



Research article

Improved estimation of population distribution function using twofold auxiliary information under simple random sampling

Sohaib Ahmad^{a,*}, Sardar Hussain^b, Aneel Al Mutairi^c, Mustafa Kamal^d,
Masood Ur Rehman^e, Manahil SidAhmed Mustafa^f

^a Department of Statistics, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Pakistan

^b Department of Statistics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

^c Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O Box 84428, Riyadh, 11671, Saudi Arabia

^d Department of Basic Sciences, College of Science and Theoretical Studies, Saudi Electronic University, Dammam, 23356, Saudi Arabia

^e Department of Information Technology, College of Computing and Informatics, Saudi Electronic University, Dammam, 32256, Saudi Arabia

^f Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Distribution function

Twofold auxiliary information

Bias

MSE

PRE

ABSTRACT

In this article, our main aim is to suggest enhanced families of estimators for estimating the population distribution function (DF) using twofold auxiliary evidence within the framework of simple random sampling. Numerical analysis is performed on four different actual data sets. The precision of the estimators is further investigated exhausting a simulation study. As equated with existing estimators, the suggested families of estimators have minimum mean square error (MSE) and higher percentage relative efficiency (PRE). The succeeding recommended family of estimators outperforms the first family of estimators across all data sets. These are positive indicators of its performance. The theoretical result shows that the recommended family of estimators performs better than the existing estimators. The extent of improvement in efficiency is noteworthy, indicating the superiority of the suggested estimators in terms of minimum MSE.

1. Introduction

The utilization of auxiliary information in survey sampling can significantly enhance the exactness of population mean or total estimates. By incorporating auxiliary information effectively, researchers can develop sampling strategies that outperform those that do not take advantage of such information. The estimation of population mean is of great importance across diverse fields of study. Researchers can create more efficient sample techniques than those based purely on the study data by including auxiliary information in the sampling design and estimation process. This approach is known as model-assisted or model-based estimation. When auxiliary information is available, it can be used in various ways to enhance the estimation process. One common approach is using regression models to establish a relationship among the study and the auxiliary variable. These models can then be utilized to predict the values of the study variable for non-sampled units based on their observed auxiliary information. The forecast values, and the study variable values for the sampled units are used to compute the population mean or total estimate. More reliable estimates can be obtained with

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: sohaib_ahmad@awkum.edu.pk (S. Ahmad), shussain@stat.qau.edu.pk (S. Hussain), aoalmutairi@pnu.edu.sa (A. Al Mutairi), m.kamal@seu.edu.sa (M. Kamal), m.rehman@seu.edu.sa (M.U. Rehman), msida@ut.edu.sa (M. SidAhmed Mustafa).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24115>

Received 1 August 2023; Received in revised form 23 December 2023; Accepted 3 January 2024

Available online 11 January 2024

2405-8440/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

the help of supplementary data by decreasing sampling error and maximizing productivity gains. It can also help reduce non-sampling, such as coverage error or measurement errors by incorporating relevant information into the estimation process. Overall, all proper utilization of auxiliary information in survey sampling can lead to more robust and accurate estimates, making it a valuable tool in various disciplines of study. Many investigators have recommended numerous estimators by satisfactorily adjusting the auxiliary variables.

For estimating various finite population parameters using different sampling designs, there are many estimators in the literature. To calculate the proportion of a set of numbers that are less than or equal to a given threshold, it is necessary to have a firm grasp of the finite DF. A physician may wonder, for instance, how many patients derive at least half of their daily energy from cholesterol in their diet. A soil scientist is curious to know how deep the water table is in a particular land. The percentage of individuals living in poverty is a topic of interest to the government. Distribution functions play a significant role in influencing the approaches employed in the design and investigation of survey, which are an ever-developing area. Several interesting topics of future research in DF within the framework of sampling have emerged as a consequence of technological development and the emergence of new obstacles. These research emphases have the potential to improve the precision, effectiveness, and stability of sampling procedures and their uses. The potential for further study into distribution functions in survey sampling is immense. Improvements in this area may have far-reaching implications for the design, administration, and analysis of surveys in a variety of fields, allowing for the generation of more reliable and informative findings. Survey statisticians rely on population DF estimation in these situations. Some significant work exists in literature including [1] creating a novel estimator for determining the mean of a population [2]. recommended estimating the DF of a population using supplementary information based on stratified sample design [3]. estimating the mean using outliers from a PPS [4]. discussed multivariate supplementary data is proposed for estimating the DF of a population [5]. estimating the population mean with two supplementary variables in a stratified random sample [6]. investigated use of supplementary data in simple random sampling [7]. investigated estimation DF using additional information [8]. discussed on an enhanced category of estimators obtained using stratified sampling [9]. discussed some new families of estimators. The [10] proposed simple random sampling with a predictive estimator of the logarithmic type [11]. estimating population mean with a Stratified Ranked Sample [12]. using survey data to estimate DF [13]. discussed characteristics of estimators for the probability density function of a finite population [14]. recommended estimators of the finite population DF from both design and model perspectives are compared and contrasted [15]. discussed distribution based estimators [16]. recommended estimating the DF of a finite population [17]. discussed a modified estimator under stratified random sampling, we develop estimators of the finite population distribution function [18]. suggested difference-type estimators based on auxiliary evidence [19]. recommended exponential estimator for the DF using auxiliary variables [20]. The authors in [21] discussed estimation of a symmetric distribution function. The proposed optimal sample design for ratio-based mean population estimation utilizing supplementary data [22]. selected strategies for enhanced ratio and regression estimators [23]. recommended the estimation of mean using auxiliary variables under SRS [24]. suggested effective strategy for estimating the mean of a finite population using two supplementary variables [25]. recommended the median ranked set sampling for population mean [26]. suggested estimators for missing data [27]. The authors in [28] suggested an improved estimator for estimating population mean under simple random sampling. recommended a class of estimators for the DF of a finite population [29]. recommended rank-based simultaneous sampling for estimating DF [30]. discussed ranked set sampling for the DF. The [31,32] discussed robust ratios to estimate the mean of a finite population.

Section 1, provides an introduction to the topic of the article. It presents the background information, states purposes, and frameworks the significance of the study. The introduction typically includes a literature review to provide context and identify gaps in existing knowledge. Section 2, describe the materials used in the study and the methodology employed. The materials may include equipment, instruments, software, or any other resources used in the research. The methods section outlines the procedures followed to collect data, conduct experiments, or analyze information. In Section 3, we have reviewed some of the latest estimators for the estimation of population DF. Section 4, presents the two improved proposed classes of estimators. It explains the theoretical basis or rationale behind the estimators and provides a detailed description of their implementation. The section may include equations, algorithms or statistical models used in the estimation process. Section 5, includes data description of four actual data to regulate the efficiency of the recommended estimator. Section 6, comprises data description of simulated data to determine the competence of the recommended estimator. Section 7, analyzes and interprets the consequences acquired from the proposed estimators. It compares the findings with previous studies, explores any limitations or assumptions made in the research, and discusses the result's implications. Section 8, summarizes the study main findings. It restates the research problem, highlights the key results and discusses the implications of the study findings. The conclusion may also suggest areas for further investigation or practical application of the research. It provides closure to the article by summarizing the main points and their significance.

2. Materials and methods

Let a population Q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) consists of N recognized and divergent units. A sample of size n is chosen from Q using SRSWOR to assess a population DF. Let Y_i and X_i be the values of the features of the study variable and the auxiliary variable. The indicator

function of the study and the auxiliary variables are signified by $I(Y_i \leq Y)$ and $I(X_i \leq X)$, respectively. Let $[F(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N I(Y_i \leq Y)}{N}]$, $F(x) =$

$[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N I(X_i \leq X)}{N}]$, and $[\hat{F}(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i \leq Y)}{n}]$, $\hat{F}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq X)}{n}]$ are the population DF conforming to the sample DF.

