

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Harada M, Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Oka J, Kobayashi R (2021) Association between personality traits and food stockpiling for disaster. PLoS ONE 16(12): e0259253. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0259253

Editor: Sergio A. Useche, Universitat de Valencia, SPAIN

Received: March 5, 2021

Accepted: October 15, 2021

Published: December 29, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Harada et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data underlying the study cannot be made publicly available due to ethical concerns regarding participant privacy, as imposed by the research ethics committee of the Graduate school of Tokyo Kasei University. However, data are available from the research ethics committee upon reasonable request. The institutional point of contact for this study is the research ethics committee of the Graduate school of Tokyo Kasei University (Email address: kenkyurinri-in@tokyo-kasei.ac.jp). **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Association between personality traits and food stockpiling for disaster

Moeka Harada^{1,2}, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka²*, Jun Oka³, Rie Kobayashi¹

 Department of Food and Nutrition, Faculty of Home Economics, Tokyo Kasei University, Tokyo, Japan,
 Section of Global Disaster Nutrition, International Center for Nutrition and Information, National Institute of Health and Nutrition, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Tokyo, Japan,
 Department of Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Tokyo Kasei University, Saitama, Japan

* ntsubo@nibiohn.go.jp

Abstract

This study investigated the association between personality traits and food stockpiling for disasters in predicted high-risk areas of food shortages due to the Nankai Trough Earthquake. This survey was conducted between December 18 and 20, 2019, using a webbased questionnaire. The participants were 1,200 individuals registered with an online survey company. This study analyzed the association between the Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling status (n = 1192). The Big Five personality traits assess five basic dimensions of personality (i.e., extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, and openness). To measure theses personality traits, we used the Japanese version of the Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI-J). The Mann-Whitney test and a multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that none of the Big Five personality traits were significantly associated with having or not having stockpile food. However, interestingly, considering the stages of behavior change regarding stockpiling, high extraversion was significantly positively related to initiating stockpiling. Moreover, high neuroticism was significantly positively related to interrupted stockpiling. Therefore, it is crucial to focus on personality traits (especially low extraversion and high neuroticism) to promote food stockpiling for disasters.

Introduction

Owing to pandemics such as the coronavirus disease (COVID-19), the food supply chain has buckled [1]. Consequently, people's perceptions of there being a "food shortage" resulted in panic buying [2]. Such panic buying can occur not only during a pandemic but also after natural disasters. Natural disasters disrupt the food supply chain, and people in affected areas face food a scarcity [3], which can further trigger panic buying behavior.

If individuals do not have stockpiles, food assistance from outside the disaster affected area will be required. Consequently, food shortages can be expected even outside the disaster affected areas. In some cases, despite there being enough food available outside the disaster area, it is difficult to deliver to the disaster areas. Post the Great East Japan Earthquake (2011), the Japanese government could not deliver a sufficient amount of food to the affected areas for Funding: The research was funded by a maintenance priority grant from the Graduate School of Tokyo Kasei University and JSPS KAKENHI (https://www.jsps.go.jp/english/index.html) Grant Number 18K02228 and 15K00868.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

approximately five days after the disaster [4]. Therefore, if each individual stockpile food in advance, this will help not only the disaster area but also the society outside the disaster area.

Previous studies have reported that stockpiling behaviors, such as panic buying, are associated with personality traits. People with high extraversion and neuroticism are more likely to engage intake panic buying [5]. Personality traits, including extraversion and neuroticism, are categorized as among the Big Five personality traits, a five-factor model that guides various behaviors. The Big Five personality traits comprise extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, and openness [6]. It is believed that personality traits might be related to food stockpiling behavior, similar to panic buying behavior. However, the relationship between personality traits and food stockpiling for disasters remains unknown.

Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the association between personality traits and food stockpiling for disaster in the areas with a high-risk of food shortage due to the Nankai Trough Earthquake. Additionally, whether personality traits affect individuals' behavior to start and interrupt stockpiling of food for disasters was investigated.

Methods

Design and data collection

Data for this study was obtained through online questionnaire administered to 1,200 Japanese individuals aged \geq 20 years, registered with an online survey company (Rakuten Insight, Inc.; a total of 2.2 million registrants). The company ceased recruitment when the total number of participants exceeded the target of 1,200 individuals. The survey was conducted between December 18 and 20, 2019. The company compensated the participants through financial incentives (Rakuten Points).

