SCIENTIFIC OPINION



ADOPTED: 12 November 2019 doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5912

Safety of Lancer® (lanthanide citrate) as a zootechnical additive for weaned piglets

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP),
Vasileios Bampidis, Giovanna Azimonti, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen,
Birgit Dusemund, Maryline Kouba, Mojca Kos Durjava, Marta López-Alonso,
Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova,
Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa, Ruud Woutersen, Antonio Finizio,
Andreas Focks, Kettil Svensson, Ivana Teodorovic, Luca Tosti, Jordi Tarrés-Call,
Paola Manini and Fabiola Pizzo

Abstract

Following a request from the European Commission, the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the additional data submitted on Lancer[®] when used as a feed additive for weaned piglets. The FEEDAP Panel considered that uncertainty still remains on possible developmental neurotoxicity of Lancer[®] since it was unable to identify a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for this specific endpoint applying a read-across strategy from the studies provided by the applicant. However, the FEEDAP Panel considered that the exposure to La and Ce from products of animals treated with Lancer[®] at 250 mg/kg feed would not add a significant contribution to the background exposure of these elements. The FEEDAP Panel concluded that the use of Lancer[®] in feed for weaned piglets (up to 120 days) according to the proposed conditions of use, does not represent a safety concern for the consumer and for the environment.

© 2019 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

Keywords: lanthanide citrate, Lancer[®], zootechnical additive, weaned piglets, safety

Requestor: European Commission

Question number: EFSA-Q-2019-00380 **Correspondence:** feedap@efsa.europa.eu



Panel members: Giovanna Azimonti, Vasileios Bampidis Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Birgit Dusemund, Maryline Kouba, Mojca Kos Durjava, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa and Ruud Woutersen.

Suggested citation: EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis V, Azimonti G, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Dusemund B, Kouba M, Kos Durjava M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Petkova M, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Villa RE, Woutersen R, Finizio A, Focks A, Svensson K, Teodorovic I, Tosti L, Tarrés-Call J, Manini P and Pizzo F, 2019. Scientific Opinion on the safety of Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) as a zootechnical additive for weaned piglets. EFSA Journal 2019;17(12):5912, 21 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5912

ISSN: 1831-4732

© 2019 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.



The EFSA Journal is a publication of the European Food Safety Authority, an agency of the European Union.





Table of contents

Abstract	t	1
1.	Introduction	4
1.1.	Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor	4
1.2.	Additional information	4
2.	Data and methodologies	4
2.1.	Data	4
2.2.	Methodologies	4
3.	Assessment	4
3.1.	Characterisation	5
3.2.	Safety	5
3.2.1.	Safety for the consumer	5
3.2.1.1.	Previous assessments by FEEDAP Panel	5
3.2.1.2.	Assessment of the additional data received	6
3.2.1.3.	Residue studies	7
3.2.1.4.	Conclusions on the safety for the consumer	10
	Safety for the environment	
3.2.2.1.	Assessment of the additional data received	10
	Assessment of the new data received	
3.2.2.3.	Conclusions on safety for the environment	
3.3.	Post-market monitoring	13
4.	Conclusions	
Docume	entation as provided to EFSA/Chronology	14
Referen	ces	14
Abbrevi	ations	15
Annex A	A – Calculation of consumer exposure with FACE model	16



1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Terms of Reference as provided by the requestor

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003¹ establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition. In particular, Article 9 of that Regulation defines the terms of the authorisation by the Commission.

The Applicant, Treibacher Industrie AG, is seeking a Community authorisation of Lanthanide citrate as feed additive to be used as zootechnical additive for piglets (weaned) (Table 1).

Table 1: Description of the substance

Category of additive	Zootechnical additive	
Functional group of additive	Other zootechnical additives	
Description	Lanthanide-citrate	
Target animal category	Piglets (weaning)	
Applicant	Treibacher Industrie AG	
Type of request	New opinion	

On 20 April 2016, the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal feed of the European Food Safety Authority ("Authority"), in its opinion on the safety and efficacy of the product, could not conclude on the safety of Lanthanide-citrate. After the discussion with the Member States on the last Standing Committee, it was suggested to check for the possibility to demonstrate the safety for the environment.

The Commission gave the possibility to the applicant to submit complementary information in order to complete the assessment and to allow a revision of Authority's opinion. The new data have been received on 27 May 2019.

In view of the above, the Commission asks the Authority to deliver a new opinion on Lanthanidecitrate as feed additive for piglets (weaned) based on the additional data submitted by the applicant.

1.2. Additional information

Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) has not previously been authorised in the European Union (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013, 2016).

2. Data and methodologies

2.1. Data

The present assessment is based on data submitted by the applicant in the form of additional information² to a previous application on the same product.³

2.2. Methodologies

The approach followed by the FEEDAP Panel to assess the safety for the consumer and the environment of lanthanide-citrate (Lancer $^{(8)}$) is in line with the principles laid down in Regulation (EC) No $429/2008^4$ and the relevant guidance documents: Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017) and Technical Guidance for assessing the safety of feed additives for the environment (EFSA, 2008).

3. Assessment

The additive Lancer[®] mainly consists of two rare earth elements, lanthanum (La) and cerium (Ce), in their citrate forms. It is intended to be used as a zootechnical additive (functional group: other

_

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition. OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29.

² FEED dossier reference: FAD-2019-0040.

³ FEED dossier reference: FAD-2015-0041.

⁴ Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the preparation and the presentation of applications and the assessment and the authorisation of feed additives. OJ L 133, 22.5.2008, p. 1.



zootechnical additives) in feed for weaned piglets up to 120 days of age. This additive has not previously been authorised in the European Union.

In 2013, the EFSA FEEDAP Panel (2013) was unable to conclude on the safety of Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) for the target species, the consumer and the environment. In 2016, the FEEDAP Panel issued a new opinion (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016) and concluded that the additive is safe for the target species. However, the FEEDAP Panel was unable to conclude on the safety of the additive for the consumer (due to the concern over the possible developmental neurotoxicity of La and the lack of residue study) and for the environment (due to inadequate data on plant and on earthworm ecotoxicity and lack of data on concentration of La and Ce in ground water).

The applicant has submitted additional information related to the safety of the additive for the consumer and the environment and this new information is the subject of this opinion.

3.1. Characterisation

The characterisation of the additive, the manufacturing process, stability and homogeneity were reviewed in a previous opinion (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013).

La and Ce are present in the additive Lancer® as citrate chelates (water solubility: 85 g/L). According to the specification, the additive consists of 65% lanthanide citrate (La $8.5 \pm 0.9\%$; Ce $16.3 \pm 1.6\%$; citrate: $40 \pm 5\%$). The rest of the additive consists of water (< 10%), sodium ($10 \pm 2\%$), chloride ($10 \pm 2\%$), other lanthanides (praseodymium (Pr) 2.0%, neodymium (Nd) 0.08%) and trace elements (< 0.45%) (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013).