Let $\xi_0 = \frac{\widehat{F}_y - F_y}{F_y}$, $\xi_1 = \frac{\widehat{F}_x - F_x}{F_x}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{\widehat{\bar{X}} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}}$, $\xi_3 = \frac{\widehat{\bar{R}_x} - \bar{R}_x}{\bar{R}_x}$ such that
 $E(\xi_0^2) = \lambda C_{F(y)}^2 = \theta_{2000}$, $E(\xi_1^2) = \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 = \theta_{0200}$, $E(\xi_2^2) = \lambda C_{\bar{X}}^2 = \theta_{0020}$, $E(\xi_3^2) = \lambda C_{\bar{R}_x}^2 = \theta_{0002}$,
 $E(\xi_0 \xi_1) = \lambda \rho_{(F(y)F(x))} C_{F(y)} C_{F(x)} = \theta_{1100}$, $E(\xi_0 \xi_2) = \lambda \rho_{(F(y)\bar{X})} C_{F(y)} C_{\bar{X}} = \theta_{1010}$, $E(\xi_0 \xi_3) = \lambda \rho_{(F(y)\bar{R}_x)} C_{F(y)} C_{\bar{R}_x} = \theta_{1001}$, $E(\xi_1 \xi_2) = \lambda$
 $\rho_{(F(x)\bar{X})} C_{F(x)} C_{\bar{X}} = \theta_{0110}$, $E(\xi_1 \xi_3) = \lambda \rho_{(F(x)\bar{R}_x)} C_{F(x)} C_{\bar{R}_x}$.
 $C_{F(y)}^2 = \frac{S_{F(y)}^2}{F^2(Y)}$, $C_{F(x)}^2 = \frac{S_{F(x)}^2}{F^2(X)}$, $C_{\bar{X}}^2 = \frac{S_{\bar{X}}^2}{\bar{X}^2}$, $C_{\bar{R}_x}^2 = \frac{S_{\bar{R}_x}^2}{\bar{R}_x^2}$, .where.
 $S_{F(y)}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \{I(Y_i \leq Y) - F(y)\}^2}{N-1}$, $S_{F(x)}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \{I(X_i \leq X) - F(x)\}^2}{N-1}$, $S_{\bar{X}}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N I\{X_i - \bar{X}\}^2}{N-1}$, $S_{\bar{R}_x}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \{I(R_{xi} \leq \bar{R}_x) - (X_i - \bar{X})\}^2}{N-1}$, $\rho_{F(y)F(x)} =$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \{I(Y_i \leq Y) - F(y)\} \{I(X_i \leq X) - F(x)\}$,
 $\rho_{F(y)\bar{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{I(Y_i \leq Y) - F(y)\} \{X_i - \bar{X}\}$, $\rho_{F(x)\bar{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{I(X_i \leq X) - F(x)\} \{X_i - \bar{X}\}$.
 $\rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{I(Y_i \leq Y) - F(y)\} \{R_{xi} - \bar{R}_x\}$, $\rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{I(X_i \leq X) - F(x)\} \{R_{xi} - \bar{R}_x\}$, $\lambda = (\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N})$.
 The main purpose of this study is given by.

1. In this paper, the prime goal is to estimate the population DF exhausting twofold auxiliary variable under simple random sampling.
2. The bias and MSE of the mentioned estimators, are ensuing up to the first degree approximation.
3. The efficiency of the mentioned estimator is emphasized over the use of real data and simulation study from numerous fields.

3. Literature review

In this section, we review various existing counterparts of DF.

1. The conventional estimator of (y) , given in equation (1):

$$\widehat{F}_{usual} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i \leq Y). \tag{1}$$

The variance of \widehat{F}_{usual} , given in equation (2):

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{F}_{usual}) = F^2(y)\theta_{2000}. \tag{2}$$

2 [33]. presented ratio estimator, given in equation (3):

$$\widehat{F}(R) = \widehat{F}(y) \left(\frac{F(x)}{\widehat{F}(x)} \right). \tag{3}$$

The bias of $\widehat{F}(R)$, is given by:

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}(R)) \cong F(y)(\theta_{0200} - \theta_{1100}),$$

The MSE of $\widehat{F}(R)$, given in equation (4):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}(R)) \cong F^2(y)(\theta_{2000} + \theta_{0200} - 2\theta_{1100}). \tag{4}$$

3 [34]. recommended product estimator, given in equation (5):

$$\widehat{F}(P) = \widehat{F}(y) \left(\frac{\widehat{F}(x)}{F(x)} \right). \tag{5}$$

The properties of $\widehat{F}(P)$, are given by

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}(P)) = F(y)\theta_{1100},$$

The MSE of $\widehat{F}(P)$, given in equation (6):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}(P)) \cong F^2(y)(\theta_{2000} + \theta_{0200} + 2\theta_{1100}) \tag{6}$$

4. The regression estimator, given in equation (7):

$$\widehat{F}_{Reg} = [\widehat{F}(y) + \psi_1(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x))], \tag{7}$$

The optimal value of ψ_1 , given in equation (8):

$$\psi_1 = (F(y)\theta_{1100}) / (F(x)\theta_{0200}) = \psi_{1(opt)} \tag{8}$$

The variance of \widehat{F}_{Reg} , given in equation (9):

$$\text{Var}_{\min}(\widehat{F}_{Reg}) = F^2(y)\theta_{2000}\left(1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2\right). \tag{9}$$

5 [22]. difference type estimator, given in equation (10):

$$\widehat{F}_{RD} = \Psi_2 \widehat{F}(y) + \Psi_3 (F(x) - \widehat{F}(x)) \tag{10}$$

The ideal values of Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 are:

$$\Psi_{2(\text{opt})} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda C_{F_y}^2 (1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2)}, \Psi_{3(\text{opt})} = \frac{F(t_y)\rho_{F(y)F(x)}C_{F_y}}{F(t_x)C_{F_x}\{1 + \lambda C_{F_y}^2 (1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2)\}}.$$

The properties of \widehat{F}_{RD} , are given by:

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{RD}) = F(y)(\Psi_2 - 1),$$

The MSE of \widehat{F}_{RD} , given in equation (11):

$$\text{MSE}_{\min}(\widehat{F}_{RD}) \cong \left[\frac{\lambda F^2(y)C_{F_y}^2 (1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2)}{1 + \lambda C_{F_y}^2 (1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2)} \right] \tag{11}$$

6 [35]. recommended exponential type estimators, given in equation (12) and (13):

$$\widehat{F}_{BTR} = \widehat{F}(y)\exp\left(\frac{F(x) - \widehat{F}(x)}{F(x) + \widehat{F}(x)}\right) \tag{12}$$

$$\widehat{F}_{BTP} = \widehat{F}(y)\exp\left(\frac{\widehat{F}(x - F(x))}{\widehat{F}(x + F(x))}\right) \tag{13}$$

The bias and MSE of \widehat{F}_{BTR} and \widehat{F}_{BTP} , are given by:

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{BTR}) \cong F(y)\left(\frac{3}{8}\theta_{0200} - \frac{1}{2}\theta_{1100}\right),$$

The MSE of \widehat{F}_{BTR} , given in equation (14):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{BTR}) \cong \frac{F^2(y)}{4}(4\theta_{2000} + \theta_{0200} - 4\theta_{1100}) \tag{14}$$

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{BTP}) \cong F(y)\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{1100} - \frac{1}{8}\theta_{0200}\right),$$

The MSE of \widehat{F}_{BTP} , given in equation (15):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{BTP}) \cong \frac{F^2(y)}{4}(4\theta_{2000} + \theta_{0200} + 4\theta_{1100}), \tag{15}$$

7 [29]. recommended enhanced exponential estimator, given in equation (16):

$$\widehat{F}_{Singh} = \widehat{F}(y)\exp\left(\frac{\alpha(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\alpha(F(x) + \widehat{F}(x)) + 2\beta}\right), \tag{16}$$

where α and β are known population parameters of the auxiliary variable.

