

Research Paper



Clinical Impact of microRNAs Associated With Cancer Stem Cells as a Prognostic Factor in Ovarian Carcinoma

So youn Cha1*, Yeon ho Choi2*, Sohyun Hwang3, Ju-Yeon Jeong2, Hee Jung An1, 22

- 2. Institute for Clinical Research, CHA University, Sungnam, Republic of Korea;
- 3. Department of Biomedical Science, CHA University, Sungnam, Republic of Korea.

*So youn Cha and Yeon ho Choi are co-first authors.

🖂 Corresponding author: Hee Jung An, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Pathology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, 59 Yatapro Sungnam, Gyonggi-do, South Korea 463-712 E-mail: hjahn@cha.ac.kr

© Ivyspring International Publisher. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). See http://ivyspring.com/terms for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2017.03.30; Accepted: 2017.09.07; Published: 2017.09.30

Abstract

Background: Ovarian carcinoma is a highly lethal gynecological malignancy due to its frequent relapses and adoption of chemoresistance. To develop new biomarkers for disease progression in ovarian carcinoma, CSCs, which are considered to contribute to disease relapse and metastasis, were isolated from human ovarian carcinoma tissues, and differentially expressed microRNAs (miRNAs) in CSCs were identified and assessed the clinical implication of expression of these miRNAs.

Methods: Primary cancer cells derived from human ovarian carcinomas were cultured and spheroid-forming cells (SFCs) were isolated. Profiles of miRNA expression in CSC-like SFCs were identified by miRNA microarray and the results were validated by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). We also assessed the correlations between miRNA expression levels and clinicopathological parameters in ovarian carcinomas.

Results: Five miRNAs (miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b) were significantly dysregulated in CSC-like SFCs compared with primary cancer cells. The qRT-PCR showed that miR-5703 and miR-1246 expression was significantly higher in ovarian cancer cells than in normal control cells, whereas the miR-424-5p level was significantly lower. Decreased expression of miR-424-5p was significantly associated with distant metastasis in high stage (stage IIII & IV) carcinomas (35.5% vs. 72.2%, respectively, p=0.013)

Conclusion: Taken together, miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b are useful markers for enriching ovarian CSCs. Decreased expression of miR-424-5p in ovarian carcinoma might be a putative biomarker for distant metastasis in ovarian carcinoma.

Key words: Ovarian carcinoma; Cancer stem cell; microRNA; miR-424-5p, Prognosis.

Introduction

Ovarian carcinoma is the most lethal gynecological malignancy in women [1]. Its high mortality rate is due to difficulties in early detection and disease recurrence. Despite the good response to first-line chemotherapies such as taxol and platinum-based combination therapy, the majority of patients with advanced disease become refractory to conventional chemotherapeutic agents [2], resulting in disease recurrence and distant metastasis. However, the mechanisms involved in disease recurrence and distant metastasis remain unclear.

Increasing evidence indicates that cancer stem cells (CSCs) comprise a small population of cells within a heterogeneous tumor mass. They are the most tumorigenic and resistant to chemotherapy [3-7]. CSCs express distinct surface markers and contribute

^{1.} Department of Pathology, CHA University, Sungnam, Republic of Korea;

to heterogeneous tumor growth. Thus, they resemble the functional hallmarks of genuine stem cells; namely, the ability to self-renew, modulate and balance the differentiation of various cell types [8-12]. In addition, CSCs can survive from conventional treatments and contribute to disease recurrence, resulting in more chemoresistant and aggressive tumors [13]. However, the molecular mechanisms that regulate CSCs are poorly understood.

Recent discoveries in the biology of microRNAs (miRNAs) have provided new insights on the genes implicated in tumorigenesis and CSC modulation [14]. miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs that inhibit expression of multiple the genes at the post-transcriptional level via partial base pairing to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of their targets. miRNAs regulate various cellular processes, including the cell cycle [15] and apoptosis [16]. Furthermore, aberrant miRNA expression promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis of various human cancers, including ovarian carcinoma [17, 18]. Gene expression studies have identified hundreds of dysregulated miRNAs in cancer cells, and their control of oncogenes and tumor suppressors has been demonstrated by functional studies [19]. Thus, understanding the miRNA expression levels and their clinical significance may provide insight into the pathobiology of ovarian carcinoma on a molecular level and reveal new prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

Here, we isolated putative CSCs from fresh tissues of high-grade ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC)s using spheroid-forming assay. Differentially expressed miRNAs in CSCs were identified by high-throughput miRNA microarray analysis. We also studied their expression patterns in high-grade human OSC samples using qRT-PCR, and identified correlations between their expression levels and clinicopathological parameters to assess the clinical impact of differentially expressed miRNAs in OSCs, the most prevalent and lethal type of ovarian cancer [20].

