# BY-NC

# **RSC Advances**



# **PAPER**



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 25025

# High-voltage pulse-assisted extraction of flavonoids from kapok using deep eutectic solvent aqueous solutions†

Ruijing Wei, pab Lu Hu, Lihua Wang, Peng Yan, Tao Lin, Ning Wang, Huaiging Sun, Bisheng Zheng and Chaowan Guo\*

In this study, deep eutectic solvents coupled with a pulsed electric field (PEF-DES) were first applied to the extraction of traditional Chinese medicine plants. This study uses the PEF-DES extraction technique to extract TG-KF (Kapok flavonoid solution extracted with DES-TG). PEF-DES is a simple, effective and environmentally-friendly technology and can be used in industrial-scale production. For the optimal extraction conditions of TG-KF, DES-TG was used as a solvent, the DES-TG concentration was 50%, the solid–liquid ratio was 1:30, the electric field intensity was 0.55 kV cm $^{-1}$ , the number of pulses was 100, and the yield of flavonoids was  $14.36\pm0.35\%$ . TG-KF has very good stability and there is no precipitation or discoloration within 6 months. The results of chicken embryo experiments and human patch tests show that 10% TG-KF aqueous solution has no irritation. DPPH experiments show that TG-KF has excellent efficacy as an antioxidant. Overall, TG-KF is expected to become a potential antioxidant raw material.

Received 28th June 2022 Accepted 24th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra03969a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# 1. Introduction

Kapok is a unique plant in Southern China. As a medicinal and edible plant, it has analgesic,¹ anti-inflammatory,² antioxidative,³ antibacterial,⁴.⁵ whitening⁶ and other physiological activities. The main chemical components in kapok are flavonoids, organic acids, sterols, triterpenes, coumarins, *etc.*<sup>7</sup> Flavonoids are the major chemical components of kapok. Flavonoids are a family of natural substances with various phenolic structures that are widely distributed in the plant kingdom along with multiple biological activities.⁶ Most recent studies working on extraction mention that flavonoids in kapok have been processed using organic solvents or water-mixed organic solvents due to the low aqueous solubility of flavonoids.⁶

With the development of green chemistry, many researchers have focused more on the discovery and application of environmental-friendly solvent. DES, a eutectic mixture consist of hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA) and hydrogen bond donors (HBD) at room temperature, <sup>10</sup> is a new type of green solvent. <sup>11</sup> Since the emergence of DES, the green properties of DES have caught considerable attentions. Based on preliminary researches, DES, as eco-friendly solvents, have been applied

widely to extraction or separation of target compounds from crude plant extracts. Most DES are easy to prepare, inexpensive, and biodegradable.<sup>12</sup> Also, DES enhances the stability of hydrophobic compounds that are unstable in aqueous solutions.<sup>13</sup> The high kinematic viscosity (typically 200–500 mm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 40 °C) of DES, however, lead to some practical problems including time-consuming solvent-transfer operations and slow mass transfer during dissolutions or extractions.<sup>14</sup> Diluting DES with water has been proved to be an efficient way of decreasing their viscosities and adjusting the solubility of compounds.<sup>15</sup>

To enhance the efficiency of DES in the extraction of phenolic compounds, DES have been combined with other extraction methods, 16-18 such as ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), 13,19 heating extraction (HE), microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), and stirring.20 All these extraction methods may cause losses or changes in the chemical properties of bioactive molecules due to thermal degradation, ionization, hydrolysis, or oxidation during extraction. PEF extraction is a non-thermal extraction technique that is currently used in the extraction of active ingredients from plants.21 The technique is based on electroporation, which is a technique to increase permeability of small molecules to cells. To be more specific is that putting the cell in a strong electric field causes accumulation of oppositely charged ions on both sides of the membrane, as transmembrane potential exceeds a critical value of approximately 1 V, the repulsion between charge-carrying molecules leads to membrane thickness reduction and permeabilization of small molecules. Moreover, the PEF equipment used in this study allows for large scale operation up to industrial level. PEF

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Research and Development Center, Guangdong Marubi Biotechnology Co., Ltd., 510530, Guangdong, China. E-mail: guo.chaowan@marubi.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, 381 Wushan Road, Guangzhou 510640, Guangdong, China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra03969a

