



# **Review Enhancing Endocannabinoid Control of Stress with Cannabidiol**

Jeremy D. Henson <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Luis Vitetta <sup>1,3</sup>, Michelle Quezada <sup>2</sup> and Sean Hall <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Prince of Wales Clinical School, University of NSW, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia; luis.vitetta@sydney.edu.au
- <sup>2</sup> Medlab Clinical Ltd., Sydney, NSW 2015, Australia; michelle\_quezada@medlab.co (M.Q.); sean\_hall@medlab.co (S.H.)
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
- \* Correspondence: j.henson@unsw.edu.au

Abstract: The stress response is a well-defined physiological function activated frequently by life events. However, sometimes the stress response can be inappropriate, excessive, or prolonged; in which case, it can hinder rather than help in coping with the stressor, impair normal functioning, and increase the risk of somatic and mental health disorders. There is a need for a more effective and safe pharmacological treatment that can dampen maladaptive stress responses. The endocannabinoid system is one of the main regulators of the stress response. A basal endocannabinoid tone inhibits the stress response, modulation of this tone permits/curtails an active stress response, and chronic deficiency in the endocannabinoid tone is associated with the pathological complications of chronic stress. Cannabidiol is a safe exogenous cannabinoid enhancer of the endocannabinoid system that could be a useful treatment for stress. There have been seven double-blind placebo controlled clinical trials of CBD for stress on a combined total of 232 participants and one partially controlled study on 120 participants. All showed that CBD was effective in significantly reducing the stress response and was non-inferior to pharmaceutical comparators, when included. The clinical trial results are supported by the established mechanisms of action of CBD (including increased N-arachidonylethanolamine levels) and extensive real-world and preclinical evidence of the effectiveness of CBD for treating stress.

Keywords: stress; hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis; endocannabinoid; N-arachidonylethanolamine; AEA; cannabidiol; CBD

# 1. The Stress Response

# 1.1. Physiology and Pathology of the Stress Response

The stress response has two arms: (i) the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis secretion of cortisol and (ii) the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) release of noradrenaline and adrenaline. The HPA axis and SNS are interconnected and act in parallel to increase the ability to cope with the immediate stress and avoid similar threats in future [1–3]. The "fight or flight" reaction is part of the acute stress response that mobilizes glucose and increases blood flow to the muscles. Mentally, attention to the threat is increased by facilitating arousal, increasing focus on the threat, and increasing awake time. Distraction from the threat is reduced by increasing analgesia and inhibiting immediately unhelpful behaviors/processes such as feeding, reproduction, and growth. Memory consolidation is also facilitated so that the threat can be identified in future [2–5]. Some aspects of the stress response may not be appropriate for modern-day stressors that do not require increased physical activity to be dealt with.

Fear, anxiety, and depressive behaviors are normal physiological aspects of the stress response, can be beneficial, and are not pathological states [4,6]. Fear is an unpleasant emotional response to an immediate threat that can enhance mental functions, including attention. Anxiety is also an unpleasant emotional response that can enhance mental



Citation: Henson, J.D.; Vitetta, L.; Quezada, M.; Hall, S. Enhancing Endocannabinoid Control of Stress with Cannabidiol. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5852. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm10245852

Academic Editor: Timm B. Poeppl

Received: 30 November 2021 Accepted: 8 December 2021 Published: 14 December 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). functions; however, it is in response to a future (not immediate) threat. Anxiety creates hypervigilance in anticipation of the future threat to help individuals prepare for dangers and facilitates memory consolidation for alerting to repeated occurrences [6]. Depressive behaviors, such as anhedonia, may help reduce distraction from the threat. Fear, anxiety, and depressive behaviors are only diagnosed as mental disorders when they are both (i) persistent or are elicited by non-threatening stimuli and (ii) hinder the ability to function normally [6].

Stress can cause considerable harm. While the acute stress response improves survival, if it is excessive or chronic, stress can be a causative factor for or exacerbate numerous somatic and mental illnesses. In order to prioritize relevant activities for dealing with the immediate threat, the stress response changes key processes in the cardiorespiratory, gastrointestinal, and immune systems; growth, sex, and thyroid hormone axes; and executive, cognitive, fear, anger, reward, and wake-sleep centers of the brain, as well as increasing inflammatory cytokine levels [2]. If excessive or persistent, these changes can lead to substantial pathology. For example, stress can promote (i) inflammatory diseases such as asthma, eczema or urticaria, rheumatoid arthritis, ulcerative colitis, and sickness syndrome; (ii) pain disorders such as headaches and abdominal, pelvic, and lower back pain; (iii) gastrointestinal dysfunction such as diarrhea, constipation, and peptic ulcers; (iv) mental illnesses such as anxiety, depression, psychosis, cognitive dysfunction, and insomnia; (v) metabolic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, visceral obesity, and sarcopenia; (vi) ischemic heart disease; (vii) neurodegenerative diseases; and (viii) osteopenia and osteoporosis [2–4,7–10]. Chronic or repeated stress is especially harmful and is a major factor in precipitating or exacerbating mental illnesses such as anxiety disorders and depression [9]. In some systems, the appropriate intensity and duration of the stress response can improve functioning and health; however, an excessive or prolonged stress response reduces it. For example, acute stress can improve immune system functioning, whereas excessive or chronic stress suppresses the immune response to bacterial and viral infections, vaccinations, and cancer [2,11,12]. Similarly, acute stress increases analgesia, whereas chronic stress can cause hyperalgesia.

Habituation or adaptation of the stress response is important for minimizing the adverse effects from a repeated stress response [13,14]. With habituation, the stress response decreases in intensity with repeated events of the same stressor. For example, when a person starts living next to a railway line, the sound of a train hurtling past may induce a substantial stress response; however, the intensity dwindles over time. This habituation is important for reducing what can be thought of as the wear and tear load on the mind and body from an ongoing stress response [15,16].

## 1.2. Measures of the Stress Response

The stress response can be measured clinically by assessing either or both of its two arms. The HPA axis is usually measured by assessing cortisol levels, which are increased with acute stress. Cortisol levels in healthy individuals have a diurnal pattern, peaking in the early morning and having their low point early in the night-time. Chronic stress can cause a flattening of the diurnal pattern, lowering the early morning peak and elevating the low night-time levels [9]. The sympathetic nervous system (SNS) arm of the stress response causes an increase in circulating catecholamines, increased heart rate, decreased heart rate variability (especially decreased high-frequency heart rate variability), and raised salivary alpha-amylase [9,17–20]. Symptoms of the stress response should also be assessed using validated questionnaires such as the Subclinical Stress Symptom Questionnaire-25 (SSQ-25) [21] or the Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS-10) [22]. For specifically measuring occupational stress, the Psychological Strain Questionnaire (PSQ) can be used, which is part of the larger Occupational Stress Inventory—Revised (OSI-R) [23]. Some validated anxiety questionnaires also assess stress, e.g., the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) [24].

#### 1.3. Impact and Current Treatment Options for Stress

Stress can be defined as an event that is perceived to threaten homeostasis [2]. The common types of life stressors and their average stress response intensity with respect to causing illness are listed in the Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS; also known as the Holmes and Rahe Stress Scale) [25]. While occupational issues do not rate as highly as changes in personal relationships, health problems, and legal issues, occupational stress provides an insight into the substantial impact stress has on the individual and the economy. In Australia, in 2015, 91% of workers' compensation claims involving a mental health condition were linked to occupational stress. The claims caused by occupational stress, comprised 5.5% of all workers' compensation claims and 16% of all payouts and caused 17% of all time off work due to compensation claims [26]. Apart from increasing the number of sick and personal leave days, occupational stress also reduces productivity and work quality when employees are at work by causing an increased rate of accidents, poor communication, increased conflict, reduced job satisfaction and morale, reduced client satisfaction, and increased staff turnover [12,14].

