Cognitive Function in Peripheral Autonomic Disorders

Pietro Guaraldi^{1,2}*, Roberto Poda^{1,2}, Giovanna Calandra-Buonaura^{1,2}, Laura Solieri^{1,2}, Luisa Sambati^{1,2}, Roberto Gallassi^{1,2}, Pietro Cortelli^{1,2}

1 IRCCS, Institute of Neurological Sciences of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, 2 Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Abstract

Objective: aims of the current study were 1) to evaluate global cognitive function in patients with autonomic failure (AF) of peripheral origin and 2) to investigate the effect of a documented fall in blood pressure (BP) fulfilling the criteria for orthostatic hypotension (OH) on cognitive performances.

Methods: we assessed 12 consecutive patients (10 males, 68±7 years old) with pure AF (PAF) or autoimmune autonomic neuropathy (AAN) and 12 age- and gender-matched controls. All patients had no clinical signs of central nervous system involvement and normal brain CT/MRI scan. Cognitive function was assessed on two consecutive days in 3 conditions: on day 1, while sitting, by means of a comprehensive battery of neuropsychological tests; on day 2, while tilted (HUT) and during supine rest (supine) in a randomized manner. BP and heart rate (HR) were continuously recorded non-invasively for the whole duration of the examination.

Results: patients with PAF or AAN displayed a preserved global cognitive function while sitting. However, compared to supine assessment, during HUT patients scored significantly worse during the Trail Making Test A and B, Barrage test, Analogies test, Immediate Visual Memory, Span Forward and Span Backward test. Pathological scores, with regard to Italian normative range values, were observed only during HUT in the Barrage test and in the Analogies test in 3 and 6 patients respectively. On the contrary, in healthy controls, results to neuropsychological tests were not significantly different, during HUT compared to supine rest.

Conclusions: these data demonstrate that patients with PAF and AAN present a normal sitting global cognitive evaluation. However, their executive functions worsen significantly during the orthostatic challenge, possibly because of transient frontal lobes hypoperfusion.

Citation: Guaraldi P, Poda R, Calandra-Buonaura G, Solieri L, Sambati L, et al. (2014) Cognitive Function in Peripheral Autonomic Disorders. PLoS ONE 9(1): e85020. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085020

Editor: Mathias Toft, Oslo University Hospital, Norway

Received June 6, 2013; Accepted November 20, 2013; Published January 17, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Guaraldi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Supported by by RFO 2012 University of Bologna. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: PG reports no competing interests. RP reports no competing interests. GCB has received honoraria for speaking engagements from Lundbeck (Italia) and UCB Pharma S.p.A. LSolieri has received honoraria for experimental study participation by Chelsea Therapeutics. LSambati reports no competing interests. RC reports no competing interests. PC has received honoraria for speaking engagements or consulting activities with Allergan (Italia), Boehringer Ingelheim (Italia), Chelsea Therapeutics, GlaxoSmithKline S.p.A, Lundbeck (Italia), Merck Sharp & Dohme (Italia), Teva, UCB Pharma S.p.A, Chiesi Farmaceutici and AbbVie srl. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: pietro.guaraldi@unibo.it

Introduction

Orthostatic hypotension (OH) is defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) fall of at least 20 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) fall of at least 10 mmHg within 3 min of standing or head-up tilt (HUT) to at least 60° [1].

Previous cross-sectional studies reported an association between OH and cognitive decline in various conditions, including central neurodegenerative disorders with autonomic failure (AF) [2–4]. However, prospective studies failed to demonstrate that OH was a risk factor for cognitive decline, possibly because of the confounding effects of age, concomitant disorders, medications, cerebrovascular or neurodegenerative processes [4–6].

Data on cognitive function in peripheral autonomic disorders, rare conditions characterized by AF without central nervous system (CNS) involvement, are scant. So far, a single retrospective study reported cognitive impairment in 6 out of 14 patients with a longstanding diagnosis of pure AF (PAF) [7]. However, blood pressure (BP) values and cognition were not measured concurrently, patients were tested only while sitting, and 4 patients with cognitive deficits had abnormal CT/MRI scan. A more recent case series on 3 patients with autoimmune autonomic ganglionopathy reported that OH and elevated anti-body titer were associated independently with neuropsychological impairment, which improved, even in the seated normotensive position, after plasmapheresis [8]. In a preliminary non-randomized study based on 10 patients with central and peripheral causes of AF we demonstrated that, despite a normal sitting global cognitive evaluation, our patients presented a significant worsening of global and executive cognitive functions during HUT [9]. However, except for few patients that had some pathological performances in verbal abstract thinking and delayed recall of verbal memory, they scored within the Italian reference range values.

