



Functional Urate-Associated Genetic Variants Influence Expression of lincRNAs *LINC01229* and *MAFTRR*

Megan Leask^{1,2}, Amy Dowdle¹, Hamish Salvesen¹, Ruth Topless³, Tayaza Fadason⁴, Wenhua Wei⁵, William Schierding^{2,4}, Judith Marsman^{1,2}, Jisha Antony¹, Justin M. O'Sullivan^{2,4}, Tony R. Merriman^{2,3*} and Julia A. Horsfield^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Pathology, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, ² Maurice Wilkins Centre for Molecular Biodiscovery, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, ³ Department of Biochemistry, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, ⁴ Liggins Institute, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, ⁵ Department of Women's and Children's Health, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yafei Li, Army Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Zhibin Wang, Johns Hopkins University, United States Shicheng Guo, Marshfield Clinic Research Institute, United States

*Correspondence:

Tony R. Merriman tony.merriman@otago.ac.nz Julia A. Horsfield julia.horsfield@otago.ac.nz

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 27 September 2018 Accepted: 22 December 2018 Published: 21 January 2019

Citation:

Leask M, Dowdle A, Salvesen H, Topless R, Fadason T, Wei W, Schierding W, Marsman J, Antony J, O'Sullivan JM, Merriman TR and Horsfield JA (2019) Functional Urate-Associated Genetic Variants Influence Expression of lincRNAs LINC01229 and MAFTRR. Front. Genet. 9:733. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00733 Genetic variation in the genomic regulatory landscape likely plays a crucial role in the pathology of disease. Non-coding variants associated with disease can influence the expression of long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs), which in turn function in the control of protein-coding gene expression. Here, we investigate the function of two independent serum urate-associated signals (SUA1 and SUA2) in close proximity to lincRNAs and an enhancer that reside \sim 60 kb and \sim 300 kb upstream of MAF, respectively. Variants within SUA1 are expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) for LINC01229 and MAFTRR, both co-expressed with MAF. We have also identified that variants within SUA1 are trans-eQTL for genes that are active in kidney- and serum urate-relevant pathways. Serum urate-associated variants rs4077450 and rs4077451 within SUA2 lie within an enhancer that recruits the transcription factor HNF4 α and forms long range interactions with LINC01229 and MAFTRR. The urate-raising alleles of rs4077450 and rs4077451 increase enhancer activity and associate with increased expression of LINC01229. We show that the SUA2 enhancer region drives expression in the zebrafish pronephros, recapitulating endogenous MAF expression. Depletion of MAFTRR and LINC01229 in HEK293 cells in turn lead to increased MAF expression. Collectively, our results are consistent with serum urate variants mediating long-range transcriptional regulation of the lincRNAs LINC01229 and MAFTRR and urate relevant genes (e.g., SLC5A8 and EHHADH) in trans.

Keywords: enhancer, eQTL, gout, HNF4A, lincRNA, MAF, non-coding, serum urate

INTRODUCTION

High levels of serum urate are necessary but not sufficient for gout, a debilitating form of inflammatory arthritis (Dalbeth et al., 2016). Hyperuricemia is a predictor of renal disease, cardiovascular disease and components of metabolic disease including obesity, fatty liver, diabetes, and hypertension (Sharaf El Din et al., 2017). Serum urate homeostasis is normally maintained by balancing urate production and excretion, predominantly by the liver and renal system, respectively (Maiuolo et al., 2016). Increased reabsorption of urate in the renal tubules (Hyndman et al., 2016) can cause high serum urate levels. Understanding the molecular mechanisms that control urate

1

homeostasis is critical to improving the prevention, management, and treatment for individuals affected with gout and possibly other associated metabolic diseases.

Genome wide association studies (GWAS) have identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with serum urate levels (Tin et al., 2011; Okada et al., 2012; Köttgen et al., 2013; Kanai et al., 2018). However, the majority of these associated genetic variants lie outside of coding regions and the molecular mechanisms through which they alter urate levels are unknown. Nevertheless, association with serum urate levels indicates that these variants must be linked to or lie within regions of the genome that have biological consequences for serum urate control. To understand how genetic variants might influence disease traits it is important to: (1) identify the function of the DNA regions to which the disease-associated variants map; and (2) identify which gene(s) and pathways are under their control (if the function is regulatory). Previously, we used this approach to assign function to serum urate-associated variants within a conserved non-coding region that regulates expression of PDZK1 (Ketharnathan et al., 2018).

The MAF bZIP transcription factor (MAF) is a strong candidate gene for involvement in serum urate control and gout. *MAF* is highly expressed in the human and mouse kidney, including the podocytes (Daassi et al., 2016) and proximal tubules (Imaki et al., 2004; Ponten et al., 2008). MAF function is important for the development and differentiation of the proximal tubule cells (Imaki et al., 2004). Additionally MAF has been shown to regulate antioxidant (Dhakshinamoorthy and Jaiswal, 2002; Kikuchi et al., 2018) and apoptotic pathways (Peng et al., 2007) that are required for NLRP3 inflammasome activation implicated in chronic kidney disease and gout (Jhang and Yen, 2017; So and Martinon, 2017). Although there are multiple genetically independent signals associated with serum urate levels in a \sim 500 kb region upstream of the MAF gene (Figure 1), it is not known if these influence the expression of MAF.

The urate association signals that are located upstream of *MAF* are in close proximity to multiple long intergenic noncoding (linc) RNAs. Recent evidence has shown that non-coding disease-associated SNPs can regulate the expression of lincRNAs (Kumar et al., 2013; Mirza et al., 2014). As a direct result of changing lincRNA expression, disease-associated SNPs could have indirect tissue-specific effects on protein-coding genes (Tan et al., 2017) that are involved in disease. Here, we investigate how serum urate-associated variants in two prominent urateassociation signals (SUA1 and SUA2) that are conserved between GWAS in European and Japanese sample sets could contribute to the regulation of serum urate levels.

RESULTS

In people of European ancestry, two loci located upstream of *MAF* [Chr16: 79637239–79645062 (build 37.7)] were identified as being associated with serum urate levels ($p < 10^{-6}$, hereafter referred to as serum urate association regions 1 (SUA1) and 2 (SUA2) (**Figure 1A** and **Table 1**) (Köttgen et al., 2013). SUA1

is located ~60 kb upstream of the MAF transcriptional start site (TSS) and extends through to the 3' region of MAF transcriptional regulator RNA (MAFTRR). The lead SUA1 SNP (rs7188445) is associated with gout (Phipps-Green et al., 2016). SUA2 is \sim 300 kb upstream of the MAF locus and \sim 120 kb upstream of the TSS of long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1229 (LINC01229) (Figure 1A). SUA1 and SUA2 have also been associated with serum urate levels in East Asian datasets (Okada et al., 2012; Kanai et al., 2018) [Table 1 and Figure 1B (data from Kanai et al., 2018)]. A third serum urate association signal (SUA3) (Table 1 and Figure 1B) located immediately upstream of MAF has currently only been identified in East Asian serum urate datasets (Okada et al., 2012; Kanai et al., 2018). The lead Okada et al. (2012) SUA3 SNP (rs889472) is associated with gout (Higashino et al., 2018) and is in nearly perfect linkage disequilibrium (LD) $(R^2 = 0.97)$ with the lead SUA3 SNP (rs8050348) in the Kanai et al. (2018) dataset (Figure 1B). A fourth serum urate association signal (SUA4) (Table 1 and Figure 1B) is only evident in the Kanai et al. (2018) Japanese data and is located immediately upstream of LOC1027724084 (Kanai et al., 2018). The maximally associated variants ($p = 2.3 \times 10^{-14}$) at SUA4 in the Kanai et al. (2018) data (rs4888080, rs4888078, and rs12325508) are in LD ($R^2 = 1$). The urate-raising alleles for these SNPs have allele frequencies of 0.03 in East Asian individuals from the 1000 Genomes Project and these SNPs are monomorphic in Europeans which explains the absence of the SUA4 signal from the European serum urate datasets. The region upstream of MAF has also been associated with other phenotypic traits (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1A). It is unknown whether variants in SUA1-4 affect the expression of MAF, or regulate other genes, including the lincRNAs at this region. In this study, we focussed on assigning function to the two independent regions SUA1 and SUA2 (determined by conditional analysis, Supplementary Figure S1B) that have been identified within both European and East Asian populations and are therefore conserved between population groups. SUA3 and SUA4 were excluded from our study due to their absence in the European population.

SUA1 and SUA2 Colocalize With eQTL for *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229*

We used COLOC (Giambartolomei et al., 2014) and expression data from The Genotype Tissue Expression (GTEx) project (Carithers and Moore, 2015) to identify cis-eQTL that colocalize with SUA1 and SUA2. eQTL for the genes MAFTRR and LINC01229 (Figure 2, gene body depicted in black) colocalize with the SUA1 urate signal (Table 2) although the lead eQTL variants are not the lead urate variants at SUA1 (Supplementary Figure S2). SUA1 urate-raising alleles associate with increased expression of MAFTRR but with lowered expression of LINC01229 (e.g., rs7188445_G Supplementary Figure S3 and Supplementary Table S1). An eQTL for *LINC01229* in the GTEx tissue Skin - Exposed (Lower leg) (Supplementary Figure S2) colocalises with the SUA2 urate signal (Table 2). Urateraising variants within SUA2 increase LINC01229 expression (Supplementary Table S2). Colocalization of the SUA1 and SUA2 urate signals with MAFTRR and LINC01229 eQTL suggests that



and in proximity to the lincRNA region encompassing *MAFTRR*, *LINC01229*, and *LOC1027724084* from (A) Köttgen et al. (2013) and (B) Kanai et al. (2018). Surrounding genes include *WWOX*, *DYNLRB2* and *CDYL2*. Dots indicate individual SNPs while their position relative to the left *Y*-axis indicates the significance $(-\log_{10}P)$ of association with serum urate levels. The blue line indicates the recombination rate across the locus. The SUA1, SUA2, SUA3, and SUA4 regions upstream of *MAF* are indicated by gray boxes and were defined by SNPs with $p < 10^{-6}$ and LD with the lead SNP at the SUA region $R^2 > 0.8$. The lead SNPs (A) *rs7188445* (SUA1) in Europeans and (B) *rs4888078* (SUA4) in East Asians are indicated by a purple dot. The color of the surrounding SNPs indicates the strength of LD with the lead SNP according to the key in the left top hand corner, measured as r^2 found in the HapMap data (hg19/1000 genomes Nov 2014) for Europeans (A) and East Asian (B). The lead SNP of each SUA region is indicated above the signal in Europeans (A) and East Asians (B). The plot was generated using LocusZoom (Pruim et al., 2010).