There is a need for interventions in the stress response to prevent health disorders from eventuating and to reduce unhelpful symptoms. Treatments to reduce stress responses include pharmacological and psychological approaches. Many of the medications that are used for stress relief are addictive, and all have the potential for serious adverse reactions and drug interactions. In addition, many can take several weeks before their effects are experienced, and medications that only inhibit one arm of the stress response can be undermined by a compensatory increase in the other [1]. Prescription medications used for stress and its manifestations include beta blockers [1], benzodiazepines [27,28], selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors [27], and bupropion [29]. Psychological methods for treating occupational stress include meditation, relaxation, biofeedback, and cognitive and behavioral therapy. Meditation and relaxation techniques, such as thought reduction (mental silence) or progressive muscle relaxation, are used to reduce physiological symptoms of the stress response and, thereby, reduce the reactivity of the individual to occupational stressors [23]. Biofeedback techniques aim to reduce reactivity by training people on how to gain conscious control over stress processes such as heart rate and brain wave patterns. Cognitive behavioral techniques include changing the way the person appraises the stressful situations and an individual's own perceived ability to deal with the situation, as well as improving coping techniques [14]. When individuals believe that they can manage a stressor, they experience a less intense stress response [23].

### 2. The Endocannabinoid System

The endocannabinoid signaling system is one of the key regulators of the stress response and is important for proper return to the non-stressed state. The endocannabinoid system constrains the magnitude of the stress response, promotes return of the HPA axis to non-stressed levels, and facilitates habituation of the stress response to repeated or ongoing stress. It also directly inhibits stress-associated processes such as fear, anxiety, depressive behaviors, inflammation, and hyperalgesia and promotes behaviors inhibited by the stress response such as feeding and sleep. The effect of the endocannabinoid system can be summarized as promoting a cool, calm, collected, fat, and happy state [13]. Furthermore, resilience to stress-related disease and dysfunction may depend on the satisfactory functioning of the endocannabinoid system [4,30–33].

The endocannabinoid system is an evolutionarily conserved signaling system that was discovered in the 1990s after the identification of the primary targets of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and the cannabinoid receptors type 1 (CB<sub>1</sub>) and type 2 (CB<sub>2</sub>). CB<sub>1</sub> are one of the most abundant G-protein coupled receptors in the central nervous system and are located on presynaptic terminals where they suppress neurotransmitter release, mostly on excitatory glutamatergic neurons and inhibitory gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic interneurons, and to a lesser extent serotonergic, noradrenergic, and dopaminergic neurons [15]. CB<sub>2</sub> are mostly located in immune cells (include microglia) and modulate

immune cell migration and cytokine release. There is also evidence that CB<sub>2</sub> are present on neurons in stress-associated areas of the brain and regulate the release of GABA, dopamine, and glutamate [34–36]. The two main endogenous cannabinoids (endocannabinoids), N-arachidonylethanolamine (anandamide; AEA) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), are synthesized in response to neuronal depolarization and/or Ca<sup>+2</sup> influx, via cleavage of membrane phospholipids. In the nervous system, this occurs in the postsynaptic membrane and the endocannabinoids feedback in a retrograde manner to CB<sub>1/2</sub> on presynaptic terminals, thus inhibiting afferent neurotransmitter release (Figure 1) [15,37]. AEA and 2-AG are hydrophobic, and it is not known how they cross the aqueous synaptic space; however, AEA produced by microglia cells may be transported to presynaptic CB<sub>1</sub> via microvesicles [38]. AEA also binds peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  and transient receptor potential vanilloid member 1 [13].



Figure 1. CB<sub>1/2</sub> signaling in the nervous system. The two main endocannabinoids, AEA and 2-AG, are synthesized in response to neuronal depolarization and/or  $Ca^{+2}$  influx, via cleavage of membrane phospholipids, such as phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), in the postsynaptic membrane. For AEA, Ca+2-dependent N-acyltransferase (NAT) first produces N-arachidonoyl PE (NAPE), which is then hydrolyzed by phospholipase D (NAPE-PLD). For 2-AG, Ca<sup>+2</sup> influx and/or cortisol stimulates phospholipase C (PLC), which hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol (PI) into diacylglycerol (DAG), which is hydrolyzed by diacylglycerol lipase (DGL). AEA and 2-AG feedback in a retrograde manner to  $CB_{1/2}$  receptors on presynaptic terminals.  $CB_{1/2}$  are coupled to  $G_{i/0}$ -proteins that function to inhibit adenylyl cyclase and voltage-gated calcium channels and activate potassium channels, thus, suppressing afferent neurotransmitter release. In the brain,  $CB_{1/2}$  signaling has a basal tone that depends on AEA production in response to neuronal activity. This tone can be rapidly reduced, by increased hydrolyzation of AEA to arachidonic acid (AA) and ethanolamine (EA) by FAAH that is in the post-synaptic endoplasmic reticulum. The main action of CBD is to competitively inhibit binding of AEA to its aqueous transporter, fatty acid binding protein (FABP), thereby inhibiting the degradation of AEA by FAAH and increasing  $CB_{1/2}$  receptor signaling tone. Moreover, 2-AG is not degraded by FAAH but by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), which is located near  $CB_{1/2}$  on the pre-synaptic membrane [15,39].

In the brain,  $CB_{1/2}$  signaling has a basal tone that depends on AEA production in response to neuronal activity. This tone can be rapidly reduced by increased degradation of AEA by fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) that is located in post-synaptic endoplasmic reticulum (Figure 1) [15,39].  $CB_{1/2}$  signaling is increased by increasing the levels of 2-AG production by phospholipase C [40]. Phospholipase C is activated by  $Ca^{+2}$  influx secondary to neuronal depolarization and cortisol [3]. Furthermore, 2-AG is not degraded by FAAH but by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), which is located near  $CB_{1/2}$  on the pre-synaptic membrane (Figure 1) [15]. In addition to their respective primary degradative enzymes, AEA and 2-AG can also be oxygenated by cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) to form bioactive prostaglandin derivatives [15].

#### 3. Regulation of the Stress Response by the Endocannabinoid System

CB<sub>1</sub> expression is especially high in the cortico-limbic brain regions associated with the stress response, and CB<sub>1</sub> signaling constrains the stress response centrally via both its HPA axis and sympathetic nervous system arms [9,30–32]. Both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> signaling are also involved in mediating the central and peripheral manifestations of the stress response [13]. Most research and understanding of the role of the endocannabinoid system in stress has come from animal (rodent) studies that employ unconditioned stressors and then monitor changes in the balance between exploration and avoidance behaviors [31,32]. Human studies correlating circulating AEA and 2-AG levels with aspects of the stress response and studies investigating the stress response effects of CB<sub>1</sub> inhibition (by rimonabant) have supported that the animal model data can be extrapolated to humans [15].