The study population was recruited from the top five prefectures in Japan that estimated food shortages after the Nankai Trough Earthquake, calculated from the estimated number of evacuees [7] by the Japanese government based on each prefecture's population [8]. The five prefectures were Kochi, Tokushima, Wakayama, Ehime, and Mie. The target participants were individuals who prepared meals for the family as they were the ones who would presumably also stock food for disaster for the family.

Questionnaire

A questionnaire comprising 35 items and was self-administered using computers or smartphones. The questionnaire had three parts, as follows:

Part 1: Sociodemographic information of individuals. This part included questions regarding participants' sex, age, employment status, educational background, disaster experience, and community activities (participating or not participating). Additionally, it also included participants' household income, family composition, and vulnerable people in the family (presence or none).

Part 2: Personality traits (Exposure). We used the Japanese version of the Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI-J) to measure personality traits [9]. The TIPI-J is a measure of the Big Five personality dimensions: extraversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism, and openness [10]. This measure consists of a total of 10 items, two items for each of the Big Five personality traits. Therefore, this measure is easy to answer and is used even in questionnaires with a large number of questions [10, 11]. Tests for validation and reliability of the TIPI-J have been established in Japan [9]. Additionally, it can be used in volunteer panel web surveys [12]. TIPI-J items are rated from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).

Part 3: Stage of food stockpiling for disaster (Outcome measures). To assess the stage of food stockpiling for disasters, we used the Transtheoretical Model (TTM), which is a model

derived from smoking cessation studies [13–15]. TTM is now adapted to the behaviors of eating, exercising, etc. after elucidating its validity [16, 17]. The six items are as follows: 1) Precontemplation: not interested in stockpiling of food for disaster, 2) Contemplation: intending to stockpile food for disaster in the next 6 months, 3) Preparation: ready to stockpile food for disaster in the next month, 4) Action: have stockpiled food for disaster but have not replaced it, 5) Maintenance: have stockpiled food for disaster and have replaced it more than once, 6) Interrupted: used to have stockpile before but not now. We combined the stages of "pre-contemplation," "contemplation," and "preparation" as "never stockpiled." The "action" stage was classified as "start stockpiling" and "maintenance" as "continuous stockpiling" (Fig 1).

Ethics

The study was conducted as per the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was granted by the Research Ethics Committee of the Graduate School of Tokyo Kasei University (approval number: R2-3) and the Institutional Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Health and Nutrition (approval number: KENEI127). All participants of this study were registered on an online survey company and provided informed consent prior to participation.

Statistical analysis

The Mann-Whitney test was performed to compare the Big Five personality scores from TIPI-J. Additionally, logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association between the status of food stockpiling for disaster and personality traits.

First, a logistic regression analysis was conducted using the status of stockpiling disaster food as the dependent variable (reference category: not stockpiled) and the Big Five personality traits as the independent variables. Results are presented as crude or adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Initially the variables were evaluated using univariable analysis, followed by a multivariable analysis (forced entry method) to adjust for some factors. The adjusted factors, among the participants' characteristics, were factors associated with food stockpiling in a previous study [18]; including sex (male or female), age (20–34, 35– 59 and \geq 60 years), educational background (below undergraduate, or above college degree), and community activities (participating or not participating). In total, 1192 responses were recorded into the logistic regression model, after excluding responses related to sex and educational background as "others" (n = 3 and n = 8, respectively).

Second, a sub-analysis on the Big Five personality affecting food stockpiling ("start" or "interrupted") behavior was conducted. Initially, "start stockpiling" was selected as the dependent variable (reference category: "never stockpiled"). Thereafter, "interrupted stockpiled" was selected (reference category: "continuous stockpiling"). The independent variable was the Big Five personality traits. The adjusted factors were the factors associated with food stockpiling, similar to those in the first logistic regression analysis.

P-values were two-sided, with p < 0.05, considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.

Results

In total, 1,200 participants completed the survey, excluding those whose response time was extremely short or who provided an inconsistent answer. Additionally, we excluded participants whose responses to sex and educational background are "others" (n = 3 and n = 8, respectively).

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1 (n = 1192). A higher number of females than males responded (69.0% vs. 31.0%).



https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.g001

Association between the Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling

<u>Table 2</u> shows the Big Five personality scores based on the status of food stockpiling. No significant difference was found in any Big Five personality scores between the non-stockpiling and stockpiling groups.