Therefore, due to the paucity of data on cognitive function in peripheral autonomic disorders and since previous studies did not systematically take in consideration the effect of posture on neuropsychological results, we performed the current study aiming at: 1) evaluate global cognitive function in patients with AF of peripheral origin by means of a comprehensive battery of neuropsychological tests performed while sitting; 2) investigate the effect of a documented fall in SBP fulfilling the criteria for OH [1] on cognitive performances, carrying out neuropsychological assessments in a randomized manner while the patients were supine and during HUT.

Methods

Twelve consecutive patients with a confirmed diagnosis of AF of peripheral origin and twelve age- and gender-matched controls were enrolled in the study. Each participant gave written informed consent before participating to the study, which was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Bologna, Italy.

Patients' inclusion criteria comprised the presence of neurogenic OH [1], absence of clinical signs (parkinsonian, cerebellar or pyramidal) of CNS involvement and normal brain CT/MRI scan (absence of white matter lesions, cortical infarcts, atrophy and hydrocephalus). Of the twelve patients enrolled in the study (10 males, 68 ± 7 years old, mean disease history 12 ± 5 years), 9 had a probable PAF and 3 an autoimmune autonomic neuropathy (AAN) (Table 1 and Table 2). Patients were classified as PAF on the basis of symptoms associated with OH, of results of cardiovascular reflexes and negative cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) examinations [10,11]. Patients were classified as AAN if presented AF of subacute onset with albuminocytologic dissociation in the CSF [12]. All our AAN patients had negative ganglionic AChR antibodies titers. All patients, except for patient 3, had features of autonomic failure for many years, thus making it most unlikely that this was the autonomic presentation of multiple system atrophy or other central neurodegenerative disorder. All participants were non-smokers and had no additional disorders that might affect cognitive functioning.

Patients and controls underwent neuropsychological assessment on 2 separate days in 3 different conditions: first, while seated, by means of the Brief Mental Deterioration Battery (BMDB), Word and Semantic Fluency and the Stroop Color Word Test, to evaluate global cognitive function [11-13]; then, the day after, by means of a selection of neuropsychological tests during supine rest (supine) and head-up tilt (HUT) to assess the effect of OH on attention and executive function. These tests were selected, on the basis of our previous experience [9], in order to reduce the time needed for this evaluation and made it feasible for our patients during the HUT. This selection included the Digit Span Forward and Backward for immediate and working memory, Barrage test for visual search function, Immediate Visual Memory for visual memory, Analogies test for verbal abstract thinking, Trail Making A and Trail Making B for attention and executive functions [13-16]. During HUT patients were kept to an angle ranging from 30° to 50°, able to cause a fall of at least 20 mmHg in SBP but at the same time, not to evoke symptoms. Controls were all kept to an angle of 40° .

BP and heart rate (HR) were continuously recorded, noninvasively by means of a Task Force Monitor (CNSystem, Austria) for the whole duration of the examination.

On both days participants were assessed in the morning, in a quiet clinical investigation room by the same examiner (R.P.). Healthy controls were investigated at another time than the patients and assessment of cognitive function was not blinded. Participants were required to postpone their usual morning medications until after the end of the evaluation and to abstain from smoking and drinking alcohol or caffeinated beverages from the night before the study.

Each of the HUT/supine session lasted approximately 15 min, and was separated by 30 min of supine rest. The sequence of execution of the HUT/supine evaluation was randomly assigned in order to have half of the patients and controls who performed neuropsychological assessment first during supine rest and then during HUT and the remaining half who performed the tests in

Table 1. Patients' characteristics and blood pressure and heart rate values while supine and during head-up tilt.