Although  $CB_1$  signaling is known to inhibit noradrenalin release by the SNS [9], more is known about how it regulates the HPA axis. There is tonic inhibition of the HPA axis's stress response by  $CB_1$  signaling, which can be modified in the following ways (Figure 2):

- 1. Stress response induction and maintenance: Acute exposure to stress rapidly increases corticotropin-releasing hormone signaling in limbic structures, which increases the enzymatic activity of FAAH, resulting in a rapid decrease of the inhibitory tone of AEA (and CB<sub>1</sub> signaling) on the HPA axis [13,15,32]. This mechanism continues to maintain low AEA levels as long as the stressor remains [13].
- 2. Stress response termination: Increased levels of cortisol following induction of the stress response stimulates production of 2-AG in the hypothalamus and other stress centers of the brain, increasing CB<sub>1</sub> signaling. This applies negative-feedback inhibition of the HPA axis and can facilitate termination of the stress response [15].
- 3. Habituation of the stress response: Upon repeated presentation of the same stressor, 2-AG levels are progressively enhanced within forebrain stress centers, increasing CB<sub>1</sub> signaling and HPA axis habituation [4,15]. This increase in 2-AG may be due to a reduction in MAGL expression.
- 4. Chronic dysfunction: Exposure to chronic stress causes decreased CB<sub>1</sub> expression in the stress centers of the brain due to epigenetic changes [15,40]. This results in less feedback inhibition on the HPA axis and contributes to continued high levels of cortisol following chronic stress [5].

In addition to regulating the stress response, decreased levels of  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling also have direct effects on the manifestations and complications of the stress response, which makes  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling a good target for reducing many undesirable effects of acute and chronic stress.  $CB_1$  signaling on forebrain glutamatergic neurons reduces anxiety specifically under stressful or aversive situations [41–43]. Chronic stress induces neuroinflammation and activates microglia (the brain-resident macrophages), which can facilitate anxiety and depressive behaviors and contribute to the development of affective disorders. Both  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling can prevent the activation of microglia, cytokine signaling, and stress-induced recruitment of monocytes to the brain's neurovascular space, which may help constrain neuroinflammation [4,9,15,36].  $CB_1$  and possibly  $CB_2$  are present in the enteric nervous system, and  $CB_2$  is also expressed on immune and epithelial cells of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT).  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling opposes the increased GIT pain sensitivity, motility, inflammation, immune activation, and permeability caused by acute and/or chronic stress [13,40]. Chronic impairments in CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> signaling may also directly contribute to long-term complications of chronic stress, such as learning and memory deficits, changes in coping behaviors, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety disorders, depression, psychosis, and pain syndromes [15,16,44]. For example, CB<sub>1</sub> signaling extinguishes fear and can prevent persistence of aversive memories, which if impaired may promote post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and phobias [3,4,44]. Administration of medicines such as FAAH inhibitors or endocannabinoid reuptake inhibitors have been shown to ward off the development of adverse effects of chronic stress [3,4,15,44].



**Figure 2.** Endocannabinoid regulation of the stress response. Without a stressor (No Stress) basal AEA tone maintains  $CB_1$  signaling constraint of the stress response and a cool, calm, collected, and happy state. Acute presentation of a stressor (Acute Stress) elevates FAAH hydrolysis of AEA, reducing  $CB_1$  signaling and permitting activation of the stress response [13,15,32,39]. Secretion of cortisol by the stress response provides Negative Feedback by increasing 2-AG production, which increases  $CB_1$  signaling and terminates the stress response [15]. Repeated presentation of the same stressor progressively increases 2-AG levels, possibly by reduced MAGL expression and degradation of 2-AG, which causes progressively higher  $CB_1$  signaling and Habituation to the stress response [4,15]. Chronic Stress causes a downregulation of  $CB_1$  that impairs feedback inhibition and facilitates persistence of the stress response and high cortisol levels, which precipitates or exacerbates illness (complications) [5,15,40].

# 4. Cannabidiol (CBD) as a Treatment for Stress

Cannabis has been used medicinally for thousands of years in various societies around the world to reduce the physiological and psychological consequences of stress and fear [13,45]. Of the two main components of cannabis, cannabidiol (CBD) and tetrahy-drocannabinol (THC), CBD appears to be the component responsible for these effects. Although THC is a weak partial agonist of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, as far as the stress response is concerned, THC appears to act as a competitive inhibitor of AEA and 2-AG at CB<sub>1</sub>, and THC increases basal- and stress-induced glucocorticoids [13]. CBD products (edibles, tinctures, and vapes) are commonly used around the world to treat stress, as well as self-perceived anxiety and insomnia, which often may be symptoms of stress [46,47]. Because CBD acts on several synergistic targets, it may be more effective (as well as safer) for constraining the stress response than molecules designed to target specific endocannabinoid receptors or degradative enzymes [31,32].

# 4.1. Real-World Evidence

In the UK, over 10% of adults have tried CBD [46] and, in the USA, in one month, there were over 6 million internet searchers for CBD [48]. Between 35% and 65% of people using CBD for medicinal purposes in the UK, USA, Denmark, and New Zealand were found to be administering it for stress [46,47], and over 90% reported feeling less stressed with CBD, with no respondents reporting feeling more stressed [46]. For stress and its manifestations of mild anxiety and insomnia, CBD is usually administered at low doses and mostly from online suppliers, with less than 1% being prescribed by a doctor and less than 5% purchased from a pharmacy [46].

#### 4.2. Mechanism of Action

CBD increases  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling by increasing AEA levels. CBD increases AEA levels in rodents (usual animal model for stress) by inhibiting the enzymatic activity of FAAH. In humans, CBD does not enzymatically inhibit FAAH but inhibits AEA degradation by FAAH indirectly—by preventing transport of AEA from the post-synaptic membrane to FAAH, which is mainly located in the post-synaptic endoplasmic reticulum. CBD competitively inhibits AEA binding to fatty acid binding proteins that transport hydrophobic AEA across the aqueous space between the plasma membrane and the endoplasmic reticulum (Figure 1) [39]. CBD treatment has been shown to increase AEA levels in the serum of schizophrenia patients [49].

CBD also acts to reduce stress and its manifestations by non-endocannabinoid receptors (Figure 3). Even at low doses, CBD acts as an agonist at serotoninergic 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors and blocks stress-induced changes in 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor gene expression, which reduces anxiety associated with the stress response [50–52]. CBD also activates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR $\gamma$ ) that reduces neuroinflammation and excitotoxicity that is associated with the stress response [53]. It is possible that CBD could also reduce the stress response via binding the transient receptor potential vanilloid member 1 (TRPV1), because CBD is known to desensitize TRPV1 [54] and TRPV1 is a mediator of the stress response [31,32,55]. The differential expression and differential sensitivity to modulation of CB<sub>1</sub> on different types of neurons may also be important for the net effect of CBD [56–59]. CBD can act as a negative allosteric modulator of CB<sub>1</sub> [60,61] and CB<sub>2</sub> [62] (in vitro), thereby acting as a non-competitive antagonist of the actions of THC, CBD does not have the same effects as CB<sub>1/2</sub> antagonists (such as recombinant) [61], and CBD largely regulates the stress response by increasing CB<sub>1/2</sub> signaling [37,63,64].



**Figure 3.** Cannabidiol (CBD) mechanism of action. The main action of CBD is to increase  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  signaling by preventing N-arachidonylethanolamine (AEA) degradation. This constrains the stress response and its manifestations both via its inhibition of the stress response and (independently) inhibition of some manifestations such as the anxiety, neuroinflammation, and GIT dysfunction. For example, CB<sub>1</sub> signaling inhibits stress-associated anxiety by both constraining the stress response and by CB<sub>1</sub> activity on forebrain glutamatergic neurons [41–43]. CBD also acts independently of CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub> signaling by increasing serotonergic 5HT<sub>1A</sub> signaling, increasing PPAR $\gamma$  signaling and desensitizing TPRV1, which inhibit stress-associated anxiety, neuroinflammation and GIT dysfunction, respectively.