As shown in <u>Table 3</u>, logistic regression analysis reported that none of the Big Five personality traits were significantly associated with food stockpiling.

Association between the Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling stage ("start" or "interrupted")

Table 4 shows the Big Five personality scores from TIPI-J by the food stockpiling stage. The extraversion score for the "start stockpiling" group was significantly higher than that for the "never stockpiled" group (4.01 ± 1.22 vs. 3.80 ± 1.25 , respectively, p < 0.05). The neuroticism score for the "interrupted stockpiled" group was significantly higher than that for the "continuous stockpiling" group (4.32 ± 1.07 vs. 4.05 ± 1.19, respectively, p < 0.01).

As shown in Table 5, individuals with a high extraversion score were 1.17 times more likely to start stockpiling food (adjusted OR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.02–1.34, *p* for trend < 0.05). The other personality score and "start stockpiling" (reference category: "never stockpiled") were not significantly associated.

Table 6 shows that individuals with a high neuroticism score were 1.20 times more likely to have interrupted stockpiling of food (adjusted OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.01–1.43, *p* for trend < 0.05). The other personality score was not significantly associated with "interrupted stockpiled" (reference category: "continuous stockpiling").

Discussion

This study investigated the association between personality traits and food stockpiling for disasters at home using an online survey. The results showed that the Big Five personality traits were not significantly associated with having or not having stockpile food. However, a detailed analysis of the stockpiling behavior stage based on TTM showed that high extra-version was significantly positively associated with starting to stockpile. Moreover, high neuroticism was significantly positively associated with the interrupted stockpiling of food.

Association between the Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling

An unexpected result was that no personality trait was significantly associated with stockpiling or not stockpiling of food. Previous studies have compared groups with no stockpiles to groups that have stockpiles [19–23]. However, it is considered that groups with no stockpile include two behavior stages: those who have never stockpiled and those who have been interrupted

