

Citation: Arita K, Honma T, Suzuki S (2017) Comprehensive and comparative lipidome analysis of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir and Japanese indigenous *V. vinifera* L. cv. Koshu grape berries. PLoS ONE 12(10): e0186952. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0186952

Editor: Juan J. Loor, University of Illinois, UNITED STATES

Received: June 27, 2017

Accepted: October 10, 2017

Published: October 20, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Arita et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Funded by Kieikai Research Foundation http://www.nakashima-foundation.org/kieikai/ #2017N002.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Comprehensive and comparative lipidome analysis of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot Noir and Japanese indigenous *V. vinifera* L. cv. Koshu grape berries

Kayo Arita¹, Taro Honma², Shunji Suzuki¹*

1 Laboratory of Fruit Genetic Engineering, The Institute of Enology and Viticulture, University of Yamanashi, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan, 2 Laboratory of Toxicology, Faculty of Pharma-Science, Teikyo University, Kaga, Itabashi, Tokyo, Japan

* suzukis@yamanashi.ac.jp

Abstract

Vitis vinifera cv. Koshu is an indigenous grape cultivar that has been cultivated for more than a thousand years in Japan and one of the most important cultivars in white winemaking. To improve Koshu wine quality, it is necessary to identify the metabolites in Koshu berry. We conducted a comprehensive and comparative lipidome analysis of Koshu and Pinot Noir berries cultivated in the same location in Japan using GC-MS/MS for fatty acids and LC-MS for glycerolipids and glycerophospholipids. Koshu skins and juices contained 22 and 19 fatty acids, respectively, whereas 23 and 20 fatty acids were detected in Pinot Noir skins and juices. C22:6n3 and C24:0 contents in Koshu skins were two and three times higher than those in Pinot Noir skins. C24:0 content in Koshu juices was also higher than that in Pinot Noir juices. Forty-nine lipid components (six digalactosyldiacylglycerols, one monogalactosyldiacylglycerol, 10 phosphatidylcholines, 12 phosphatidylethanolamines, and 20 triglycerides) were detected in Pinot Noir and Koshu skins. Strong peaks were observed for MGDG 36:6, DGDG 36:6, PC 34:2, PC 36:5, TG 54:6, TG 54:7, and TG 54:8 in Koshu skins. The contents of 36 of the 49 lipid components were significantly higher in Pinot Noir skins than Koshu skins. Pinot Noir skins contained more lipids whose alkyl chains have more than 18 carbons than Koshu skins. Further analysis of both lipid profiles revealed that the number of double bonds in a fatty acid molecule in Pinot Noir skins and juices was significantly larger than that in Koshu skins and juices. A strong relationship exists between the heat requirement of grapevine cultivars and the level of fatty acid desaturation. C18-fatty acids were the major components in Koshu and Pinot Noir berries. The expression levels of C18-fatty acid desaturases regulated the accumulation of C18-unsaturated fatty acids in berry skins. The loss of C18:3 in Koshu berries at the end of ripening was observed. Koshu might effectively convert C18:3 into (Z)-hex-3-enal for the production of C6-aroma compounds. These findings by the lipidome analysis are expected to contribute to the improvement of Koshu wine aroma and breeding strategies of cold-tolerant Koshu grapevines.

Introduction

Vitis vinifera L. cv. Koshu is an indigenous grape cultivar that has been cultivated for more than a thousand years in Central Japan. Koshu is a hybrid that is 70% *V. vinifera* and 30% Chinese wild species *V. davidii* or a closely related species [1]. The characteristics of Koshu berries differ from those of European *V. vinifera* berries. For example, berry size of Koshu is two times larger than those of Chardonnay and Pinot Noir. Genetic analysis demonstrated that Koshu has the red and white alleles of *MybA1* gene, indicating that Koshu is genetically a member of black/red cultivars [2]. However, the short insertion in the second intron of the *MybA1* gene in the red allele decreases *MybA1* gene transcription activity, generating purple skin color in Koshu berry. Based on the genetic background, Koshu white wines have higher total phenolic content than white wines made from European *V. vinifera* white cultivars and show slight astringency [3].

To characterize Koshu berry metabolites, we determined the transcriptional profiles during berry development [4], the amino acid profiles during berry development [4], and the phenolic compound profiles at harvest [5]. Those studies proved that Koshu berry metabolites are different from the metabolites of European *V. vinifera* cultivars. Since Koshu is one of the most important cultivars in white winemaking, we focused on, in the present study, the lipid profiles of Koshu berry skin and juice, but not seed, at the end of the ripening period and performed a lipidomic comparison of Koshu and Pinot Noir berries to characterize Koshu berry metabolites. Pinot Noir was used as the control cultivar because the successful whole genome sequencing of Pinot Noir [6] has accelerated grapevine metabolic research in broad research areas.

During fermentation, wine yeasts utilize free fatty acids but not complex lipids that bind one or multiple fatty acids to a backbone molecule [7]. The levels of free fatty acids in grape juice affect the production of volatile compounds by wine yeasts [8]. *V. vinifera* cultivars have high levels of unsaturated fatty acids compared with other *Vitis* varieties [9]. Linoleic acid (C18:2) and linolenic acid (C18:3) are the major components in *V. vinifera* berry irrespective of skin color [9]. C6 and C9 aldehydes, which are important volatiles responsible for the 'green aroma' in Cabernet Sauvignon berry and wine, are generated from linoleic acid and linolenic acid by oxidative cleavage [10]. However, excess linoleic acid content in juice reduces 3-mercaptohexyl acetate level in Sauvignon Blanc wine [11]. 3-Mercaptohexyl acetate and 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol are important sources of passion fruit aroma in Koshu wine [12]. Although the extraction of aromatic compounds by skin contact has contributed to the establishment of the original style of Koshu wine [13], the qualitative and quantitative analyses of lipids in Koshu berry at the end of the ripening period should be accomplished to improve current understanding of Koshu wine aromas, especially the expression of aroma compounds originating from Koshu berry.

LIPID MAPS (http://www.lipidmaps.org/) classifies lipids into eight categories: fatty acyls, glycerolipids, glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids, sterol lipids, prenol lipids, saccharolipids, and polyketides. Their structural complexity has complicated comprehensive analysis in grape berry. Although recent technological advances have enabled the comprehensive profiling of lipidome in whole berry [14] and juice [15], knowledge of lipid composition in juice and skin of grape berry is still limited. In the present study, using GC-MS/MS for free fatty acids and LC-MS for glycerolipids and glycerophospholipids, comprehensive lipid profiling of Koshu and Pinot Noir berry skin and juice at the end of the ripening period was conducted and a comparative analysis was performed for Koshu and Pinot Noir berries to understand Koshu lipid metabolism.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Caprylic acid (C8:0), capric acid (C10:0), lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), stearic acid (C18:0), arachidonic acid (C20:4n6), and methyl-d3 stearate standard were obtained from Wako Pure Chemicals (Tokyo, Japan).

Grape juice and skin samples

Vitis vinifera cvs. Koshu and Pinot Noir were cultivated in the experimental vineyard of The Institute of Enology and Viticulture, University of Yamanashi, Japan. The grapevines were approximately 30 years old and trained to the Guyot-style system. Five grapevines were used for preparing skin and juice samples.

As an index of maturity of grape berries, Brix of juice sampled from grape berries was measured with a refractometer (Atago, Tokyo, Japan). Five bunches were collected from each grapevine at harvest (end of ripening period; August 10, 2013 for Pinot Noir and October 2, 2013 for Koshu). Fruit juices were obtained by hand-pressing the five bunches. After centrifugation at 16,000 × g, the supernatant was filtered through 0.2 μ m membrane filter (Pall, East Hills, NY) and the filtrate was subjected to analysis.

Fifty fresh berries were randomly sampled from each grapevine at the end of the ripening period and skins were peeled off by using tweezers. The skins were dried by lyophilization for 24 h and then pulverized in liquid nitrogen.

Sample preparation for fatty acid recovery test from samples supplemented with fatty acids

To determine the recovery rates of fatty acids, a recovery test of juice supplemented with fatty acids was performed. Koshu juice (1.5 mL) was supplemented with 15 μ L of fatty acid solution (caprylic acid, capric acid, lauric acid, myristic acid, stearic acid, and arachidonic acid, each 50 μ g/mL). Blank samples were not supplemented with fatty acids. Four mL of methanol and 2 mL of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol were added to the juice. The juice was mixed well and then 4 mL of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol and 4 mL of 1% formic acid were added. After centrifugation at 1,500 × g for 5 min, the organic layer was collected.

For skin samples, 0.05 g of pulverized Koshu skin sample was supplemented with 15 μ L of the fatty acid solution and 300 μ L of Milli-Q water. To the sample were added 800 μ L of methanol and 400 μ L of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol. The sample was mixed well and then 800 μ L of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol and 800 μ L of 1% formic acid were added. After vortexing for 30 s and centrifugation at 1,500 × g for 5 min, the organic layer was collected.

