The Breast 55 (2021) 1-6



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Breast



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/brst

Original article

A prospective cohort study of the safety of breast cancer surgery during COVID-19 pandemic in the West of Scotland



Laszlo Romics ^{a, e, *}, Julie Doughty ^b, Sheila Stallard ^b, James Mansell ^c, Vivienne Blackhall ^a, Alison Lannigan ^c, Suzanne Elgammal ^d, Judith Reid ^d, Marie-Claire McGuigan ^a, Francesca Savioli ^e, Sian Tovey ^d, Dermott Murphy ^c, Iona Reid ^a, Andy Malyon ^f, Jennifer McIlhenny ^c, Christopher Wilson ^b

^a New Victoria Hospital, Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board, NHS Scotland, United Kingdom

^b Gartnavel General Hospital, Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board, NHS Scotland, United Kingdom

^c University Hospital Wishaw, Lanarkshire Health Board, NHS Scotland, United Kingdom

^d University Hospital Crosshouse, Ayrshire and Arran Health Board, NHS Scotland, United Kingdom

^e Academic Unit of Surgery, College of Medical, Veterinary Sciences and Life, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

^f Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board, NHS Scotland, United Kingdom

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 16 June 2020 Received in revised form 18 November 2020 Accepted 23 November 2020 Available online 25 November 2020

Keywords: Breast conservation therapy Breast surgery Mammaplasty Pandemics Breast cancer COVID-19

ABSTRACT

Introduction: In order to minimise the risk of breast cancer patients for COVID-19 infection related morbidity and mortality prioritisation of care has utmost importance since the onset of the pandemic. However, COVID-19 related risk in patients undergoing breast cancer surgery has not been studied yet. We evaluated the safety of breast cancer surgery during COVID-19 pandemic in the West of Scotland region.

Methods: A prospective cohort study of patients having breast cancer surgery was carried out in a geographical region during the first eight weeks of the hospital lockdown and outcomes were compared to the regional cancer registry data of pre-COVID-19 patients of the same units (n = 1415).

Results: 188 operations were carried out in 179 patients. Tumour size was significantly larger in patients undergoing surgery during hospital lockdown than before (cT3-4: 16.8% vs. 7.4%; p < 0.001; pT2 - pT4: 45.5% vs. 35.6%; p = 0.002). ER negative and HER-2 positive rate was significantly higher during lockdown (ER negative: 41.3% vs. 17%, p < 0.001; HER-2 positive: 23.4% vs. 14.8%; p = 0.004). While breast conservation rate was lower during lockdown (58.6% vs. 65%; p < 0.001), level II oncoplastic conservation was significantly higher in order to reduce mastectomy rate (22.8% vs. 5.6%; p < 0.001). No immediate reconstruction was offered during lockdown. 51.2% had co-morbidity, and 7.8% developed postoperative complications in lockdown. There was no peri-operative COVID-19 infection related morbidity or mortality.

Conclusion: breast cancer can be safely provided during COVID-19 pandemic in selected patients. © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Patients diagnosed with breast cancer have been facing unprecedented challenges during their treatment since the onset of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic. Breast cancer specialists have struggled to maintain optimal breast cancer treatment for their

E-mail address: Laszlo.Romics@glasgow.ac.uk (L. Romics).

patients in the midst of potentially compromised medical resources for cancer therapy while minimising exposure of their patients to COVID-19 infection related risks [1].

Numerous professional bodies issued valuable recommendations to aid prioritisation of breast cancer care based on tumour biology and cancer stage including recommendations for the surgical treatment of breast cancer in the health care crisis [2–4]. In general, upfront surgery was recommended as a priority led by the biology and potential prognosis therefore, triple-negative and HER-2 positive disease were deemed as priority, while primary endocrine treatment was accepted to temporise surgery in luminal-A

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2020.11.015

0960-9776/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

 $[\]ast$ Corresponding author. New Victoria Hospital, 52 Grange Road, Glasgow, G42 9LF, United Kingdom.

tumours [5].

