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#### Abstract

Telomerase maintains the integrity of telomeres, the ends of linear chromosomes, by adding G-rich repeats to their $3^{\prime}$-ends. Telomerase RNA is an integral component of telomerase. It contains a template for the synthesis of the telomeric repeats by the telomerase reverse transcriptase. Although telomerase RNAs of different organisms are very diverse in their sequences, a functional nontemplate element, a pseudoknot, was predicted in all of them. Pseudoknot elements in human and the budding yeast Kluyveromyces lactis telomerase RNAs contain unusual triple-helical segments with AUU base triples, which are critical for telomerase function. Such base triples in ciliates have not been previously reported. We analyzed the pseudoknot sequences in 28 ciliate species and classified them in six different groups based on the lengths of the stems and loops composing the pseudoknot. Using miniCarlo, a helical parameter-based modeling program, we calculated 3D models for a representative of each morphological group. In all cases, the predicted structure contains at least one AUU base triple in stem 2, except for that of Colpidium colpoda, which contains unconventional GCG and AUA triples. These results suggest that base triples in a pseudoknot element are a conserved feature of all telomerases.


## INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures that protect the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes (1). Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) that can add short DNA repeats onto telomeres and thus compensate for the losses caused by incomplete replication or degradation (2). The essential core components of this specialized enzyme
are telomerase RNA (TER) and telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), which copies a small portion of TER, the template, onto the telomere's $3^{\prime}$ 'end. TERs are highly divergent in sequence and length even among closely related species. Based on phylogenetic covariation, secondary structure models were predicted for ciliates (3,4), vertebrates (5) and Saccharomyces sensu stricto species (6-9). However, only limited similarity in the general architecture of these models was observed ( 8,10 ).

The proposed secondary structure for ciliate TERs consists of four base-paired regions in most tetrahymenine ciliates (Colpidium, Glaucoma and Tetrahymena species) ( 3,11 ), denoted by Roman numerals I-IV (Figure 1). All Paramecium species have an additional helix V (12), while hypotrichous ciliates (Euplotes, Sterkiella and Stylonichia) and $T$. paravorax lack helix II $(4,13)$. Nucleotides in the apical loop of stem IIIb can potentially form four base pairs outside of the stem, thus forming a PK (14). PK structures were later proposed for vertebrates (5) and yeast (15) TERs and found to be important for telomerase function (10).

The role of the PK in T. thermophila TER has been studied by several groups. Autexier and Greider studied telomerase activity reconstituted in vitro from micrococcal nuclease-treated endogenous telomerase fractions and in vitro transcribed TER (16). They found that mutations disrupting stem IIIa and even deleting most of the PK (76-99 $\Delta$; see Figure 1 inset for the numbering scheme) only moderately affected telomerase activity. Licht and Collins (17) reconstituted telomerase activity in rabbit reticulocyte lysate from both TER and TERT expressed in vitro, thus eliminating possible interference by partially digested endogenous TER. In this system, disrupting either of the two PK stems (70-86 or 77-98 ) reduced telomerase activity more significantly. Further in vitro studies showed that the PK and stem IV are important for telomerase activity and cooperate in providing the repeat addition processivity-the ability to synthesize multiple telomeric repeats (18). Disrupting stem IIIa impaired the assembly of the telomerase RNP
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Figure 1. A schematic representation of the common secondary structure model for ciliate telomerase RNA (10). Roman numerals denote conserved base-paired regions, and open rectangle shows the template. The sequence and nucleotide numbering for the pseudoknot (PK) element in T. thermophila are shown in the inset. Solid vertical lines show Watson-Crick base pairs, the asterisk shows the GA mismatch and dashed vertical lines show the AUU base triples proposed here.
complexes in vivo and reduced the telomere length and telomerase activity assayed in vitro in partially purified cell extracts $(19,20)$.

The high-resolution structure of the human TER PK has been solved by NMR (21). This structure revealed an extended triple-helical segment with base triples in the major groove of stem S2 and minor groove of stem S1, and a Hoogsteen UA pair in the junction. The triple helix within stem S 2 consists of three consecutive AUU base triples, where uracils from the third strand form Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds with adenines from the duplex. A molecular model with five consecutive AUU base triples, based on extensive mutational analysis, has been proposed for the PK of the budding yeast Kluyveromyces lactis TER (22). Importantly, disrupting the triplex part of the PK abolished telomerase function in K. lactis cells, while triple compensatory mutations forming pH -dependent $\mathrm{GCC}^{+}$triples partially restored it.