Solid-phase extraction of the organic layers was performed using a Supelclean ENVI-Carb SPE tube (wt 250 mg/vol. 6 mL, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA). Washing was done with 5 mL of chloroform. The eluate was obtained with 10 mL of chloroform and then dried by nitrogen purging. The dry solid was dissolved in 90 μ L of 20% methanol/benzene, and this was followed by the addition of 45 μ L of trimethylsilyldiazomethane. Finally, 15 μ L of methyl-d3 stearate standard (100 μ g/mL) was added to the solution. The solution was subjected to GC-MS/MS as described below. Three samples of Koshu juice or skin were used for the recovery test.

Sample preparation for quantification of fatty acids in juices and skins

Koshu and Pinot Noir juices and skins were used. 1.5 mL of juice was supplemented with 15 μ L of methyl-d3 stearate standard (100 μ g/mL). Four mL of methanol and 2 mL of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol were added to the juice. The juice was mixed well and then 4 mL of

0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol and 4 mL of 1% formic acid were added. After centrifugation at $1,500 \times g$ for 5 min, the organic layer was collected.

For skin samples, 0.05 g of pulverized skin sample was supplemented with 15 μ L of methyld3 stearate standard (100 μ g/mL) and 300 μ L of Milli-Q water. 800 μ L of methanol and 400 μ L of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol were added to the sample. The sample was mixed well and then 800 μ L of 0.1% 2,6-di-tert-butyl-p-cresol and 800 μ L of 1% formic acid were added. After vortexing for 30 s and centrifugation at 1,500 × g for 5 min, organic layer was collected.

Solid-phase extraction of the organic layers was performed using Supelclean ENVI-Carb SPE tube (wt 250 mg/vol. 6 mL). Washing was done with 5 mL of chloroform. The eluate was obtained with 10 mL of chloroform and then dried by nitrogen purging. The dry solid was dissolved in 90 μ L of 20% methanol/benzene and this was followed by the addition of 45 μ L of trimethylsilyldiazomethane. The solution was subjected to GC-MS/MS as described below. Five samples of each juice or skin were used for quantification of free fatty acids in juices or skins of Koshu and Pinot Noir berries.

GC-MS/MS

The quantitative analysis of fatty acids was performed using GC-2010 Plus with the GC-MS TQ8030 system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). GC-MS/MS conditions were as follows: Omegawax 250 column (30 m × 250 μ m × 0.25 μ m, Supelco); helium carrier gas; linear velocity, 39 cm/s; oven temperature, 50°C (3 min followed by 20°C up/min), 170°C (0 min followed by 2°C up/min), 240°C (5 min); ion source temperature, 200°C; interface temperature, 250°C. The conditions for multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) are listed in S1 Table. Because the separation of C18:1n9c and C18:1n9t peaks by our method was difficult, both contents were summed as C18:1n9c/C18:1n9t.

Recovery rate of supplemented fatty acids in fatty acid recovery test

For the fatty acid recovery test, caprylic acid, capric acid, lauric acid, myristic acid, stearic acid, and arachidonic acid were quantified in juice and skin samples supplemented with these fatty acids. The peaks for fatty acids were identified as shown in <u>S2 Table</u>, and peaks with signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios higher than 3 were obtained. Peak areas were determined and then each fatty acid was quantified using the internal standard method with methyl-d3 stearate. The recovery rate of each fatty acid was calculated by subtracting the average value of fatty acid in blank samples from each value of fatty acid in samples supplemented with fatty acids.

Quantification of fatty acids in juices and skins

Fatty acid methyl esters in samples were identified by comparing their retention times with those of authentic standards (F.A.M.E. Mix C4-C24, Supelco). The list of standards is shown in <u>S3 Table</u>. Peak areas obtained by GC-MS/MS were determined and each fatty acid was quantified using the internal standard method with methyl-d3 stearate. The limit of quantification and the concentration range of each fatty acid in juice and skin samples were calculated from the calibration curve created using F.A.M.E. Mix C4-C24 and are listed in <u>S4</u> and <u>S5</u> Tables, respectively. Fatty acid contents were calculated using the following formula:

Fatty acid in juice
$$(ng/mL) = \frac{\text{concentration of fatty acid in juice } (ng/mL) \times 0.15 (mL)}{1.5 (mL)}$$

Fatty acid in skin (μ g/g) = $\frac{\text{concentration of fatty acid in skin (<math>\mu$ g/mL) × 0.15 (mL)}{2a}

Sample preparation for preliminary analysis of lipid components in skins

Pulverized Koshu skin samples (5, 10, 15, and 20 mg) were mixed with 250 μ L of methanol/ dichloromethane (1:1) and the mixture was shaken at 2,500 rpm for 5 min. A 250 μ L portion of methanol was added and the mixture was again shaken at 2,500 rpm for 5 min. After centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 5 min, the mixture was passed through 0.2- μ m PTFE membrane filter (Millipore, Milford, MA). To 200 μ L of the filtrate was added 200 μ L of methanol/ dichloromethane (2:1) and the solution was subjected to LC-MS measurement as described below. Three samples were used for the preliminary analysis.

Sample preparation for quantification of lipid components in skins

Pulverized Koshu and Pinot Noir skin samples (20 mg) were added to 250 μ L of methanol/ dichloromethane (1:1) and the mixture was shaken at 2,500 rpm for 5 min. A 250 μ L portion of methanol was added and the mixture was again shaken at 2,500 rpm for 5 min. After centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 5 min, the mixture was passed through 0.2- μ m PTFE membrane filter (Millipore). To 200 μ L of the filtrate was added 200 μ L of methanol/dichloromethane (2:1) and the solution was subjected to LC-MS measurement as described below. Five samples of Koshu or Pinot Noir skin samples were used. The relative contents of each lipid component were represented as peak areas.

LC-MS

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of lipid components were performed using a LC-MS system (LC:Prominence, Shimadzu; MS:LTQ Orbitrap XL, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). LC and mass spectrometry conditions were as follows:

LC conditions: L-column2 ODS column (150 mm \times 2.1 mm, 3 µm particle diameter, CERI, Tokyo, Japan); column oven temperature, 40°C; mobile phase A (distilled water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid); mobile phase B (acetone); flow rate, 0.2 mL/min. Linear gradient program was set from 50% of phase A to 2% of phase A during a 10-min period followed by a program from 2% of phase A to 50% of phase A during a 28.1-min period; injection volume, 3 µL.

MS conditions: All mass spectrometric data were obtained in the electrospray ionization positive-detection mode in a data-dependent fashion. Survey scans were acquired from m/z 300–1,500 and the resolution for full scan was 30,000.

Data analysis of lipid components in skins

Data analysis was performed using MZmine 2 software [16]. The parameters for MZmine 2 were as follows: minimum peak height, over 10,000; peak width, 0.2–3.5 min; mass accuracy, 5 ppm; peak deconvolution, local minimum search; join alignment; allowable error of retention time, 0.2 min; allowable error of m/z, 5 ppm. Lipid species were predicted from the MS/ MS spectrum for each peak. To compare the contents of each lipid component between Koshu and Pinot Noir skins, the mean and the standard deviation of the peak area of each lipid component for five skin samples were calculated.

RNA isolation from berry skins

Fifteen fresh berries of Koshu and Pinot Noir were randomly collected from each grapevine at two weeks post véraison and at harvest. Skins were peeled off from the berries by using tweezers. The skins were placed in a mortar containing liquid nitrogen and homogenized with a pestle. Total RNA isolation from the pulverized skins was performed with a Fruit-mate for RNA Purification (Takara, Otsu, Japan), followed by a NucleoSpin RNA Plant (Takara) for RNA purification according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Real-time RT-PCR analysis

cDNA synthesis from total RNA was performed with a PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara). Real-time RT-PCR was done using an SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara). The conditions for real-time RT-PCR were as follows: 37°C for 15 min and 85°C for 5 s for reverse transcription, and then 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 s and at 60°C for 45 s for PCR amplification. Nucleotide sequences of the primers used in this study were as follows: V. vinifera stearoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] 9-desaturase primers (5' -CTTGATGGGGTGAGAGATGA GA-3' and 5' -ACCCAACCAGAAAGATAGAGATAGG-3', V. vinifera stearoyl-[acyl-carrierprotein] 9-desaturase 6, chloroplastic (LOC100255873), mRNA, GenBank accession no. XM 002264759), V. vinifera omega-3 fatty acid desaturase primers (5'-GGTATCGTGGAAA GGAATGGAG-3' and 5'-GTGATAGTGCGGGATTTGAGG-3', V. vinifera omega-3 fatty acid desaturase, chloroplastic (LOC100247097), mRNA, GenBank accession no. XM_002264314), V. vinifera omega-6 fatty acid desaturase primers (5' -CAATTCGGCCTTGGATGTCT-3' and 5'-TGCCAAACTTATCTTCACCCTCTT-3', V. vinifera omega-6 fatty acid desaturase, chloroplastic (LOC100248377), mRNA, GenBank accession no. XM_003634815), V. vinifera lipoxygenase primers (5'-GCAAATCAAAGGGACAACGCTGTATGG-3' and 5'-TGCTTCCACTG CGGCTTCC-3', V. vinifera lipoxygenase (LOXA) mRNA, GenBank accession no. FJ858255), V. vinifera fatty acid hydroperoxide lyase primers (5' -AAGTACACCGGCGACATTCGAG-3' and 5' -AGCTCTTTACCCTGGCGTGTTG-3', V. vinifera fatty acid hydroperoxide lyase 1 mRNA, GenBank accession no. HM627632) and V. vinifera actin primers (5' -CAAGAGCTG GAAACTGCAAAGA-3' and 5'-AATGAGAGATGGCTGGAAGAGG-3', V. vinifera actin 1 mRNA, GenBank accession no. AF369524). Dissociation curves were analyzed to verify the specificity of the amplification reaction using Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System Single Software ver. 3.00 (Takara). The expression level of each gene was determined as the number of amplification cycles needed to reach a fixed threshold using the standard curve method.