TABLE 1 | Serum urate associated regions upstream of MAF.

	SUA1	SUA2	SUA3	SUA4
Position (Chr16; Hg19)	79696939–79756197	79924857–79945421	79637239–79645062	80183736-80184313
Region length	~60 kb	~20 kb	\sim 8 kb	578 bp
Distance to MAF TSS	-60 kb	–290 kb	-9 Kb	-550 Kb
Lead urate increasing	allele (frequency)			
Köttgen et al., 2013	<i>r</i> s7188445_G (EUR = 0.65, EAS = 0.71)	<i>rs9935686_A</i> (EUR = 0.13, EAS = 0.16)	N/A	N/A
Kanai et al., 2018	<i>r</i> s144899207_7 (other = 0.70, EAS = 0.70)	<i>rs4077450_G</i> (EUR = 0.16, EAS = 0.39)	rs8050348_T (EUR = 0.38, EAS = 0.62)	<i>rs4</i> 888078_C (EUR = 0.00, EAS = 0.03)
Other associated phenotypes ($p < 10^{-4}$)	Gout (Phipps-Green et al., 2016), thyroid related traits (Teumer et al., 2011; Porcu et al., 2013; Zhan et al., 2014), alkaline phosphatase levels, electrolyte phosphorous levels (Kanai et al., 2018)	Hemoglobin, hematocrit, serum creatinine and estimate glomerular filtration rate (Kanai et al., 2018)	BMI (male) (Kanai et al., 2018), gout (Higashino et al., 2018)	Gamma-glutamyl transferase (Kanai et al., 2018)

the causal variant(s) at these regions for serum urate and lincRNA expression are shared. Collectively these results are consistent with expression of *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* being functionally important in serum urate control.

MAFTRR eQTL variants (all GTEx tissues) that have the greatest effect on *MAFTRR* expression lie immediately 3', or within the 3' region of the *MAFTRR* transcript (**Supplementary Figure S2**). By contrast, the locations of eQTL for *LINC01229* differ in genomic location between tissues (**Supplementary Figure S2**). eQTL for *MAF* are not found within SUA1 or SUA2 and instead lie within the *WWOX* transcript (+375 kb of *MAF*), downstream and within the *MAF* transcript, and within the promoter of *DYNLRB2* (-1 Mb of *MAF*) (**Supplementary Figure S4**).

GTEx currently has no renal eQTL data, therefore we queried the NepheQTL database (Gillies et al., 2018) to assess

whether the SUA1 and SUA2 MAFTRR and LINC01229 eQTL exist in the kidney tubule. MAFTRR has a very strong eQTL signal (FDR adjusted $p = 8.12 \times 10^{-18}$) in the tubulointerstitium (Supplementary Figure S2) that coincides with SUA1. Four maximally associated variants $(R^2 > 0.98)$ lie immediately 3' to the MAFTRR transcript consistent with the GTEx data. COLOC indicates that the eQTL signal for MAFTRR and the SUA1 serum urate signal are different (H3 posterior probability = 0.976). Nonetheless, SUA1 urate-raising variants associate with increased expression of MAFTRR in kidney tubule (Supplementary Table S1). No SUA2 variants were eQTL for MAFTRR in the kidney tubules within the NepheQTL database (Gillies et al., 2018) (Supplementary Table S2). Furthermore, there were no significant gene eQTL signals for MAF or LINC01229 within NepheQTL.



LincRNA gene bodies (black) and transcripts (dark blue) are depicted. (**B,C**) ENCODE screenshots of the SUA1 and SUA2 regions. The presence of enhancer elements; DNasel hypersensitivity regions (DHS) (Thurman et al., 2012), H3K27ac and H3K4me1 chromatin modifications (Heintzman et al., 2009), transcription factor binding sites (Wang et al., 2012) and predicted ChromHMM enhancers (Ernst and Kellis, 2017) are shown. Yellow lines indicate Köttgen SUA variants ($\rho < 10^{-5}$) (**B**) A schematic of the SUA1 region, which includes the lead SUA1 PAINTOR SNP *rs58722186* (red) lead European urate SNP *rs1188445* (green) and lead Japanese urate SNP *rs194899207* (blue). (**C**) A schematic of the ENCODE annotation of the SUA2 region, which includes the lead SUA1 PAINTOR SNP *rs192158533* (red) lead European urate SNP *rs9935686* (green) and lead Japanese urate SNP *rs192158533* (red) lead European urate SNP *rs9935686* (green) and lead Japanese urate SNP *rs192158533* (red) lead European urate SNP *rs9935686* (green) and lead Japanese urate SNP *rs4077450* (blue).

An additional whole kidney-derived eQTL dataset (Ko et al., 2017) and Japanese blood eQTL sample set¹ [monocytes, CD4+, CD8+, natural killer and B cells (Kanai et al., 2018)] were queried. eQTL for *MAF* were located downstream of *MAF* (consistent with the GTEx data), however, there were no eQTL data for *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* and no SUA (SUA1-4) variants were significant eQTL in these tissues (**Supplementary Figure S5**).

SUA1 Serum Urate Variants Are Unlikely to Regulate Transcription

Intergenic disease-associated variants could influence disease traits by regulating gene expression. We used PAINTOR (Kichaev et al., 2014) in conjunction with ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012) to identify functional variants with regulatory activity at the SUA regions upstream of *MAF*. Fine mapping with PAINTOR using

¹http://jenger.riken.jp:8080

TABLE 2 | Colocalized GTEx eQTL and SUA upstream of MAF.

eGene	PPC ¹	GTEx eQTL tissue	Effect of urate-raising alleles	GTEx single tissue eGene <i>p</i> -value
MAFTRR	0.92	Pancreas	+	1.34×10^{-8}
MAFTRR	0.84	Colon (sigmoid)	+	3.00×10^{-12}
LINC01229	0.92	Testis	_	8.53×10^{-3}
LINC01229	0.76	Skin exposed (lower leg)	+	1.46 × 10 ⁻⁹⁷
	eGene MAFTRR MAFTRR LINC01229 LINC01229	eGene PPC1 MAFTRR 0.92 MAFTRR 0.84 LINC01229 0.92 LINC01229 0.76	eGenePPC1GTEx eQTL tissueMAFTRR0.92PancreasMAFTRR0.84Colon (sigmoid)LINC012290.92TestisLINC012290.76Skin exposed (lower leg)	eGenePPC1GTEx eQTL tissueEffect of urate-raising allelesMAFTRR0.92Pancreas+MAFTRR0.84Colon (sigmoid)+LINC012290.92Testis-LINC012290.76Skin exposed (lower leg)+

¹Posterior probability of colocalization (PPC) as determined by COLOC.

kidney cell type annotations (Finucane et al., 2015) as a prior identified the most likely causal variants within SUA1 and SUA2 (Table 3). We interrogated ENCODE to identify putative regulatory elements that overlap the SNPs identified by PAINTOR (Figure 2, Supplementary Tables S1, S2, and Supplementary Figures S6, S7). Functional annotations of the human genome were scanned to identify DNaseI hypersensitivity regions [DHS; characteristic of enhanced chromatin accessibility (Thurman et al., 2012)], the coexistence of histone H3 monomethylated on lysine 4 and histone H3 acetylated on lysine 27 (H3K4me1, H3K27ac; hallmarks of active cis-regulatory elements) (Heintzman et al., 2009) and transcription factor binding sites (Wang et al., 2012, 2013). We also looked for hyperaccelerated regions (HARs), which represent conserved genomic loci with elevated divergence in humans (Doan et al., 2016) and can therefore indicate potential human-specific enhancers.

Our ENCODE analyses indicate that rs58722186 within SUA1 identified by PAINTOR (Table 3, Figures 2A,B, PAINTOR posterior probability = 0.31) is in close proximity to regulatory elements. rs58722186 is adjacent to five predicted transcription factor binding sites based on chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) studies from HEPG2 and K652 cells, falls within a GATA2 and GATA3 binding site and is bound by GATA2 in SH-SY5Y cells (ENCODE; Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S6). rs58722186 is also flanked by DHS peaks and enriched for histone 3 monomethylation on lysine 4 (H3K4me1) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S6). rs58722186 is one of the maximally associated serum urate variants ($p = 7.10 \times 10^{-13}$) in the Kanai et al. (2018) dataset. rs58722186 is an eQTL for MAFTRR in multiple tissues with a similar effect on expression as the lead SUA1 urate SNP rs7188445 ($p = 1.3 \times 10^{-36}$ and $p = 9.6 \times 10^{-37}$, respectively). Chromatin state segmentation by hidden Markov model (ChromHMM) (Ernst and Kellis, 2017) indicates that *rs58722186* is within heterochromatin (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S6) but is in proximity to a weak enhancer in K652 cells. We find minimal evidence for regulatory elements that overlap urate-associated SNPs identified in the European dataset in SUA1 (Supplementary Figure S2B and Supplementary Table S1) consistent with our PAINTOR analyses (Supplementary Table S3).

The SUA2 Region Has Hallmarks of Enhancer Function

At SUA2 the lead PAINTOR SNP *rs192158533* (**Table 3**, **Figures 2A,C**, PAINTOR posterior probability = 0.89) does not mark any significant regulatory elements. *rs192158533* is monomorphic in East Asian populations yet there is a strong urate association at SUA2 signal in Kanai et al. (2018) data. The second most likely SUA2 PAINTOR SNP (**Table 3**, **Figures 2A,C**, PAINTOR posterior probability = 0.27) is *rs4077450* and the maximally associated urate SNP in the Kanai et al. (2018) Japanese data ($p = 3.9 \times 10^{-14}$).

ENCODE identifies that *rs4077450* along with SUA2 variant *rs4077451* encompass a region that is enriched for H3K4me1 and H3K27ac (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S7) (Heintzman et al., 2009). DHS peaks overlap this region in 33 cell lines, including HRCEpiC renal cortex cells (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure S7, and Supplementary Table S4) (Thurman et al., 2012). The ENCODE data identifies ~30 predicted transcription factor binding sites within the *rs4077450_rs4077451* SNP region in chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) studies in HEPG2 and K652 cells (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S7) (Gerstein et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012, 2013).

ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2017) predicts that the *rs4077450_rs4077451* SNP region marks a strong enhancer in liver carcinoma HEPG2 and leukemia K562 cells (**Figure 2C** and **Supplementary Figure S7**). In these cells there is also evidence for strong active transcription signatures at the *MAFTRR/LINC01229* TSS but the *MAF* TSS is marked by repressed chromatin signatures. Our eQTL analyses indicate that SUA2 SNPs *rs4077450* and *rs4077451* are within the colocalized *LINC01229* eQTL ($p = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ and $p = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively, **Supplementary Figures S8A,B**) and the urate lowering alleles associate with lowered *LINC01229* expression (**Table 2** and **Supplementary Table S2**).