Pt	Sex	Age	Diagnosis	вмі	Dis H	lx Edu	Supine			HUT			Delta (Δ)	
							SBP	DBP	HR	SBP	DBP	HR	SBP	DBP	HR
I	М	63	PAF	29.4	14	8	154	80	49	97	59	46	-57	-21	-3
2	М	56	AAN	28.4	10	17	128	90	68	79	54	117	-49	-36	49
3	М	77	PAF	22.9	10	13	169	76	78	116	51	83	-53	-25	5
ŀ	М	70	AAN	24.6	3	5	156	108	59	82	68	54	-74	-40	-5
5	М	70	PAF	30.7	14	5	152	104	63	105	75	64	-47	-29	1
5	М	67	PAF	30.4	21	8	160	108	59	114	86	84	-46	-22	25
,	М	70	PAF	24.1	12	8	168	117	75	85	61	78	-83	-56	3
;	F	76	PAF	31.6	14	5	147	107	76	91	61	79	-56	-46	3
)	М	58	AAN	26.5	10	17	131	95	70	106	80	80	-25	-15	10
0	М	63	PAF	24.1	20	5	182	108	64	126	84	65	-56	-24	1
1	F	75	PAF	31.3	10	3	127	95	106	61	52	104	-66	-43	-2
2	М	66	PAF	21	11	8	119	78	79	94	68	82	-25	-10	3

Age (years); AAN: Autoimmune autonomic neuropathy; BMI: body mass index; DBP diastolic blood pressure (mmHg); Delta (Δ): differences between HUT and supine values; Dis Hx: disease history (years); Edu: years of education; F: female; HR: heart rate (b/m); HUT: mean value during the last minute of head-up tilt; M: male; PAF: pure autonomic failure; Pt: patient; SBP systolic blood pressure (mmHg); Supine: mean value during the last 5 minutes of supine rest. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085020.t001

Table 2. Patients' medications.

Patient	Medications
1	Midodrine 2.5 mg BID; Acetylsalicylic acid 100 mg QD; Simvastatin 10 mg QD; Omeprazole 20 mg QD; Silodosin 8 mg QD; Calcitriol 0.25 QD; Captopril 25 mg QD.
2	Midodrine 2.5 mg BID; Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD; Prednisolon 25 mg QD.
3	Prednisolon 50 mg QD; Midodrine 2.5 TID; Domperidon 10 mg TID; Lorazepam 1 mg QD.
4	Droxidopa 600 mg TID; Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD; Tyrosine 50 mg QD.
5	Midodrine 2.5 mg QD; Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD.
6	Droxidopa 600 mg BID; Captopril 50 mg QD; Atorvastatin 40 mg QD
7	Captopril 12.5 mg QD; Nimodipin 1 drop; Lansoprazol 30 mg QD; Acetylsalicylic acid 100 mg QD; Macrogol 13.8 mg QD.
8	Ticlopidine 250 mg BID; Midodrine 2.5 mg BID; Fludrocortisone 0.015 mg QD; Methylprednisolone 500 mg QD; Lorazepam 2.5 mg QD; Rosuvastatin 5 mg QD.
9	Midodrine 2.5 mg QD; Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD; Nifedipine 15 drops.
10	Droxidopa 600 mg TID; Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD; Tyrosine 50 mg QD.
11	Lorazepam 1 mg QD; Etilephrine 7.5 mg TID; Alprazolam 0.25 mg QD.
12	Fludrocortisone 0.01 mg QD.

QD = once daily; BID = twice a day; TID = three times a day. Please note that patients were required to postpone their usual morning medications until after the end of the evaluation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085020.t002

the reverse order. To reduce the effect of learning, parallel forms of the tests were presented on each assessment and the sequence of presentation of the various tests was randomized. Patients' performances were compared on an individual basis to the Italian reference range values [14,16]. The results to the neuropsychological tests during HUT and supine condition were compared by using Wilcoxon signed-ranks test for related samples. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0; a p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

While sitting, the Mini Mental State Examination, the final result of the BMDB (a measure of global cognition functioning), the results to Word and Semantic Fluency test and to the Stroop Color Word test were within the normal range in all patients (Table 3).

Compared to supine assessment, during HUT patients scored significantly worse in the Trail Making Test A (p = 0.021) and B (p = 0.034), Barrage test final score (p = 0.013), Analogies test (p = 0.003), Immediate Visual Memory (p = 0.019), Span Forward (p = 0.008) and Span Backward test (p = 0.020) (Figure 1 and Table 3).

Despite this significant worsening of executive functions, reversible pathological scores, with regard to Italian reference range values, were observed during HUT in the Barrage test and in the Analogies test only in 3 and 6 patients respectively (Table 3).

On the contrary, controls' results to neuropsychological tests were not significantly different during HUT and supine assessment (Figure 1).

To exclude a possible learning effect of test-retest, the results obtained in the last assessment of the second day were compared to the results obtained in the previous session, irrespectively of the position in which they were performed (supine/HUT), and no statistically significant differences were observed in any of the performed test.