The applicant provided new analytical results on the purity measured on three batches of the additive indicating that the trace elements and minor rare earths (sum of Pr, Nd, iron, zinc and copper) represent < 0.35% of the additive.⁵

Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) is intended for use in weaned piglets (up to 120 days of age) at a concentration of 250 mg/kg complete feedingstuffs either by direct addition to feed or via premixture (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013).

3.2. Safety

3.2.1. Safety for the consumer

The safety of the additive Lancer[®] for the consumer was assessed by the FEEDAP Panel considering the following: (i) the previous assessment of the EFSA FEEDAP Panel, (ii) the approach of the read-across proposed by the applicant and (iii) the results of the new residue study.

3.2.1.1. Previous assessments by FEEDAP Panel

In 2013, the EFSA FEEDAP Panel could not conclude on the safety for the consumer due to some inconsistencies in the data available. One study demonstrated that after feeding piglets with lanthanide citrate at 2,500 mg/kg feed, no deposition of La and Ce in kidney, liver and muscles occurred (Von Rosenberg et al., 2013). However, other two studies available reported absorption and deposition of La and Ce after ingestion of rare earth elements (REE) supplementation, in bulls (in liver, kidney and bone) and rats (in liver, kidney, heart, spleen, brain, lung and bone) (Schwabe et al., 2012; Ji et al., 1985). The 90-day repeated dose oral toxicity study performed in rats did not allow to identify a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for Lancer[®] since adverse effects (hyperplasia of the forestomach epithelium) were observed at all doses tested. In the absence of a NOAEL, the FEEDAP Panel was unable to relate any possible exposure to evidence of a safe dose.

Following the EFSA opinion in 2013, the applicant submitted a review of the histopathological findings of the 90-day study performed in rats exposed to Lancer[®]. The FEEDAP Panel evaluated the review proposed by the applicant and considered that the forestomach and its sensitivity to local irritants has no equivalent in humans, hence considered the effects described as not relevant for human risk assessment. The FEEDAP Panel identified a no observed effect level (NOEL) of 300 mg Lancer[®]/kg body weight (bw) per day (based on the effects observed in the forestomach) and a NOAEL of 600 mg Lancer[®]/kg bw per day (the highest dose tested). The FEEDAP Panel also noted that LaCl₃ induced adverse effects on neurobehavioural development in mice at doses of 25 mg LaCl₃/kg bw per day (9.3 mg La/kg bw per day) or more. The same compound caused neurotoxicological effects at doses of 2 mg LaCl₃/kg bw per day (0.73 mg La/kg bw per day) or more in rats exposed throughout gestation and

⁵ Technical dossier/Annexes/Annex 1.



lactation and the first 6 months of life. This raised a concern about whether Lancer[®] could cause similar effects (Briner et al., 2000). The FEEDAP Panel considered that this would raise the need for an investigation of the possible reproduction/developmental toxicity of Lancer[®], including a consideration of possible neurodevelopmental effects. Ce citrate was previously assessed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 2009). The toxicological data available to the EPA did not allow the establishment of an acceptable daily intake (ADI) due to the paucity or absence of relevant data on genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity and target organ toxicity (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016).

3.2.1.2. Assessment of the additional data received

In the current dossier, the applicant is proposing to use absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) and toxicological data available for La and Ce salts other than citrate within a read-across strategy, in order to fill the data gaps identified in previous EFSA evaluations as concern the safety for the consumer (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013, 2016), 6 .

La and Ce are present in the additive Lancer[®] as citrate chelates. According to the applicant, 'these complexes dissociate and form the individual rare earths with citrate or other anions'. Citric acid is an intermediate in cellular oxidative metabolism, in the citric acid cycle. When supplemented in feedingstuffs, it is expected to be completely metabolised in the target species. Therefore, the use of citric acid in animal nutrition is safe for the consumer.

According to the applicant, for many metals, the metal ions are responsible for the toxicity, regardless of the counterparts. However, the FEEDAP Panel considers that this assumption is not applicable in general since counter ions or complexing agents may strongly affect toxicological properties and biochemical interactions for example by having an impact on the dissociation and solubility.

The FEEDAP Panel notes that, in the documentation provided by the applicant, data showing similarity of La/Ce citrate (the components of the additive Lancer®) as concern water solubility, dissociation, biochemical/toxicological properties with other La/Ce salts, are not present.

Furthermore, the FEEDAP Panel considers that for applying a read-across approach, a more detailed physicochemical characterisation of the additive would be needed, allowing a comparison of relevant parameters with those of other relevant La/Ce compounds (e.g. specification of molecular and structural formulas, including hydrates, ratio of the two components, indication of physicochemical parameters influencing kinetics such as solubility in water and at physiological pHs, dissociation, complex stability).

The applicant did not provide any study testing the additive Lancer[®], however, submitted the results of some studies published in literature on the ADME of La salts.

Overall, for La, available kinetic studies performed with oral exposure refer exclusively to La carbonate, which is insoluble in water and therefore may differ in its kinetic behaviour from water-soluble La compounds (low potential of systemic absorption). Animal and human data show that La from orally administered La carbonate exhibits low gastrointestinal absorption (Damment and Pennick, 2007; D'Haese et al., 2019). The small fraction of La absorbed is distributed systemically to tissues, showing accumulation especially in the liver. This accumulation increases over time (Slatopolsky et al., 2005; D'Haese et al., 2019). An *in vitro* binding study demonstrated that La is bound to plasma proteins up to 99.7% (Damment and Pennick, 2007).

According to the study by Hollriegl et al. (2017), Ce citrate appears to be poorly absorbed after a single oral dose in human volunteers.

The applicant did not provide any study performed with the additive Lancer[®], however presented findings from toxicological studies performed with La and Ce salts other than citrate, proposing a read-across strategy as described above as well as a literature search. The studies provided were performed in compliance with the OECD Guideline 414 and 422. Due to the non-applicability of the read-across strategy as described above and also in the light of the new data provided, the FEEDAP Panel considered that the concern on the possible developmental neurotoxicity of Lancer[®] (as highlighted in the previous EFSA opinion in 2016) remains.

Based on the above, the FEEDAP Panel is of the view that the read-across approach, as proposed by the applicant, is not acceptable to fill the data gaps identified in previous EFSA opinions.

⁶ Technical dossier/Annexes/Annex 4.

⁷ Technical dossier/Annexes/Annex 5.