Conserved non-coding regions of the genome might indicate developmental enhancers (Polychronopoulos et al., 2017). LINSIGHT (Huang et al., 2017) indicates that the SUA2 SNP region is under sequence constraint (**Supplementary Figure S9**).

TABLE 3 PAINTOR analysis of SUA1 and SUA2.						
Variant ID	SUA region	PAINTOR posterior probability	Köttgen p-value	Kanai p-value	EUR MAF	EAS MAF
rs192158533	SUA2	0.89	4.6×10^{-6}	N/A	0.01	0.00
rs58722186	SUA1	0.31	4.3×10^{-8}	7.10×10^{-13}	0.34	0.27
rs4077450	SUA2	0.27	8.29×10^{-6}	3.9×10^{-14}	0.16	0.39
rs7188445	Lead SUA1 SNP	0.064	2.08×10^{-8}	3.4×10^{-9}	0.35	0.29
rs9935686	Lead SUA2 SNP	0.059	1.14×10^{-6}	1.0×10^{-5}	0.13	0.16

SUA2 also encompasses a HAR (Bird et al., 2007) which is located \sim 2 kb upstream of the *rs4077450* SNP region at Chr16: 79934248–79934397 (**Supplementary Figure S9**). In combination, our *in silico* analyses indicate that the SUA2 SNP region is a strong candidate for enhancer function and follow up in functional assays.

The SUA2 Region Functions as a Kidney-Specific Enhancer in Zebrafish

We performed transient enhancer assays in zebrafish embryos to investigate the ability of the SUA2 region to act as an enhancer *in vivo*. The region encompassing the DHS and TFBS hotspot and spanning *rs4077450* and *rs4077451* was amplified from 1000 Genome DNA samples heterozygous for each of the allele variants (**Figure 2C**). Allele fragments containing *rs4077450_T-rs4077451_A* and *rs4077450_G-rs4077451_T* are herein named major and minor allele fragments, respectively. The minor alleles associate with increased urate levels and increased *LINC01229* expression.

The major and minor allele fragments of the SUA2 region are both capable of driving kidney-specific GFP expression in zebrafish larvae (Figure 3). Cells that are destined to form the zebrafish pronephric duct arise from the ventral mesoderm and then populate the intermediate mesoderm where the differentiation of the pronephric duct into the glomerulus, proximal tubule and distal tubule begins (Horsfield et al., 2002; Drummond, 2003). GFP was initially observed in the zebrafish kidney proximal tubule at 24 h post-fertilization (hpf) (Supplementary Figure S10). By 3 days post-fertilization (dpf), the pronephric duct can be clearly divided into four sections; the glomerulus, the proximal convoluted tubule (PCT), the proximal straight tubule (PST) and the distal tubule (DT) (Figure 3A) (Wingert et al., 2007). At 3 dpf, the presence of mosaic GFP showed that the enhancer is active in the PCT, PST and DT (Figure 3B). By 5 dpf GFP kidney expression was confined to the PCT (Figure 3C).

The extent of GFP localization in the PCT, PST, and DT was quantified in 3 dpf embryos. The minor allele fragment drove GFP expression in the cells of the PCT in 34% of embryos, the PST in 26% of embryos and the DT in 13% of embryos at 3 dpf. The major allele fragment drove GFP expression in the PCT in 34% of embryos, PST in 22% of embryos and DT in 5% of embryos at 3 dpf (**Figure 3D**). The percentage of embryos with GFP in the DT between the major and minor allele fragments was significantly different (Fishers exact test, p = 0.0025) indicating that minor (urate-raising) allele fragment has subtly greater spatial enhancer activity during development.

The Amplitude of SUA2 Enhancer Activity in HEK293 Cells Depends on Allele Identity

The SUA2 major and minor allele fragments were cloned into luciferase reporter constructs and evaluated for differential enhancer activity in the human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293. The major and minor allele fragments both exhibited significant (p = 0.0216 and p = 0.0002, respectively) enhancer

activity in HEK293 cells when compared to the vector only control (**Figure 3E**). Notably, the minor urate-raising allele fragment had elevated enhancer activity when compared to the major allele (p = 0.0032).

Collectively, our results indicate that the genomic region containing the *rs4077450_rs4077451* SNPs is a kidney-specific regulatory element (henceforth called the SUA2 enhancer) that shows allele-specific enhancer activity. The minor (urate-raising) alleles increased the range of tissue expression in zebrafish, and also increased the amplitude of expression in HEK293 cells, providing the first indication of a mechanism for influence of SUA2-specific genetic variation on serum urate levels.

The SUA2 Region Physically and Functionally Connects With MAFTRR/LINC01229 and SUA1

CoDeS3D which leverages genome connectivity (Hi-C datasets) and gene expression associations [eQTL data from the GTEx catalog (Carithers and Moore, 2015)] provides evidence that variants within the SUA2 enhancer regulate the LINC01229 locus via long-range interactions. Genome connectivity data from Hi-C datasets for K562, NHEK, IMR90, HMEC, GM12878, and KBM7 cells (Rao et al., 2014) shows that an interaction fragment containing rs4077450 and rs4077451 within SUA2 physically interacts with 45 restriction fragments that fall within a \sim 130 kb interaction region [Figure 3F, Chr16: 79712175–79841647 (build 37.7) FDR < 0.02, Supplementary Table S5]. This interaction region includes the transcripts for the lincRNA genes LINC01229, LINC01228, and MAFTRR and a large part of SUA1 (Figure 3F). Hi-C data from renal cells (Caki-2) (Yang et al., 2018) provides further confirmation that a restriction fragment containing rs4077450 interacts with restriction fragments containing rs7188445 (SUA1) and rs889472 (SUA3) (Supplementary Figure S11A). Hi-C data also shows that topologically associated domains (TADs) at the MAF locus shift in location depending on cell/tissue type. In NHEK cells MAF is found on the TAD boundary (Supplementary Figure S11B) and in HUVEC, IMR90 and KBM7 cells MAF shares a TAD with SUA1, MAFTRR, LINC01229, and SUA2 (Supplementary Figure S11B).

The SUA2 Enhancer Binds HNF4 α and Its Expression Coincides With *maf* and *hnf4a* Expression in Zebrafish Kidney

We used *in situ* hybridization to examine the spatial location of *Danio rerio maf* transcripts in zebrafish embryos and assess whether *maf* expression overlaps with the activity of the kidney specific enhancer. We found that *maf* is expressed in the developing kidney and proximal tubules at 12 hpf, 24 hpf, and 48 hpf (**Figures 4A–C**). GFP expression driven by the SUA2 enhancer in the proximal tubules coincides with the developmental expression of zebrafish *maf* at 48 hpf (**Figure 4D**).

The HNF4 α transcription factor is robustly expressed in the human kidney proximal tubule² (Ponten et al., 2008)

²https://www.proteinatlas.org/



and regulates the expression of genes encoding proteins that control serum urate (Prestin et al., 2014), including PDZK1 (Ketharnathan et al., 2018). Furthermore, variation at the HNF4A locus is associated with serum urate levels ($P \sim 10^{-6}$) (Köttgen et al., 2013). SUA2 variants rs4077450 and rs4077451 flank a core HNF4α consensus motif (Supplementary Figure S7) (Wang et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017) and fall inside an HNF4α ChIP-seq peak in HEPG2 cells (Supplementary Figure S7) (Gerstein et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012, 2013). We conducted ChIPqPCR using an anti-HNF4α antibody and confirmed that the SUA2 enhancer is physically bound by HNF4α in HEPG2 cells (Figure 4E). HNF4a binding was not assessed in HEK293 cells because HNF4A is not expressed in this cell line (Ketharnathan et al., 2018). GFP expression driven by the SUA2 enhancer also coincides with hnf4a expression in the zebrafish pronephric duct at these stages (Wingert and Davidson, 2011). Combined, our



FIGURE 4 Zebrafish *maf* coincides with SUA2 enhancer activity. **(A–C)** Whole mount *in situ* hybridization with a riboprobe detecting zebrafish *maf* was performed on wild type embryos at 12, 24, and 48 hpf. *maf* expression is present in the pronephros at 12 hpf and the proximal tubules at 24 and 48 hpf. **(D)** The SUA2 SNP region drives expression of GFP in the proximal tubules at 48 hpf. **(E)** ChIP analysis of the HNF4 α binding site at the SUA2 SNP region, a positive HNF4 α site at *ABCC6* and a negative HNF4 α site at *B-globin*. Binding is shown relative to input chromatin. Bar graphs represent mean from three independent experiments. A one-way ANOVA test indicates a significant difference between the means of the SUA2 SNP region and the negative binding site in *B-globin*. There is no difference between the positive *ABCC6* site and the SUA2 SNP region. Error bars denote standard error of the mean and asterisks indicate significance: *p < 0.005; **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005. analyses of the SUA2 kidney enhancer indicate that it functionally and physically connects with the *MAFTRR/LINC01229* lincRNA region, is likely responsive to HNF4 α , and could contribute to *MAF* expression. However, several other transcription factor consensus motifs, including those for TFAP2A and HNF4G, are also in close proximity to SUA2 variants *rs4077450* and *rs4077451*, therefore it is possible that the variants could influence the binding of one or more transcription factors.

SUA1 Variants in *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* Have Targets Independent of *MAF* That Influence Serum Urate Levels

CoDeS3D and Hi-C data for K562, NHEK, IMR90, HMEC, GM12878 and KBM7 cells provides spatial data supporting the *rs7188445 MAFTRR* cis-eQTL (**Supplementary Table S6**). Hi-C data also provide evidence that the lead *MAFTRR* eQTL SNPs which lie within the *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* transcripts physically connect with *MAF*, however, there are no significant *MAF* eQTLs associated with these connections (**Supplementary Table S7**).

We also tested whether genes in trans have functional genomic regulatory connections at SUA1 (Rinn and Chang, 2012). CoDeS3D identified 12 spatially supported trans-eQTL with SUA1 variants located within the MAFTRR and LINC01229 transcripts (FDR < 0.05, Supplementary Table S8) and also with the lead SUA1 SNP rs7188445 (Supplementary Table S6). The lead SNPs for these trans-eQTL at SUA1 are different than the lead cis-eQTL SNPs for MAFTRR (Supplementary Figures S2, S12 and Figure 5). Importantly COLOC analysis (Giambartolomei et al., 2014) indicates that these trans-eQTL signals colocalize with the SUA1 serum urate signal (Table 4) indicating that SUA1 could control serum urate levels via trans-eQTL connections. Of the 12 trans-eQTL, only 2 did not colocalize (H4 < 0.5) with the SUA1 signal (CCDC79 and TBC1D10B). Of the 10 remaining trans-eQTL, four genes (i.e., SLC5A8, CCDC6, EHHADH, and DLGAP1) are potentially involved in urate metabolism based on our Gene Ontology (GO) analyses³ and previous urate associations (Köttgen et al., 2013; Kanai et al., 2018) (Figure 5).