Statistical analysis

For lipidome analysis, data are presented as means \pm standard deviation of five biological replicates. Five fruit juice samples were prepared by hand-pressing five bunches, respectively. Five skin samples were obtained from 15 fresh berries randomly sampled from each grapevine, respectively. For gene expression analysis, data are presented as means \pm standard deviation of five biological replicates. Five skin samples were obtained from 15 fresh berries randomly sampled from each grapevine, respectively. Statistical analysis was performed with the Student's *t*test using Excel Statistics software 2012 (Social Survey Research Information, Tokyo, Japan)

Results

Fatty acid recovery test for Koshu and Pinot Noir samples supplemented with fatty acids

The varietal difference in lipidome analysis could be generated by a difference in the ripening progression of the two varieties. First, we provided the ripening progression by Brix to facilitate comparative lipidome analysis of Pinot Noir and Koshu. Brix of Pinot Noir berries were 15.6 ± 0.4 at July 26, 17.9 ± 0.4 at August 10 and 18.0 ± 1.8 at August 25, while Brix of Koshu berries were 14.6 ± 0.9 at September 14, 16.0 ± 1.4 at October 2 and 16.8 ± 1.1 at October 16. Based on Brix, we judged that each end of ripening period was August 10 for Pinot Noir and

October 2 for Koshu, respectively, and applied the berries at August 10 for Pinot Noir and October 2 for Koshu to lipidome analysis.

Representative chromatograms are shown in S1 Fig. Peak areas were measured and the recovered amounts of supplemented fatty acids (C8:0, C10:0, C12:0, C14:0, C18:0, and C20:4n6) were calculated from the calibration curve created from the standard. Recovered amounts, recovery rates, and coefficients of variation (CVs) for juice and skin samples supplemented with fatty acids are summarized in S6 Table. The recovery rates ranged from 83% to 115% irrespective of the sample and the supplemented fatty acid. As the CVs were less than 8 in the recovery test, the reproducibility of the fatty acid recovery from juice and skin samples was excellent. The results suggested that the sample preparation for the quantification of free fatty acids in juices and skins was appropriate.

Fatty acids in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins and juices

Koshu skins contained 22 fatty acids, whereas Pinot Noir skins contained 23 fatty acids (Fig 1). C4:0 was detected in only Pinot Noir skins, and its content was very low. The contents of C6:0, C14:0, C15:0, C16:1, C17:0, C18:1n9c/C18:1n9t, C21:0, C22:0, and C22:1n9 in Pinot Noir





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186952.g001

skins were significantly higher than those in Koshu skins. C22:6n3 and C24:0 contents in Koshu skins were two and three times higher than those in Pinot Noir skins, respectively.

The juices had extremely low fatty acid contents. Koshu juices contained 19 fatty acids, whereas Pinot Noir juices contained 20 fatty acids (Fig 2). C20:1n9 and C20:2 were detected in only Pinot Noir juices. C20:5n3 was detected in only Koshu juices. The contents of C15:0, C16:1, C18:1n9c/C18:1n9t, C18:3n3, and C20:0 in Pinot Noir juices were significantly higher than those in Koshu juices. In the same manner as berry skins, C24:0 content in Koshu juices was higher than that in Pinot Noir juices.

Preliminary analysis of lipid components in Koshu skins

A representative total ion current chromatogram and the mass spectra obtained from 20 mg of Koshu skins are shown in S2 and S3 Figs, respectively. The peak with the highest intensity of in the mass spectra was detected at m/z 758.5681 (S3A Fig). A large ion peak was detected at m/z 496.3390 from peaks having high polarity with retention times of 10.53–11.99 min (S3B Fig) and a high-intensity peak at m/z 894.7533 was observed from peaks having low polarity with retention times of 18.01–23.98 min (S3C Fig). The main peaks were observed at the retention times of 10.79 min, 14.81 min, and 19.12 min in the mass spectra of m/z 496.3390, m/z 758.5681, and m/z 894.7533, respectively (S4 Fig). From the fragment patterns in the MS/MS spectra of these peaks, the peaks from m/z 496.3390, m/z 758.5681, and m/z 894.7533 were presumed to be protonated lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) 16:0 (exact mass, 495.3325), protonated phosphatidylcholine (PC)34:2 (exact mass, 757.5622), and ammonium-ion-adducted triglyceride (TG) 54:7 (exact mass, 876.7207), respectively (S5 Fig). These results suggested that LPC 16:0, PC 34:2, and TG 54:7 were the major lipid components in Koshu skins.

Reproducibility and linearity of lipid component quantification in Koshu skins

The reproducibility and the linearity of quantification of the main lipid components using our experimental methods were evaluated. The peak areas of each lipid in three independent experiments were stable even if skin volume used was changed (S7 Table). The CVs were from 1.5 to 6.2. Skin volume used and peak area showed positive correlations irrespective of lipid components (S6 Fig). In either lipid component, the coefficient of determination (r^2) was higher than 0.996. Taken together, these results suggested that our experimental methods for lipid component profiling in berry skins had high reproducibility and linearity of lipid component quantification.

Lipid components in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins

Forty-nine lipid components were identified from Koshu and Pinot Noir skins (Table 1). Specifically, there were six digalactosyldiacylglycerols (DGDGs), one monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG), 10 PCs, 12 phosphatidylethanolamines (PEs), and 20 TGs. The representative MS/MS spectra of each lipid class (DGDG 36:6, MGDG 36:6, PC 36:5, PE 34:2, and TG 54:6) are shown in <u>S7 Fig</u>. Then, a comparison of the lipid profiles of Koshu and Pinot Noir skins was performed (Fig 3). The contents of 36 of the 49 lipid components were significantly higher in Pinot Noir skins than Koshu skins. Specifically, strong peaks of DGDG 36:2, PC 38:1, PE 38:1, PE 40:1, TG 48:0, TG 50:1, TG 52:1, TG 54:1, TG 56:2, TG 56:3, and TG 58:4 were detected in Pinot Noir skins. In contrast, strong peaks of MGDG 36:6, DGDG 36:6, PC 34:2, PC 36:5, TG 54:6, TG 54:7, and TG 54:8 were observed in Koshu skins. These results suggested that Pinot Noir skins contained more lipid species whose alkyl chains have more than 18 carbon atoms than Koshu skins.



Noir. *p < 0.05 between Koshu and Pinot Noir juices. Pata are shown as means ± standard deviation for five independent samples. I

Number of double bonds in fatty acid molecule

Lipid analysis of Koshu and Pinot Noir skins also demonstrated that lipid species containing double bonds existed abundantly in Koshu skins (Fig 3). Then, the number of double bonds in

Table 1. Lipid components detected in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins.