Discussion

These results demonstrate that patients with peripheral AF present a significant reversible worsening of immediate and working memory, sustained attention, visual search and abstract thinking during the orthostatic challenge, but a normal global cognitive function while seated. Pathological scores may be observed in a minority of patients only during HUT. On the contrary, no changes in cognitive function were observed in healthy controls during HUT compared to supine assessment.

These data confirm our previous results and indicate that, even after a prolonged disease history, OH "per se" does not seem to be associated with permanent cognitive deficits. Our data suggest that the previously reported association between OH and cognitive decline may be the consequence of other factors, such as the presence of white matter lesions, silent cerebral infarcts or central neurodegeneration, possibly sharing the same pathogenesis of OH. On the contrary, the BP fall observed during the orthostatic challenge was associated with a reversible impairment of executive function, which may be related to systemic hypotension with transient cerebral hypoperfusion. This hypothesis is strengthened by a previous brain SPECT study, in which orthostasis caused a decreased blood flow in frontal areas in a patient with PAF, which reversed to normal values while lying flat [17].

The absence of changes in neuropsychological results in healthy controls during supine and HUT assessment indicate that our results on PAF and AAF are solid and are not affected by the motor performance related to the supine/HUT position or to a possible learning effect.

We believe this data add valuable information to the current knowledge of these rare disorders and may help to clarify previous results regarding the relationship between OH and cognitive function. Moreover, they are clinically relevant to understand that patients with OH, if needed, have to be neuropsychologically tested in supine position possibly with BP monitoring and considering all the conditions that are known to worsen OH such as food, alcohol and hypotensive drugs.

							Trail Ma	kina	Trail Mak	ina	Trail Mak	cina B-			Immedi	ate						
ŧ	MMSE	FR	WF	SF	atroop T	stroop E	A	n	8	n	A	n	Barrage		visual r	nemory	Analogies		Span foi	rward	Span ba	ckward
S	sitting	sitting	sitting	sitting	sitting	sitting	supine	HUT	supine	Ę	supine	HUT	supine	НŢ	supine	HUT	supine	HUT	supine	HUT	supine	HUT
	29.53	2.14	44.85	25	17.63	-	46.5	45.5	41	-	15	- 44.5	- 1.68	-0.76	22.65	20.65	18	15.2	8.25	7.25	7.23	7.23
	28.31	2.47	23.6	47	7	1.25	23	33	69	84	46	51	-0.58	-0.61	21	20	19	18	6.5	5.5	5.58	5.58
	28.3	2.23	17.8	37	25.5	-0.87	40	47	65	151	26	79	-0.82	0.16	17.75	14.75	15.2	10	8.5	5.5	5.08	4.08
	27.3	2.33	33.6	38	17.75	-1.75	24	34	18	28	-5	-5	0.2	7.13	21	20	19.5	14.5	7.5	4.5	4.64	3.64
	27.3	2.66	31.6	49	9.75	-1.76	27	50	19	16	-45	-33	-0.75	3.16	23	21	20.5	20.5	6.5	5.5	4.64	4.64
	28	2.93	40.55	55	4.25	-1.37	5.5	4.5	-12	-2	-17.5	-6.5	-0.66	-1.58	22.95	21.95	20	14	7.25	7.25	4.33	4.33
	28.4	1.78	24.9	36	19	-1.5	17	29	22	42	5	13	0.16	0.17	19.1	15.1	16	10	5.25	4.25	4.39	3.39
	27.7	1.85	26.4	40	27.25	-1.25	29	38	-	m 	-30	-35	-0.74	1.15	22.3	21.3	19.5	17.5	5.5	5.5	4.77	3.77
	28.31	3.45	33.9	49	11.58	0.37	29.2	24.5	47.78	60	14.5	41.5	-0.73	-0.65	21.9	16.9	19	17	6.5	5.5	4.62	2.62
	29.87	1.71	32.6	45	25.25	-1.37	23.5	25.5	-19.5	-12	-42.5	-36.5	-0.76	1.16	16.55	18.55	20.5	18.5	6.5	6.5	4.53	3.53
	29	1.92	25.4	41	21.25	ī	30	30	-70	-16	- 70	-46	2.17	5.09	16.3	15.3	17.5	10.5	5.75	5.75	3.97	3.97
	28	2.53	18.2	39	15.5	-1.25	19	41	-16	28	- 35	- 13	-0.72	0.16	20.8	20.8	20	12	8.25	7.25	4.28	4.28
	>23.8	0_	>17.35	>24	<27.5	<7.5	<93	<93	<283	<283	<187	<187	<2.50	<2.50	>13.85	>13.85	>15.1	>15.1	>3.75	>3.75	>2.65	>2.65

errors performed during Stroop test. Data highlighted in bold are outside normative range according to the Italian standardization. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085020.t003