CCDC6 is in very close proximity to a urate GWAS signal that sits in the intergenic region between *CCDC6* and *SLC16A9* (**Supplementary Figure S13A**). *CCDC6* and *SLC16A9* are strongly co-regulated ($p = 6.9 \times 10^{-9}$, GeneNetwork.nl) and the lead Köttgen SNP at *CCDC6/SLC16A9* (*rs1171614*) is an eQTL for both *SLC16A9* and *CCDC6* [GTEx (Carithers and Moore, 2015)]. SUA1 lead urate-raising variant *rs7188445_G* associates with lowered expression of *CCDC6* (**Supplementary Figure S13B**). At *SLC5A8* there is a weak urate GWAS signal in the Japanese population (Kanai et al., 2018) (**Supplementary Figure S13A**). *SLC5A8* is a monocarboxylate transporter that has been strongly implicated in urate transport in the kidney (Merriman, 2015) and associates with the GO biological function term urate transport ($p = 6.3 \times 10^{-3}$) (**Supplementary Table S9**). The urate-raising allele of *rs7188445* associates with increased

³www.GeneNetwork.nl

TABLE 4	Colocalization	of Köttgen	SUA1 sig	nal and SUA1	trans-eQTL signals
---------	----------------	------------	----------	--------------	--------------------

PPC ¹	eQTL tissue
0.80	Ovary
0.93	Heart left ventricle
0.69	Brain frontal cortex
0.85	Stomach
0.68	Uterus
0.63	Brain frontal cortex
0.68	Brain caudate basal ganglia
0.52	Brain hippocampus
0.58	Uterus
0.25	Breast mammary tissue
0.78	Spleen
0.09	Colon sigmoid
	PPC ¹ 0.80 0.93 0.69 0.85 0.68 0.63 0.68 0.52 0.58 0.25 0.78 0.09

¹Posterior probability of colocalization (PPC) as determined by COLOC.

expression of *SLC5A8* (**Supplementary Figure S13B**). The only other genes with a *trans*-eQTL at SUA1 with evidence for a urate signal was *DLGAP1* in the Japanese population (**Supplementary Figure S13A**). The serum urate-raising and gout risk allele *rs7188445_G* associates with increased expression of *DLGAP1* (**Supplementary Figure S13B**). The proteins encoded by both *DLGAP1* and *SLC5A8* contain PDZ3 domains and interact with PDZK1 (Hu et al., 2009), a scaffold protein involved in urate reabsorption within the kidney tubule (Merriman, 2015).

Of the 10 genes with colocalized SUA1 *trans*-eQTL, *EHHADH* has the strongest signal in kidney tubule (gene level FDR = 0.078) (Gillies et al., 2018). Expression of *EHHADH* is enriched in the proximal tubule (Habuka et al., 2014) and associates with the GO biological function term urate transport ($p = 7.7 \times 10^{-3}$) in the GeneNetwork database (**Supplementary Table S9**). The serum urate-raising and gout risk allele *rs7188445_G* associates with increased *MAFTRR* expression and lowered *EHHADH* expression (**Supplementary Figure S13B**). These analyses collectively indicate that SUA1 variants associate with the expression of serum urate and kidney relevant genes in *trans*.

LINC01229, MAFTRR, and *Trans*-eQTL Are Coexpressed With *MAF*

Our analyses indicate that *LINC01229* and *MAFTRR*, along with genes in *trans*, likely have functions in serum urate regulation. In addition, the SUA2 enhancer recruits HNF4 α and coincides with the expression of zebrafish *hnf4a* and *maf*, implicating *MAF* in serum urate regulation. Supporting this co-expression analysis the GeneNetwork database (Kumar et al., 2013) indicates that *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* are strongly co-expressed with *MAF* ($p = 5.0 \times 10^{-27}$ and $p = 2.4 \times 10^{-26}$, respectively). However, *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* are not co-expressed (**Supplementary Tables S10, S11**). Interestingly, *HNF4A* is co-expressed with *LINC01229* (p = 0.011) but not with *MAFTRR* (**Supplementary Tables S10, S11**) consistent with the SUA2 variant effect on



FIGURE 5 SUA1 connects with and associates with expression of genes in *trans*. Regional association plots for four *trans*-eQTL at SUA1 implicated in serum urate and kidney relevant pathways. Dots indicate individual SNPs while their position relative to the left *Y*-axis indicates significance $[-\log_{10}(p-value)]$ of association to serum urate levels. The blue line indicates the recombination rate across the locus. The SUA1 region upstream of *MAF* is indicated by a gray box. *rs7188445* (the lead SUA1 urate SNP) is indicated by a purple dot. The color of the surrounding SNPs indicates the strength of LD with *rs7188445* according to the key in the left top hand corner, measured as r^2 found in the HapMap data (hg19/1000 genomes Nov 2014) for Europeans. The plot was generated using LocusZoom (Pruim et al., 2010).

LINC01229 expression. We also assessed whether the SUA1 *trans*-eQTL genes are co-expressed with *MAFTRR*, *MAF* and/or *LINC01229*. *SLCO3A1*, *TNKS* and *ENTPD3* (the likely target of *ENTPD3*-*AS1*) are co-regulated with *MAF* (p = 0.0088, p = 0.011, and p = 0.022, respectively) (**Supplementary Table S12**) and *rs7188445_G* associates with increased *SLCO3A1*, *TNKS*, and *ENTPD3* expression (**Supplementary Figure S13B**).

MAFTRR and LINC01229 Regulate MAF and Function in Kidney and Urate Relevant Pathways

To test if *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* can contribute to the regulation of *MAF* transcription, we depleted *MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* using siRNA in HEK293 cells. Reduction of *MAFTRR* RNA levels (**Figure 6A**) resulted in upregulation of endogenous *MAF* mRNA (**Figure 6B**). Reduction of *LINC01229* RNA levels (**Figure 6D**) also led to upregulation of endogenous *MAF* mRNA (**Figure 6E**). Our results indicate that *LINC01229* and *MAFTRR* normally repress *MAF* expression in kidney HEK293 cells.

Our analyses indicate that LINC01229 and MAFTRR have the ability to regulate MAF in kidney cells. We assigned putative phenotypes and biological processes to LINC01229 and MAFTRR using GeneNetwork (Kumar et al., 2013), which infers functional enrichment from co-expressed genes⁴. The top enriched human phenotype ontology terms for MAFTRR and *LINC01229* are 'tubulointerstitial abnormality' ($p = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$) and 'accelerated skeletal maturation' ($p = 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$), respectively. The top GO biological process term for MAFTRR is 'chondroitin sulfate catabolic process' ($p = 8.4 \times 10^{-3}$). The top GO biological process term for LINC01229 'cell development' ($p = 7.9 \times 10^{-5}$) implicates LINC01229 in a pathway with MAF and three other serum urate-associated loci, INHBB, INHBC and INHBE, detected by GWAS (Köttgen et al., 2013) (Supplementary Figures S14A,B). Additionally, HNF4A and LINC01229 share GO enrichment in lipidrelated pathways including 'chylomicron assembly,' 'phospholipid efflux,' and 'high-density lipoprotein assembly' (Supplementary Figure S14A). LINC01229 also associates with inflammationand gout-relevant GO terms including 'interleukin β secretion,' 'T-cell differentiation,' and 'interleukin 2 biosynthetic process' (Supplementary Figure S14A).

The *MAF* Upstream Intergenic Region Is Conserved

The intergenic region upstream of *MAF* encompassing the lincRNAs is conserved in mammals. Two non-coding RNA loci upstream of *MAF* in mouse (i.e., Gm30925 and Gm31037) are positionally conserved with respect to *MAF*, expressed in kidney and homologous with the human lincRNA region (BLAST E-value = 3×10^{-59} and 2×10^{-16} , respectively) (**Supplementary Figure S15**). Moreover, sequence homologous (E-value = 6×10^{-20}) to the SUA2 enhancer in mouse is located 276,670 bp upstream of *Maf*. Our analyses suggest that the ~300 kb *MAF* upstream intergenic region marked by SUA1 and SUA2

represents an evolutionarily conserved regulatory network active in kidney and serum urate regulation.

DISCUSSION

Identifying and assigning function to genomic regions encompassing disease-associated variants is crucial for identifying their biological role in disease (Edwards et al., 2013). Here, we provide evidence that the conserved syntenic block encompassing MAF, the lincRNA eQTL SUA1 region, and the enhancer SUA2 region constitute a functional genomic regulatory domain that contributes to serum urate regulation (Figure 7). SUA1 and SUA2 are independent and functionally distinct serum urate-associated regions that physically connect with the lincRNA region and the MAF promoter region (SUA3) (Figure 7). Genetic variation within SUA1 and SUA2 is associated with alterations in the expression of the lincRNAs contained in this genomic block. SUA1 variants physically connect with, and alter the expression of, MAFTRR, LINC01229 and urate-relevant genes in *trans*. SUA2 marks an enhancer element that physically and functionally connects with LINC01229 expression, recruits HNF4a, and coincides with the developmental expression of zebrafish maf and hnf4a. Finally, we show that the lincRNAs regulate the expression of MAF in cis. By teasing out the molecular mechanisms that underlie this regulatory network,

⁴www.genenetwork.nl/genenetwork

we have assigned serum urate-associated variants to a distinct biological pathway that is active in the kidney and involved in serum urate control.

Serum urate excretion is predominantly carried out in the kidney tubules with the remainder managed by the intestine (Merriman, 2015). We observed a colocalization of the SUA1 urate signal and the *MAFTRR* colon *cis*-eQTL (**Table 2** and **Supplementary Figure S2**) and the partial overlap with the *MAFTRR* kidney tubule eQTL signal (**Supplementary Figure S2**). This is consistent with our co-expression analyses which indicate that *MAFTRR* associates with the kidney-relevant human phenotype ontology term 'tubulointerstitial abnormality.' Collectively these data support a biological role for *MAFTRR* in serum urate regulation in these tissues.