Table 1 Chemical information of DES<sup>a</sup>

DES	INCI	Chemical structure	Molar ratios	Conductivity (µS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	pH value
DES-TBN	Propylene glycol, citric acid, betaine	HO OH OH OH HO OH	1:1:1	$1553.90 \pm 3.92$	$2.82\pm0.22$
DES-TPB	Betaine, propylene glycol, malic acid	⊕N OH OH OH	1:1:2	$938.19\pm3.49$	$2.88\pm0.17$
DES-TGN	Betaine, propylene glycol, glycerin, citric acid	HO OH OH OH OH	1:1:3:1	$60.28\pm2.48$	$2.95\pm1.21$
DES-TG	Betaine, glycerin, propylene glycol	⊕N OH OH HO OH	3:1:0.5	$10.85\pm1.24$	$6.28\pm0.32$
DES-TGP	Betaine, propylene glycol, glycerin, malic acid, glycerin	но	1:1:2:1	$9.93\pm0.18$	$2.94 \pm 0.19$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> INCI (International Nomenclature of Cosmetic Ingredients), indicates the composition of DES.

combined with DES yields more effective extraction of organic molecules from kapok. As far as we know, application of PEF-DES extraction technique on Chinese herbal medicine has not been reported in preliminary publications. This study found that PEF-DES extraction technique has the advantages of extremely high extraction efficiency, less time-consuming, increased stability and environmental protection, and is currently the most potential extraction technique for plant active components the extraction and separation techniques are not only used in the field of cosmetics, but also in the field of food and medicine and chemical industry.

# 2. Methods and materials

## 2.1 Materials and chemicals

During the period of May to September 2019, the newly dropped kapok was collected in Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China; the kapok was dried in a hot air oven at 45  $^{\circ}$ C for 4–5 days.

Then, the dried plant material was grinded into fine powder and filtered by 80-mesh screen (180 µm). All alcohols with purities >99 wt%, namely propylene glycol, glycerin, were purchased from Adamas Reagent (Shanghai, China). Betaine (purity >98 wt%) was purchased from Maya Reagent (Jiaxing Zhejiang, China). Citric acid and malic acid were obtained from Adamas Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Highvoltage pulsed electric field extractor (South China University of Technology Independent Research and Development) were utilized in the extraction step. Fertilized chicken embryo (White Leghorn chicken) was purchased from Xinxing Dahuanong Egg Co., Ltd (Guangdong, China). Rutin standard were provided by Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). High glucose DMEM medium, trypsin was provided by U.S. Gibico Corporation. Fetal bovine serum was provided by Hangzhou Sijiqing Bioengineering Materials Co., Ltd. All other chemicals and solvents were analytical grade or HPLC grade.

# 2.2 Preparation of the DES

Betaine-based DES samples listed in Table 1 are selected for preparation as betaine itself is a good moisturizing and hydrating raw material widely used in cosmetics. Preparation procedure is carried out according to previous publication<sup>22</sup> with slight modifications as different raw materials were used. An ultrasonic-assisted preparation method was applied for sample preparation. Different components of hydrogen bond acceptor and hydrogen bond donor were mixed in 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks according to the molar ratios shown in Table 1. Afterwards, the Erlenmeyer flasks were put into a SB25-12DTD ultrasonic bath (Ningbo Xinzhi Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Ningbo, China). The ultrasonic input power was changed from 300 W in the literature to 100 W, and the frequency was changed from 40 kHz in the literature to 37 kHz. After 5 hours of sonication, the mixture formed a clear and homogeneous liquid at room temperature. All DES samples were left at ambient temperature for an additional 24 hours after production processes to ensure the formation of a liquid mixture. The conductivity and pH value of DES were measured with a SEVEN EXCELLENCE professional multi-function measuring instrument (No. 589, Guiping Road, Shanghai, China).

## 2.3 Determination of total flavonoid content

The determination method of total flavonoid content was referred to the method proposed of Jia  $et\ al.^{23}$  with modifications. After diluting the kapok flavonoid extract 10 times, 1.4 mL of ethanol (75%, v/v) and 0.4 mL of NaNO<sub>2</sub> (5%, w/v) were added into 1 mL of sample diluent, the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 6 min. Then, 0.4 mL of Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (10%, w/v) was added into this mixture and incubated for 6 min at room temperature. Finally, after adding 4 mL of NaOH (4%, w/v) and 2.8 mL of ethanol (75%, v/v) into this mixture, the absorbance was determined at 510 nm. The standard curve was prepared using various rutin concentrations as the standard. The kapok flavonoid content was calculated with the following equation:

Total flavonoid yield (%) = 
$$\frac{C \times V \times F}{M \times 1000} \times 100\%$$

where the C was Concentration of flavonoids in kapok extract diluent, V was the total volume of extraction solution, F was the Dilution times and M was the quality of the kapok.