PLOS ONE

DDDGD0203-3:392.830M-NH ₄ I*1.4.7D02036:2960.662M-NH ₄ I*15.1D02036:3980.662M-NH ₄ I*14.7D02036:5956.613M-NH ₄ I*14.7D02036:5956.613M-NH ₄ I*14.3D02036:6956.613M-NH ₄ I*14.3D02036:6956.613M-NH ₄ I*14.3D02036:672.551M-NH ₄ I*14.8D02036:7732.554M-HI*I*14.8PC34756.554M-HI*I*15.2PC34756.554M-HI*I*15.3PC34:3756.554M-HI*I*15.3PC34:3756.554M-HI*I*15.3PC36:2786.600M-HI*I*14.8PC36:3786.501M-HI*I*14.3PC36:3786.502M-HI*I*15.3PC36:4785.503M-HI*I*15.4PC36:5785.503M-HI*I*15.0PC36:5785.504M-HI*I*15.0PC36:5785.504M-HI*I*16.0PC36:4716.522M-HI*I*15.0PC36:5775.504M-HI*I*16.0PC36:5775.505M-HI*I*16.0PC36:6775.505M-HI*I*16.0PC36:174.504M-HI*I*16.0PC36:174.504M-HI*I*16.0PC36:174.504M-HI*I*16.0PC36:174.505M-HI*I*16.0PC36:174.504M-HI*I*16.0 <th>Class</th> <th>Compound</th> <th>m/z</th> <th>Ionization</th> <th>Retention time (min)</th>	Class	Compound	m/z	Ionization	Retention time (min)
PDG003082962.07MANH41"15.4DG003083960.682MANH41"15.1DG003084950.646MANH41"14.7DG003085956.631MANH41"14.3DG003085956.631MANH41"14.3DG003085956.631MANH41"14.0DG003086954.616MANH41"14.0MGD6MG03086725.554MAH1"15.2PC341705.554MAH1"15.2PC342756.554MAH1"14.8PC342756.554MAH1"15.3PC362766.050MAH1"14.3PC362766.050MAH1"14.3PC362766.050MAH1"14.5PC362766.050MAH1"14.5PC363705.553MAH1"15.6PC364702.553MAH1"15.4PC365705.554MAH1"15.4PC361742.564MAH1"15.4PC362744.554MAH1"15.4PC363744.554MAH1"15.4PC364742.539MAH1"16.4PC365742.539MAH1"15.6PC361742.539MAH1"16.4PC362742.539MAH1"16.4PC363742.539MAH1"16.4PC364742.539MAH1"16.4PC365742.539MAH1"16.4PC36162.622MAH1"16.4PC36286.776MAH1"16.5P	DGDG	DGDG 34:3	932.630	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	14.7
D0D03083960.662MN-NL_1"15.1D0D0365956.631M-NL_1"14.3D0D0365956.631M-NL_1"14.3D0D0365954.616M-NL_1"14.0M0D03M05036872.511M-NL_1"14.0PCPC 32.172.551M-NL_1"14.8PCPC 32.1732.554M-H1"14.8PCPC 34.1756.554M-H1"14.8PC 34.2756.554M-H1"15.8PC 34.3756.554M-H1"15.8PC 36.1786.560M-H1"15.8PC 36.2786.500M-H1"14.1PC 36.2786.500M-H1"14.1PC 36.3782.568M-H1"14.1PC 36.4782.568M-H1"15.0PC 36.5780.553M-H1"15.0PC 36.6780.553M-H1"15.0PE 4PC 36.274.554M-H1"15.0PE 474.554M-H1"15.0PE 474.554M-H1"16.0PE 574.554M-H1"15.0PE 574.554M-H1"16.0PE 574.554M-H1"16.0PE 5772.555M-H1"16.0PE 5772.556M-H1"16.0PE 5772.557M-H1"16.0PE 6772.557M-H1"16.0PE 6772.557M-H1"16.0PE 6772.556M-H1"16.0PE 6772.556		DGDG 36:2	962.677	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	15.4
DODG 36:4988.646MxNHq'14.7DGDG 36:6956.631MxNHq'14.3DGDG 36:6954.616MxNHq'14.0MDDGMDDG 36:6792.561MxNHq'14.8PCPC 32:1732.554MxHTq'15.2PC 34:170.8554MxHTq'15.2PC 34:2758.569MxHTq'14.8PC 34:3756.554MxHTq'15.3PC 36:1786.600MxHTq'15.3PC 36:2786.600MxHTq'14.8PC 36:3786.500MxHTq'14.8PC 36:3786.500MxHTq'14.8PC 36:3786.500MxHTq'14.8PC 36:3786.500MxHTq'15.3PC 36:3786.500MxHTq'15.3PC 36:4780.533MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1780.533MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1716.522MxHTq'15.4PE 36:2714.537MxHTq'15.5PE 36:1714.537MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.537MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.537MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.538MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.537MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.537MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.538MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.538MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.538MxHTq'15.4PC 36:1714.538MxHTq'15.4		DGDG 36:3	960.662	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	15.1
DGDG 36.5956.61MANH.j'14.3MGDGMGDG 36.6792.61MANH.j'14.6MGDGMGDG 36.6792.54MANH.j'14.8PC97.31.1732.554MAHT14.8PC 34.1750.585MAHT14.8PC 34.2758.569MAHT14.8PC 34.3756.554MAHT15.8PC 36.2788.616MAHT15.8PC 36.2786.600MAHT15.3PC 36.3780.553MAHT14.1PC 36.4782.568MAHT14.1PC 36.3780.553MAHT15.1PC 36.4782.568MAHT15.1PC 36.5780.553MAHT15.1PC 36.4716.527MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.528MAHT15.1PC 36.4716.527MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.528MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.527MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.528MAHT15.1PC 36.4716.527MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.538MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.538MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.538MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.538MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.538MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.558MAHT15.1PC 36.5716.558MAHT15.1PC 36.5717.568MAHT16.1PC 36.5717.568MAHT<		DGDG 36:4	958.646	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	14.7
DGDG 38:6 964.616 MeNHuj' 14.0 MGDG MGDG 36:6 792.561 MeNHuj' 14.8 PC P0.32:1 782.564 MeHJ' 14.8 PC 34:1 780.585 MeHJ' 14.8 PC 34:3 756.554 MeHJ' 14.5 PC 36:3 756.554 MeHJ' 15.3 PC 36:3 786.500 MeHJ' 14.5 PC 36:3 786.500 MeHJ' 14.1 PC 36:3 786.500 MeHJ' 14.1 PC 36:4 780.530 MeHJ' 14.1 PC 36:4 780.530 MeHJ' 14.1 PC 36:4 780.530 MeHJ' 15.0 PC 36:4 780.520 MeHJ' 15.0 PC 36:4 716.522 MeHJ' 16.5 PE 36:5 716.539 MeHJ' 16.0 PE 36:4 74.539 MeHJ' 16.4 PE 36:5 778.536 MeHJ' 16.0 PE 36:1 778.536 <td>DGDG 36:5</td> <td>956.631</td> <td>[M+NH₄]⁺</td> <td>14.3</td>		DGDG 36:5	956.631	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	14.3
MGDG 36:6 725.51 IM-Huj" 14.6 PC PC 32:1 732.554 IM-Hij" 15.2 PC 34:1 760.585 IM-Hij" 15.2 PC 34:2 756.569 IM-Hij" 14.6 PC 34:2 756.564 IM-Hij" 16.8 PC 36:1 786.616 IM-Hij" 15.3 PC 36:2 786.600 IM-Hij" 14.8 PC 36:3 784.584 IM-Hij" 14.8 PC 36:1 786.563 IM-Hij" 14.5 PC 36:1 816.647 IM-Hij" 15.5 PE 36:1 716.522 IM-Hij" 15.4 PE 36:1 716.522 IM-Hij" 15.6 PE 36:1 714.507 IM-Hij" 16.0 PE 36:2 744.554 IM-Hij" 16.0 PE 36:2 744.554 IM-Hij" 16.0 PE 36:1 776.503 IM-Hij" 16.0 PE 36:1 776.503 IM-Hij" 16.0 PE 36:1 776.5		DGDG 36:6	954.616	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	14.0
PCPC32:1725.54M-H1"14.8PC 34:2756.564M-H1"14.8PC 34:2756.564M-H1"14.8PC 36:1758.661M-H1"15.8PC 36:2786.600M-H1"15.3PC 36:2786.600M-H1"15.3PC 36:3784.584M-H1"14.1PC 36:5780.533M-H1"14.1PC 36:5780.533M-H1"15.5PC 36:1816.647M-H1"15.0PC 38:1816.647M-H1"15.0PE 42716.52M-H1"15.0PE 42716.52M-H1"15.0PE 42716.52M-H1"15.0PE 42716.52M-H1"15.0PE 42740.52M-H1"15.0PE 42740.52M-H1"15.0PE 42740.52M-H1"16.0PE 42745.53M-H1"16.0PE 42772.535M-H1"16.1PE 402800.617M-H1"16.9PE 402800.617M-H1"16.9PE 4116.016.916.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1"16.9PE 4282.649M-H1" </td <td>MGDG</td> <td>MGDG 36:6</td> <td>792.561</td> <td>[M+NH₄]⁺</td> <td>14.6</td>	MGDG	MGDG 36:6	792.561	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	14.6
PC 34.1 705.85 M-HI* 15.2 PC 34.2 756.554 M-HI* 14.8 PC 34.3 756.554 M-HI* 14.5 PC 36.1 788.616 M-HI* 15.8 PC 36.2 786.600 M-HI* 15.3 PC 36.3 784.584 M-HI* 14.8 PC 36.3 784.584 M-HI* 14.8 PC 36.3 784.584 M-HI* 14.5 PC 36.3 784.584 M-HI* 14.5 PC 36.5 780.553 M-HI* 14.5 PC 36.1 816.647 M-HI* 15.4 PE 34.1 718.538 M-HI* 15.4 PE 94.2 716.522 M-HI* 14.6 PE 36.2 745.539 M-HI* 14.6 PE 36.3 726.554 M-HI* 16.9 PE 36.2 778.538 M-HY* 16.9 PE 36.1 778.538 M-HY* 16.9 PE 40.1 802.632 M-HY* 16.9	PC	PC 32:1	732.554	[M+H] ⁺	14.8
PC 34:2 786.564 IM-H1" 14.8 PC 34:3 766.564 IM-H1" 14.5 PC 36:1 786.600 IM-H1" 15.8 PC 36:2 786.600 IM-H1" 15.8 PC 36:3 784.564 IM-H1" 14.8 PC 36:4 782.568 IM-H1" 14.5 PC 36:5 780.553 IM-H1" 14.5 PC 36:6 780.553 IM-H1" 16.5 PC 36:1 816.647 IM-H1" 15.4 PE 34:1 716.522 IM-H1" 15.6 PE 34:2 716.522 IM-H1" 15.5 PE 36:2 744.554 IM-H1" 16.6 PE 36:3 742.523 IM-H1" 16.6 PE 36:4 740.523 IM-H1" 16.6 PE 36:5 778.536 IM-H1" 16.6 PE 36:1 774.601 M-H1" 16.7 PE 36:2 772.585 IM-H1" 16.7 PE 40:1 802.632 IM-H1"		PC 34:1	760.585	[M+H] ⁺	15.2