An inverse relationship between *MAFTRR* levels and *MAF* expression has previously been identified in patients with multiple sclerosis, whereby higher levels of *MAFTRR* in CD4+ T lymphocyte cells lowers expression of *MAF* (Zhang et al., 2017). This relationship shows a strong correlation with annual relapse rates (Zhang et al., 2017). In our study, the urateraising alleles in SUA1 increase *MAFTRR* expression (**Table 2** and **Supplementary Table S1**). Chromosome conformation capture data in T-lymphocytes has identified genomic contacts in the region 3' to *MAFTRR* that overlap SUA1 and physically connect with the *MAF* promoter marked by SUA3. In this genomic conformation the *MAFTRR* transcripts act as a scaffold for regulatory elements including EZH2 that recruit the repressive histone mark, H3K27me3 (Ranzani et al., 2015) which in turn represses *MAF* transcription. Our analyses of Hi-C data support

this physical connection between SUA1 variants and *MAF* (**Supplementary Table S7**). We also observed that depleting *MAFTRR* or *LINC01229* increases *MAF* transcription in kidney cells (**Figure 6**), consistent with this chromatin interaction data from T-cells. We propose that the SUA1 variant effect on *MAFTRR* and/or *LINC01229* expression may also contribute to the 3D structure at this locus in a tissue-dependent manner, altering the expression of *MAF* in *cis* (**Figure 7**).

We also observed that SUA1 variants form functional trans chromatin contacts that colocalize with the SUA1 urate signal (Table 4 and Figures 5, 7). We identified SLC5A8 which encodes sodium-coupled monocarboxylate transporter 1 (SMCT1). SLC5A8/SMCT1 transports monocarboxylates into the kidney tubule, which are exchanged for urate by urate reuptake transporters such as SLC22A12/URAT1 (Merriman, 2015). The urate-raising allele of rs7188445 is associated with increased expression of SLC5A8, which would be predicted to increase the monocarboxylate pool for reabsorption of urate. Any serum urate signal at the SLC5A8 locus, if real, is weak (Supplementary Figure S13); nevertheless, our data suggest that the expression of SLC5A8 is controlled from the MAF SUA1 locus. EHHADH (associated with the GO term 'urate transport') is involved in fatty acid oxidation, the main energy source for kidney tubule cells (Balaban and Mandel, 1988) and EHHADH mutations have been implicated in Fanconi's syndrome which is characterized by renal proximal tubule dysfunction (Klootwijk et al., 2014). Furthermore Fanconi's syndrome can result in hypouricemia due to high urate clearance (Meisel and Diamond, 1977). A reduction in EHHADH expression has been observed in mouse models for chronic kidney disease (Trudu et al., 2017), hyperlipidemia (Mirzoyan et al., 2017), obesity and fatty liver disease (Vandanmagsar et al., 2011). This is consistent with the effect seen for serum urate-raising variant rs7188445_G at SUA1, which associates with lowered EHHADH expression.

We also find that a subset of genes with spatial *trans*-eQTL at SUA1 are co-regulated with *MAF*. *TNKS* and *SLCO3A1* are co-regulated with *MAF*, and expressed in kidney (Tamai et al., 2000; Karner et al., 2010). *SLCO3A1* mediates the transport of prostaglandins, thyroxin and vasopressin (Huber et al., 2007) and *TNKS* is essential for kidney development (Karner et al., 2010). *ENTPD3-AS1*, likely regulates *ENTPD3* (co-regulated with *MAF*), which is involved in purine metabolism (Kanehisa et al., 2017) of which urate is a by-product.

SUA2, the second independent region of association upstream of MAF, has previously been validated as a functional enhancer by high-throughput massively parallel reporter assays in HEPG2 cells (Kheradpour et al., 2013). Our study confirms that this region represents a conserved enhancer for tissue specific expression in the kidney tubule (**Figure 3**). A 562-bp DNA fragment containing the *rs4077450_rs4077451* SNP region within SUA2 is sufficient to confer kidney tubule expression that coincides with expression of zebrafish *maf* (**Figure 4**), matches the tissue expression profile of *MAF* in human and is consistent with the role MAF plays in kidney tubule development (Imaki et al., 2004). We show that the urate-raising minor alleles also increase enhancer activity in kidney cell lines (**Figure 3**). Our results indicate that the serum urate-associated SNPs at this region are functional and alter the function of the SUA2 enhancer, consistent with our PAINTOR analyses (**Table 3**).

The underlying mechanism for enhancer function has been suggested to involve the formation of long-range chromatin loops (Denker and de Laat, 2016) that bring enhancers and promoters into spatial proximity (Dixon et al., 2012). Our analysis of publicly available Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) indicates that the SUA2 kidney enhancer element physically interacts with the neighboring lincRNA region, including LINC01229, MAFTRR and part of SUA1 (Figure 3). Hi-C data from renal cells supports this interaction, in addition to a further connection between SUA2 and the MAF promoter (SUA3) (Supplementary Figure S11). Our eQTL analyses indicate that the SUA2 genomic contacts with LINC01229 are functional (Fadason et al., 2017), colocalize with the SUA2 urate signal (Table 2) and that the serum urate-raising alleles of rs4077450 and rs4077451 increase the expression of LINC01229 but not of MAFTRR (Supplementary Figure S8).

Because the SUA2 human enhancer element can drive gene expression in zebrafish kidney, this genomic region must contain highly conserved kidney-responsive regulatory elements. rs4077450 and rs4077451 flank an HNF4a site which has previously been shown to be required for the enhancer activity of the region (Kheradpour et al., 2013). We confirmed that HNF4a binds to this locus in HEPG2 cells (Figure 4E). Previous observations in zebrafish found that hnfa is expressed in the zebrafish proximal tubule in the same cell types and stages in which the SUA2 enhancer is active (Wingert and Davidson, 2011). Therefore, HNF4 α is a likely candidate for driving kidneyspecific activity of this enhancer. This is consistent with our understanding of (1) the role HNF4 α plays in the development of the human kidney tubule (Martovetsky et al., 2013); and (2) the association of HNF4A with serum urate (Köttgen et al., 2013; Ketharnathan et al., 2018). Our co-expression analysis also indicates that HNF4A, is co-expressed with LINC01229 but not MAFTRR, consistent with the SUA2 LINC01229 eQTL and the coincidental expression of the SUA2 enhancer and hnf4a. LINC01229 and HNF4A also share enriched GO terms in lipid relevant pathways that involve genes with (albeit unreplicated) reports of association with urate (e.g., ApoE) (Sun et al., 2015) and gout (e.g., ABCG1 and ABCA1) (Lai et al., 2012) (Supplementary Figure S14).

While the eQTL signals for *MAFTRR* in all GTEx tissues are confined to the same region that generally overlaps SUA1, the genomic locations of the eQTL signals for *LINC01229* are diverse and tissue dependent (**Supplementary Figure S2**). We propose that while *MAFTRR* expression is controlled in a similar manner in a range of tissues, *LINC01229* expression is under the control of tissue-specific and perhaps development-specific regulatory elements that are distributed throughout the lincRNA locus. The SUA2 region is evidence of one such region: (1) it contains an enhancer element that drives expression exclusively in the developing zebrafish kidney tubule; and (2) it contains SUA genetic variants that have the ability to alter *LINC01229* expression. We propose that in kidney, long-range chromatin interactions bring the HNF4 α -responsive SUA2 enhancer into close spatial proximity with the SUA1 lincRNA region and the SUA3 *MAF* promoter region, implicating LINC01229 as a likely regulatory target of the SUA2 enhancer. We predict that this chromosome conformation (Figure 7) would have consequences for MAF expression, as evidenced by the loss of MAF repression following siRNA knockdown of LINC01229 in kidney cells (Figure 6), and consistent with the upregulation of maf observed in hnf4a mutant zebrafish (Davison et al., 2017). Our GO analyses indicate that LINC01229 could function in a cell development pathway with MAF and the inhibin genes INHBC, INHBA and INHBB previously associated with urate (Köttgen et al., 2013). LINC01229 and MAF could mediate serum urate homeostasis through known inhibin pathways such as apoptosis (Denkova et al., 2004) and inflammation (Okuma et al., 2005) consistent with our GO analyses, which identified 'negative regulation of cell apoptotic process' and 'interleukin 1 β secretion' as enriched terms for LINC01229. In addition to this 'interleukin 1 β secretion' is strongly implicated in gout (So and Martinon, 2017).

The multiplicity of phenotype associations at the lincRNA locus suggests that variants at this region and their effect on MAFTRR, MAF and/or LINC01229 could also be biologically important in other disease pathways (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). Serum urate levels have previously been correlated with multiple sclerosis (Wang et al., 2016), hyperthyroidism (Giordano et al., 2001; See et al., 2014), obesity (Tanaka et al., 2015), fatty liver disease and chronic kidney disease and the SUA regions upstream of MAF coincide with GWAS signals of these conditions. SUA1 coincides with liverand thyroid-related traits whereas SUA2 coincides with kidney related traits (Supplementary Figure S1). MAF expression has also been associated with multiple sclerosis (Zhang et al., 2017), a range of cancers including renal cancer (Ponten et al., 2008), glucagon expression and biosynthesis (Planque et al., 2001; Kataoka et al., 2004; Gosmain et al., 2007) and autoimmune diabetes (Pauza et al., 2001). Thus, the shared association of these diseases at the lincRNA region may provide a causal link to serum urate and/or the expression of the lincRNAs and MAF.

In our analyses, we have identified a program of genes that can be modulated by variants within SUA1 and SUA2 and are likely important in kidney tubule development and function and serum urate regulation. We conclude that tissue-specific and developmental control of the lincRNA region appears to be important for the modulation of *MAF* and other urate relevant gene pathways in *trans*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetic Analyses

Publicly available summary level statistics from the Köttgen et al. (2013) GWAS for serum urate were used. Conditional association analysis on *rs7188445* was performed using the Genome-Wide Complex Trait Analysis (GCTA) software (Yang et al., 2011). 6,654 HapMap2 imputed genotypes from European participants of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study were used as a reference. LD R^2 values were calculated using LDlink (Machiela and Chanock, 2015) with the 1000 Genomes European and East Asian reference panels.

PAINTOR Analysis

ImpG (v1.0) (Pasaniuc et al., 2014) was used to impute Z-scores into the European urate GWAS (Köttgen et al., 2013) summary statistics. For the reference haplotypes, the latest release of the 1000 Genomes project was used, and only bi-allelic SNP markers having a minor allele frequency greater than 0.01 in the relevant population were included. All imputed markers with a predicted LD R^2 of less than 0.8 were removed. PAINTOR leverages functional genomic annotation data, in addition to genetic association strength, to prioritize functional variants. The LD matrix used for fine mapping of the Köttgen GWAS data in PAINTOR was calculated based on European data from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 1. The annotation matrix used in PAINTOR was kidney cell-type DHS (Finucane et al., 2015). PAINTOR (v3.0) (Kichaev et al., 2014) was carried out using a LD matrix matched locus file for SUA1 and SUA2.