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissue collection

To quantify the miRNA expression levels by real-time PCR, fresh and formalin-fixed-paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues from 59 high-grade OSCs were obtained from patients who underwent an oophorectomy at the Bundang CHA Medical Center. The fallopian tubes from patients who underwent a hysterectomy with salpingectomy due to benign leiomyoma served as a control. The histological diagnosis and clinical stage

determined using the World Health were Organization classification system. The samples were histopathologically graded by a pathologist at the Department of Pathology according to a two-tiered grading system and tumor staging was carried out according to a tumor-node-metastasis staging system. assigned to either Each sample was the chemosensitive or chemoresistant group according to responsiveness patients to first-line the of chemotherapy (taxol and platinum-based combination therapy) after surgery based on the NCCN guidelines. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bundang CHA Medical Center. Informed consent was obtained from each patient before surgery.

Primary ovarian carcinoma cell culture and isolation of spheroid-forming cells (SFCs)

Procedures were performed as described by Kwon *et al.* [21]. To isolate and characterize CSCs, high-grade OSC cells isolated from the ovary of 4 patients who underwent oophorectomy were primarily cultured and a spheroid formation assay was performed using cultured primary ovarian carcinoma cells.

Tumors were mechanically dissected into small pieces and enzymatically digested into single cell suspensions in Ca2+/Mg2+-free PBS containing 50 U/ml collagenase A (Roche, Pleasanton, CA, USA) at 37°C for 1 h. Cells were incubated with Ber-EP4-coated magnetic Dynabeads (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 30 min to select epithelial cells, which were then cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (Life Technologies). For the spheroid formation assay, single cells were plated on Corning ultra-low-binding 6-well culture plates (Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 1×10^3 cells/cm² in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium (Life Technologies) containing 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (Life Technologies), 10 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor, 0.4% bovine serum albumin, and 5 μ g/ml insulin (all from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The formation of spheroids, each containing approximately 50-100 cells, was assessed at 7 days after cell plating. The morphology and evidence for enriching CSCs in spheroid-forming cells were shown in our previous report [21] by demonstrating the constant spheroid-forming efficiency of 2.17 ~2.37% in the subsequent generation, and upregulation of stem cell markers, such as ALDH1, CD24, CD44, CD133, and Sox2.

RNA extraction

RNA was isolated from cultured primary ovarian carcinoma cells and SFCs using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies), as instructed by the manufacturer. Total RNA from FFPE tissues was isolated using the miRNeasy FFPE kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), as instructed by the manufacturer. RNA purity and concentration were determined using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA).

miRNA microarray analysis

The miRNA expression profiles of four independent primary OSC cell cultures and their corresponding SFC cultures were compared using the Agilent Human miRNA 8 × 60K platform (Agilent, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) based on the miRBase software package (v18.0). miRNA microarray analysis was performed as instructed by the manufacturer. In brief, 100 ng of total RNA per sample was labeled with cyanine 3-pCp (Cy3) using the miRNA Complete Labeling and Hyb kit (Agilent, Inc.). Cy3-labeled RNAs were hybridized to a miRNA microarray containing 1887 human miRNAs. The miRNA microarray was then scanned using the Agilent G2600D microarray scanner. Raw data for the same gene in primary OSCs and SFCs were summarized in the Agilent Feature Extraction software package (v11.0.1.1), which generated the gene view file and provided expression data for each gene probed on the array. Array data were filtered using gIsGeneDetected = 1 for all samples (1: detected). Logarithmicallytransformed miRNA gtotalGeneSignal values were normalized using the quantile method. The comparative analysis of results from primary cancer cells and SFCs was based on -fold changes. All data analysis and visualization of differentially expressed genes were conducted using the R statistical language software package (v. 2.15.0).

Bioinformatics analysis

To identify relevant target genes, severely dysregulated miRNAs showing \geq 2.0 fold change or < 0.5 fold with a *P*-value < 0.05 based on microarray data were included in the analysis. Identification of miRNA target genes was performed using Target Scan 7.1(http://www.targetscan.org) and miRDB (http://mirdb.org/miRDB/, v4.0). The list of target genes was further reduced by selecting those genes targeted by five or more miRNAs.

Bioinformatics analysis for gene set enrichment was performed using the DAVID database (DAVID Functional Annotation Bioinformatics Microarray Analysis, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) [22] and KEGG database. The KEGG pathways were regarded to be significant when the adjusted *P*-value by Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was less than 0.05.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

The miRNA expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR using the TaqMan microRNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and a Bio-Rad CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The thermal cycling conditions used were recommended by the manufacturer. RNU48 served as the control for miRNA normalization. All PCR reactions were run in duplicate and relative miRNA expression levels were calculated using the $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software package (IBM Analytics, Armonk, NY, USA). Correlations between miRNA expression levels and clinicopathological parameters were evaluated using the chi-squared test. Differences in miRNA expression levels between groups were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Data were analyzed using Student's *t*-test and *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinicopathological characteristics of chemosensitive and chemoresistant patients