COVID-19 infection related death has been implicated to be dependent on co-morbidities, age, and anti-cancer treatment including surgery, although the extent of contribution of these factors is confounding due to the limited evidence available [6–12]. Specifically, COVID-19 related risk in patients requiring surgery for breast cancer have been evaluated in three studies only [7,13,14]. Therefore, we evaluated the safety of breast cancer surgery during COVID-19 pandemic in a prospective observational study in the West of Scotland region during the first eight weeks of the United Kingdom national lockdown, and compared outcomes to the regional cancer registry data of pre-COVID-19 patients.

2. Methods

A prospective registry of patients who had surgical treatment for invasive or non-invasive breast cancer in the West of Scotland was created when lockdown was introduced by the Scottish Government on 23 March 2020. Patients entered in the first 8 weeks of the lockdown, between 23 March 2020 and 15 May 2020, were included in the analysis. Three NHS Scotland Health Boards participated in the audit, which was approved by the relevant clinical directors of the health boards.

The following parameters were collected prospectively: age, dates of diagnosis and surgery, perioperative risk factors (BMI, co-morbidities, smoking habit, ASA grade), clinical and pathological tumour size, nodal status, subtype, grade, ER and HER-2 expression, details of neoadjuvant treatment, types of breast and axillary surgery, length of hospital stay, treatment affected by COVID-19 pandemic, COVID-19 infection rates, details of postoperative complications, unplanned hospital readmission or return to operating theatre.

This prospective cohort was compared against a cohort of patients (n = 1415) from the same region, who were diagnosed with invasive or non-invasive breast cancer between 1 January 2015 and 31 December 2015. This cohort was identified from the prospectively maintained Managed Clinical Network (MCN) database and Caldicott Guardian approval was gained previously [15]. Comparison was made of clinicopathological factors and surgical treatments between pre-COVID-19 hospital lockdown and the same units during hospital lockdown due to COVID-19 pandemic.

During lockdown all patients were screened for possible COVID-19 infection related symptoms. In cases where COVID-19 infection was clinically suspected patients were asked to self-isolate and surgery was postponed by a minimum of two weeks followed by a re-assessment of the patient. In one Health Board routine preoperative COVID-19 PCR testing was introduced four weeks after the hospital lockdown, which was performed within 72 h of the date of surgical treatment followed by self-isolation until the time of surgery. The operating hospitals were non-receiving hospitals for patients with diagnosed COVID-19 infections including Ambulatory Care and Diagnostic Centre facility or independent sector hospital procured for NHS cancer surgery. These hospitals do not have a High Dependency Unit so patients requiring emergency surgery, or those deemed as having a high anaesthetic risk were operated on in an acute receiving hospital where patients with diagnosed COVID-19 infection were being treated. Data collection and analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 365 Software. Statistical significance (considered as $p \ge 0.05$) was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test, Chi-Square test and Z-test for two proportions, as appropriate.

3. Results

179 patients were included in the analysis, all patients

underwent surgical treatment for invasive or non-invasive breast cancer in the West of Scotland. Three of the four NHS Scotland Health Boards in the West of Scotland participated in the audit including seven of the eleven breast surgical units of the region. These seven breast units diagnose and treat 61.2% (1415 of 2292) of all newly diagnosed breast cancers in the region yearly based on previous figures of Managed Clinical Network in Scotland (2015), hence this is a representative audit of the region.

189 surgeries were carried out in 180 patients. 5 patients had two oncological surgeries, another 4 patients returned to theatre due to postoperative complications. One patient required emergency surgery to remove an infected implant inserted 10 months earlier, who was excluded from the analysis.

Median age of the patients was 54 years (27–81). Date of diagnosis ranged between 31 July 2019 and 7 May 2020. 42 of the 179 patients were diagnosed during lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Almost two-thirds of the patients were diagnosed in the symptomatic service (64.8%), which was significantly higher compared to patients diagnosed in the symptomatic service before lockdown in this region (52.9%; p < 0.001) (Table 1.). Breast screening had been stopped in Scotland at the start of lockdown.

