



Psoriasis Is Associated With Myosteatosis but Not Sarcopenia: A Case-Control Study

Xiaomei Chen¹, Hongmei Xiang¹, Lingling Tan², Jie Zhou³, Jing Tang⁴, Xiaoyi Hu^{2,3*} and Ming Yang^{2,5,6*}

¹ Department of Dermatology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ² Center of Gerontology and Geriatrics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³ Health Management Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁴ Department of Radiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁵ National Clinical Research Center for Geriatrics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁶ Precision Medicine Research Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Background: The link between psoriasis and body fat (or obesity) has been well established. However, there are no reports detailing the possible relationship between psoriasis and fat infiltration in skeletal muscle, also known as myosteatosis. A recent study reported the possible association between psoriasis, arthritis, and sarcopenia (the loss of skeletal muscle mass or function). The present study aimed to explore the possible associations of chronic plaque psoriasis with myosteatosis and sarcopenia.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Kyle T. Amber, Rush University, United States

Reviewed by:

Priscila Giavedoni, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Spain Lina Ma, Capital Medical University, China

*Correspondence:

Ming Yang yanmgier@gmail.com Xiaoyi Hu 6913570@qq.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Dermatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 07 August 2021 Accepted: 21 September 2021 Published: 15 October 2021

Citation:

Chen X, Xiang H, Tan L, Zhou J, Tang J, Hu X and Yang M (2021) Psoriasis Is Associated With Myosteatosis but Not Sarcopenia: A Case-Control Study. Front. Med. 8:754932. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.754932 **Methods:** We conducted a case-control study. In-patients with chronic plaque psoriasis were retrospectively recruited. Healthy controls were prospectively and continuously recruited. Unenhanced cross-sectional chest computed tomography images at the 12th thoracic vertebral level were analyzed using Mimics software. Skeletal muscle area (SMA), skeletal muscle radiodensity (SMD), and intermuscular adiposity tissue (IMAT) were measured. The skeletal muscle index (SMI) was calculated as SMA/height². The percentage of IMAT (IMAT%) was calculated as IMAT/SMA × 100%. Myosteatosis was defined by SMD or IMAT%, whereas sarcopenia was defined by SMI. Propensity score matching was performed to adjust for the main confounders. Logistic regression models were used to evaluate the associations of psoriasis with myosteatosis and sarcopenia.

Results: We included 155 psoriasis patients and 512 healthy controls. After propensity score matching, we retained 310 controls. The prevalence of sarcopenia was not significantly different between the psoriasis and control groups (men: 9.8% vs. 14.4%, p = 0.244; women: 7.0% vs. 11.7%, p = 0.548). Psoriasis patients were more prone to SMD-defined myosteatosis (men: 39.3% vs. 20.8%; women: 46.5% vs. 16.0%; both p < 0.001) and IMAT%-defined myosteatosis (men: 21.4% vs. 12.5%, p = 0.034; women: 46.5 vs. 28.7%, p = 0.042) than the control group. After adjustment for potential confounders, psoriasis was not significantly associated with sarcopenia (odds ratio [OR] 0.51, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.25–1.19, p = 0.136). However, psoriasis was associated with SMD-defined myosteatosis (OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.86–5.37, p < 0.001) and IMAT%-defined myosteatosis (OR 1.76, 95% CI 1.04–3.00; p = 0.037).

1

Conclusions: Chronic plaque psoriasis is independently associated with myosteatosis but not sarcopenia. Since fat and muscle are considered endocrine organs and can drive the inflammatory process, further studies detailing the interaction between psoriasis, fat, and skeletal muscle are warranted.

Keywords: myosteatosis, muscle wasting, muscle depletion, psoriasis, fat infiltration

INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis is an immune-mediated systemic inflammatory disease that affects the skin and joints (1). According to a recent systematic review, the prevalence of psoriasis varies from 0.5 to 11.4% across study populations (2). Currently, there is a mutual consensus that psoriasis can induce important consequences beyond the skin. It has been associated with many comorbidities, such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, depression, and cardiovascular diseases (3, 4). Recently, there has been increasing evidence indicating that psoriasis is linked to body composition, i.e., the percentages of fat and skeletal muscle in human bodies (3).

The relationship between psoriasis and fat has been well documented in the literature (5–7). Fat infiltration in skeletal muscle mass, also known as myosteatosis, includes three components: (i) intermuscular adipose tissue (IMAT), the extracellular fat beneath the fascia and between muscle groups; (ii) intramuscular adipose tissue (IntraMAT), the extracellular fat within an individual muscle; and (iii) intramyocellular lipids (IMCL), the fat stored in droplets in muscle cells (8). Similar to other adipocyte tissues, myosteatosis can produce adipokines, such as leptin, adiponectin, and resistin, which have been reported to mediate the associations between psoriasis, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases (8). These findings imply a possible association between psoriasis and myosteatosis. However, after systematically searching PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science, we failed to identify any study addressing this association.