PC 34:3 756.554 M-HI 14.5 PC 36:1 786.600 M-HI 15.8 PC 36:2 786.600 M-HI 15.3 PC 36:3 784.584 M-HI 14.8 PC 36:4 782.568 M-HI 14.5 PC 36:5 780.553 M-HI 14.1 PC 36:6 780.553 M-HI 16.5 PC 36:1 816.647 M-HI 16.5 PC 38:1 716.522 M-HI 15.0 PE 34:2 716.522 M-HI 15.0 PE 36:2 744.554 M-HI 15.0 PE 36:3 742.539 M-HI 16.4 PE 36:4 740.523 M-HI 16.0 PE 36:5 778.538 M+(CH ₃)_CO+H-H ₂ O)* 14.3 PE 36:1 774.631 M+HI* 16.0 PE 36:2 772.585 M-HI* 16.5 PE 40:1 80.617 M+HI* 16.5 PE 40:1 80.63786 M-HH* 17.0 <td>PC 34:2</td> <td>758.569</td> <td>[M+H]⁺</td> <td>14.8</td>		PC 34:2	758.569	[M+H] ⁺	14.8
PC 36:1 788.616 M+Hj ⁺ 15.8 PC 36:2 786.600 IM+Hj ⁺ 15.3 PC 36:3 784.584 M+Hj ⁺ 14.8 PC 36:4 782.568 IM+Hj ⁺ 14.5 PC 36:5 780.553 IM+Hj ⁺ 14.1 PC 36:1 816.647 M+Hj ⁺ 15.4 PC 36:1 816.647 M+Hj ⁺ 15.4 PE 34:1 718.538 IM+Hj ⁺ 15.0 PE 34:3 714.507 IM+Hj ⁺ 15.5 PE 36:2 744.554 IM+Hj ⁺ 15.0 PE 36:3 742.539 IM+Hj ⁺ 16.4 PE 36:4 740.523 IM+Hj ⁺ 16.4 PE 36:5 778.538 IM-(H ₁ /CH ₂)_O+H-H ₂ O] ⁺ 14.3 PE 40:1 802.632 IM+Hj ⁺ 16.9 PE 38:2 772.585 IM-Hj ⁺ 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 IM+H ⁺ 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 IM+H ⁺ 17.0 TG 50.1		PC 34:3	756.554	[M+H] ⁺	14.5
PC 36:2786.60M+H1*15.3PC 36:3784.584[M+H1*14.8PC 36:4782.563[M+H1*14.5PC 36:5780.553[M+H1*15.4PC 38:1816.647[M+H1*15.4PE 34718.538[M+H1*15.4PE 34:1718.538[M+H1*15.6PE 34:3714.577[M+H1*15.6PE 36:3742.539[M+H1*15.6PE 36:3742.539[M+H1*16.6PE 36:4740.523[M+H1*16.6PE 36:5774.538[M+H1*16.6PE 38:1774.518[M+H1*16.6PE 38:1774.538[M+H1*16.0PE 38:1774.561[M+H1*16.0PE 40:280.617[M+H1*16.9PE 40:280.617[M+H1*1		PC 36:1	788.616	[M+H] ⁺	15.8
PC 36:3784.584M+Hi*14.8PC 36:4782.568M+Hi*14.5PC 36:5780.553M+Hi*14.1PC 38:1816.647M+Hi*16.5PE 41718.538M+Hi*15.0PE 34:1718.537M+Hi*14.6PE 34:2714.507M+Hi*15.0PE 36:2744.554M+Hi*15.5PE 36:3742.539M+Hi*16.6PE 36:4740.523M+Hi*16.6PE 36:5778.538M+Hi*16.4PE 38:1742.599M+Hi*16.3PE 38:1745.53M+Hi*16.3PE 40:1802.632M+Hi*16.9PE 40:1802.632M+Hi*19.9PE 40:1802.632M+Hi*21.9PE 40:1802.643M+Hi*21.9PE 40:1804.755M+NHi*20.3PE 40:187.760M+NHi*20.3PE 40:187.862M+NHi*20.3		PC 36:2	786.600	[M+H] ⁺	15.3
PC 36:4 782.568 Mi-Hj ¹ 14.5 PC 36:5 780.553 Mi-Hj ¹ 14.1 PC 36:1 816.647 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.5 PE 41 718.538 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.4 PE 34:2 716.522 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.0 PE 34:3 714.507 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.5 PE 36:2 74.4564 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 Mi-Hj ¹ 15.0 PE 36:5 778.538 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.4 PE 36:5 778.538 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.4 PE 38:1 774.601 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.9 PE 40:1 802.632 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.9 PE 40:1 802.632 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.9 TG 50.1 80.617 Mi-Hj ¹ 16.9 TG 48:0 82.4770 Mi-Hj ¹ 21.9 TG 50.1 80.766 Mi-Hj ¹ 21.7 TG 50.2 84.771 Mi-Hi ₁ ¹ 21.0 TG 52.1 87.872		PC 36:3	784.584	[M+H] ⁺	14.8
PC 36:5 780.553 M+H]* 14.1 PC 38:1 816.647 IM+H]* 16.5 PE 92.31.0 816.647 IM+H]* 16.5 PE 94.10 718.538 IM+H]* 15.0 PE 34:2 716.522 IM+H]* 14.6 PE 36:3 742.539 IM+H]* 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 IM+H]* 16.2 PE 36:3 742.539 IM+H]* 14.6 PE 36:3 742.539 IM+H]* 14.6 PE 36:4 774.538 IM+H]* 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 IM+H]* 16.0 PE 36:2 772.565 IM+H]* 16.0 PE 40:1 802.632 IM+H]* 16.0 PE 40:2 806.017 IM+H]* 16.0 TG 50:1 802.632 IM+H]* 16.0 TG 50:1 800.617 IM+H]* 17.0 TG 50:1 80.6750 IM+NH_4)* 21.0 TG 50:1 867		PC 36:4	782.568	[M+H] ⁺	14.5
PC 38:1 816.647 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 PE PE 34:1 718.538 [M+H] ⁺ 15.4 PE 34:2 716.522 [M+H] ⁺ 16.0 PE 34:3 714.507 [M+H] ⁺ 14.6 PE 36:2 744.554 [M+H] ⁺ 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ⁺ 16.6 PE 36:5 776.523 [M+H] ⁺ 14.6 PE 36:5 776.538 [M+CH ₃] ₂ CO+H-H ₅ O] ⁺ 14.3 PE 38:1 774.601 [M+H] ⁺ 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H] ⁺ 16.9 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 TG 64.80 824.770 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 TG 65.02 848.71 [M+H] ⁺ 21.0 TG 65.02 848.71 [M+H] ⁺ 21.0 TG 65.2 876.802 [M+H] ⁺ 21.0 TG 50.2 84		PC 36:5	780.553	[M+H] ⁺	14.1
PE PE 34:1 718.538 [M+H] ¹ 15.4 PE 34:2 716.522 [M+H] ¹ 15.0 PE 34:3 714.507 [M+H] ¹ 16.6 PE 36:2 744.554 [M+H] ¹ 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ¹ 15.0 PE 36:4 740.523 [M+H] ¹ 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 [M+H] ¹ 16.4 PE 38:1 774.601 [M+H] ¹ 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H] ¹ 16.9 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 TG FG 50.2 848.71 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 TG FG 50.2 848.71 [M+H] ¹ 21.0 TG 50.2 848.71 [M+N] ¹ 21.6 21.0 TG 50.3 874.766 [M+N] ¹ 20.9 21.0 TG 52.2 876.802		PC 38:1	816.647	[M+H] ⁺	16.5
PE 34:2 716.522 [M+H] ¹ 15.0 PE 34:3 714.507 [M+H] ¹ 14.6 PE 36:2 744.554 [M+H] ¹ 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ¹ 15.0 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ¹ 14.6 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ¹ 14.6 PE 36:4 740.523 [M+H] ¹ 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 [M+(Ch ₃) ₂ CO+H-H ₂ O) ² 14.3 PE 38:1 772.585 [M+H] ¹ 16.0 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H] ¹ 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 16.5 TG 50:1 802.632 [M+H] ¹ 16.9 TG 50:1 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 16.9 TG 63:1 802.632 [M+H] ¹ 17.0 TG 50:1 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 17.0 TG 50:1 800.617 [M+H] ¹ 17.0 TG 50:1	PE	PE 34:1	718.538	[M+H] ⁺	15.4
PE 34:3 714.507 [M+H]* 14.6 PE 36:2 744.554 [M+H]* 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H]* 15.0 PE 36:4 740.523 [M+H]* 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 [M+(CH ₃) ₂ CO+H-H ₂ O]* 14.3 PE 36:1 774.601 [M+H]* 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H]* 16.0 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H]* 16.0 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H]* 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H]* 17.0 TG TG 48:0 824.770 [M+H]* 17.0 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄]* 21.9 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄]* 20.5 16 TG 50:2 848.771 [M+NH ₄]* 20.9 16 TG 50:3 847.70 [M+NH ₄]* 20.9 16 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄]* 20.9 16 TG 50:1 878		PE 34:2	716.522	[M+H] ⁺	15.0
PE 36:2 744.554 [M+H]* 15.5 PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H]* 15.0 PE 36:4 740.523 [M+H]* 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 [M+(Ch ₃) ₂ CO+H+H ₂ O]* 14.3 PE 38:1 774.601 [M+H]* 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H]* 16.9 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H]* 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H]* 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H]* 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H]* 17.0 TG 50:1 802.632 [M+H]* 17.0 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+H]* 21.9 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+N]_4]* 21.0 TG 50:2 848.771 [M+N]_4]* 20.5 TG 50:3 846.755 [M+N]_4]* 20.9 TG 52:1 876.802 [M+N]_4]* 20.9 TG 52:2 876.802 [M+N]_4]* 20.9 TG 52:5 870.755 </td <td>PE 34:3</td> <td>714.507</td> <td>[M+H]⁺</td> <td>14.6</td>		PE 34:3	714.507	[M+H] ⁺	14.6