3.2.1.3. Residue studies

In a good laboratory practice (GLP) study, 128 piglets (approximately 28 days old, 32 males and 32 females per treatment, 16 replicate pens per treatment, 8 castrated males and 8 females) were administered Lancer® at a nominal level of 250 mg/kg feed (confirmed by analysis) or basal diet (control), for 126 consecutive days. At days 42, 84 and 126, eight animals per treatment (4 females and 4 castrated males) were slaughtered for analysis of La and Ce contents in heart, liver, kidney, muscle, skin and subcutaneous fat and bone. Residues were analysed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (limit of detection (LoD): La 0.54 ng/kg, Ce 0.43 ng/kg; limit of quantification (LoQ): La 1.80 ng/kg, Ce 1.44 ng/kg). La and Ce contents in organ samples are reported in Table 2 (dry matter (DM) basis). The values expressed as DM were converted to fresh weight basis by the applicant (Table 3) (using the analysed DM content of the respective organ) and the values recorded at day 126 (Table 4) were used to estimate the human exposure to La and Ce by means of the exposure methodology described in the EFSA guidance on consumer safety (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017). The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 2: Residues (expressed as ng lanthanum or cerium/kg tissue dry matter) measured in tissues from piglets (8 animals per treatment – 4 males and 4 females) fed 250 mg Lancer®/kg feed for 126 days

	La (ng	J/kg DM)	Ce (ng	/kg DM)
Organs/tissues Day of sampling	Lancer [®] 0 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 0 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed
Heart				
D42	4,287	8,133*	5,860	15,865*
D84	1,203	5,092**	1,487	10,541**
D126	1,190	2,948**	2,280	6,498**
Liver				
D42	5,937	60,776**	10,148	96,410**
D84	4,268	145,183**	6,280	240,001**
D126	17,803	175,468**	29,665	294,275**
Kidney				
D42	1,623	45,186**	2,967	83,258**
D84	966	14,433**	1,112	29,733**
D126	3,060	13,742**	6,709	28,187**
Muscle				
D42	2,369	6,608**	3,636	10,840**
D84	1,265	4,505**	1,680	9,458**
D126	3,188	2,493*	6,648	3,902*
Skin + subcutane	ous fat			
D42	5,076	61,377**	9,080	113,435**
D84	1,263	8,539**	1,567	16,740**
D126	1,714	5,449**	3,863	10,051**
Bone				
D42	1,828	5,525**	1,020	2,356**
D84	2,406	12,022**	1,782	8,483**
D126	923	10,289**	840	5,183**

DM: dry matter. *: p < 0.5. **: p < 0.01.



Table 3: Total residues (expressed as ng lanthanum or cerium/kg tissue fresh weight) measured in tissues from piglets (8 animals per treatment – 4 males and 4 females) fed 250 mg Lancer®/kg feed for 126 days

	La (ng/kg	fresh weight)	Ce (ng/kg	fresh weight)
Organs/tissues Day of sampling	Lancer [®] 0 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 0 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed
Heart				
D42	857	1,627	1,172	3,173
D84	217	1,018	268	2,108
D126	238	590	456	1,300
Liver				
D42	1,840	18,233	3,146	28,923
D84	1,195	46,459	1,758	76,800
D126	5,341	52,640	8,900	88,282
Kidney				
D42	357	10,393	653	19,149
D84	174	3,031	200	6,244
D126	612	2,748	1,342	5,637
Muscle				
D42	569	1,652	873	2,710
D84	266	1,081	353	2,270
D126	765	598	1,596	936
Skin + subcutaneou	ıs fat			
D42	2538	27,006	4540	49,911
D84	606	4,526	752	8,872
D126	1,114	3,487	2,511	6,433
Bone				
D42	877	2,652	490	1,131
D84	1,444	7,213	1,069	5,090
D126	591	6,688	538	3,369

The amount of both La and Ce deposited in all animal tissues analysed (heart, liver, kidney, muscle tissue, skin/subcutaneous fat, bone) is higher in animals receiving Lancer at 250 mg/kg feed, compared to the control.

Table 4: Residue data derived from the use of 250 mg Lancer/kg feed in piglets⁽¹⁾

	La (ng/kg fresh weight)	Ce (ng/kg fresh weight)
Organs/tissues	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed	Lancer [®] 250 mg/kg feed
Fat tissue	3,487	6,433
Liver	52,640	88,282
Meat ⁽²⁾	1,175.8	2,035
Offals	2,748	5,637

^{(1):} EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016.

^{(2):} The residue concentration in muscle and skin/fat will be applied to the intake of meat at the following proportions: 80% muscle and 20% skin/fat (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017). This corresponds to 0.0886 mg/kg.



Table 5: Chronic dietary exposure of consumers to lanthanum and cerium residues in tissues and products of piglets fed 250 mg Lancer/kg feed – Summary statistics across European dietary surveys

Exposure to Lanthanum				
Number of surveys Highest exposure estimate				
Infants	6	30.86		
Toddlers	10	35.67		
Other children	18	26.38		
Adolescents	17	18.11		
Adults	17	24.26		
Elderly	14	16.44		
Very elderly	12	15.18		

Exposure to cerium						
Number of surveys Highest exposure estimate						
Infants	6	52.24				
Toddlers	10	60.58				
Other children	18	44.77				
Adolescents	17	31.14				
Adults	17	41.43				
Elderly	14	28.50				
Very elderly	12	25.52				

bw: body weight.

Based on the results obtained, toddlers (La: 35.67 ng/kg bw per day; Ce 60.58 ng/kg bw per day) and infants (La: 30.86 ng/kg bw per day; cerium 52.24 ng/kg bw per day) were identified as the population age groups most exposed to residues of Lancer[®].

In order to estimate the background exposure, the applicant submitted an estimation of the exposure to La and Ce from other dietary sources using the Food Additive Intake Model (FAIM)⁸ tool (version 2) model using as input data gathered from published literature.⁹ The details on the literature search were provided in the application dossier. The total number of publications used for the exposure assessment of natural occurrence in food of La and Ce were 66.

In order to use the FAIM tool, each of the food items from the literature was assigned to a food category as described in Part E of Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008¹⁰ on food additives.

The results are given in Table 6. The Panel noted that, according to the calculation performed by the applicant, exposure to both La and Ce occur in all population age groups. The highest exposure (95th percentile) were reported in infants followed by toddlers and other children.

Table 6: Chronic dietary exposure to lanthanum and cerium from dietary sources (ng/kg bw per day)

Daniel d'au	Mean (min-max)		95th (min-max)	
Population category	La (ng/kg bw per day)	Ce (ng/kg bw per day)	La (ng/kg bw per day)	Ce (ng/kg bw per day)
Infants	170–950	200–930	300–1720	350–1660
Toddlers	310–500	380–580	440–940	590-1050
Other children	250-400	290-480	300–630	450–700
Adolescents	130–250	170–300	210–430	290–500
Adults	130–240	150–250	210-420	260–440
Elderly, very elderly	150–240	160–260	230–420	250–420

bw: body weight.

EFSA Journal 2019;17(12):5912

⁸ Available at: https://dwh.efsa.europa.eu/bi/asp/Main.aspx?rwtrep=FAIM

⁹ Technical dossier/Annexes/Annex 3b.

Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on food additives. OJ L 354, 31.12.2008, p. 16–33.



The Panel notes that the background exposure of consumers to La and Ce from dietary sources of food (naturally containing La and Ce) is higher than that resulting from exposure to animal tissues of pig fed with Lancer[®]. The FEEDAP Panel considers that the additional exposure deriving from the consumption of products from animals (weaned piglets) treated with Lancer[®] at 250 mg/kg feed would not add a significant contribution to the background exposure of the consumer to La and Ce.

3.2.1.4. Conclusions on the safety for the consumer

The FEEDAP Panel considers that uncertainty still remains on possible developmental neurotoxicity of Lancer[®]. However, considering that the exposure to La and Ce from products of animals treated with Lancer[®] at 250 mg/kg feed would not add a significant contribution to the background exposure of these elements, the FEEDAP Panel concludes that Lancer[®] (at 250 mg/kg feed for weaned piglets) is not of concern for the safety for the consumer.