In the current work, we analyzed PK sequences in 28 species of ciliates, belonging to seven different genera (Colpidium, Euplotes, Glaucoma, Paramecium, Sterkiella, Stylonichia and Tetrahymena). Based on the lengths of the stems and loops, we classified them into six morphologically different groups and calculated molecular models for representatives of each group. All ciliate sequences can potentially form at least one base triple in stem 2 of the PK. In all structures except that of C. colpoda, these are the conventional AUU base triples, while the latter contains unconventional GCG and AUA triples.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sequences

TER sequences, determined by a number of groups (3,4,11-13,23), were used as available from the GenBank
(24) with the following exceptions. The sequences of T. malaccensis, T. pyriformis, T. pigmentosa, T. hyperangularis, $T$. hegewishii and G. chattoni were not available in the GenBank and were acquired instead from (3). For P. primaurelia (pseudogene B), P. multimicronucleatum and $P$. caudatum (strain 'JR Preer stock C-101'), some discrepancies were found between the sequences in the GenBank and the original publication; the published versions of these sequences were used (12). The TER sequence of $P$. caudatum (strain 'RB1') has not been published but is available from the GenBank (accession number AJ132318). GenBank accession numbers of all sequences used are given in Supplementary Data. Altogether, we analyzed 30 TER sequences from 28 ciliate species ( $P$. caudatum has different TER sequences in two strains, and both the functional TER gene and a pseudogene are sequenced in $P$. primaurelia).

## Modeling

Molecular models of PK structures were calculated using the miniCarlo program (25) on an SGI Octane R12000 computer essentially as described previously (22). miniCarlo is an internal coordinates-based program for molecular mechanics calculations of nucleic acids. The program assumes fixed values of bond lengths and fixed idealized geometries of aromatic bases. The set of internal coordinates includes generalized helical parameters that define relative positions of bases of nucleic acids in space. Flexible sugar rings are calculated using a one-parameter model (pseudorotation phase angle). A specialized chain-closure algorithm is used to calculate coordinates of the sugar-phosphate backbones connecting adjacent nucleosides (26). Conformational energy is calculated using an empirical force field optimized for nucleic acids $(27,28)$; hydration effects are modeled with the distance-dependent dielectric constant, and nucleotides are assumed electroneutral to take into account the shielding effect of counterions. Molecular graphics representations were prepared with the UCSF Chimera $(29,30)$ and MIDASPlus programs (31). The atomic coordinates of all calculated models are available from the authors upon request.

## RESULTS

Figure 2 A shows a schematic representation of a generic PK, and Figure 2B shows the structure of the AUU base triple. Standard notations for the PK stems S1 and S2 and loops L1, L2 and L3 (32) will be used below instead of the IIIa and IIIb more commonly used in the ciliates telomerase literature (compare with Figure 1). With few exceptions, loop L2 has a length of zero in the PKs of the ciliate TERs.

A search of the GenBank and published literature resulted in 30 TER sequences from 28 different species of ciliates. The PK elements were located with the help of multiple sequence alignments using CLUSTALW (33) and with the help of published alignments and secondary structures (3,4,11-13). The PK sequence of $T$. thermophila contains a stretch of two AU base pairs in stem S2 and


Figure 2. (A) A schematic representation of a generic RNA pseudoknot with stems S1, S2, loops L1, L2, L3 and AUU triples. Stems S1 and S2 correspond to stems IIIa and IIIb, respectively, in Figure 1. Vertical dashed lines show base triples formed by nucleotides of loop L1 interacting with base pairs of stem S2. Numbers of base pairs n1 and n2 define the position of the triple-helical segment relative to stem S 2. (B) An AUU base triple. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. Riboses attached to bases are indicated by 'Rib'.
two uridines in loop L1 (Figure 1). The strand direction of loop L1 is parallel to the adenines' strand. Therefore, there is a potential for forming a mini-triplex with two AUU base triples $(34,35)$, similar to the proposed human and K. lactis PK structures $(21,22)$. Inspection of PK sequences in other ciliates showed that two such triples could potentially form in most species. In Glaucoma and Paramecium species and in $T$. paravorax, there is only one such AUU triple in each PK. The only PK that cannot form any AUU triples is that of C. colpoda, which does not have any uridines in loop L1. Representatives of all morphologically different PK folds are listed in Table 1 and their modeling is described below. A list of all PK folds of ciliates is given in Supplementary Data.