PE 36:3 742.539 [M+H] ⁺ 15.0 PE 36:4 740.523 [M+H] ⁺ 14.6 PE 36:5 778.538 [M+(CH ₃) ₂ CO+HH ₂ O] ⁺ 14.3 PE 38:1 774.601 [M+H] ⁺ 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H] ⁺ 16.0 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H] ⁺ 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 TG 50:1 802.632 [M+H] ⁺ 17.0 TG TG 48:0 824.770 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.9 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.0 16.0 TG 50:2 848.771 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.5 16.0 TG 50:3 846.755 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.5 16.0 TG 52:1 878.817 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.0 16.0 TG 52:2 876.802 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.3 16.0 TG 52:3 874.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.3		PE 36:2	744.554	[M+H] ⁺	15.5
PE 36:4740.523 $[M+H]^+$ 14.6PE 36:5778.538 $[M+(CH_3)_2CO+H+R_2O]^+$ 14.3PE 38:1774.601 $[M+H]^+$ 16.4PE 38:2772.585 $[M+H]^+$ 16.0PE 40:1802.632 $[M+H]^+$ 16.9PE 40:2800.617 $[M+H]^+$ 16.5PE 42:2828.648 $[M+H]^+$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+HA]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:2844.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:6870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6888.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6889.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:787.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		PE 36:3	742.539	[M+H] ⁺	15.0
PE 36:5778.538 $[M+(CH_3)_2CO+H+H_2O]^*$ 14.3PE 38:1774.601 $[M+H]^*$ 16.4PE 38:2772.585 $[M+H]^*$ 16.0PE 40:1802.632 $[M+H]^*$ 16.9PE 40:2800.617 $[M+H]^*$ 16.5PE 40:2802.617 $[M+H]^*$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+H]_1^*$ 21.9TGTG 50:1850.786 $[M+H]_4^*$ 21.7TG 50:1850.786 $[M+H]_4^*$ 21.0TG 50:2848.771 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.5TG 50:3846.755 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 52:2876.802 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 52:3874.786 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 52:5870.755 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 23.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 54:3902.817 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 54:5898.766 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+HA]_1^*$ 20.2TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]_1^*$ 19.1		PE 36:4	740.523	[M+H] ⁺	14.6
PE 38:1 774.601 [M+H] ⁺ 16.4 PE 38:2 772.585 [M+H] ⁺ 16.0 PE 40:1 802.632 [M+H] ⁺ 16.9 PE 40:2 800.617 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 PE 40:2 802.632 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 PE 42:2 828.648 [M+H] ⁺ 16.5 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.9 TG 50:1 850.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.7 TG 50:2 848.771 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.0 TG 50:3 846.755 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.5 TG 50:3 846.755 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.5 TG 52:1 878.817 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.9 TG 52:2 876.802 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.9 TG 52:3 874.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.3 TG 52:4 872.771 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.3 TG 52:5 870.755 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 32.2 TG 52:6 868.739 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 32.2		PE 36:5	778.538	$[M+(CH_3)_2CO+H-H_2O]^+$	14.3
PE 38:2 772.585 $[M+H]^+$ 16.0PE 40:1802.632 $[M+H]^+$ 16.9PE 40:2800.617 $[M+H]^+$ 16.5PE 42:2828.648 $[M+H]^+$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		PE 38:1	774.601	[M+H] ⁺	16.4
PE 40:1802.632 $[M+H]^+$ 16.9PE 40:2800.617 $[M+H]^+$ 16.5PE 42:2828.648 $[M+H]^+$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.6		PE 38:2	772.585	[M+H] ⁺	16.0
PE 40:2800.617 $[M+H]^+$ 16.5PE 42:2828.648 $[M+H]^+$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.6		PE 40:1	802.632	[M+H] ⁺	16.9
PE 42:2828.648 $[M+H]^+$ 17.0TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.6		PE 40:2	800.617	[M+H] ⁺	16.5
TGTG 48:0824.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.9TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		PE 42:2	828.648	[M+H] ⁺	17.0
TG 50:1850.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.7TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.1	TG	TG 48:0	824.770	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	21.9
TG 50:2848.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.0TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.1		TG 50:1	850.786	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	21.7
TG 50:3846.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.5TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 50:2	848.771	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	21.0
TG 52:1878.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 22.4TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 50:3	846.755	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	20.5
TG 52:2876.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.6TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:1	878.817	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	22.4
TG 52:3874.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:2	876.802	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	21.6
TG 52:4872.771 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.3TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:3	874.786	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	20.9
TG 52:5870.755 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.7TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:4	872.771	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	20.3
TG 52:6868.739 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.2TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:5	870.755	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	19.7
TG 54:1906.848 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 23.2TG 54:3902.817 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 21.5TG 54:4900.802 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.9TG 54:5898.786 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 20.2TG 54:6896.770 $[M+NH_4]^+$ 19.6TG 54:7877.728 $[M+H]^+$ 19.1		TG 52:6	868.739	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	19.2
TG 54:3 902.817 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 21.5 TG 54:4 900.802 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.9 TG 54:5 898.786 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 20.2 TG 54:6 896.770 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 19.6 TG 54:7 877.728 [M+H] ⁺ 19.1		TG 54:1	906.848	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	23.2
TG 54:4 900.802 [M+NH4] ⁺ 20.9 TG 54:5 898.786 [M+NH4] ⁺ 20.2 TG 54:6 896.770 [M+NH4] ⁺ 19.6 TG 54:7 877.728 [M+H] ⁺ 19.1		TG 54:3	902.817	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	21.5
TG 54:5 898.786 [M+NH4] ⁺ 20.2 TG 54:6 896.770 [M+NH4] ⁺ 19.6 TG 54:7 877.728 [M+H] ⁺ 19.1		TG 54:4	900.802	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	20.9
TG 54:6 896.770 [M+NH4] ⁺ 19.6 TG 54:7 877.728 [M+H] ⁺ 19.1		TG 54:5	898.786	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	20.2
TG 54:7 877.728 [M+H] ⁺ 19.1		TG 54:6	896.770	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	19.6
		TG 54:7	877.728	[M+H] ⁺	19.1
$ TG 54:8 $ $ 892.739 $ $ [M+NH_4]^+$ $ 18.6 $		TG 54:8	892.739	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	18.6
TG 56:2 932.864 [M+NH ₄] ⁺ 23.1		TG 56:2	932.864	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	23.1