# 4.3. Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics

Low-dose CBD that appears effective for stress and its manifestations [46] has a good safety and tolerability profile, with few adverse effects [65–69]. Unlike THC, CBD is not psychomimetic and does not cause intoxication, euphoria, addiction, psychomotor impairment, or cognitive impairment [70–72]. Importantly, low-dose CBD (less than 150 mg/day) does not cause the hepatocellular injury observed for higher dose CBD (>600 mg/day) [73–76]. Reviews of 49 clinical trials of CBD, including intravenous, inhalation, and oral routes of administration and oral dose ranges of 10–1500 mg per day, found that CBD was well tolerated with a good safety profile [65,77]. CBD has also been shown to have no potential for abuse or dependence in humans [78–81]. High-dose CBD has drug–drug interactions with medicines metabolized by the cytochrome P450 pathways [82], and the extent to which this occurs with low-dose CBD is not yet known. There is a possibility of mild drowsiness and fatigue with the low-dose CBD [82].

CBD is currently delivered per-orally (ingested), by absorption across the oral mucous membranes or by inhalation [83–87]. Because CBD is poorly water soluble, ingestion provides poor absorption, and most of the CBD that is absorbed undergoes first-pass metabolism, which results in a bioavailability of only 6% [84,88]. Systemic exposure to CBD is increased four-fold by ingestion with a high-fat meal [86] and five-fold with severe hepatic impairment [89]. The main reason for the large increase in absorption with a high-fat meal is that micelles are naturally formed in the small intestine by the mixing of bile salts with fatty acids from the high-fat meal (or an oil-based CBD formulation), and these micelles carry CBD into intestinal epithelial cells and the portal circulation [90]. First-pass metabolism may be bypassed by the delivery of CBD across the oral mucous membrane; however, many CBD sublingual drops still result in high levels of first-pass metabolites, which indicates that mucous membrane absorption is inefficient [91]. Oral mucous membrane absorption could be improved by mimicking the carriage of CBD in natural intestinal micelles, by formulating CBD in synthetic nano-micelles [87]. Inhaling CBD by smoking or vaping provides the most rapid method of administration, with a timeto-peak-plasma-concentration ( $T_{max}$ )  $\leq$ 5 min and a bioavailability of 31% [92]; however, the higher peak level from inhalation is associated with increased adverse effects [91], and the high temperatures involved produce toxic oxidation products [93].

The single-dose half-life of CBD is around 3 h; however, CBD accumulates in tissues, including adipose tissues due to its lipophilicity, and after repeated doses, its half-life is 2–5 days [84]. CBD is also binds to proteins and blood cells and has a high apparent volume of distribution of 32 L/kg [88,92]. Low-dose CBD administration provides serum CBD levels in the order of 1–10 ng/mL [83–85,87,91]. CBD is mainly metabolized in the liver by CYP3A- and CYP2C-dependent phase I metabolism to its active metabolite 7-OH-CBD, which is then metabolized and excreted in feces and urine after phase II metabolism by uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes [84,88].

### 4.4. Preclinical Evidence of Efficacy

CBD attenuates the effects of experimentally induced acute and chronic stress in animal models (rodents) by increasing both CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> signaling and by facilitating 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor-mediated neurotransmission. Increased CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> signaling due to FAAH inhibition by CBD attenuated stress-associated anxiety behaviors, prevented the chronic stress-associated decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis, and prevented the persistence of fear [37,64]. The anxiolytic effect of CBD was not seen in unstressed animals, indicating that CBD induced anti-stress rather than anxiolytic effects [64]. Moreover, dependent on CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> activation, CBD treatment has been shown to prevent the chronic stress-induced decrease in total dendritic length, number of branches, spine density of neurons, and expression of synaptic proteins in the hypothalamus and other limbic structures [63,64]. CBD has also been shown to act in 5-HT<sub>1A</sub>-receptor-dependent ways to reduce stressassociated anxiety behaviors, heart rate, blood pressure, and fear expression. Again, the anxiolytic effects were only seen after stress (acute or chronic) [37,52].

# 4.5. Clinical Evidence of Efficacy

The first clinical evidence that CBD reduces the stress response was from the studies of CBD's ability to reduce the adverse effects of THC in healthy volunteers [94,95]. THC is known to induce stress in healthy people as demonstrated by an increase in cortisol and transient anxiety-like behavior in people with no anxiety disorders [13]. Karniol et al. reported that a single dose of CBD of 15–60 mg significantly reduced THC-induced anxiety, and CBD doses of 30–60 mg significantly reduced THC-induced tachycardia, which like anxiety is part of the stress response [94]. These results were supported by Zuardi et al. (1982), who showed that a single CBD dose of 1 mg/kg (50–80 mg; average of 67 mg) significantly lowered THC-induced anxiety as measured by the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [95]. In both studies, CBD alone did not change the pulse rate or anxiety levels, indicating that its effects were stress specific [94,95].

CBD has also been shown to reduce stress-response-associated anxiety caused by public speaking and radiological tests. Zuardi et al. (1993) exposed healthy subjects (without any psychiatric diagnosis) to the acutely stressful situation of a simulated public speaking test and measured the anxiety component of the stress response after treatment with placebo, 300 mg CBD, 10 mg diazepam, or 5 mg ipsapirone (5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonist). CBD treatment significantly constrained the stress-induced increase in the STAI and Visual Analog Mood Scale (VAMS) measures of anxiety by a similar magnitude to diazepam and ipsapirone, without the sedation associated with diazepam. Again, the actions of CBD were stress specific with no effect seen on anxiety measures before the stress response [27]. The same group confirmed these results in 2017, demonstrating that 300 mg of CBD had comparable efficacy to 1 mg of clonazepam in lowering stress-induced anxiety and heart rate [28]. This time, the healthy participants (no current or prior psychiatric disorders) underwent a test of public speaking and stress-induced anxiety measured with the VAMS showed that CBD had a U-shaped efficacy curve, with less efficacy reported for 100 and 900 mg doses of CBD [28]. Crippa et al. used a different stressor, single positron emission computed tomography (SPECT) scanning, which included intravenous cannula insertion

and tracer injection [96]. In healthy subjects with no current, past, or family (immediate family) history of psychiatric disorders, 400 mg CBD (dissolved in corn oil) significantly lowered stress-induced anxiety (as measured by VAMS) relative to placebo [96]; however, this time some mental sedation from CBD was reported. The stressor, SPECT scanning, was also used to demonstrate that the stress-associated anxiolytic action of CBD was associated with reduced blood flow to the cortical limbic and paralimbic brain areas suggesting that the effect of CBD involved these areas of the brain, which are known to be involved in the stress response and its behavioral manifestation of anxiety [96].

CBD may be helpful in not only reducing acute stress-associated anxiety but also normalizing abnormal stress responses. Appiah-Kusi et al. studied the effects of 600 mg CBD on Trier Social Stress Test (TSST)–induced anxiety and cortisol level changes in participants who had no history of mental health disorder; however, these participants were judged at high risk of developing psychosis based on the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS) questionnaire. These participants were found to have decreased rather than increased cortisol levels in response to acute stress. CBD treatment attenuated the abnormal cortisol response and reduced the acute stress-associated increase in anxiety, as measured by the STAI [8].

Another behavioral manifestation of stress is fear. CB<sub>1</sub> signaling is important for extinguishing fear after stress, and lack of fear extinction following stress is thought to be a major contributor to the development of fear and anxiety disorders [3,4,37,44]. Das et al. studied the persistence of fear and aversive memories due to electric shock in healthy volunteers and found that 32 mg CBD was effective in enhancing fear and aversive memory extinction [97].