To validate the miRNA microarray results, 59 high-grade OSC samples were subjected to qRT-PCR. The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Of 59 OSC patients, 39 cases (66.1%) were chemosensitive, and 20 cases (33.9%) were chemoresisant. The mean age of chemosensitive and chemoresistant patients was 54.7 and 57.2 years, respectively. Approximately 25.6% (10/39) of chemosensitive patients were diagnosed with stage I or II cancer, whereas 74.4% (29/39) of patients had stage III or IV cancer. All chemoresistant patients were diagnosed with stage III or IV cancer. Nodal metastasis was identified in 43.6% (17/39) of chemosensitive patients and in 70% (14/20) of chemoresistant patients. Distal metastasis was identified in 25.6% (10/39) and 40% (8/20) of patients with chemosensitive and chemoresistant carcinomas, respectively. Disease recurrence was observed in 59% (23/39) and 85% (17/20) of chemosensitive and chemoresistant patients, respectively.





Figure 1. MicroRNA microarray analysis of spheroid-forming cells (SFCs) and primary cancer cells from cultured ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC) cells. (A) Hierarchical clustering of 37 significantly altered miRNAs with a \geq 2.0-fold difference in expression between OSC SFCs and primary cancer cells. The clustered expression data are displayed on a heat map, with individual tumors and miRNAs listed on x- and y-axes, respectively. The x-axis indicates four SFC samples and their respective primary cancer cell samples. (B) Twenty-four miRNAs were significantly upregulated, whereas 13 miRNAs were downregulated, in the four SFC samples when compared with the control. Individual blocks are color-coded to indicate changes in miRNA expression: red indicates upregulation, green indicates downregulated, and black indicates no change. SCN, cultured primary cancer cell; SFC, spheroid-forming cells. (C) KEGG pathway analysis of genes targeted by the dysregulated miRNAs in CSC-like SFCs compared with primary OSC cells. Genes targeted by five or more miRNAs were selected for pathway enrichment analysis. The enrichment score of each pathway is expressed as $\neg \log (P-value)$.

-log(P-values)

miRNA expression profiles of SFCs identified by miRNA microarray and qRT-PCR analyses

The miRNA expression profiles of CSC-like SFCs and their corresponding primary cancer cells were examined by miRNA microarray analysis (Fig. 1A).

Table 1. The clinicopathological characteristics of high-grade ovarian serous carcinoma (n = 59) $\,$

		Patients	Chemosensitive Chemoresistan		
Age, years		55.5±11.1	54.7±11.2	57.2±10.9	
Stage	I / II	10 (16.9%)	10 (25.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
	III / IV	49 (83.1%)	29 (74.4%)	20 (100%)	
Nodal metastasis	Absent	28 (47.5%)	22 (56.4%)	6 (30.0%)	
	Present	31 (52.5%)	17 (43.6%)	14 (70.0%)	
Distant metastasis	Absent	41 (69.5%)	29 (74.4%)	12 (60.0%)	
	Present	18 (30.5%)	10 (25.6%)	8 (40.0%)	
Recurrence	Absent	19 (32.2%)	16 (41.0%)	3 (15.0%)	
	Present	40 (67.8%)	23 (59.0%)	17 (85.0%)	
Total		59	39 (66.1%)	20 (33.9%)	

The functional roles played by dysregulated miRNAs identified by miRNA microarray analysis were further analyzed. We summarized the expression levels of 37 miRNAs significantly altered with a \geq 2-fold increase or decrease in CSC-like cells compared with their corresponding parental cancer cells from highest to lowest (Table 2). The hierarchical clustering of these 37 significantly altered miRNAs is shown in Figure 1B. In addition, target genes were predicted from 37 miRNAs (24 upregulated and 13 downregulated) using miRDB (http://mirdb.org/miRDB/, v4.0), PicTar-Vert (http://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/), and Target Scan (http://www.targetscan.org) databases. In total, 1762 genes were used for functional annotation analysis. After KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, the ErbB signaling pathway, various cancer-related pathways, and pathways regulating stem cell pluripotency were the highest ranked out of 17 pathways identified. The pathways for specific cancers were associated with glioma, chronic myeloid leukemia, prostate cancer, acute myeloid leukemia, and endometrial cancer. The significantly related signaling pathways were ErbB-, p53-, Ras, T-cell receptor, and neurotrophin-signaling pathways (Fig. 1C).

Of 37 miRNAs, we chose five miRNAs whose levels were the most increased (miR-5703, miR-630 and miR-1246) and the most decreased (miR-320b and miR-424-5p) for further validation with human OSC samples. To further explore the functional distribution of these five miRNAs, we performed genome-wide miRNA target prediction databases. The major target genes of each miRNA involved in oncogenesis are summarized in Table 3.