Median preoperative tumour size was 25 mm (5–110). The clinical tumour size was significantly larger in patients undergoing surgery during lockdown with 28 patients (16.8%) having cT3-4 disease compared to patients operated before lockdown (vs. 154 of 1415 patients (7.4%); p < 0.001)) (Table 1). This trend is reflected in the pathological tumour size with more patients having surgery for pT2 – pT4 disease during the pandemic compared to patients treated before lockdown (45.5% vs. 35.6%; p = 0.002). However, the rate of clinically and pathologically node positive disease were similar in patients who underwent surgery during lockdown compared to the pre-lockdown times (cN1-3: 24.9% vs. 19.1%, p = 0.099; (y)pN1-3: 30.8% vs. 31.8%, p = 0.791). Tumour subtypes and grade were comparable in the two groups with somewhat less patients undergoing surgery for DCIS and more patients undergoing surgery with G3 disease during the COVID-19 pandemic (p = 0.057 and p = 0.107, respectively). However, a sharp difference between ER- and HER-2 expression were found in between the two groups with significantly more patients having ER negative and HER-2 positive disease in the COVID-19 group compared to patients operated before the pandemic (ER negative: 41.3% vs 17%, p < 0.001; and HER-2 positive: 23.4% vs. 14.8%, p = 0.004) (Table 1.).

105 (58.6%) patients had breast conservation surgery (BCS) during lockdown, of which 24 (13.4%) patients underwent level II oncoplastic breast conservation surgery comprising of 22.8% oncoplastic surgical rate of all BCSs (Table 2.). While BCS rate was higher in patients operated before the COVID-19 pandemic (65%), only 5.6% of the patients were treated with oncoplastic surgery of all patients treated with BCS (Table 2.). There was no immediate reconstruction carried out during lockdown and no significant difference was found in terms of axillary surgical procedures between the two groups of patients. Length of hospital stay during lockdown was less than 24 h in 166 cases (90.2%), and of these daycase surgery was carried out in 65 cases (35.3%). Significantly higher proportion of patients received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in the COVID-19 group compared to the patients treated before the pandemic (30.1% vs. 10.4%; p < 0.001).

For perioperative risk factors BMI, co-morbidities, recent smoking habit and COVID-19 infection was analysed (Table 3.). The median BMI of the patients was 26.3 (15–48), with 128 patients (71.5%) being at least overweight, of which 57 (35%) suffered from various degree of obesity (Table 3). 93 patients (51.2%) had co-morbidity, of which 29 patients (16.2%) had at least two co-morbidities documented. 27 patients (15.7%) were current smokers. Similar data for co-morbidities are not available in the

Table 1

Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics of patients treated during COVID-19 pandemic caused hospital lock down and outside of the pandemic in the West of Scotland.¹ Data was not available for 12 patients in the COVID database and 717 patients had either cT0, or primary tumour was not assessed in the MCN database.² Data was not available for 11 patients in the COVID database and 26 patients lymph nodes were not assessed or recorded in the MCN database.³ Final pathology is awaiting in 7 patients in the COVID database and primary tumour subtype was not assessable or recorded in 19 patients in the MCN database. ⁴ Grade not assessable or not applicable in 218 patients in the MCN database. Grade, ER status and HER-2 status were determined in invasive cancer only.