3.2.2. Safety for the environment

For the current assessment, the applicant addressed the limitation of the previous opinions and provided (i) estimates of the predicted environmental concentrations of La(III) and Ce(III) for soil and ground water and (ii) ecotoxicity studies for the terrestrial compartment (earthworms, plants and microorganisms) performed with Lancer^{®11,12,13} to address possible toxicological effects of La(III) and Ce(III).

3.2.2.1. Previous assessments by FEEDAP Panel

In 2013, the FEEDAP Panel was not able to conclude on the safety of Lancer[®] for the environment for the following reasons: (i) La is potentially toxic to environmental relevant species, but its toxicity is highly dependent on speciation, (ii) although the additive would probably not cause a concern for the aquatic environment (because of the low solubility of La and Ce in most situations), there were no data on toxicity of the additive to terrestrial organisms. In the absence of such data, a full environmental assessment could not be completed (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013).

In 2016, the FEEDAP Panel assessed the additional data submitted to support the safety of Lancer[®] for the environment (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016)¹⁴ as follows:

A summary of the occurrence of La and Ce in the soil and surface water in Europe was provided to justify that the use of Lancer[®] would not increase the environmental levels of La and Ce. No clear information on measured concentrations of La and Ce in the ground water of EU Member States was provided.

In ecosystems, species may adapt to higher concentrations of naturally occurring elements (such as lanthanides). Therefore, the assessment of environmental risk for La and Ce should be based on the concentrations which will be added to the environmental concentrations. The background concentrations of La and Ce in soil in EU Member State geographic area varies between 1–109 and 1.6 and 266 mg/kg, respectively; however, no calculation on the expected increase of the environmental concentration (predicted environmental concentrations – PEC) of La and Ce was provided.

No prediction of environmental concentrations of La and Ce in ground water based on use of Lancer[®] was provided.

The applicant did not provide adequate data on plant ecotoxicity according to OECD 208 (Terrestrial Plants, Growth Test) and on earthworm ecotoxicity according to OECD 207 (Earthworm, Acute Toxicity Test) or according to OECD 222 (Earthworm Reproduction Test).

Consequently, the safe concentrations for soil (calculated as predicted no effect concentration – PNEC) could not be set. No PECs and no PNEC values were provided and therefore, no risk quotient could be determined. Therefore, in the absence of adequate data, the FEEDAP Panel could not conclude on the safety of Lancer $^{(8)}$ for the environment'.

3.2.2.2. Assessment of the new data received

Predicted environmental concentrations

Predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) were calculated according to the EFSA guidance on the safety of feed additives for the environment (EFSA, 2008).

_

¹¹ Technical dossier/Section III_Annex 18.

¹² Technical dossier/Section III_Annex 19.

¹³ Technical dossier/Section III_Annex 20.

 $^{^{14}}$ Technical dossier FAD-2015- $\overline{0}$ 041/Environmental risk assessment Lancer.



Physicochemical properties

The physical chemical properties of Ce(III) and La(III) are summarised in the Table 7.

Table 7: Physicochemical properties of cerium (III) and lanthanum (III)

Property	Cerium (III)	Lanthanum (III)	Lancer [®]
Molecular weight	140.116 g/mol	138.91 g/mol	_
Water solubility	_	_	85 g/L
Vapour pressure ⁽¹⁾	$4.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Pa}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Pa}$	_

(1): EPI Suite (2015).

Absorption/Desorption studies for lanthanum and cerium compounds

The applicant reviewed literature data from a considerable body of studies to address the adsorption characteristics of the two lanthanides. Data were available for soil, sediment, and suspended matter taken from the field and laboratory studies. The partition coefficient (K_p) in soil was reported in different studies for the two lanthanides.

Considering lanthanum, a study investigating adsorption in Chinese soils indicated that La(III) sorption is rather determined by the presence of oxides and silicate clays than by $CaCO_3$ and organic matter. The K_p derived from batch equilibrium experiments varies according to soil and experiments from log K_p of 3.61 (Du et al., 1998) to similar log K_p values of 2.12 to 3.02 (Shan et al., 2002), 3.61 and 3.72 (Zuyi et al., 2000) and 3.41 to 4.43 (Wen et al., 2002). In addition, batch equilibrium experiments performed with Australian soils (Stokes et al., 1999) yielded log K_p values between 2.3 and 2.8.

A generic log K_p value of 3.2 for soil is suggested for deriving the organic carbon–water partitioning coefficient (K_{oc}) value for La(III).

Considering cerium, an analogous variation of K_p is reported in the different studies provided as a weight of evidence. Based on data from Du et al. (1998), a log K_p of 2.6 L/kg could be obtained for Ce (III) in cultivated Chinese soil. Another batch equilibrium experiment with four Chinese soils yielded log K_p values of 3.54–4.46 L/kg (Wen et al., 2002). In a multi-tracer study, the adsorption of cerium to two Chinese soils, a calcareous soil and a sandy red earth, was investigated in a batch equilibrium experiment. Log K_p values were 4.43 and 2.60 L/kg for the calcareous soil and red earth, respectively (Zuyi et al., 2000). The adsorption of Ce(III) and Ce(IV) was studied on 16 Australian soils in batch equilibrium experiments (Cornelis et al., 2011). Median log K_p values were 3.58 and 3.26 L/kg for Ce (III) and Ce(IV), respectively. Finally, a batch equilibrium experiment with 4 Chinese soils for Ce(III) showed log K_p values varying between 3.7 and 4.5 L/kg (Li et al., 2001). To determine a final key value for adsorption of cerium to soil, a single value (arithmetic mean) was retained for each soil in each study.

A generic log K_p value of 3.5 is suggested for deriving K_{oc} value for Ce(III).

The log K_p for soil of La(III) (3.2 L/kg) and for Ce(III) (3.5 L/kg) were used to derive the K_{oc} to be used for PEC calculation. A generic 2% organic carbon content was used to derive a K_{oc} value of about 80,000 for La and 158,000 dm³/kg for Ce(III). These values were used for PEC_{aw} calculation.

Phase I calculation

The highest dose recommended for weaned piglets was considered for PEC calculation in Phase I: 40.8 mg Ce(III)/kg feed and 21.3 mg La(III)/kg feed. The category 'Pigs for fattening' was used as a worst-case scenario. The calculated PEC values are given in Table 8.

Table 8: Initial predicted environmental concentration (PEC) of cerium (III) and lanthanum (III) in soil $(\mu g/kg)$ and groundwater $(\mu g/L)$

Compartment	PEC	
	Cerium (III)	Lanthanum (III)
Soil	733 μg/kg	382 μg/kg
Ground water	0.06 μg/L	0.06 μg/L

PEC: predicted environmental concentration.

-

¹⁵ Technical dossier/20190524 Lancer 2019 submission final KG, section 5.1.3.