## Pseudoknot junctions

Not all arbitrary sequences forming a PK fold with AU base pairs in stem S2 and uridines in loop L2 can incorporate AUU triples. The constraints imposed by a contiguous backbone restrict the allowed combinations of the number and position of base triples, the overall size of stem S2 and the sizes of junctions 1 and 2 (i.e. residues of loop L1, which are not part of the triplex; Figure 2A). In particular, parameter $n 1$ must be coordinated with the number of unpaired residues in the $5^{\prime}$-portion of loop L1 (i.e. with the length of junction 1), and $n 2$ must be coordinated with the length of junction 2 (Figure 2A). To determine if the ciliate PK structures can accommodate base triples, we studied these requirements. Each junction was modeled separately. Figure 3A shows a model of a generic junction 1 between stem S 1 and a triplex composed of six base triples as part of stem S2. Here and in other figures, stem S 1 is colored gray, the Watson-Crick part of the triplex is colored orange (strand of adenines) and yellow (strand of uridines), and the third strand of the triplex (uridines) is colored cyan. An A-form of poly(A)•poly(U) was energy-minimized while preserving its heteronomous regularity (i.e. keeping identical conformations for all residues within each strand but permitting the strands to differ) and used as a model for stem S1. A heteronomous triplex poly(A)•poly(U)•poly(U)
conformation was calculated in a similar way (22) and used as a model for S2. The step between stems S1 and S2 together with adjacent base pair and base triple was additionally energy-minimized. As with any hairpin-type PK, the helical twist is significantly increased at the junction (36) to juxtapose loop L1 (cyan) against the strand of adenines in stem S 1 . Green lines show the distance between the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ atom at the $3^{\prime}$-end of stem S 1 and the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ atoms in consecutive residues of the third strand of the triplex in S2. These distances give estimates of the gap that needs to be filled with the residues of junction 1 for PKs with different parameters $n 1$. The lower green line spans the distance that needs to be covered by the junction 1 residues if the triplex goes all the way to the bottom of stem S 2 (parameter $n 1=0$; see Figure 2A). The upper green line shows the corresponding distance in the case of $n 1=2$. While the exact values of these distances depend on the particular conformation of the $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{S} 2$ junction, it is clear that they grow rapidly with $n 1$ value (Figure 3C, dashed line). When the backbone is intact and there are no single-stranded residues in junction 1 (as, e.g. in the $T$. thermophila sequence), this distance is ca. $2.5 \AA$, assuming that the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$-P-O5' bond angle is fixed. This means that the conformation of junction 1 will be the least strained when $n 1=0$ and will probably be significantly modified for $n 1=1$. For greater values of $n 1$, additional unpaired residues in junction 1 of loop L1 will likely be required in order to make the PK fold able to accommodate the triplex in stem S2.

A model of a generic junction 2 is shown in Figure 3B; this junction connects the upper end of the third strand of the S2 triplex composed of three base-triples with the distal end of stem S2. This model was calculated in a manner similar to that described above. The doublestranded distal (upper) part of stem S 2 (blue) is a minimized A-form of $\operatorname{poly}(\mathrm{A}) \cdot p o l y(\mathrm{U})$, and the transition between the triplex and duplex parts of stem S2 does not have prominent features; it required only minor adjustments of the conformation during energy minimization. The lower green line shows the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 5^{\prime}$ distance between the triplex part of L1 and distal end of S2 in the case of $n 2=3$; this distance must be covered with the unpaired residues of junction 2. Because of the double-stranded character of the upper part of stem S2, building junction 2 is somewhat similar to building an apical loop on the top of a hairpin. In this case, the two shortest spans across the major groove are for the PKs with parameters $n 2=4$ and 5 (see Figure 3C, solid line); this is a well-known fact that follows from the geometry of A-form of RNA (37). To accommodate the triplex with $n 2$ values different from 4 or 5 , unpaired residues in junction 2 of loop L1 will probably be required. Results of the modeling of generic junctions 1 and 2 and analysis of the PK sequences in ciliates (Supplementary Data) indicate that morphological parameters appear to be compatible with PK structures incorporating AUU base triples in all species except C. colpoda. Below we show that such structures are indeed feasible. The case of C. colpoda will be considered separately.