Table 2. List of differentially expressed microRNAs in cancerstem cell-like spheroid-forming cells compared with primarycancer cells

miRNA	Fold Change	<i>P</i> -value	Chromosome
Up-regulated			
hsa-miR-5703	29.223	0.014	2
hsa-miR-630	19.996	0.008	15q24.1
hsa-miR-1246	13.709	0.024	2q31.1
hsa-miR-4459	12.681	0.008	5
hsa-miR-3679-5p	4.387	0.035	2
hsa-miR-4532	4.157	0.005	20
hsa-miR-1268a	3.366	0.017	15q11.2
hsa-miR-4466	3.201	0.013	6
hsa-miR-1275	3.166	0.02	6
hsa-miR-1207-5p	3.038	0.041	8
hsa-miR-3960	2.829	0.026	9
hsa-miR-5006-5p	2.789	0.028	13
hsa-miR-762	2.762	0.034	16
hsa-miR-146b-5p	2.602	0.023	10q24.32
hsa-miR-320c	2.585	0.043	18
hsa-miR-4484	2.444	0.046	10
hsa-miR-4281	2.405	0.002	5
hsa-miR-3940-5p	2.361	0.046	19
hsa-miR-4270	2.357	0.023	3
hsa-miR-4530	2.239	0.028	19
hsa-miR-3653	2.2	0.023	22
hsa-miR-423-5p	2.17	0.023	17
hsa-miR-1915-3p	2.032	0.031	10
hsa-miR-4763-3p	2.003	0.018	22
Down-regulated			
hsa-miR-27b-3p	-2.145	0.036	9
hsa-miR-1273g-3p	-2.153	0.005	1
hsa-miR-513a-5p	-2.159	0.033	chrX
hsa-miR-130a-3p	-2.357	0.006	11
hsa-miR-320a	-2.454	0.002	8p21.3
hsa-miR-3676-5p	-2.495	0.009	17
hsa-miR-494	-2.558	0.012	14q32.31
hsa-miR-324-3p	-2.604	0.006	17
hsa-miR-4428	-2.645	0.003	1
hsa-miR-21-3p	-2.757	0.041	17
hsa-miR-17-5p	-2.843	0.05	13
hsa-miR-320b	-3.634	0.001	1
hsa-miR-424-5p	-4.905	0.045	chrX

miRNA: microRNA.

miR-5703, miR-1246, and miR-424-5p expression levels were significantly altered in OSCs

miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b expression was measured in 59 human OSC samples by qRT-PCR (Fig. 2). The control was used with normal fallopian tubes, which are known to be the origin of OSC [23-25]. As shown in Figure 2A, the expression profiles of miR-5703 (upregulated), miR-424-5p, and miR320b (both downregulated) were similar to SFCs. Compared with that in the control, expression of miR-5703 was upregulated in 73% (42/59) and miR-424-5p was downregulated in 98% (58/59) of OSC samples, respectively. In addition, miR-5703 (22.23-fold), miR-1246 (4.75-fold), and miR-424-5p (0.17-fold) expression levels were significantly altered in OSC samples than in the control (Fig. 2B). However, there was no significant

Decreased expression of miR-424-5p is associated with distant metastasis in high stage OSCs

Correlations between miRNA expression levels and clinicopathological parameters, including clinical stage, nodal and distant metastasis, chemoresistance, and disease recurrence were identified only for the high stage OSCs (n=49), because other clinicopathological parameters are related with clinical stage. Decreased expression of miR-424-5p (<0.1-fold) was significantly associated with distant metastasis (35.5% vs. 72.2%, respectively, p=0.013) (Table 4). However, there was no correlation between the aberrant expression of other miRNAs and clinical stage, nodal metastasis, or disease recurrence.

 Table 3. The putative target genes of the most highly dysregulated miRNAs in spheroid- forming cells compared with primary cancer cells.