Figure 1. Results of the neuropsychological tests during the head-up tilt (HUT) and supine sessions (Supine) in patients (PTs) and controls (CNTRs). *=p<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085020.g001

Acknowledgments

This work was selected to be presented at the Highlights in the Field for Autonomic Section at the 65th AAN meeting, San Diego, on March $19^{\rm th}$ 2013

References

- The Consensus Committee of the American Autonomic Society and the American Academy of Neurology. (1996) Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, pure autonomic failure, and multiple system atrophy. Neurology 46: 1470.
- Kim JS, Oh YS, Lee KS, Kim YI, Yang DW, et al. (2012) Association of cognitive dysfunction with neurocirculatory abnormalities in early Parkinson disease. Neurology 79: 1323–1331.
- Fanciulli A, Strano S, Colosimo C, Caltagirone C, Spalletta G, et al. (2013) The potential prognostic role of cardiovascular autonomic failure in alphasynucleinopathies. Eur J Neurol 20: 231–235.
- Novak V, Hajjar I (2010) The relationship between blood pressure and cognitive function. Nat Rev Cardiol 7: 686–698.
- Rose KM, Couper D, Eigenbrodt ML, Mosley TH, Sharrett AR, et al. (2010) Orthostatic hypotension and cognitive function: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. Neuroepidemiology 34: 1–7.
- Elmstahl S, Rosen I (1997) Postural hypotension and EEG variables predict cognitive decline: results from a 5-year follow-up of healthy elderly women. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 8: 180–187.
- Heims HC, Critchley HD, Martin NH, Jager HR, Mathias CJ, et al. (2006) Cognitive functioning in orthostatic hypotension due to pure autonomic failure. Clin Auton Res 16: 113–120.
- Gibbons CH, Centi J, Vernino S, Freeman R (2012) Autoimmune autonomic ganglionopathy with reversible cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 69: 461–466.
- Poda R, Guaraldi P, Solieri L, Calandra-Buonaura G, Marano G, et al. (2012) Standing worsens cognitive functions in patients with neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. Neurol Sci 33: 469–473.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RG PC. Performed the experiments: PG RP GCB L. Sambati L. Solieri. Analyzed the data: PG RP GCB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: PG RP L. Sambati L. Solieri Wrote the paper: PG RP GCB L. Sambati RG PC.

- Garland EM, Hooper WB, Robertson D (2013) Pure autonomic failure. Handb Clin Neurol 117C: 243–257.
- Mathias CJ, Bannister R (1999) Investigation of autonomic disorders. In: Mathias CJ, Bannister R, editors. Autonomic failure : a textbook of clinical disorders of the autonomic nervous system. 4th ed. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 169–195.
- Klein CM, Vernino S, Lennon VA, Sandroni P, Fealey RD, et al. (2003) The spectrum of autoimmune autonomic neuropathies. Ann Neurol 53: 752–758.
- Gallassi R, Oppi F, Poda R, Scortichini S, Stanzani Maserati M, et al. (2010) Are subjective cognitive complaints a risk factor for dementia? Neurol Sci 31: 327–336.
- Gallassi R, Lenzi P, Stracciari A, Lorusso S, Ciardulli C, et al. (1986) Neuropsychological assessment of mental deterioration: purpose of a brief battery and a probabilistic definition of "normality" and "non-normality". Acta Psychiatr Scand 74: 62–67.
- Giovagnoli AR, Del Pesce M, Mascheroni S, Simoncelli M, Laiacona M, et al. (1996) Trail making test: normative values from 287 normal adult controls. Ital J Neurol Sci 17: 305–309.
- Monaco M, Costa A, Caltagirone C, Carlesimo GA (2013) Forward and backward span for verbal and visuo-spatial data: standardization and normative data from an Italian adult population. Neurol Sci 34: 749–754.
- Fukuoka S, Hayashida K, Nishiooeda Y, Hirose Y, Miyashita K, et al. (1996) Cerebral hypoperfusion in orthostatic hypotension with globally denervated myocardium. J Nucl Med 37: 1824–1826.