Table 1. Participants' characteristics distinguished by the status of stockpiling disaster food.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } & Not stockpiling & Stockpiling \\ \hline (n = 1192) & (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline (n = 710) & n(\%) & n(\%) & n(\%) \\ \hline (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline (n = 710) & (n = 482) \\ \hline Sex & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Total	The status of food stockpiling		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & \end{tabuar} & \end{tabuar} & \end{tabular} & \end{tabuar} & tabular$		(n = 1192)	Not stockpiling	Stockpiling	
n (%)n (%)n (%)Sex $Male$ 369 (31.0)249 (35.1)120 (24.9) $Male$ 369 (31.0)249 (35.1)120 (24.9)Female823 (69.0)461 (64.9)362 (75.1)Age $20-34$ years346 (29.0)225 (31.7)121 (25.1) $35-59$ years607 (50.9)369 (52.0)238 (49.4) ≥ 60 years239 (20.1)116 (16.3)123 (25.5)Employment status 100 406 (34.1)220 (31.0)186 (38.6) Mot employed406 (59.9)490 (69.0)296 (61.4)			(n = 710)	(n = 482)	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } Sex & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline Male & 369 (31.0) & 249 (35.1) & 120 (24.9) \\ \hline Female & 823 (69.0) & 461 (64.9) & 362 (75.1) \\ \hline Age & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Sex				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline Female & 823 (69.0) & 461 (64.9) & 362 (75.1) \\ \hline Age & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Age & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Male	369 (31.0)	249 (35.1)	120 (24.9)	
Age 20-34 years 346 (29.0) 225 (31.7) 121 (25.1) $35-59$ years $607 (50.9)$ $369 (52.0)$ $238 (49.4)$ ≥ 60 years $239 (20.1)$ $116 (16.3)$ $123 (25.5)$ Employment status $406 (34.1)$ $220 (31.0)$ $186 (38.6)$ Image: Mark the status Image: Mark the status $786 (65.9)$ $490 (69.0)$ $296 (61.4)$	Female	823 (69.0)	461 (64.9)	362 (75.1)	
	Age				
35-59 years 607 (50.9) 369 (52.0) 238 (49.4) ≥60 years 239 (20.1) 116 (16.3) 123 (25.5) Employment status Imployed 406 (34.1) 220 (31.0) 186 (38.6) Employed 786 (65.9) 490 (69.0) 296 (61.4)	20-34 years	346 (29.0)	225 (31.7)	121 (25.1)	
≥60 years 239 (20.1) 116 (16.3) 123 (25.5) Employment status <td>35–59 years</td> <td>607 (50.9)</td> <td>369 (52.0)</td> <td>238 (49.4)</td>	35–59 years	607 (50.9)	369 (52.0)	238 (49.4)	
Employment status Image: Mode and Complexity Mode and Complexity<	\geq 60 years	239 (20.1)	116 (16.3)	123 (25.5)	
Not employed 406 (34.1) 220 (31.0) 186 (38.6) Employed 786 (65.9) 490 (69.0) 296 (61.4)	Employment status				
Employed 786 (65.9) 490 (69.0) 296 (61.4)	Not employed	406 (34.1)	220 (31.0)	186 (38.6)	
	Employed	786 (65.9)	490 (69.0)	296 (61.4)	
Educational background	Educational background				
Below undergraduate 741 (62.2) 454 (63.9) 287 (59.5)	Below undergraduate	741 (62.2)	454 (63.9)	287 (59.5)	
Above college degrees 451 (37.8) 256 (36.1) 195 (40.5)	Above college degrees	451 (37.8)	256 (36.1)	195 (40.5)	
Disaster experience	Disaster experience				
None 979 (82.1) 590 (83.1) 389 (80.7)	None	979 (82.1)	590 (83.1)	389 (80.7)	
Have experience 213 (17.9) 120 (16.9) 93 (19.3)	Have experience	213 (17.9)	120 (16.9)	93 (19.3)	
Community activities	Community activities				
Not participant 818 (68.6) 544 (76.6) 274 (56.8)	Not participant	818 (68.6)	544 (76.6)	274 (56.8)	
Participating 374 (31.4) 166 (23.4) 208 (43.2)	Participating	374 (31.4)	166 (23.4)	208 (43.2)	
Prefecture	Prefecture				
Mie pref. 364 (30.5) 216 (30.4) 148 (30.7)	Mie pref.	364 (30.5)	216 (30.4)	148 (30.7)	
Wakayama pref. 219 (18.4) 126 (17.7) 93 (19.3)	Wakayama pref.	219 (18.4)	126 (17.7)	93 (19.3)	
Tokushima pref. 164 (13.8) 93 (13.1) 71 (14.7)	Tokushima pref.	164 (13.8)	93 (13.1)	71 (14.7)	
Ehime pref. 331 (27.8) 205 (28.9) 126 (26.1)	Ehime pref.	331 (27.8)	205 (28.9)	126 (26.1)	
Kochi pref. 114 (9.6) 70 (9.9) 44 (9.1)	Kochi pref.	114 (9.6)	70 (9.9)	44 (9.1)	
Household income	Household income				
<6 million yen 714 (59.9) 446 (62.8) 268 (55.6)	<6 million yen	714 (59.9)	446 (62.8)	268 (55.6)	
≥6 million yen 284 (23.8) 153 (21.5) 131 (27.2)	\geq 6 million yen	284 (23.8)	153 (21.5)	131 (27.2)	
unknown 194 (16.3) 111 (15.6) 83 (17.2)	unknown	194 (16.3)	111 (15.6)	83 (17.2)	
Family composition	Family composition				
Single household 356 (29.9) 250 (35.2) 106 (22.0)	Single household	356 (29.9)	250 (35.2)	106 (22.0)	
Others 836 (70.1) 460 (64.8) 376 (78.0)	Others	836 (70.1)	460 (64.8)	376 (78.0)	
Vulnerable people in family	Vulnerable people in family				
None 877 (73.6) 543 (76.5) 334 (69.3)	None	877 (73.6)	543 (76.5)	334 (69.3)	
Presence 315 (26.4) 167 (23.5) 148 (30.7)	Presence	315 (26.4)	167 (23.5)	148 (30.7)	

Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t001

(used to have stockpile before but not now). Interestingly, in our study, although there were no significant personality traits between having and not having to stockpile, personality traits were observed for start and interrupted stockpiling of food. In the TTM, the appropriate approach differs depending on the stage of behavior change [13-15]. Therefore, our results showed that it is important to investigate the risk factors for no stockpiles considering the stages of behavior change during stockpiles.