Table 1. Sequences and morphological parameters of telomerase RNA pseudoknots ${ }^{1}$

| Species | Pseudoknot sequence | Stems | Loops | Triplex | $\mathrm{E}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. thermophila (31 nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=4 \\ & s 2=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=3 \\ & l 2=0 \\ & l 3=4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=2 \\ & n 1=1 \\ & n 2=5 \end{aligned}$ | -8.7 |
| T. paravorax (25nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=3 \\ & s 2=7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=1 \\ & l 2=0 \\ & l 3=4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=1 \\ & n 1=1 \\ & n 2=5 \end{aligned}$ | -8.8 |
| G. chattoni (30 nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=4 \\ & s 2=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=2 \\ & l 2=0 \\ & l 3=4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=1 \\ & n 1=1 \\ & n 2=6 \end{aligned}$ | -8.3 |
| P. tetraurelia (25nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=4 \\ & s 2=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=2 \\ & l 2=0 \\ & l 3=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=1 \\ & n 1=2 \\ & n 2=3 \end{aligned}$ | -7.9 |
| E. aediculatus (39 nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=4 \\ & s 2=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} l 1 & =6 \\ l 2 & =1 \\ l 3 & =8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=2 \\ & n 1=0 \\ & n 2=6 \end{aligned}$ | -8.4 |
| C. colpoda (29 nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=4 \\ & s 2=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=3 \\ & l 2=1 \\ & l 3=5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=3 \\ & n 1=0 \\ & n 2=2 \end{aligned}$ | -10.1 |
| K. lactis $^{3}$ (41 nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=5 \\ & s 2=12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=6 \\ & l 2=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=5 \\ & n 1=2 \\ & n 2=5 \end{aligned}$ | -9.0 |
| Human ${ }^{4}$ (46nt) |  | $\begin{aligned} & s 1=6 \\ & s 2=9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & l 1=8 \\ & l 2=0 \\ & l 3=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t=3 \\ & n 1=0 \\ & n 2=6 \end{aligned}$ | n/a |
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Figure 3. Pseudoknot junctions. (A) A stereo view of the junction between stems S1 (gray) and the triple helix formed by loop L1 (cyan) and stem S2 (orange and yellow). Green lines depict the distance between the O3' atom at the $3^{\prime}$-end of stem S 1 and the $\mathrm{O} 5^{\prime}$ atoms in consecutive residues of the third strand of the triplex. Three green lines correspond to PKs with parameters $n 1=0,1$ and 2. (B) A stereo view of the junction between loop L2 participating in the triplex and the distal end of stem S2. The part of stem S2 not participating in the triplex is shown in blue: the adenine strand in dark blue and the uridine strand in light blue. Green lines show the distance between the $\mathrm{O} 3^{\prime}$ atom at the $3^{\prime}$-end of the third strand of the triplex and the $\mathrm{O} 5^{\prime}$ atoms in consecutive residues of the uridine strand of stem S2. Three green lines correspond to PKs with parameters $n 2=3,4$ and 5 . (C) Dependence of the $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{O} 5^{\prime}$ distances defined in (A) and (B) on parameters $n 1$ (dashed line) and $n 2$ (solid line). For definition of parameters $n 1$ and $n 2$, see Figure 2A.

## Modeling of PK structures with AUU base triples

We modeled representative PK structures of ciliates with different morphology (Table 1), starting with the T. thermophila PK (Figure 4A). Briefly, individual PK components were constructed and energy-minimized; then the components were assembled, and the PK structure was again energy-minimized. Using the generic junctions modeled above, the $T$. thermophila sequence was substituted for the $A U$ sequences in stem S 1 and in the duplex-triplex combination within stem S2, and each stem was energy minimized. After that, the $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{S} 2$ junction together with junction 1 of L1 was energy-minimized. Two uridines from L1 are aligned with two AU base pairs from S2 in such a way that one base pair of S2 separates the lower base triple from the $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{S} 2$ junction, i.e. parameter $n 1=1$ (Figure 4A and Table 1). This is the next to optimal alignment of base triples (the optimal is with $n 1=0$; Figure 3C), and there are no unpaired residues in junction 1 of L1. Nevertheless, the minimized conformation of the junction accommodated the linkage between S1 and L1 with only slightly strained backbone. The backbone torsion angles zeta and epsilon between residues C72 and U73 are in the 'trans' and 'gaucheminus' minima, respectively (instead of more usual 'gauche-minus' and 'trans', respectively); also, torsion angle beta $\left(145^{\circ}\right)$ deviates somewhat from the perfect 'trans' value. Such morphology of the S1/S2 junction with $n 1=1$ and no unpaired residues in junction 1 of L1 is conserved in most Tetrahymena, Glaucoma and Colpidium species (Supplementary Table S1).

