Letters

RESEARCH LETTER

Effect of Defibrillator on All-Cause Mortality in Patients With Cardiac Amyloidosis

CA is a restrictive cardiomyopathy caused by extracellular deposition of abnormally folded protein fibrils in the myocardium that manifests as clinical heart failure. In addition to heart failure, a large proportion of patients with CA present with ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) and conduction system disease.¹ The incidence of sudden cardiac death (SCD) has been reported to be as high as 50% in patients with CA and is thought to be related to electromechanical dissociation (EMD) and VAs.²

Given the increased risk for SCD, current society guidelines for CA recommend ICD placement if life expectancy is >1 year.¹ However, this recommendation is based on limited evidence and studies have shown mixed results regarding ICD therapy in CA.¹ We performed a systematic review and metaanalysis to determine the effect of ICD implantation on all-cause mortality in patients with CA, and to compare mortality among patients with ICD with CA and other etiologies of nonischemic cardiomyopathy (NICM).

The protocol for the systematic review adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement.³ Ovid MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and EBSCO CINAHL were searched from database inception for studies reporting the effect of ICDs on all-cause mortality in patients with CA were performed in November 2022. A second database search was conducted for studies reporting the effect of ICDs on all-cause mortality in patients with CA as well as

What is the clinical question being addressed?

Does ICD implantation decrease all-cause mortality in patients with CA?

What is the main finding?

ICD implantation was not associated with decreased mortality in patients with CA.



for NICM other than CA. The prespecified inclusion criteria were studies with at least 1 year of follow-up and the outcome of all-cause mortality. Review articles, abstracts, or publications in non-English languages were excluded. Study titles and abstracts were screened, and data were extracted independently by 2 investigators (M.H. and M.T.). The year of publication, numbers of participants, baseline characteristics (age, sex, left ventricular ejection fraction, amyloidosis subtype: transthyretin [ATTR] or immunoglobulin light-chain amyloidosis [AL]) were collected. The primary end point was all-cause mortality. Data analysis was performed using ORs.

Meta-analysis was performed in Review Manager version 5.4.1 (Cochrane Collaboration). A random effects model was used to estimate the association between all-cause mortality and ICD implantation. The outcomes were reported as ORs with a 95% CI. The extent of heterogeneity was determined by inconsistency values (I²), ranging from 0% to 100%. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. We used ROBINS-I (Risk Of Bias In Non-Randomized Studies-of Interventions) for bias assessment.

The initial literature search identified 153 candidate studies. A total of 6 were included for metaanalysis. The CA population included 575 patients with mean age 73.2 \pm 3.5 years, 84% \pm 8% are males and mean left ventricular ejection fraction 36.2% \pm 7.4%. ATTR CA was present in 83.3% of subjects and AL CA in the remainder. The mean follow-up was 30.6 ± 11.8 months. ICD indication was primary prevention in 77.9% of patients with CA. ICD implantation was not associated with a mortality benefit in patients with CA (OR: 1.18; 95% CI: 0.69-2.01; P = 0.55) (Figure 1A). CA was associated with higher mortality as compared to other NICM etiologies (OR: 2.98; 95% CI: 2.37-3.74; P < 0.0) (Figure 1B). Heterogeneity was low with I² of 0%. We concluded low to moderate risk of bias.

Our systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the effect of ICD implantation on mortality in 575 patients with CA suggests 2 important findings based on a limited number of patients. First, ICD implantation was not associated with a mortality benefit in patients with CA. Second, CA was associated with higher mortality as compared to other NICM etiologies among patients with ICDs.

CA is a heterogeneous disease process due to different proteins deposited in variable locations of

7	ICD	Ê.	No IC	D		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Brown 2022	8	32	15	98	30.3%	1.84 [0.70, 4.87]	
Dale 2022	6	19	22	65	23.8%	0.90 [0.30, 2.70]	
Donnellan 2020	16	19	43	57	15.2%	1.74 [0.44, 6.85]	
Kim 2020	9	23	31	68	30.7%	0.77 [0.29, 2.01]	
Total (95% CI)		93		288	100.0%	1.18 [0.69, 2.01]	•
Total events	39		111				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Ch	$ni^2 = 2.$	11, df =	3 (P =	0.55); l ² =	= 0%	
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.60	(P = 0)).55)				Eavors ICD Eavors No ICD
Study or Subgroup	CA Events	Total	NIC Events	M Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Kim 2020	21	47	9	47	6.0%	3.41 [1.35, 8.61]	
Higgins 2020	127	472	267	2360	89.8%	2.89 [2.27, 3.67]	
Fischer 2021	9	23	8	68	4.2%	4.82 [1.58, 14.72]	
Total (95% CI)		542		2475	100.0%	2.98 [2.37, 3.74]	•
Total events	157		284				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Ch	$ni^2 = 0.$	87, df =	2 (P =	0.65); I ² =	= 0%	
Test for overall effect	: Z = 9.40	(P < 0)).00001)				Favors CA pts Favors NICM pts
				ditu in c	ardiac amv	loidosis with or without impl	antable cardioverter-defibrillator. (B) Forest plot showing
) Forest plot showing no s	significant o	differend	ce in morta	anty in c	ar ulac arriy		3

the myocardium. This is highlighted by significant differences in prognosis between the 2 most common forms of CA-AL and ATTR-the former carrying a mean survival of <1 year, while the latter ranges from 2.6 to 5.8 years depending upon transthyretin variant.⁴ SCD in patients with CA may more commonly result from EMD leading to pulseless electrical activity arrest rather than from VA.⁴ In this scenario, the presence of an ICD would not be likely to improve survival. Differences in the mode of death (EMD vs VA) may also explain why CA was associated with significantly higher mortality than other NICM etiologies among patients with ICD. Additionally, CA infiltration into the myocardium has been shown to increase defibrillation thresholds in small series of patients, and therefore, may not effectively protect patients from VA.5

Ongoing advances in ATTR CA treatment may continue to improve mortality from nonarrhythmic causes, and ultimately confer an ICD benefit for some patients. As our understanding of the complexity of CA progresses, it is important that we integrate a multidisciplinary team of CA specialists, electrophysiologists, and hematologists to collaborate on the development of a shared decisionmaking process for ICD implantation in patients with CA.

Mohammed Al-Sadawi, MD Matthew D. Henriques, MD Chad Gier, MD Michael Tao, MD Ibrahim Almasry, MD Abhijeet Singh, MD Roger Fan, MD *Eric Rashba, MD *Stony Brook Heart Rhythm Center Stony Brook Medicine 101 Nicolls Road Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA E-mail: Eric.Rashba@stonybrookmedicine.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacadv.2023.100561

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

more information, visit the Author Center.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72:e91-e220.

2. Reisinger J, Dubrey SW, Lavalley M, Skinner M, Falk RH. Electrophysiologic abnormalities in AL (primary) amyloidosis with cardiac involvement. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30:1046-1051.

3. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, for the PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2535.

4. Kittleson MM, Ruberg FL, Ambardekar AV, et al. 2023 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on comprehensive multidisciplinary care for the patient with cardiac amyloidosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2023;81:1076-1126.

5. Dhoble A, Khasnis A, Olomu A, Thakur R. Cardiac amyloidosis treated with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator and subcutaneous array lead system: report of a case and literature review. *Clin Cardiol*. 2009;32:E63-E65.