(Continued)



Table 1. (Continued)

Class	Compound	m/z	Ionization	Retention time (min)
	TG 56:3	930.848	$[M+NH_4]^+$	22.2
	TG 58:4	956.864	[M+NH ₄] ⁺	22.2

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186952.t001

each fatty acid molecule in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins and juices was calculated from the data of Figs 1 and 2. The number of double bonds in a fatty acid molecule in Pinot Noir skins and juices was significantly larger than that in Koshu skins and juices (Fig 4).

C18-fatty acid desaturation in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins

Fig 1 demonstrates that C18-fatty acids are one of the major components in *V. vinifera* berries, as reported previously [9]. Fig 5A shows the contents of C18-fatty acids (C18:0, C18:1n9c/C18:1n9t, C18:2n6c, and C18:3n3) in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins and juices, extracted from Figs 1 and 2. The contents of unsaturated C18-fatty acids (C18:1n9c/C18:1n9t, C18:2n6c, and C18:3n3) in Koshu berries were lower than those in Pinot Noir berries (Fig 5A).

For expression analysis of C18-fatty acid metabolism-related genes and genes encoding lipoxygenase (LOX) and hydroperoxide lyase (HPL) in the lipoxygenase pathway, we selected the members of them according to NIH genetic sequence database. However, some of them could not be analyzed by real-time RT-PCR due to less specificity of the primers and less expression in berry skins tested (data not shown). No difference in stearoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] 9-desaturase gene expression was observed between Koshu and Pinot Noir skins at two weeks post véraison and at harvest (Fig 5B). The gene expression of omega-6 fatty acid desaturase in Koshu skins was upregulated at two weeks post véraison and at harvest, respectively. These results suggested that the expression levels of C18-fatty acid desaturases might be one of the regulators of the accumulation of C18-unsaturated fatty acids in berry skins.

C18:3 is converted into hexanals, C6 aroma compounds, in plants [17]. Fig 6A shows the lipoxygenase pathway for the conversion of C18:3 into (*Z*)-hex-3-enal. The transcription of genes encoding LOX and HPL, the key enzymes in the pathway, was upregulated in Koshu skins at two weeks after véraison (Fig 6B). This result suggested that Koshu berries converted C18:3 into C6-aroma compounds and well accounted for the finding that C18:3n3 contents in Koshu skins and juices were lower than those in Pinot Noir skins and juices (Fig 5A) even if the gene expression of omega-3 fatty acid desaturase was upregulated (Fig 5B).

Discussion

Plant lipidome research has seen an upsurge of interest. Although plants share almost the same lipid metabolism, plant lipidome is one of the tools for the discovery of unique lipids and oils in plants. Plant lipidome is expected to contribute to the application of unique plant lipids to human health and high-energy bioproducts [18]. In the present study, we uncovered differences in lipid compositions between Koshu and Pinot Noir berries cultivated under the same conditions by the comprehensive and comparative lipidome analysis. As lipid composition in grapevine organs is affected by environmental factors [19] and training systems [10], lipid compositions detected under different cultivation conditions in previous studies [14,15] cannot be compared with each other. In the present study, to optimize the experimental conditions, Koshu and Pinot Noir grapevines were separated by only 10 m in the same vineyard and trained to the Guyot-style system, although the traditional shelf style (overhead trellis) is









Fig 4. Number of double bonds in a fatty acid molecule in Koshu and Pinot Noir skins and juices. The number of double bonds in a fatty acid molecule in skin and juice was calculated from the data in Figs 1 and 2, respectively. Data are shown as means \pm standard deviation for five independent samples. KS, Koshu. PN, Pinot Noir. *p < 0.05 between Koshu and Pinot Noir skins. **p < 0.01 between Koshu and Pinot Noir juices.

generally used for the cultivation of Koshu [20]. These efforts to optimize the experimental design allowed us to perform comparative lipidome analysis of Koshu and Pinot Noir berries.

Fatty acid molecules in Pinot Noir berries had more double bonds than those in Koshu berries. In general, the increase in the number of double bonds in a fatty acid molecule is observed in plants growing in low-temperature regions [21]. Normal leaf development in plants growing in low-temperature condition would require increasing the production of trienoic fatty acid, for example C18:3, by fatty acid desaturation during chilling acclimation [22,23]. In C18-fatty acid metabolism, the contents of C18 unsaturated fatty acids in Pinot Noir berries were higher than those in Koshu berries. As C18:2 and C18:3 are the major components in plasma membrane [24], Pinot Noir berries might be able to adapt well to a cool climate by enhancing plasma membrane stability. Pinot Noir grapevines grow well in cool regions, whereas Koshu grapevines show preference for warm climate. As Pinot Noir and Koshu grapevines were cultivated under same field conditions in the present study, the difference in the level of fatty acid desaturation between the two cultivars might be a characteristic innate in the genome and not be acquired as a result of environmental conditions. Further studies employing comparative genomics to examine Pinot Noir [6] and Koshu genomes (Suzuki, personal communication) are necessary to prove the characteristic innate in their genome.

Koshu berries contained less C18:3 than Pinot Noir berries, although the gene expression of omega-3 fatty acid desaturase in Koshu skins was upregulated. In general, the upregulated expression of omega-3 fatty acid desaturase gene decreases C18:2 content and increases C18:3 content [22]. This contradiction may be due to the production of hexanals from C18:3 in Koshu berries. C18:3 is the precursor of (*Z*)-hex-3-enal [25]. The upregulation of omega-3 fatty acid desaturase expression enhances (*Z*)-hex-3-enal aroma in tomato fruit [26]. The conversion of C18:3 into C6-aroma compounds hexanals in plant is mediated by the LOX pathway [17]. Grape LOX family consists of 18 individual members and catalyzes oxygenation of poly-unsaturated fatty acids [27]. Transcriptional patterns of each LOX genes were different specially and temporally. For example, *VvLOXA* was expressed abundantly in berry skins, while *VvLOXO* was predominantly expressed in seeds [27]. Modification of lipid profile in grape berries by LOX seems to be associated with berry softening. In Pinot Noir berries, PnLOXA was expressed at véraison and mediated membrane peroxidation, such as peroxisized monogalactosyl diacylglycerols on C18:3 chains, followed by berry



Α



Fig 5. C18-fatty acid desaturation in Koshu and Pinot Noir berries. (A) C18-fatty acids in Pinot Noir and Koshu skins and juices. The contents were extracted from Figs 1 and 2, respectively. KS, Koshu. PN, Pinot Noir. *p < 0.05 between Koshu and Pinot Noir samples. Arrows demonstrate conversion by C18-fatty acid desaturases. Δ9 FAD, stearoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] 9-desaturase. w6 FAD, omega-6 fatty acid desaturase. w3 FAD, omega-3 fatty acid desaturase. (B) Transcription of genes encoding C18-fatty acid desaturases in berry skins. Berry samples were collected at two weeks post véraison (V+2w) and at harvest (H). Total RNA was isolated from berry skins and subjected to real-time RT-PCR analysis. Data are shown as means ± standard deviation for five independent samples. *p < 0.05 between Koshu and Pinot Noir skins. **p < 0.01 between Koshu and Pinot Noir skins.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186952.g005

softening [28]. These reports suggest that LOX is one of mediator during grape berry ripening. LOX and HPL gene transcription was upregulated during Koshu berry ripening, although all of the members could not be analyzed due to less specificity of PCR primers tested. The enhanced LOX and HPL expression may decrease C18:3 content and conversely increase (Z)hex-3-enal in Koshu skins. (Z)-hex-3-enal is converted into (E)-hex-2-enal (trans-2-hexanal) by isomerases [25]. 3-Mercaptohexan-1-ol is a volatile thiol and a key contributor to the distinct passion fruit-like odor in Koshu wines but not Pinot Noir wines. The precursors of





3-mercaptohexan-1-ol are synthesized from glutathione and (*E*)-hex-2-enal by glutathione-*S*-transferases during berry ripening [29]. Thus, the loss of C18:3 in Koshu berries by the LOX pathway at the end of ripening may be correlated with the synthesis of C6-aroma compounds and the precursors of 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol.

We demonstrated that Koshu lipid profiles were completely different from Pinot Noir lipid profiles. The lipid profiles obtained from grape lipidome might contribute to the alteration of aroma profiles in Koshu wines. Berries cultivated in cold regions showed higher linoleic acid content than berries cultivated in warm regions [30]. The high C18:2 levels in juices were related to low fatty acid ethyl ester formation in wine yeasts [30]. Further investigations of both viticultural techniques and the selection of areas for Koshu cultivation are required for improving Koshu wine aromas through the alteration of fatty acid profiles in Koshu berries.

As there is a strong relationship between the heat requirements of grapevine cultivars and the level of fatty acid desaturation [30], grapevine lipidome may also contribute to the improvement

of breeding strategies of cold-tolerant grapevines. Grapevine cultivated in cool areas is frequently exposed to temperatures below 0°C in winter and the low temperature affects the growth and development of grapevine organs, such as dormant buds, leaves, and flowers, in the next growing season [31]. The production of trienoic fatty acids by fatty acid desaturase enhances cold tolerance in plants [22]. Although C-repeat-binding factors that activate the transcription of cold-responsive genes play a critical role in cold acclimation in grapevines [32], fatty acid desaturase genes may also serve as a breeding target of cold-tolerant grapevines to enhance adaptation to a chilly environment.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Chromatograms of supplemented fatty acids in Koshu juice and skin samples. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Total ion current chromatogram of lipid components in Koshu skins. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Mass spectra of lipid components in Koshu skins. (A) Retention time of 5.00–29.97 min. (B) Retention time of 10.53–11.99 min. (C) Retention time of 18.01–23.98 min. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Mass spectra of predominant lipid components in Koshu skins. (A) *m/z* 496.3390. (B) *m/z* 758.5681. (C) *m/z* 894.7533. (TIF)

S5 Fig. MS/MS spectra and precursor ions of predominant lipid components in Koshu skins. (A) Protonated lysophosphatidylcholine 16:0, m/z 496.3390, $[M+H]^+$. (B) Protonated phosphatidylcholine 34:2, m/z 758.5681, $[M+H]^+$. (C) Ammonium-ion-adducted triglyceride 54:7, m/z 894.7533, $[M+NH_4]^+$. Suggested structures are shown in the graphs. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Correlations between volumes of skin samples and peak areas obtained by LC-MS. (A) LPC 16:0. (B) PC 34:2. (C) TG 54:7. (TIF)

S7 Fig. Representative MS/MS spectra of each lipid class detected in Koshu skins. (A) DGDG 36:6. (B) MGDG 36:6. (C) PC 36:5. (D) PE 34:2. (E) TG 54:6. (TIF)

S1 Table. Conditions for multiple reaction monitoring in GC-MS/MS for fatty acids. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Peaks of fatty acids in fatty acid recovery test. (DOCX)

S3 Table. List of fatty acids in F.A.M.E. Mix C4-C24. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Lower limits of quantification of fatty acids in juices. (DOCX)

S5 Table. Lower limits of quantification of fatty acids in skins. (DOCX)

S6 Table. Recovered amounts, recovery rates, and coefficients of variation (CVs) for juice and skin samples supplemented with fatty acids. (DOCX)

S7 Table. Reproducibility and linearity of peak areas of main lipid components in Koshu skins.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This research was partly supported by the Kieikai Research Foundation (no. 2017N002, to S. Suzuki).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Shunji Suzuki.