Next, the unpaired residue in junction 2 of loop L1 (C75) was added to the model, and junction 2 was energy-minimized. The alignment of the upper part of the mini-triplex with respect to stem S 2 is almost optimal with $n 2=5$ (Table 1 and Figure 3C), so the position of the unpaired C75 is only slightly constrained by the requirements of the backbone closure. This residue is likely to be flexible; it was modeled as looking outwards from the helix (Figure 4A). There is a potential that C75, if protonated, forms a Hoogsteen interaction with G95 from the GA pair in stem S2 (data not shown). However, C75 is not conserved in Tetrahymena species (it is sometimes replaced with an A), nor is the GA mismatch conserved (Supplementary Table S1).

Finally, we modeled loop L3, shown in magenta in Figure 4A. The L3 residues were added to the model and energy-minimized. Loop L3 is certainly long enough (4 nt) to span the minor groove of the 4-bp stem S1. Similarly to the unpaired C75 from L1, loop L3 is not strongly constrained by the requirements of the backbone closure, and as a result, its conformation in the model is quite arbitrary. As is not uncommon with various PK structures, L3 residues make occasional hydrogen bonds with the minor groove side of stem S ; however, such 'minor groove triplexes' (38) do not have any regular helical conformation. In the T. thermophila model, A91 makes hydrogen bonds with a GC pair (between N1 of A91 and the amino group of G84 and between the amino group of A91 and O2 of C72); A90 makes a hydrogen bond with G85 (between N1 of A90 and the amino group of G85).


Figure 4. Ribbon representations of models of telomerase RNA pseudoknots, stereo views. Stem S1 is shown in gray. Residues of stem S2 not participating in base triples are shown in blue. Residues of stem S2 that are part of the triplex are shown in orange (purines) and yellow (pyrimidines). Residues of loop L1, which are part of the triplex, are shown in cyan; the rest of loop L1 is shown in green. Loop L2, if present, is shown in red, and loop L3, in magenta. (A) T. thermophila, (B) T. paravorax, (C) G. chattoni, (D) P. tetraurelia, (E) E. aediculatus and (F) C. colpoda.

Loop L3 is relatively A-rich, but the adenine positions are not absolutely conserved even in Tetrahymena species (Supplementary Table S1). Taken together with the uncertainty of the modeling of this loop, it is not clear if these specific minor groove base triples are indeed formed, and if they are conserved in ciliates. Nevertheless, in the NMR structure of the human TER PK, two adenines from loop L3 do make hydrogen bonds with the minor groove of stem S1 (21). Deletion of these adenines led to the loss of telomerase activity in vitro (39), suggesting
that the formation of the minor groove base triples may be important.

The rest of the models were calculated in a similar way. We modeled all the morphologically different PK variants of ciliates (Table 1, Figure 4). The $T$. paravorax PK (Figure 4B) has only one AUU base triple, and shorter stems S1 and S2 than those of the $T$. thermophila PK. Similarly to $T$. thermophila, parameter $n 2=5$; however, there are no unpaired residues in the junction 2 region of loop L1. Consequently, the backbone between L1 and

S2 is somewhat strained. Nevertheless, the loss in energy is not very high, and the total conformational energy is similar in the two Tetrahymena species (Table 1). Two of the sequenced Colpidium TER genes, C. striatum and C. campylum, have morphological PK parameters similar to those of the Tetrahymena species (Supplementary Data), while C. colpoda has a very different PK sequence and will be considered separately.

Parameter $n 2$ is increased to 6 in both Glaucoma species (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1), which means an increased distance between the U from L1 and the distal end of S2 that need to be connected by the backbone during model building (Figure 3C). Unlike T. paravorax, there is an unpaired C in junction 2 in the Glaucoma PKs , which partially offsets the increase in $n 2$. Still, this residue is more constrained than in T. thermophila; as a result, it has a C2'-endo sugar pucker in the G. chattoni PK model (Figure 4C). Since there is a run of four AU base pairs in stem S 2 in both Glaucoma species, the single U residue of L1 could align differently to S2. However, any other alignment would increase parameter $n 1$, and therefore the backbone closure would not be possible in junction 1 of loop L1.