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{Singh}) \cong F(y)\left(\frac{3}{8}\theta^2\theta_{0200} - \frac{1}{2}\theta\theta_{1100}\right),$$

The MSE of \widehat{F}_{Singh} , given in equation (17):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{Singh}) \cong \frac{F^2(y)}{4}(4\theta_{2000} + \theta^2\theta_{0200} - 4\theta\theta_{1100}), \tag{17}$$

where $\theta = \left[\frac{\alpha F(x)}{\alpha F(x) + \beta} \right]$.

8 [36]. recommended improved estimator, given in equation (18):

$$\widehat{F}_{Grover} = \{\psi_4 \widehat{F}(y) + \psi_5 (F(x) - \widehat{F}(y))\} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\alpha(F(x) + \widehat{F}(x)) + 2\beta}\right), \tag{18}$$

The bias of \widehat{F}_{Grover} , given in equation (19):

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{Grover}) \cong F(y)(\psi_4 - 1) + \frac{3}{8}\theta^2\psi_4 F(y) + \frac{1}{2}\theta\psi_5 F(x)\theta_{0200} - \frac{1}{2}\theta F(y)\theta_{1100}, \tag{19}$$

The MSE of \widehat{F}_{Grover} , given in equation (20):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{Grover}) &\cong \psi_5^2 F^2(x)\theta_{0200} + \psi_4^2 F^2(y)\theta_{2000} + 2\theta\psi_4\psi_5 F(y)F(x)\theta_{0200} \\ &- 2\psi_4\psi_5 F(y)F(x)\theta_{1100} + F^2(y) - 2\psi_4 F^2(y) + \theta\psi_4^2 F^2(y) \\ &+ \psi_4 F^2(y)\theta_{1100} - \theta\psi_5 F(y)F(x)\theta_{0200} - 2\theta\psi_4^2 F^2(y)\theta_{1100} \\ &- \frac{3}{4}\theta^2\psi_4 F^2(y)\theta_{0200} + \theta^2\psi_4^2 F^2(y)\theta_{0200}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The least values of ψ_4 and ψ_5 are given as:

$$\psi_{4(\text{opt})} = \frac{\theta_{0200}(\theta^2\theta_{0200} - 8)}{8(-\theta_{2000}\theta_{0200} + \theta_{1100}^2 - \theta_{0200})},$$

and

$$\psi_{5(\text{opt})} = \frac{F(y)(\theta^3\theta_{0200}^2 - \theta^2\theta_{0200}\theta_{1100} + 4\theta\theta_{2000}\theta_{0200} - 4\theta\theta_{1100}^2 - 4\theta\theta_{0200} + 8\theta_{1100})}{8F(x)(\theta_{2000}\theta_{0200} - \theta_{1100}^2 + \theta_{0200})}.$$

The least MSE of \widehat{F}_{Grover} , given in equation (21):

$$\text{MSE}_{\min}(\widehat{F}_{Grover}) \cong \text{Var}_{\min}(\widehat{F}_{Reg}) - \frac{F^2(y)(\theta^2\theta_{0200}^2 - 8\theta_{1100}^2 + 8\theta_{0200}\theta_{2000})^2}{64\theta_{0200}^2\{1 + \theta_{2000}(1 - \rho_{\widehat{F}(y)F(x)}^2)\}}, \tag{21}$$

4. Suggested efficient family of estimators

Auxiliary variables can be used to progress estimators accuracy throughout the design and estimation processes. The estimators use the correlation concerning the auxiliary variable and the study variable to produce more precise estimates by factoring in additional information from the auxiliary variable. When the auxiliary variable is connected with the research variable, the auxiliary variable's order becomes significant. The order reflects the ordering of the values in the auxiliary variable relative to each other. If the correlation exists, the order of the auxiliary variable can serve as a substitution for the rank of the study variable, providing a valuable indicator for estimation purposes. These estimators exhibit more excellent elasticity and efficiency compared to existing estimators. Elasticity states the capability of the estimators to adapt and adjust to different circumstances or conditions, allowing for more flexibility in estimation. Efficiency, on the other hand, pertains to the estimators ability to produce estimates with smaller variances, thereby reducing the sampling error. Delightful incentive from Ref. [16], we propose two improved families of estimators that are more effective as likened to existing estimators. These are given as.

4.1. First family of estimator

In the first class of estimators, we used the auxiliary variable and sample mean of the auxiliary variable. The first propose class of estimators, given in equation (22):

$$\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)} = [\psi_{12}\widehat{F}(y) + \psi_{13}(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x)) + \psi_{14}(\bar{X} - \widehat{X})] \exp\left(\frac{\alpha(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\alpha(F(x) + \widehat{F}(x)) + (\alpha - 1)\alpha F(x) + (\alpha + 1)\beta}\right), \tag{22}$$

where ψ_i ($i = 12,13,14$) are constant.

Family members of the recommended generalized estimators (see Appendix C).

Solving equation (22), we get equation (23):

$$\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)} = [\psi_{12}F(y)(1 + \xi_0) - \psi_{13}F(x)\xi_1 - \psi_{14}\bar{X}\xi_2] \left[1 - \theta\xi_1 + \frac{3}{8}\theta^2\xi_1^2 + \dots\right], \tag{23}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\alpha F(x)}{[(\alpha+1)(\alpha F(x)+\beta)]}$, is a known quantity.

The expanded form given in equation (24):

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)} - F(y) = & -F(y) + F(y)\psi_{12} + F(y)\psi_{12}\xi_0 - F(y)\psi_{12}\theta\xi_1 - F(y)\psi_{12}\theta\xi_0\xi_1 + \frac{3}{2}F(y)\psi_{12}\theta^2\xi_1^2 - \psi_{13}F(x)\xi_1 - \psi_{14}\bar{X}\xi_2 \\ & + \psi_{13}F(x)\xi_1^2 + \psi_{14}\bar{X}\xi_1\xi_2 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The bias of $\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$, is given in equation (25):

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)}) = F(y) \left[(\psi_{12} - 1) + \lambda\psi_{12}\theta C_{F(x)} \left(\frac{3}{2}\theta C_{F(x)} - \rho_{F(y)F(x)} C_{F(y)} \right) + \lambda\theta C_{F(x)} (\psi_{13}R_1 C_{\bar{X}} + \psi_{14}R_2 C_{\bar{X}}\rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}) \right], \tag{25}$$

where $R_1 = \frac{F(x)}{F(y)}$, $R_2 = \frac{\bar{X}}{F(y)}$.

Squaring equation (25), we get equations (26) and (27):