Conversely, there is limited evidence addressing the association between psoriasis and skeletal muscle (9, 10). A recent case-control study including 51 women with psoriasis arthritis and 44 controls reported that sarcopenia, the loss of muscle mass and muscle strength, was more prevalent in women with psoriatic arthritis than in healthy women. However, no correlation between sarcopenia and psoriatic arthritis activity was observed in the study (10). Notably, psoriatic arthritis accounts for approximately 3% of psoriasis cases, whereas chronic plaque psoriasis, the most common type of psoriasis, accounts for more than 90% of psoriasis cases (11). However, the link between sarcopenia and chronic plaque psoriasis has not yet been reported. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the potential association of chronic plaque psoriasis with sarcopenia and myosteatosis.

METHODS

Study Population

We conducted a case-control study. First, we retrospectively collected relevant information on adult in-patients with chronic plaque psoriasis in the Dermatology Department of West China Hospital, Sichuan University, from May 2018 to May 2019. A diagnosis of chronic plaque psoriasis was made based on the Chinese guideline for psoriasis (12). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) psoriatic arthritis; (ii) visible edema, chronic kidney disease, or any type of tumor; (iii) low-quality computed tomography (CT) images, anatomical distortion (such as chest wall edema), or loss of any muscle mass area on CT images; (iv) missing data on key variables; (v) absence of chest CT images during the hospitalization period; and (vi) treatment with corticosteroids in our department. From August 2019 to July 2020, we prospectively and continuously invited healthy adults without psoriasis who underwent routine health examinations in West China Hospital, Sichuan University, to participate in this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) absence of chest CT images; (ii) visible edema, chronic kidney disease, or any type of tumor; and (iii) low-quality CT images or any anatomical distortion (such as chest wall edema) or loss of any muscle mass area on CT images. Clinical information collection and blood sampling were performed by trained nurses. The Biomedical Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University approved the study protocol. All prospectively recruited patients signed written informed consent forms.

CT Image Analysis

CT is used for the direct measurement of skeletal muscle mass and IMAT as well as the indirect estimation of IntraMAT and IMCL by measuring skeletal muscle radiodensity (SMD), which is expressed in Hounsfield units (HUs) (8). The lower the HU, the lower the radiodensity, and the higher the degrees of IntraMAT and IMCL (8). In this study, all chest CT scans were obtained using 16-slice spiral CT scanners (Brilliance; Philips Healthcare, Ohio, USA) with a 5-mm slice thickness in West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The acquisition parameters were as follows: detector collimation of 0.75–1.5 mm, 100–140 kV, and variable mAs based on the individual's body size.

We used segmentation software (Mimics version 21.0; Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) to analyze unenhanced crosssectional CT images at the 12th thoracic vertebral (T12) level. Skeletal muscle mass area (SMA) was segmented according to a widely used muscle tissue threshold CT value, i.e., -29 to +150 HU (13). The muscles at the T12 level include the internus abdominis, erector spinae, obliquus externus, latissimus dorsi,

Abbreviations: IMAT, intermuscular adiposity tissue; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity; SMI, skeletal muscle index; CT, BMI body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SMA, skeletal muscle area; IntraMAT, intramuscular adipose tissue; IMCL, intramyocellular lipids; HU, Hounsfield unit.



rectus abdominis, and internal and external intercostal muscles (14). The mean SMD of T12 SMA was calculated automatically by Mimics software. The lower the SMD, the higher the degree of myosteatosis. We segmented IMAT according to a widely used threshold of CT value (-30--190 HU) (15). The higher the IMAT, the higher the degree of myosteatosis (15). A trained researcher (L.T.), who was blinded to the clinical information of the participants, performed all CT image segmentations. Typical segmented chest CT images are presented in **Figure 1**.

Definitions of Sarcopenia and Myosteatosis

As previously reported (14, 16), we calculated the skeletal muscle index (SMI) using the equation SMI $(cm^2/m^2) = SMA$ $(cm^2)/height^2 (m^2)$. An SMI < 25.75 cm^2/m^2 in men or < 20.16 cm^2/m^2 in women indicates sarcopenia. Additionally, an SMD < 37.42 HU in men or < 33.17 HU in women indicates SMD-defined myosteatosis. We calculated the percentage of IMAT area at the T12 level (IMAT%) using the equation IMAT% = IMAT/SMA × 100% (17). An IMAT% > 7.51% in men or > 6.83% in women indicates IMAT%-defined myosteatosis (17).