The Phase I PEC trigger value is exceeded for the soil compartment, therefore a Phase II assessment for terrestrial organisms is considered necessary.

PEC groundwater is below the trigger value of 0.1 μ g/L. No further assessment is necessary for groundwater and surface water compartment.

Phase II calculation

Considering that all the terrestrial ecotoxicological studies have been performed with the additive (see chapter below), a PEC in soil for the additive was calculated, considering that 250 mg/kg Lancer[®] is used in complete feedingstuffs for pig for fattening and that 65% of the additive is composed of lanthanides. The resulting PEC_{soil} is reported in Table 9.

Table 9: Predicted environmental concentration in soil for Lancer®

Compartment	PEC	
Soil	2,917 μg/kg	

PEC: predicted environmental concentration.

Considering that both La and Ce do not degrade, a PEC_{plateau} in soil has to be evaluated taking into account a time to degradation of 50% of the original concentration of the compound (DT₅₀) of 1,000 days. In order to calculate a PEC plateau for Lancer[®], the amount of the product accumulating in soil has to be identified. Since the maximum amount of La(III) and Ce(III) in Lancer[®] are 9.4% and 17.9%, respectively, the amount expected to accumulate in soil is 27.3% of the calculated PEC of Lancer[®]. The resulting PEC_{plateau} for lanthanides and Lancer[®] is reported in Table 10.

Table 10: Predicted environmental concentration in soil at plateau for lanthanides and Lancer[®]

	Value	Unit
PEC _{soil initial} Lancer [®]	2,917	μg/kg
PEC _{soil initial} lanthanides ⁽¹⁾	796	
PEC _{soil plateau} lanthanides	3,564	
PEC _{soil plateau} Lancer ^{®(2)}	6,481	

PEC: predicted environmental concentration.

- (1): Calculated as $PEC_{soil\ initial} \times 0.273$.
- (2): Calculated as PEC_{soil initial} Lancer[®] plus PEC_{soil plateau} lanthanides.

This very worst-case PEC_{soil plateau} for Lancer[®] value (6,481 μ g/kg) was used for the risk assessment.

Toxicity of Lancer® to soil organisms

One batch of Lancer[®] was used in the three ecotoxicity studies reported below. It contained 80.8 g of La/kg additive, 155 g of Ce/kg additive and 366 g of citrate/kg additive.

Effect on earthworms

In an acute good laboratory practice (GLP) toxicity test performed on *Eisenia andrei*, 16 the additive under assessment was tested at concentrations of 62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1,000 mg/kg soil dry weight in 6 treatment groups (one control group was untreated) with 4 replicates each containing 10 earthworms. The test was conducted in accordance with OECD 207 Guideline. Mortality and behaviour were assessed at 7 and 14 days after application and biomass at 14 days after application. The validity criteria for the control group were met. No mortality was seen at any concentration tested and there was no significant change in biomass (mean loss was 8.1%) compared to the control in any concentration tested. The LC_{50} was estimated to be > 1,000 mg test item/kg soil dry weight. The NOEC for mortality and biomass was determined to be 1,000 mg test item/kg soil dry weight. Applying an assessment factor of 100 the PNEC_{earthworm} corresponds to 10 mg Lancer®/kg soil dry weight (dw). This PNEC was used in the risk characterisation.

¹⁶ Technical dossier/Section III_18.



Effects in terrestrial plants

In a GLP seeding emergence and seedling growth test, ¹⁷ three terrestrial plants (oat (Avena sativa, monocotyledon), oilseed rape (Brassica napus, dicotyledon) and soybean (Glycine max, dicotyledon)) were exposed to a concentration of 1,000 mg Lancer®/kg soil dry weight for 21 days. The results were compared with a control group that received water. The test was conducted in accordance with OECD 208 Guideline. There were 5 replicates (pots) with 5 seeds per replicate for oat; 7 replicates with 3 seeds per replicate for oilseed rape; and 12 replicates with 2 seeds per replicate for soybean. Plants were observed weekly for seedling emergence, survival/mortality and visual phytotoxicity. The validity criteria were met. End points observed at the end of the study were seedling emergence, survival of emerged seedlings, visual toxicity and biomass (shoot fresh weight). No adverse effects were seen on seedling emergence, survival of emerged seedlings and shoot fresh weight resulting in an overall NOEC of 1,000 mg test item/kg soil dry weight. For all species tested, the endpoints seedling emergence, survival of emerged seedlings and shoot fresh weight resulted in an EC₁₀, EC₂₀ and EC₅₀ estimated to be higher than 1,000 mg test item/kg soil dry weight. Applying an assessment factor of 100 the PNEC_{plant} corresponds to 10 mg Lancer[®]/kg soil dw. This PNEC was used in the risk characterisation.

Effects on soil microorganisms

In a GLP nitrogen transformation test¹⁸ performed in accordance with OECD 216 Guideline, biologically active agricultural soil (loamy sand/loam, pH 6.6, 1.45% Corg, water holding capacity (WHC) 37.39% dry soil) was either left untreated (control) or amended with Lancer[®] at 4.21 or at 42.1 mg/kg soil dry weight (test concentrations related to a soil depth of 5 cm and a soil density of 1.5 g/cm³). There were three replicates per treatment. Ammonia nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen and nitrite nitrogen were determined at 0, 7, 14 and 28 days of application. No adverse effects of the test item on nitrogen transformation in soil were observed at both test concentrations (deviation from control < 25%) after 28 days (time interval 14–28). The assessment factor for acute effects on microorganisms is 1; the PNEC is 42,100 μ g/kg.

Risk characterisation for Lancer®

The risk characterisation (PEC/PNEC ratio) for the terrestrial compartment is reported in Table 11.

Risk characterisation (PEC/PNEC ratio) for Lancer® for the terrestrial compartment **Table 11:**

	Taxa	PEC _{soil} (μg/kg)	PNEC (μg/kg)	PEC/PNEC
Lancer [®]	Earthworm	6,481	10,000	0.65
	Plants			

PEC: predicted environmental concentration; PNEC: predicted no effect concentration.

Even considering a very worst-case approach, no negative effects are expected for the terrestrial organisms.

3.2.2.3. Conclusions on safety for the environment

The use of Lancer[®] in feed for weaned piglets according to the proposed conditions of use does not represent a safety concern for the environment.

3.3. Post-market monitoring

The FEEDAP Panel considers that there is no need for specific requirements for a post-market monitoring plan other than those established in the Feed Hygiene Regulation and Good Manufacturing Practice.

Conclusions 4.

The FEEDAP Panel concludes that the use of Lancer® in feed for weaned piglets (up to 120 days) according to the proposed conditions of use does not represent a safety concern for the consumer and for the environment.

¹⁷ Technical dossier/Section III_19.

¹⁸ Technical dossier/Section III_20.

¹⁹ Regulation (EC) No 183/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 January 2005 laying down requirements for feed hygiene. OJ L 35, 8.2.2005, p. 1.