		COVID-19 d	atabase	MCN databas	e	P value	
Clinicopathological characteristics		No. of patients (%)		No. of patients (%)			
Presentation	symptomatic	116	64.8%	749	52.9%	<0.001	
	screener	57	31.8%	469	33.1%	CS	
	other	6	3.3%	197	13.9%		
cT ¹	cTis	7	4.2%	132	6.3%	< 0.001	
	cT1	57	34.1%	685	32.7%	CS	
	cT2	75	44.9%	1121	53.6%		
	cT3-4	28	16.8%	154	7.4%		
cN ²	cN0	127	75.1%	1125	80.9%	0.099 (NS)	
	cN1-3	41	24.9%	265	19.1%		
Tumour subtype ³	DCIS/LCIS	8	4.7%	116	8.3%	0.057	
51	Ductal	126	73.7%	988	70.8%	MW	
	Lobular	22	12.7%	152	10.9%		
	Mixed	3	1.7%	16	1.1%		
	Mucinous	2	1.2%	22	1.5%		
	Tubular	2	1.2%	15	1.1%		
	Papillary	2	1.2%	5	0.3%		
	Other	5	2.9%	71	5.1%		
	Inflammatory	1	0.6%	11	0.8%		
(y)pT	vPt0	10	5.9%	N/A	N/A	0.002	
	(y)pTis	11	6.5%	116	10.1%	CS	
	(y)pT1	71	42%	619	54.1%		
	(y)pT2	57	33.7%	344	30.1%		
	(y)pT3 - T4	20	11.8%	64	5.6%		
Grade ⁴	G1	11	6.8%	96	9.1%	0.107 (NS)	
	G2	70	43.2%	522	49.5%	CS	
	G3	81	50%	436	41.3%		
ER expression ⁴	negative	67	41.3%	217	17%	< 0.001	
HER-2 expression ⁴	positive	38	23.4%	188	14.8%	= 0.004	
(y)pN ⁴	(y)pN0	112	69.1%	711	68.2%	= 0.791 (NS)	
	(y)pN1	36	22.2%	253	24.2%	0.701 (10)	
	pN2	8	4.9%	53	5.1%		
	pN2 pN3	6	3.7%	26	2.5%		

MCN database, hence a direct comparison could not have been carried out.

Altogether 14 patients (7.8%) developed postoperative complications, of which 6 patients (3.3%) had major complication requiring in-hospital treatment. 4 patients returned to theatre for complications including evacuation of haematoma and washout of infected seroma (Table 3.). Two of these four cases were carried out in an acute receiving hospital with patients treated with COVID-19 infection. A further two patients required transfer to the acute receiving hospital. One of them developed postoperative hypoxia, while the other patient had delirium. Of the elective cases, four patients with significant co-morbidities were operated on in acute receiving site (one unilateral therapeutic mammoplasty and three mastectomies).

Patient management was affected by COVID-19 pandemic in 78 patients (43.6%) overall (Table 4.). 40 patients would have been suitable for immediate postmastectomy breast reconstruction, which comprised of 62.5% of all patients treated with mastectomy during COVID-19 lockdown. Of the six patients who had unilateral therapeutic mammoplasty through a "Wise" pattern incision, five would have had immediate contralateral symmetrisation outside the pandemic. 28 patients had their neo-adjuvant chemotherapy interrupted due the pandemic, which comprised of 51.8% of all patients having surgery after neo-adjuvant chemotherapy during the pandemic. Conversely, 12 patients went straight to surgery who would have been offered neoadjuvant chemotherapy outside COVID-19. In 14 patients (7.8%) both the surgical and adjuvant treatments were affected by the pandemic (Table 4.).

COVID-19 infection was suspected in five patients altogether. In two patients the preoperative imaging raised suspicion of COVID-19 infection, and surgery was delayed by two weeks but patients were not tested. In further three patients postoperative COVID-19 infection was suspected. These three patients all subsequently tested negative, although one of them required transfer to an acute receiving hospital due to hypoxia. There was one patient who tested positive on routine preoperative COVID-19 testing, whose surgery was also delayed. There was no mortality and no perioperative COVID-19 infection related morbidity detected in this cohort of patients.