ID	Fold change	P-value	Chr	Genes	
hsa-miR-5703	29.223	0.014	2	SYNPO2L	synaptopodin 2 like
				PDE1C	phosphodiesterase 1C
				GFRA1	GDNF family receptor alpha 1
				ADAM19	ADAM metallopeptidase domain 19
				DAB2	Dab, mitogen-responsive phosphoprotein, homolog 2 (Drosophila)
				ENTPD1	ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase 1
hsa-miR-630	19.996	0.008	15q24.1	DOCK8	dedicator of cytokinesis 8
				CD200	CD200 molecule
				F3	coagulation factor III, tissue factor
				NEXN	nexilin (F actin binding protein)
				CARD16	caspase recruitment domain family member 16
hsa-miR-1246	13.709	0.024	2q31.1	VEPH1	ventricular zone expressed PH domain containing 1
				TNFRSF8	tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 8
				FGL2	fibrinogen like 2
				MARCH3	membrane associated ring-CH-type finger 3
				FRMD6	FERM domain containing 6
				EDA	ectodysplasin A
				PTPLAD2	protein tyrosine phosphatase-like A domain containing 2
hsa-miR-424-5p	-4.905	0.045	Х	USP42	ubiquitin specific peptidase 42
				SLC5A3	solute carrier family 5 (sodium/myo-inositol cotransporter), member 3
				AXIN2	axin 2
				FECH	ferrochelatase
				PTPRD	protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, D
				PHKA1	phosphorylase kinase, alpha 1 (muscle)
				FAM81A	family with sequence similarity 81 member A
				NTRK2	neurotrophic tyrosine kinase, receptor, type 2
				BCAS1	breast carcinoma amplified sequence 1
				TMEM100	Transmembrane Protein 100
				IIGA10	integrin subunit alpha 10
				PDE3B	phosphodiesterase 3B
				UNC5B	unc-5 netrin receptor B(UNC5B)
				DLL1	delta-like 1 (Drosophila)(DLL1)
				PTHLH	parathyroid hormone-like hormone(PTHLH)
1 10 4441	a (a)	0.001		TMEM215	transmembrane protein 215(TMEM215)
hsa-miR-320b	-3.634	0.001	1	SLC5A3	solute carrier family 5 (sodium/myo-inositol cotransporter), member 3
				PCDHA2	protocadherin alpha 2
				SLC2A12	solute carrier family 2 member 12
				RNPC3	KNA binding region (KNP1, KKM) containing 3
				ZNF780A	zinc finger protein 780A
				DLX1	distal-less homeobox 1
				KUFY2	KUN and FYVE domain containing 2
				IMEM100	transmembrane protein 100
				SI6GAL2	516 beta-galactosamide alpha-2,6-sialyltranierase 2
				BAALC	brain and acute leukemia, cytoplasmic
				EIV1	E1S variant 1
				GRIN2A	glutamate ionotropic receptor NMDA type subunit 2A

chr: chromosome.

Α



miRNA	miR-5703	miR-630	miR-1246	miR-424-5p	miR-320b
Up	43	26	34	1	22
Down	16	33	25	58	37
Total	59	59	59	59	59
Up ratio	72.88	44.07	57.63	1.69	37.29
Down ratio	27.12	55.93	42.37	98.31	62.71

В



Figure 2. Comparison of miRNA expression levels (miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b) in OSCs using two approaches; namely, miRNA microarray analysis and qRT-PCR. (A) The left panel shows the relative miRNA expression levels determined by miRNA microarray analysis. Five miRNAs were dysregulated in CSC-like SFCs when compared with primary cancer cells. The right panel shows relative miRNA expression levels determined by qRT-PCR. The expression profiles of miR-5703 (upregulated), miR-424-5p, and miR320b (both downregulated) were similar between OSC and SFC samples. (B) The expression levels of candidate miRNAs in OSCs relative to fallopian tubes (control). miR-5703 and miR-1246 expression levels were significantly higher in OSCs than in fallopian tubes, whereas the miR-424-5p expression level was lower in OSCs than in the control. Data are presented as the mean \pm SD. (*P < 0.05).

Table 4. Relationship between microRNA expression and clinicopathologic parameters in ovarian serous carcinomas with stage III & IV

	hsa-miR-5703 [†]		hsa-miR-630 [†]		hsa-miR-1246 [†]		hsa-miR-424-5p		hsa-miR-320b	
	up-regulated	P-value	up-regulated	P-value	up-regulated	P-value	down-regulated	P-value	down-regulated	P-value
Age										
<55	17/25	0.686	6/25	0.138	14/25	0.058	12/25	0.889	3/25	0.957
≥55	15/24		2/24		7/24		12/24		3/24	
Nodal me	etastasis									
Absent	15/20	0.236	4/20	0.563	9/20	0.801	10/20	0.906	3/20	0.625
Present	17/29		4/29		12/29		14/29		3/29	
Distant metastasis										
Absent	22/31	0.275	5/31	0.961	15/31	0.305	11/31	0.013*	4/31	0.854
Present	10/18		3/18		6/18		13/18		2/18	
Recurrence										
Absent	6/12	0.200	3/12	0.350	5/12	0.924	6/12	0.935	2/12	0.591
Present	26/37		5/37		16/37		18/37		4/37	

hsa-miR-5703, hsa-miR-630 and hsa-miR-1246 upregulation of microRNAs was determined when the level of expression is 2.5-fold or more[†]; and hsa-miR-424-5p, hsa-miR-320b, 0.1 or less§. Pearson's chi-squared test (*, P < 0.05)

Discussion

In spite of relatively small population (0.01-1.0%) of CSCs, CSCs contribute disease recurrence because these cells are resistant to conventional treatments that target rapidly dividing cells, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy [13, 26]. Therefore, identifying CSCs and characterizing their molecular phenotype may provide insight into new therapeutic strategies for lethal human cancers such as ovarian carcinoma. From this point of view, ovarian CSCs are considered to be involved in disease relapse [5] as well as cancer development and chemoresistance; thus, CSC-specific molecular changes may be disease biomarkers or novel therapeutic targets [12].