Covariates

The following clinical information was collected from the healthcare information system: age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, alcohol consumption status, hypertension, diabetes, and fatty liver. For each patient with psoriasis, body surface area score and Psoriasis Area and Severity Index were evaluated by experienced dermatologists (18). After at least 8 h of fasting, blood was drawn from each participant early in the morning. Fasting glucose, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate

aminotransferase, albumin, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) were tested according to the standard method, using the Toshiba 200FR Neo analyzer (Toshiba Medical System Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical Analysis

Categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. Continuous data were presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range where appropriate. Group differences were compared using independent samples *t*-tests or Mann-Whitney *U*-tests for continuous data and Pearson's chi-square tests for categorical data.

Differences between the psoriasis group and the control group were adjusted using propensity score matching analysis (19). Propensity scores were developed using logistic regression based on age, sex, and BMI. The most appropriately matched pairs were identified using a 1:2 nearest neighbor matching algorithm without replacement and with a caliper of 0.05 (19). After matching, group differences were compared again using the abovementioned methods. Additionally, violin plots and box plots were used to present the differences in SMI, SMD, IMAT, and IMAT% between the psoriasis and control groups in men and women. Logistic models were used to explore the associations of psoriasis with sarcopenia, SMD-defined myosteatosis, and IMAT%-defined myosteatosis. Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, and BMI. Model 2 adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes, fatty liver, albumin, TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C.

Statistical analyses were performed using R software version 3.5.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria)



and SPSS software version 26.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., New York, US). A two-sided *p*-value of < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

RESULTS

Study Population

Figure 2 shows the flow chart of the study population selection. Initially, we included 196 patients with psoriasis, of whom 33 were excluded because chest CT result was not available. We continuously invited 750 healthy adults, of whom 209 refused to join this study. Furthermore, 37 participants were excluded due to missing data or low-quality CT images. Consequently, we included 155 patients in the psoriasis group and 512 individuals in the control group.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. Individuals in the psoriasis group were significantly older than those in the control group (45.2 vs. 42.9 years, p = 0.031). The mean BMI was significantly higher in the psoriasis group than in the control group (24.41 vs. 23.20, p < 0.001). Additionally, the psoriasis group had fewer women than the control group,

although the difference was not statistically significant (27.7 vs. 35.2%, p = 0.086). Therefore, we adjusted for age, sex, and BMI using propensity score matching in the following analysis.

Group Differences After Propensity Score Matching

After propensity score matching, we retained 310 cases in the control group. **Supplementary Figure 2** shows the jitter plot of propensity score distributions. **Table 2** shows the characteristics of the study population after propensity score matching. Age and BMI were no longer significantly different between the psoriasis group and the control group in both men and women. Compared with their counterparts, psoriasis patients had significantly lower albumin and TC levels in men and women. Furthermore, men with psoriasis had a significantly higher frequency of fatty liver and lower HDL-C and LDL-C levels than their healthy counterparts. These differences were not statistically significant in women (**Table 2**).

Figure 3 shows the density distributions of SMI, SMD, IMAT, and IMAT% in the psoriasis group and the control group,

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study population.

Characteristic	Control	Psoriasis	p-value	
	(<i>n</i> = 512)	(<i>n</i> = 155)		
Age, years, mean (SD)	42.9 (10.7)	45.2 (13.1)	0.031	
Women, <i>n</i> (%)	180 (35.2)	43 (27.7)	0.086	
Smoker, <i>n</i> (%)	136 (26.6)	76 (49.0)	<0.001	
Alcohol drinker, n (%)	153 (29.9)	43 (27.9)	0.640	
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Hypertension	64 (12.5)	20 (12.9)	0.895	
Diabetes	22 (4.3)	9 (5.8)	0.434	
Fatty liver	31 (6.1)	22 (14.2)	0.001	
BSA score, median (IQR)	_	32.0 (33.5)	-	
PASI score, median (IQR)	_	23.1 (21.0)	-	
PASI groups				
<7	-	14 (9.0)	-	
7–12	_	15 (9.7)	-	
>12	_	126 (81.3)	-	
BMI, kg/m ² , mean (SD)	23.20 (3.00)	24.41 (3.21)	<0.001	
Fasting glucose, mmol/l, mean (SD)	5.10 (1.19)	5.06 (1.14)	0.689	
ALT, IU/I, median (IQR)	19.0 (16.0)	22.0 (17.0)	0.049	
AST, IU/I, median (IQR)	21.0 (9.0)	21.0 (7.0)	0.497	
Albumin, g/l, mean (SD)	47.94 (2.45)	43.32 (4.67)	< 0.001	
TC, mmol/l, mean (SD)	4.92 (0.88)	4.51 (1.03)	< 0.001	
TG, mmol/l, mean (SD)	1.58 (1.21)	1.81 (1.27)	0.035	
HDL-C, mmol/l, mean (SD)	1.41 (0.36)	1.21 (0.38)	< 0.001	
LDL-C, mmol/l, mean (SD)	2.91 (.077)	2.81 (1.21)	0.259	
Body composition				
variables				
SMA, cm ² , mean (SD)	93.33 (21.64)	93.12 (20.92)	0.917	
SMI, cm ² /m ² , mean (SD)	4.08 (1.08)	3.83 (0.80)	0.007	
SMD, HU, mean (SD)	40.33 (4.99)	36.97 (6.56)	< 0.001	
IMAT, cm ² , median (IQR)	2.93 (3.34)	4.01 (4.53)	0.004	
IMAT%, median (IQR)	3.21 (3.47)	4.35 (4.43)	0.002	