## 2.4 Screening of DES

Powders (1.0 g) of kapok and 10 mL aqueous solutions of the deep eutectic solvents (30%) were placed in Erlenmeyer flask, and then extracted with high-voltage pulsed electric field extractor. Then, centrifugation was performed at 4500 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was separated and determination of the extraction rate of TG-KF with aluminum nitrate color method.

# 2.5 Optimization of PEF-DES by single factor tests and orthogonal experiment

The preparation process of TG-KF and the principle of PEF are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. The single factor experiments were performed in several combinations, such as using different DES concentration (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%), solid-liquid ratios (1:10, 1:20, 1:30, 1:40, and 1:50), electric field strength (0.14, 0.27, 0.41, 0.55 and 0.68 kV cm $^{-1}$ ) and pulse numbers (20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 times) in the high-voltage pulsed electric field extractor. A Box–Behnken design was applied for orthogonal experiment with Design-Expert 8.0.6 Trail software. Based on the above single factor experimental results, the chosen factor boundaries of DES concentration (A), liquid–solid ratio (B), electric field strength (C) and pulse numbers (D) were listed in Table 2. The experiments were performed in random order to avoid systematic error. <sup>24</sup>

### 2.6 Stability of TG-KF

2.6.1 Stability observations of TG-KF. TG-KF was observed at  $2\,^{\circ}$ C,  $25\,^{\circ}$ C,  $45\,^{\circ}$ C,  $-18\,^{\circ}$ C,  $18-45\,^{\circ}$ C and light conditions for 7 days. The kapok flavone extracts were tested for color change and odor development on days 7, 14, 30, 60, 90 and 180.

2.6.2 Multiple light scattering experiment. The TG-KF was loaded into the sample cell, the injection height was 30–40 mm, the scanning step of the backscattered light, the transmitted light detector and the near-infrared light source (wavelength of 880 nm) was 40  $\mu$ m, and the measurement time was 40  $\mu$ m. 1 time/1 h, a total of 24 h; the stability of TG-KF was analyzed by the change of the scanning pattern of the sample.<sup>25</sup>

## 2.7 Safety of TG-KF

**2.7.1 Patch test.** Thirty-three volunteers were selected, and their age composition and gender were randomly determined, and they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the 2015 cream hygiene code; the curved side skin of the forearm was

Table 2 ANOVA of the fitted polynomial quadratic model<sup>a</sup>

Level	Factors								
	DES-TG concentration (%)	Liquid–solid ratio	Electric field strength (KV cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Pulse numbers (times)					
1	30%	1:30	0.41	60					
2	40%	1:40	0.55	80					
3	50%	1:50	0.68	100					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Source: ANOVA using Design-Expert 8.0.6.

**Table 3**  $L_9(3^4)$  orthogonal experiment results and range analysis<sup>a</sup>

Serial number	A (%)	$B\left(\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{v}\right)$	$C  ext{ (KV cm}^{-1})$	D (times)	Flavonoid yield (%)
1	30	1:30	0.41	60	$6.94 \pm 0.45$
1	40	1:40	0.41	80	$7.76\pm0.12$
1	50	1:50	0.41	100	$10.73\pm1.03$
2	30	1:40	0.55	100	$10.38\pm0.72$
2	40	1:50	0.55	60	$12.72\pm0.39$
2	50	1:30	0.55	80	$7.87 \pm 1.09$
3	30	1:50	0.68	80	$14.38 \pm 0.27$
3	40	1:30	0.68	100	$7.78 \pm 0.68$
3	50	1:40	0.68	60	$11.77\pm0.18$
$K_1$	8.477	10.567	7.530	10.477	
$K_2$	10.323	9.420	9.970	10.003	
$K_3$	11.310	10.123	12.610	9.630	
R	2.833	1.147	5.080	0.847	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> DES concentration (A), liquid-solid ratio (B), electric field strength (C) and pulse numbers (D).

selected as the test site, and the skin was divided into two parts, one was a blank control, and the other was a smear containing 10% kapok flavonoid cream; then the spot tester tape with spot test substance from the bottom was stuck up firmly; the patch test time is 48 h; after patching for 48 h, the patch tester was removed; after 30 minutes, then the test results were recorded. For detailed operation steps, refer to the patch test diagnostic kit instructions. Result judgments see Table 6.