COLOC Analysis

We used COLOC (Giambartolomei et al., 2014) to assess the similarity between the urate-associated loci SUA1 and SUA2 and publicly available eQTL data from the Genotype Tissue Expression Project (GTEx). COLOC is a Bayesian method that compares four different statistical models at a locus and gives a posterior probability for each test. The four tests are: H0: no causal variant in the GWAS or an eQTL region H1: a casual variant in either the GWAS or the eQTL region but not both, H3: a causal variant in the GWAS and the eQTL region that is different, H4: a causal variant in the GWAS and the eQTL region that is shared.

Integration of GWAS and eQTL Results With CoDeS3D

The Contextualize Developmental SNPs using 3D Information (CoDeS3D) algorithm (GitHub⁵) (Fadason et al., 2017) was used to identify long-distance regulatory relationships for rs4077450 and rs4077451 (SUA2), rs7188445 (SUA1) and SUA1 SNPs that overlap the MAFTRR transcript. This analysis leverages known spatial associations from Hi-C databases (Rao et al., 2014) and gene expression associations [eQTL data from the GTEx catalog (GTEx 2013)] to assess regulatory connections. Briefly, SNPs were mapped onto Hi-C restriction fragments, the genes that physically interact with these restriction fragments identified and collated (SNP-gene spatial pairs). SNP-gene pairs were screened through GTEx to identify eQTLs. The false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated using a stepwise Benjamini-Hochberg correction procedure and incorporated the number of tests and eQTL value list. A FDR value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant (Fadason et al., 2017).

Vectors and Constructs

A DNA fragment (562 bp) harboring *rs4077450_rs4077451* (Chr16: 79931421–79931980) was amplified from the genomic DNA of individuals who were heterozygous for the major alleles (*rs4077450_T-rs4077451_A*) and the

minor alleles (*rs4077450_G-rs4077451_T*), respectively. Primers F: 5'-CCTCCATACAGTGTCCAGCA-3' and R: 5'-TGGACCGTTTTGGCTTTTAC-3' were used for amplification. Amplicons were cloned into the pCR®8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen) entry vector and gateway-cloned into the destination vectors pGL4.23 (addgene 60323) and ZED (Bessa et al., 2009) using LR Clonase® enzyme (Invitrogen) for the enhancer assays in human cell lines and zebrafish, respectively.

Cell Culture and Transfections

Human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells (ATCC) and human hepatocellular carcinoma HEPG2 cells (ATCC) were grown (37°C in a 5% CO₂) and maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) and Eagle's Minimum Essential Media (EMEM), respectively, (Life Technologies), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

For luciferase assays, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with pGL4.23 constructs and Renilla, using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). Luminescence was measured 48 h post-transfection using the Dual Glo Luciferase Assay (Promega), on the Perkin Elmer Victor X4 plate reader. Values were normalized to the expression of Renilla luciferase.

For the siRNA knockdown experiments, HEK293 cells were reverse-transfected with ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Control Pool siRNA (5 nM) (Dharmacon Cat# D-001810-10), Lincode SMARTpool *LINC01229* siRNA (5 nM) (Dharmacon Cat# R-191862-00-0005), and Lincode SMARTpool *MAFTRR* (5 nM) (Dharmacon Cat# R-192817-00-0005) using RNAiMax (Invitrogen) for gene expression analysis.

Quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated from control and siRNA-treated HEK293 cells at 48 h post-treatment using the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel). cDNA was synthesized with qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences). *MAF, MAFTRR* and *LINC01229* expression were measured using TaKaRa SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM (Clontech) on a LightCycler400 (Roche Diagnostics). Primers *MAF* F: 5'-AGCAAGTCGACCTCAAG-3' and R: 5'-CGAGTGGGCTCAGTTATGAAA-3', *MAFTRR* F: 5'-CCTGG ACAATGCTGGGTTTTT-3' and R: 5'-CACGTCCTTCCATTT TGCTT-3' and *LINC01229* F: 5'-ATGGGAGCTCCACACAGG T-3' and R: 5'-TGGGTGCCTTTAAACAAGAGA-3' were used for amplification. Gene expression analyses were carried out on qBase Plus (Biogazelle) and were normalized relative to the mean of reference genes encoding glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) and ribosomal protein L13a (*RPL13A*).

Quantitative Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

Chromatin was extracted from 10^{-7} HEPG2 cells, sonicated (Vibra Cell VCX130 Sonicator, Sonics) to approximately 500 bp (determined experimentally) and diluted 10 times with immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer as described previously (Veto et al., 2017). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was carried out according to Vaisanen et al. (2005) with minor

⁵https://github.com/Genome3d/codes3d-v1

adjustments. Equal amounts of diluted chromatin in 2 ml IP buffer were pre-cleared (to reduce background) overnight with Dynabeads protein G (Thermo Fisher). Immunoprecipitations were performed overnight at 4°C with 10 µl of a ChIP grade HNF4 α (ab41898, Abcam) antibody conjugated to 50 µl of Dynabeads G. The immunocomplexes were then pelleted by centrifugation at 4°C for 1 min at 100 \times g and washed sequentially for 5 min by rotation with 1 ml of the following buffers: low-salt wash buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl], high-salt wash buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl] and LiCl wash buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 0.25 mM LiCl, 1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 1% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA]. Finally, the beads were washed twice with 1 ml of TE buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA] and eluted in ChIP elution buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at room temperature for 30 min with rotation. Crosslinking was reversed with Proteinase K (final concentration 40 µg/ml) at 50°C for 2 h, DNA was recovered using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (Invitrogen) and precipitated with 0.1 volume of NaCl and 2.5 volumes of ethanol using Ambion[®] Linear Acrylamide (5 mg/mL) as a carrier. For qPCR analyses, 1 µl of pre-cleared or immunoprecipitated chromatin was used for each reaction. HNF4 α binding at the SNP region, negative binding site B-globin and positive binding site ABCC6 (Veto et al., 2017) was expressed relative to the pre-cleared input chromatin after subtraction from no antibody control. Primers SNP region F: 5'-CCTCCATACAGTGTCCAGCA-3' and R: 5'-TGGACCGTTTTGGCTTTTAC-3', B-globin F: 5'-AGGACAGGTACGGCTGTCATC-3' and R: 5'-TTTATGCC CAGCCCTGGCTC-3' and ABCC6 F: 5'-AGCCCATTGCATA ATCTTCTAAGT-3' and R: 5'-ATGGAGACCGCGTCACAG-3' were used for amplification.

Zebrafish Enhancer Assays

Wild type (WIK) fish lines were maintained according to established protocols (Westerfield, 2000). The University of Otago Animal Ethics Committee approved all zebrafish research.

An enhancer test vector ZED (Bessa et al., 2009) was used to investigate the enhancer capacity of the genomic region (Chr16: 79931421–79931980) marked by *rs4077450* and *rs4077451*. Single-cell WIK embryos were injected with 1 nl of 30 ng/ μ l ZED (Bessa et al., 2009) DNA construct containing either of the minor and major fragments for the *rs4077450_rs4077451* SNP region and 90 ng/ μ l Tol2 transposase mRNA (Kawakami, 2005). Injected embryos were screened for Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) expression 24–120 h post-fertilization (hpf) using a Leica M205 FA fluorescence microscope.

REFERENCES

Balaban, R. S., and Mandel, L. J. (1988). Metabolic substrate utilization by rabbit proximal tubule. An NADH fluorescence study. Am. J. Physiol. 254(3 Pt 2), F407–F416.

Whole Mount in situ Hybridization

Full length *maf* riboprobe synthesis and whole mount *in situ* hybridization was performed as described previously (Monnich et al., 2009). The cDNA of *MAF* on a pCMV SPORT 6.1 plasmid was acquired from Life Biosciences as the *Danio rerio maf* cDNA DR.81288. The plasmid was linearized with *ApaI* and the antisense DIG-labeled riboprobe was generated by *in vitro* transcription using SP6 RNA polymerase (Roche).

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad PRISM 7 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, United States) was used for performing all statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA, (Tukey's multiple comparisons tests), Fisher exact tests and unpaired *t*-tests were used for estimating the statistical significance of the luciferase, enhancer assays and quantitative PCR data, respectively. All data are presented as mean and error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ML, JH, JO, and TM designed the study. ML performed the functional annotations, the eQTL analyses, the enhancer assays, the *in situ* hybridization, and the siRNA assays. HS isolated and cloned the *rs4077450_rs4077451* minor and major allele fragments into the plasmid constructs and was supervised by JM. AD carried out the luciferase assay and was supervised by JA. RT carried out the conditional analysis. WS and TF carried out the CoDeS3D analyses. ML, JH, and TM wrote the manuscript with input from JO and WW.

FUNDING

This research was supported by Health Research Council of New Zealand grant #15/623 to TM, JO, and JH. TF was supported by a scholarship from a High Value Nutrition National grant (MBIE/HVN grant #3710040). ML was supported by a Lotteries Health Research Postdoctoral Fellowship.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Noel Jhinku for management of the Otago Zebrafish Facility.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene. 2018.00733/full#supplementary-material

Bessa, J., Tena, J. J., de la Calle-Mustienes, E., Fernandez-Minan, A., Naranjo, S., Fernandez, A., et al. (2009). Zebrafish enhancer detection (ZED) vector: a new tool to facilitate transgenesis and the functional analysis of cisregulatory regions in zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.* 238, 2409–2417. doi: 10.1002/dvdy. 22051

