in 2016 under the mentoring of Dr. de Fátima. His research interests are in the field of Organic and Forensic Chemistry.



Tiago de Oliveira Brito received his PhD in Chemistry in March of 2017 from the Londrina State University (PR, Brazil). He is currently substitute professor of the Academic Department of Chemistry at the Federal University of Technology – Paraná, campus Medianeira (PR, Brazil). His research interest include Organic Synthesis, Medicinal Chemistry and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance.







Vagner Tebaldi de Queiroz earned his PhD in Applied Biochemistry in 2005 from the Federal University of Viçosa (MG, Brazil). He is currently Associate Professor of the Department of Chemistry and Physics at the Federal University of Espirito Santo (UFES, ES, Brazil) and the coordinator of the Natural Products and Organic Synthesis Research Group (GEAPS-UFES-CNPq). His research interests include new materials synthesis for supporting agrochemicals and the evaluation of their activities for pest control in Management Programs of Pests and Diseases in agriculture.



Ângelo de Fátima received his PhD in Science in 2005 from the State University of Campinas (SP, Brazil). He is currently Associate Professor of the Department of Chemistry at the Federal University of Minas Gerais (MG, Brazil). Dr. de Fátima is the coordinator of the Network for the Development of Novel Urease Inhibitors (www.redniu.org) and Group of Studies on Organic and Biological Chemistry. His research interests include the synthesis of molecules with biological and/or functional profiles and the evaluation of their activities against cancer cells, fungi, bacteria and virus of clinical interest, as well as their potential as synthetic vaccines.



Fernando Macedo Jr received his Ph.D. in Science from the State University of Campinas (Brazil) in 2004. He also developed research project as post-doctoral fellow at the Department of Organic Chemistry, Federal University of Bahia (Brazil) and at the Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology of The University of Sheffield (UK). Dr. Macedo is currently Associate Professor of the Department of Chemistry at the State University of Londrina (Brazil) where he coordinates the research group on synthesis and properties of organic compounds. His main research interests include the synthesis of bioactive molecules and the

evaluation of their non-covalent interactions with macromolecules by NMR techniques.