4. Discussion

Our study of 179 patients undergoing breast cancer surgery in the West of Scotland region during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrates that selected surgery for breast cancer surgery can be safely delivered. Initial data suggested that cancer patients receiving anti-cancer treatment have a higher mortality rate if they develop COVID-19 infection. A retrospective analysis by Zhan et al. of 28 patients from Wuhan, China showed a 28.6% mortality rate, and having the last anti-cancer treatment within 14 days of the infection significantly increased the risk of mortality from COVID-19 infection [12]. Similarly, a nationwide analysis by Liang et al. showing similar data based on the extraction of data from 18 cancer patients from 1590 patient with COVID-19 infection [16]. However more recent data by Lee et al. from the UK Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring Project (UKCCMP), which involved 800 cancer patients

Table 2

Comparison of breast cancer surgeries during COVID-19 pandemic caused hospital lock down and outside of the pandemic in the West of Scotland. ¹In 7 patients contralateral symmetrising reduction was carried out simultaneously.² In one patient bilateral mastectomy was carried out. LICAP = lateral intercostal perforator flap. ³In 7 patients the WLE was carried out before the hospital lock down, while in another patients both the wide and the re-excision were done during lock down.⁴ In the breast 220 patients and in the axilla 344 patients did not receive any/require surgery or refused treatment or data not recorded. TM = therapeutic mammoplasty with breast reduction technique from "wise" patter incision. ANC = axillary node clearance. SLNB = sentinel node biopsy. Sym. red. = symmetrising reduction. Round bl. = round block technique. LICAP = lateral intercostal perforator flap. AICAP = anterior intercostal perforator flap. In 2 cases axillary surgery was carried out only. In 28 cases no axillary surgery was carried out.

		COVID databas	e			MCN database		
Surgical	technique							
Breast s	urgery	No. of cases	Per cent	Details of operations	No. of cases	No. of cases ⁴	Per cent	p value
OBCS	Therapeutic mammoplasty ¹	13	7.7%	TM + ANC TM + SLNB	2 4	41	3.5%	<0.0001
	LICAP flap reconstruction	6	3.5%	TM + sym. red + SLNB LICAP + SLNB LICAP + ANC	7 5 1			
	AICAP flap reconstruction	2	1.2%	AICAP + SLNB AICAP + ANC	1 1			
	Round block excision	3	1.8%	Round bl. + SLNB Round bl.	2 1			
Wide lo	cal excision	81	47.9%	WLE + SLNB WLE + ANC WLE	63 9 9	730	61.6%	
Mastect	omy	64	37.9%	Mx ² + ANC Mx + sampling Mx only Mx + SLNB	27 1 5 31	314	26.5%	
Mastect	omy and IBR	0	0		0	100	8.4%	
Re-excis	sions ³	13	N/A	Re-exc. Re-exc. + ANC	12 1	N/A	N/A	
Axillary	surgery							= 0.05
	lymph node biopsy	113	72.4%			851	79.4%	
	clearance	41	26.3%			203	18.9%	
Samplin		1	0.6%			16	14.9%	
Excision	of lymph node	1	0.6%			1	0.01%	

with COVID-19 infection, demonstrated no significant effect on mortality for patients who received chemo-, immuno-, hormonal, or radiotherapy within 4 weeks of the infection [8]. Vaugnat et al. claimed the same analysing a population of 59 patients with COVID-19 infection from the 15600 patients actively treated with breast cancer at the Institut Curie Hospitals [10]. In fact, age (>70), male gender and severe comorbidities were independently associated with mortality from COVID-19 infection [8,10].

Early data of patients with COVID-19 infection undergoing elective general surgery suggested a significantly increased mortality rate up to 20.5% based on the analysis of 34 patients in Wuhan, China [9]. This preliminary finding was confirmed by a large scale international cohort study (COVIDsurg collective) including 294 patients with preoperatively confirmed COVID-19 infection from a cohort of 1128 undergoing surgery [6]. In adjusted analyses, 30-day mortality was associated with male gender, age (>70), ASA grade 3–5, malignancy, emergency and/or major surgery [6]. The COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium (CCC19) database including 928 patients with COVID-19 infection undergoing active anti-cancer treatment revealed that 30-day all-cause mortality is independently associated with age, male gender, and the number of comorbidities among others, but not with the type of anti-cancer therapy or recent surgery [7].