**2.7.2 HET-CAM test for TG-KF.** When the chick embryos were hatched to 9 days of age, the eggs were checked. Dental serrated curved forceps were used to peel off the eggshell part of the air chamber to expose the white egg membrane. Care should be taken not to damage the integrity of the egg membrane. 2 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (NaCl) solution was added dropwise with a pipette to wet the egg membrane. 0.9% sodium chloride solution was used as the negative control, 0.1 mol  $\rm L^{-1}$  sodium hydroxide was used as a positive control, and TG-KF was diluted to 20%, 15%, 10%, and 5% for experiments, and 0.3 mL was added to each sample. The observations were recorded accordingly.

For the test using the reaction time method, the stimulus score (IS) was calculated using the formula (1), and the result was kept to two decimal places:

$$IS = \frac{(301 - \sec H) \times 5}{300} + \frac{(301 - \sec L) \times 7}{300} + \frac{(301 - \sec C) \times 9}{300}...$$
(1)

where secH (hemorrhage time)—the average time to observe the onset of bleeding on the CAM membrane, in seconds (s). secL (vessel lysis time)—the average time to observe the onset of vascular ablation on the CAM membrane, in seconds (s). secC (coagulation time)—the average time to observe the onset of coagulation on the CAM membrane, in seconds (s).

According to the calculated IS value, the eye irritation of the test object is classified according to Table 8.

### 2.8 DPPH assay

Free radical scavenging experiment was performed using DPPH assay. The DPPH radical scavenging capacity of each extract was

determined according to the method of Dudonn.  $^{26,27}$  DPPH radical has an absorption maximum at 515 nm, which disappears with reduction by an anti-oxidative compound. The DPPH' solution in methanol (6  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> M) was prepared daily, and 3 mL of this solution was mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of methanolic solutions of plant extracts. The samples were incubated for 20 min at 37 °C in a water bath, and then the decrease in absorbance at 515 nm was measured ( $A_{\rm E}$ ). A blank sample containing 100  $\mu$ L of methanol in the DPPH' solution was prepared daily, and its absorbance was measured ( $A_{\rm B}$ ). The experiment was carried out in triplicate. Radical scavenging activity was calculated using the following formula:

Inhibition(%) = 
$$[(A_B - A_E)/A_B] \times 100$$

where:

 $A_{\rm B} =$  absorbance of the blank sample, and  $A_{\rm E} =$  absorbance of the plant extract.

## 2.9 Statistics analysis


All data are represented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. To compare differences between groups, one-way ANOVA was used to compare the three groups of physiological parameters.

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1 Single factor experiment


Using DES as the reagent, the flavonoids in kapok were extracted by the PEF. Furthermore, the potential parameters that affect the extraction efficiency, including DES type, DES concentration, solid-liquid ratio, electric field strength and PEF number of pulses, were evaluated in detail.

**3.1.1 Effect of DES types.** The structure of DES has significant influence on extraction of flavonoids from natural products, <sup>28</sup> which might affect the extraction yields of flavonoids. In addition, it was reported that the factors influencing the extraction ability are very complicated, such as hydrogen bonding effect, electrostatic effect, and van der Waals force. <sup>29,30</sup>



0.14 0.27 0.41 0.55 0.68

Electric field strength(kV/cm)



1:10 1:20 1:30 1:40 1:50

Soild-Liquid ration(g/mL)

Fig. 1 Effects of extraction parameters on kapok flavonoid yield. (a) DES types; (b) DES concentration; (c) solid–liquid ration; (d) microwave power; (e) extraction time. The bars show the mean values from three replicates with the respective standard deviations. Different letters on the tops of the bars denote significant differences (p < 0.05).

Five DES solutions were chosen in current study to demonstrate how structures of DES affect extraction yields. To avoid the addition of organic solvents, water was used to dilute the DES. As shown in Fig. 1a, the extraction yield of flavonoids from DES-TG is significantly higher than other 4 DES water solutions (specific value). As shown in Table 1, there is higher content of phenolic hydroxyl groups, and no citric acid or malic acid, the pH value of DES-TG is 6.28  $\pm$  0.32. Meanwhile, the pH values of other 4 DES are all around 2.9. Since kapok flavonoids are relatively stable and have the best solubility at pH = 6-8 (see Fig. 2), and lower under strong acid and strong alkali conditions, appropriate component and pH value of DES-TG may contribute to increase of flavonoids stability and solubility, which resulted in the higher extraction yield. Based on the above experimental results, DES-TG was selected as the further single factor study.