Big Five personality traits from TIPI-J		Total	The status of fo	P value [†]	
			Not stockpiling	Stockpiling	
		(n = 1192)	(n = 710)	(n = 482)	
Extraversion	Mean ± SD	3.83±1.26	3.81±1.25	3.87±1.27	0.481
	Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	(3.00-4.50)	(3.00-4.50)	(3.00-4.50)	
Agreeableness	Mean ± SD	4.76±0.96	4.76±0.94	4.77±0.98	0.633
	Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	(4.00-5.50)	(4.00-5.50)	(4.00-5.50)	
Conscientiousness	Mean ± SD	3.97±1.13	3.96±1.14	3.98±1.10	0.842
	Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	(3.50-4.50)	(3.50-4.50)	(3.50-4.50)	
Neuroticism	Mean ± SD	4.09±1.15	4.11±1.13	4.07±1.17	0.565
	Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	(3.50-5.00)	(3.50-5.00)	(3.50-5.00)	
Openness	Mean ± SD	3.90±1.04	3.90±1.05	3.89±1.04	0.732
-	Median	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	(3.50-4.50)	(3.50-4.50)	(3.38-4.50)	

Table 2. The distribution of Big-Five personality by the status of stockpiling disaster food.

Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8). † Mann-Whitney test was performed for each Big-Five personality of TIPI-J.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t002

Association between big-five personality and food stockpiling stage ("start" or "interrupted")

Considering the stage of food stockpiling, it was found that high extraversion was associated with start stockpiling. The extraverted individual is talkative and energetic, and prefers communication with the outside [24]. In a previous study that examined the association between personality and eating styles and food choices, it was shown that people with high extraversion often choose external eating [25]. Hence, it is considered that people with high extraversion prefer to communicate with the outside when choosing food. In other words, they take in more of the opinions from others and choose food. Additionally, participation in community activities leads to stockpiling emergency kits [26]. Therefore, regarding food stockpiling, it

Table 3. Logistic regression results for association between the Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling for disaster ("stockpiling" vs "not stockpiling") (n = 1192)^{\dagger}.

Big Five personality traits from TIPI-J	Crude	Adjusted [§]
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Extraversion	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	1.06 (0.96–1.16)
Agreeableness	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	0.99 (0.87-1.12)
Conscientiousness	1.02 (0.92-1.13)	1.01 (0.90-1.12)
Neuroticism	0.97 (0.88-1.08)	0.99 (0.90-1.10)
Openness	0.99 (0.88-1.10)	1.00 (0.89–1.12)

† Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8).
 § Sex, age, educational background, and community activities were adjusted in the adjusted model.

****p < 0.001, **
 p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence intervals

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t003

Big Five personality traits from TIPI-J		The stage of food stockpiling for disaster					
			"Never" vs. "Start"		"Conti	nuous" vs. "Interrup	oted"
		Never	Start	P value [†]	Continuous	Interrupted	P value [†]
		(n = 539)	(n = 182)		(n = 300)	(n = 171)	-
Extraversion	Mean ± SD	3.80 ± 1.25	4.01 ± 1.22	< 0.05	3.79 ± 1.30	3.83 ± 1.27	
	Median	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	3.00-4.50	3.00-5.00		3.00-4.50	3.00-4.50	
Agreeableness	Mean ± SD	4.75 ± 0.94	4.71 ± 1.03		4.80 ± 0.95	4.79 ± 0.94	
	Median	5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	4.00-5.50	4.00-5.50		4.00-5.50	4.00-5.50	
Conscientiousness	Mean ± SD	3.98 ± 1.13	3.95 ± 1.07		4.00 ± 1.11	3.90 ± 1.20	
	Median	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	3.50-4.50	3.00-4.50		3.50-4.50	3.00-4.50	
Neuroticism	Mean ± SD	4.04 ± 1.14	4.10 ± 1.15		4.05 ± 1.19	4.32 ± 1.07	< 0.01
	Median	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	3.50-4.50	3.50-4.63		3.50-5.00	3.50-5.00	-
Openness	Mean ± SD	3.92 ± 1.05	3.86 ± 1.01		3.91 ± 1.05	3.85 ± 1.04	
	Median	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	
	(25%tile-75%tile)	3.50-4.50	3.00-4.50		3.50-4.50	3.00-4.50	

Table 4. The distribution of the Big Five personality traits by food stockpiling stage.

Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8). † Mann-Whitney test was performed for each Big-Five personality of TIPI-J.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t004

may be that extroversion, which is characteristic of talkative people [24], influenced participation in community activities and led to the start of stockpiling food for disasters.

To promote the start of food stockpiling, it was considered effective to approach people with low extroversion. They tend to think things over carefully for themselves without communication with others [24]. They also have a personality trait in which evaluations and praise from others do not lead to actions [27]. Therefore, it would be needed an approach that focuses on their own interests rather than the evaluation of others. For example, the following approach may be effective: education and provision of knowledge that food stockpiling is an

Table 5.	Logistic regression results for association	between Big Fi	ve personality traits	and food stockpiling stage
"start st	ockpiling" vs "never stockpiled" (n = 721)	* .		

Big Five personality traits from TIPI-J	Crude	Adjusted *
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Extraversion	1.15 (1.00–1.31) *	1.17 (1.02–1.34) *
Agreeableness	0.96 (0.81-1.14)	0.91 (0.78-1.11)
Conscientiousness	0.98 (0.84-1.14)	0.97 (0.83-1.13)
Neuroticism	1.05 (0.91-1.22)	1.07 (0.92–1.24)
Openness	0.95 (0.81-1.12)	0.96 (0.81-1.13)

Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8). † Reference category of the dependent variable is "Never stockpiled."

\$ Sex, age, educational background, and community activities were adjusted in the adjusted model.

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01,

* p < 0.05 (p for trend)

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence intervals

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t005

Big Five personality traits from TIPI-J	Crude	Adjusted [‡]	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Extraversion	1.02 (0.88–1.19)	1.03 (0.89–1.20)	
Agreeableness	0.99 (0.81-1.20)	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	
Conscientiousness	0.92 (0.78-1.09)	0.93 (0.78-1.10)	
Neuroticism	1.23 (1.04–1.45) *	1.20 (1.01–1.43) *	
Openness	0.95 (0.80-1.14)	0.95 (0.79–1.14)	

Table 6. Logistic regression results for association between Big Five personality traits and food stockpiling stage; "interrupted stockpiled" vs "continuous stockpiling" (n = 471)[†].

Excluding participants which responses of sex and educational background are 'the others' (n = 8). † Reference category of the dependent variable is "Continue stockpiling."

‡ Sex, age, educational background, and community activities were adjusted in the adjusted model.

*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01,

* *p* < 0.05 (*p* for trend)

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence intervals

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259253.t006

important activity important for survival several days after a disaster occurs, product development of stockpiled food that makes them want to collect, etc.

In contrast, interrupted stockpiling is associated with neuroticism. A previous study showed that people with high neuroticism experience difficulty in continuing favorable food choices [25]. Additionally, a study among Japanese people indicated that individuals with high neuroticism find it difficult to exercise [28]. Because they have a personality trait that makes it difficult to continue behavior due to emotional instability without being able to control emotions [29, 30]. Therefore, this personality is also considered to be associated with the difficulty of continuing food stockpiling for disasters (i.e., "interrupted stockpiled").

To promote people with high neuroticism to continue food stockpiling, they need a way that is independent of their emotions; that is, they can continue automatically. For example, it may be effective to adopt a system such as a subscription that can be automatically renewed once started.

Additionally, introducing a system of subscriptions may also help avoid food waste problems. While food stockpiling is useful in the event of a disaster, it is also true that food stockpiling that is never used may contribute to food waste [2]. By automatically delivering new food before the expiration date of the stockpiled food, it is possible to remember the date of the food. Therefore, subscriptions may also solve the problem of food waste.

Limitations of this study

This study has the following limitations. First, the study participants were recruited by monitors of an online survey company, which was conducted only in a specific area. Since the study area was relatively rural in Japan, it might show different trends in the urban areas. Accordingly, the generalization of results may be limited because the selection bias cannot be denied. Second, the questionnaire (excluding TIPI-J [12]) did not examine the validity of online surveys, excluding TIPI-J. Although the questionnaire included items based on TTM, further studies are required to verify whether this modification is appropriate. Third, the study participants were limited to those who prepared meals for their families. As a result, many of the respondents were women (69.0%). Japanese women spend more time doing housework than men. Generally, it is considered that food for stockpiling is more likely to be purchased by people who prepare meals in the family compared to the purchase of emergency kits. The Japanese national survey reported that

68.0% of the respondents were women in the responses of those who were in charge of food stockpiling. However, further consideration is required to determine whether the selection of survey targets is appropriate because risk factors could change depending on who in the family is stockpiling. Fourth, since this study had a cross-sectional design, we were unable to confirm the presence of causal relationships. In contrast, there were two advantages to using an online survey: 1) There was no bias due to the influence of the researcher, and 2) There was no substitute response (it is highly possible that we could certainly obtain responses from the study target, which referred to people who prepared meals for the family).