- Bird, C. P., Stranger, B. E., Liu, M., Thomas, D. J., Ingle, C. E., Beazley, C., et al. (2007). Fast-evolving noncoding sequences in the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 8:R118. doi: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-6-r118
- Carithers, L. J., and Moore, H. M. (2015). The genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) project. *Biopreserv. Biobank*. 13, 307–308. doi: 10.1089/bio.2015.29031.hmm
- ENCODE Project Consortium (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 489, 57–74. doi: 10.1038/nature11247
- Daassi, D., Hamada, M., Jeon, H., Imamura, Y., NhuTran, M. T., and Takahashi, S. (2016). Differential expression patterns of MafB and c-Maf in macrophages in vivo and in vitro. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 473, 118–124. doi: 10. 1016/j.bbrc.2016.03.063
- Dalbeth, N., Merriman, T. R., and Stamp, L. K. (2016). Gout. Lancet 388, 2039– 2052. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00346-9
- Davison, J. M., Lickwar, C. R., Song, L., Breton, G., Crawford, G. E., and Rawls, J. F. (2017). Microbiota regulate intestinal epithelial gene expression by suppressing the transcription factor Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha. *Genome Res.* 27, 1195–1206. doi: 10.1101/gr.220111.116
- Denker, A., and de Laat, W. (2016). The second decade of 3C technologies: detailed insights into nuclear organization. *Genes Dev.* 30, 1357–1382. doi: 10.1101/gad. 281964.116
- Denkova, R., Bourneva, V., Staneva-Dobrovski, L., Zvetkova, E., Baleva, K., Yaneva, E., et al. (2004). *In vitro* effects of inhibin on apoptosis and apoptosis related proteins in human ovarian granulosa cells. *Endocr. Regul.* 38, 51–55.
- Dhakshinamoorthy, S., and Jaiswal, A. K. (2002). c-Maf negatively regulates AREmediated detoxifying enzyme genes expression and anti-oxidant induction. *Oncogene* 21, 5301–5312. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205642
- Dixon, J. R., Selvaraj, S., Yue, F., Kim, A., Li, Y., Shen, Y., et al. (2012). Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. *Nature* 485, 376–380. doi: 10.1038/nature11082
- Doan, R. N., Bae, B. I., Cubelos, B., Chang, C., Hossain, A. A., Al-Saad, S., et al. (2016). Mutations in human accelerated regions disrupt cognition and social behavior. *Cell* 167, 341–354.e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.071
- Drummond, I. (2003). Making a zebrafish kidney: a tale of two tubes. *Trends Cell Biol.* 13, 357–365. doi: 10.1016/S0962-8924(03)00124-7
- Edwards, S. L., Beesley, J., French, J. D., and Dunning, A. M. (2013). Beyond GWASs: illuminating the dark road from association to function. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 93, 779–797. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.10.012
- Ernst, J., and Kellis, M. (2017). Chromatin-state discovery and genome annotation with ChromHMM. *Nat. Protoc.* 12, 2478–2492. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2017.124
- Fadason, T., Ekblad, C., Ingram, J. R., Schierding, W. S., and O'Sullivan, J. M. (2017). Physical interactions and expression quantitative traits loci identify regulatory connections for obesity and type 2 diabetes associated SNPs. *Front. Genet.* 8:150. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2017.00150
- Finucane, H. K., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Gusev, A., Trynka, G., Reshef, Y., Loh, P. R., et al. (2015). Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genomewide association summary statistics. *Nat. Genet.* 47, 1228–1235. doi: 10.1038/ ng.3404
- Gerstein, M. B., Kundaje, A., Hariharan, M., Landt, S. G., Yan, K. K., Cheng, C., et al. (2012). Architecture of the human regulatory network derived from ENCODE data. *Nature* 489, 91–100. doi: 10.1038/nature11245
- Giambartolomei, C., Vukcevic, D., Schadt, E. E., Franke, L., Hingorani, A. D., Wallace, C., et al. (2014). Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383
- Gillies, C. E., Putler, R., Menon, R., Otto, E., Yasutake, K., Nair, V., et al. (2018). An eQTL landscape of kidney tissue in human nephrotic syndrome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 103, 232–244. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.07.004
- Giordano, N., Santacroce, C., Mattii, G., Geraci, S., Amendola, A., and Gennari, C. (2001). Hyperuricemia and gout in thyroid endocrine disorders. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 19, 661–665.
- Gosmain, Y., Avril, I., Mamin, A., and Philippe, J. (2007). Pax-6 and c-Maf functionally interact with the alpha-cell-specific DNA element G1 *in vivo* to promote glucagon gene expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 35024–35034. doi: 10. 1074/jbc.M702795200
- Habuka, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallstrom, B. M., Kampf, C., Edlund, K., Sivertsson, A., et al. (2014). The kidney transcriptome and proteome defined by transcriptomics and antibody-based profiling. *PLoS One* 9:e116125. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116125

- Heintzman, N. D., Hon, G. C., Hawkins, R. D., Kheradpour, P., Stark, A., Harp, L. F., et al. (2009). Histone modifications at human enhancers reflect global celltype-specific gene expression. *Nature* 459, 108–112. doi: 10.1038/nature07829
- Higashino, T., Matsuo, H., Okada, Y., Nakashima, H., Shimizu, S., Sakiyama, M., et al. (2018). A common variant of MAF/c-MAF, transcriptional factor gene in the kidney, is associated with gout susceptibility. *Hum. Cell* 31, 10–13. doi: 10.1007/s13577-017-0186-6
- Horsfield, J., Ramachandran, A., Reuter, K., LaVallie, E., Collins-Racie, L., Crosier, K., et al. (2002). Cadherin-17 is required to maintain pronephric duct integrity during zebrafish development. *Mech. Dev.* 115, 15–26. doi: 10.1016/ S0925-4773(02)00094-1
- Hu, S., Song, E., Tian, R., Ma, S., Yang, T., Mu, Y., et al. (2009). Systematic analysis of a simple adaptor protein PDZK1: ligand identification, interaction and functional prediction of complex. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* 24, 231–242. doi: 10.1159/000233258
- Huang, Y. F., Gulko, B., and Siepel, A. (2017). Fast, scalable prediction of deleterious noncoding variants from functional and population genomic data. *Nat. Genet.* 49, 618–624. doi: 10.1038/ng.3810
- Huber, R. D., Gao, B., Sidler Pfandler, M. A., Zhang-Fu, W., Leuthold, S., Hagenbuch, B., et al. (2007). Characterization of two splice variants of human organic anion transporting polypeptide 3A1 isolated from human brain. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 292, C795–C806. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00597.2005
- Hyndman, D., Liu, S., and Miner, J. N. (2016). Urate handling in the human body. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* 18:34. doi: 10.1007/s11926-016-0587-7
- Imaki, J., Tsuchiya, K., Mishima, T., Onodera, H., Kim, J. I., Yoshida, K., et al. (2004). Developmental contribution of c-maf in the kidney: distribution and developmental study of c-maf mRNA in normal mice kidney and histological study of c-maf knockout mice kidney and liver. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 320, 1323–1327. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.05.222
- Jhang, J. J., and Yen, G. C. (2017). The role of Nrf2 in NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Cell. Mol. Immunol. 14, 1011–1012. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2017.114
- Kanai, M., Akiyama, M., Takahashi, A., Matoba, N., Momozawa, Y., Ikeda, M., et al. (2018). Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to complex human diseases. *Nat. Genet.* 50, 390–400. doi: 10.1038/ s41588-018-0047-6
- Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., and Morishima, K. (2017). KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D353–D361. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1092
- Karner, C. M., Merkel, C. E., Dodge, M., Ma, Z., Lu, J., Chen, C., et al. (2010). Tankyrase is necessary for canonical Wnt signaling during kidney development. *Dev. Dyn.* 239, 2014–2023. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.22340
- Kataoka, K., Shioda, S., Ando, K., Sakagami, K., Handa, H., and Yasuda, K. (2004). Differentially expressed Maf family transcription factors, c-Maf and MafA, activate glucagon and insulin gene expression in pancreatic islet alpha- and beta-cells. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 32, 9–20. doi: 10.1677/jme.0.0320009
- Kawakami, K. (2005). Transposon tools and methods in zebrafish. Dev. Dyn. 234, 244–254. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20516
- Ketharnathan, S., Leask, M., Boocock, J., Phipps-Green, A. J., Antony, J., O'Sullivan, J. M., et al. (2018). A non-coding genetic variant maximally associated with serum urate levels is functionally linked to HNF4A-dependent PDZK1 expression. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 27, 3964–3973. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddy295
- Kheradpour, P., Ernst, J., Melnikov, A., Rogov, P., Wang, L., Zhang, X., et al. (2013). Systematic dissection of regulatory motifs in 2000 predicted human enhancers using a massively parallel reporter assay. *Genome Res.* 23, 800–811. doi: 10.1101/gr.144899.112
- Kichaev, G., Yang, W. Y., Lindstrom, S., Hormozdiari, F., Eskin, E., Price, A. L., et al. (2014). Integrating functional data to prioritize causal variants in statistical finemapping studies. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004722. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004722
- Kikuchi, K., Iida, M., Ikeda, N., Moriyama, S., Hamada, M., Takahashi, S., et al. (2018). Macrophages switch their phenotype by regulating Maf expression during different phases of inflammation. *J. Immunol.* 201, 635–651. doi: 10. 4049/jimmunol.1800040
- Klootwijk, E. D., Reichold, M., Helip-Wooley, A., Tolaymat, A., Broeker, C., Robinette, S. L., et al. (2014). Mistargeting of peroxisomal EHHADH and inherited renal Fanconi's syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 370, 129–138. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1307581
- Ko, Y. A., Yi, H., Qiu, C., Huang, S., Park, J., Ledo, N., et al. (2017). Geneticvariation-driven gene-expression changes highlight genes with important

functions for kidney disease. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 100, 940–953. doi: 10.1016/ j.ajhg.2017.05.004