3.1.2 Effect of DES-TG concentration. DES-TG concentration can be considered as the primary factor for extracting active ingredients of natural products.31 The effects of different DES-TG concentrations (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%) on total flavonoids amount of the extracts were compared, and the results were shown in Fig. 1b. As DES-TG concentrations increased from 10% to 20%, the yield of flavonoids changed from 5.5% to 9.4%. However, when DES-TG concentration was at 40-50%, the product yield had no obvious change. As previous section mentioned, multiple intermolecular forces including dipole-dipole interactions, hydrogen bonding and pi-cation interactions are present between flavonoids and DES-TG. At lower concentrations, increasing the ratio of DES-TG can enhance intermolecular interactions between flavonoids and DES-TG so that the extraction efficacy can be improved. Whereas as the concentration of DES-TG reaches to a certain level, the intermolecular interactions between flavonoids and DES-TG will be saturated, which indicates that having more DES-TG in the solution will not improve the extraction rate.

**3.1.3 Effect of solid-liquid ratio.** The ratio of raw plant material to solvent can be a key determinant of extraction efficiency. Specifically, higher solvent volumes generally result in better extraction efficiency, but excessively large volumes can end up with complex extractions and substantial unnecessary waste. We observed significant increases in flavonoids extraction rates when the solid-liquid ratios were in the range from 1:10 to 1:30 (see Fig. 1c), potentially suggesting that at ratios below 1:40, the viscosity of the extract was too high, and thereby preventing efficient flavonoids extraction. Decreasing the solid-liquid ratio can improve flavonoids extraction such that peak extraction was achieved at a ratio of 1:40. As such, subsequent single factor experiments were conducted using this 1:40 solid-liquid ratio.

3.1.4 Effect of PEF electric field strength. The mechanism of the high-voltage pulse extraction technique is to use the principle of cell membrane electroporation to instantly break the cell wall under an external high-voltage pulse electric field, causing confusion in the cell membrane potential, and reversible or irreversible damage to the cell wall and cell membrane, thereby leading to outflow of intracellular components.<sup>32</sup> With the increase of the applied electric field intensity, the yield of TG-KF increases (see Fig. 1d), but when the electric field intensity is greater than 0.55 kV cm<sup>-1</sup>, the yield of TG-KF begins to decrease, which may be that part of the flavonoids are destroyed due to strong electric field. Therefore, it is determined that the best pulsed electric field intensity is 0.55 kV cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**3.1.5 Effect of number of pulses.** The extraction of active ingredients from natural products requires a long time and prolonged extraction time produces a lot of impurities. Hence, it is necessary to determine the appropriate number of pulses, since the number of pulses determines the overall time of extraction. In this study, the effect of different number of pulses (20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 times) on the extraction rates of TG-KF is investigated. As illustrated in Fig. 1e, when the number of pulses is in the range of 20–80 times, the extraction rate of the

Fig. 2 Structure of flavonoids.

flavonoids gradually increases as number of pulses rises, and the extraction rate of flavonoids reaches a maximum value at 80 times. However, the extraction rate shows a downward trend at 80–100 times. Our interpretation on such phenomena is that shorter extraction time will lead to incomplete extraction of the target product in the range of 20–80 times and, as the pulse number exceeds 80 times, the excess number of pulses will degrades the flavonoids and increase the energy consumption and cause wastage.<sup>33</sup> Thus, 80 times of number of pulses are selected for further optimization.

**Table 4** Analysis of variance of the four studied microwave-assisted extraction parameters<sup>ab</sup>

Source	Sum of squares	Degree of freedom	F-ratio	F0.05	Significance
A	12.411	2	0.915	4.460	
В	2.006	2	0.148	4.460	
C	38.730	2	2.857	4.460	
D	1.080	2	0.080	4.460	
Error	54.23	8			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> DES-TG concentration (A), liquid-solid ratio (B), electric field strength (C) and pulse numbers (D). <sup>b</sup> Source: ANOVA using Design-Expert 8.0.6.

Table 5 Stability of TG-KF under different conditions<sup>a</sup>

		Time (d)						
Concentration	Conditions	0	7	14	30	60	90	180
Kapok flavonoid extract	2 °C	Brownish yellow, transparent and clear	_	_	_	_	_	
1	25 °C	, ,	_	_	_	_	_	_
	45 °C		_	_	_	_	_	_
	−18 °C		_	_	_	_	_	_
	Illumination		_		_	_	_	_
	$-18\ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ to 45 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ 7 days alternate		_	_	_	_	_	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Note: "—" means that TG-KF has no precipitation, turbidity, discoloration, etc.




Fig. 3 Extraction process of TG-KF. Dried kapok were pulverized and mixed with 50% DES-TG in a ratio of 1:30, the mixture was extracted by using PEF equipment according to the instrument manual, the extracts were centrifuged and the supernatant were collected as TG-KF.