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HU, Hounsfield Unit; IMAT, intermuscular adiposity tissue, IMAT%, percentage of intermuscular adiposity tissue; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; PASI, psoriasis area and severity index; SD, standard deviation; SMA, skeletal muscle cross-sectional area; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity; SMI, skeletal muscle index (skeletal muscle cross-sectional area/BMI); TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

stratified by sex. As shown in **Figure 4**, the mean SMI was not significantly different between the psoriasis and control groups. However, psoriasis patients had a significantly lower mean SMD than the controls in men and women (both p < 0.001). Moreover, psoriasis patients had significantly higher mean IMAT and IMAT% than the control group in women (both p < 0.010). However, neither IMAT nor IMAT% was significantly different between the groups in men (both p > 0.05).

Associations of Sarcopenia and Myosteatosis With Psoriasis

As shown in **Table 2**, the prevalence of sarcopenia was not significantly different between the psoriasis and control groups (men: 9.8 vs. 14.4%, p = 0.244; women: 7.0 vs. 11.7%, p = 0.548). However, compared with their counterparts, psoriasis patients were more prone to SMD-defined myosteatosis (men: 39.3 vs.

20.8%; women: 46.5 vs. 16.0%; both p < 0.001) and IMAT%-defined myosteatosis (men: 21.4 vs. 12.5%, p = 0.034; women: 46.5 vs. 28.7%, p = 0.042).

Moreover, after adjustment for potential confounders, psoriasis was not significantly associated with sarcopenia (odds ratio [OR] 0.51, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.25–1.19; p = 0.136). However, psoriasis was associated with SMD-defined myosteatosis (OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.86–5.37; p < 0.001) and IMAT%-defined myosteatosis (OR 1.76, 95% CI 1.04–3.00; p = 0.037) (**Table 3**).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the association between chronic plaque psoriasis and myosteatosis. Our study demonstrated that myosteatosis, regardless of whether

TABLE 2	Characteristics of	the study population aff	ter propensity s	score matching.
---------	--------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-----------------