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)} - F(y))^2 = & F^2(y) + F^2(y)\psi_{12}^2 + F^2(y)\psi_{12}^2\xi_0^2 + 4F^2(y)\psi_{12}^2\xi_1^2 + 4F^2(x)\psi_{13}^2\xi_1^2 + \bar{X}^2\psi_{14}^2\xi_2^2 \\ & - 4F^2(y)\psi_{12}\theta\xi_1\xi_2 + 4F(y)F(x)\psi_{12}\psi_{13}\theta\xi_1^2 + 4F(y)\bar{X}\psi_{12}\psi_{13}\theta\xi_1\xi_2 - 2F(y)F(x)\psi_{12}\psi_{13}\xi_0\xi_1 - 2F(y)\bar{X}\psi_{12}\psi_{14}\xi_0\xi_2 \\ & + 2F(y)F(x)\bar{X}\psi_{13}\psi_{14}\xi_1\xi_2 - 2F^2(y)\psi_{12} - 3F^2(y)\psi_{12}\theta^2\xi_1^2 - 2F^2(y)\psi_{12}\theta\xi_0\xi_1 - \{2F(y)F(x)\psi_{13}\theta\xi_1^2\} - \{2F(y)F(x)\psi_{14}\theta\psi_{14}\xi_1\xi_2\} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)}) = & F^2(y) \left[1 + \psi_{12}^2 \left\{ 1 + \lambda \left(C_{F(y)}^2 + 4\theta \left(\theta C_{F(x)}^2 - \rho_{F(y)F(x)} C_{F(y)} \right) \right) - \lambda R_1 \psi_{13} C_{F(x)}^2 (2\theta - R_1 \psi_{13}) \right\} + \lambda R_2^2 \psi_{14}^2 C_{\bar{X}}^2 \right. \\ & - 2\lambda R_1 \psi_{13} \psi_{14} \left(\rho_{F(y)F(x)} C_{F(y)} C_{F(x)} - 2\theta C_{F(x)}^2 \right) - 2\lambda R_2 \psi_{12} \psi_{14} C_{\bar{X}} \left(\rho_{F(y)\bar{X}} C_{F(y)} C_{\bar{X}} + 2\rho_{F(y)\bar{X}} \theta C_{F(x)} + 2\lambda R_1 R_2 \psi_{13} \psi_{14} \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}} C_{F(x)} C_{\bar{X}} \right. \\ & \left. \left. - 2\lambda R_1 \psi_{14} \theta \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}} C_{F(x)} C_{\bar{X}} - 2\psi_{12} \left\{ 1 + \lambda \theta C_{F(x)} \left(\frac{3}{2}\theta C_{F(x)} - \rho_{F(y)F(x)} \theta C_{F(y)} \right) \right\} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The optimum values of ψ_{12} , ψ_{13} and ψ_{14} , are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{12} = & \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2}{1 + \lambda C_{F(y)}^2 (1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2)} \right], \\ \psi_{13} = & \left(\frac{F(y) \left[\lambda \theta^3 \left\{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}^2 - C_{F(y)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \right) \right\} \{ \rho_{F(y)F(x)} - \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}} \rho_{F(y)\bar{X}} \} + \theta C_{F(x)} \{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \} \right] \left(-1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 (1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2) \right)}{F(y) C_{F(x)} \{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \} (1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 (1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2))} \right), \\ \psi_{14} = & \left(\frac{F(y) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \right) C_{F(y)} \{ \rho_{F(y)\bar{X}} \rho_{F(y)F(x)} - \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}} \}}{\bar{R}_x C_{\bar{X}} \{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \} [1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 \{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \}]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the values of ψ_{12} , ψ_{13} and ψ_{14} in (27), we get equation (28):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)}) = \lambda F^2(y) \left[\frac{C_{F(y)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \theta^4 C_{F(x)}^4 - \lambda \theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \right\}}{1 + \lambda C_{F(y)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2 \right\}} \right] \tag{28}$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{X}}^2 = \frac{\rho_{F(y)F(x)}^2 + \rho_{F(y)\bar{X}}^2 - 2\rho_{F(y)F(x)}\rho_{F(y)\bar{X}}\rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}}{1 - \rho_{F(x)\bar{X}}^2}$.

4.2. Second family of estimator

In the second class of estimators, we included the auxiliary variable's rank as an additional auxiliary variable. The second proposed estimator, which is given in equation (29):

$$\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)} = [\psi_{15}\widehat{F}(y) + \psi_{16}(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x)) + \psi_{17}(\bar{R}_x - \widehat{\bar{R}}_x)] \exp \left(\frac{\alpha(F(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\alpha(F(x) + \widehat{F}(x)) + (\alpha - 1)\alpha F(x) + (\alpha + 1)\beta} \right) \tag{29}$$

where ψ_i ($i = 15, 16, 17$) are constants.

Solving equation (29), we get equation (30):

$$\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)} = [\psi_{15}F(y)(1 + \xi_0) - \psi_{16}F(x)\xi_2 - \psi_{17}\bar{R}_x\xi_3] \left[1 - \theta\xi_1 + \frac{3}{2}\theta^2\xi_1^2 + \dots \right], \tag{30}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\alpha F(x)}{[(\alpha+1)(\alpha F(x)+\beta)]}$, is a known quantity.

The expanded form of (30), is given in equation (31):

$$\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)} - F(y) = \left[-F(y) + F(y)\psi_{15} + F(y)\psi_{15}\xi_0 + \frac{5}{8}F(y)\psi_{15}\xi_1^2 - \psi_{16}\bar{R}_x\xi_2 + F(x)\psi_{17}\theta^2\xi_1^2 \right] \tag{31}$$

The bias of $\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$, which is given in equation (32):

$$\text{Bias}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)}) = F(y) \left[\psi_{15} - 1 + \frac{5}{8}\lambda\psi_{15} C_{F(x)}^2 + \lambda\psi_{17}\bar{R}_x\theta C_{F(x)}^2 \right] \tag{32}$$

Squaring equation (31), we get equations (33) and (34):

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)} - F(y))^2 &= F^2(y) + F^2(y)\psi_{15}^2 + F^2(y)\psi_{15}^2\xi_0^2 + \frac{5}{4}F^2(y)\psi_{15}^2\xi_1^2 + \bar{R}_x^2\psi_{16}^2\xi_2^2 + F^2(x)\psi_{17}^2\xi_1^2 \\ &- \{2F(y)\bar{R}_x\psi_{15}\psi_{16}\xi_0\xi_2\} + \{2F(y)F(x)\psi_{15}\psi_{17}\theta^2\xi_1^2\} - \{2F(y)F(x)\psi_{15}\psi_{17}\xi_0\xi_1\} + 2F(x)\bar{R}_x\psi_{16}\psi_{17}\xi_1\xi_2 - 2F^2(y)\psi_{15} - \frac{5}{4}F^2(y)\xi_1^2 \\ &- 2F(y)F(x)\psi_{17}\theta^2\xi_1^2 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)}) &= F^2(y) \left[1 + \psi_{15}^2 \left\{ 1 + \lambda \left(C_{F(y)}^2 + \frac{5}{4} C_{F(x)}^2 \right) + \lambda \bar{R}_x^2 \psi_{16}^2 C_{\bar{R}_x} - \lambda R_1 \psi_{17} C_{F(x)}^2 (2\theta + R_1 \psi_{17}) \right\} - 2R_2 \psi_{15} \psi_{16} \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} C_{F(y)} C_{\bar{R}_x} \right. \\ &\left. - 2\lambda R_1 \psi_{15} \psi_{17} \left(\rho_{F(y)F(x)} C_{F(y)} C_{F(x)} - \theta C_{F(x)}^2 \right) + 2\lambda R_1 R_2 \psi_{16} \psi_{17} \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} C_{F(x)} C_{\bar{R}_x} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $R_3 = \frac{\bar{R}_x}{F(y)}$.

The optimum values of ψ_{15} , ψ_{16} and ψ_{17} , are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{15} &= \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2}{1 + \lambda C_{F(y)}^2 (1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2)} \right], \\ \psi_{16} &= \left[\frac{F(y) \left[\lambda\theta^3 \left(-1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 - C_{F(y)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \right) \left(\rho_{F(y)F(x)} - \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} \right) + \theta C_{F(x)} \left\{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} \right) \left(-1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} \right) \right]}{F(y) C_{F(x)} \left\{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} (1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\})} \right], \\ \psi_{17} &= \left[\frac{F(y) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \right) C_{F(y)} \left(\rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} \rho_{F(y)F(x)} - \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} \right)}{\bar{R}_x C_{\bar{R}_x} \left\{ -1 + \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} [1 + \lambda C_{F(x)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\}]} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using ψ_{15} , ψ_{16} and ψ_{17} , in (34), we get equation (35):

$$\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)}) = \left[\frac{\lambda F^2(y) \left[C_{F(y)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\theta^4 C_{F(x)}^4 - \lambda\theta^2 C_{F(x)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\} \right]}{1 + \lambda C_{F(y)}^2 \left\{ 1 - \mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 \right\}} \right], \tag{35}$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}_{F(y),F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2 = \left[\frac{\rho_{F(y)F(x)}^2 + \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x}^2 - 2\rho_{F(y)F(x)}\rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x}}{1 - \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x}^2} \right].$$

5. Numerical results

We use four sets of real data to determine how well estimators work in PRE. The subsequent equation is used to calculate the PRE.