# 3.2 Results of orthogonal test

The experimental data obtained from orthogonal design are shown in Table 3.  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  was the average total flavonoid yield under the various investigated conditions, and the maximum value was the optimal value. In addition, according to the largest donating rule, the factor with the largest range value ( $K_{\text{max}}-K_{\text{min}}$ ) has the greatest effect on the extraction of flavonoids. Table 4 shows that the rank order of the four influential factors is  $R_{\text{C}} > R_{\text{A}} > R_{\text{B}} > R_{\text{D}}$ .

To evaluate which factors had the greatest influence on flavonoid extraction yield, variance analyses (ANOVA) were applied to assess the results. The result (Table 4) indicates that DES concentration (factor C) has the greatest impact, and that solid–liquid ratio (factor D) has the least influence. The ANOVA result is in good agreement with data showed in Table 5. The maximal yields are obtained when the DES concentration is 50%; solid to liquid ratio is 1 : 30; the electric field strength is 0.55 kV cm; and the number of pulses is 100 times (A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>, with the letter denoting the factor and number denoting the level). To redefine the optimum conditions, the extraction experiments were performed under this condition (A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>), and the extraction yield of flavonoids reaches 14.38  $\pm$  0.27. Overall, this deduced condition is rationally confirmed to be the best combination of different parameters.

# 3.3 Stability of TG-KF

**3.3.1 Stability observation of TG-KF.** The kapok flavonoid solution extracted by PEF-DES technique has high stability




Fig. 4 Principle of PEF breaking cells. The high-voltage pulsed electric field extraction technology generates a high-intensity electric field through the high potential difference between the electrodes. Under the alternating action of the electric field and the magnetic field, the cell membrane permeability of the treated material increases and the strength weakens, and pores are formed on the membrane. The membrane ruptures, causing the cytoplasm in the cell membrane to flow out to achieve the purpose of increasing the content of certain components in the material. Effective processing time is generally in the mini-seconds level.

under different temperature and light conditions (2  $^{\circ}$ C, 25  $^{\circ}$ C, 45  $^{\circ}$ C, -18  $^{\circ}$ C, illumination and -18  $^{\circ}$ C to 45  $^{\circ}$ C 7 days alternate) (see Table 5). After 6 months of observation, no precipitation or discoloration is found, which may result from the presence of ions. The DES-TG and TG-KF have a similar structure. According to the principle of similar compatibility, the TG-KF and DES form a strong force.

3.3.2 Stability analysis of TG-KF. Referring to the national standard for multiple light scattering measurement methods: GB/T38431-2019 *Tatic Multiple Light Scattering Method for Stability Evaluation of Particle Dispersion Systems* and internal laboratory standards, as shown in Fig. 7, TG-KF meets the absolute stability standard of 45 °C. The stability of TG-KF can be indicated under the conditions, that is, the middle back-scattered light/transmitted light  $\Delta$ BS < 0.2%, and the bottom and top backscattered light/transmitted light back-scattered light/tran

# 3.4 Safety of TG-KF

3.4.1 Patch test. The test parts of the forearm of 33 subjects were observed for 48 hours, and the results are shown in Table7. It can be seen from Table 7 that in the human patch test experiment, there were no adverse phenomena in the 33

Table 7 Summary of human skin patch test results

			Number differen reaction test				
		Observation	_				
Group	Number of participants	time	0	1	2	3	4
Sample	33	0.5 h	33	0	0	0	0
		24 h	33	0	0	0	0
		48 h	33	0	0	0	0
Control	33	0.5 h	33	0	0	0	0
		24 h	33	0	0	0	0
		48 h	33	0	0	0	0

 Table 8
 Stimulus scoring method result evaluation<sup>a</sup>

Stimulus score	Irritant classification
IS < 1	Non-irritating
$1 \leq IS < 5$	Mild irritation
$5 \le IS < 9$	Moderately irritating
$IS \geq 10$	Strong irritant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> IS: irritation score.

Table 6 Skin adverse reaction grading standard

Grade	Response level	Skin reaction
0	Negative reaction	No erythema, edema, blisters, etc.
1	Suspicious reaction	Only weak erythema
2	Weak positive reaction	Erythema, infiltration, edema, and papules
3	Strong positive reaction	Erythema, infiltration, edema, papules, herpes; reaction may exceed the test area
4	Very strong positive reaction	Obvious erythema, severe infiltration, edema, fusion herpes; reaction beyond the test area

Table 9 Results of the HET-CAM assay<sup>a</sup>

Sample	IS score
$0.1~{ m mol~L^{-1}}$ NaOH $0.9\%~{ m NaCl}$	18.97 0
15% TG-KF	2.01
10% TG-KF	0
<sup>a</sup> IS: irritation score.	




