SHORT REPORT



Gender differences in isotretinoin prescriptions during the COVID-19 pandemic at a U.S. academic medical center

Grace Y. Duan¹ · Arlene M. Ruiz de Luzuriaga²

Received: 9 February 2022 / Revised: 4 August 2022 / Accepted: 7 September 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Systemic isotretinoin is a highly effective treatment for severe and refractory acne but carries risk for serious adverse effects such as teratogenicity. The risk mitigation system used in the United States, iPLEDGE, requires all patients on isotretinoin therapy to complete monthly tasks including follow-up visits with their prescriber, and patients with childbearing potential must additionally undergo monthly pregnancy testing. Female patients seeking isotretinoin are disproportionately affected by iPLEDGE-related barriers and have historically been prescribed isotretinoin less than male patients. The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and updated regulations permitting at-home pregnancy testing and telehealth for monthly follow-up visits presented an opportunity to study the impact of these changes on the isotretinoin gender gap. We performed a single-center analysis to determine whether gender differences in isotretinoin prescription changed at our institution at the beginning of the pandemic. We found that male predominance of isotretinoin prescriptions was greater during the pandemic compared to pre-pandemic (odds ratios: 4.13 vs. 2.86). Furthermore, male patients were more likely to utilize telehealth compared to in-person visits for isotretinoin follow-up relative to female patients (odds ratios: 6.00 vs. 3.62). Despite the newly introduced flexibilities of telehealth and remote pregnancy testing, gender inequity in receiving isotretinoin treatment persists.

Keywords Isotretinoin · Acne · Telehealth · Gender disparities · COVID-19

Introduction

Systemic isotretinoin has been an important treatment for severe acne since its approval in 1982 [8]. Female patients have been reported to be under-prescribed isotretinoin relative to male patients despite being more likely to see a physician for acne [3, 5]. In 2006, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) implemented iPLEDGE, a regulatory system requiring patient registration upon initiation of isotretinoin therapy, which aimed to reduce adverse outcomes and prevent isotretinoin-related teratogenicity. Although iPLEDGE requires all patients to complete monthly counseling and knowledge assessments, patients with childbearing potential must additionally attest to using two forms of contraception, undergo monthly pregnancy testing, and manage a short 7-day prescription pick-up window [4]. These additional requirements may influence the likelihood of prescription of isotretinoin to female patients.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic catalyzed the adoption of telehealth across specialties including dermatology, not only in the United States but all over the world [1]. In response, guidance on FDA Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS)-required testing was updated [9], permitting patients on isotretinoin to complete monthly visits via telehealth and undergo pregnancy testing at home instead of at the provider's office [7]. We hypothesized that these changes could potentially reduce iPLEDGErelated barriers and improve the accessibility of isotretinoin for female patients during the pandemic.

Methods

To evaluate the effect of telehealth and FDA REMS pandemic-related guidance on isotretinoin prescriptions for male and female patients, we analyzed data obtained from

Arlene M. Ruiz de Luzuriaga aruizde@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu

¹ The University of Chicago, Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA

² Department of Medicine, Section of Dermatology, The University of Chicago Medical Center, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC #5067, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

the University of Chicago's Clinical Research Data Warehouse. This study was approved by the institutional review board. The criteria for inclusion were dermatology encounters (telehealth visits and in-person visits) during which an adult patient (age > 18 years) was prescribed isotretinoin or topical retinoids. Gender identity was determined from patient-reported demographics extracted from electronic medical records. Fisher's exact tests with alpha set to 0.05 were used to compare the odds of isotretinoin prescription for male and female patients, with control groups comprised of patients receiving topical retinoids for acne. Topical retinoid prescriptions were selected as the control group as they are commonly prescribed to treat acne in both male and female patients, sometimes in adjunct with other medications, however are rarely prescribed simultaneously with isotretinoin at our institution. Odds ratios during the pandemic period (June 1, 2020 to January 22, 2021) and the pre-pandemic period (June 1, 2019 to January 22, 2020) were further compared. The date range for the pandemic period was selected to capture the time when telehealth visits were conducted concurrently with in-person visits at our institution prior to the widespread availability of COVID-19 vaccination in the United States. Finally, odds ratios were compared between telehealth visits and in-person visits during the pandemic period.

Table 1Comparison of adultfemale and male patientsreceiving isotretinoin relative totopical retinoids for acne duringand prior to the COVID-19pandemic

Results

In all comparisons, male patients had significantly higher odds of being prescribed isotretinoin than female patients (Table 1). Notably, the gender difference in isotretinoin prescriptions was larger during the pandemic (odds ratio [OR] 4.13; 28% male vs. 9% female; P < 0.0005) than before the pandemic (OR 2.86; 33% male vs. 15% female; P < 0.0005).

Furthermore, male patients were significantly more likely to be prescribed isotretinoin at both telehealth and in-person visit modalities than female patients (Table 2). The gender difference was larger in the context of telehealth visits (OR 6.00; 41% male vs. 10% female; P < 0.0005) compared to in-person visits (OR 3.62; 24% male vs. 8% female; P < 0.0005).