Crippa et al. (2021) studied the effectiveness of 150 mg CBD twice a day on 120 healthcare workers with burnout syndrome [98]. Burnout syndrome is a manifestation of chronic stress that has been described and is only defined for workplace (occupational) stress and is not considered a mental health disorder [99]. Burnout syndrome is characterized by (i) feelings of energy depletion or exhaustion; (ii) increased mental distance from one's job or feelings of negativism or cynicism related to one's job; and (iii) a sense of ineffectiveness and lack of accomplishment [99,100]. This study was not fully blinded or placebo controlled for ethical reasons; however, it did show that by 14 days, CBD treatment provided significant decreases in the emotional exhaustion from burnout syndrome and associated symptoms of anxiety and depression and had a medium effect size for treating burnout syndrome [98].

Although the ability to reduce anxiety caused by anxiety disorders is not necessarily predictive of ability to treat physiological stress-induced anxiety, CBD has been studied in people with anxiety disorders. There have been two clinical trials of CBD in social anxiety disorder (SAD). The first study used the Simulation Public Speaking Test in individuals diagnosed with SAD, to show that a 400–600 mg single dose of CBD significantly reduced subjective symptoms of anxiety, cognitive impairment, and performance discomfort, relative to the placebo group [77,101]. A recent double-blind study on teenagers (18–19 years old) with SAD and avoidant personality disorder showed that 300 mg/day CBD for four weeks significantly decreased anxiety compared to placebo [102]. Low-dose CBD has been studied in a recent clinical trial of CBD for patients with any anxiety disorder, which found 25 mg/day CBD to be effective [75].

# 5. Conclusions

In summary, the stress response is in need of a safe, rapid, effective treatment to prevent associated morbidities and economic losses. There is substantial clinical evidence that CBD safely and effectively constrains the stress response. There have been seven doubleblind placebo controlled clinical trials of CBD for stress [8,27,28,94–97] on a combined total of 232 participants and one partially controlled study on 120 participants. All showed that CBD was effective in significantly reducing the stress response and its manifestations (anxiety, fear, depression, and burnout). Two clinical trials [27,28] included a comparator (benzodiazepines and/or  $5HT_{1A}$  agonists) arm, and both showed that the CBD effect was non-inferior to that of the pharmaceutical drug. The clinical trial results are supported by the common (unregulated) use of CBD by over 10% of the population, of which 1/3-2/3 use it to relieve stress and 90% find effective, and by the established mechanism of action and extensive preclinical evidence of the effectiveness of CBD for treating stress. Maladaptive stress responses and the endocannabinoid system as a therapeutic target both deserve more attention from clinicians and researchers, and CBD may be a good solution to both.

**Author Contributions:** Writing—original draft preparation, J.D.H.; writing—review and editing, L.V., M.Q., and S.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. Medlab Clinical Ltd. (Sydney, Australia) funded the publication costs and had no role in the design of the review; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish.

**Search Strategy and Selection Criteria:** References for this review were identified through searches of PubMed for articles published from January 2000 to June 2021, by use of the terms "stress", "endocannabinoid", and "cannabidiol" and through searches in the authors' personal files. Articles resulting from these searches and relevant references cited in those articles were reviewed.

# References

- 1. Andrews, J.; Pruessner, J.C. The Combined Propranolol/TSST Paradigm—A New Method for Psychoneuroendocrinology. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e57567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Chrousos, G.P. Stress and disorders of the stress system. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 2009, 5, 374–381. [CrossRef]
- Hillard, C.J. Stress regulates endocannabinoid-CB1 receptor signaling. *Semin. Immunol.* 2014, *26*, 380–388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
  Finn, D.P. Endocannabinoid-mediated modulation of stress responses: Physiological and pathophysiological significance.
- *Immunobiology* **2010**, *215*, 629–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed] 5. Hill, M.N.; Patel, S.; Campolongo, P.; Tasker, J.G.; Wotjak, C.T.; Bains, J. Functional Interactions between Stress and the
- Endocannabinoid System: From Synaptic Signaling to Behavioral Output. J. Neurosci. 2010, 30, 14980–14986. [CrossRef]
- Daviu, N.; Bruchas, M.R.; Moghaddam, B.; Sandi, C.; Beyeler, A. Neurobiological links between stress and anxiety. *Neurobiol. Stress* 2019, 11, 100191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abush, H.; Akirav, I. Cannabinoids Ameliorate Impairments Induced by Chronic Stress to Synaptic Plasticity and Short-Term Memory. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2013, *38*, 1521–1534. [CrossRef]
- Appiah-Kusi, E.; Petros, N.; Wilson, R.; Colizzi, M.; Bossong, M.G.; Valmaggia, L.; Mondelli, V.; McGuire, P.; Bhattacharyya, S. Effects of short-term cannabidiol treatment on response to social stress in subjects at clinical high risk of developing psychosis. *Psychopharmacology* 2020, 237, 1121–1130. [CrossRef]
- 9. Beins, E.C.; Beiert, T.; Jenniches, I.; Hansen, J.N.; Leidmaa, E.; Schrickel, J.W.; Zimmer, A. Cannabinoid receptor 1 signalling modulates stress susceptibility and microglial responses to chronic social defeat stress. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2021, *11*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Maes, M.; Song, C.; Lin, A.; De Jongh, R.; Van Gastel, A.; Kenis, G.; Bosmans, E.; De Meester, I.; Benoy, I.; Neels, H. The effects of psychological stress on humans: Increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and a Th1-like response in stress-induced anxiety. *Cytokine* 1998, 10, 313–318. [CrossRef]
- 11. Padgett, D.A.; Glaser, R. How stress influences the immune response. Trends Immunol. 2003, 24, 444–448. [CrossRef]
- 12. Salleh, M.R. Life Event, Stress and Illness. Malays. J. Med. Sci. 2008, 15, 9–18.
- 13. Hillard, C.J.; Beatka, M.; Sarvaideo, J. Endocannabinoid Signaling and the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis. *Compr. Physiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1–15.
- 14. Michie, S. Causes and management of stress at work. Occup. Environ. Med. 2002, 59, 67–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Morena, M.; Patel, S.; Bains, J.; Hill, M.N. Neurobiological Interactions Between Stress and the Endocannabinoid System. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2015**, *41*, 80–102. [CrossRef]
- 16. Riebe, C.J.; Wotjak, C.T. Endocannabinoids and stress. Stress 2011, 14, 384–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Chatterton, R.T.; Vogelsong, K.M.; Lu, Y.-C.; Ellman, A.B.; Hudgens, G.A. Salivary α-amylase as a measure of endogenous adrenergic activity. *Clin. Physiol.* **1996**, *16*, 433–448. [CrossRef]
- 18. Gaebler, M.; Daniels, J.K.; Lamke, J.-P.; Fydrich, T.; Walter, H. Heart rate variability and its neural correlates during emotional face processing in social anxiety disorder. *Biol. Psychol.* **2013**, *94*, 319–330. [CrossRef]
- 19. Kim, H.-G.; Cheon, E.-J.; Bai, D.-S.; Lee, Y.H.; Koo, B.-H. Stress and Heart Rate Variability: A Meta-Analysis and Review of the Literature. *Psychiatry Investig.* 2018, *15*, 235–245. [CrossRef]
- 20. Nater, U.M.; Rohleder, N. Salivary alpha-amylase as a non-invasive biomarker for the sympathetic nervous system: Current state of research. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **2009**, *34*, 486–496. [CrossRef]