Conclusion

None of the Big Five personality traits showed any significant association with having or not having food stockpile in the high-risk of food shortage areas due to the Nankai Trough Earthquake. However, considering the stages of behavior change in stockpiling, high extraversion was significantly positively associated with the start of stockpiling. Furthermore, high neuroticism was significantly positively associated with interrupted stockpiling. Therefore, it may be useful to approach those with "low extroversion" and "high neuroticism" to promote food stockpiling for disasters.

Supporting information

S1 File. Questionnaire. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the participants. This survey was conducted with the support of Rakuten Insight Inc. (https://insight.rakuten.co.jp/en/). The authors are indebted to Dr. Mari Hirano from Tokyo Kasei University for the preparation of the questionnaire. Also, the authors would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for the English language review.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Rie Kobayashi.

Data curation: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Rie Kobayashi.

Formal analysis: Moeka Harada.

Investigation: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Jun Oka, Rie Kobayashi.

Methodology: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Rie Kobayashi.

Project administration: Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Jun Oka, Rie Kobayashi.

Resources: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Rie Kobayashi.

Software: Moeka Harada.

Supervision: Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Rie Kobayashi.

Validation: Moeka Harada, Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Jun Oka, Rie Kobayashi.

Visualization: Moeka Harada.

Writing - original draft: Moeka Harada.

Writing - review & editing: Nobuyo Tsuboyama-Kasaoka, Jun Oka, Rie Kobayashi.

References

- Torero M. Without food, there can be no exit from the pandemic. Nature. 2020; 580 (7805): 588–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01181-3 PMID: 32327745
- Brizi A, Biraglia A. "Do I have enough food?" How need for cognitive closure and gender impact stockpiling and food waste during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-national study in India and the United States of America. Pers Individ Dif. 2021; 168: 110396. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110396</u> PMID: 32982000
- 3. Fisher D. Fast Food: Regulating Emergency Food Aid in Sudden-Impact Disasters. Vanderbilt J Transnational Law. 2007; 40: 1127–53.
- Doi K. Analysis of the logistics of governmental emergency food supplies for the people affected by the Great East Japan Earthquake. Journal of rural society and economics. 2014; 32: 6–11. (In Japanese).
- Dammeyer J. An explorative study of the individual differences associated with consumer stockpiling during the early stages of the 2020 Coronavirus outbreak in Europe. Personality and Individual Differences. 2020; 167: 110263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110263 PMID: 32834285
- Goldberg LR. The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure. Psychological Assessment. 1992; 4(1): 26–42. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.4.1.26
- Japanese Cabinet Office. Countermeasures for the Nankai Trough Earthquake (Second report). Accessed on 4 March 2021. <u>http://www.bousai.go.jp/jishin/nankai/taisaku_wg/pdf/20130318_kisha.pdf</u>. (In Japanese).
- 8. Statistics Bureau of Japan. Nihon no Tokei 2019 (Statistics of Japan 2019). Accessed on 4 March 202. https://www.stat.go.jp/data/nihon/pdf/ 19nihon.pdf. (In Japanese).
- Oshio A, Abe S, Cutrone P. Development, reliability, and validity of the Japanese version of Ten item personality inventory (TIPI-J). Jpn J Pers. 2012; 21(1): 40–52. https://doi.org/10.2132/personality.21. 40 (In Japanese).
- Gosling SD, Rentfrow PJ, Swann WB Jr. A very brief measure of the Big-Five personality domains. J Res in Pers. 2003; 37(6): 504–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(03)00046-1
- Kawamoto T, Oshio A, Abe S, Tsubota Y, Hirashima T, Ito H, et al. Age and Gender Differences of Big Five Personality Traits in a Cross-Sectional Japanese Sample. Japanese journal of developmental psychology. 2015; 26(2): 107–122. https://doi.org/10.11201/jjdp.26.107 (In Japanese).
- Takawaki R, Yamada K. Evaluating the effectiveness of a Japanese version of the Ten-Item Personality Inventory (TIPI-J) in volunteer panel Web surveys. Japanese Journal of Social Psychology. 2019; 35 (1): 19–27. https://doi.org/10.14966/jssp.1807 (In Japanese).
- 13. Prochaska JO, Norcross JC, DiClemente CC. Changing for good. HarperCollins Publishers; 1994
- 14. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. Am J Health Promot. 1997; 12(1): 38–48. https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-12.1.38 PMID: 10170434
- Prochaska JO. Strong and weak principles for progressing from precontemplation to action on the basis of twelve problem behaviors. Health Psychol. 1994; 13(1): 47–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037//0278-6133</u>. 13.1.47 PMID: 8168471
- Noia JD, Prochaska JO. Dietary stages of change and decisional balance: a meta-analytic review. Am J Health Behav. 2010; 34(5): 618–632. https://doi.org/10.5993/ajhb.34.5.11 PMID: 20524891
- Marshall SJ, Biddle SJ. The transtheoretical model of behavior change: a meta-analysis of applications to physical activity and exercise. Ann Behav Med. 2001; 23(4): 229–246. <u>https://doi.org/10.1207/</u> S15324796ABM2304_2 PMID: 11761340
- Harada M, Kobayashi R, Oka J, Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N. Association between Health Practice and Food Stockpiling for Disaster. Nutrients. 2021; 13(5): 1414. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13051414 PMID: 33922412
- Chen CY, Xu W, Dai Y, Xu W, Liu C, Wu Q, et al. Household preparedness for emergency events: a cross-sectional survey on residents in four regions of China. BMJ Open. 2019; 9(11): e032462. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032462 PMID: 31727663
- DeBastiani SD, Strine TW, Vagi SJ, Barnett DJ, Kahn EB. Preparedness Perceptions, Sociodemographic Characteristics, and Level of Household Preparedness for Public Health Emergencies: Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2006–2010. Health Secur. 2015; 13(5): 317–326. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2014.0093</u> PMID: 26348094
- Murphy ST, Cody M, Frank LB, Glik D, Ang A. Predictors of Emergency Preparedness and Compliance. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2009 Jul 8. https://doi.org/10.1097/DMP.0b013e3181a9c6c5 PMID: 19590429