- Köttgen, A., Albrecht, E., Teumer, A., Vitart, V., Krumsiek, J., Hundertmark, C., et al. (2013). Genome-wide association analyses identify 18 new loci associated with serum urate concentrations. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 145–154. doi: 10.1038/ng.2500
- Kumar, V., Westra, H. J., Karjalainen, J., Zhernakova, D. V., Esko, T., Hrdlickova, B., et al. (2013). Human disease-associated genetic variation impacts large intergenic non-coding RNA expression. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003201. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003201
- Lai, H. M., Chen, C. J., Su, B. Y., Chen, Y. C., Yu, S. F., Yen, J. H., et al. (2012). Gout and type 2 diabetes have a mutual inter-dependent effect on genetic risk factors and higher incidences. *Rheumatology* 51, 715–720. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ ker373
- Machiela, M. J., and Chanock, S. J. (2015). LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics* 31, 3555–3557. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btv402
- Maiuolo, J., Oppedisano, F., Gratteri, S., Muscoli, C., and Mollace, V. (2016). Regulation of uric acid metabolism and excretion. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 213, 8–14. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.08.109
- Martovetsky, G., Tee, J. B., and Nigam, S. K. (2013). Hepatocyte nuclear factors 4alpha and 1alpha regulate kidney developmental expression of drugmetabolizing enzymes and drug transporters. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 84, 808–823. doi: 10.1124/mol.113.088229
- Meisel, A. D., and Diamond, H. S. (1977). Hyperuricosuria in the Fanconi syndrome. Am. J. Med. Sci. 273, 109–115. doi: 10.1097/00000441-197701000-00013
- Merriman, T. R. (2015). An update on the genetic architecture of hyperuricemia and gout. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 17:98. doi: 10.1186/s13075-015-0609-2
- Mirza, A. H., Kaur, S., Brorsson, C. A., and Pociot, F. (2014). Effects of GWASassociated genetic variants on lncRNAs within IBD and T1D candidate loci. *PLoS One* 9:e105723. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105723
- Mirzoyan, K., Klavins, K., Koal, T., Gillet, M., Marsal, D., Denis, C., et al. (2017). Increased urine acylcarnitines in diabetic ApoE-/- mice: hydroxytetradecadienoylcarnitine (C14:2-OH) reflects diabetic nephropathy in a context of hyperlipidemia. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 487, 109–115. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.04.026
- Monnich, M., Banks, S., Eccles, M., Dickinson, E., and Horsfield, J. (2009). Expression of cohesin and condensin genes during zebrafish development supports a non-proliferative role for cohesin. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 9, 586–594. doi: 10.1016/j.gep.2009.08.004
- Okada, Y., Sim, X., Go, M. J., Wu, J. Y., Gu, D., Takeuchi, F., et al. (2012). Metaanalysis identifies multiple loci associated with kidney function-related traits in east Asian populations. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 904–909. doi: 10.1038/ng.2352
- Okuma, Y., O'Connor, A. E., Muir, J. A., Stanton, P. G., de Kretser, D. M., and Hedger, M. P. (2005). Regulation of activin A and inhibin B secretion by inflammatory mediators in adult rat Sertoli cell cultures. *J. Endocrinol.* 187, 125–134. doi: 10.1677/joe.1.06266
- Pasaniuc, B., Zaitlen, N., Shi, H., Bhatia, G., Gusev, A., Pickrell, J., et al. (2014). Fast and accurate imputation of summary statistics enhances evidence of functional enrichment. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2906–2914. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu416
- Pauza, M. E., Nguyen, A., Wolfe, T., Ho, I. C., Glimcher, L. H., von Herrath, M., et al. (2001). Variable effects of transgenic c-Maf on autoimmune diabetes. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* 50, 39–46. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.50.1.39
- Peng, S., Lalani, S., Leavenworth, J. W., Ho, I. C., and Pauza, M. E. (2007). c-Maf interacts with c-Myb to down-regulate Bcl-2 expression and increase apoptosis in peripheral CD4 cells. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 37, 2868–2880. doi: 10.1002/eji. 200636979
- Phipps-Green, A. J., Merriman, M. E., Topless, R., Altaf, S., Montgomery, G. W., Franklin, C., et al. (2016). Twenty-eight loci that influence serum urate levels: analysis of association with gout. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 75, 124–130. doi: 10.1136/ annrheumdis-2014-205877
- Planque, N., Leconte, L., Coquelle, F. M., Benkhelifa, S., Martin, P., Felder-Schmittbuhl, M. P., et al. (2001). Interaction of Maf transcription factors with Pax-6 results in synergistic activation of the glucagon promoter. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 35751–35760. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M1045 23200

- Polychronopoulos, D., King, J. W. D., Nash, A. J., Tan, G., and Lenhard, B. (2017). Conserved non-coding elements: developmental gene regulation meets genome organization. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 12611–12624. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkx1074
- Ponten, F., Jirstrom, K., and Uhlen, M. (2008). The human protein atlas-a tool for pathology. J. Pathol. 216, 387–393. doi: 10.1002/path.2440
- Porcu, E., Medici, M., Pistis, G., Volpato, C. B., Wilson, S. G., Cappola, A. R., et al. (2013). A meta-analysis of thyroid-related traits reveals novel loci and gender-specific differences in the regulation of thyroid function. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003266. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003266
- Prestin, K., Wolf, S., Feldtmann, R., Hussner, J., Geissler, I., Rimmbach, C., et al. (2014). Transcriptional regulation of urate transportosome member SLC2A9 by nuclear receptor HNF4alpha. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 307, F1041–F1051. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00640.2013
- Pruim, R. J., Welch, R. P., Sanna, S., Teslovich, T. M., Chines, P. S., Gliedt, T. P., et al. (2010). LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2336–2337. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq419
- Ranzani, V., Rossetti, G., Panzeri, I., Arrigoni, A., Bonnal, R. J., Curti, S., et al. (2015). The long intergenic noncoding RNA landscape of human lymphocytes highlights the regulation of T cell differentiation by linc-MAF-4. *Nat. Immunol.* 16, 318–325. doi: 10.1038/ni.3093
- Rao, S. S., Huntley, M. H., Durand, N. C., Stamenova, E. K., Bochkov, I. D., Robinson, J. T., et al. (2014). A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell* 159, 1665–1680. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.021
- Rinn, J. L., and Chang, H. Y. (2012). Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 145–166. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-051410-092902
- See, L. C., Kuo, C. F., Yu, K. H., Luo, S. F., Chou, I. J., Ko, Y. S., et al. (2014). Hyperthyroid and hypothyroid status was strongly associated with gout and weakly associated with hyperuricaemia. *PLoS One* 9:e114579. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0114579
- Sharaf El Din, U. A. A., Salem, M. M., and Abdulazim, D. O. (2017). Uric acid in the pathogenesis of metabolic, renal, and cardiovascular diseases: a review. J. Adv. Res. 8, 537–548. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2016. 11.004
- So, A. K., and Martinon, F. (2017). Inflammation in gout: mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 13, 639–647. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum. 2017.155
- Sun, Y. P., Zhang, B., Miao, L., Wang, X. M., Yu, J. H., Luo, L., et al. (2015). Association of apolipoprotein E (ApoE) polymorphisms with risk of primary hyperuricemia in Uygur men, Xinjiang, China. *Lipids Health Dis.* 14:25. doi: 10.1186/s12944-015-0025-2
- Tamai, I., Nezu, J., Uchino, H., Sai, Y., Oku, A., Shimane, M., et al. (2000). Molecular identification and characterization of novel members of the human organic anion transporter (OATP) family. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 273, 251–260. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.2922
- Tan, J. Y., Smith, A. A. T., Ferreira da Silva, M., Matthey-Doret, C., Rueedi, R., Sonmez, R., et al. (2017). cis-Acting complex-trait-associated lincRNA expression correlates with modulation of chromosomal architecture. *Cell Rep.* 18, 2280–2288. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.009
- Tanaka, K., Ogata, S., Tanaka, H., Omura, K., Honda, C., Osaka Twin Research, Group, et al. (2015). The relationship between body mass index and uric acid: a study on Japanese adult twins. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* 20, 347–353. doi: 10.1007/s12199-015-0473-3
- Teumer, A., Rawal, R., Homuth, G., Ernst, F., Heier, M., Evert, M., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study identifies four genetic loci associated with thyroid volume and goiter risk. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 88, 664–673. doi: 10.1016/j. ajhg.2011.04.015
- Thurman, R. E., Rynes, E., Humbert, R., Vierstra, J., Maurano, M. T., Haugen, E., et al. (2012). The accessible chromatin landscape of the human genome. *Nature* 489, 75–82. doi: 10.1038/nature11232
- Tin, A., Woodward, O. M., Kao, W. H., Liu, C. T., Lu, X., Nalls, M. A., et al. (2011). Genome-wide association study for serum urate concentrations and gout among African Americans identifies genomic risk loci and a novel URAT1 loss-of-function allele. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 20, 4056–4068. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ ddr307

- Trudu, M., Schaeffer, C., Riba, M., Ikehata, M., Brambilla, P., Messa, P., et al. (2017). Early involvement of cellular stress and inflammatory signals in the pathogenesis of tubulointerstitial kidney disease due to UMOD mutations. *Sci. Rep.* 7:7383. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07804-6
- Vaisanen, S., Dunlop, T. W., Sinkkonen, L., Frank, C., and Carlberg, C. (2005). Spatio-temporal activation of chromatin on the human CYP24 gene promoter in the presence of 1alpha,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3. J. Mol. Biol. 350, 65–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.04.057
- Vandanmagsar, B., Youm, Y. H., Ravussin, A., Galgani, J. E., Stadler, K., Mynatt, R. L., et al. (2011). The NLRP3 inflammasome instigates obesity-induced inflammation and insulin resistance. *Nat. Med.* 17, 179–188. doi: 10.1038/nm. 2279
- Veto, B., Bojcsuk, D., Bacquet, C., Kiss, J., Sipeki, S., Martin, L., et al. (2017). The transcriptional activity of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha is inhibited via phosphorylation by ERK1/2. *PLoS One* 12:e0172020. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0172020
- Wang, J., Zhuang, J., Iyer, S., Lin, X., Whitfield, T. W., Greven, M. C., et al. (2012). Sequence features and chromatin structure around the genomic regions bound by 119 human transcription factors. *Genome Res.* 22, 1798–1812. doi: 10.1101/gr.139105.112
- Wang, J., Zhuang, J., Iyer, S., Lin, X. Y., Greven, M. C., Kim, B. H., et al. (2013). Factorbook.org: a Wiki-based database for transcription factor-binding data generated by the ENCODE consortium. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D171–D176. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1221
- Wang, L., Hu, W., Wang, J., Qian, W., and Xiao, H. (2016). Low serum uric acid levels in patients with multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica: an updated meta-analysis. *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* 9, 17–22. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2016.05.008
- Westerfield, M. (2000). The Zebrafish Book: a Guide for the Laboratory use of Zebrafish. Available at: http://zfin.org/zf_info/zfbook/zfbk.html
- Wingert, R. A., and Davidson, A. J. (2011). Zebrafish nephrogenesis involves dynamic spatiotemporal expression changes in renal progenitors and essential

signals from retinoic acid and irx3b. *Dev. Dyn.* 240, 2011–2027. doi: 10.1002/ dvdy.22691

- Wingert, R. A., Selleck, R., Yu, J., Song, H. D., Chen, Z., Song, A., et al. (2007). The *cdx* genes and retinoic acid control the positioning and segmentation of the zebrafish pronephros. *PLoS Genet.* 3:e189. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen. 0030189
- Yang, D., Jang, I., Choi, J., Kim, M. S., Lee, A. J., Kim, H., et al. (2018). 3DIV: a 3D-genome Interaction Viewer and database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D52–D57. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1017
- Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E., and Visscher, P. M. (2011). GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 88, 76–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011
- Zhan, M., Chen, G., Pan, C. M., Gu, Z. H., Zhao, S. X., Liu, W., et al. (2014). Genome-wide association study identifies a novel susceptibility gene for serum TSH levels in Chinese populations. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 5505–5517. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu250
- Zhang, F., Liu, G., Wei, C., Gao, C., and Hao, J. (2017). Linc-MAF-4 regulates Th1/Th2 differentiation and is associated with the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis by targeting MAF. *FASEB J.* 31, 519–525. doi: 10.1096/fj.201600 838R

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Leask, Dowdle, Salvesen, Topless, Fadason, Wei, Schierding, Marsman, Antony, O'Sullivan, Merriman and Horsfield. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.