A growing body of evidence indicates that miRNAs regulate most human genes involved in critical biological processes, including tumorigenesis, progression, and therapy resistance. miRNAs also control CSC self-renewal and differentiation, according to several studies that reported differentially expressed miRNAs in CSCs of various human cancers [22, 27, 28]. Recently, the dysregulation of miR-200a, miR-199a and miR-214 was reported in CD133 (+) and CD44 (+) ovarian CSCs [29]. We previously demonstrated that miR-23b, miR-27b, miR-424, and miR-503 expression is dysregulated in ovarian cancer cells positive for ALDH1 [30], a biomarker of CSCs. However, there are few data regarding miRNA expression profiles in ovarian CSCs.

To identify predictive biomarkers for disease recurrence and poor prognosis, and to provide new treatment strategies for life-threatening ovarian carcinoma, we identified ovarian CSC-specific miRNAs using high-throughput miRNA microarray and qRT-PCR. We also assessed the clinical implications of CSC-specific miRNAs by evaluating correlations between differentially expressed miRNAs and clinicopathological parameters. We found that miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b were aberrantly expressed in CSC-like SFCs. We also demonstrated that miR-5703 and miR-1246 expression increased, and that miR-424-5p expression decreased, significantly in OSCs compared with the control, indicating that these miRNAs are involved in the development of OSCs. We then analyzed correlations between miRNA expression levels and various clinicopathologic parameters to identify putative prognostic biomarkers as for the high stage OSCs (Table 4). Regarding that resistance to chemotherapy is due mainly to CSCs, and that miRNAs are responsible for the maintenance and regulation of CSCs properties and drug resistance by several recent studies [31], we postulated that chemoresistance is associated with changes in miR-5703, miR-1246 and miR-424-5p expression. However, we could not find evidence to support this postulation in our analysis. Thus, further studies are required to understand the roles of these miRNAs in human ovarian carcinoma.

However, we revealed for the first time that miR-424-5p was associated with distant metastasis of OSC. Tumor metastasis is a multi-step process that involves many critical factors because tumor heterogeneity and the mechanisms underlying distant metastasis may be completely different, even where primary tumors have similar clinical manifestations and histological types. CSCs are considered to be one of the causative factors of distant metastasis [32]. As for miR-424-5p, several studies reported the role of miR-424 in different physiological and pathological conditions. For example, expression of miR-424 is higher in distant metastasis than in primary mouse and human pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors [33]. Another study for non-small cell lung cancers shows that upregulation of miR-424 is related to a poor prognosis [34]. On the other hand, lower expression of miR-424 is positively correlated with advanced

clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, and other poor prognostic parameters in cervical cancers [35], indicating that it functions as a tumor suppressor. The present study also showed that expression of miR-424-5p was significantly lower in OSCs than in the control, and decreased expression of miR-424-5p significantly correlated with distant metastasis. Taken together, the function of miR-424-5p is cell type- or context-specific, and it may function as a tumor suppressor and could be a potential predictive biomarker for distant metastasis in OSCs.

As for miR-5703, which was upregulated in OSCs, its roles in tumor development and progression remain unclear. To predict the roles of miR-5703 in tumor development and progression, we searched for predicted target genes associated with apoptosis and suppression using the web-based tumor computational programs PicTar and Target Scan. ADAM19, a putative miR-5703 target gene, is a member of the Notch pathway. Its dysregulation results in neoplastic proliferation and stem cell regulation in many human cancers [36, 37]. The cleavage of the Notch transmembrane domain by ADAM proteases, which are multi-functional, multi-domain proteins involved in cell adhesion, cell signaling, and the proteolytic processing of other transmembrane proteins results in activation of the Notch pathway [38, 39]. Recent studies show that several ADAMs are highly expressed in cancer cells and tissues [40]. Of these, ADAM19 is highly expressed in human primary brain tumors and its with upregulation is associated increased invasiveness [41]. However, the function of ADAM19 in other cancers remain unclear. Therefore, further studies are necessary to determine whether miR-5703 induces cancer cell ovarian growth or migration/invasion, and whether ADAM19 is a direct target gene of miR-5703.

MiR-1246 was reported to promote migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma [42]. Another study demonstrated that miR-1246, a putative target of the p53 transcription factor, inhibits Down syndrome-associated DYRK1A, thereby activating NFAT and leading to tumorigenesis [43]. Serum miR-1246 was reportedly a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [44]. The further study is needed to investigate the role of miR-1246 in this subset of ovarian cancer.

In summary, we found that miR-5703, miR-630, miR-1246, miR-424-5p, and miR-320b were dysregulated in CSC-like cells of OSC. Of these, decreased expression of miR-424-5p was associated with distant metastasis of OSCs. Our results indicate that these microRNAs are putative CSC markers, and

that miR-424-5p is a potential predictive biomarker for poor prognosis and a possible therapeutic target in OSC.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health &Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI16C1559).