Discussion

Our results suggest that gender differences in isotretinoin treatment for acne were not reduced during the pandemic despite the approval of telehealth follow-up visits and athome pregnancy testing. Many factors may influence the relative decreased access to isotretinoin among female patients

	Topical retinoid	Isotretinoin	otretinoin OR 95%		% CI	
				Lower	Upper	
Pre-pandemic,	^a n (%)					
Female	496 (85.4)	85 (14.6)	Reference			
Male	151 (67.1)	74 (32.9)	2.86	1.96	4.16	< 0.0005
Pandemic, ^b n (%)					
Female	661 (91.4)	62 (8.6)	Reference			
Male	165 (72.1)	64 (27.9)	4.13	2.74	6.21	< 0.0005

Abbreviations: CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio

^aPre-pandemic encounters are defined as taking place between June 1, 2019, and January 22, 2020 ^bPandemic encounters are defined as taking place between June 1, 2020, and January 22, 2021

	Topical retinoid	Isotretinoin	OR	95% CI	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper	
Pandemic: in-j	person visit, ^a n (%)					
Female	504 (92.0)	44 (8.0)	Reference	e		
Male	133 (76.0)	42 (24.0)	3.62	2.21	5.90	< 0.0005
Pandemic: tele	ehealth visit, ^{a,b} n (%)					
Female	157 (89.7)	18 (10.3)	Reference	e		
Male	32 (59.3)	22 (40.7)	6.00	2.70	13.27	< 0.0005

Abbreviations: CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio

^aPandemic encounters are defined as taking place between June 1, 2020, and January 22, 2021

^bAll telehealth visits occurred via synchronous video conferencing

Table 2Comparison of adultfemale and male patientsreceiving isotretinoin relativeto topical retinoids for acne viatelehealth and in-person visitsduring the COVID-19 pandemic

including patient preference. For example, pandemic-related changes may have influenced the choice of acne treatment among female patients more than male patients, especially given the wider range of acne treatments available to female patients. Pandemic-related challenges may disproportionately affect the ability of female patients to adhere to strict iPLEDGE guidelines including the use of two forms of contraception [2] and access to pregnancy tests [6]. Furthermore, provider preference may also explain gender differences in isotretinoin prescription during the pandemic. There may be greater reluctance among providers to prescribe isotretinoin to female patients over telehealth due to concerns about the accuracy of home pregnancy tests [7] or, in the case of initiation of treatment, challenges in completion of the iPLEDGE enrollment process.

Our study is limited by the single-center setting, retrospective data acquisition, and availability of gender identity information using our dataset. Although we were able to detect gender differences in receiving isotretinoin for acne, further investigation is needed to characterize this finding. Specifically, our work finds that female patients had relatively fewer isotretinoin prescriptions compared to male patients but does not describe absolute gender differences in prescriptions for the treatment of acne during the pandemic. Though not included in this study, we plan to examine the data to study whether patients were similarly likely to present for acne before and during the pandemic. Future work should examine patient and provider factors influencing the prescription of isotretinoin to female patients, as well as identify opportunities to improve policies that promote safe isotretinoin use while minimizing gender inequity.

Author contributions Both authors had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Conceptualization: GD and AMRL. Methodology: GD and AMRL. Formal analysis: GD and AMRL. Writing—original draft: GD. Writing—review and editing: GD and AMRL. Funding acquisition: AMRL. Resources: GD and AMRL. Supervision: AMRL.

Funding This project was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through Grant Number 5UL1TR002389-04 that funds the Institute for Translational Medicine (ITM). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Authors GD and AR declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

References

- Abdel-Naser MB (2021) COVID-19 pandemic and teledermatology in low-income regions. Edorium J Dermatol. https://doi.org/ 10.5348/100004D02MA2021ED
- Aly J, Haeger KO, Christy AY, Johnson AM (2020) Contraception access during the COVID-19 pandemic. Contracept Reprod Med 5:17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-020-00114-9
- Barbieri JS, Shin DB, Wang S, Margolis DJ, Takeshita J (2020) Association of race/ethnicity and sex with differences in health care use and treatment for acne. JAMA Dermatol 156:312–319. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2019.4818
- Charrow A, Xia FD, Lu J, Waul M, Joyce C, Mostaghimi A (2019) Differences in isotretinoin start, interruption, and early termination across race and sex in the iPLEDGE era. PLoS One 14:e0210445. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210445
- Fleischer AB, Simpson JK, McMichael A, Feldman SR (2003) Are there racial and sex differences in the use of oral isotretinoin for acne management in the United States? J Am Acad Dermatol 49:662–666. https://doi.org/10.1067/S0190-9622(03)01584-6
- Kane S, Admani S (2021) COVID-19 pandemic leading to the accelerated development of a virtual health model for isotretinoin. J Dermatol Nurses Assoc 13:54–57. https://doi.org/10.1097/JDN. 000000000000595
- Pathoulas JT, Farah RS, Fiessinger L, Mansh M (2020) An opportunity for improvement: iPLEDGE policy changes during the coronavirus pandemic. Dermatol Ther 33:e14411. https://doi.org/10. 1111/dth.14411
- Shin J, Cheetham TC, Wong L, Niu F, Kass E, Yoshinaga MA, Sorel M, McCombs JS, Sidney S (2011) The impact of the iPLEDGE program on isotretinoin fetal exposure in an integrated health care system. J Am Acad Dermatol 65:1117–1125. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2010.09.017
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (Updated March 22, 2020) Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA provides update on patient access to certain REMS drugs during COVID-19 public health emergency. Accessed Dec 27, 2021. https:// www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-provides-update-patient-access-certa in-rems-drugs-during-covid-19

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.