Fig. 5 DPPH free radical scavenging rate of TG-KF. *In vitro* antioxidant capability of serial diluted TG-KF was tested by DPPH free radical scavenging assay. Data is expressed as mean SD, n=3.

subjects. Therefore, TG-KF is not irritating to human skin (see Table 7).<sup>35</sup>

3.4.2 HET-CAM test for TG-KF. To simulate the skin irritation of TG-KF as closely as possible, we used the HET-CAM model. The HET-CAM model is a suitable alternative for skin compatibility assays, as an alternative to animal experiments, and as an additional necessary experiment to analyze the toxicity of our formulations. After injection of TG-KF solution the membrane, the irritation score of the formulation was calculated. IS includes three effects that can be observed after application of the test substance on the CAM: hyperemia, hemorrhage, and coagulation. For 10% TG-KF, there was no measurable stimulation potential during incubation on CAM. The observed parameters indicated that no disintegration of blood vessels, hemorrhage and clot formation were detected 5 minutes after administration. For 15% TG-KF, mild irritation was measurable during incubation on CAM with the IS of 2.01 (Fig. 7 and Table 9).

# 3.5 DPPH radical scavenging capacity

Radical scavenging capacities of TG-KF were determined using DPPH assays. Results are shown in Fig. 5. The radical scavenging rate was impacted by the concentration of antioxidants that was directly correlated to the extraction effectivity of solvents. The scavenging rate of DPPH and half inhibitory concentration of DPPH free radicals (IC $_{50}$ ) were used to evaluate the extraction effect of TG-KF. Overall, the clearance of DPPH was positively correlated to the concentration of TG-KF. TG-KF has very good antioxidant capacity and its IC $_{50}$  is 1.24%.




Fig. 6 Transmitted light/backscattered light reference spectrum of TG-KF. The stability of TG-KF was analyzed by multiple light scattering instrument according to the national standard methods (GB/T38431-2019). The multiple light scattering instrument uses near-infrared light as the light source, and has a transmitted light detector and a backscattered light detector.  $\Delta T$ : change value of transmitted light;  $\Delta$ BS: change value of backscattered light.




Fig. 7 Results of the HET-CAM assay. The micrographs show the chorioallantoic membrane before and 5 minutes after treatment with 0.1 mol  $\rm L^{-1}$  NaOH (positive control), 0.9% NaCl (negative control) and TG-KF (10% and 15%).

alternative for the green extraction of flavonoids in plant materials, particularly for those used in cosmetic applications. In addition, TG-KF does not require additional product separation steps, and the resulting aqueous solution containing DES extract can be safely applied in cosmetics and other products.

# **Funding**

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Marubi Joint Research Project Fund (YB202109131043), and Guangzhou Science and Technology Plan Project Fund (202103000055).

# **Author contributions**

Rui-jing Wei: responsible for the design, experiment, and draft of the manuscript; Lu Hu: analysis summary and discussion; Lihua Wang & Peng Yan: assisted testing; Ning Wang & Tao Lin: assist with manuscript revision; Huai-qing Sun & Bi-sheng Zheng: supervision; Chao-wan Guo: organized, supervised, and guided the whole work.

# Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could appear to influence the work reported in this paper.

# **Abbreviations**

PEF High-voltage pulse
DES Deep eutectic solvents

PEF-DES High-voltage pulse-assisted deep eutectic solvent TG-KF Kapok flavonoid solution extracted with DES-TG

ANOVA Analysis of variance

HET-CAM Chicken embryo allantoic chorionic test

IS Irritation score

# 4. Conclusions

At present, the commonly used extraction methods of flavonoids include organic solvent extraction, ultrasonic extraction, ionic liquid extraction and so on. However, these extraction techniques have some disadvantages (Supplementary Table 1†), such as: the disadvantages of organic solvent extraction are high cost and not environmentally friendly, the disadvantage of ultrasonic extraction is that industrial production cannot be carried out, and the disadvantage of ionic liquid extraction is that it is difficult to purify. To improve the extraction efficiency, this paper uses the PEF-DES extraction technology to examine this factor and the factors that influence the PEF-DES extraction technique were examined and optimized for the extraction of flavonoids in kapok. Validation experiments confirm that the PEF-DES extraction method developed from this study is reliable and efficient for the extraction of flavonoid from kapok. Accordingly, the method based on DES with PEF provides a possible

# Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Nan JIA from for advisements and English editing of this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the technical support provided by Shenzhen Xuanjia Biotechnology Co., Ltd. in the preparation of DES.