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{V(\widehat{F}_{usual})}{\text{MSE}(\widehat{F}_i)} \times 100,$$

where ($i = \widehat{F}(R), \widehat{F}(P), \dots, \widehat{F}_{(sohaib1)}, \widehat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$).

Population-I [Source: [37]]:

Y= Number of fish in 1995,

X = number of fish in 1994, R_x = rank of X variable

$N = 69, n = 10, F(y) = 0.4927536, S_{F(y)}^2 = 0.2536223, C_{F(y)} = 1.022032, S_{F(x)}^2 = 0.4927536, C_{F(x)} = 1.022032, \rho_{F(y)F(x)} = 0.9601401, \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} = 0.7851271, \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} = 0.7401045.$

Population-II: [Source: PBS (2021–2022)]

Y= Covid-19 test performed in 2021.

X = Covid-19 inveterate circumstances in 2021.

R_x = rank of X variable

$N = 228, n = 50, F(y) = 0.5, S_{F(y)}^2 = 0.2511013, C_{F(y)} = 1.022, S_{F(x)}^2 = 0.2511013, C_{F(x)} = 1.0022, \rho_{F(y)F(x)} = 0.8473361, \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} =$

0.5123973, $\rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} = 0.2552666$.

Population-III [Source: [37]]:

$Y =$ Interval of sleep, $X =$ age of person, $R_x =$ rank of X variable

$N = 30, n = 5, F(y) = 0.5, S_{F(y)}^2 = 0.2586207, C_{F(y)} = 1.017095, S_{F(x)}^2 = 0.5, C_{F(x)} = 1.077095, \rho_{F(y)F(x)} = 0.7816666, \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} = 0.977474, \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} = 0.9889946$.

Population-IV: [Source: Source: PBS (2021–2022)]

$Y =$ Sales of fertilizers by district of Punjab during 2020, $X =$ sales of fertilizers by the district of Punjab during 2018, $R_x =$ rank of X variable

$N = 36, n = 8, F(y) = 0.5, S_{F(y)}^2 = 0.2571429, C_{F(y)} = 1.014185, S_{F(x)}^2 = 0.2571429, C_{F(x)} = 1.014185, \rho_{F(y)F(x)} = 0.7649152, \rho_{F(y)\bar{R}_x} = 0.942648, \rho_{F(x)\bar{R}_x} = 0.9538315$.

The consequences based on actual data sets are in [Tables 1–8](#) (see [Appendix A](#)).

6. Simulation study

[Tables 9–20](#) illustrate the outcomes of a generated data evaluating the effectiveness of the two recommended estimators using SRS, with and without the auxiliary variable and in varying orders of auxiliary variable use. We have created two populations of size 1000 from a multivariate normal distribution with diverse covariance conditions and diverse sample of sizes (100, 150, 200).

Population-I

$$\mu_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\Sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9.6 \\ 9.6 & 64 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho_{YX} = 0.613800$$

Population-II

$$\mu_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho_{XY} = 0.902645$$

The generated outcomes are given in [Tables 9–20](#) (see [Appendix B](#)).

7. Results and discussion

The numerical results from the four real data sets, as presented in [Tables 1–8](#) ([Appendix A](#)), support these findings. It's worth noting that the efficiency of the recommended estimators varied depending on the choice of a and b . The specific details of this choice and its impact on MSE and PRE were likely discussed in the Tables we mentioned. [Tables 1–8](#) ([Appendix A](#)) show the numerical results of MSE and PRE based on four actual data sets. [Tables 1–8](#) show numerical findings that illustrate how different values of α and β affect the MSE and PRE of our proposed class of estimators. The recommended estimators in these tables have executed enhanced than the existing estimators in expressions of minimum MSE and higher PRE. Simulation consequences given in [Tables 9–20](#) ([Appendix A](#)), which demonstrated similar behavior. Using a multivariate normal distribution, we generated population of size 1000 with different covariance matrices and sample sizes (100, 150, and 200). The results varied between populations due to the use of varying sample sizes. Among the two proposed estimators, $\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$ exhibited greater efficiency gain than $\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we have recommended two comprehensive classes of estimators that can be used for assessing population distribution functions in the context of SRS. These estimators utilize a twofold auxiliary variables approach. The proposed estimators fall into two generalized classes, each offering a different approach to estimating the population DF. These classes might be based on different mathematical formulations, providing flexibility in the estimation procedure. The evaluation was conducted using four actual data sets. Regarding MSE and PRE, the numerical findings indicate that both classes of estimators perform admirably. Furthermore, the

recommended estimators, the robustness and generalizability were assessed by a simulation study. This study likely involved generating artificial data sets with known properties and comparing the performance of the estimators under different scenarios. The generated data helps to understand how the estimators perform in situations where actual data may not be readily available. The contemporary work can be easily prolonged to produce comprehensive estimators for stratified random sampling, PPS, measurement error, and two-stage sampling by making use of the auxiliary variables.

Funding

Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2024R368), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Data availability statement

Data will be made available on request.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Sohaib Ahmad: Writing - original draft. **Sardar Hussain:** Formal analysis. **Aned Al Mutairi:** Funding acquisition, Data curation. **Mustafa Kamal:** Conceptualization. **Masood Ur Rehman:** Validation, Data curation. **Manahil SidAhmed Mustafa:** Investigation, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2024R368), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Appendix A

Table 1
Mean square error using Population-I

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.02168662	0.01850729	0.002416243	0.002380577	0.002275665
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.002514919	0.01850557	0.002416241	0.00238057	0.002275658
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.0847302	0.01850557	0.002416241	0.00238057	0.002275658
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.002442007	0.0184192	0.002416156	0.002380204	0.002275306
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.002417692	0.01833842	0.002416074	0.002379849	0.002274965
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.006679115	0.01829268	0.002416026	0.002379643	0.002274767
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.04753744	0.01862857	0.002416357	0.002381068	0.002276136
		0.01833666	0.002416072	0.002379842	0.002274958
		0.01858627	0.002416318	0.0023809	0.002275975
		0.02154097	0.002417689	0.002386541	0.00228138

Table 2
PRE using Population-I.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	117.1788	897.5349	910.9816	952.9796
$\hat{F}(R)$	862.3188	117.1897	897.5355	910.9843	952.9825
$\hat{F}(P)$	25.59491	117.1897	897.5355	910.9843	952.9825
\hat{F}_{Reg}	888.0653	117.7392	897.5671	911.1244	953.1298
\hat{F}_{RD}	896.9969	118.2578	897.5976	911.2602	953.2726
\hat{F}_{BTR}	324.693	118.5535	897.6153	911.3392	953.3557
\hat{F}_{BTP}	45.62009	116.4159	897.4923	910.7937	952.7821

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
		118.2692	897.5983	911.2632	953.2758
		116.6809	897.5069	910.8581	952.8498
		100.6761	896.998	908.7052	950.592

Table 3
MSE using Population-II.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.003920705	0.003570093	0.002579386	0.002545898	0.002310368
$\hat{F}_{(R)}$	0.003301646	0.003569618	0.002579385	0.002545894	0.002310365
$\hat{F}_{(P)}$	0.01238984	0.003570093	0.002579386	0.002545898	0.002310368
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.002606563	0.003569144	0.002579384	0.002545891	0.002310362
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.002579667	0.003447381	0.002579121	0.002544827	0.002309393
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.002630999	0.003446885	0.002579119	0.002544822	0.002309388
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.007170763	0.003678663	0.002579539	0.002546512	0.002310926
		0.003447381	0.002579121	0.002544827	0.002309393
		0.003678271	0.002579539	0.00254651	0.002310924
		0.003915753	0.002579666	0.002547015	0.002311383