All Paramecium species have a distinct set of PK parameters. First, parameter $n 1=2$ increases significantly the size of the gap in junction 1 (Figure 3C). This is compensated by an unpaired adenine in this junction, which helps fill this gap. Adenine is conserved in this position in all Paramecium species. In the PK model of $P$. tetraurelia, the amino group of this adenine (shown in green in Figure 4D) makes a hydrogen bond with the O4 atom of the same uracil from stem S2 that is part of the AUU triple (Figure 5A). Second, parameter $n 2=3$ is also not optimal for junction 2 (Figure 3C). However, the closing pair of stem S 2 is a wobble GU pair; the uracil is shifted in the wobble pair relative to its Watson-Crick position in the direction, which, remarkably, helps fill the gap of junction 2. This closing GU pair is conserved in all Paramecium species.

Euplotes, Stylonichia and Sterkiella species have longer loops L1 and L3, and they have a one-nucleotide loop L2. We modeled the PK structure of Euplotes aediculatus as an example of this PK morphology (Figure 4E). Similarly to other PKs with loop L2, this structure is bent (40). Since two unpaired residues are located in both junctions 1 and 2 of L1, building a contiguous backbone in this model was straightforward. Unpaired residues in junction 1 were modeled using a CpA dinucleotide platform structural motif (Figure 5B). Such a motif was first discovered for ApA dinucleotides (41), but was then extended to some other sequences as well [see, e.g. $(42,43)]$. To the best of our knowledge, this motif has not been discussed in the literature for CpA dinucleotides, but is nevertheless present in at least one crystal RNA structure, the large ribosomal subunit of Haloarcola marismortui (44) (data not shown). Stylonichia and Sterkiella species have an even longer junction 1 (three unpaired residues), which means that the PK fold with base triples is even less constrained in this case.
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Figure 5. Structural details of the PK models. The coloring scheme is consistent with that of Figure 4. Filled circles show nitrogen atoms. (A) A stereo view of the interactions of loop L 1 residues in the $P$. tetraurelia PK model, including the uracil participating in the AUU triple and the adenine from junction 1. (B) Unpaired residues of loop L1 (junction 1) of the E. aediculatus form a CpA dinucleotide platform motif. (C) An R-triple GCG from the C. colpoda PK model. (D) A stereo view of an AUA R-triple from the C. colpoda PK model. Note that the loop L1 adenine (label is encircled) forms hydrogen bonds with bases of two neighboring base pairs of stem S2. Ribose and phosphate atoms and the adenine from the upper AU base pair are omitted for clarity. Glycosidic bonds are denoted by 'Rib'. (E) A stereo view of the network of hydrogen bonds in the C. colpoda PK. Glycosidic bonds are shown in thicker lines. Labels for the loop L1 residues are circled. In the Watson-Crick pairs, only the central N1-N3 hydrogen bonds are shown. The orientation is $\sim 180^{\circ}$ relative to the view in (D).

## Modeling the PK structure of Colpidium colpoda

The C. colpoda sequence is the only example of a TER PK in ciliates that cannot form AUU triples, because it does not have any uracils in loop L1. On the other hand, the L1 sequence in C. colpoda, GAA, is homologous to a stretch of purines in stem S2 and has the same $5^{\prime}$-to- $3^{\prime}$ orientation as this stretch (Table 1). The L1 GAA bases could potentially form non-conventional purine-purinepyrimidine triples with homologous base pairs of stem S2. Such base triples have been proposed by Zhurkin and co-workers in their model of an R-triplex, a RecA-promoted DNA triplex intermediate in homologous recombination (45). According to this model,
a single-stranded DNA can recognize a homologous DNA duplex; in particular, guanines can form so-called R-triples with GC pairs, adenines with AT pairs, and so on. We have found an example of a GCG triple in the R-type geometry in the crystal structure of the 23 S rRNA (G744-G702-C726) (44), and they have also been found in other rRNA structures [see (46) and references therein]. However, we have not found any example for AUA triples in published RNA structures. The structure of the GCG triple used in the modeling of the C. colpoda PK is shown in Figure 5C. We calculated a model of the R-triplex GAA•GAA•UUC and used it to build the C. colpoda PK structure. Although the morphological parameter $n 2=2$ (or 3 , depending on the exact alignment of the third strand), the estimates shown in Figure 3C are not applicable to this structure because of the different triplex geometry and, more importantly, because of the different position of the third base relative to the base pair. Nevertheless, it was possible to build a sterically sound backbone for the PK incorporating three R-triples (Figure 4F). In fact, the conformational energy of the minimized PK model is substantially lower for C. colpoda than for other ciliates (Table 1), possibly because of the three base triples present in this fold. Note that the conformational energy can only be used to assess the quality of the model; it is not the free energy of the PK folding. Indeed, it has been shown that the hydrogen bonds between the third base and the Watson-Crick pair in R-triples contribute less to the free energy than the Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds (47).