- Onuma H, Shin KJ, Managi S. Household preparedness for natural disasters: Impact of disaster experience and implications for future disaster risks in Japan. Int J Disast Risk Re. 2017; 21: 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2016.11.004
- Thomas TN, Leander-Griffith M, Harp V, Cioffi JP. Influences of Preparedness Knowledge and Beliefs on Household Disaster Preparedness. MMWR. 2015; 64(35): 965–971. <u>https://doi.org/10.15585/</u> mmwr.mm6435a2 PMID: 26356729
- John O, Srivastava S. The Big Five Trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In Pervin L. A. & John O. P. (Eds.), Handbook of personality: Theory and research.1999; 102– 138. Guilford Press.
- Keller C, Siegrist M. Does personality influence eating styles and food choices? Direct and indirect effects. Appetite. 2015; 84: 128–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.10.003 PMID: 25308432
- Witvorapong N, Muttarak R and Pothisiri W. Social Participation and Disaster Risk Reduction Behaviors in Tsunami Prone Areas. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7): e0130862. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0130862 PMID: 26153891
- Foulkes L, Viding E, McCrory E and Neumann CS. Social Reward Questionnaire (SRQ): development and validation. Front Psychol. 2014; 5: 201. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00201</u> PMID: 24653711
- Yamashita Y, Seki N, Umeda K, Tanabe N, Shinoda K, Konishi I, et al. Relationship between exercise adherence and personality characteristics in persons experienced in the Medical Fitness program. Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi. 2017; 64(11): 664–671. <u>https://doi.org/10.11236/jph.64.11_664</u> (In Japanese). PMID: 29249777
- Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Luchetti M, Artese A, Oshio A, Terracciano A. The five-factor model of personality and physical inactivity: A meta-analysis of 16 samples. J Res Pers. 2016; 63: 22–28. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jrp.2016.05.001 PMID: 29056783
- Wilson KE, Dishman RK. Personality and physical activity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Personality and Individual Differences. 2015; 72: 230–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.08.023