Table 4
PRE using Population-II.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	109.8208	152.0015	154.0009	169.7005
$\hat{F}_{(R)}$	118.75	109.8354	152.0015	154.0011	169.7007
$\hat{F}_{(P)}$	31.64452	109.8208	152.0015	154.0009	169.7005
\hat{F}_{Reg}	150.4167	109.85	152.0016	154.0013	169.7009
\hat{F}_{RD}	151.9849	113.73	152.0171	154.0657	169.7721
\hat{F}_{BTR}	149.0196	113.7463	152.0172	154.066	169.7724
\hat{F}_{BTP}	54.67626	106.5796	151.9924	153.9637	169.6595
		113.73	152.0171	154.0657	169.7721
		106.591	151.9925	153.9639	169.6596
		100.1264	151.985	153.9333	169.6259

Table 5
MSE using Population-III.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.04310345	0.0486049	0.01843113	0.01698011	0.01686391
$\hat{F}_{(R)}$	0.1494253	0.04867098	0.01843063	0.01697822	0.01686204
$\hat{F}_{(P)}$	0.02375035	0.0486049	0.01843113	0.01698011	0.01686391
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.01992337	0.0487375	0.01843012	0.0169763	0.01686013
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.0184528	0.0216067	0.01739801	0.01140316	0.01130837
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.08548851	0.02113619	0.01725897	0.0104629	0.01037049
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.02227011	0.03505003	0.01838893	0.01681664	0.01670149
		0.0216067	0.01739801	0.01140316	0.01130837
		0.03485638	0.0183854	0.01680262	0.01668756
		0.04361608	0.0184526	0.01706051	0.01694376

Table 6
PRE using Population-III.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	88.68127	233.8623	253.8466	255.5957
$\hat{F}_{(R)}$	28.84615	88.56089	233.8686	253.8749	255.6242
$\hat{F}_{(P)}$	181.4855	88.68127	233.8623	253.8466	255.5957
\hat{F}_{Reg}	216.3462	88.44001	233.875	253.9037	255.6532
\hat{F}_{RD}	233.5875	199.4911	247.7493	377.9958	381.164
\hat{F}_{BTR}	50.42017	203.932	249.7453	411.9647	415.6357
\hat{F}_{BTP}	193.5484	122.9769	234.3989	256.3143	258.0815
		199.4911	247.7493	377.9958	381.164
		123.6601	234.4439	256.5281	258.2969
		98.82468	233.5902	252.6505	254.3912

Table 7
MSE using Population-IV.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.02959184	0.02599346	0.0111593	0.009425252	0.008595108
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.01315193	0.02596136	0.01115912	0.009424648	0.008594555
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.105647	0.02599346	0.0111593	0.009425252	0.008595108
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.0116906	0.02592914	0.01115895	0.009424036	0.008593994
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.01116834	0.02537192	0.01115562	0.009412309	0.008583249
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.01397392	0.02533814	0.01115539	0.009411527	0.008582532
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.06000567	0.02654427	0.01116198	0.009434583	0.008603646
		0.02537192	0.01115562	0.009412309	0.008583249
		0.02651461	0.01116185	0.009434128	0.00860323
		0.02928216	0.01116828	0.009456187	0.008623375

Table 8
PRE using Population-IV.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	113.8434	265.1766	313.9633	344.287
$\hat{F}(R)$	225	113.9842	265.1807	313.9835	344.3091
$\hat{F}(P)$	28.0101	113.8434	265.1766	313.9633	344.287
\hat{F}_{Reg}	253.125	114.1258	265.1848	314.0039	344.3316
\hat{F}_{RD}	264.9617	116.6322	265.264	314.3951	344.7627
\hat{F}_{BTR}	211.7647	116.7877	265.2693	314.4212	344.7915
\hat{F}_{BTP}	49.31507	111.4811	265.1129	313.6528	343.9453
		116.6322	265.264	314.3951	344.7627
		111.6058	265.116	313.6679	343.9619
		101.0576	264.9632	312.9363	343.1584

Appendix B

Table 9
MSE of Population-I, using sample of size 100.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.002252252	0.002110277	0.001841178	0.001820921	0.001669448
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.002612613	0.002110235	0.001841178	0.001820921	0.001669447
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.006397524	0.002110277	0.001841178	0.001820921	0.001669448
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.001854955	0.001999436	0.001840828	0.001837942	0.001668134
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.001841293	0.002036780	0.001840985	0.001820141	0.001668731
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.001869369	0.001904421	0.001840040	0.001834510	0.001664984
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.003761261	0.002174437	0.001841262	0.001821257	0.001669756
		0.002036780	0.001840985	0.001820141	0.001668731
		0.002174406	0.001841262	0.001821256	0.001669756
		0.002251780	0.001841293	0.001821380	0.001669869

Table 10
MSE of Population-I, using sample of size 150.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.001418085	0.001328693	0.001162458	0.001149715	0.001053839
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.001644978	0.001328667	0.001162458	0.001149715	0.001053839
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.004028071	0.001328693	0.001162458	0.001149715	0.001053839
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.001167935	0.001258904	0.001162319	0.001160831	0.001053317
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.001162504	0.001282417	0.001162381	0.001149405	0.001053554
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.001177010	0.001199080	0.001162006	0.001159467	0.001052065
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.002368202	0.001369090	0.001162491	0.001149849	0.001053962
		0.001282417	0.001162381	0.001149405	0.001053554
		0.001369071	0.001162491	0.001149849	0.001053961
		0.001417787	0.001162504	0.001149898	0.001054007

Table 11
MSE of Population-I, using sample of size 200.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohail1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohail2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.00100100	0.00093790	0.00082169	0.00081270	0.00074484
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.00116116	0.00093788	0.00082169	0.00081270	0.00074484
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.00284334	0.00093790	0.00082169	0.00081270	0.00074484
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.00082442	0.00088864	0.00082162	0.00082069	0.00074458
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.00082171	0.00090524	0.00082165	0.00081255	0.00074470
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.00083083	0.00084641	0.00082147	0.00082001	0.00074396
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.00167167	0.00096642	0.00082171	0.00081277	0.00074491
		0.00090524	0.00082165	0.00081255	0.00074470
		0.00096640	0.00082171	0.00081277	0.00074491
		0.00100079	0.00082171	0.00081279	0.00074493

Table 12
MSE of Population-II, using sample of size 100.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohail1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohail2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.0022523	0.0019947	0.0010541	0.0010191	0.0008935
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.0012252	0.0019946	0.0010541	0.0010191	0.0008935
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.0077849	0.0019947	0.0010541	0.0010191	0.0008935
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.0010586	0.0017681	0.0010539	0.0010517	0.0008928
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.0010541	0.0019418	0.0010540	0.0010190	0.0008934
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.0011757	0.0016779	0.0010537	0.0010511	0.0008923
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.0044550	0.0020436	0.0010541	0.0010192	0.0008936
		0.0019418	0.0010540	0.0010190	0.0008934
		0.0020435	0.0010541	0.0010192	0.0008936
		0.0022514	0.0010541	0.0010194	0.0008937

Table 13
MSE of Population-II using sample of size 150.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohail1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohail2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.0014181	0.0012559	0.0006647	0.0006427	0.0005634
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.0007714	0.0012559	0.0006647	0.0006427	0.0005634
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.0049016	0.0012559	0.0006647	0.0006427	0.0005634
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.0006665	0.0011133	0.0006646	0.0006635	0.0005631
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.0006648	0.0012226	0.0006647	0.0006427	0.0005633
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.0007402	0.0010564	0.0006646	0.0006632	0.0005629
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.0028050	0.0012867	0.0006647	0.0006428	0.0005634
		0.0012226	0.0006647	0.0006427	0.0005633
		0.0012866	0.0006647	0.0006428	0.0005634
		0.0014176	0.0006648	0.0006428	0.0005635