During energy minimization, several conformational adjustments occurred in the C. colpoda PK structure. Purines from loop L1 twisted out of the planes of the base triples, and an adenine formed hydrogen bonds with two neighboring base pairs of stem S2 (Figure 5D). The base-pairing scheme shown in Table 1 is thus just a 2D simplification of a complex 3D structure. This structural feature is reminiscent of a so-called 'collapsed R-triplex' proposed by Zhurkin et al. (45). The last adenine of loop L1 shifted out of register and formed hydrogen bonds with a more distal AU pair of stem S2. The full network of hydrogen bonds in the triplex is shown in Figure 5E. Altogether, our modeling reveals that GCG and AUA triples can form in the $C$. colpoda PK.

## DISCUSSION

When the first structure of an RNA PK was characterized by NMR, the authors noted that residues of loop L1 spanning the major groove of stem S 2 could potentially form base triples (48). Later, a $\mathrm{GCC}^{+}$triple with a protonated cytosine was observed in a crystal structure of the frameshifting PK from the beet western yellow virus (38) and was shown to contribute to the stabilization of the PK (49). An NMR structure of the human TER PK determined by Theimer et al. (21) revealed an extended triple-helical region, which includes three consecutive AUU major-groove triples in stem S 2 . A model with a similar motif in stem S 2 , including five consecutive AUU triples, was proposed for the PK element in $K$. lactis

TER (22). The TER sequence of another budding yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, also appears compatible with the common structural motif of a PK that includes AUU triples (unpublished data). Although the PK formation in ciliate TERs was suggested as early as 1991 (14), the high-resolution structure of this element is still unsolved; the dynamic nature of this element in ciliates (50) has probably hindered its structural analysis. To overcome this obstacle, we undertook a computer modeling approach. By calculating molecular models of six representative folds, we demonstrated that all available ciliate TER sequences are capable of forming base triples in their PK elements. In 20 out of 30 available sequences, including that of $T$. thermophila, there are two AUU triples in this motif. In 9 sequences, including those of T. paravorax and all Glaucoma and Paramecium species, there is only one AUU triple, and in one species, C. colpoda, three unconventional purine-purinepyrimidine base triples can potentially form. Despite significant variations in the PK sequences of ciliate TERs, the morphological parameters are balanced in such a way that can accommodate base triples in all PK folds. An example of this delicate balance is demonstrated in the Paramecium TER sequences with the suboptimal value of parameter $n 2$. In these structures, the presence of a conserved GU wobble pair compensates for the suboptimal $n 2$ value by reducing the size of the gap in junction 2.

Such a remarkable conservation of this unusual structure suggests that it contributes a conserved telomerase function. In K. lactis, mutations disrupting the triplex or shifting the alignment of the third strand abolished or severely impaired telomerase function. Even a relatively minor alteration of the triplex structure by substituting $\mathrm{GCC}^{+}$for AUU triples affected the fidelity or the processivity of the template copying in vivo, i.e. they led to nucleotide misincorporations and truncated telomeric repeats (22). However, the exact role of this structural element and the molecular mechanism involved remain unclear. A possible role of base triples could simply be the stabilization of the PK structure. However, there are other ways to do so, such as to lengthen its stems or replace AU with GC base pairs. The remarkable conservation of base triples in vertebrates, yeast and ciliates TER PKs, despite the widely different lengths of the PK stems (Table 1) argues against such an explanation.