# References

- 1 B. Nageen, I. Sarfraz, A. Rasul, et al., J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2020, 22, 1–16.
- 2 J. H. Xu, Z. Q. Huang, L. C. Chun, et al., J. Fujian Med. Univ., 1993, 27, 110–112.
- 3 R. Chomchan, P. Puttarak, A. Brantner, et al., Antioxidants, 2018, 7, 57.
- 4 C. Montenegro, G. Gonçalves, A. Oliveira Filho, *et al.*, *Molecules*, 2017, 22, 869–887.

5 G. K. Wang, B. B. Lin, R. Rao, et al., Nat. Prod. Res., 2013, 27, 1348–1352.

- 6 W. Poomanee, W. Chaiyana, M. Mueller, et al., Anaerobe, 2018, 52, 64–74.
- 7 L. Xusen, X. Guangkai, W. Guokai, et al., Chin. Wild Plant Resour., 2015, 34, 42–48.
- 8 W. Xu, C. Kedan, L. Huang, et al., Molecules, 2012, 17, 14323–14335.
- 9 Q. J. Lin, Study on the flavonoids extraction process and biological activity of Kapok Flower, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, 2015.
- 10 X. Li and K. H. Row, J. Sep. Sci., 2016, 06, 1-46.
- 11 L. Wang, X. Fang, Y. Hu, et al., RSC Adv., 2021, 5, 17924–17935.
- 12 B. K. Tang, H. Zhang and K. H. Row, J. Sep. Sci., 2015, 38, 1053-1064.
- 13 A. R. Mansura, N. Song, H. W. Jang, et al., Food Chem., 2019, 293, 438–445.
- 14 Y. Dai, J. van spronsen, G. witkamp, et al., Anal. Chim. Acta, 2013, 766, 61–68.
- 15 Y. Dai, G. Witkamp, R. Verpoorte, et al., Food Chem., 2015, 123, 1–28.
- 16 M. I. Leong, M. R. Fuh and S. D. Huang, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2014, 1335, 2–14.
- 17 A. Spietelun, A. Marcinkowski, M. de la Guardia, et al., Talanta, 2014, 119, 34–45.
- 18 Y. Chen, Y. Ao and W. Ding, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 38669–38677.
- 19 Y. Li, Z. Pan, B. Wang, et al., New J. Chem., 2020, 08, 1-8.
- 20 S. C. Cunha and A. J. Fernandes, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **001**, 1–39.

- 21 O. Parniakov, F. J. Barba, N. Grimi, et al., Food Chem., 2016, 192, 842–848.
- 22 Y. Hsieh, Y. Li, Z. Pan, et al., Ultrason. Sonochem., 2019, 10, 110–112.
- 23 F. J. Barba, C. M. Galanakis, M. J. Esteve, *et al.*, *J. Food Eng.*, 2015, **001**, 1–7.
- 24 C. D. Jian, S. Qing, X. B. Quan, et al., Biomed. Environ. Sci., 2011, 24, 688-693.
- 25 M. Ramezani, G. Ferrentino, K. Morozova, *et al.*, *Foods*, 2021, **10**, 1–11.
- 26 S. Dudonn, X. Vitrac, P. Couti, et al., J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57, 1768–1774.
- 27 Z. Liu, L. Kong, S. Lu, et al., J. Anal. Methods Chem., 2019, 48, 1–13.
- 28 A. P. Abbott, G. Capper, D. L. Davies, et al., Chem. Commun., 2001, **b2**, 2010–2011.
- 29 M. A. F. Cláudio, L. Swift, et al., Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 1-8.
- 30 D. E. Yoo, K. M. Jeong, S. Y. Han, et al., Food Chem., 2018, **02**, 1–43.
- 31 H. Ullah, C. D. Wilfred and M. S. Shaharun, *J. King Saud. Univ.*, *Sci.*, 2017, **014**, 1–10.
- 32 L. Wang, M. S. Wang, X. Zeng, et al., J. Agric. Food Chem., 2016, 32, 1–38.
- 33 X. Liu, Y. Niu, J. Liu, et al., Molecules, 2019, 2942, 1-13.
- 34 C. Guo, W. Jin, H. Yong, et al., Pharmacogn. Mag., 2013, 09, 192–195.
- 35 C. I. Wootton, M. Soukavong, S. Kidoikhammouan, et al., PLoS One, 2020, 15, 1–6.