There is hardly any evidence however on the safety of breast cancer surgery during COVID-19 pandemic available as the number of patients who had breast cancer surgery were either single figures (Wuhan study, COVIDsurg collective) or the breast cancer specific anti-cancer treatment (CCC19 database: 191 breast cancers, UKCCMP study: 102 breast cancers) were not provided [6–9].

In terms of surgical techniques more oncoplastic breast conservations were carried out in comparison to our pre-COVID-19 practice due to immediate breast reconstruction not being offered

after mastectomy (Table 2.). Oncoplastic breast conservation surgery has been shown as a safe alternative to mastectomy and immediate breast reconstruction in selected patients based on the combined data of iBRA-2 and TeaM studies of 2916 patients [17]. Further, the Scottish audits of oncoplastic breast conservations indicate that oncoplastic surgery can widen the indications for breast conservation, and provide good oncological outcome with low complication rates in our hands, hence it can be a reasonable alternative to mastectomy with immediate reconstruction [18–21]. One unit in Italy did offer immediate breast reconstruction even during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic as it is indicated by Fragetti et al. who reported 15 nipple-sparing mastectomies with immediate reconstruction done in 13 patients, although reconstructive techniques were not disclosed [14]. In our study the higher rate of oncoplastic breast conservation surgery was partly a consequence of declined immediate breast reconstruction due to COVID-19 risks as opposed to an elective planned argument, although it also reflects practice changes over a period of five years. Nevertheless, a very careful approach, within a framework of close collaboration between breast and reconstructive surgeons, is required to carefully select patients and reconstructive techniques to allow re-starting of immediate breast reconstructions when appropriate [2,22].

In terms of COVID-19-related risk in patients undergoing treatment for breast cancer we found six patients of the 179 who had suspected or proven COVID-19 infection perioperatively. Corsi et al. reported on 63 patients who underwent breast cancer surgery over a five-week period in one of the breast units in Pavia (Lombardy, Italy), with one patient only being diagnosed with COVID-19 infection [23]. Similarly, Fragetti et al. reported on 85 patients, who had breast cancer surgery in a four-week time period with three patients being diagnosed with COVID-19 infection preoperatively

Table 3

Risk factors and postoperative complications in patients operated during COVID-19 pandemic caused hospital lock down in the West of Scotland.¹ No data available for 4 patients.² No data available for BMI in 16 patients.³ No data available in 7 patients.⁴ No data available on 9 patients. CV = cardio-vascular. HTN = hypertension. Resp = respiratory.

	No. of patients (%)	Details of risk factors	No. of patients
Risk factors			
Co-morbidities ¹	93 (53.1%)	Respiratory only (asthma, COPD, bronchiectasis)	7
	. ,	CV only (HTN, DVT, AF, mitral regurg, IHD, CVA, MVR)	31
		Endocrine (DM, hypo, hyperthyr)	4
		Musculo-skeletal (OA, RA)	3
		Morbid obesity	6
		Other (aneamia, chronic pain, Guillan-Barré sy, epilepsy, MS, PBC,	11
		depression, SLE, previous malignancy)	
		GI (GORD)	6
		Combined Resp $+$ CV	6
		Combined Resp + other	2
		Combined $CV + morb$ obesity	1
		Combined $CV + GI$	2
		Combined CV + morb obesity + endocrine	1
		Combined $CV + other$	2
		Combined $CV + GI + endocrine$	1
		Combined $CV + GI + endocrine + morb obese$	1
		Combined $CV + GI + other$	1
		Combined endocrine + other	3
		Combined Resp $+$ CV $+$ other	1
Obesity ²	57 (35%)	Obese	23
	()	Severe obese	24
		Morbidly	10
Current Smoker ³	27 (15.7%)	noronaly	10
ASA II and above ⁴	124 (69.3%)	ASA II	114
		ASA III	10
Complications			
Clavien-Dindo I	8 (4.5%)	Repeated of aspirations of seroma	1
	- ()	Infection treated with oral antibiotics	6
		Delayed wound healing	1
Clavien-Dindo II	2 (1.1%)	Postoperative hypoxia	1
	- ()	Postoperative delirium	1
Clavien-Dindo III	4 (2.2%)	Evacuation haematoma	2
	- ()	Washout of infected seroma	2