- 21. Helms, E.; Wetzel, E.; Weierstall, R. Development and validation of the Subclinical Stress Symptom Questionnaire SSQ-25. *Nervenarzt* 2017, *88*, 1050–1057. [CrossRef]
- 22. Roberti, J.W.; Harrington, L.N.; Storch, E.A. Further Psychometric Support for the 10-Item Version of the Perceived Stress Scale. J. Coll. Couns. 2006, 9, 135–147. [CrossRef]
- 23. Manocha, R.; Black, D.; Sarris, J.; Stough, C. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Meditation for Work Stress, Anxiety and Depressed Mood in Full-Time Workers. *Evid.-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* **2011**, 2011, 960583. [CrossRef]
- Antony, M.M.; Bieling, P.J.; Cox, B.J.; Enns, M.W.; Swinson, R.P. Psychometric properties of the 42-item and 21-item versions of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales in clinical groups and a community sample. *Psychol. Assess.* 1998, 10, 176–181. [CrossRef]
- 25. Holmes, T.H.; Rahe, R.H. Social Readjustment Rating Scale. J. Psychosom. Res. 1967, 11, 213–218. [CrossRef]
- 26. Safe Work Australia. Work-Related Mental Disorder Profile. In *Work Health and Safety in the Accommodation and Food Services Industry;* Safe Work Australia: Canberra, Australia, 2015.
- Zuardi, A.W.; Cosme, R.A.; Graeff, F.; Guimarães, F.S. Effects of ipsapirone and cannabidiol on human experimental anxiety. J. Psychopharmacol. 1993, 7 (Suppl. 7), 82–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zuardi, A.W.; Rodrigues, N.P.; Silva, A.L.; Bernardo, S.A.; Hallak, J.E.C.; Guimarães, F.S.; Crippa, J.A.S. Inverted U-Shaped Dose-Response Curve of the Anxiolytic Effect of Cannabidiol during Public Speaking in Real Life. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2017, *8*, 259. [CrossRef]
- Kotlyar, M.; Brauer, L.H.; Al'Absi, M.; Adson, D.E.; Robiner, W.; Thuras, P.; Harris, J.; Finocchi, M.E.; Bronars, C.A.; Candell, S.; et al. Effect of bupropion on physiological measures of stress in smokers during nicotine withdrawal. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 2006, *83*, 370–379. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Kucerova, J.; Tabiova, K.; Drago, F.; Micale, V. Therapeutic potential of cannabinoids in schizophrenia. *Recent Pat. CNS Drug Discov.* **2014**, *9*, 13–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Micale, V.; Di Marzo, V.; Sulcova, A.; Wotjak, C.T.; Drago, F. Endocannabinoid system and mood disorders: Priming a target for new therapies. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2013, 138, 18–37. [CrossRef]
- 32. Micale, V.; Drago, F. Endocannabinoid system, stress and HPA axis. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2018, 834, 230–239. [CrossRef]
- 33. Micale, V.; Tabiova, K.; Kucerova, J.; Drago, F. Role of the Endocannabinoid System in Depression: From Preclinical to Clinical Evidence. *Cannabinoid Modul. Emot. Mem. Motiv.* **2015**, 97–129.
- García-Gutiérrez, M.S.; García-Bueno, B.; Zoppi, S.; Leza, J.C.; Manzanares, J. Chronic blockade of cannabinoid CB2 receptors induces anxiolytic-like actions associated with alterations in GABA(A) receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2012, 165, 951–964. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Manzanares, J.; Cabañero, D.; Puente, N.; García-Gutiérrez, M.S.; Grandes, P.; Maldonado, R. Role of the endocannabinoid system in drug addiction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2018**, 157, 108–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zoppi, S.; Madrigal, J.L.; Caso, J.R.; García-Gutiérrez, M.S.; Manzanares, J.; Leza, J.C.; García-Bueno, B. Regulatory role of the cannabinoid CB2receptor in stress-induced neuroinflammation in mice. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2014, 171, 2814–2826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Blessing, E.M.; Steenkamp, M.M.; Manzanares, J.; Marmar, C.R. Cannabidiol as a Potential Treatment for Anxiety Disorders. *Neurotherapeutics* **2015**, *12*, 825–836. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Gabrielli, M.; Battista, N.; Riganti, L.; Prada, I.; Antonucci, F.; Cantone, L.; Matteoli, M.; Maccarrone, M.; Verderio, C. Active endocannabinoids are secreted on extracellular membrane vesicles. *EMBO Rep.* **2015**, *16*, 213–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Elmes, M.W.; Kaczocha, M.; Berger, W.T.; Leung, K.; Ralph, B.P.; Wang, L.; Sweeney, J.M.; Miyauchi, J.T.; Tsirka, S.E.; Ojima, I.; et al. Fatty Acid-binding Proteins (FABPs) Are Intracellular Carriers for Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and Cannabidiol (CBD). J. Biol. Chem. 2015, 290, 8711–8721. [CrossRef]
- 40. Sharkey, K.A.; Wiley, J.W. The Role of the Endocannabinoid System in the Brain–Gut Axis. *Gastroenterology* **2016**, *151*, 252–266. [CrossRef]
- 41. Rey, A.A.; Purrio, M.; Viveros, M.P.; Lutz, B. Biphasic effects of cannabinoids in anxiety responses: CB1 and GABA(B) receptors in the balance of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2012**, *37*, 2624–2634. [CrossRef]
- 42. Rossi, S.; De Chiara, V.; Musella, A.; Sacchetti, L.; Cantarella, C.; Castelli, M.; Cavasinni, F.; Motta, C.; Studer, V.; Bernardi, G.; et al. Preservation of Striatal Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor Function Correlates with the Antianxiety Effects of Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase Inhibition. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2010, *78*, 260–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ruehle, S.; Remmers, F.; Romo-Parra, H.; Massa, F.; Wickert, M.; Wörtge, S.; Häring, M.; Kaiser, N.; Marsicano, G.; Pape, H.-C.; et al. Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor in Dorsal Telencephalic Glutamatergic Neurons: Distinctive Sufficiency for Hippocampus-Dependent and Amygdala-Dependent Synaptic and Behavioral Functions. J. Neurosci. 2013, 33, 10264–10277. [CrossRef]
- 44. Scarante, F.F.; Vila-Verde, C.; Detoni, V.L.; Ferreira-Junior, N.C.; Guimarães, F.S.; Campos, A.C. Cannabinoid Modulation of the Stressed Hippocampus. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 2017, 10, 411. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gorzalka, B.B.; Hill, M.N.; Hillard, C.J. Regulation of endocannabinoid signaling by stress: Implications for stress-related affective disorders. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2008, 32, 1152–1160. [CrossRef]
- 46. Moltke, J.; Hindocha, C. Reasons for cannabidiol use: A cross-sectional study of CBD users, focusing on self-perceived stress, anxiety, and sleep problems. J. Cannabis Res. 2021, 3, 5. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wheeler, M.; Merten, J.W.; Gordon, B.T.; Hamadi, H. CBD (Cannabidiol) Product Attitudes, Knowledge, and Use Among Young Adults. *Subst. Use Misuse* 2020, *55*, 1138–1145. [CrossRef]