Base triples could be specifically recognized by one of the telomerase proteins, such as TERT or one of the regulatory proteins, or base triples could be required for stabilization of a different binding site. Conflicting experimental data supporting or contradicting this possibility were published over the years. PK sequences were found to be required for the binding of Est2 (the yeast TERT) to the $S$. cerevisiae TER $(6,8)$. In $T$. thermophila, the PK element appeared to be dispensable for the binding of TERT to TER in vitro, at least in some experiments (18). However, mutant TER sequences with disrupted stem S1 failed to form active telomerase RNP in vivo (19). Secondary structure probing suggested that the PK region in naked $T$. thermophila TER was rather dynamic and
unstable (50). The reconstitution of TER and TERT into an active complex in vitro caused a significant stabilization of the PK fold (51). This is also consistent with footprinting data: while the AAUU sequence of stem S1 and the adenines of stem S2 were accessible for modification by dimethyl sulfate in naked RNA in vitro, they were protected from methylation in vivo (52). Interestingly, the single-stranded CAAA sequence of loop L3 was also protected from methylation in vivo, suggesting that a protein may bind to this site or that these residues are involved in the minor-groove base triples.

It is possible that a relatively low stability of the PK is a specific feature of ciliates and may be connected to the relatively short length of stem S1 (4 bp). Indeed, our attempts to reconstitute the $T$. thermophila PK in vitro from two separate RNA strands were unsuccessful (unpublished data), even though similarly designed constructs formed stable dimers for the $K$. lactis sequences (22). This may also explain why the high-resolution structure for the T. thermophila PK has not yet been solved, despite the relatively short size of this RNA. It is not clear if this relatively low stability has a functional role, e.g. in facilitating a conformational switch, as proposed for the human PK (53). The short size of stem S1 is universally conserved in ciliates (Supplementary Table S1), supporting this notion. There is a precedent for a short RNA stem (exactly 4 bp ) being important for function-the nucleocapsid protein-driven maturation of the dimerization initiation site in HIV-1 RNA (54).

Similarly to ciliates, the human PK was also suggested to be dynamic (55). The idea of the human TER PK serving as a conformational switch was introduced based on the fact that two alternative folds, the PK and a hairpin, have similar stabilities in the context of short RNA constructs $(53,56)$. NMR structures of both the PK and the hairpin conformations have been solved $(21,56)$. However, mutations disrupting the intra-loop base pairing in the hairpin structure did not affect the in vitro activity of telomerase reconstituted either in vitro or in vivo (39), casting doubt on the biological relevance of the hairpin structure. The role of such a conformational switch, if any, is unknown. One may hypothesize that it regulates the transition between different stages of the telomerase reaction cycle, enabling the processive synthesis of multiple telomeric repeats from a single template. The contribution of the PK (in cooperation with stem IV) to the processivity of the $T$. thermophila telomerase (18) is consistent with this hypothesis. In K. lactis, stem S2 with 5 predicted base triples is expected to be more stable while a hairpin conformation was not detected by UV melting experiments (22), arguing against a conformational switch that involves the unwinding of stem S2 in this species. Instead, a different type of a conformational switch is theoretically possible, involving a one base shift in the register of the third strand of the triplex (22). However, there is no experimental support so far for the relevance of such a conformational switch. Unlike ciliate and vertebrate telomerases, $S$. cerevisiae and $K$. lactis telomerases lack the ability to synthesize multiple repeats without dissociating from the telomeric substrate, at least
in vitro $(57,58)$. It is possible that the lack of repeat addition processivity is related to the absence of an alternative hairpin conformation in yeast. Another possible role for the low stability of the ciliate PK may be related to the biogenesis pathway of the telomerase RNP. T. thermophila TER undergoes structural rearrangement following the binding of the telomerase protein p 65 , which in turn enables the binding of TERT (59). It is possible that such a structural change requires the dynamic nature of the PK. Since p65 homologs or a similar folding pathway have not been found in yeast or vertebrates, such a role for the low stability of the PK may be specific for ciliates. Additional studies are needed to uncover the role of this unusual PK element with major-groove base triples, which is common to all telomerase RNAs examined.
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    ${ }^{3}$ Model calculated in (22). Loop L3 has not been modeled in this structure. PK parameters are determined here taking into account the CC mismatch and ignoring the bulged-out U in stem S2.
    ${ }^{4}$ NMR structure (PDB 1YMO; 21).