Table 4

Impact of COVID-19 pandemic and consequent hospital lock down on the overall management of patients. LA = local anaesthetic. GA = general anaesthetic. IBR = immediate breast reconstruction. NAC = neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. PET = primary endocrine therapy.

Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on patients' management	No. of patients	% of all patients
IBR not carried out	28	15.6%%
Contralateral reduction not carried out	3	1.7%
Change to LA from GA	1	0.5%
NAC not completed	19	10.6%
NAC not completed + Contralateral reduction not carried out	2	1.1%
NAC not completed + IBR not carried out	7	3.9%
NAC not offered	7	3.9%
NAC not offered + IBR not carried out	5	2.8%
PET due to COVID-19 lockdown, surgery delayed	5	2.8%
PET due to presumed COVID-19 infection, surgery delayed	1	0.5%

and further three patients required to have two-week delay in surgery due to suspected infection [14]. These figures imply that we need to carefully select our patients and avoid operating – if possible – on those with relatively high COVID-19 mortality risk. The above mentioned three large prospective cohort studies (UKCCMP, CC19, COVIDSurg) had similar outcomes in terms of risk factors for COVID-19 related death, hence surgery should be carried out with extreme caution in patients with multiple co-morbidities in particular those who are elderly [6–8].

There is some weakness of this paper which mainly relates to the control group of patients from the MCN database. Breast surgical practice has undoubtedly changed in the last 5 years hence a more recent cohort would have been more ideal. Due to time pressure arising from the relative urge of these results during lockdown this was not available in the MCN database at the time when the manuscript was written. Further, we did not have comorbidity data in the MCN database so we could not make a comparison which would have been an important point of the study. Nevertheless, this study provides the strongest evidence about safety of breast cancer surgery in lockdown due to COVID-19 infection, and may provide reassurance in the future if lockdown happens again.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that in a population in whom over 50% have co-morbidities surgery for breast cancer can be safely provided during COVID-19 pandemic in selected patients.

References

Tasoulis MK, Roche N, MacNeill F. Rationalizing breast cancer surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. Eur J Surg Oncol 2020;46(6):1192–3.