Data curation: Kayo Arita, Taro Honma.

Formal analysis: Kayo Arita, Taro Honma.

Funding acquisition: Shunji Suzuki.

Investigation: Shunji Suzuki.

Methodology: Kayo Arita, Taro Honma.

Writing - original draft: Taro Honma, Shunji Suzuki.

Writing - review & editing: Shunji Suzuki.

References

- Goto-Yamamoto N, Sawler J, Myles S. Genetic analysis of East Asian grape cultivars suggests hybridization with wild Vitis. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(10):e0140841. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0140841 PMID: 26488600
- Shimazaki M, Fujita K, Kobayashi H, Suzuki S. Pink-colored grape berry is the result of short insertion in intron of color regulatory gene. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(6):e21308. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0021308 PMID: 21695059
- 3. Okamura S, Watanabe M. Determination of phenolic cinnamates in white wine and their effect on wine quality. Agric Biol Chem. 1981; 45:2063–2070.
- 4. Kobayashi H, Fujita K, Suzuki S, Takayanagi T. Molecular characterization of Japanese indigenous grape cultivar 'Koshu' (*Vitis vinifera*) leaf and berry skin during grape development. Plant Biotechnol Rep. 2009; 3:225–241.
- Kobayashi H, Suzuki Y, Ajimura K, Konno T, Suzuki S, Saito H. Characterization of phenolic compounds biosynthesized in pink-colored skin of Japanese indigenous *Vitis vinifera* cv. Koshu grape. Plant Biotechnol Rep. 2011; 5:79–88.
- Jaillon O, Aury JM, Noel B, Policriti A, Clepet C, Casagrande A, et al. The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. Nature. 2007; 449:463–467. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature06148 PMID: 17721507
- Dyer JM, Chapital DC, Kuan JW, Mullen RT, Pepperman AB. Metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for production of novel lipid compounds. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2002; 59:224–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-0997-5 PMID: 12111150
- Varela C, Torrea D, Schmidt SA, Ancin-Azpilicueta C, Henschke PA. Effect of oxygen and lipid supplementation on the volatile composition of chemically defined medium and Chardonnay wine fermented with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Food Chem. 2012; 135:2863–2871. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.127 PMID: 22980883</u>
- Gallander JF, Peng AC. Lipid and fatty acid compositions of different grape types. Am J Enol Vitic. 1980; 31:24–27.

- Xu XQ, Cheng G, Duan LL, Jiang R, Pan QH, Duan CQ, et al. Effect of training systems on fatty acids and their derived volatiles in Cabernet Sauvignon grapes and wines of the north foot of Mt. Tianshan. Food Chem. 2015; 181:198–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.02.082 PMID: 25794740
- Pinu FR, Edwards PJB, Jouanneau S, Kilmartin PA, Gardner RC, Villas-Boas SG. Sauvignon blanc metabolomics: Grape juice metabolites affecting the development of varietal thiols and other aroma compounds in wines. Metabolomics. 2014; 10:556–573.
- Kobayashi H, Takase H, Kaneko K, Tanzawa F, Takata R, Suzuki S, et al. Analysis of S-3-(hexan-1-ol) glutathione and S-3-(hexan-1-ol)cysteine in *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Koshu for aromatic wines. Am J Enol Vitic. 2010; 61:176–185.
- Kobayashi H, Tominaga T, Katsuno Y, Anzo M, Ajimura K, Saito H, et al. Effect of improvement factor for β-damascenone content in Koshu wine and application to wine making. J ASEV Jpn. 2007; 18:22– 27.
- Della Corte A, Chitarrini G, Di Gangi IM, Masuero D, Soini E, Mattivi F, et al. A rapid LC–MS/MS method for quantitative profiling of fatty acids, sterols, glycerolipids, glycerophospholipids and sphingolipids in grapes. Talanta. 2015; 140:52–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.03.003 PMID: 26048823
- Tumanov S, Zubenko Y, Greven M, Greenwood DR, Shmanai V, Villas-Boas SG. Comprehensive lipidome profiling of Sauvignon blanc grape juice. Food Chem. 2015; 180:249–256. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.134 PMID: 25766825
- Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Oresic M. MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinform. 2010; 11:395.
- Feussner I, Wasternack C. The lipoxygenase pathway. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2002; 53:275–297. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.100301.135248 PMID: 12221977
- Horn PJ, Benning C. The plant lipidome in human and environmental health. Science. 2016; 353:1228– 1232. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6206 PMID: 27634522
- Toumi I, Gargouri M, Nouairi I, Moschou PN, Ben Salem-Fnayou A, Mliki A, et al. Water stress induced changes in the leaf lipid composition of four grapevine genotypes with different drought tolerance. Biol Plant. 2008; 52:161–164.
- Suzuki S, Kobayashi H. Physiological and molecular characteristics of Japanese indigenous Vitis vinifera cv. Koshu grape. in: Grapes: Cultivation, Varieties and Nutritional Uses, Murphy RP, Steifler CK (Eds.), Nova Science Publishers Inc, NY, 2012;pp. 107–132.
- Graham D, Patterson BD. Responses of plants to low, nonfreezing temperatures: proteins, metabolism, and acclimation. Annu Rev Plant Physiol. 1982; 33:347–372.
- Kodama H, Hamada T, Horiguchi G, Nishimura M, Iba K. Genetic enhancement of cold tolerance by expression of a gene for chloroplast ω-3 fatty acid desaturase in transgenic tobacco. Plant Physiol. 1994; 105:601–605. PMID: 12232227
- Kodama H, Horiguchi C, Nishiuchi T, Nishimura M, Iba K. Fatty acid desaturation during chilling acclimation is one of the factors involved in conferring low-temperature tolerance to young tobacco leaves. Plant Physiol. 1995; 107:1177–1185. PMID: 12228424
- Simopoulos AP. The importance of the omega-6/omega-3fatty acid ratio in cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. Exp Biol Med. 2008; 233:674–688.
- 25. Šarolić M, Gugić M, Tuberoso CIG, Jerković I, Šuste M, Marijanović Z, et al. Volatile profile, phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of virgin olive oils from Croatian autochthonous varieties *Mašnjača* and *Krvavica* in comparison with Italian variety *Leccino*. Molecules. 2014; 19:881–895. https://doi.org/10. 3390/molecules19010881 PMID: 24424402
- Domínguez T, Hernández ML, Pennycooke JC, Jiménez P, Martínez-Rivas JM, Sanz C, et al. Increasing omega-3 desaturase expression in tomato results in altered aroma profile and enhanced resistance to cold stress. Plant Physiol. 2010; 153:655–665. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.154815</u> PMID: 20382895
- Podolyan A, White J, Jordan B, Winefield C. Identification of the lipoxygenase gene family from *Vitis vinifera* and biochemical characterisation of two 13-lipoxygenases expressed in grape berries of Sauvignon Blanc. Funct Plant Biol. 2010; 37:767–784.
- Pilati S, Brazzale D, Guella G, Milli A, Ruberti C, Biasioli F, et al. The onset of grapevine berry ripening is characterized by ROS accumulation and lipoxygenase-mediated membrane peroxidation in the skin. BMC Plant Biol. 2014; 14:87. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-14-87 PMID: 24693871
- Kobayashi H, Takase H, Suzuki Y, Tanzawa F, Takata R, Fujita K, et al. Environmental stress enhances biosynthesis of flavor precursors, S-3-(hexan-1-ol)-glutathione and S-3-(hexan-1-ol)-L-cysteine, in grapevine through glutathione S-transferase activation. J Exp Bot. 2011; 62:1325–1336. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jxb/erq376 PMID: 21115666

- Yunoki K, Yasui Y, Hirose S, Ohnishi M. Fatty acids in must prepared from 11 grapes grown in Japan: comparison with wine and effect on fatty acid ethyl ester formation. Lipids. 2005; 40:361–367. PMID: 16028718
- Proebsting EL, Ahmedullah M, Brummund VP. Seasonal changes in low temperature resistance of grape buds. Am J Enol Vitic. 1980; 31:329–336.
- 32. Takuhara Y, Kobayashi M, Suzuki S. Low-temperature-induced transcription factors in grapevine enhance cold tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. J Plant Physiol. 2011; 168:967–975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.11.008 PMID: 21185622