Men (<i>n</i> = 328)			Women (<i>n</i> = 137)		
Control (<i>n</i> = 216)	Psoriasis (n = 112)	p-value	Control ($n = 94$)	Psoriasis (n = 43)	p-value
45.5 (10.3)	44.7 (12.9)	0.516	44.7 (11.2)	46.5 (13.8)	0.428
66 (30.6)	74 (66.1)	< 0.001	8 (8.5)	2 (4.7)	0.725
78 (36.1)	41 (36.9)	0.883	10 (10.6)	4 (9.3)	0.811
38 (17.6)	17 (15.2)	0.579	10 (10.6)	3 (7.0)	0.497
10 (4.6)	7 (6.3)	0.530	4 (4.3)	2 (4.7)	0.916
7 (7.9)	17 (15.2)	0.039	6 (6.4)	5 (11.6)	0.320
24.60 (2.92)	24.54 (3.18)	0.858	23.58 (2.66)	24.06 (3.28)	0.361
5.22 (1.15)	4.98 (0.94)	0.062	5.22 (1.14)	5.26 (1.55)	0.854
20.0 (17.0)	22.0 (17.0)	0.458	19.5 (15.0)	20.0 (19.0)	0.884
21.0 (9.0)	20.5 (7.0)	0.061	21.0 (9.0)	21.0 (9.0)	0.980
47.70 (2.28)	43.51 (4.52)	< 0.001	48.20 (2.72)	42.85 (5.08)	< 0.001
5.02 (0.87)	4.55 (1.00)	< 0.001	4.99 (0.86)	4.41 (1.10)	0.001
1.70 (1.25)	1.80 (1.21)	0.552	1.79 (1.65)	1.88 (1.44)	0.745
1.39 (0.37)	1.14 (0.30)	< 0.001	1.40 (0.38)	1.39 (0.50)	0.887
2.99 (0.76)	2.80 (0.80)	0.041	2.88 (0.72)	2.85 (1.92)	0.894
31 (14.4)	11 (9.8)	0.244	11 (11.7)	3 (7.0)	0.548
45 (20.8)	44 (39.3)	< 0.001	15 (16.0)	20 (46.5)	< 0.001
27 (12.5)	24 (21.4)	0.034	27 (28.7)	20 (46.5)	0.042
	Control ($n = 216$) 45.5 (10.3) 66 (30.6) 78 (36.1) 38 (17.6) 10 (4.6) 7 (7.9) 24.60 (2.92) 5.22 (1.15) 20.0 (17.0) 21.0 (9.0) 47.70 (2.28) 5.02 (0.87) 1.39 (0.37) 2.99 (0.76) 31 (14.4) 45 (20.8) 27 (12.5)	Men ($n = 328$)Control ($n = 216$)Psoriasis ($n = 112$)45.5 (10.3)44.7 (12.9)66 (30.6)74 (66.1)78 (36.1)41 (36.9)38 (17.6)17 (15.2)10 (4.6)7 (6.3)7 (7.9)17 (15.2)24.60 (2.92)24.54 (3.18)5.22 (1.15)4.98 (0.94)20.0 (17.0)22.0 (17.0)21.0 (9.0)20.5 (7.0)47.70 (2.28)43.51 (4.52)5.02 (0.87)4.55 (1.00)1.70 (1.25)1.80 (1.21)1.39 (0.37)1.14 (0.30)2.99 (0.76)2.80 (0.80)31 (14.4)11 (9.8)45 (20.8)44 (39.3)27 (12.5)24 (21.4)	Men $(n = 328)$ Control $(n = 216)$ Psoriasis $(n = 112)$ p -value $45.5 (10.3)$ $44.7 (12.9)$ 0.516 $66 (30.6)$ $74 (66.1)$ <0.001 $78 (36.1)$ $41 (36.9)$ 0.883 $38 (17.6)$ $17 (15.2)$ 0.579 $10 (4.6)$ $7 (6.3)$ 0.530 $7 (7.9)$ $17 (15.2)$ 0.039 $24.60 (2.92)$ $24.54 (3.18)$ 0.858 $5.22 (1.15)$ $4.98 (0.94)$ 0.062 $20.0 (17.0)$ $22.0 (17.0)$ 0.458 $21.0 (9.0)$ $20.5 (7.0)$ 0.061 $47.70 (2.28)$ $43.51 (4.52)$ <0.001 $5.02 (0.87)$ $4.55 (1.00)$ <0.001 $1.70 (1.25)$ $1.80 (1.21)$ 0.552 $1.39 (0.37)$ $1.14 (0.30)$ <0.001 $2.99 (0.76)$ $2.80 (0.80)$ 0.041 $31 (14.4)$ $11 (9.8)$ 0.244 $45 (20.8)$ $44 (39.3)$ <0.001 $27 (12.5)$ $24 (21.4)$ 0.034	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Men (n = 328) & \hline Men (n = 328) & \hline Control (n = 216) & Psoriasis (n = 112) & p-value & \hline Control (n = 94) & \hline \\ \hline 45.5 (10.3) & 44.7 (12.9) & 0.516 & 44.7 (11.2) & 66 (30.6) & 74 (66.1) & <0.001 & 8 (8.5) & \hline \\ 78 (36.1) & 41 (36.9) & 0.883 & 10 (10.6) & \hline \\ 78 (36.1) & 17 (15.2) & 0.579 & 10 (10.6) & \hline \\ 10 (4.6) & 7 (6.3) & 0.530 & 4 (4.3) & \hline \\ 7 (7.9) & 17 (15.2) & 0.039 & 6 (6.4) & \hline \\ 24.60 (2.92) & 24.54 (3.18) & 0.858 & 23.58 (2.66) & \hline \\ 5.22 (1.15) & 4.98 (0.94) & 0.062 & 5.22 (1.14) & \hline \\ 20.0 (17.0) & 22.0 (17.0) & 0.458 & 19.5 (15.0) & \hline \\ 21.0 (9.0) & 20.5 (7.0) & 0.061 & 21.0 (9.0) & \hline \\ 47.70 (2.28) & 43.51 (4.52) & <0.001 & 48.20 (2.72) & \hline \\ 5.02 (0.87) & 4.55 (1.00) & <0.001 & 1.49 (0.38) & \hline \\ 1.70 (1.25) & 1.80 (1.21) & 0.552 & 1.79 (1.65) & \hline \\ 1.39 (0.37) & 1.14 (0.30) & <0.001 & 1.40 (0.38) & \hline \\ 2.99 (0.76) & 2.80 (0.80) & 0.041 & 2.88 (0.72) & \hline \\ 31 (14.4) & 11 (9.8) & 0.244 & 11 (11.7) & \hline \\ 45 (20.8) & 44 (39.3) & <0.001 & 15 (16.0) & \hline \\ 27 (12.5) & 24 (21.4) & 0.034 & 27 (28.7) & \hline \\ \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{ $

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HU, Hounsfield Unit; IMAT, intermuscular adiposity tissue, IMAT%, percentage of intermuscular adiposity tissue; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; PASI, psoriasis area and severity index; SD, standard deviation; SMA, skeletal muscle cross-sectional area; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity; SMI, skeletal muscle index (skeletal muscle cross-sectional area/BMI; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides).

it is defined by SMD or IMAT%, was independently associated with psoriasis. There is no established molecular mechanism to explain the association between psoriasis and myosteatosis. However, cytokines produced by adipose tissue, also known as adipokines, may play an important role in this link (20, 21). For example, IMAT can produce leptin, a proinflammatory adipokine, which increases the production of T helper 1 cytokines and IL-17A (20, 22, 23). These cytokines have a crucial role in psoriasis pathogenesis (20, 22, 23).