Documentation as provided to EFSA/Chronology

Date	Event
28/05/2019	Dossier received by EFSA. Supplementary information on the safety of lanthanide citrate as a zootechnical additive for weaned piglets under the conditions of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Submitted by Treibacher Industrie AG.
12/06/2019	Reception mandate from the European Commission
19/06/2019	Application validated by EFSA – Start of the scientific assessment
21/10/2019	Spontaneous submission of information by the applicant. Issues: safety for the consumer
12/11/2019	Opinion adopted by the FEEDAP Panel. End of the Scientific assessment

References

- Briner W, Rycek RF, Moellenberndt A and Dannull K, 2000. Neurodevelopmental effects of lanthanum in mice. Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 22, 573–581.
- Cornelis G, Ryan B, McLaughlin MJ, Kirby JK, Beak D and Chittleborough D, 2011. Solubility and batch retention of CeO₂ nanoparticles in soils. Environmental Science and Technology, 45, 2777–2782. https://doi.org/10.1021/es103769k
- Damment SJ and Pennick M, 2007. Systemic lanthanum is excreted in the bile of rats. Toxicology Letters, 171, 69–77. D'Haese PC, Douglas G, Verhulst A, Neven E, Behets GJ, Vervaet BA, Finsterle K, Lürling M and Spears B, 2019. Human health risk associated with the management of phosphorus in freshwaters using lanthanum and aluminium. Chemosphere, 220, 286–299.
- Du J, Xiongxing D, Xiangke W and Zuyi T, 1998. A multitracer study on the adsorption of 47 elements on a calcareous soil and its solid components. Journal of Radioanalitical and Nuclear Chemistry, 230, 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02387458
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008. Technical Guidance of the Scientific Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) for assessing the safety of feed additives for the environment. EFSA Journal 2008;6(10):842, 28 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.842
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2013. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) as feed additive for weaned piglets. EFSA Journal 2013;11(5):3206, 14 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3206
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2016. Scientific opinion on the safety of Lancer[®] (lanthanide citrate) as a zootechnical additive for weaned piglets. EFSA Journal 2016;14(5):4477, 10 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4477
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Dujardin B, Galobart J and Innocenti ML, 2017. Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer. EFSA Journal 2017;15(10):5022, 17 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5022
- EPA, 2009. TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW OF Cerium Oxide and Cerium Compounds. Available online: https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/toxreviews/1018tr.pdf
- Hollriegl V, Li WB and Michalke B, 2017. Biokinetic measurements and modelling of urinary excretion of cerium citrate in humans. In Radiation and Environmental Biophysics, 56, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00411-016-0671-4
- Ji Y, Cui M, Wang Y and Zhang X, 1985. Toxicological study on safety evaluation of rare earth elements used in agriculture. In New frontiers in rare earth science and applications. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Rare Earth Development and Applications, Beijing, China, 10–14 September 1985. Eds Xu G and Xiao, J. Science Press, Beijing, China 700–704.
- Li D, Huang S, Wang W and Peng A, 2001. Study on the kinetics of cerium(III) adsorption-desorption on different soils of China. Chemosphere, 44, 463–669.
- Schwabe A, Meyer U, Grün M, Voigt KD, Flachowsky G and Dänicke S, 2012. Effect of rare earth elements (REE) supplementation to diets on the carry-over into different organs and tissues of fattening bulls. Livestock Science, 143, 5–14.
- Shan X, Lian J and Wen B, 2002. Effect of organic acids on adsorption and desorption of rare earth elements. Chemosphere, 47, 701–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00032-2
- Slatopolsky E, Liapis H and Finch J, 2005. Progressive accumulation of lanthanum in the liver of normal and uremic rats. Kidney International, 68, 2809–2813.
- Stokes J, Meehan B, Fowless A and Peverill K, 1999. The Investigation of Lanthanum Sorption in Agricultural Soils. Materials Society Forum, 315–317, 361–367. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.315-317.361



Von Rosenberg S, Rambeck W and Wehr U, 2013. Lanthanum and cerium do not accumulate in organs, muscle or bone following highly elevated oral supplementation of lanthanide citrate in weaned piglets. Proceedings of the Society of Nutrition and Physiology, 22, 183.

Wen B, Shan Xi, Lin J, Tang G, Bai N and Yuan D, 2002. Desorption Kinetics of Yttrium, Lanthanum, and Cerium from Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 66, 1198. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2002.1198

Zuyi T, Xiangke W, Xiongxin D and Du J, 2000. Adsorption characteristics of 47 elements on a calcareous soil, a red earth and an alumina: a multitracer study. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 52, 821–829.

Abbreviations

ADI acceptable daily intake

ADME absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion

Ce cerium DM dry matter

DT₅₀ time to degradation of 50% of the original concentration of the compound

DW dry weight

EC₅₀ Concentration of the additive that has a given effect on 50% of the exposed population

FAIM Food Additive Intake Model GLP good laboratory practice HRP highest reliable percentile

ICP-MS inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry K_{oc} organic carbon–water partitioning coefficient

K_p partition coefficient

La lanthanum

LC₅₀ concentration of the additive that is lethal for 50% of the exposed population

LoD limit of detection LoQ limit of quantification

Nd neodymium

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level NOEC no observed effects concentration

NOEL no observed effect level

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PEC predicted environmental concentration PNEC predicted no effect concentration

Pr praseodymium

RAC raw agricultural commodities

REE rare earth elements WHC water holding capacity



Annex A – Calculation of consumer exposure with FACE model Methodology

As described in the Guidance on the safety of feed additives for consumers (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017), consumption data of edible tissues and products as derived from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database (Comprehensive Database) will be used to assess exposure to residues from the use of feed additives in different EU countries, age classes⁽²⁰⁾ and special population groups. For each EU country and age class, only the latest survey available in the Comprehensive Database will be used.

While the residue data reported for feed additives refer to organs and tissues (raw agricultural commodities (RAC)), the Comprehensive Database includes consumption data for foods as consumed. In order to match those consumption data with the available residue data for feed additives, the consumption data reported in the Comprehensive Database have been converted into RAC equivalents. For assessing the exposure to coccidiostats from their use in (non-reproductive) poultry, the following list of commodities is considered: meat, fat, liver, other offals (including kidney).

Depending on the nature of the health-based guidance derived, either a chronic or acute exposure assessment may be required.

For chronic exposure assessments, the total relevant residues will be combined for each individual with the average daily consumptions of the corresponding food commodities, and the resulting exposures per food will be summed in order to obtain total chronic exposure at individual level (standardised by using the individual body weight). The mean and the higher percentile (usually the 95th percentile) of the individual exposures will be subsequently calculated for each dietary survey (country) and each age class separately.

As opposed to the chronic exposure assessments, acute exposure calculation will be carried out for each RAC value separately. The higher percentile (usually the 95th percentile) exposures based on the consuming days only will be calculated for each food commodity, dietary survey and age class separately.