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

- Jemal A, Thomas A, Murray T and Thun M. Cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin. 2002; 52(1):23-47.
- McGuire WP, Hoskins WJ, Brady MF, Kucera PR, Partridge EE, Look KY, Clarke-Pearson DL and Davidson M. Cyclophosphamide and cisplatin versus paclitaxel and cisplatin: a phase III randomized trial in patients with suboptimal stage III/IV ovarian cancer (from the Gynecologic Oncology Group). Semin Oncol. 1996; 23(5 Suppl 12):40-47.
- Lapidot T, Sirard C, Vormoor J, Murdoch B, Hoang T, Caceres-Cortes J, Minden M, Paterson B, Caligiuri MA and Dick JE. A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice. Nature. 1994; 367(6464):645-648.
- Kim CF and Dirks PB. Cancer and stem cell biology: how tightly intertwined? Cell Stem Cell. 2008; 3(2):147-150.
- Ahmed N, Abubaker K, Findlay J and Quinn M. Cancerous ovarian stem cells: obscure targets for therapy but relevant to chemoresistance. J Cell Biochem. 2013; 114(1):21-34.
- Dean M, Fojo T and Bates S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. Nature reviews Cancer. 2005; 5(4):275-284.
- Rosen JM and Jordan CT. The increasing complexity of the cancer stem cell paradigm. Science. 2009; 324(5935):1670-1673.
- 8. Conic I, Dimov I, Tasic-Dimov D, Djordjevic B and Stefanovic V. Ovarian epithelial cancer stem cells. ScientificWorldJournal. 2011; 11:1243-1269.
- Dalerba P and Clarke MF. Cancer stem cells and tumor metastasis: first steps into uncharted territory. Cell Stem Cell. 2007; 1(3):241-242.
- Mor G, Yin G, Chefetz I, Yang Y and Alvero A. Ovarian cancer stem cells and inflammation. Cancer Biol Ther. 2011; 11(8):708-713.
- Tirino V, Desiderio V, Paino F, De Rosa A, Papaccio F, La Noce M, Laino L, De Francesco F and Papaccio G. Cancer stem cells in solid tumors: an overview and new approaches for their isolation and characterization. FASEB J. 2013; 27(1):13-24.
- Clarke MF and Fuller M. Stem cells and cancer: two faces of eve. Cell. 2006; 124(6):1111-1115.
- Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF and Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature. 2001; 414(6859):105-111.
- 14. Calin GA and Croce CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nature reviews Cancer. 2006; 6(11):857-866.
- O'Donnell KA, Wentzel EA, Zeller KI, Dang CV and Mendell JT. c-Myc-regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression. Nature. 2005; 435(7043):839-843.
- Tong AW and Nemunaitis J. Modulation of miRNA activity in human cancer: a new paradigm for cancer gene therapy? Cancer Gene Ther. 2008; 15(6):341-355.
- 17. Esquela-Kerscher A and Slack FJ. Oncomirs microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nature reviews Cancer. 2006; 6(4):259-269.
- Iorio MV, Visone R, Di Leva G, Donati V, Petrocca F, Casalini P, Taccioli C, Volinia S, Liu CG, Alder H, Calin GA, Menard S and Croce CM. MicroRNA signatures in human ovarian cancer. Cancer Res. 2007; 67(18):8699-8707.
- Zhang B, Pan X, Cobb GP and Anderson TA. microRNAs as oncogenes and tumor suppressors. Dev Biol. 2007; 302(1):1-12.
- 20. Vang R, Shih Ie M and Kurman RJ. Ovarian low-grade and high-grade serous carcinoma: pathogenesis, clinicopathologic and molecular biologic features, and diagnostic problems. Adv Anat Pathol. 2009; 16(5):267-282.
- Kwon AY, Kim GI, Jeong JY, Song JY, Kwack KB, Lee C, Kang HY, Kim TH, Heo JH and An HJ. VAV3 Overexpressed in Cancer Stem Cells Is a Poor Prognostic Indicator in Ovarian Cancer Patients. Stem Cells Dev. 2015; 24(13):1521-1535.
- Shimono Y, Zabala M, Cho RW, Lobo N, Dalerba P, Qian D, Diehn M, Liu H, Panula SP, Chiao E, Dirbas FM, Somlo G, Pera RA, Lao K and Clarke MF. Downregulation of miRNA-200c links breast cancer stem cells with normal stem cells. Cell. 2009; 138(3):592-603.