L. Romics, J. Doughty, S. Stallard et al.

- [2] Association of Breast Surgery. COVID-19 resources [Available from: https:// associationofbreastsurgery.org.uk/for-members/covid-19-resources/; 2020.
- [3] Curigliano G, Cardoso MJ, Poortmans P, Gentilini O, Pravettoni G, Mazzocco K, et al. Recommendations for triage, prioritization and treatment of breast cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Breast 2020;52:8–16.
- [4] The American Society of Breast Surgeons. Recommendations for Prioritization, treatment and triage of breast cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: Executive summary. 2020 [Available from: https://www. breastsurgeons.org/docs/news/The_COVID-19_Pandemic_Breast_Cancer_ Consortium_Recommendations_EXECUTIVE_SUMMARY.pdf?01.
- [5] Gasparri ML, Gentilini OD, Lueftner D, Kuehn T, Kaidar-Person O, Poortmans P. Changes in breast cancer management during the corona virus disease 19 pandemic: an international survey of the European breast cancer research association of surgical trialists (EUBREAST). Breast 2020;52:110–5.
- [6] Nepogodiev D, Glasbey J, Li E, Omar O, Simoes J, Abbott T, et al. Mortality and pulmonary complications in patients undergoing surgery with perioperative SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international cohort study. Lancet 2020.
- [7] Kuderer NM, Choueiri TK, Shah DP, Shyr Y, Rubinstein SM, Rivera DR, et al. Clinical impact of COVID-19 on patients with cancer (CCC19): a cohort study. Lancet 2020.
- [8] Lee LYW, Cazier JB, Starkey T, Turnbull CD, Ukccmp Team, Kerr R, et al. COVID-19 mortality in patients with cancer on chemotherapy or other anticancer treatments: a prospective cohort study. Lancet 2020.
- [9] Lei S, Jiang F, Su W, Chen C, Chen J, Mei W, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients undergoing surgeries during the incubation period of COVID-19 infection. EClinicalMedicine 2020;21:100331.
- [10] Vuagnat P, Frelaut M, Ramtohul T, Basse C, Diakite S, Noret A, et al. COVID-19 in breast cancer patients: a cohort at the Institut Curie hospitals in the Paris area. Breast Cancer Res 2020;22(1):55.
- [11] Yang K, Sheng Y, Huang C, Jin Y, Xiong N, Jiang K, et al. Clinical characteristics, outcomes, and risk factors for mortality in patients with cancer and COVID-19 in Hubei, China: a multicentre, retrospective, cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2020.
- [12] Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, Wang C, Wang J, Chen R, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. Ann Oncol : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology/ESMO 2020.
- [13] Kalinsky K, Accordino MK, Hosi K, Hawley JE, Trivedi MS, Crew KD, et al.

Characteristics and outcomes of patients with breast cancer diagnosed with SARS-Cov-2 infection at an academic center in New York City. Breast Canc Res Treat 2020.

- [14] Fregatti P, Gipponi M, Giacchino M, Sparavigna M, Murelli F, Toni ML, et al. Breast cancer surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic: an observational clinical study of the breast surgery clinic at ospedale policlinico san martino – genoa. Italy. In Vivo. 2020;34(3 Suppl):1667–73.
- [15] Morrow E, Lannigan A, Doughty J, Litherland J, Mansell J, Stallard S, et al. Population-based study of the sensitivity of axillary ultrasound imaging in the preoperative staging of node-positive invasive lobular carcinoma of the breast. Br J Surg 2018;105(8):987–95.
- [16] Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, Wang W, Li J, Xu K, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. Lancet Oncol 2020;21(3): 335-7.
- [17] Potter S, Trickey A, Rattay T, O'Connell RL, Dave R, Baker E, et al. Therapeutic mammaplasty is a safe and effective alternative to mastectomy with or without immediate breast reconstruction. Br | Surg 2020;107(7):832–44.
- [18] Morrow ES, Stallard S, Doughty J, Malyon A, Barber M, Dixon JM, et al. Oncoplastic breast conservation occupies a niche between standard breast conservation and mastectomy - a population-based prospective audit in Scotland. Eur | Surg Oncol 2019;45(10):1806–11.
- [19] Romics L, Macaskill EJ, Fernandez T, Simpson L, Morrow E, Pitsinis V, et al. A population-based audit of surgical practice and outcomes of oncoplastic breast conservations in Scotland - an analysis of 589 patients. Eur J Surg Oncol 2018;44(7):939-44.
- [20] Campbell EJ, Romics L. Oncological safety and cosmetic outcomes in oncoplastic breast conservation surgery, a review of the best level of evidence literaturevol. 9. Breast cancer (Dove Medical Press); 2017. p. 521–30.
- [21] Masannat YA, Agrawal A, Maraqa L, Fuller M, Down SK, Tang S, et al. Multifocal and multicentric breast cancer, is it time to think again? Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2020;102(1):62–6.
- [22] Pace BD, Benson JR, Malata CM. Breast reconstruction and the COVID-19 pandemic: a viewpoint. J Plast Reconstr Aesthetic Surg : JPRAS 2020.
- [23] Corsi F, Caruso A, Albasini S, Bossi D, Polizzi A, Piccotti F, et al. Management of breast cancer in an EUSOMA-accredited breast unit in lombardy, Italy, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Breast J 2020.