Table 14
MSE of Population-II using sample of size 200.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohail1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohail2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	0.0010010	0.0008865	0.0004696	0.0004540	0.0003980
$\hat{F}(R)$	0.0005445	0.0008865	0.0004696	0.0004540	0.0003980
$\hat{F}(P)$	0.0034600	0.0008865	0.0004696	0.0004540	0.0003980
\hat{F}_{Reg}	0.0004705	0.0007858	0.0004695	0.0004688	0.0003978
\hat{F}_{RD}	0.0004696	0.0008630	0.0004696	0.0004540	0.0003979
\hat{F}_{BTR}	0.0005225	0.0007457	0.0004695	0.0004687	0.0003977
\hat{F}_{BTP}	0.0019800	0.0009083	0.0004696	0.0004541	0.0003980
		0.0008630	0.0004696	0.0004540	0.0003979
		0.0009082	0.0004696	0.0004541	0.0003980
		0.0010006	0.0004696	0.0004541	0.0003980

Table 15
PRE of Population-I using sample of size 100.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohail1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohail2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	106.72780	122.32670	123.68750	134.91000

(continued on next page)

Table 15 (continued)

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohab1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohab2)}$
$\hat{F}(R)$	86.206900	106.72991	122.32670	123.68760	134.91000
$\hat{F}(P)$	35.205060	106.72780	122.32670	123.68750	134.91000
\hat{F}_{Reg}	121.41820	106.73205	122.32670	123.68760	134.91010
\hat{F}_{RD}	122.31910	110.57910	122.33950	123.74050	134.96800
\hat{F}_{BTR}	120.48193	110.58125	122.33950	123.74060	134.96800
\hat{F}_{BTP}	59.880240	103.57870	122.32110	123.66470	134.88510
		110.57910	122.33950	123.74050	134.96800
		103.58010	122.32110	123.66480	134.88510
		100.02098	122.31910	123.65630	134.87600

Table 16

PRE of Population-I using sample of size 150.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohab1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohab2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	106.72780	121.99020	123.34230	134.56370
$\hat{F}(R)$	86.206900	106.72991	121.99020	123.34230	134.56370
$\hat{F}(P)$	35.205060	106.72780	121.82200	123.16970	134.39050
\hat{F}_{Reg}	121.41820	106.73205	121.99020	123.34230	134.56370
\hat{F}_{RD}	121.98540	110.57910	121.99820	123.37550	134.60010
\hat{F}_{BTR}	120.48193	110.58125	121.99830	123.37550	134.60010
\hat{F}_{BTP}	59.880240	103.57870	121.98670	123.32800	134.54810
		110.57910	121.99820	123.37550	134.60010
		103.58010	121.98670	123.32800	134.54810
		100.02098	121.98540	123.32270	134.54230

Table 17

PRE of Population-I using sample of size 200.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohab1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohab2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	106.72780	121.82200	123.16970	134.39050
$\hat{F}(R)$	86.206900	106.72780	121.82200	123.16970	134.39050
$\hat{F}(P)$	35.205060	106.72780	121.82200	123.16970	134.39050
\hat{F}_{Reg}	121.41820	106.73205	121.82200	123.16970	134.39060
\hat{F}_{RD}	121.81860	110.57910	121.82760	123.19310	134.41620
\hat{F}_{BTR}	120.48193	110.58125	121.82760	123.19310	134.41620
\hat{F}_{BTP}	59.880240	103.57870	121.81950	123.15960	134.37950
		110.57910	121.82760	123.19310	134.41620
		103.58010	121.81950	123.15960	134.37950
		100.02098	121.81860	123.15580	134.37550

Table 18

PRE results of Population II, using sample size 100.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohab1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohab2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	112.91180	213.67300	220.99340	252.07120
$\hat{F}(R)$	183.82353	112.91180	213.67300	220.99340	252.07120
$\hat{F}(P)$	28.930990	112.91180	213.67300	220.99340	252.07120
\hat{F}_{Reg}	212.75870	112.92095	213.67300	220.99350	252.07120
\hat{F}_{RD}	213.65960	115.98870	213.67970	221.02170	252.10360
\hat{F}_{BTR}	191.57088	115.99377	213.67970	221.02170	252.10370
\hat{F}_{BTP}	50.556120	110.21160	213.66820	220.97300	252.04790
		115.98870	213.67970	221.02170	252.10360
		110.21550	213.66820	220.97310	252.04790
		100.03637	213.65960	220.93730	252.00700

Table 19

PRE results of Population II, using sample size 150.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohab1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohab2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	112.91180	213.33440	220.63890	251.71370
$\hat{F}(R)$	183.82353	112.91180	213.33440	220.63890	251.71370
$\hat{F}(P)$	28.930990	112.91180	213.33440	220.63890	251.71370

(continued on next page)

Table 19 (continued)

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	F_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{Reg}	212.75870	112.92095	213.33440	220.63890	251.71370
\hat{F}_{RD}	213.32590	115.98870	213.33860	220.65670	251.73410
\hat{F}_{BTR}	191.57088	115.99377	213.33860	220.65670	251.73410
\hat{F}_{BTP}	50.556120	110.21160	213.33130	220.62610	251.69900
		115.98870	213.33860	220.65670	251.73410
		110.21550	213.33130	220.62610	251.69910
		100.03637	213.32590	220.60360	251.67340

Table 20

PRE results of Population II, using sample size 200.

Estimators	Value	\hat{F}_{Singh}	\hat{F}_{Grover}	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib1)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib2)}$
\hat{F}_{usual}	100	112.91180	213.16510	220.46160	251.53500
$\hat{F}(R)$	183.82353	112.91180	213.16510	220.46160	251.53500
$\hat{F}(P)$	28.930990	112.91180	213.16510	220.46160	251.53500
\hat{F}_{Reg}	212.75870	112.92095	213.16510	220.46170	251.53500
\hat{F}_{RD}	213.15910	115.98870	213.16800	220.47420	251.54940
\hat{F}_{BTR}	191.57088	115.99377	213.16800	220.47420	251.54940
\hat{F}_{BTP}	50.556120	110.21160	213.16290	220.45260	251.52460
		115.98870	213.16800	220.47420	251.54940
		110.21550	213.16290	220.45260	251.52470
		100.03637	213.15910	220.43680	251.50650

Appendix C

Table 21

Special cases of $\hat{F}_{(Prop)}^{*(\alpha,\beta)}$ using changed values of α and β .