- Karst AM and Drapkin R. Ovarian cancer pathogenesis: a model in evolution. J Oncol. 2010; 2010;932371.
- Leeper K, Garcia R, Swisher E, Goff B, Greer B and Paley P. Pathologic findings in prophylactic oophorectomy specimens in high-risk women. Gynecol Oncol. 2002; 87(1):52-56.
- Medeiros F, Muto MG, Lee Y, Elvin JA, Callahan MJ, Feltmate C, Garber JE, Cramer DW and Crum CP. The tubal fimbria is a preferred site for early adenocarcinoma in women with familial ovarian cancer syndrome. Am J Surg Pathol. 2006; 30(2):230-236.
- Hambardzumyan D, Becher OJ and Holland EC. Cancer stem cells and survival pathways. Cell Cycle. 2008; 7(10):1371-1378.
- Ji J, Yamashita T, Budhu A, Forgues M, Jia HL, Li C, Deng C, Wauthier E, Reid LM, Ye QH, Qin LX, Yang W, Wang HY, Tang ZY, Croce CM and Wang XW. Identification of microRNA-181 by genome-wide screening as a critical player in EpCAM-positive hepatic cancer stem cells. Hepatology. 2009; 50(2):472-480.
- Yu F, Yao H, Zhu P, Zhang X, Pan Q, Gong C, Huang Y, Hu X, Su F, Lieberman J and Song E. let-7 regulates self renewal and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells. Cell. 2007; 131(6):1109-1123.
- Nam EJ, Lee M, Yim GW, Kim JH, Kim S, Kim SW and Kim YT. MicroRNA profiling of a CD133(+) spheroid-forming subpopulation of the OVCAR3 human ovarian cancer cell line. BMC Med Genomics. 2012; 5:18.
- Park YT, Jeong JY, Lee MJ, Kim KI, Kim TH, Kwon YD, Lee C, Kim OJ and An HJ. MicroRNAs overexpressed in ovarian ALDH1-positive cells are associated with chemoresistance. J Ovarian Res. 2013; 6(1):18.
- Garg M. Emerging role of microRNAs in cancer stem cells: Implications in cancer therapy. World J Stem Cells. 2015; 7(8):1078-1089.
- Chang JC. Cancer stem cells: Role in tumor growth, recurrence, metastasis, and treatment resistance. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016; 95(1 Suppl 1):S20-25.
- Olson P, Lu J, Zhang H, Shai A, Chun MG, Wang Y, Libutti SK, Nakakura EK, Golub TR and Hanahan D. MicroRNA dynamics in the stages of tumorigenesis correlate with hallmark capabilities of cancer. Genes Dev. 2009; 23(18):2152-2165.
- Donnem T, Fenton CG, Lonvik K, Berg T, Eklo K, Andersen S, Stenvold H, Al-Shibli K, Al-Saad S, Bremnes RM and Busund LT. MicroRNA signatures in tumor tissue related to angiogenesis in non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One. 2012; 7(1):e29671.
- Xu J, Li Y, Wang F, Wang X, Cheng B, Ye F, Xie X, Zhou C and Lu W. Suppressed miR-424 expression via upregulation of target gene Chk1 contributes to the progression of cervical cancer. Oncogene. 2013; 32(8):976-987.
- Bolos V, Grego-Bessa J and de la Pompa JL. Notch signaling in development and cancer. Endocrine reviews. 2007; 28(3):339-363.
- Ranganathan P, Weaver KL and Capobianco AJ. Notch signalling in solid tumours: a little bit of everything but not all the time. Nature reviews Cancer. 2011; 11(5):338-351.
- Fortini ME. Notch and presenilin: a proteolytic mechanism emerges. Current opinion in cell biology. 2001; 13(5):627-634.
- Zolkiewska A. ADAM proteases: ligand processing and modulation of the Notch pathway. Cellular and molecular life sciences: CMLS. 2008; 65(13):2056-2068.
- Mochizuki S and Okada Y. ADAMs in cancer cell proliferation and progression. Cancer science. 2007; 98(5):621-628.
- Wildeboer D, Naus S, Amy Sang QX, Bartsch JW and Pagenstecher A. Metalloproteinase disintegrins ADAM8 and ADAM19 are highly regulated in human primary brain tumors and their expression levels and activities are associated with invasiveness. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology. 2006; 65(5):516-527.
- Sun Z, Meng C, Wang S, Zhou N, Guan M, Bai C, Lu S, Han Q and Zhao RC. MicroRNA-1246 enhances migration and invasion through CADM1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. BMC cancer. 2014; 14:616.
- Liao JM, Zhou X, Zhang Y and Lu H. MiR-1246: a new link of the p53 family with cancer and Down syndrome. Cell Cycle. 2012; 11(14):2624-2630.
- 44. Takeshita N, Hoshino I, Mori M, Akutsu Y, Hanari N, Yoneyama Y, Ikeda N, Isozaki Y, Maruyama T, Akanuma N, Komatsu A, Jitsukawa M and Matsubara H. Serum microRNA expression profile: miR-1246 as a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Br J Cancer. 2013; 108(3):644-652.