- 48. Leas, E.C.; Nobles, A.L.; Caputi, T.L.; Dredze, M.; Smith, D.; Ayers, J.W. Trends in Internet Searches for Cannabidiol (CBD) in the United States. *JAMA Netw. Open* **2019**, *2*, e1913853. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leweke, F.M.; Piomelli, D.; Pahlisch, F.; Muhl, D.; Gerth, C.W.; Hoyer, C.; Klosterkötter, J.; Hellmich, M.; Koethe, D. Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2012, 2, e94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Campos, A.C.; Guimarães, F.S. Involvement of 5HT1A receptors in the anxiolytic-like effects of cannabidiol injected into the dorsolateral periaqueductal gray of rats. *Psychopharmacology* **2008**, *199*, 223–230. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lee, J.; Bertoglio, L.J.; Guimaraes, F.S.; Stevenson, C.W. Cannabidiol regulation of emotion and emotional memory processing: Relevance for treating anxiety-related and substance abuse disorders. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2017, 174, 3242–3256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Viudez-Martínez, A.; García-Gutiérrez, M.S.; Manzanares, J. Cannabidiol regulates the expression of hypothalamus-pituitaryadrenal axis-related genes in response to acute restraint stress. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **2018**, 32, 1379–1384. [CrossRef]
- 53. Bueno, B.G.; Caso, J.; Perez-Nievas, B.G.; Lorenzo, P.; Leza, J.C. Effects of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma Agonists on Brain Glucose and Glutamate Transporters after Stress in Rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2006**, *32*, 1251–1260. [CrossRef]
- 54. Costa, B.; Giagnoni, G.; Franke, C.; Trovato, A.E.; Colleoni, M. Vanilloid TRPV1 receptor mediates the antihyperalgesic effect of the nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, cannabidiol, in a rat model of acute inflammation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2004**, *143*, 247–250. [CrossRef]
- 55. Wang, S.E.; Ko, S.Y.; Jo, S.; Choi, M.; Lee, S.H.; Jo, H.-R.; Seo, J.Y.; Lee, S.H.; Kim, Y.-S.; Jung, S.J.; et al. TRPV1 Regulates Stress Responses through HDAC2. *Cell Rep.* 2017, *19*, 401–412. [CrossRef]
- 56. Marsicano, G.; Lutz, B. Expression of the cannabinoid receptor CB1 in distinct neuronal subpopulations in the adult mouse forebrain. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **1999**, *11*, 4213–4225. [CrossRef]
- Micale, V.; Stepan, J.; Jurik, A.; Pamplona, F.; Marsch, R.; Drago, F.; Eder, M.; Wotjak, C.T. Extinction of avoidance behavior by safety learning depends on endocannabinoid signaling in the hippocampus. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 2017, 90, 46–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Terzian, A.L.; Drago, F.; Wotjak, C.T.; Micale, V. The Dopamine and Cannabinoid Interaction in the Modulation of Emotions and Cognition: Assessing the Role of Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor in Neurons Expressing Dopamine D1 Receptors. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 2011, *5*, 49. [CrossRef]
- 59. Terzian, A.L.B.; Micale, V.; Wotjak, C.T. Cannabinoid receptor type 1 receptors on GABAergic vs. glutamatergic neurons differentially gate sex-dependent social interest in mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2014, 40, 2293–2298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. LaPrairie, R.B.; Bagher, A.M.; Kelly, M.E.M.; Denovanwright, E.M. Cannabidiol is a negative allosteric modulator of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2015, 172, 4790–4805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. McPartland, J.M.; Duncan, M.; Di Marzo, V.; Pertwee, R. Are cannabidiol and Δ(9)-tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *172*, 737–753. [CrossRef]
- 62. Martinez-Pinilla, E.; Varani, K.; Reyes-Resina, I.; Angelats, E.; Vincenzi, F.; Ferreiro-Vera, C.; Oyarzabal, J.; Canela, E.I.; Lanciego, J.L.; Nadal, X.; et al. Binding and Signaling Studies Disclose a Potential Allosteric Site for Cannabidiol in Cannabinoid CB2 Receptors. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *8*, 744. [CrossRef]
- Campos, A.C.; Ortega, Z.; Palazuelos, J.; Fogaça, M.V.; Aguiar, D.C.; Díaz-Alonso, J.; Ortega-Gutiérrez, S.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Moreira, F.A.; Guzmán, M.; et al. The anxiolytic effect of cannabidiol on chronically stressed mice depends on hippocampal neurogenesis: Involvement of the endocannabinoid system. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2013, *16*, 1407–1419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fogaça, M.V.; Campos, A.C.; Coelho, L.D.; Duman, R.S.; Guimarães, F.S. The anxiolytic effects of cannabidiol in chronically stressed mice are mediated by the endocannabinoid system: Role of neurogenesis and dendritic remodeling. *Neuropharmacology* 2018, 135, 22–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Iffland, K.; Grotenhermen, F. An Update on Safety and Side Effects of Cannabidiol: A Review of Clinical Data and Relevant Animal Studies. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res.* 2017, 2, 139–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Di Bartolomeo, M.; Stark, T.; Maurel, O.M.; Iannotti, F.A.; Kuchar, M.; Ruda-Kucerova, J.; Piscitelli, F.; Laudani, S.; Pekarik, V.; Salomone, S.; et al. Crosstalk between the transcriptional regulation of dopamine D2 and cannabinoid CB1 receptors in schizophrenia: Analyses in patients and in perinatal Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol-exposed rats. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2021, 164, 105357. [CrossRef]
- 67. Stark, T.; Di Bartolomeo, M.; Di Marco, R.; Drazanova, E.; Platania, C.B.M.; Iannotti, F.A.; Ruda-Kucerova, J.; D'Addario, C.; Kratka, L.; Pekarik, V.; et al. Altered dopamine D3 receptor gene expression in MAM model of schizophrenia is reversed by peripubertal cannabidiol treatment. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *177*, 114004. [CrossRef]
- 68. Stark, T.; Di Martino, S.; Drago, F.; Wotjak, C.T.; Micale, V. Phytocannabinoids and schizophrenia: Focus on adolescence as a critical window of enhanced vulnerability and opportunity for treatment. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2021**, *174*, 105938. [CrossRef]
- 69. Stark, T.; Ruda-Kucerova, J.; Iannotti, F.A.; D'Addario, C.; Di Marco, R.; Pekarik, V.; Drazanova, E.; Piscitelli, F.; Bari, M.; Babinska, Z.; et al. Peripubertal cannabidiol treatment rescues behavioral and neurochemical abnormalities in the MAM model of schizophrenia. *Neuropharmacology* **2019**, *146*, 212–221. [CrossRef]