α	β	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(\alpha,\beta)}$
1	$C_{F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(1)}$
1	$\beta_{2(F(x))}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(2)}$
$\beta_{2(F(x))}$	$C_{F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(3)}$
$C_{F(x)}$	$\beta_{2(F(x))}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(4)}$
1	$\rho_{F(y)F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(5)}$
$C_{F(x)}$	$\rho_{F(y)F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(6)}$
$\rho_{F(y)F(x)}$	$C_{F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(7)}$
$\beta_{2(F(x))}$	$\rho_{F(y)F(x)}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(8)}$
$\rho_{F(y)F(x)}$	$\beta_{2(F(x))}$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(9)}$
1	$N F(x)$	$\hat{F}_{(sohaib)}^{*(10)}$

References

- [1] S. Aggarwal, S.S. Soni, R.S. Chaubey, Estimation of the population mean by developing a new estimator, *Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Math. Stat.* 41 (1) (2022) 11–15.
- [2] S. Ahmad, S. Hussain, E. Zahid, A. Iftikhar, S. Hussain, J. Shabbir, M. Aamir, A simulation study: population distribution function estimation using dual auxiliary information under stratified sampling scheme, *Math. Probl Eng.* (2022 Oct 5) 2022.
- [3] S. Ahmad, J. Shabbir, Use of extreme values to estimate finite population mean under pps sampling scheme, *Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies* (2018 Oct 5) 99–112.
- [4] M.S. Ahmed, W. Abu-Dayyeh, Estimation of finite-population distribution function using multivariate auxiliary information, *Statistics in Transition* 5 (3) (2001) 501–507.
- [5] S. Ahmad, S. Hussain, U. Yasmeen, M. Aamir, J. Shabbir, M. El-Morshedy, A. Al-Bossly, Z. Ahmad, A simulation study: using dual ancillary variable to estimate population mean under stratified random sampling, *PLoS One* 17 (11) (2022 Nov 28) e0275875.
- [6] N.K. Adichwal, A.A. Ahmadini, Y.S. Raghav, R. Singh, I. Ali, Estimation of general parameters using auxiliary information in simple random sampling without replacement, *J. King Saud Univ. Sci.* 34 (2) (2022 Feb 1) 101754.
- [7] S. Ahmad, S. Hussain, S. Ahmad, Finite population distribution function estimation using auxiliary information under simple random sampling, *Statistics, Computing and Interdisciplinary Research* 3 (1) (2021 Jun 18) 29–38.

- [8] S. Bhushan, A. Kumar, U. Shahzad, A.I. Al-Omari, I.M. Almanjahie, On some improved class of estimators by using stratified ranked set sampling, *Mathematics* 10 (18) (2022 Sep 9) 3283.
- [9] S. Bhushan, A. Kumar, S.A. Lone, On some novel classes of estimators using ranked set sampling, *Alex. Eng. J.* 61 (7) (2022 Jul 1) 5465–5474.
- [10] S. Bhushan, A. Kumar, M.T. Akhtar, S.A. Lone, Logarithmic type predictive estimators under simple random sampling, *AIMS Mathematics* 7 (7) (2022 Jan 1) 11992–12010.
- [11] S. Bhushan, A. Kumar, S. Shahab, S.A. Lone, M.T. Akhtar, On efficient estimation of the population mean under stratified ranked set sampling, *J. Math.* (2022 Oct 6) 2022.
- [12] R.L. Chambers, R. Dunstan, Estimating distribution functions from survey data, *Biometrika* 73 (3) (1986 Dec 1) 597–604.
- [13] R.L. Chambers, A.H. Dorfman, P. Hall, Properties of estimators of the finite population distribution function, *Biometrika* 79 (3) (1992 Sep 1) 577–582.
- [14] A.H. Dorfman, A comparison of design-based and model-based estimators of the finite population distribution function, *Aust. J. Stat.* 35 (1) (1993 Mar) 29–41.
- [15] L. Dümngen, E. Zamanzade, Inference on a distribution function from ranked set samples, *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.* 72 (1) (2020 Feb) 157–185.
- [16] S. Hussain, S. Ahmad, M. Saleem, S. Akhtar, Finite population distribution function estimation with dual use of auxiliary information under simple and stratified random sampling, *PLoS One* 15 (9) (2020 Sep 28) e0239098.
- [17] S. Hussain, S. Akhtar, M. El-Morshedy, Modified estimators of finite population distribution function based on dual use of auxiliary information under stratified random sampling, *Sci. Prog.* 105 (3) (2022 Jul) 00368504221128486.
- [18] M. Irfan, M. Javed, S. Haider Bhatti, Difference-type-exponential estimators based on dual auxiliary information under simple random sampling, *Sci. Iran.* 29 (1) (2022 Feb 1) 343–354.
- [19] A. Iftikhar, S. Hongbo, S. Hussain, S. Khan, B. Alnssyan, J. Shabbir, S. Ahmad, M. Amir, A new exponential factor-type estimator for population distribution function using dual auxiliary variables under stratified random sampling, *Math. Probl Eng.* (2022 May 10) 2022.
- [20] C. Long, W. Chen, R. Yang, D. Yao, Ratio estimation of the population mean using auxiliary information under the optimal sampling design, *Probab. Eng. Inf. Sci.* 36 (2) (2022 Apr) 449–460.
- [21] M. Mahdizadeh, E. Zamanzade, Estimation of a symmetric distribution function in multistage ranked set sampling, *Stat. Pap.* 61 (2) (2020 Apr) 851–867.
- [22] T.J. Rao, On certain methods of improving ratiion and regression estimators, *Commun. Stat. Theor. Methods* 20 (10) (1991 Jan 1) 3325–3340.
- [23] S. Riyaz, R. Jan, S. Maqbool, T.R. Jan, K.U. Rather, Generalized Exponential Ratio Estimator of Population Mean Using Two Auxiliary Variables in Simple Random Sampling with an Application to Agricultural Data, 2022.
- [24] U. Shahzad, I. Ahmad, N.H. Al-Noor, M. Hanif, I.M. Almanjahie, Robust estimation of the population mean using quantile regression under systematic sampling, *Math. Popul. Stud.* 30 (3) (2023) 195–207.
- [25] U. Shahzad, I. Ahmad, E. Oral, M. Hanif, I.M. Almanjahie, Estimation of the population mean by successive use of an auxiliary variable in median ranked set sampling, *Math. Popul. Stud.* 28 (3) (2021 Jul 3) 176–199.
- [26] U. Shahzad, N.H. Al-Noor, M. Hanif, I. Sajjad, An exponential family of median based estimators for mean estimation with simple random sampling scheme, *Commun. Stat. Theor. Methods* 50 (20) (2021) 4890–4899.
- [27] H.P. Singh, S. Singh, M. Kozak, A family of estimators of finite-population distribution function using auxiliary information, *Acta applicandae mathematicae* 104 (2) (2008 Nov) 115–130.
- [28] R. Singh, P. Chauhan, N. Sawan, F. Smarandache, Improvement in estimating the population mean using exponential estimator in simple random sampling, *Auxiliary Information and a priori Values in Construction of Improved Estimators* 33 (2007).
- [29] E. Zamanzade, M. Mahdizadeh, Distribution function estimation using concomitant-based ranked set sampling, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 47 (3) (2018 Jun 1) 755–761.
- [30] E. Zamanzade, M. Mahdizadeh, H.M. Samawi, Efficient estimation of cumulative distribution function using moving extreme ranked set sampling with application to reliability, *AStA Advances in Statistical Analysis* 104 (3) (2020 Sep) 485–502.
- [31] T. Zaman, H. Bulut, S.K. Yadav, Robust ratio-type estimators for finite population mean in simple random sampling: a simulation study, *Concurrency Comput. Pract. Ex.* 34 (25) (2022 Nov 15) e7273.
- [32] T. Zaman, E. Dündar, A. Audu, D.A. Alilah, U. Shahzad, M. Hanif, Robust regression-ratio-type estimators of the mean utilizing two auxiliary variables: a simulation study, *Math. Probl Eng.* (2021 Sep 6) 2021.
- [33] W.G. Cochran, The estimation of the yields of cereal experiments by sampling for the ratio of grain to total produce, *J. Agric. Sci.* 30 (2) (1940 Apr) 262–275.
- [34] M.N. Murthy, Product method of estimation, *Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A.* (1964 Jul 1) 69–74.
- [35] S. Bahl, R. Tuteja, Ratio and product type exponential estimators, *J. Inf. Optim. Sci.* 12 (1) (1991 Jan 1) 159–164.
- [36] L.K. Grover, P. Kaur, A generalized class of ratio type exponential estimators of population mean under linear transformation of auxiliary variable, *Commun. Stat. Simulat. Comput.* 43 (7) (2014 Jan 1) 1552–1574.
- [37] S. Singh, *Advanced Sampling Theory with Applications: How Michael Selected Amy*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.