Detailed results on chronic exposure calculation

Table A.1: Chronic exposure of consumers to lanthanum residues based on residue data in weaned piglets (ng/kg bw per day)

Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Infants	Bulgaria	523	7.3684746689	95th
Infants	Germany	142	11.5244593763	95th
Infants	Denmark	799	30.8662845021	95th
Infants	Finland	427	4.7680005671	95th
Infants	United Kingdom	1,251	5.2900720545	95th
Infants	Italy	9	0.0171049620	50th
Toddlers	Belgium	36	16.5095319605	90th
Toddlers	Bulgaria	428	16.0536334448	95th
Toddlers	Germany	348	15.5087477334	95th
Toddlers	Denmark	917	35.6780008503	95th
Toddlers	Spain	17	7.3476836037	75th
Toddlers	Finland	500	10.0958136802	95th
Toddlers	United Kingdom	1,314	15.8058328988	95th
Toddlers	United Kingdom	185	30.7295381657	95th
Toddlers	Italy	36	8.2286291280	90th
Toddlers	Netherlands	322	35.3634020314	95th
Other children	Austria	128	18.5743662370	95th
Other children	Belgium	625	20.7106553569	95th

Infants: < 12 months old, toddlers: \geq 12 months to < 36 months old, other children: \geq 36 months to < 10 years old, adolescents: \geq 10 years to < 18 years old, adults: \geq 18 years to < 65 years old, elderly: \geq 65 years to < 75 years old, and very elderly: \geq 75 years old.



Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Other children	Bulgaria	433	20.2003163098	95th
Other children	Czech Republic	389	22.3060238900	95th
Other children	Germany	293	13.3052228786	95th
Other children	Germany	835	17.3377171460	95th
Other children	Denmark	298	21.2421492223	95th
Other children	Spain	399	16.2749021039	95th
Other children	Spain	156	21.1326908143	95th
Other children	Finland	750	22.7797307719	95th
Other children	France	482	14.7558766459	95th
Other children	United Kingdom	651	19.1334263735	95th
Other children	Greece	838	8.8964474825	95th
Other children	Italy	193	10.5431745496	95th
Other children	Latvia	187	22.0028657798	95th
Other children	Netherlands	957	26.3873793201	95th
Other children	Netherlands	447	16.2791446073	95th
Other children	Sweden	1,473	18.5760669991	95th
Adolescents	Austria	237	6.4039408898	95th
Adolescents	Belgium	576	7.0117959897	95th
Adolescents	Cyprus	303	3.9009657567	95th
Adolescents	Czech Republic	298	18.1104869102	95th
Adolescents	Germany	393	13.6576385128	95th
Adolescents	Germany	1,011	6.2761740912	95th
Adolescents	Denmark	377	9.9375215954	95th
Adolescents	Spain	651	9.4664301457	95th
Adolescents	Spain	209	10.7882492455	95th
Adolescents	Spain	86	8.0976663814	95th
Adolescents	Finland	306	5.4334701638	95th
Adolescents	France	973	8.4807963118	95th
Adolescents	United Kingdom	666	4.4710932334	95th
Adolescents	Italy	247	5.7668844578	95th
Adolescents	Latvia	453	12.5589900986	95th
Adolescents	Netherlands	1,142	8.6264721929	95th
		·		95th
Adolescents	Sweden	1,018	7.3636916193	
Adults Adults	Austria	308	6.3438966658	95th 95th
	Belgium	1,292	6.9507177251	
Adults	Czech Republic	1,666	13.2832216128	95th
Adults	Germany	10,419	6.7467413699	95th
Adults	Denmark	1,739	9.2629978717	95th
Adults	Spain	981	7.1892568618	95th
Adults	Spain	410	6.5130409067	95th
Adults	Finland	1,295	6.6104762816	95th
Adults	France	2,276	11.7604970830	95th
Adults	United Kingdom	1,265	4.4619348994	95th
Adults	Hungary	1,074	24.2620975609	95th
Adults	Ireland	1,274	4.6885591878	95th
Adults	Italy	2,313	4.2430683825	95th
Adults	Latvia	1,271	10.7639672581	95th
Adults	Netherlands	2,055	6.6830452838	95th
Adults	Romania	1,254	9.8512524326	95th
Adults	Sweden	1,430	6.1260304590	95th



Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Elderly	Austria	67	13.1617389883	95th
Elderly	Belgium	511	8.8407752897	95th
Elderly	Germany	2,006	6.5921055026	95th
Elderly	Denmark	274	10.8324376260	95th
Elderly	Finland	413	6.5824447008	95th
Elderly	France	264	14.0973689355	95th
Elderly	United Kingdom	166	5.2712923080	95th
Elderly	Hungary	206	16.4485053221	95th
Elderly	Ireland	149	5.2812021760	95th
Elderly	Italy	289	3.5972950078	95th
Elderly	Netherlands	173	6.7035298546	95th
Elderly	Netherlands	289	6.0414089057	95th
Elderly	Romania	83	6.8643072877	95th
Elderly	Sweden	295	7.9443620169	95th
Very elderly	Austria	25	2.4978823108	75th
Very elderly	Belgium	704	8.1605923930	95th
Very elderly	Germany	490	6.4388113631	95th
Very elderly	Denmark	12	4.6850144442	75th
Very elderly	France	84	15.1899096970	95th
Very elderly	United Kingdom	139	10.4855061482	95th
Very elderly	Hungary	80	13.8275189233	95th
Very elderly	Ireland	77	5.1942126562	95th
Very elderly	Italy	228	3.1462833254	95th
Very elderly	Netherlands	450	5.8526410192	95th
Very elderly	Romania	45	6.8873279455	90th
Very elderly	Sweden	72	7.5447263349	95th

HRP: highest reliable percentile; bw: body weight.

Table A.2: Acute exposure of consumers to lanthanum residues based on residue data in weaned piglets (ng/kg bw per day)

Raw primary commodity	Population class	Number of surveys	Maximum HRP
Mammals fat tissue	Infants	4	8.3218596154
Mammals fat tissue	Toddlers	11	8.3187274033
Mammals fat tissue	Other children	20	6.5090666667
Mammals fat tissue	Adolescents	20	5.3074581431
Mammals fat tissue	Adults	23	3.6519298955
Mammals fat tissue	Elderly	16	3.3799732673
Mammals fat tissue	Very elderly	14	3.5119560865
Mammals liver	Infants	4	183.0080000000
Mammals liver	Toddlers	9	141.0000000000
Mammals liver	Other children	20	270.9411764706
Mammals liver	Adolescents	18	144.2191780822
Mammals liver	Adults	22	197.1535580524
Mammals liver	Elderly	15	90.7586206897
Mammals liver	Very elderly	10	85.5400000000
Mammals meat	Infants	6	12.3165050000
Mammals meat	Toddlers	11	13.2202258598
Mammals meat	Other children	20	19.0199647619
Mammals meat	Adolescents	20	14.7739270000
Mammals meat	Adults	23	8.6289571369



Raw primary commodity	Population class	Number of surveys	Maximum HRP
Mammals meat	Elderly	16	7.2841806441
Mammals meat	Very elderly	14	7.4691432638
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Toddlers	5	12.4909090909
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Other children	15	23.2816666667
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Adolescents	16	13.0857142857
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Adults	22	15.5015384615
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Elderly	13	11.9478260870
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Very elderly	12	10.5287356322

HRP: highest reliable percentile; bw: body weight.