- De Gregorio, D.; McLaughlin, R.J.; Posa, L.; Ochoa-Sanchez, R.; Enns, J.; Lopez-Canul, M.; Aboud, M.; Maione, S.; Comai, S.; Gobbi, G. Cannabidiol modulates serotonergic transmission and reverses both allodynia and anxiety-like behavior in a model of neuropathic pain. *Pain* 2019, 160, 136–150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Pertwee, R.G. Ligands that target cannabinoid receptors in the brain: From THC to anandamide and beyond. *Addict. Biol.* **2008**, 13, 147–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Zuardi, A.W. Cannabidiol: From an inactive cannabinoid to a drug with wide spectrum of action. *Rev. Bras. Psiquiatr.* 2008, *30*, 271–280. [CrossRef]
- 73. Naftali, T.; Mechulam, R.; Marii, A.; Gabay, G.; Stein, A.; Bronshtain, M.; Laish, I.; Benjaminov, F.; Konikoff, F.M. Low-Dose Cannabidiol Is Safe but Not Effective in the Treatment for Crohn's Disease, a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2017, 62, 1615–1620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Notcutt, W.; Price, M.; Miller, R.; Newport, S.; Phillips, C.; Simmons, S.; Sansom, C. Initial experiences with medicinal extracts of cannabis for chronic pain: Results from 34 ?N of 1? studies. *Anaesthesia* **2004**, *59*, 440–452. [CrossRef]
- 75. Shannon, S. Cannabidiol in Anxiety and Sleep: A Large Case Series. Perm. J. 2019, 23, 18–041. [CrossRef]
- Shannon, S.; Opila-Lehman, J. Effectiveness of Cannabidiol Oil for Pediatric Anxiety and Insomnia as Part of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Case Report. *Perm. J.* 2016, 20, 16-005. [CrossRef]
- 77. Bergamaschi, M.M.; Queiroz, R.H.C.; Zuardi, A.W.; Crippa, J.A.S. Safety and Side Effects of Cannabidiol, a Cannabis sativa Constituent. *Curr. Drug Saf.* **2011**, *6*, 237–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. World Health Organization (WHO). Fortieth Report; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
- 79. Babalonis, S.; Haney, M.; Malcolm, R.J.; Lofwall, M.R.; Votaw, V.; Sparenborg, S.; Walsh, S.L. Oral cannabidiol does not produce a signal for abuse liability in frequent marijuana smokers. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **2017**, *172*, 9–13. [CrossRef]
- 80. Hindocha, C.; Freeman, T.; Schafer, G.; Gardener, C.; Das, R.K.; Morgan, C.J.; Curran, H.V. Acute effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol and their combination on facial emotion recognition: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in cannabis users. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2015**, *25*, 325–334. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schoedel, K.A.; Szeto, I.; Setnik, B.; Sellers, E.M.; Levy-Cooperman, N.; Mills, C.; Etges, T.; Sommerville, K. Abuse potential assessment of cannabidiol (CBD) in recreational polydrug users: A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2018, 88, 162–171. [CrossRef]
- 82. Brown, J.D.; Winterstein, A.G. Potential Adverse Drug Events and Drug–Drug Interactions with Medical and Consumer Cannabidiol (CBD) Use. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, 989. [CrossRef]
- 83. Britch, S.C.; Babalonis, S.; Walsh, S.L. Cannabidiol: Pharmacology and therapeutic targets. *Psychopharmacology* **2021**, *238*, 9–28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Millar, S.A.; Stone, N.L.; Yates, A.S.; O'Sullivan, S.E. A Systematic Review on the Pharmacokinetics of Cannabidiol in Humans. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*, 1365. [CrossRef]
- 85. Silmore, L.H.; Willmer, A.R.; Capparelli, E.V.; Rosania, G.R. Food effects on the formulation, dosing, and administration of cannabidiol (CBD) in humans: A systematic review of clinical studies. *Pharmacother. J. Hum. Pharmacol. Drug Ther.* **2021**, *41*, 405–420. [CrossRef]
- Taylor, L.; Gidal, B.; Blakey, G.; Tayo, B.; Morrison, G. A Phase I, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Single Ascending Dose, Multiple Dose, and Food Effect Trial of the Safety, Tolerability and Pharmacokinetics of Highly Purified Cannabidiol in Healthy Subjects. CNS Drugs 2018, 32, 1053–1067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vitetta, L.; Butcher, B.; Henson, J.D.; Rutolo, D.; Hall, S. A pilot safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic study of an oro-buccal administered cannabidiol-dominant anti-inflammatory formulation in healthy individuals: A randomized placebo-controlled single-blinded study. *Inflammopharmacology* 2021, 29, 1361–1370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fasinu, P.S.; Phillips, S.; ElSohly, M.A.; Walker, L.A. Current Status and Prospects for Cannabidiol Preparations as New Therapeutic Agents. *Pharmacother. J. Hum. Pharmacol. Drug Ther.* 2016, *36*, 781–796. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Taylor, L.; Crockett, J.; Tayo, B.; Morrison, G. A Phase 1, Open-Label, Parallel-Group, Single-Dose Trial of the Pharmacokinetics and Safety of Cannabidiol (CBD) in Subjects With Mild to Severe Hepatic Impairment. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2019, *59*, 1110–1119. [CrossRef]
- Mozaffari, K.; Willette, S.; Lucker, B.; Kovar, S.; Holguin, F.; Guzman, I. The Effects of Food on Cannabidiol Bioaccessibility. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 3573. [CrossRef]
- Guy, G.W.; Flint, M.E. A Single Centre, Placebo-Controlled, Four Period, Crossover, Tolerability Study Assessing, Pharmacodynamic Effects, Pharmacokinetic Characteristics and Cognitive Profiles of a Single Dose of Three Formulations of Cannabis Based Medicine Extracts (CBMEs) (GWPD9901), Plus a Two Period Tolerability Study Comparing Pharmacodynamic Effects and Pharmacokinetic Characteristics of a Single Dose of a Cannabis Based Medicine Extract Given via Two Administration Routes (GWPD9901 EXT). J. Cannabis Ther. 2004, 3, 35–77. [CrossRef]
- 92. Ohlsson, A.; Lindgren, J.-E.; Andersson, S.; Agurell, S.; Gillespie, H.; Hollister, L.E. Single-dose kinetics of deuterium-labelled cannabidiol in man after smoking and intravenous administration. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1986**, *13*, 77–83. [CrossRef]
- 93. Russo, E.B. Cannabis and Pain. Pain Med. 2019, 20, 2083–2085. [CrossRef]
- 94. Karniol, I.G.; Shirakawa, I.; Kasinski, N.; Pfeferman, A.; Carlini, E.A. Cannabidiol interferes with the effects of delta 9tetrahydrocannabinol in man. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1974**, *28*, 172–177. [CrossRef]

- Zuardi, A.W.; Shirakawa, I.; Finkelfarb, E.; Karniol, I.G. Action of cannabidiol on the anxiety and other effects produced by delta 9-THC in normal subjects. *Psychopharmacology* 1982, 76, 245–250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de Souza Crippa, J.A.; Zuardi, A.W.; Garrido, G.E.J.; Wichert-Ana, L.; Guarnieri, R.; Ferrari, L.; Azevedo-Marques, P.; Hallak, J.E.C.; McGuire, P.; Busatto, G.F. Effects of Cannabidiol (CBD) on Regional Cerebral Blood Flow. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2003, 29, 417–426. [CrossRef]
- 97. Das, R.K.; Kamboj, S.; Ramadas, M.; Yogan, K.; Gupta, V.; Redman, E.; Curran, H.V.; Morgan, C.J.A. Cannabidiol enhances consolidation of explicit fear extinction in humans. *Psychopharmacology* **2013**, 226, 781–792. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Crippa, J.A.S.; Zuardi, A.W.; Guimarães, F.S.; Campos, A.C.; de Lima Osório, F.; Loureiro, S.R.; Dos Santos, R.G.; Souza, J.D.S.; Ushirohira, J.M.; Pacheco, J.C.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Cannabidiol Plus Standard Care vs Standard Care Alone for the Treatment of Emotional Exhaustion and Burnout Among Frontline Health Care Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2021, *4*, e2120603. [CrossRef]
- 99. World Health Organization (WHO). International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD); WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2019.
- Maslach, C.; Leiter, M.P. Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry 2016, 15, 103–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Crippa, J.A.S.; Derenusson, G.N.; Ferrari, T.B.; Wichert-Ana, L.; Duran, F.L.; Martin-Santos, R.; Simões, M.V.; Bhattacharyya, S.; Fusar-Poli, P.; Atakan, Z.; et al. Neural basis of anxiolytic effects of cannabidiol (CBD) in generalized social anxiety disorder: A preliminary report. J. Psychopharmacol. 2011, 25, 121–130. [CrossRef]
- Masataka, N. Anxiolytic Effects of Repeated Cannabidiol Treatment in Teenagers with Social Anxiety Disorders. *Front. Psychol.* 2019, 10, 2466. [CrossRef]