BMI-defined obesity has been well recognized as an independent risk factor for psoriasis (5, 24). For example, a systematic review of 16 studies reported a pooled OR for the association between psoriasis and BMI-defined obesity of 1.66 (95% 1.46-1.89) (25). Additionally, there is evidence indicating that obesity is associated with the incidence of psoriasis (4). Adipose tissues in obese people have been proven to promote the development of Th17 cells (26). IL-17A expression was also upregulated in obesity (27). Moreover, psoriasis has been associated with increased inflammatory cytokines, in which the IL-23/Th17 axis with TNF-a, IL-21, and IL-22 play a role in the control of inflammation (28). This might partly explain the association between obesity and psoriasis. However, our study identified an independent association between psoriasis and myosteatosis even after adjusting for BMI. Therefore, this association might not be fully explained by the presence of obesity.

The diagnostic criteria of myosteatosis have not yet been established; however, SMD and IMAT are the two most frequently used indicators to diagnose myosteatosis (29, 30). According to a recent systematic review, among the 125 included studies, 82 (65.6%) and 27 (21.6%) studies used IMAT and SMD to define myosteatosis, respectively (31). In this study, we diagnosed myosteatosis based on SMD and IMAT. It is not known whether SMD or IMAT is better for defining myosteatosis. However, a retrospective study found that a lower SMD (but not a higher IMAT) was significantly associated with a higher risk of 6-month mortality in ICU patients (32). Another study demonstrated that a lower SMD (but not a higher IMAT) was independently associated with the rate of torque development and peak knee extension in older adults (33). These findings imply that SMD is a better indicator for myosteatosis; however, there is a need for stronger evidence, especially from prospective cohort studies.

A small case-control study reported a higher prevalence of sarcopenia, defined by appendicular lean mass *via* bioimpedance analysis, in women with psoriatic arthritis (13.7%) compared to healthy women (9%). However, the relevant *p*-value was not reported in the study (10). Our finding that psoriasis was not associated with sarcopenia is inconsistent with the findings of the aforementioned study. There are several possible reasons. First, the mean age of our psoriasis patients (45.2 years) was lower than that in the other two studies (65.6





7





	OR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Sarcopenia			
Psoriasis (unadjusted)	0.63	0.34-1.20	0.161
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 1)	0.60	0.32-1.16	0.128
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 2)	0.51	0.25-1.19	0.136
SMD-defined myosteatosis			
Psoriasis (unadjusted)	2.93	1.91-4.49	< 0.001
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 1)	3.71	2.25-6.11	< 0.001
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 2)	3.16	1.86–5.37	< 0.001
IMAT%-defined myosteatosis			
Psoriasis (unadjusted)	1.88	1.19-2.97	0.007
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 1)	2.00	1.21–3.28	0.006
Psoriasis (adjusted Model 2)	1.76	1.04-3.00	0.037

TABLE 3 Association of psoriasis with sarcopenia or myosteatosis by logistic regression analysis in the study population after propensity score matching.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; IMAT%, percentage of intermuscular adiposity tissue; OR, odds ratio; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity.

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, and BMI.

Model 2: further adjusted for smoking, diabetes, fatty liver, albumin, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol based on Model 1.

and 64.1 years, respectively) (9, 10). As sarcopenia is an agerelated muscle disease (34), the prevalence of sarcopenia in our study population was low. Thus, group differences regarding sarcopenia may not be detected. Second, the methods for measuring muscle mass and the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia varied across these studies. Finally, the study populations were also heterogeneous. Our patients were hospitalized and had severe sarcopenia, whereas the patients in the other two studies lived in the community.

Notably, we defined sarcopenia based on skeletal muscle mass in the present study. In the literature, two major opinions about the definition of sarcopenia exist. Some researchers argue that sarcopenia should be defined according to low skeletal muscle mass and low muscle function (strength and/or physical performance), using the EWGSOP criteria (34), whereas others believe that sarcopenia should be defined "using only muscle mass" (35). It would be interesting to explore the association between psoriasis and muscle strength in the future.