Table A.3: Chronic exposure of consumers to cerium residues based on residue data in weaned piglets (ng/kg bw per day)

Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Infants	Bulgaria	523	12.8935679721	95th
Infants	Germany	142	19.9000039584	95th
Infants	Denmark	799	52.2433985436	95th
Infants	Finland	427	8.2521527080	95th
Infants	United Kingdom	1,251	9.2219581364	95th
Infants	Italy	9	0.0296041825	50th
Toddlers	Belgium	36	28.2485333459	90th
Toddlers	Bulgaria	428	28.2231677461	95th
Toddlers	Germany	348	26.5510728649	95th
Toddlers	Denmark	917	60.5895232478	95th
Toddlers	Spain	17	12.7875445872	75th
Toddlers	Finland	500	17.5177772998	95th
Toddlers	United Kingdom	1,314	27.4713006358	95th
Toddlers	United Kingdom	185	52.2026075913	95th
Toddlers	Italy	36	14.4563894213	90th
Toddlers	Netherlands	322	59.7724940091	95th
Other children	Austria	128	31.8674440988	95th
Other children	Belgium	625	35.6701222641	95th
Other children	Bulgaria	433	34.8028515050	95th
Other children	Czech Republic	389	37.9928529218	95th
Other children	Germany	293	23.1571022901	95th
Other children	Germany	835	29.4968280090	95th
Other children	Denmark	298	36.1440642641	95th
Other children	Spain	399	27.5535113742	95th
Other children	Spain	156	35.8998264033	95th
Other children	Finland	750	38.5577927265	95th
Other children	France	482	25.1781477376	95th
Other children	United Kingdom	651	32.4525040736	95th
Other children	Greece	838	15.3903475183	95th
Other children	Italy	193	18.2062633282	95th
Other children	Latvia	187	37.8773682217	95th
Other children	Netherlands	957	44.7755737187	95th



Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Other children	Netherlands	447	27.5417816610	95th
Other children	Sweden	1,473	31.8233947207	95th
Adolescents	Austria	237	11.1686329073	95th
Adolescents	Belgium	576	11.9461267004	95th
Adolescents	Cyprus	303	6.7515438976	95th
Adolescents	Czech Republic	298	31.1412500666	95th
Adolescents	Germany	393	23.2853430209	95th
Adolescents	Germany	1,011	10.8821366146	95th
Adolescents	Denmark	377	16.9256948255	95th
Adolescents	Spain	651	16.8397582441	95th
Adolescents	Spain	209	18.7230659622	95th
Adolescents	Spain	86	13.9552291943	95th
Adolescents	Finland	306	9.6128115872	95th
Adolescents	France	973	14.5345388286	95th
Adolescents	United Kingdom	666	7.9883471130	95th
Adolescents	Italy	247	10.0036127896	95th
Adolescents	Latvia	453	21.4060110654	95th
Adolescents	Netherlands	1,142	14.8105652968	95th
Adolescents	Sweden	1,018	12.8622293052	95th
Adults	Austria	308	11.0259985538	95th
Adults	Belgium	1,292	11.9329089100	95th
Adults	Czech Republic	1,666	23.7117204076	95th
Adults	Germany	10,419	11.7100447467	95th
Adults	Denmark	1,739	15.7686274053	95th
Adults	Spain	981	12.4858294268	95th
Adults		410	11.2052458388	95th
Adults	Spain Finland	1,295	11.5523019156	95th
		·	20.0807408255	95th
Adults Adults	France	2,276		95th
	United Kingdom	1,265	7.7734110438	
Adults	Hungary	1,074	41.4328701115	95th
Adults	Ireland	1,274	8.1588176125	95th
Adults	Italy	2,313	7.4366017416	95th
Adults	Latvia	1,271	18.5377333042	95th
Adults	Netherlands	2,055	11.5595094192	95th
Adults	Romania	1,254	16.9778785568	95th
Adults	Sweden	1,430	10.6586637668	95th
Elderly	Austria	67	22.2620671117	95th
Elderly	Belgium	511	15.2601851336	95th
Elderly	Germany	2,006	11.5699507645	95th
Elderly	Denmark	274	18.2204656321	95th
Elderly	Finland	413	11.4839838903	95th
Elderly	France	264	23.7189744188	95th
Elderly	United Kingdom	166	8.9697963076	95th
Elderly	Hungary	206	28.5029266321	95th
Elderly	Ireland	149	9.1577951358	95th
Elderly	Italy	289	6.2765445989	95th
Elderly	Netherlands	173	11.4941750235	95th
Elderly	Netherlands	289	10.3085456304	95th
Elderly	Romania	83	12.2447660700	95th
Elderly	Sweden	295	13.5910949558	95th



Population class	Survey's country	Number of subjects	HRP value	HRP description
Very elderly	Austria	25	4.3231761374	75th
Very elderly	Belgium	704	13.9442170489	95th
Very elderly	Germany	490	11.0462030954	95th
Very elderly	Denmark	12	8.0120061563	75th
Very elderly	France	84	25.5262814380	95th
Very elderly	United Kingdom	139	17.8926080282	95th
Very elderly	Hungary	80	23.7121455361	95th
Very elderly	Ireland	77	9.1569739837	95th
Very elderly	Italy	228	5.4453874529	95th
Very elderly	Netherlands	450	9.9910844858	95th
Very elderly	Romania	45	11.6619898125	90th
Very elderly	Sweden	72	13.6847930640	95th

HRP: highest reliable percentile; bw: body weight.

Table A.4: Acute exposure of consumers to cerium residues based on residue data in weaned piglets (ng/kg bw per day)

Raw primary commodity	Population class	Number of surveys	Maximum HRP
Mammals fat tissue	Infants	4	15.3526019231
Mammals fat tissue	Toddlers	11	15.3468234544
Mammals fat tissue	Other children	20	12.0082666667
Mammals fat tissue	Adolescents	20	9.7914764079
Mammals fat tissue	Adults	23	6.7372712985
Mammals fat tissue	Elderly	16	6.2355514851
Mammals fat tissue	Very elderly	14	6.4790402937
Mammals liver	Infants	4	306.9208255319
Mammals liver	Toddlers	9	236.4696428571
Mammals liver	Other children	20	454.3926470588
Mammals liver	Adolescents	18	241.8684931507
Mammals liver	Adults	22	330.6441947566
Mammals liver	Elderly	15	152.2103448276
Mammals liver	Very elderly	10	143.4582500000
Mammals meat	Infants	6	21.3166250000
Mammals meat	Toddlers	11	22.8807276958
Mammals meat	Other children	20	32.9185476190
Mammals meat	Adolescents	20	25.5697750000
Mammals meat	Adults	23	14.9344512448
Mammals meat	Elderly	16	12.6069974576
Mammals meat	Very elderly	14	12.9271190184
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Toddlers	5	25.6227272727
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Other children	15	47.7579166667
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Adolescents	16	26.8428571429
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Adults	22	31.7984615385
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Elderly	13	24.5086956522
Mammals offals and slaughtering products (other than liver)	Very elderly	12	21.5977011494

HRP: highest reliable percentile; bw: body weight.