Our study has some limitations. First, the psoriasis group was recruited retrospectively in a single center; therefore, selection bias might have been introduced, and our study population may be underrepresented. Second, because of the case-control design, the causal relationship between psoriasis and myosteatosis could not be determined. Third, we did not explore the possible associations of the severity of psoriasis with sarcopenia and myosteatosis, as most of the patients (81.3%) had severe psoriasis. However, a recent systematic review found conflicting data concerning the association between psoriasis severity and body composition parameters (3). Therefore, further studies with a large sample size are required. Fourth, some important confounders, including daily exercise, physical function, dietary nutrient intake, familial dyslipidemia, polycystic ovary syndrome, and medications were not adjusted for in our logistic models. Fifth, we did not define myosteatosis *via* histology, which is supposed to be the "gold standard." However, the invasive feature of muscle biopsy does not make it an appropriate procedure for patients with psoriasis. Finally, we did not measure and adjust for inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein and IL-6, which were supposed to be associated with sarcopenia and psoriasis (36, 37).

CONCLUSION

Our study indicates that chronic plaque psoriasis is independently associated with myosteatosis; however, it is not independently associated with sarcopenia. Since fat and muscle are considered endocrine organs and can drive the inflammatory process, future studies detailing the interactions between psoriasis, fat, and skeletal muscle are warranted. Moreover, it would be interesting to design prospective cohort studies to explore the causal relationships between these conditions.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Biomedical Ethics Committee of West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XC and MY: conception and design of study. LT: imaging data analysis. XC, XH, and MY: drafting the manuscript. MY: statistical analysis. XC, HX, LT, JZ, JT, XH, and MY: acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Health Commission of Sichuan Province (Grant Number: 17ZD022) and the K&D Program of the Sichuan Science and Technology Department (Grant Number: 2020YFS0573). The sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We also thank all the participants of this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2021.754932/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Boehncke W-H, Schön MP. Psoriasis. Lancet. (2015) 386:983– 94. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61909-7
- Michalek IM, Loring B, John SM. A systematic review of worldwide epidemiology of psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol. (2017) 31:205–12. doi: 10.1111/jdv.13854
- Blake T, Gullick NJ, Hutchinson CE, Barber TM. Psoriatic disease and body composition: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *PLoS ONE*. (2020) 15:e0237598. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0237598
- Takeshita J, Grewal S, Langan SM, Mehta NN, Ogdie A, Van Voorhees ASV. et al. Psoriasis and comorbid diseases: epidemiology. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2017) 76:377–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016.07.064
- Caglia MT, Krueger GG. Psoriasis and the obesity epidemic: the effect of weight loss. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2013) 149:786– 7. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2013.4512
- Kunz M, Simon JC, Saalbach A. Psoriasis: obesity and fatty acids. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:1807. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01807
- Zachariae C, Skov L. Obesity as a risk factor for psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. (2020) 34:915–6. doi: 10.1111/jdv.16434
- Correa-de-Araujo R, Addison O, Miljkovic I, Goodpaster BH, Bergman BC, Clark RV, et al. Myosteatosis in the context of skeletal muscle function deficit: an interdisciplinary workshop at the National Institute on Aging. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:963. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00963
- Aguiar R, Sequeira J, Meirinhos T, Ambrósio C, Barcelos A, SARCOSPA– Sarcopenia in spondyloarthritis patients. *Acta Reumatol Port.* (2014) 39:322–6.
- Krajewska-Włodarczyk M, Owczarczyk-Saczonek A, Placek W. Changes in body composition and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with psoriatic arthritis. *Reumatologia*. (2017) 5:215–21. doi: 10.5114/reum.2017.71627
- Dogra S, Mahajan R. Psoriasis: epidemiology, clinical features, comorbidities, and clinical scoring. *Indian Dermatol Online J.* (2016) 7:471– 80. doi: 10.4103/2229-5178.193906
- Committee on Psoriasis. Chinese Society of Dermatology. Guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of psoriasis in China. *Chinese J Dermatol.* (2009) 3:213–4.
- Park J, Gil JR, Shin Y, Won SE, Huh J, You MW, et al. Reliable and robust method for abdominal muscle mass quantification using CT/MRI: an explorative study in healthy subjects. *PLoS ONE*. (2019) 14:e0222042. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0222042
- Lenchik L, Lenoir KM, Tan J, Boutin RD, Callahan KE, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Opportunistic measurement of skeletal muscle size and muscle attenuation on computed tomography predicts 1-year mortality in medicare patients. J Gerontol. (2019) 74:1063–9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gly183
- Lee K, Shin Y, Huh J, Sung YS, Lee IS, Yoon KH, et al. Recent issues on body composition imaging for sarcopenia evaluation. *Korean J Radiol.* (2019) 20:205–17. doi: 10.3348/kjr.2018.0479
- Montano-Loza AJ, Angulo P, Meza-Junco J, Prado CMM, Sawyer MB, Beaumont C, et al. Sarcopenic obesity and myosteatosis are associated with higher mortality in patients with cirrhosis. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. (2016) 7:126–35. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12039
- Perkisas S, De Cock AM, Verhoeven V, Vandewoude M. Intramuscular adipose tissue and the functional components of sarcopenia in hospitalized geriatric patients. *Geriatrics*. (2017) 2:11. doi: 10.3390/geriatrics20 10011
- Schmitt J, Wozel G. The psoriasis area and severity index is the adequate criterion to define severity in chronic plaque-type psoriasis. *Dermatology*. (2005) 210:194–9. doi: 10.1159/000083509
- Keller B, Tipton E. Propensity score analysis in R. J Educ Behav Stat. (2016) 41:326–48. doi: 10.3102/10769986166 31744
- Kyriakou A, Patsatsi A, Sotiriadis D, Goulis DG. Serum leptin, resistin, and adiponectin concentrations in psoriasis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Dermatology*. (2017) 233:378–89. doi: 10.1159/000481882
- 21. Kirby B, Lynch M. Adipokines and psoriasis: the obesity link. Br J Dermatol. (2018) 179:239. doi: 10.1111/bjd.16803
- 22. Chiricozzi A, Raimondo A, Lembo S, Fausti F, Dini V, Costanzo A, et al. Crosstalk between skin inflammation and adipose tissue-derived products:

pathogenic evidence linking psoriasis to increased adiposity. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* (2016) 12:1299–308. doi: 10.1080/1744666X.2016.1201423

- Lynch M, Ahern T, Sweeney CM, Malara A, Tobin AM, O'Shea D, et al. Adipokines, psoriasis, systemic inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction. *Int J Dermatol.* (2017) 56:1103–18. doi: 10.1111/ijd.13699
- Moudgil S, Mahajan R, Narang T, Sachdeva N, Dayal D, Dogra S. Central obesity and dyslipidemia in pediatric patients with psoriasis: an observational study from India. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2021) 12:72. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.12.072
- Armstrong AW, Harskamp CT, Armstrong EJ. The association between psoriasis and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Nutr Diabetes*. (2012) 2:e54. doi: 10.1038/nutd.2012.26
- Korman NJ. Management of psoriasis as a systemic disease: what is the evidence Br J Dermatol. (2020) 182:840–8. doi: 10.1111/bjd.18245
- Winer S, Paltser G, Chan Y, Tsui H, Engleman E, Winer D, et al. Obesity predisposes to Th17 bias. *Eur J Immunol.* (2009) 39:2629– 35. doi: 10.1002/eji.200838893
- Marinoni B, Ceribelli A, Massarotti MS, Selmi C. The Th17 axis in psoriatic disease: pathogenetic and therapeutic implications. *Auto Immun Highlights*. (2014) 5:9–19. doi: 10.1007/s13317-013-0057-4
- Hamrick MW, McGee-Lawrence ME, Frechette DM. Fatty infiltration of skeletal muscle: mechanisms and comparisons with bone marrow adiposity. *Front Endocrinol.* (2016) 7:69. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2016.00069
- Aleixo GFP, Shachar SS, Nyrop KA, Muss HB, Malpica L, Williams GR. Myosteatosis and prognosis in cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2020) 145:102839. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.102839
- Amini B, Boyle SP, Boutin RD, Lenchik L. Approaches to assessment of muscle mass and myosteatosis on computed tomography: a systematic review. *J Gerontol.* (2019) 74:1671–8. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glz034
- 32. Looijaard WG, Dekker IM, Stapel SN, Girbes ARJ, Twisk JWR. v Oudemans-van Straaten HM, et al. Skeletal muscle quality as assessed by CT-derived skeletal muscle density is associated with 6-month mortality in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients. *Crit Care.* (2016) 20:386. doi: 10.1186/s13054-016-1563-3
- Frank-Wilson AW, Chalhoub D, Figueiredo P, Jónsson PV, Siggeirsdóttir K, Sigurdsson S, et al. Associations of quadriceps torque properties with muscle size, attenuation, and intramuscular adipose tissue in older adults. *J Gerontol.* (2018) 73:931–8. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx262
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing*. (2019) 48:16–31. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afy169
- Carey EJ, Lai JC, Sonnenday C, Tapper EB, Tandon P, Duarte-Rojo A, et al. A North American expert opinion statement on sarcopenia in liver transplantation. *Hepatology*. (2019) 70:1816–29. doi: 10.1002/hep.30828
- Dowlatshahi EA, van der Voort EA, Arends LR, Nijsten T. Markers of systemic inflammation in psoriasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Dermatol. (2013) 169:266–82. doi: 10.1111/bjd.12355
- Kalinkovich A, Livshits G. Sarcopenia—the search for emerging biomarkers. Ageing Res Rev. (2015) 22:58–71. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2015.05.001

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Chen, Xiang, Tan, Zhou, Tang, Hu and Yang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.